

तमसो मा ज्योतिर्गमय

VISVA BHARATI
LIBRARY
SANTINIKETAN

954.08
EI

V.2

1894

367573

ARCHÆOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA.

(NEW IMPERIAL SERIES.)

VOLUME XIV.

EPIGRAPHIA INDICA.

VOLUME II.

ARCHÆOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA.

EPIGRAPHIA INDICA:

A COLLECTION OF INSCRIPTIONS

SUPPLEMENTARY TO

THE CORPUS INSCRIPTIONUM INDICARUM

OF THE

ARCHÆOLOGICAL SURVEY,

TRANSLATED BY ORIENTAL SCHOLARS.

EDITED BY

JAS. BURGESS, C.I.E., LL.D., F.R.S.E.

HON. A.R.I.B.A., F.R.G.S.; M.R.A.S.; M. SOC. AS. PARIS;

HON. COR. MEM. BERLIN SOC. OF ANTHROPOLOGY, ETC.; AND OF BATAVIAN SOC. OF ARTS AND SCIENCES; FELLOW OF UNIVERSITY OF BOMBAY, ETC.,
LATE DIRECTOR-GENERAL OF THE ARCHÆOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA;

ASSISTED BY

A. FÜHRER, PH.D.,

ARCHÆOLOGICAL SURVEYOR, NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES AND OUDH.

VOLUME II.

MOTILAL BANARSIDASS

DELHI :: PATNA :: VARANASI

MOTILAL BANARSIDASS
BUNGALOW ROAD, JAWAHAR NAGAR, DELHI-7
CHOWK, VARANASI-1, (U.P.)
ASHOK RAJPATH, BANKIPORE, PATNA-4, (BIHAR)

*By arrangement with Director-General, Archaeological
Survey of India, Govt. of India*

PRINTED IN INDIA BY SHANTHAL JAIN AT SHRI JAINENDRA PRESS, BUNGALOW
ROAD, JAWAHARNAGAR, DELHI-7 AND PUBLISHED BY SUNDARLAL JAIN,
MOTILAL BANARSIDASS, BUNGALOW ROAD, JAWAHAR NAGAR, DELHI-7.

ARCHÆOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA

EPIGRAPHIA INDICA:

A COLLECTION OF INSCRIPTIONS

SUPPLEMENTARY TO

THE CORPUS INSCRIPTIONUM INDICARUM

OF THE

ARCHÆOLOGICAL SURVEY,

TRANSLATED BY ORIENTAL SCHOLARS.

EDITED BY

JAS. BURGESS, C.I.E., LL.D., F.R.S.E.

HON. ACADEMIC, F.R.S.E., MEMBER OF THE SOC. AN. PARIS,

HON. COR. MEM. BERLIN SOC. OF ANTHROPOLOGY, ETC.; AND OF BATAVIAN SOC. OF ARTS AND SCIENCES, FELLOW OF UNIVERSITY OF BOMBAY, ETC.
LATE DIRECTOR-GENERAL OF THE ARCHÆOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA;

ASSISTED BY

A. FUHRER, PH.D.,

ARCHÆOLOGICAL SURVEYOR, NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES AND OUDH.

VOLUME II.

CALCUTTA:

PRINTED AND PUBLISHED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT OF GOVERNMENT PRINTING INDIA.

1894.

All Rights Reserved.

CONTENTS.

	Page.
Preface	ix
1. Jabalpur copper-plate inscription of Yaśaḥkarnādeva [A.D. 1122]; by Prof. F. Kielhorn, Ph.D., C.I.E., Göttingen	1
2. Bhora-Ghāt stone inscription of queen Alhanadevī, the Chedi year 907; by the same	7
3. Tewar stone inscription of the reign of Jayasimhadeva, the Chedi year 928; by the same	17
4. Three land-grants from Śāṅkheḍā (<i>with facsimiles</i>); by H. H. Dhruva, B.A., LL.B.	19
5. Inscriptions from Northern Gujarāt; by J. Kirste, Ph.D.	24
6. The Jaina inscriptions of Śatruñjaya; by Prof. G. Bühler, Ph.D., LL.D., C.I.E., Vienna	34
7. Votive inscriptions from the Stūpas at Sāñchi (I); by the same	87
8. Harsha stone inscription of the Chāhamāna Vīgraharāja, the Vikrama year 1030 (<i>with facsimile</i>); by Prof. F. Kielhorn, Ph.D., C.I.E.	116
9. Muhammadan inscriptions from the Sūba of Dillī, No. I, (<i>with facsimiles</i>); by Paul Horn, Ph.D., Strassburg	130
10. Badāl Pillar inscription of the time of Nārāyaṇapāla (<i>with facsimile</i>); by Prof. F. Kielhorn, Ph.D., C.I.E.	160
11. Ātakūṛ inscription of the time of Kṛiṣṇa III, dated Śāka-Sam. 872; by J. F. Fleet, Ph.D., C.I.E., C.S.	167
12. Kāritālī stone inscription of the reign of the Chedi Lakshmaṇarāja; by Prof. F. Kielhorn, Ph.D., C.I.E., Göttingen	174
13. Nāgpur stone inscription of the rulers of Mālava, Sam. 1161; by the same	180
14. Further Jaina inscriptions from Mathurā (<i>with facsimiles</i>); by Prof. G. Bühler, Ph.D., LL.D., C.I.E.	195
15. Saṅgamner copper-plate inscription of the Yādava Bhīllama II, the Śāka year 922; by Prof. F. Kielhorn, Ph.D., C.I.E.	212
16. Stone inscription at Vāghlī in Khāndeś, the Śāka year 991; by the same	221
17. Khalāri stone inscription of the reign of Haribrahmadeva, the Vikrama year 1478; by the same	228
18. Dubkund stone inscription of the Kachchhapaghāta Vikramasimha, Sam. 1145; by the same	232
19. Pabhosa inscriptions (<i>with facsimiles</i>) by A. Führer, Ph.D.	240
20. The Pillar Edicts of Aśoka; by Prof. G. Bühler, Ph.D., LL.D., C.I.E.	245
21. The Mahābān Praśasti of Sam. 1207 (<i>with facsimile</i>); by the same	275
22. Muhammadan inscriptions from Bengal (<i>with facsimiles</i>); by Paul Horn, Ph.D.	280
23. Benares copper-plate inscription of Karnaḍadeva, the Chedi year 793 (<i>with facsimile</i>); by Prof. F. Kielhorn, Ph.D., C.I.E.	297
24. Specimens of Jaina sculptures from Mathurā (<i>with illustrations</i>); by Prof. G. Bühler, Ph.D., LL.D., C.I.E.	311
25. The Baṭṭiprolu Buddhist inscriptions (<i>with facsimiles and alphabet</i>); by the same	323
26. Govindpur stone inscription of the Poet Gaṅgādharma, the Śāka year 1059; by Prof. F. Kielhorn, Ph.D., C.I.E.	330
27. Dudhpani rock inscription of Udayamāna; by the same	344
28. Copper-plate inscription of Vaidyadeva of Kāmarūpa (<i>with facsimiles</i>); by Prof. A. Venis, M.A., Benares	348
29. Benares copper-plate grant of Govindachandra; by the same	358
30. Copper-plate grant of Mahārāja Lakshmaṇa, (Gupta) Sam. 158 (<i>with facsimiles</i>); by A. Führer, Ph.D.	363
31. Further votive inscriptions from the Stūpas at Sāñchi (II), (<i>with facsimiles</i>); by Prof. G. Bühler, Ph.D., LL.D., C.I.E.	366
32. Chitorgaḍh stone inscription of Mokala of Mewād, the Vikrama year 1485; by Prof. F. Kielhorn, Ph.D., C.I.E.	408
33. Chitorgaḍh stone inscription of the Chaulukya Kumārapāla, Sam. 1207; by the same	421

	PAGE
34. Persian and Arabic Muhammadan inscriptions from the Sāba of Dihlī, No. II.; by Paul Horn, Ph.D.	424
35. Śālikara's Devapattana Prasasti of Sam. 1273; by Prof. G. Bühler, Ph.D., LL.D., C.I.E.	437
36. Asoka's Rock edicts, according to the Gīrnār, Shāhbāzgarhī, Kālsī and Mansehra Versions (<i>with facsimiles</i>); by the same	447
37. A Collection of Samads of Moghul Emperors; by Paul Horn, Ph.D., Strassburg	472
38. Further Pabhosa inscriptions; by Prof. G. Bühler, Ph.D., LL.D., C.I.E.	480
39. An Additional note on the Baijnāth Prasastis; by G. Bühler, Ph.D., LL.D., C.I.E.	482
40. Notes on the Prakrit grant of the Pallava King Sivaskandavarma (Vol. I, pp. 2-10) by Profs. Ernst Leumann, Ph.D., Strassburg, and G. Bühler, Ph.D., LL.D., C.I.E.	483
Tables for calculating Hindu dates in true local time; by Hermann Jacobi, Ph.D., Sanskrit Professor, Bonn	487
INDEX	499
Corrigenda	518

PAPERS ARRANGED UNDER AUTHORS' NAMES.

BY PROFESSOR G. BÜHLER, PH.D., LL.D., C.I.E., VIENNA:—

Votive inscriptions from the Stūpas at Sāūchi	87
Further votive inscriptions from the Sāūchi Stūpas	366
Further Jaina inscriptions from Mathurā	195
Specimens of Jaina sculptures from Mathurā	311
The Bhāṭṭiprolu Stūpa Buddhist casket inscriptions	323
The Pillar edicts of Asoka	245
Asoka's Rock edicts, according to the Gīrnār, Shāhbāzgarhī, Kālsī, and Mansehra versions	447
The Jaina inscriptions of Śātrunijaya	34
The Mahābān Prasasti of Sam. 1207	275
Śādhara's Devapattana Prasasti of Sam. 1273	437
Further Pabhosa Inscriptions	480
Additional note on the Baijnāth Prasastis	482
Note on the Prakrit grant of the Pallava King Sivaskandavarma	485

BY H. H. DHURVA, B.A., LL.B.:—

Three land-grants from Sānkheḍā in Gujarāt	19
--	----

BY J. F. FLEET, PH.D., C.I.E., B.O. C.S.:—

Atakār inscription of the time of Kṛishṇa III, dated Śaka-Samvat 872	167
--	-----

BY A. FÜHRER, PH.D., ARCHÆOLOGICAL SURVEY, NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES:—

Pabhosa inscriptions	240
Copper-plate grant of Mahārāja Lakshmaṇa, (Gupta) Sam. 150	363

BY PAUL HORN, PH.D., STRASSBURG:—

Muhammadian inscriptions from the Sāba of Dihlī (No. I)	130
Ditto ditto (No. II)	424
A Collection of Samads of Moghul Emperors	472

BY PROFESSOR HERMANN JACOBI, PH.D., BONN:—

Tables for calculating Hindu dates in true local time	487
---	-----

BY PROFESSOR F. KIELHORN, PH.D., C.I.E., GOTTINGEN:—

Harsha stone inscription of the Chāhamāna Vighararāja [Sam. 1030]	116
Budāl pillar inscription of the time of Nārāyaṇapāla	160
Saṃgamner inscription of the Yādava Bhīllama II, Śake, 922	212

CONTENTS.

vii

PAGE.

BY PROFESSOR F. KIELHORN, PH.D., C.I.E., GOTTINGEN—*contd.*

Benares copper-plate inscription of Karpadeva, the Chedi year 793	297
Jabalpur copper-plate inscriptions of Yaśaḥkarpadeva [A. D. 1122]	1
Bheraghāt stone inscription of the queen Alhaṇadevī, the Chedi year 907	7
Tewar stone inscription of the reign of Jayasinhadeva, the Chedi year 928	17
Stone inscription of Vāghlī in Khāndeś, Śaka 991	221
Khalāri stone inscription of the reign of Haribrahmadeva	228
Dubkund stone inscription of the Kachchhapaghāta Vikramasimha, Sam. 1145	232
Kāritālāi stone inscription of the reign of Lakshmanarāja	174
Nāgpur stone inscription of the rulers of Mālava, Sam. 1161	180
Govindpur stone inscription of the Poet Gaṇḍādhara, Ś. 1059	330
Dudhpani rock inscription of Udayamana	344
Chitorgaḍh inscription of Mokala of Mewāḍ	408
Chitorgaḍh inscription of the Chaulukya Kumārāpāla, Sam. 1207	421

BY PROFESSOR J. KIRSTE, PH.D., GRAZ:—

Inscriptions from Northern Gujarāt	24
--	----

BY PROFESSOR ERNST LEUMANN, PH.D., STRASSBURG:—

Note on the Prakrit grant of the Pallava King Sivaskandavarman (in vol. I, pp. 2-10)	483
--	-----

BY PROFESSOR A. VENIS, M.A., BENARES:—

Copper-plate inscription of Vaidyadeva of Kāmarōpa	348
Benares copper-plate grant of Govindachandra	358

FACSIMILES, etc.

1. Sāṅkheḍā copper-plate grant of Dadda III, Ch. Sam. 346; by H. H. Dhruva, B.A., LL.B.	148—149
2. Ditto ditto of Dadda IV, Ch. Sam. 391; " " " " " "	21
3. Ditto ditto of Balādhikṛita Śantilla; " " " " " "	22
4. Harsha inscription of Chahamāna Vighraharāja, Sam. 1030, from impression by Lāla Rām Bakhsh, Jaypur	120
5. Three Muhammadan inscriptions from the Sūba of Dihlī	138
6, 7. Inscription of Humayun, of A.H. 937; and Inscription of Akbar from Khokrakot, from impressions by Ghulam Hussin	148—149
8. Badāl Pillar inscription; impressed by H. B. W. Garrick	160
9. Mathura inscriptions (New Series) Nos. 1—XV; by Dr. A. Führer	202
10. Ditto ditto Nos. XVI—XXVI; "	204
11. Ditto ditto XXVII—XLII; "	208
12. Pabhosa inscriptions: (1) outside, and (2) inside the cave; by A. Führer, Ph.D.	242—243
13. Ditto (3) at the modern Dharmasāli; by the same	244
14, 15. Aśoka Pillar inscription of Rādhiā; impressed by H. B. W. Garrick, Esq.	248—249
16, 17. Ditto ditto at Māthiā; by the same	250—251
18. Ditto ditto at Rampurwa (fragment); by the same	252
19. Bhāgalpur Muhammadan inscription of A.H. 850, and Mahdipur Muhammadan inscription of A.H. 891; impressed by J. D. Beglar, Esq.	280
20. Bihar Muhammadan inscription of A.H. 732; impressed by J. Burgess, LL.D., C.I.E.	292
21, 22. Benares copper-plate grant of Karpadeva	306—307
23. Mathura Jaina sculptures, Plate I. (from photograph),	311
24. Ditto ditto, Plate II.	314
25. Ditto ditto, Plate III.	320
26. Ditto ditto, Plate IV	321
27, 28. Bhaṭṭiprola Buddhist inscriptions, impressed by A. Rea, M.R.A.S.	324—325

	PAGE.
29, 30. Bhaṭṭiprola Buddhist inscriptions, by A. Ren, Esq.; and Alphabet, by J. Burgess, LL.D.	324—325
31, 32. Copper-plate grant of Vaidyadeva of Kāmarūpa—(1) Seal and (2) Plate I.	352—353
33, 34. Ditto ditto (3) Plate IIA; (4) Plate IIB.	354—355
35, 36. Ditto ditto (5) Plate IIIA; (6) Plate IIIB.	356—357
37. Copper-plate grant of Mahārāja Lakshmaṇa, (Gupta) Sam. 158,—two sides with seal	364
38, 39. Sāñchi inscriptions—two pages; by J. Burgess, LL.D., and A. Führer, Ph.D.	368—369
40. Girnār Aśoka edicts, No. I; from impressions by J. Burgess, LL.D.	448
41. Ditto ditto, Nos. II and III; from the same	450
42. Kālsi Aśoka inscription: Edicts I—VI; from the same	450
43. Girnār Aśoka edicts, Nos. IV and V; from the same	452
44. Ditto ditto, No. VI; from the same	454
45. Kālsi Aśoka inscription, Edicts VII to XIII; from the same	456
46. Girnār Aśoka edicts, Nos. VII, VIII and IX; from the same	456
47. Ditto ditto, Nos. X, XI and XII; from the same	458
48, 49. Kālsi Aśoka inscription, Edicts XIII (2nd part), and XIV, and Elephant; from the same	460—461
50. Girnār Aśoka inscription, Nos. XIII and XIV; from the same	463
51, 52. Pabbosa inscriptions from the Hermit's Cave; by A. Führer, Ph.D.	480—481

PREFACE.

AS remarked in the Preface to the former volume, this is properly to be regarded as one of the series of the *Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum*, and practically may stand as the fifth volume of that publication : indeed, but that I had hoped the series of the *Corpus* would be resumed at an early date, these two “supplementary” volumes would also have borne the title chosen by the late General Sir A. Cunningham.

This volume completes what I had undertaken and closes my work in connexion with Indian Epigraphy. Among other papers, it contains a new and corrected edition of the Harsha inscription, first published by the Rev. Dr. Mill nearly sixty years ago, now edited by Professor Kielhorn from excellent impressions obtained for me by Colonel S. S. Jacob, C.I.E. The Badāl pillar inscription first published by Sir Charles Wilkins in 1788 has also been anew translated by the same scholar, from Mr. H. B. W. Garrick’s fresh impressions. The Pillar and Rock Edicts of Aśoka have been finally revised by Professor Bühler, who has also continued the very important series of short epigraphs discovered by Dr. Fhrer at Mathura, along with the extensive collection of votive inscriptions made by the same scholar at Sānchi.

The very early buddha inscriptions discovered by Alex. Rea, Esq., of the Madras Archaeological Survey, in the brick remains of a Stūpa at Bhaṭṭiprolu in the Kṛishṇā istriect, have also been edited by the same able scholar. This important discovery was reported to the Madras Government by Mr. Rea on 22nd February, 1892, and his letter was printed in G. O. of 22nd April, 1892, No. 270. It will be interesting in connexion with the epigraphs to give some details of the discovery here. Mr. R. Sewell (*Lists of Antiquarian Remains in Madras Presidency*, p. 77) states that this Stūpa “was greatly demolished a few years ago” (i.e. before 1882) for the “purpose of making a road ; and some of the marble sculptures were utilized in building a sluice on the Kṛishṇā canal close by, where the road to Vellatūru crosses the canal. I have it direct from the officer chiefly concerned in the demolition that the mound was between 30 and 40 feet high, of a circular shape like a dome, but ruined at the top ; that there was a marble pillar standing erect, and sculpture here and there in marble . . . He found inside the dome a casket made of six small slabs of stone dove-tailed into one another measuring about 2½ feet by 1½ feet by 1 foot. Inside this was a common clay *chātti*, and inside the *chātti* a neat casket made of ‘soap-stone,’ which contained a crystal phial. In the phial was a pearl, a few bits of gold-leaf and some ashes. Wishing to remove his discoveries, the stone casket was accidentally broken and the remains were left at Bhaṭṭiprolu. The *chātti* was also broken. The

'soap-stone' casket was smashed during a voyage to England and the fragments thrown away. The crystal phial was presented to Dr. Burnell."

"The villagers of Bhattiprolu told me that they remember some railing or wall, which they described as about four feet high, surrounding the tope."*

Mr. Rea found that the building had been of solid brick work throughout, and that a portion of the base of the dome and the wall of the procession-path at the south-east quadrant, were intact. From these he made out that the dome must have had a diameter of 132 feet and the base of 148 feet,—being thus about ten feet larger than the *Amarāvātī stūpa*. Outside the basement, and 8 feet below the present surface, he found a brick floor about 12 feet broad, at the outer edge of which were fragments of marble,—probably of the great enclosing rail.

"I learned," he says, in his very instructive report, that the casket had been found just above the summit of the remaining portion of the brick dome. I ascertained this point to be 15 feet above the level of the floor of the procession-path which surrounds the building. Although this casket had been found, I considered that, as the principal deposit is usually placed down in the centre near the foundation, or at the level of the procession-path, there was a great probability of there being another. After having found the centre of the circular brick-work which, through the demolition, is not now in the middle of the mound but considerably towards the north, I saw that the bricks there had not been touched. In the centre was a narrow well, $9\frac{1}{2}$ inches in diameter, filled with earth. This hole, it appears, was open when the top of the stūpa was removed, and was then sounded with a rope to a depth of about 15 feet from the present surface. Around it were courses of eight bricks radiating from the centre to a diameter of 3 feet. These bricks I moved to a depth of 14 feet 6 inches.

"At that depth I came on a large, irregular, triangular slab of black stone laid on the outside of the excavated shaft. The two inner sides of the stone radiated from the centre, its outer side was curved concentric with the brick-work, and extended a foot into the wall of the shaft. It would have lain clear, had another ring of brick-work been removed, making the shaft 5 feet in diameter, but did not expect to find more than one casket, in the centre. On removing, I found a rectangular cutting on the under surface of the stone measuring 11 inches by 8 inches and $\frac{1}{2}$ inch deep: the length was placed east and west. Below it was another similar but thicker stone with a raised rectangular rim on its upper surface cut to fit into the hollow in the upper one. The inner sides of this rectangle slope down into a circular cavity 5 inches deep. The

* *Conf. Ins. Ant.* vol. I, pp. 153, 374; vol. III, p. 124; *Mudras G.* 1st Nov. 1878, No. 1620, pp. 33, 34.

upper surface of the lower stone is smooth, and cut on it, round the four sides of the casket chamber, are two lines of an inscription in characters similar to those of the Aśoka inscriptions" (see Nos. i and ii, pp. 326, 327)

In the cavity, was a small globular black stone relic-casket. Around the casket, and mixed with some earth which filled the cavity, were the following objects :—2 small hemispherical hollow copper or bell-metal (?) cups, $1\frac{1}{8}$ inches in diameter: they fit into each other, and one bears traces of some sort of resin having been inside; on the apex of one is a gold bead, $\frac{7}{8}$ inch in diameter; the other has had a gold bead also, which was found in the earth alongside; 1 copper finger ring and several bits of copper; 1 small bead; 2 double pearls; and the following articles in gold, weighing collectively $\frac{1}{2}$ tola and 13 grains (*i.e.*, 148 grains) *viz.*, 1 single and 1 double gold bead; 7 small triangular pieces; 4 lotus flowers in thin sheets with eight bent petals, each $1\frac{1}{8}$ inches across; 2 *triśūlas* in thin plates each $1\frac{1}{8}$ inches by 1 inch; 1 hexagonal crystal with slightly convex sides, $2\frac{3}{8}$ inches by $\frac{1}{2}$ inch, pierced with a hole through its axis. On each of the sides of the prism is lightly traced an inscription in the same character as that on the stone (see No. x, p. 329).

"The two hemispherical vessels lay on the west side of the casket; the crystal prism, gold flowers and other articles on the east. The relic-casket is $4\frac{1}{2}$ inches in diameter by $4\frac{1}{2}$ inches in height. The lid fits by a groove into the lower portion. Inside was a cylindrical crystal phial $2\frac{1}{2}$ inches in diameter by $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches in height. It is moulded on the sides, flat on the top and bottom, and has its lid fitted in the same manner as the stone receptacle. Inside is a flat piece of bone, half an inch across, smooth on the one side and celled on the other: it seems to be a piece of a skull box.

"In the stone casket, below the phial were,—9 small lotus flowers in gold-leaf; 6 gold beads over an eighth of an inch in diameter, and 8 smaller; 4 small lotus flowers in thin copper; 19 small pierced pearls; 1 slightly blue coloured amethyst bead; and 24 small coins or tokens of a light coloured metal, somewhat resembling bell-metal. They are plain on the reverse: and on the obverse have lotus flowers, *triśūlas*, feet with a snake coiled round, and other emblems more or less legible. They were laid on the bottom of the casket—with the smooth sides down—in the form of a *svastika*, and had become fixed to the stone by oxidation. In the *svastika*, nine of the coins were in the central rectangle; three on each of the four arms, and the other three over the centre. The flowers and beads seem also to have been originally arranged symmetrically. An example of this symbolical use of the *svastika* was found in the centre of the *stûpa* at Pedda Ganjām.

"At a depth of 17 feet, was another black stone, on the north side of the well, and, like the first, sunk a foot into the wall. It is roughly triangular in form, with rounded corners, and measures about 2 feet across, by 10 inches thick. On the top is a circle, $7\frac{1}{2}$ inches in diameter, raised half an inch. The under-side is smooth with a circular hollow 12 inches in diameter and half an inch deep. The circle is filled in with an inscription in 18 lines (No. iii, p. 327), while around it, are two others in two lines (Nos. iv and v, p. 328). All had the letters filled in with white. Below it was a stone, roughly rectangular, with rounded corners. In it is a circular cavity $6\frac{1}{2}$ inches deep, $7\frac{1}{2}$ inches in diameter at the top and 4 at the bottom. Round the top is a raised rim $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches broad; and on the upper surface, round the hole, are inscriptions in two lines (Nos. vi and vii, p. 328). The characters are the same as those of the inscription first described. The letters were filled with *chunam*, evidently that they might show distinctly in the black stone. The cavity was nearly filled with earth and had no inner stone casket. In it was a crystal phial $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches in diameter by $2\frac{3}{4}$ inches high. Its lid is moulded like a *dāgaba*. The top and bottom were lying separate and filled with earth. There was no sign of a relic inside. Mixed with the earth in the stone cavity were,—164 lotus leaves and buds, 2 circular flowers, a *trishūla*, and a three-armed figure like a *svastika*,—all in gold leaf; 2 gold stems for the lotus flowers—one of them attached; 6 gold beads; and 1 small coiled gold ring. The lotus leaves (or petals) are of different sizes, having been fitted inside each other as in the flower itself. The beads represent the opening buds. These articles weigh collectively close on $1\frac{1}{2}$ tolas (315 grs.). Also, two pearls; 1 garnet; six coral beads and one bit of the same material; 1 slightly blue, flat, oval, crystal bead; one pointed, oval, white crystal bead; and two green tinged, flat, hexagonal beryl drops: one is $\frac{1}{4}$ and the other $\frac{3}{8}$ inch in length; a number of bits of corroded copper leaf, including lotus flowers, stems, a miniature umbrella, and one or more thin sheets of silver folded flat into about eight thicknesses. The metal of the latter is much corroded and extremely brittle: it will be impossible to unfold the sheets. One side is broken but the fragments remain. The largest piece measures 2 inches by $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches, and shows some letters or symbols pricked on with a metal point.

"At a depth of 18 feet another black stone lay sunk a foot into the wall on the east side of the shaft. It is an irregular circular stone measuring about 2 feet 3 inches across, by 10 inches thick. On its under-surface is a circular space sunk half an inch with an inscription in eight lines (No. viii, p. 328). The letters were whitened. This stone lay on the top of another, roughly square in shape, and measuring 2 feet 5 inches by 2 feet 3 inches by 11 inches thick. On the upper side is a circular cavity $5\frac{1}{2}$ inches deep

7½ inches broad at the top and 5 inches at the bottom. On the top is a rim 2½ inches broad which fits into the hollow in the upper stone. On the upper surface of the stone, round the rim, is an inscription in one line, with letters whitened (No. ix, p. 329).

"The cavity was nearly filled with earth. In it were: 1 crystal phial of the shape of that found inside the second stone receptacle but slightly larger. It measures 2½ inches in diameter by 3 inches high. The two pieces were filled with earth and lay apart.

"Close to the phial, was found the actual relic casket with the relics inside. It is made of a large beryl measuring ⅞ inch in length, by ⅙ inch, by ⅜ inch. A cylindrical hole, ⅝ inch in diameter, is drilled in its axis, in which are the relics,—three small pieces of bone. The hole is closed by a small white crystal stopper with hexagonal bulbous top. The necking is covered with gold leaf, and another sheet of the same material is fixed outside on the bottom. This unique casket had been originally placed inside the crystal phial, but had been displaced probably by shaking of the stone when it was laid. There was also in the cavity:—1 amethyst bead ⅙ inch long; another smaller; and 1 yellow coloured crystal bead; 1 small hexagonal crystal drop of a slightly yellowish colour, and another flat one of white crystal; 1 bone bead; 6 pearls, and 32 seed pearls, all pierced; 30 lotus flowers, a bent two-armed figure, and a quatre-foil in gold leaf; a piece of seemingly decomposed quartz having the appearance of salt but insoluble in water; a few bits of copper, and an iron (?) cinder.

"The inscriptions are of importance, as, being found in connection with the relics, they must be contemporaneous with the foundation of the stūpa, and shew it to have been an early one."

This report by Mr. Rea is a model of accurate and careful detail such as is seldom met with in such papers, and throws all the light possible in the circumstances on the discovery of these early Bauddha inscriptions. The whole of the objects found have been delivered by Mr. Rea to the Central Museum at Madras.

The volume concludes with a paper supplementary to that given in the previous one by Professor H. Jacobi of Bonn University, completing his ingenious method of computing accurately Hindu dates found in ancient documents. The table of contents will show the list of other papers and the names of the respective contributors: to all of them my best thanks are tendered. To Dr. A. Führer, Ph.D., as assistant editor, I am deeply indebted for much valuable assistance in many ways, ever readily rendered.

JAS. BURGESS.

22, SETON PLACE, EDINBURGH:

August, 1894.

EPIGRAPHIA INDICA.

I.—JABALPUR COPPER-PLATE INSCRIPTION OF YASAĦKARNADEVA.

[A. D. 1122.]

BY PROFESSOR F. KIELHORN, PH.D., C.I.E., GÖTTINGEN.

This inscription, of which I am unable to say where¹ or by whom it was discovered, was engraved on two plates. Both plates were deposited in the Nāgpur Museum, where a Devanāgarī transcript of the whole inscription was made which seems to exist still and which will be referred to below. Subsequently the second of the two plates was either lost or stolen. But the first plate is still in the Museum; and, since the inscription even in its fragmentary state is of some importance, being the only one of the Chedi king Yaśaḥkarnadeva hitherto discovered, I edit it now from five rubbings and impressions which during the last two or three years have been kindly supplied to me by Dr. Burgess, Mr. Fleet, and Colonel J. A. Temple.²

The plate is inscribed on one side only, and measures about 1' 6½" broad by 1' high. At the bottom it has a round hole, about ⅝" in diameter, for a ring which has probably disappeared together with the missing plate. The writing has suffered a good deal from corrosion, and there are in consequence several *aksharas* which cannot be read with absolute certainty. But, fortunately, everything of historical importance is clear and distinct, and there is no doubt about the purport of any part of the inscription. The size of the letters is between ⅜" and 7⁄16". The characters are Nāgarī, and the language is Sanskrit. Excepting the introductory *om om namo Vrahmaṇe* and a few words at the end, the inscription is in verse. The total number of verses is 24, of which 16 occur also in the Kumbhī copper-plate inscription of Gosaladevī.³ As regards orthography, the letter *b*

¹ I call the plate the Jabalpur plate, because it is so called by Sir A. Cunningham. The district of 'Jāvalipattana or Jāuli-patan' was apparently mentioned in the lost portion of the inscription. See the references in note 2, below.

² See Grant's *Gazetteer of the Central Provinces*, 2nd edition, Introduction, pp. li and lii; and Sir A. Cunningham's *Archæological Survey of India*, vol. IX, pp. 87 and 88. Several of the statements which have been made regarding this inscription, on the basis of the Nāgpur Museum transcript, are very incorrect. Thus, according to one account, the inscription is one of Karnadeva, according to another it belongs to Gayakarna, and the transcript is said to read *Śrī-maharaja-Karna*; but there can be no doubt that the inscription belongs to Yaśaḥkarna and that, what the copyist had before him in the now lost plate, was *Śrīmad-Yaśaḥkarna*. Karnadeva is said to have built a fort named Karna Meru from which flowed the river Karnaṇatī; in reality Karna built a temple at Banāras, which the poet describes as Karna's Meru, and he founded the town of Karnaṇatī. The same Karna is said to have conquered Bhīmeśvara, king of Andhra, who is identified with Bhīma II, one of the eastern Chālukyas. What the inscription really says is, that Yaśaḥkarna defeated the king of Andhra, and that he subsequently presented the holy Bhīmeśvara (or Śiva) with many ornaments. [See page 7, note 48.—E. H.]

³ Edited and translated in the *Journal Asiatic Society of Bengal*, vol. VIII, pp. 483—495; and re-edited by Dr. F. E. Hall, *ib.*, vol. XXXI, pp. 116—123. It will be seen that the present inscription, in verses 3, 5, 8, 12, 14, and 20, furnishes decidedly better readings than those given by Dr. Hall, and that in verse 21 it supplies certain words which have been either omitted or given quite wrongly in the published versions of the Kumbhī inscription.

is throughout denoted by the sign for *v*, and the dental sibilant is frequently employed instead of the palatal; besides, *ś* is used for *s* in the word *śārdḍham*, in line 21, and *j* for *y* in *trijāmā*, in line 15.

The metrical portion of the inscription, after mentioning, like the Kumbhī and other Chedi inscriptions, a number of divine and semi-divine beings, gives the usual genealogy of the Kalachuri (or Chedi) kings of Tripurī, from Yuvarājadeva down to the reigning king Yaśaḥkarnadeva (Yuvarājadeva; Kokalla; Gāṅgeyadeva, also called Vikramāditya; Karnadeva, who married the Hūṇa princess Āvalladevī; and Yaśaḥkarnadeva). And, in addition to the well-known facts that Gāṅgeyadeva with his hundred wives obtained final salvation at Prayāga, and that Karnadeva founded the town of Karṇāvati, it only records (in verse 13) that Karṇa also built a magnificent temple at Kāsi or Benares, where evidently verse 13 was composed; and (in verse 23) that Yaśaḥkarnadeva defeated the ruler of Andhra, in the vicinity of the river Godāvarī.

Of the prose portion of the inscription only a few words remain. But from these words—‘and this...*Paramabhaṭṭāraka*, *Mahārājādhirāja*, and *Paramesvara*, who [meditates on] the feet of the illustrious Vāmadeva,’—it is clear that the inscription must have recorded a grant made by, or during the reign of, the king who in the metrical portion is mentioned last, *i.e.*, Yaśaḥkarnadeva. And it is fortunate that the Nāgpur Museum transcript, inaccurate as it is in other respects, enables us to ascertain the time when this grant was made, in my opinion, with certainty. We know that Yaśaḥkarnadeva was succeeded by his son Gayakarnadeva, and we possess an inscription of this Gayakarnadeva⁴ which must have been put up towards the end of his reign and which bears a date corresponding to the 17th June, A. D. 1151. Yaśaḥkarnadeva would therefore have ceased to reign some time before, and probably at such a distance from, A. D. 1151, as would suit the relation to each other of father and son. Now according to the Nāgpur Museum transcript of the present inscription⁵ the grant recorded in it was made ‘at the time of the Makara-samkrānti, on Monday, the 10th of the waning moon of Māgha.’ And during the sixty years preceding A. D. 1151, the only year which fulfils these conditions is A. D. 1122. For in that year⁶ the 10th of the dark half of Māgha fell by the *pūrṇimānta* scheme on Monday, the 25th December, when the 10th *tithi* of the dark half ended 8 h. 39 m. after mean sunrise; and in the same year the Makara-samkrānti took place 15 minutes before mean sunrise of, or for religious purposes on, the same Monday. And I have no doubt that Monday, the 25th December A.D. 1122, corresponding, with my epoch of the Chedi era, to Māgha-badi 10 of Chedi-samvat 874, is the true date of the grant, and that this is a reliable date for Yaśaḥkarnadeva, whose reign probably ended shortly afterwards.

⁴ Compare *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVII, p. 225.

⁵ See *ib.*, vol. XVIII, p. 210.

⁶ See Sir A. Cunningham's *Archæological Survey of India*, vol. IX, p. 88.

⁷ See *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVII, p. 218. After I had made the necessary calculations regarding the above date for my paper on the epoch of the Chedi era and found the above result, I learnt from Mr. Fleet that, according to Mr. Sh. B. Dikshit's calculations, in the century Śaka-samvat 1000 to 1100 (A. D. 1078–1178) the only year in which the Makara-samkrānti occurred on a Monday, which was the 10th lunar or solar day of the month Māgha, was Śaka-samvat 1044 expired (A. D. 1122–23).

TEXT.⁸

- L. 1. श्री⁹ [॥^x] श्री नमो ब्र(व)ह्मणे ॥
जयति जलजनाभस्तस्मै नाभीसरोजं जयति जयति तस्माज्जातवानज(ज)सूतिः ॥(1)
अथ जयति स तस्मापत्यमत्रिस्तदक्षस्तदनु जयति जन्म प्राप्तवा-
2. नखिवन्धुः¹⁰ ॥¹¹—[1].
अथ वो(वी)धनमादिराजपुत्रं गृहजामातरमज्जवाध्ववस्य¹² ।
तनयं जनयां(व)भूव राजा गगनाभोगतडागराजहंसः ॥¹³—[2].
पुत्रं पुरुवरसमौरसमाप सू-
3. तुर्दवस्य सप्तजलरामि(शि)रसायनस्य ।
आसीदनन्यममभाग्यशतोपभोग्या यस्योर्व्वसी(शी) च सुकलत्रमिहोर्व्वरा च ॥¹⁴—[3].
अ[त्रा]न्वये किल शताधिकमस्मिन्धयूपोपरुहयमुनो-
4. कविचित्तकीर्त्तिः ॥(1)
समाध्वि(व्)रत्नरस(श)नाभरणाभिरामविस्त्रं(श्वं)भ[रा]सु(श)भरतो भरतो व(व)भूव ॥ —[4].
हेलागृहीतपुनरुक्तसमस्तस(श)स्त्रो गोत्रे जयत्यधिकमस्य स कार्त्तवीर्यः ॥(1)
अ-
5. त्वेव हेहयनृपान्वयपूर्व्वपुंसि राजिति नाम स(श)शलक्ष्मणि चक्षमे यः ॥ —[5].
स हिमाचल इव कलशुरिवंस(श)मस्त क्षमाभृतां भर्त्ता [1^x]
मुक्तामणिभिरिवामलवृत्तैः पूतं महीप-
6. तिभिः ॥¹⁵—[6].
तत्रान्वये नयवतां प्रवरो नरेन्द्रः पौरन्दरीमिव पुरीं त्रिपुरीं पुनानः ॥(1)
आसीन्मदान्यनृपगन्धगजाधि[राज]निर्माथकेसरियुवा युवराजदेवः ॥¹⁶—[7].
सिञ्चासनं नृप-
7. तिसिंहमसुथ स्तुमारुरूपवनिभर्त्तुरमात्यमुख्याः ॥(1)
कोकल्लभरणवचतुष्टयवीचिसंघसंघट्टरुहचतुरङ्गचमूपचारं ॥ —[8].
इन्दुप्रभां निन्दति हारगुच्छं जुगुप्सते
8. चंदनमाक्षिपन्ती [1]
यत्र प्रभौ दूरतरं प्रयाते वियोगिनीव प्रतिभाति कीर्त्तिः ॥¹⁷—[9].
मरकतमणिपट्टप्राढवक्षाः स्मिताक्षी¹⁸ नगरपरिघदैर्घी(र्घ्यं) लंघय[न्दो]र्घ्येन ।
[शिर]सि
9. कुलिस(श)पातो वैरिणां वीरलक्ष्मीपतिरभवदपत्यं यस्य गाङ्गेयदेवः ॥¹⁹—[10].
सवीरसिंहासनमौलिर[त्नं] स विक्रमादित्य इति प्रसिद्धः ।
य[स्माद]कस्मादप[वर्णं ?]-

⁸ From impressions supplied to me by the Editor and by Mr. Fleet.

⁹ Expressed by a symbol.

¹⁰ Read नखिवन्धुः.

¹¹ Metre, Mālinī.

¹² Read नज्जवाध्ववस्य.

¹³ Metre, Aupachchandasika.

¹⁴ Metre of verses 3—5, Vasantatilakā.

¹⁵ Metre, Āryā.

¹⁶ Metre of verses 7 and 8, Vasantatilakā. As the noun *nirmātha* does not seem to occur anywhere else, I suspect it to have been put wrongly for *nirmāthī* (*nirmāthin*).

¹⁷ Metre, Upajāti.

¹⁸ This word is quite clear in the rubbings.

¹⁹ Metre, Mālinī.

- L^o 10. मिच्छन्नकु[च्छ]ल[ः][कुं खजि?]तां व(ब)भार ।(॥)²⁰—[11].
 प्राप्ते प्रयागवटमूलनिवेश(श)व(ब)न्धौ सार्धं शतेन गृह्णीयोभिरमुत्र सुति ।
 पुत्रोऽस्य खड्गदलि[तारि]करोन्द्रकुम्भसुताफलैः
11. स्म²¹ककुभोर्धति कर्णदेवः ॥²²—[12].
 कनकसि(शि)खरवेष्टैजयन्तीसमीरग्वपितग[ग]नखेलस्थेचरोचक्रखे[दः] ॥(१)
 किमपरमिह काष्ठां(श्यां) य[स्य] दुग्धाब्धि(ब्धि)वीचीवल[यव(ब)?]-
12. हल[कीर्त्तः] कीर्त्तनं कर्णमेवः ॥²³—[13].
 भयं धाम स्ने(त्रे)यसो वेदविद्यावल्लीकंदः स्वःसवन्धाः किरोटं [।^x]
 ब्र(ब्र)ह्मसंभो येन कर्णावतीति प्रत्य[ष्टापि] स्मातलत्र(ब्र)ह्मलो[कः]
13. ॥²⁴—[14].
 भजनि कलचुरीणां स्वामिना तेन हृणान्वयजलनिधिलक्ष्म्यां श्रीमदावल्लदेव्यां ॥(१)
 शशधुदयस(श)ङ्गाचुब्ध(ब्ध)दुग्धाब्धि(ब्धि)वेलासहचरितयस(श);श्रीः श्रीयस(श);कर्ण-
14. देवः ॥²⁵—[15].
 [चंद्रार्कदीप]वति पर्वतराजपूरणकुम्भावभासिनि महा[ब्धि(ब्धि)]चतुष्कमध्ये[।^x]
 चक्रे पुरोहितपुर[स्कृ]तिपूत[कर्म] धर्मात्मनोऽस्य हि पितैव महाभिषेकं ॥²⁶—[16].
 न
15. खलु स[मदगो]ष्ठीपक्षपातस्य पात्रं ।²⁷न खलु कलुषचर्याकज्जलो[ज्ञावकश्च?] ॥(१)
 कलयति कलिनमन्युह्रमं यस्त्रिजा(या)मातमसि जयति जम्बू(ज्बू)द्वीपरत्नप्रदीपः ॥²⁸—[17].
 चिन्ता-
16. मणि[कृष्ण?]सु(श)क्तियु[ग्म]क्रोडे स्याद्यदि कामधेनुदुग्धं [।^x]
 दृष्टे(श्रे)त दृष्टोस्तस्य दातुः सादृश्यं(श्रं) [ध]वलाक्षणेक्षणस्य । (॥)²⁹—[18].
 यः ककुप्कुञ्जरालानस्तंभसत्र(ब्र)ह्मचारिणः ।
17. [भासा(शा)न्ते]षु जयस्तन्मानुदस्तंभयदुश्चकैः ॥³⁰—[19].
 यो ब्र(ब्र)ह्मणां पाणिषु पंचषाणि दाता³¹निधत्ते पयसः पृषन्ति [।^x]
 तैरेव तृष्णामवधूय ते च रत्नाकरपि प्रययन्धव[ज्ञां ॥]³²—[20].
18. महीभर्ता महादानैस्तैस्तुलापुरुषादिभिः [।]
 गरिष्ठा [मि]रुत्थर्यं कृतार्थयति योर्यिनः । (॥)³³—[21].
 स्वर्गाराजगजदन्तहवीनि क्षीरनीरनिधिसं(श)खसु(श)चीनि ।
 सा(शा)ङ्गि-
19. [विष?]फणिकंशुकाभांसि स्तोततां दधति यस्य यसां(शां)सि ॥³⁴—[22].
 चन्द्राधीस(श)मन्मदोर्विलसितं स्वच्छन्दसुच्छिन्दता
 येनाभ्यर्च्यत भूरिभिः स भगवान्भीमिस्त्र(श)रो

²⁰ Metre, Upajāti.

²¹ This word is quite clear in the rubbing.

²² Metre, Vasantatilakā.

²³ Metre, Mālinī.

²⁴ Metre, Śālinī.

²⁵ Metre, Mālinī.

²⁶ Metre, Vasantatilakā.

²⁷ This sign of punctuation is superfluous.

²⁸ Metre, Mālinī.

²⁹ Metre, Bhadravirāj. The third Pāda wants one short syllable, between *drīṣṭas* and *tasya*. I would suggest reading *taḍḍasya*.

³⁰ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

³¹ This is quite clear in the rubbing.

³² Metre, Indravajrā.

³³ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

³⁴ Metre, Svāgatā.

L. 20.

[भूष]णैः ॥(1)

यस्या[व]र्ण[यदात्त?]नृत्यलहरीद्रवम्लिगीदावरी

[वीर्याशु?]अदहंसनादमधुरैः स्रोतःस्वरैः सप्तभिः ॥(॥)³⁶—[23].

कुर्वन्नाहीं त्रा(त्रा)घ्नणसा-

21.

दरिस्तत्रनिव(व)र्णः [1^x]शा(सा)र्द्ध परसु(श)रामेण यः स्वर्धामधिरोहति ॥³⁷—[24].

स च परमभट्टारकमहाराजाधिराजपरमस्व(स्व)रश्चीवामदेवपा-

.

TRANSLATION.

Om !

Om ! Adoration to Brahman !

(Verse 1.) Glorious is (*the god*) whose navel is a lotus, glorious is the lotus which is his navel, (*and*) glorious is (*the god*) born from that lotus ! Glorious also is his offspring Atri, and glorious is the friend of the ocean who took his birth from Atri's eye!³⁷

(2.) Now the king³⁸ who is the swan in the lake of the expanse of heaven begat as his offspring Bodhana, the son of (*that*) primeval king (*and*) son-in-law in the house of the friend of lotuses.

(3.) This son of the god³⁹ who is the elixir produced from the seven seas obtained, as the son of his own body, Purûravas, who had both Urvasî and the earth here for his faithful wives, to be enjoyed by him with their hundreds of unrivalled blessings.

(4.) In this family forsooth was born Bharata, whose pure fame is proclaimed by the Yamunâ, hemmed in by more than hundred posts of horse-sacrifices (*offered by him*),—Bharata, who delighted in the welfare of the earth, made lovely, as by a pearl-ornament, by the girdle of the seven seas.

(5.) Highly glorious is in his family that Kârtavîrya who, though he had no need of them, wielded with ease every weapon, (*and*) who allowed the title of king⁴⁰ only to the Moon, the ancestor of the family of these Haihaya princes.

(6.) Resembling the Himâlaya, the lord of mountains, that lord of princes begat the Kalachuri race which is purified by rulers of spotless conduct, as with pure round pearls.

(7.) In this family was a prince, foremost of the prudent, who purified the town of Tripurî so that it was like Indra's city,—Yuvârâjadeva, who destroyed the lords of princes blinded by passion, as a young lion does powerful infuriated elephants.

(8.) The chief ministers of that ruler of the earth placed on the throne his son Kokalla, a lion-like prince, the progress of whose armies, comprising elephants, chariots, horsemen and soldiers on foot,⁴¹ was checked (*only*) by their encountering the masses of waves of the four oceans.

³⁶ Metre, Śārdūlavikrāṇita.³⁷ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).³⁸ This first verse glorifies the god Viṣṇu, his navel, the god Brahman, Atri, and the Moon. Further on we are told that the Moon's son Bodhana (or Budha, i.e., Mercury) married (Ilā or Iḍā) a daughter of the Sun (or Mitra-Varuna), and that their son was Purûravas. 'The friend of the ocean' is the moon; 'the friend of lotuses,' the sun.³⁹ i.e., the moon.⁴⁰ i.e., again the moon, under the name of Soma confounded with the Soma plant.⁴¹ For the same idea, compare *ante*, vol. I, p. 313, verse 16. Dr. F. E. Hall's reading *chakrame* in the Kumbhî copper-plate (instead of *chakshame*) yields no sense.⁴² The original has only the word *chaturāṅga*.

(V. 9.) That lord having gone far away, his fame shows like a forsaken woman ; deriding white sandal, it reproves the lustre of the moon, and is a reproach to a string of pearls.

(10.) His son was Gāṅgeyadeva, a thunderbolt falling on the heads of enemies (*and*) the lord of the fortune of heroes, with a chest broad like an emerald tablet, (*and*) with smiling eyes, (*and*) with his two arms surpassing the length of a city bar.

(11.) The crest-jewel of crowned heads, he has become famous under the name of Vikramāditya ; for, striving after final beatitude (*and*) free from wicked deceit, he ruled the earth which he had himself conquered all of a sudden.

(12.) When, fond of residing at the foot of the holy fig tree of Prayāga, he had found salvation there together with his hundred wives, his son Karṇadeva honoured the quarters with the pearls from the frontal globes of the majestic elephants of his enemies, cleft by his sword.

(13.) Of him whose great fame is like the circle of waves of the milky ocean, need we say more than that here, at Kāśī, there is a temple (*erected by him*), Karṇa's *Meru*,⁴² (*so lofty*) that the wind of the flags which wave from its golden spires lessens the fatigue of the damsels of heaven, when playing in the sky ?

(14.) He set up the pillar of piety, called Karṇavati, the foremost abode of bliss, the root of the creeping plant—knowledge of the Vedas, the diadem of the stream of heaven, the world of Brahman on the surface of the earth.

(15.) That lord of the Kalachuris begat on the illustrious Āvalladevi, (*another*) goddess of fortune produced from the ocean of the Hūṇa family, the illustrious Yaśaḥ-karṇadeva, the glory of whose fame is co-extensive with the billows of the milky ocean which rose (*when he arose*), mistaking him to be the rising moon.

(16.) Of this law-abiding (*son*) the father, whose acts were purified by the respect which he paid to the family priests, performed himself the great inauguration ceremony in the midst of the four great oceans, made resplendent, as by a full jar, by the king of mountains, and illumined by the moon and the sun.⁴³

(17.) Glorious is that jewel-lamp⁴⁴ of Jambūdvīpa which sends forth its rays in the darkness of night of the Kali age, never filled with partiality for the assemblies of the arrogant, and never displaying the lampblack—base conduct.

(18.) If the milk of the cow of plenty were (*put*) within the two black shells of the gem which grants every desire, then there would be seen a likeness with the eyes of that bountiful (*king*) whose eyes are both white and red.⁴⁵

(19.) He erected high pillars of victory near the confines of the regions, as companions of the posts to which the elephants of the quarters are fastened.

(20.) This bountiful (*lord*) puts five or six drops of water into the hands of the

⁴² *Meru* denotes a particular kind of temple (hexagonal, with twelve stories, variegated windows, and four entrances ; *Bṛhat-samhitā*, lvi, 20), and the word is chosen here because it is also the name of the well-known fabulous mountain of enormous height, the summit of which is the residence of Brahman. A similar temple is said to have been built by Gāṅgeyadeva ; see v. 9 of the next inscription.

⁴³ At an ordinary *abhisheka* water from all the oceans, golden jars, etc., should be used. To show the magnificence of Yaśaḥkarṇa's *abhisheka*, the poet says that the ceremony here was performed in the midst of the four oceans, and that the Himālaya took the place of the ordinary golden jars, etc. ; and he implies that Y. was inaugurated in the sovereignty of the whole earth, bounded by the oceans.

⁴⁴ *i.e.*, a lamp in which jewels give out light. Jambūdvīpa is the central division of the world, including India.

⁴⁵ The general meaning of this verse is that the king possesses the properties of both the fabulous cow of plenty and the gem which grants every desire.

Brāhmans⁴⁶; and they with these already quench their thirst, and afterwards show their contempt even for the ocean.⁴⁷

(V. 21.) In weight (*like the mountain*) Meru, this ruler of the earth exceedingly gratifies suppliants by bestowing on them (*gold*) equal to his own weight and by other great gifts.

(22.) Bright like the tusks of the elephant of the king of heaven, pure like the shells of the ocean of milk, (*and*) lustrous like the snake's skin in which Vishṇu is clad, his fame has become superabundant.

(23.) Extirpating with ease the ruler of Andhra (*even though*) the play of (*that king's*) arms disclosed no flaw, he revered the holy Bhīmeśvara⁴⁸ with many ornaments. The Godāvari, with her waves, trees and creeping plants dancing, has sung his deeds of valour with the seven notes of her stream, sweet like the cries of the intoxicated flamingo.

(24.) Crushing the power of his enemies and making over the earth to the Brāhmans, he engages in a course of rivalry with Paraśurāma.

And this . . . the *Paramabhaṭṭāraka*, *Mahārājādhirāja*, and *Parameśvara*, who [meditates on] the feet of the illustrious Vāmadeva

II.—BHERA-GHAT STONE INSCRIPTION OF THE QUEEN ALHANADEVI.

THE [CHEDI] YEAR 907.

BY PROFESSOR F. KIELHORN, PH.D., C.I.E., GÖTTINGEN.

The stone which bears this inscription was procured by Dr. F. E. Hall, in 1857, at Bhera-Ghāt on the Narmadā, in the Jabalpur district of the Central Provinces, and subsequently presented by him to the American Oriental Society in whose Cabinet, at New Haven, it is now deposited. It is a plain block of greenstone, of a soft texture and easily cut, 2' 9½" broad by 1' 10½" high.¹ The inscription was first edited, with an English translation and notes, by Dr. Hall in the *Journal Am. Or. Soc.*, vol. VI, pp. 499-532; and Dr. Hall's text was afterwards reprinted in Roman characters, with a photozincograph of the inscription, in Dr. Burgess' *Memoranda, Archæol. Survey of Western India*, No. 10, pp. 107-9; and his translation in Sir A. Cunningham's *Archæol. Survey of India*, vol. IX, pp. 91-94. I now re-edit the inscription from two excellent impressions and a rubbing kindly prepared for me, at Professor Lanman's request, by Mr. Herbert C. Tohwan, of Yale University, New Haven.²

The inscription contains 29 lines of writing which cover a space of about 2' 7½" broad by 1' 9" high. With the exception of two *aksharas* each at the end of lines 11 and 12, which are almost entirely broken away, and about half a dozen other damaged *aksharas*,

⁴⁶ viz., at the time when he is making donations to them.

⁴⁷ The word translated by 'ocean' means originally 'a mine of precious stones.'

⁴⁸ i.e., the god Jīva. [I take this to refer to the Bhīmeśvara temple at Drākshatāma in the Godāvari district.—E. H.]

¹ See the *Journal Am. Or. Soc.*, vol. VI, pp. 499 and 534; and C. Giant's *Gazetteer of the Central Provinces*, 2nd ed., p. 73.

² It is hardly necessary to say that Dr. Hall's text and translation were prepared with his usual care and scholarship. Nevertheless, a few slight errors of his were pointed out already by the Committee of Publication of the American Oriental Society while his paper was passing through the press; and I have had occasion to differ still further from Dr. Hall, as regards both the text and the translation.

the writing is well preserved and may be read with certainty throughout. The size of the letters is between $\frac{1}{16}$ and $\frac{1}{10}$ ". The characters are Nāgarī, carefully drawn and engraved; and they include the sign of the *jihvāmūliya* in *mahīpālah*=*Karṇah*, in line 9, and that of the *upadhmanīya* in *Hūnah*=*praharsham*, in line 10. The language is Sanskrit, and excepting the introductory *om om namaḥ Śivāya* and the date at the end, the inscription is in verse.³ The language is not quite free from mistakes.⁴ For, in line 10, we find the perfect form *chakape*, instead of *chakampe* (which would not have suited the metre), and, in line 25, the imperative *vyadhattām*, possibly an error of the writer or engraver, instead of *vidhattām* which would suit the metre equally well. Besides we have, in line 9, the wrong abstract noun *chaṇḍimatā*, and, in line 2, the adjective *valgu*, used in the sense of the participle *valgat*. And the rules of *sandhi* have not been observed in *kim=ra*, line 2, and in *yushmān=śarīraiḥ*, line 3. As regards orthography, the consonant *b* is seven times denoted by its own proper sign, but just as often by the sign for *v*. Thus, in lines 7 and 10 we read *bibhrat*, but in line 6 *vibhrat*; in line 16 *buddher*, but in line 1 *vuddhim*, &c. The sibilants are generally employed each in its proper place; but in line 14 we have *śaṭāla*, instead of *saṭāla* as the word is correctly written in line 27. Instead of the sign of *anusvāra* the dental *n* has been wrongly employed in the word *sinha* (in the proper names *Vairisinka*, *Vijayasinha*, &c., in lines 16, 17, 21-23, and 29), in *vanśa*, line 20, and in *sudhānśuḥ*, line 18 (properly written *svdhānśu* in line 4); and even at the end of a word before an initial sibilant, in *sansatsu*, line 5, and *etān=sūriḥ*, line 28. And, generally, it may be noted that out of about 60 cases where the use of the *anusvāra* would have been optional, at the end of a word before a following initial consonant, and where it would now be usually employed throughout, it has been actually employed only about 25 times, while in the rest of the cases the nasal of the class to which the following consonant belongs has been used instead; and that the sign of *anusvāra* never occurs, instead of the sign for *m*, at the end of a verse or half-verse.

The inscription was composed by Śaśidhara, a younger son of Dharaṇīdhara⁵ and grandson of Maheśvara, of the Mauna *gotra*; written on the stone by his elder brother Prithvīdhara; and engraved by Mahīdhara, a son of the artisan Bālasimha (verses 32-35 and 37). And its object is, to record that the queen Alhaṇadevī, the wife (or rather, widow) of the king Gayakarnadeva, and mother of the reigning king Narasimhadeva and his younger brother Jayasimhadeva, founded a Śiva temple, with a *maṭha* or cloister, a hall of study, and gardens attached to it; that, probably for the maintenance of these buildings and their occupants, she assigned the income from the two villages of Nāmauṇḍī, in the Jāulī *pattalā*, and of Makarapāṭaka, on the right bank of the Narmadā in the land adjoining the hills; and that the management of the whole establishment, thus founded by the queen, was entrusted in the first instance to a Pāsupata ascetic, named Rudrarāśī, of Lāṭa lineage (vv. 27-31). The inscription also records (in verse 36) that all the buildings, etc., aforesaid, at one of which the inscription may be supposed to have been put up, were designed or executed by the architect Pīthe.

³ The second half of vers. 20 grossly offends against a well-known metrical rule.

⁴ Similar mistakes are found in other Chedi inscriptions of the same period.

⁵ Dharaṇīdhara, Prithvīdhara and Mahīdhara are mentioned also in the Tewar stone inscription of Gayākarnadeva, of the Chedi year 902. Compare *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVIII, p. 210, note 2

By way of introduction the inscription, after the words "om om, adoration to Śiva!", and six verses invoking the blessings of Śiva, Gaṇeśa, and Sarasvatī, furnishes the following meagre account of the royal family into which Alhaṇadevī had married, and of her own descent:—

From a prince of the lunar family, named Arjuna (or Sahasrārjuna, v. 7), was descended the king Kokalladeva (v. 8). From him sprang GAṅgeyadeva (vv. 9-10); and his son was king Karna who is represented as having held in check the Pāṇḍyas, Muralas, Kuṅgas, Vaṅgas, Kaliṅgas, Kīras, and Hūṇas (vv. 11-13). Karna's son was Yaśaḥkarna, said to have become famous by devastating Champāraṇya (v. 14); and his son again was Gayakarna (vv. 15-16). Gayakarna married Alhaṇadevī, a daughter of the king Vijayasimha (a son of Vairisimha who was a son of the prince Hamsapāla, a descendant of the son of Gobhila or Gobhilaputra,) and his wife Śyāmaladevī, a daughter of the king Udayāditya of Mālava (vv. 17-23). And Alhaṇadevī bore to Gayakarna two sons, Narasimhadeva, who in the inscription is represented as the reigning king, and his younger brother Jayasimhadeva (vv. 24-26). Nearly all this is stated also in the Karanbel stone inscription of Jayasimhadeva, and I may therefore, for the present, refer the reader to my remarks on that inscription, in the *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVIII, p. 215.

Our inscription is dated, at the end of the last line, in the year 907, on Sunday, the 11th of the bright half of Mārgaśīrsha,—a date which must of course be referred to the Kalachuri or Chedi era. When writing on the epoch of that era, in the *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVII, p. 216, I felt inclined to accept Mr. Fleet's suggestion, based on an examination of the photozincograph of this inscription in No. 10 of the *Archæol. Survey of Western India* which alone was then available, that the figures for the day of this date were intended to be 10 (and not 11). But now my excellent impressions have shown me that the figures after all are 11, and that they are quite distinct and cannot be read in any other way. And accordingly the probabilities regarding the corresponding European date are as follows. With my epoch of the Kalachuri era, as to the general correctness of which there can now be hardly any doubt, and according to the way in which the other Kalachuri dates yield satisfactory results, our date should fall in A.D. 1155. But in A.D. 1155 the 11th *tithi* of the bright half of Mārgaśīrsha commenced 2 h. 12 m. after mean sunrise of Sunday, the 6th November, and ended 0 h. 43 m. after mean sunrise of Monday, the 7th November, apparently causing sudi 11 to correspond, civilly, to the Monday. In the following year, A.D. 1156, on the other hand, the same 11th *tithi* of the bright half of Mārgaśīrsha ended 1 h. 46 m. after mean sunrise of Sunday, the 25th November, and consequently, in that year, sudi 11 was, civilly, really a Sunday. Now, that the day intended by the original date is either Sunday, the 6th November A.D. 1155, or Sunday, the 25th November A.D. 1156, appears to me certain; but I am not at present prepared to say confidently which of these two Sundays is the true date. Supposing all the other Kalachuri dates to cite current years, the present date might be taken to quote, exception *ly*, an expired year, and in that case its equivalent would be Sunday, the 25th November A.D. 1156. But a more careful examination of the practice of other eras has shown that it is rather the *current* years which are quoted exceptionally. And assuming that, what is true of other eras, must hold good also of the Kalachuri era, one would rather be inclined to look upon Sunday, the 6th November A.D. 1155, as the proper equivalent of the date, and to assume that the *tithi*, in this instance,

was joined with the weekday on which it commenced, and which was almost entirely filled by it.

The two villages Nāmaūṇḍī and Makarapāṭaka, mentioned in the inscription, I am unable to identify. The Jāulī *pattalā*, which apparently was mentioned also in the lost portion of Yaśaḥkarna's copper-plate,⁶ must have been the country around Jabalpur.

TEXT.⁷

- L. 1 श्री⁸[॥⁹] श्री नमः शिवाय ॥
 कल्याणितामविकलां भवतां तनोतु भाले कलानिधिकां शशिशेखरस्य ॥(१)
 एवैव या प्रमथसार्धगतां द्वितीयावु(बु)द्धिदोषविरहेषि करोति नित्यम् ॥¹⁰—[1].
- 2 किं माताः कुमुदस्य किं शशिकलाः किन्मर्गकर्मकीकुराः¹⁰
 किम्वा¹¹कञ्चुकिाकञ्चुकाः किमयवा भूयुद्गमा भास्वमी ।
 इत्यन्नाकितकिताः शिवशिरःसञ्चारिनाकापगा-
 रिङ्गहलुतरङ्गभङ्गिततयः पुष्पप्र-
3. पाः पान्तु वः ॥¹²—[2].
 भूतं सहिभु यहिभाति भुवनं यहिभमायज्जग-
 नेत्रानन्दकरं धराश्रयरसायन्यत्वहेतुष यत् ।
 यद्वन्धोचुरधाम यच्च यजते शीतं यदेकान्ततः
 सस्ययी यदरूपमेभिरवताद्युष्माण्य(उग्र)रीरैः
4. शिवः ॥ —[3].
 शक्तिहेतिपरप्रीतिहेतुचन्द्रकचर्चितः ।
 ताण्डवाडव(ब)रः कुर्यान्वीलकण्ठः प्रियाणि वः ॥¹³—[4].
 विघ्नौघसन्तमससंहरणाय शक्तं मुक्तं कलंककलया शकलं सुधांशोः ।
 कुन्दावदाततरदन्तमिषाहधा-
5. नः श्रेयः परन्दिशतु वः सदयं द्विपास्यः ॥¹⁴—[5].
 रूपैरनेकैर्व्यवहारजातमातन्वती पातु सरस्वती वः ।
 यज्ञेशलालित्यलवादपि स्यात्सन्सु¹⁵पुंसाङ्गरिमा गरीयान् ॥¹⁶—[6],
 गोत्रे रात्रिकरस्य भूपति-
6. रभूहि(हि)भ्रत्सहस्रं करा-
 ऋप्रत्येकमिजगन्मनोविनयने रात्रिन्दिवं जागृविः ।
 तेजोभिर्जगतीभृताम्परिभवी नाम्नाङ्गुनः संस्रते-
 र्यस्याद्याप्यधिगम्यते वसु गतकीतं च चाराश्वरम् ॥¹⁷—[7].
 तस्या-
7. न्वये समभवत्प्रथितः पृथिव्या नाथः कथाद्भुततमापि वृथा न यस्य ।
 कीकलदेव इति बिभ्रदु[दार]रूपनाम त्रिलोकसुखसंजननैकधाम ॥¹⁸—[8].
 निर्जिह्वोर्जितगर्वपर्वतभृतः प्रत्यर्थिपृथ्वी-

⁶ See Sir A. Cunningham's *Archaeol. Survey of India*, vol. IX, p. 88.

⁷ From the impressions.

⁸ Expressed by a symbol.

⁹ Metre, Vasantatilakā.

¹⁰ The sign of *anuvāda* in the last word is very faint, but it is there.

¹¹ Read चि वा.

¹² Metre of verses 2 and 3, Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

¹³ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

¹⁴ Metre, Vasantatilakā.

¹⁵ Read स्यात्सन्सु.

¹⁶ Metre, Indravajrā.

¹⁷ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

¹⁸ Metre, Vasantatilakā.

L. 8.

भुजः

प्राप्तानन्तयथा बभूव नृपतिर्गाङ्गियदेवस्ततः
पृथ्वी येन विधाय मेरुमतुलं कक्षदुमेण[रि]र्धनां
स्वर्गादूर्ध्वमधःस्त्रितापि विबुधाधारे [स]मापादिता ॥¹⁹—[9].
पुण्यामृतेन संसिक्ता शुद्धसत्त्वप्रवर्ति-

9.

[त]रा ।

यत्कीर्त्तिव्रततिः सर्व्व व्याप व्र(ब्र)ह्माण्डमण्डपम(म) ॥²⁰—[10].
तेनाजनि मङ्गीपालङ्करणः स्वर्णेन कुर्व्वता ।
पूर्णतृष्णार्णवानर्थिसार्थानर्थितकीर्त्तिना ॥ —[11].
पाण्ड्यखण्डिमतामुमोच मुरलस्तत्याज गर्व्व[य]ह²¹

10.

[कु]ङ्कः²² सङ्गतिमाजगाम चकपे²³वङ्कः कलिङ्गैः सह ।
कीरः कीरवदास पञ्चरश्महे ङ्गण७प्रहर्ष जङ्गी
यस्मिन्नाजनि शौर्यविभ्रमभरं बिभ्रत्यपूर्व्वप्रभे ॥²⁴—[12].
अस्मद्भर्त्तृपराभवेन सकला-

11.

भुंक्ते [मुव] यामसौ

तामितामनवामहै तनुतराकारामितोव स्त्रियः ।
यत्प्रत्यर्थिमहोभुजाक्षयनजैर्वायैः पयोधीन्वराधुः
स्कारान्त्रमहोर्मिभिः पुनरमी तं वर्द्ध[याच्च]-²⁵

12.

किरे ॥ —[13].

चम्पारख्यविदारणोद्गतयशःशुभांशुना भासय-
न्नाशाचक्रमवक्रभावहृदयः स्त्रापालचूडामणिः ।
तस्मात्तस्मा समाससाद विशदं श्रीमान्यशःकर्ण ह-
त्यौदार्याह[निकी]-²⁶

13.

चकार विबुधान्यः प्रेक्ष्य सर्व्वानपि ॥ —[14].

तस्मादशेषगुणरत्ननिधिरगाधाङ्गवत्सभः समभवद्भयकर्णदेवः ।
यस्य प्रतापतपनोप्यरिसुन्दरीणां शोकार्णवोदयनिदानपदं प्रपेदे ॥²⁷—[15].

14.

द्युतिजितहरितालः श्रितालकाल्यशालः पृथुतरगुणमालः शत्रुवर्गेककालः ।
विमलितरणमालः कान्तकीर्त्त्या श(च)टालः श्रिततरकरवालः सोभवद्भूमिपालः ॥²⁸—[16].
अस्ति

¹⁹ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīḍita. The *akṣhara* put in brackets in the last line of this verse is almost entirely broken away.

²⁰ Metre of verses 10 and 11, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

²¹ Of the *akṣhara* in brackets only the consonant *r* is absolutely certain. What the author meant to say, was probably *नर्वाचह*.

²² The *akṣhara* in brackets is so much damaged that only part of the letter *k* remains. Compare *Indian Antiquary*, vol. xviii, p. 217, line 10.

²³ This reading is absolutely certain. *चकपे* is grammatically incorrect, for *चकपे*.

²⁴ Metre of verses 12-14, Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

²⁵ The word *त* of this line is quite certain. Of the *akṣharas* in brackets only the first is partly visible.

²⁶ Of the *akṣharas* in these brackets only the vowel *i* of the first remains; the rest had been already supplied by Dr. Hall.

²⁷ Metre, Vasantatilakā.

²⁸ Metre, Mālinī.

- L. 15. प्रसिद्धमिह गोभिलपुत्रगोत्रस्तत्राजनिष्ट नृपतिः किल हंसपालः ।
शौर्यावसजितनिरर्गलसैन्यसंघनस्त्रीकृताखिलमिलद्रिपुचक्रवालः ॥²⁹—[17]
तस्याभवत्तनुभवः प्र-
16. शमस्तमस्तमामन्तशेखरशिरोमणिरञ्जिताङ्गे.³⁰ ।
श्रीवैरिसिंहवसुधाधिपतिर्विशुद्धवृद्धेर्निधिर्न परमर्थिजनस्य चोच्चैः ॥—[18].
स श्रीवैरिसिंहोप्यनयाद्रिपुणां कुलानि गम्भीरगुहाय-
17. ह्राणि ।
स्वयञ्च तेषामधिश्य चक्रे पुराणि दूरावजितालकानि ॥³²—[19].
तस्मादजायत ममस्तजनाभिवन्द्यसौन्दर्यशौर्यभरभङ्गुरिताहितश्रीः ।
पृथ्वीपतिर्विजयमिह³¹ इति
18. प्रवर्हमानः सदा जगति यस्य यशःसुधान्शः³² ॥³³—[20].
तस्याभवन्मालवमण्डलाधिनाथोदयादित्यसुता सुरूपा ।
शृङ्गारिणी श्यामलदेव्युदारचरित्रचित्तामणिरञ्जितश्रीः ॥³⁴—[21].
19. मनायामिव शंकरप्रणयिनी क्षीणीभृतान्नायका-
ह्रीरिण्यामिव शुभ्रभानुवनिता दक्षाग्रजानां सृजः ।
तस्मादन्धदेष्यजायत जगद्रक्षाक्षमाङ्गुपते-
रेतस्यान्निज-
20. दीर्घवन्शविशदप्रख्यताकाकृतिः ॥³⁵—[22].
विवाहविधिमाधाय गयकर्णनरेश्वरः ।
चक्रे प्रीतिस्मरामस्यां शिवायामिव शंकरः ॥³⁶—[23].
शृङ्गारशाला कलशो कलानां लावण्यमाला गु-
21. णपण्यभूमिः ।
असूत पुत्रद्वयकर्णभूपादसौ नरेश्वरसिंहदेवम्⁴⁰ ॥⁴¹—[24].
अस्य श्रीनरसिंहदेवनृपतेः³⁷ प्रोद्यन्त्यशब्दमा
दिग्भिर्त्तोर्विदधातु व(ब)न्युरसुधासम्भारगम्भा इव ।
भूर्भर्त्तारम-
22. वाप्य चैनमुचितं प्रीतिस्तथा प्राप्नुया-
त्पूर्वेषाञ्च यथा मनागपि महाक्षीणीभृतां ध्यायति ॥³⁸—[25].
अस्यानुजो विजयतां जयसिंहदेवः³⁹ सौमित्रिवप्रथमजैजुतरूपसेवः ।
यो मेघनादव(ब)हु-
23. मायमहातिकायमेन्यं द्विषामभिभवन्नह[ह] प्रहस्तः ॥⁴²—[26].
अकारयन्मन्दिरमिन्दुमौलिरिदम्नठेनाङ्गुतभूमिकेन ।

²⁹ Metre of verses 17 and 18, Vasantatilakā.

³⁰ Originally °विः or विः, but altered to °हुः. At the commencement of the next line read श्रीवैरिश्चिह°.

³¹ Read वैरिश्चिह.

³² Metre, Upendravajrā.

³³ Read °सिह.

³⁴ Read °सुधावः.

³⁵ Metre, Vasantatilakā.

³⁶ Metre, Upajāti.

³⁷ Read दीर्घवन्श.

³⁸ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīṭita.

³⁹ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

⁴⁰ Read °सिहदेवम्.

⁴¹ Metre, Upajāti.

⁴² Read श्रीनरसिह°.

⁴³ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīṭita.

⁴⁴ Read जयसिह°.

⁴⁵ Metre, Vasantatilakā.

सङ्गामुना श्रीनरसिंहदेवप्रसूरसावल्लभदेव्युदारा⁴⁶ ॥⁴⁷—[27].

व्याख्यानशा-

L. 24. लामुद्यानमालामविकलाममूम(म) ।

अकारयत्सुयं शम्भुप्रोसादालीहयन्त्रिजैः ॥⁴⁸—[28].

देवायास्मै वैद्यनाथाभिधाय प्रादाद्देवी जाडलीपत्तलायाम् ।

ग्रामन्ना नामउण्णीति सर्वादायैः⁴⁹स्त्रा-

25. ई चारुचर्चाप्रसिद्धैः ॥⁵⁰—[29].

नर्मदादक्षिणे कूल पर्वतोपत्यकाश्रये ।

तथापरमदाह्रामन्ना मकरपाटकम् ॥⁵¹—[30].

लाटान्वयः पाशुपतस्तपस्वी श्रीरुद्रराशिर्विधिवद्भक्तम्⁵² ।

स्थानस्य रक्षावि-

26. धिमस्य तावद्यावन्निमीते भुवनानि शम्भुः ॥⁵³—[31].

मौन्या(ना)न्वये⁵⁴भार्गववैतह्यसावेतसेतिप्रवरत्नयास्मै ।

महेश्वराख्याहरणीधरोभून्ना गरिम्णा यशसा श्रिया च ॥⁵⁵—[32].

कोमल-

27. कान्तिसटालिनोच्चैः स्नेहातिभारभरितेन ।

दीर्घमनोब्रदशेन त्रिभुवनदीपायितं येन ॥⁵⁶—[33].

पृथ्वीधरस्तस्य सुतः समस्तगभीरशास्त्रार्णवपारदृष्टा ।

प्रशस्तिमेतामलिखद्यदीयैहि-

28. डम्णलो शिथ्यगणैर्विजिग्ये ॥⁵⁷—[34].

एतस्यावरजस्तर्कनिष्ठातोद्भूतनैपुणः⁵⁸ ।

प्रशस्तिमकरोदेतान्मूरिः⁵⁹शशिधराभिधः ॥⁶⁰—[35].

⁶¹असूत्रयदिदं सर्वं विश्वकर्माविधानवित् ।

पीथेसमभिधः सूत्र-

29. धारः पृथ्वीम्पृथुर्यथा ॥ —[36].

सूत्रधाराश्रणीवा(वा)लसिंहसूनुर्महीधरः⁶² ।

शिलास्तथाकरोद्वर्णैर्बभस्तारकितं यथा ॥ —[37].

संवत् ८०७ मार्गसुदि ११⁶³रवौ ॥ ॥ ॥

⁴⁶ Read श्रीनरसिंह°.

⁴⁷ Metre, Upendravajrā.

⁴⁸ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

⁴⁹ This sign of *visarga* is superfluous.

⁵⁰ Metre, Śālinī.

⁵¹ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

⁵² The correct form would of course be विश्वकर्मा.

⁵³ Metre, Indravajrā.

⁵⁴ The correction may have been made already in the original.

⁵⁵ Metre, Upajāti.

⁵⁶ Metre, Āryā.

⁵⁷ Metre, Upajāti.

⁵⁸ Of the *akṣhara* तो the superscript line which turns *d* into *o* is rather faint, but it undoubtedly is there.

⁵⁹ Read °तां मूरिः.

⁶⁰ Metre of verses 35-37, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

⁶¹ Originally आसू°, altered to असू°.

⁶² Read °वाल्सिंह°.

⁶³ There is no doubt whatever about these figures.

TRANSLATION.

Om !

Om ! Adoration to Śiva !

(Verse 1.) May the moon's digit on the forehead of the Moon-crested (*god*) provide for you unstinted bliss,—(*that digit*) which, only one (*and the first*), ever makes the troop of Śiva's attendants, even in the absence of the evening-twilight, think it to be (*joined by*) the second (*digit*) !⁶⁴

(2.) May those founts of holiness, the lines of the creeping and leaping⁶⁵ tortuous waves of the river of heaven, meandering on Śiva's head, guard you,—(*those waves*) about which the celestials are doubting whether they be lotus-garlands, or lunar digits, or sprouts of righteous deeds, or serpents' skins, or (*the god's*) majesty bursting into view !

(3.) (*That body*) which, being a gross element, is all-pervading ; (*that*) by whose revolution the world comes to light ; (*that*) which gladdens the eyes of the creatures ; and (*that*) which causes the diversity of savour and other (*qualities*) residing in earth ; (*that*) which is the vast substratum of odour ; (*that*) which sacrifices ; (*that*) which is characterized by cold ; (*and that*) which, colourless, is sensible to the feel ;—may Śiva with these (*eight*) bodies⁶⁶ (*of his*) protect you !

(4.) May Nīlakaṇṭha, who fills with delight men eager to wield spear and missile, when decorated with the young moon he exults in his dance, grant whatever is dear to you !⁶⁷

(5.) May the Elephant-faced (*god*) in his mercy bestow on you supreme felicity,—who, under the guise of a tusk whiter than jasmine, bears the piece of the moon which is freed from the dark digit, able to dispel the profound darkness of crowds of obstacles !

(6.) May Sarasvatī guard you,—who by manifold forms (*of speech*) brings about all intercourse (*of men, and*) by partaking of even a small portion of whose elegance in trifles (*even*), men may secure greater weight in assemblies !—

(7.) In the Moon's family was a prince with thousand hands, day and night watching to guide one by one the minds of (*the dwellers in*) the three worlds, (*and*) by his splendour eclipsing the rulers of the world,—(*a prince*) named Arjuna, by the (*mere*) remembrance of whom⁶⁸ is recovered even now what has been lost and carried off by thieves long ago.

(8.) In his lineage was born a renowned ruler of the earth of whom even the most marvellous tale is not untrue, bearing the name Kokalladeva, an illustrious name, eminently fit to give pleasure to the three worlds.

(9.) From him sprang the prince Gāṅgeyadeva who, by vanquishing hostile rulers

⁶⁴ *Viś.*, because the first lunar digit, by itself, is altogether invisible. The noun *kalyāṇitā* is not given by the dictionaries.

⁶⁵ *Valgu*, ordinarily 'handsome, beautiful', is here used in the sense of the participle *valgat*, opposed to *riṅgat*.

⁶⁶ These eight bodies of Śiva are the ether, the sun, the moon, fire, the earth, the sacrificing priest, water, and air. It will be sufficient to refer the reader to the opening verse of Kālidāsa's *Sakuntalā*.

⁶⁷ The two compounds of the first half of the verse would be applicable also to the dancing peacock (*nīlakaṇṭha*) which causes great delight to him whose weapon is a spear (*i.e.*, to the god Kārttikeya or Skanda, also called Śaktidhara 'the spear-bearer', to whom the peacock is sacred), and the tail of which is covered with spots similar to the moon. Compare the very similar verse No. 1737 of Vallabhadeva's *Subhāṣitāvalī*, which will show that the translation of the word *chandraśya* by 'the young (or small) moon' is correct.

⁶⁸ Dr. Hall quotes a verse found by him in an extract from the *Brahmāṇḍapurāṇa*, which expresses the same idea.

supporting huge mountains of pride, secured endless fame; a tree of paradise to supplants, who made the earth, though resting below, rise beyond the heavens up to the abode of the gods, by raising (*on it*) a *Meru*⁶⁹ without equal.

(*V.* 10.) The creeping-plant of his fame, sprinkled with the nectar of his religious merit and made to grow by his stainless character, overspread the whole bower of the universe

(11.) He who, seeking good renown, so behaved to the crowds of suppliants that the ocean of their desires became replenished with gold, begat the prince Karna.

(12.) While this king, of unprecedented lustre, gave full play to his heroism, the Pāṇḍya relinquished violence,⁷⁰ the Murala gave up his arrogant bearing,⁷¹ the Kuṅga entered the path of the good, the Vaṅga trembled with the Kālīṅga, the Kīra staid at home like a parrot in the cage, (*and*) the Hūṇa left off being merry.

(13.) When the wives of hostile princes enlarged the seas with the tears streaming from their eyes, intending as it were to reduce the size of the earth, because he had it all subjected to his rule by the defeat of their husbands, those same seas again did homage to him with large waves of precious stones.

(14.) From him took his spotless birth the illustrious Yaśaḥkarna, a crest-jewel of rulers, with a heart free from guile, who illuminated the circle of the regions with the moon of his fame which had risen from the devastation of Champāranya, (*and*) who in his generosity enriched all the learned without exception, as soon as he set eyes on them.

(15.) From him, an unfathomable ocean of all excellencies, was born the favourite of the earth, Gayakarnadeva. In his case even the sun,—the sun of his prowess,—took upon itself the function⁷² of causing the rising of the sea,—the sea of sorrow of the wives of his adversaries.

(16.) That prince surpassed by his lustre yellow orpiment,⁷³ was a tree of paradise for the creeping-plant fortune, wore a broad garland of noble qualities, was the one god of death to the host of the enemies, adorned the van of battle, abounded in pleasing renown, (*and*) wielded indeed a sharp sword.—

(17.) Well known on the earth is the family of the son of Gobhila. Therein was born forsooth the prince Hamsapāla, who with the host of his valorous irresistible troops humbled the circle of all his foes combined.

(18.) He, whose feet were irradiated by the crest-jewels of the diadems of all the tributary chiefs prostrating themselves (*before him*), had a son, the lord of the earth, the illustrious Vairisimha, a treasure-house not merely of purified understanding, but also, eminently, (*one*) for suppliants.

(19.) That Vairisimha, too, drove the clans of his foes to seek the shelter of deep caverns, while he himself, occupying their towns, made these far surpass the residence of Kuvera;⁷⁴

⁶⁹ See note 42 of the preceding inscription.

⁷⁰ The abstract noun *chandimatā* of the original is incorrect; the correct form of the word is *chandiman*.

⁷¹ Properly 'his inclination to arrogance.'

⁷² This function belongs properly to the moon.

⁷³ This is described as the seed or seminal energy of Vishnu, *Haror-viryam*, (see M. Williams' *Dictionary*); and the poet, who immediately afterwards has the word *Srī*, undoubtedly was thinking of the god Hari or Vishnu. A proper rendering of this verse in which everything depends on the sound of the words employed is impossible, at least, to myself.

⁷⁴ Literally 'Alaka' (the residence of Kuvera, the god of riches)

(17. 20.) From him was born the lord of the earth Vijayasimha, whose beauty was justly celebrated by all men, who by the vigour of his heroism made his adversaries' fortunes totter, (and) the moon of whose fame (accordingly) was always waxing in the world.

(21.) His loving wife was the handsome daughter of Udayāditya, the ruler of the realm of Mālava,—Śyāmaladevī, a gem granting the desires of the nobly-conducted, (and herself) a votary of Fortune.

(22.) As Menā bore to the chief of mountains the beloved of Śamkara, (and) Viriṇī to Dakṣa, the creator of beings, the wife of the Moon, so she bore to that prince, able to protect the world, Alhaṇadevī, in appearance the unsullied fluttering pennon of her long pedigree.⁷⁵

(23.) Having wedded her with due rites, the king Gayakarna bestowed on her the most ardent affection, as Śamkara did on Śivā.

(24.) And she, a mansion of amorous passion, a beaker (brimful) of accomplishments, a garland of the graces, a store-house of excellent qualities, bore to king Gayakarna a son, the prince Narasimhadeva.

(25.) May the rising moon of the fame of this illustrious king Narasimhadeva richly saturate as it were the walls of the quarters with a pleasing whitewash; and may the earth, having secured in him a suitable lord, experience such delight as never to think, however little, of its former great rulers!

(26.) May victory attend his younger brother Jayasimhadeva, who in marvellous ways serves the first-born as Sumitrā's son⁷⁶ (did Rāma); who, behold!, when he lifts his hand, overpowers the enemies' most gigantic host, though it roars like thunder-clouds⁷⁷ and practises many wiles!—

(27.) That noble Alhaṇadevī, the mother of the illustrious Narasimhadeva, ordered this temple of the Moon-crested (god) to be built, together with that cloister with wonderful floors.

(28.) She too made her people construct that hall of study, (and) lay out that long line of gardens, in two ranges (adjoining) Śambhu's temple.

(29.) To make known her good report, the queen gave to this god, styled Vaidyanātha, the village named Nāmaūṇḍī together with every income (thereof), in the Jāulī pattalā.

(30.) And on the right bank of the Narmadā, in the land adjoining the hills, she gave to him another village, named Makarapāṭaka.

(31.) May the Pāsupata ascetic, the holy Rudraśiva of Lāṭa lineage, arrange conformably to rule for the management of this place, so long as Śiva pervades⁷⁸ the worlds!—

(32.) In the Mauna lineage, possessed of the triple pravara Bhārgava, Vaitahavya, and Sāvetasa,⁷⁹ there was born from one named Maheśvara Dharanīdhara, (a mountain)⁸⁰ by name, by weight, by fame, and by fortune.

⁷⁵ Or, 'of a lofty flag-staff (*vamśa*)'.

⁷⁶ I.e., Lakṣmīnara.

⁷⁷ *Meghaṇḍu* and *Atikḍya* are also the names of two sons of the demon Rāvaṇa; and *Prahasta* was one of Rāvaṇa's generals.

⁷⁸ Or rather, 'in coextensive with'.

⁷⁹ Compare the *Āśvaldyana-śrautasūtra*, Calcutta ed., p. 872.

⁸⁰ The word *dharanīdhara* has this meaning.

(F. 33.) Who, shedding a pleasing lustre, filled to overflowing with kindness, long enjoying a gratifying position, acted the part of a lamp⁸¹ to the three worlds.

(34.) His son Prithvidhara, who has seen the further shores of all the deep oceans of learning, (and) by whose crowds of disciples the circle of the regions has been conquered, wrote this eulogy.

(35.) Of him the younger brother, the sage named Śaśidhara, well versed in logic and wondrously clever, composed this eulogy.

(36.) The architect named Pīthe, who knows the rules of Viśvakarman,⁸² planned all this, as Prithu did the earth.

(37.) Mahidhara, a son of the chief of artisans Bālasimha, so wrought this stone with letters that it is like the star-covered sky.

The year 907, on Sunday the 11th of the bright half of Mārgaśīrsha.

III.—TEWAR STONE INSCRIPTION OF THE REIGN OF JAYASIMHADEVA

THE [CHEDI] YEAR 928.

BY PROFESSOR P. KIEHMORN, PH.D., C.I.E., GÖTTINGEN.

The stone which bears this short inscription was procured by Dr. F. E. Hall at Tewar, a village about six miles to the west of Jabalpur, in the Central Provinces, and presented by him to the American Oriental Society in whose Cabinet, at New Haven, it is now deposited.¹ It is said to be of like character with that of Alhanadevi's inscription.² Dr. Hall also first edited the inscription, with a translation, in the *Journal Am. Or. Soc.*, vol. VI, pp. 512-13; and his text was subsequently reprinted in Roman characters, with a photozincograph of the inscription, in Dr. Burgess' *Memoranda, Archaeol. Survey of Western India*, No. 10, p. 119, and his translation in Sir A. Cunningham's *Archaeol. Survey of India*, vol. IX, pp. 95-96. I now re-edit³ the inscription from an impression and a rubbing, kindly prepared for me by Mr. Herbert C. Tolwan, of Yale University, New Haven.

The inscription contains 9 lines of writing, the last of which is engraved along the proper left margin, and which together cover a space of 11" broad by 7" high. The writing is on the whole well preserved, but it is somewhat roughly and irregularly cut, and there are several *aksharas* in line 5 and one at the end of line 8, the true reading of which cannot be made out with absolute certainty. The size of the letters is between $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{4}$ ". The characters are Nāgarī, and the language is Sanskrit. Excepting the symbol for *om* at the commencement of line 1, lines 1-6 are in verse; the rest of the inscription is in prose. As regards orthography, *b* is denoted by the sign for *v* in *brahma Brahmuḍi*-(the only words in which *b* would occur), in line 1; and the dental sibilant is

⁸¹ The adjectives of this verse would of course be also applicable to a lamp; *śneha* also means 'oil', and *daśā* 'the wick of a lamp'.

⁸² The architect of the gods. The adjective would also mean 'who knows how to execute every kind of work'.

¹ See *Journal Am. Or. Soc.*, vol. VI, pp. 439 and 536; and C. Grant's *Gazetteer of the Central Provinces*, 2nd ed., p. 487.

² *Ante*, No. 11.

³ I merely have had to verify Dr. Hall's text, which, so far as one may speak with confidence, is substantially correct.

used instead of the palatal in *naresvaraḥ*, line 3, *Kesavaḥ* and *aivaram*, line 6, and *Kesavaśya*, line 8; and *u* instead of *anuscāra* in *Jayasinha-*, line 4, (but not in *Narasimha-*, line 3). Besides, the text twice offends against the rules of *sandhi*.

The inscription, which opens with a verse in honour of Mahādeva (Śiva), records the erection of a Śiva temple by the *nāyaka* Keśava (the son of the Brāhman Āladeva, named Astaka (?)), a member of the Kātyāyana *gotra* and resident of the village Sīkhā in Mā[la]vaka; during the reign of the king Jayasimhadeva, a younger brother of the king Narasimhadeva whom he had succeeded, and son of the king Gayākarna. And it is dated in the year 928, on Sunday the 6th of the bright half of Śrāvaṇa, while the moon was in the *nakshatra* Hasta. Referring this date again, to the Kalachuri or Chedi era, the corresponding European date would be expected to fall in A.D. 1177. In that year, the month Śrāvaṇa was intercalary, for the solar Śrāvaṇa lasted from the 26th June, 12 h. 3·2 m., to the 27th July, 23 h. 20 m. after mean sunrise, and there were new moons on the 27th June, 18 h. 30 m., and on the 27th July, 2 h. 8 m. after mean sunrise. Now, the 6th of the bright half of the first lunar Śrāvaṇa corresponded to Sunday the 3rd July, when the 6th *tilthi* ended 7 h. 35 m. and the moon was in the *nakshatra* Hasta up to 16 h. 25 m. after mean sunrise; and the 6th of the bright half of the second Śrāvaṇa corresponded to Monday the 1st August, when the 6th *tilthi* ended 18 h. 12 m. after mean sunrise and the moon entered the *nakshatra* Svātī about sunrise. And, accordingly, there can be no doubt that the true equivalent of the date is Sunday the 3rd July, A.D. 1177, and that the bright half of Śrāvaṇa, put down in the date, belonged to the first (or intercalated) Śrāvaṇa.⁴

The village Sīkhā which, if the adopted reading is correct, was situated in Mālavaka or Mālava, I am unable to identify.

TEXT.

- I. 1. श्रीं [॥] ज्ञानानंदं परं ब्र(ब्र)ह्म ब्र(ब्र)ह्मादिसुरभितं । वंदेम-
2. हि महादेवं देवदेवं जगद्गुरुम् ॥ श्रीमद्भयाक-
3. र्णानृपस्य सूनुर्रस्र(श्व)रः श्रीनरसिंहदेवं । जिग्ये धरि-
4. त्रीमनुजोस्य सम्राट्¹⁰ जीयाच्चिरं श्रीजयसिंहदेवः¹¹ ॥
5. ¹²वि[प्रो योस्त]¹³कना[माभू]दालदेवस्तादात्मजः ।
6. केस(श)वः कारयामास प्रासादममुर्मस्र(श्व)रम् ॥
7. सम्बत्¹⁴ ८२८ श्रावणसुदि ६ रवी हस्ते ॥
8. नायककेस(श)वस्य गोत्रं कात्यायनं स्थानं मा[ल?]-¹⁵
9. वके सीखाग्रामः ॥¹⁶

⁴ For two similar dates compare the *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVIII, p. 212, and vol. XIX, p. 36, No. 62.

⁵ From the impressions.

⁶ Expressed by a symbol.

⁷ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

⁸ Metre, Upajāti.

⁹ Read "देवः".

¹⁰ Read सभाट्.

¹¹ Read "सिंहदेवः".

¹² Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

¹³ The reading of the *aksharas* in these and the following brackets is not quite certain, because some of them look as if they had been partly erased and recut. The intended reading may possibly be विप्रस्यासकनामाभूदा⁹.

¹⁴ Read संवत्.

¹⁵ The *akshara* in these brackets is so peculiarly shaped that it is impossible to say what it is meant to represent. I adopt Dr. Hall's reading of it, but am not certain of its being correct.

¹⁶ This line is on the proper left margin.

TRANSLATION.

Om!

(Line 1.) Let us adore (*him¹⁷ who is*) knowledge and bliss, the supreme Brahma, waited upon by Brahmā and the other gods,—Mahādeva, the god of gods, the parent of the world!

The son of the illustrious king Gayākarna, the illustrious lord of men Narasimhadeva, conquered the earth: may his younger brother, the sovereign lord, the illustrious Jayasimhadeva, long be victorious!

(5.) Keśava, the son of the late Brāhman Āladeva, named Astaka (?)¹⁸, caused that temple of Śvara to be built.

The year 928, on Sunday the 6th of the bright half of Śrāvaṇa, (*the moon being*) in (*the nakshatra*) Hasta.

(8.) The *nāyaka* Keśava's *gotra* is that of Kātyāyana, his place of residence the village of Sīkhā in Mā[ī]vaka.

IV.—THREE LAND-GRANTS FROM SANKHEDA.

BY H. H. DHURVA, B.A., LL.B.

The Subā of the Baroda or Central Division of the Gaikavād's State has sent me for decipherment three detached copper-plates, two of which belong to the Gurjaras of Bharoch, and one to an unknown line of kings. The two former are only second plates of the grants, and therefore do not contain genealogical and other personal details, while the last is a first plate and contains no information as to the date, donee, object of the grant, officers, &c.

No. I.—A Gurjara grant of Samvat 346.

This document is written on a plate, measuring about 8 inches by 3 $\frac{3}{4}$, and is well preserved. It contains ten lines giving the usual injunctions and quotations from the *Smṛiti* regarding the inviolability of grants. The plate does not contain the name of the king or of the donee, nor a description of the object granted. But we have sufficient materials to identify the donor. The writer is the *sāmdhivigrahika* Āditya-Bhogika. *Bhogika*, Dr. Bühler informs me, is "a small man not more than a Thākur of one or a few villages; for *bhogika* occurs frequently in the list of the persons to whom commands are addressed, *e. g.* in the Kāvī grant of Jayabhaṭa (*Ind. Ant.*, vol. V, p. 110). In the *Deśīkosha* its Prākṛit equivalent *bhoio* is explained by *grāma-pradhāna*.¹

¹⁷ *I.e.*, Mahādeva or Śiva to whom all these epithets are applied.

¹⁸ Or possibly. 'The Brāhman, named Astaka, had (*a son*) Āladeva; his son Keśava.' See note 13, above.

¹ Dr. Bühler's *Pāyatalacchhināmamālā*, v. 104 (*gāmaṇi bhoio ya gāmaṇai*, p. 32). Dr. Bühler translates it in the glossary as "headman or lord of a village," and quotes *bhogika* from inscriptions. The Gujarātī for *bhogika* or *bhoio* is *bhio*, and *grāmapati* or *gāmarai* is *gāmetā*. The word *bhoga*, from which *bhogika* is derived, means *pālana* or "protection,"—see Amara, III, 23, and Maheśvara's commentary on it, also *Viśvakosha*, v. 268; *Medinīkosha*, v. 16 of words ending in *ga*; and *Trīkāṇḍaśeṣakosha*, III, 120 (पालनं स्ववह्निं च निर्वेष्टं पञ्चार्थोपतां । भोगः सुखे चने चार्थः । अतीरकचयोरपि । Thus भोगिक according to these authorities would be an equivalent of पालक or "protector;" as an official term it may have subsequently acquired a technical meaning.

Of course such a man cannot be a great ruler." If there were nothing else to connect this grant with the Gurjaras, the title *bhogika* of the minister for war and peace Âditya would be sufficient; for this appellation of an officer is not known to have been employed by any other line of kings. Again, the characters of this grant so closely resemble those of No. II, an admitted Gurjara grant, and other published grants of the family, that there can be no doubt about it.

The date is given in words as well as figures. It is "three hundred years exceeded by forty-six" and 346. The figures are not written in the usual manner, with the symbols for three hundred, forty, and six, but as they would be now, *i.e.* with the symbols for three, four and six. As the later Gurjara kings used the Chedi era, Samvat 346 probably corresponds with A.D. 595-96. This date exactly agrees with that of Dadda III. ciriter 580 A.D., as given by Dr. Bühler.² Hitherto we had no copper-plate grant or any other inscription of that monarch, which renders this plate an interesting find.

TRANSCRIPT.

- L. 1. आचन्द्रार्कणवर्चस्तिस्थितिसमकालीन पुत्रपौत्रान्वयभोज्यसुदकातिसर्गेण
2. प्रतिपादितं मातापित्रोरात्मनश्च पुण्ययशोभिदृश्ये अतोस्य ब्रह्मदेयस्थित्या 'क्रिषत × करि-
3. षापयतो' वा न कैश्चिद्वापेधे वर्त्तितव्यमागामिराजभिरस्मदङ्घ्र्यैर्वा⁹ सामान्यं भूमि-
4. दानफलमवेत्यायमस्मद्वायोनुमन्तव्यः पालयितव्यश्चेत्युक्तं च भगवता व्या-
5. सेन ॥ बहुभिर्बुधैः सुधा भुक्ता राजभिः 'सागरादिभिः यस्य यस्य यदा भूमिस्तस्य तस्य
6. तदा फलं ॥ षट्पदवर्षसहस्राणि स्वर्गे मोदति भूमिदः आच्छेत्ता चानुमन्ता च तान्ये-
7. व नरके वसे' ॥ विम्व्याटवीश्वतोयाशु शुष्ककोटरवासिनः कृष्णसर्पा भिजायन्ते⁸
8. ब्रह्मदेयापहारका[:] ॥ यानीह दत्तानि पुरा नरेन्द्रैर्हीनानि धर्म्मार्थयशस्कराणि निष्कर्मा-
9. स्वाम्नाप्रतिमानि तानि को नाम साधु × पुनराददीत ॥ लिखितं चात्र सान्धिविग्रहिकेना-
10. दित्यभोगिकेन संवत्सरशतचयं¹⁰ षट्त्वारिङ्शोत्तरके¹⁰ ॥ ३४६

No. II.—A grant of Raṇagraha, dated Sam. 391.

The plate measures 9½ inches by 4¼ and contains ten lines of well preserved Gurjara characters, resembling those of the Umetā, Ilāo and Bagumrā grants. There are two holes at the top, showing where the rings originally were. The language of the grant is faulty Sanskrit.

The donee of the grant (l. 1) is the Brâhmaṇa Adityaśarma (*sic*), the *dātaka* (l. 9) the *bhogika* Pālakaṭujñāna, and the writer (l. 10) the *samdhivigrahādhiṭṭhita* Mātribhaṭa. According to ll. 9-10 the donor appears to be a son of Vitarāga, called Raṇagraha, who is characterised as a worshipper of the sun (*Dinakarakiraṇābhyar-chanarata*) and as "the close relative of the illustrious Dadda" (*śrī-Dadda-pādāntar-jñāti*); for it is his sign-manual which was attached to the grant. The date is (l. 8) Sam. 391, *Paisākha bahula* 15, *i. e.* the new moon of Vaiśākha.

² *Ind. Ant.* vol. XVII, p. 191.

³ Read कृषतः.

⁴ Correctly कर्षयती.

⁵ Read 'क्षौरैर्वैर्वा.

⁶ Read सगरादिभिः.

Read वसेत्.

⁸ Read हि जायते.

⁹ Read 'पदे.

¹⁰ Read 'रिषदुत्तरके.

No. 1. SANKHEDA COPPERPLATE GRANT OF DADDA III. Ch. Sam. 346. (2nd plate).

[illegible]

From impressions by H.H. Dutton.

Size of original

Though the details about the family of the donor have been lost, the last two statements permit us to recognise that the document was issued during the reign of Dadda IV.—*Prasāntarāga* II.¹¹ and that the donor was the brother of the latter; for, as the two Kheda grants of Dadda IV. were issued in Sam. 380 and 385,¹² it follows from the new date, Sam. 391, that the same person must be meant here by the expression “the illustrious Dadda.” Further, as Ranagraha is called both a son of Vitarāga and a relative of Dadda, and as the latter was a son of Jayabhaṭa II.—Vitarāga II., Ranagraha must have been Dadda’s brother or cousin. Our grant shows, therefore, that the reign of Dadda IV. lasted at least until Sam. 391, or, as the Gurjaras used the Chedi era of A.D. 249, until A.D. 640.

TRANSCRIPT.

- L. 1. ब्राह्मणादित्यशर्माया¹³ उदकातिसर्गेणोच्छ्रष्ट¹⁴ यतोस्यास्मद्दृश्यैरन्यैर्वागमिभोगपतिभि अय-¹⁵
 2. ¹⁶मन्सादायोनुमन्तव्य¹⁷ पालयितव्यश्च यो वाञ्छानतिमिरपटला¹⁸ व्रितराच्छिन्धादाच्छिन्धमान¹⁹ वा-
 3. नुमोदेत स पञ्चभिर्भ्राजापातकैः²⁰ संयुक्तः²¹ स्या²² इत्युक्तञ्च भगवता वेदव्यासेन व्यासेन षष्टिवरिष-
 4. सहस्राणि²³ स्वर्गं मोदति भुमिदा²⁴ आच्छेत्ता चानुमन्ता च तान्येव नरके वसे²⁵ विन्ध्याटवीष्व-
 तोयासु शुष्क-
 5. कोटरवासिनः²⁶ 24 क्रिणाहयो हि जायन्ते भूमिदानापहारकाः²⁷ यानीह दत्तानि पुरा
 नुरन्ध्रैर्दानानि
 6. धर्मात्ययशस्कराणि निर्भुक्तमाख्यप्रतिमानि तानि को णाम²⁸ साधुः²⁹ पुनरादधीति³⁰ खदत्ता³¹
 परदत्तां वा
 7. यत्नाद्रक्ष युधिष्ठिर³² मही³³ महिमता³⁴ श्रेष्ठ दातातुच्छेद्योनुपालनमिति³⁵
 8. संवत्सरशतत्रये एकनवत्ये³⁶ वैशाखवहुलपञ्चदश्यां सं ३९१ वैशाख व १५
 9. दूतकोञ्च भोगिकपालकटञ्चानः³⁷ दिनकरकिरणाभ्यर्चनरतस्य सहस्रोयं श्रीवीतरागसूक्तो
 10. रणग्रहस्य श्रीदहपादान्तर्भाति³⁸ लिखितमिदं संन्धिविगह्यधिक्रितं³⁹ माञ्चिभटेन

No. III.—*A grant of Śāntilla, general of Nirihullaka.*

The plate measures 8½ inches by 3½ inches, and contains 12 lines in characters closely resembling those of the early Chalukya plates and of the Mahākūṭa pillar inscription edited by Mr. Fleet, *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XIX, pp. 7 ff. The plate is slightly damaged at the top and on both sides at the bottom. Two holes on the lower side show where the rings were originally placed. The language of the grant is slightly incorrect Sanskrit.

¹¹ *Ind. Ant.* vol. XVII, p. 101.

¹² *Jour. Beng. As. Soc.* vol. VII, pp. 908 ff.; *Jour. Roy. As. Soc.* N. S. vol. I, pp. 47 ff.; *Ind. Ant.* vol. XIII, pp. 81 ff.

¹³ Read °ब्रह्मणे.

¹⁴ Read °षोऽक्षः.

¹⁵ Read °पतिभिरय.

¹⁶ Read °मन्सादायो.

¹⁷ Read °मन्तव्यः.

¹⁸ Read °वृत्तमतिरा.

¹⁹ Read °दाच्छिन्धमान.

²⁰ Read °सादि.

²¹ Read °षष्टि वर्ष.

²² Read भूमिदः.

²³ Read वसेत्.

²⁴ Read ऋणा.

²⁵ Read नाम.

²⁶ Read °दधीत.

²⁷ Read °दत्ता.

²⁸ Read युधिष्ठिर.

²⁹ Read मही.

³⁰ Read महिमता.

³¹ Read हानाच्छेयो.

³² Read एकनवत्या.

³³ Read °श्रीतिः.

³⁴ Read °विगह्यधिक्रितं

Its contents are as follows. Writing from the victorious camp (*vijayakaṭaka*), located at Nīrgundipadraka (l. 1), Śāntilla, the general (*balādhikṛita*, l. 5) of the *bhogikopālu* and *mahāpalapati* (*mahāpallapati*) Nīrihullaka (l. 4), who meditated on the feet of the illustrious Śamkaragaṇa (*Śamkaragaṇa*, l. 3), son of the illustrious Kṛishṇarāja (whose feet were worshipped by the whole circle of the earth, ll. 1-2), grants to Bhajikanantastvāmi (*Bhajika*³⁵ *Anantastvāmin*, l. 7), an inhabitant of Pāshāṇihrada (l. 6), a member of the Kautsa *gotra* and a student of the *Vājasāneya* (*Samhitā*), a field requiring for seed-corn one *pituka* of rice (l. 10), and situated in the western *śim* of Śrī-Parnākā, which belonged to (the district of) Taṇḍulapadraka (ll. 8-9). The purpose for which the grant was made is the usual one, the provision for the expenses of the five *mahājñās*. It is added that the donation was made on the occasion of an eclipse of the sun "for the increase of the spiritual merit and fame of the *Paramabhaṭṭāraka*'s feet, i.e. probably of Śamkara[ga]ṇa.

As regards the persons named in the document, Śāntilla's position is sufficiently clear. His master Nīrihullaka must have been a Bhīl chieftain; for the title *mahāpallapati* means "the great lord of a settlement or of settlements of an aboriginal tribe."³⁶ It agrees with this that his name is not Sanskrit, but a barbarous Deśī word. The phrase "who meditates on the feet of the illustrious Śamkara[ga]ṇa" means, therefore, here merely that he was a vassal or servant of the latter, not that he was his successor. *Pādanudhyata* is frequently used in the former sense.³⁷ With respect to the question to which dynasty the two kings Kṛishṇarāja and Śamkara[ga]ṇa belonged, it is possible only to offer a conjecture. Dr. Bühler has suggested to me that, assuming the correction *Śamkaragaṇa* for *Śamkaragaṇa* to be certain, the two kings may be Haihayas or Kalachuris of Chedi. I am inclined to accept this, as the Chedi era was used by the Gurjaras, which is a certain sign of their power having extended to the province. Moreover, the Chalukya inscriptions³⁸ mention a Kalachuri king, Buddharāja, the son of Śamkaragaṇa, from whom Maṅgalīśa took his wealth. And it is not at all improbable that the Śamkaragaṇa of the Chalukya inscriptions is identical with that of our plate; for, as has been pointed out already, its characters closely agree with those of the earlier Chalukya inscriptions, and show also some affinity with those of Dadda's Khedā plates. They may well belong to the period of Maṅgalīśa's reign, which, as the latest researches of Mr. Fleet show, fell between Śaka Samvat 519 and 531, or A.D. 597-98 and 610-11. There is also another detail in our grant which, I think, may be adduced in favour of this view.

Professor R. G. Bhāṇḍārkar, in his *Report on the Search for Sanskrit Manuscripts in the Bombay Presidency, 1883-84*, remarks (pp. 31-32) that "it is a remarkable circumstance that the names of most of the famous writers on the sacrificial rites should have the title *Śvāmin* attached to them. No writer later than the thirteenth century seems to have it. We have Bhaṭṭas, Achāryas, Yajvans, Dikshitas and Yājñikas during the last six centuries, but no Svāmin. The title appears to have been in use at a


³⁵ *Bhajika* might be *bhajaka*, "a worshipper, votary, devotee;" V. S. Apte's *Sanskrit-English Dictionary*, p. 807. Compare a similar use of the word *bhāṭṭa* in Bhaṭṭa Kuntārīla, Bhaṭṭotpāla, Bhaṭṭodbhaṭa, etc.

³⁶ See Dr. Wöhring's *Abridged Sanskrit Dictionary*, s. v. *palla*. Mr. V. S. Apte (*Sanskrit-English Dictionary*, p. 687) assigns to the same word the meaning "a large granary." On a local enquiry I find that the country about Śāntikhedā goes by the name of Palla (Gujarātī *Pāla*). Thus, *mahāpallapati* would mean "the lord of the great Palla or Pāla."

³⁷ See e. g. l. 33 of Mr. Fleet's No. 1, *Corp. Inscr. Ind.* vol. III, pp. 10 and 17, note 2.

³⁸ See *Ind. Ant.* vol. XIX, p. 16.

NO. 3. SANKHEDA GRANT OF BALĀDHIKRĪTA ŚĀNTILLA. (plate 1).



From the original, by H. H. Dutt.

Size of original.

certain period and been given to Mīmāṃsakas or men conversant with the sacrificial lore." Then he cites the instances of Śābarasvāmin, the author of the *Bhāṣhya* on Jaimini's *Mīmāṃsā Sūtra*; of Agnisvāmin, the commentator on Iātyāyana's *Śrauta Sūtra*; of Bhavasvāmin, the author of a *Bhāṣhya* on Baudhāyana; of Keśavasvāmin, the writer of an introduction to the *Baudhāyana-prayoga-śāstra*; Devasvāmin, quoted in the *Trikāṇḍa-maṇḍana* and author of a comprehensive *Bhāṣhya* on Āśvalāyana; Dhūrtasvāmin, Kapar-dīsvāmin and others. Kumārila is both a *Svāmin* and a *Bhaṭṭa*. The title *Svāmin* was used in some of the early Chalukya inscriptions and one of the Valabhī inscriptions, up to the seventh century. An undated grant of Vikramāditya I., who ceased to reign before A.D. 680, gives as donees Nandīsvāmin, Lohasvāmin, and Bhallasvāmin (*Ind. Ant.*, vol. VI, p. 771). Another grant, dated 700 A.D., gives Dāsasvāmin, son of Janasvāmin and grandson of Revāsvāmin Dīkṣhita, and one of 705 A.D. gives Devasvāmin, Karkasvāmin, Yajñasvāmin, Rudrasvāmin and others (*Ind. Ant.*, vol. VII, pp. 128 and 136), while a Valabhī grant, dated Samvat 326 (A.D. 645), has got Maṅkasvāmin (*Ind. Ant.*, vol. I, p. 16). Dr. Bhāṇḍārkar assigns the seventh century to Agnisvāmin, Devasvāmin and Bhavasvāmin, and places Śābarasvāmin two or three centuries earlier (*vide* p. 32 of his *Report*). The general inclination is towards the seventh century for the age of names bearing such titles. The records above noted were of rulers or families that were perhaps close neighbours of the recorders of the present grant. The grant mentions Bhajikānantasvāmin, and with the conclusion arrived at by Dr. Bhāṇḍārkar, it cannot be later than the seventh century.

With respect to the geographical names occurring in our grant, it may be noted that Nirguṇḍipadraka, the place from which it is dated, would be in modern Gujarātī Nāgaravāḍā or something like it. And the *Dehezāda of Gaikavādī Villages* (published at the Hitechchhu Press, Ahmadābād) does give a Nāgaravāḍā, 6 kos from Dabhoī. Further, the native place or home of the donee is Pāshāṇihrada, which would be Saṇīādari, 14 kos from Dabhoī (given in the *Dehezāda*, p. 5). *Hrada* would be masculine *dharo* and feminine *dhari*, changeable to *darī* in Gujarātī, and *Pāshāṇi* would drop its initial *Pā*, as Bagumrā has done with its *Ba* in having Gumrā, and give Saṇīā, and thus we get Saṇīādari. From the same Pāshāṇihrada we would get Sāṅkhe-ḍā if *hrada* were replaced by *kheḍā* for *khetaka*, meaning 'town,' and *Pā* were dropt as in the other case. Taṇḍulapadraka is obviously Tāṇḍalajā, also 14 kos from Dabhoī (as given in the *Dehezāda*); and Śrī-Paṇākā is Paniu, now desolate (as noted in the same authority), 5 kos from Dabhoī. The map of the Baroda territory does give Tāṇḍalajā and Saṇīādari quite close to each other.

TRANSCRIPT.

- L. 1. श्री खलि निर्गुण्डिपद्रकावस्थितविजयकटकात् स — — [चिति] — — —³⁹
 2. स्त्रितचरणकमलः श्रीक्रिणराजः⁴⁰ तनूनुरनेकस[म]रविजय — — —⁴¹
 3. निरहितवधूवदनपङ्कजस्नानिकरः श्रीमंकरणः स्वत्पादानुध्यासकल-⁴²
 4. महीमण्डलतलप्रथितकोर्तिर्भोगिकपालमहापलुपतिनिरिह-⁴³

³⁹ Restore सकलचितिमण्डल^०.

⁴⁰ Read °क्रिणराजः.

⁴¹ Restore °सत्यको.

⁴² Read मंकरणमण्डल^०; °मुध्यासक^०.

⁴³ Read °कोर्तिर्भोगिक^०; महापलपति.

- L. 5. कस्तुर्लाघिक्रितशान्तिः⁴⁴ सर्वानिव परमपादीयास्वांश्चावेदयति⁴⁵
 6. यथा पाषाणिहृद⁴⁶ वास्तव्यकौत्समगोत्रवाजिसनेयसब्रह्मचारिणे⁴⁷
 7. भजिकनन्तस्वामिने पञ्चमहायज्ञधर्मक्रियोत्पर्षणाय⁴⁸ परमभट्टा-
 8. रकपादानां पुष्ययशोभिन्नद्वये⁴⁹ तण्डुलपट्टकान्तर्भक्त⁵⁰ श्रीपरमेशाका-
 9. यां पश्चिमसीमनि अचाटभट्टप्रावेशं⁵¹ पत्तपौवान्वयभोज्यं आदि-⁵²
 10. — परागकालमुदकातिसर्गेण ब्रीहिपिटकवापनेत्रं आश्वाहि-⁵³
 11. — — वक्षति[स्थित]समकालीनं प्रतिपादिष्ये अनुस्था —⁵⁴
 12. — — ररानुर्वाया भतृनिपतिभिः⁵⁵

V.—INSCRIPTIONS FROM NORTHERN GUJARAT.

By J. KIRSTE, Ph.D., VIENNA.

The subjoined inscriptions collected by Mr. H. Cousens, in Gujarât, were entrusted to me for decipherment by Professor Bûbler, who assisted me with his advice. They were found in the following places :—

- | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1. Bhilri (Nos. i—iii), | 6. Roho (xii—xvi), |
| 2. Dilmal (iv—vii), | 7. Sarotra (xvii—xxvi), |
| 3. Mandal (viii), | 8. Sonak (xxvii, xxviii), |
| 4. Munjpur (ix), | 9. Taraṅga (xxix). |
| 5. Palanpur (x, xi), | |

All are written in Devanâgarî characters, with the exception of No. viii, where the first six lines are in Arabic and the last in Gujarâtî. They are mostly injured and defaced. The language is a crude mixture of Sanskrit and Gujarâtî. Hence the readings are not always certain, and I am not sure that I have succeeded in fully making out the sense of all.

The generally interesting information which they furnish may be arranged under the following heads :—

1.—They yield some notice of the political history of Gujarât. No. viii furnishes a date from the reign of Sultân Ahmad and the names of his father Sultân Muhammad, and of his grandfather Sultân Muzaffar Shâh. It also mentions a Malik Kâmachanda, who seems to have been the Musalman governor or minister in charge of Mamḍalî (the modern Mandal) in the Viramagâm Taluka, and that of a local chief called Raṇaka (?). No. xi records the death of a Rajput, possibly a local chief Vanarâja, of the Gedîâ family, in Samvat 1282. Nos. xvii—xix speak of two local

⁴⁴ Read °विजयशान्तिः.

⁴⁵ Read °याम्पु.

⁴⁶ Read °हृद.

⁴⁷ Read °वाजसनेयि°; °चारिके.

⁴⁸ Read °क्षपेणाय.

⁴⁹ Read °भिन्नद्वये.

⁵⁰ Can °नर्भक्त° be for °नर्भक्ति°.

⁵¹ Read पुष°.

⁵² Restore °श्रीपरम°.

⁵³ Restore °पाषाणिकौत्स°.

⁵⁴ Read प्रतिपादिष्ये. The remaining words I am unable to restore.

⁵⁵ This line too is unintelligible. [Restore °वक्षतिस्थितसमकालीनं प्रतिपादिष्ये अनुस्था-
 वक्षतिस्थितसमकालीनं प्रतिपादिष्ये अनुस्था—E. H.]

chiefs of Sarotra—(1) Arajunaṇji, who ruled in Saṁvat 1678, and (2) his son Haradā-
aṇji, who ruled in Saṁvat 1685 and 1689. No. xxvii makes us acquainted with a
Mahârâṇa Khetala (?) who held the village of Sûṇaka, the modern Sonak, in Saṁvat
1356.

2.—We obtain the following information regarding the spiritual heads of the Tapâga-
chchha:—No. xxix tells us that Hiravijaya was Bhaṭṭâraka in Saṁvat 1642. This
inscription mentions also Vijayasena, who succeeded him (see Klatt, *Ind. Ant.*, vol.
XI, p. 256). Vijayadeva, the successor of the latter, is alluded to six times in the
inscriptions Nos. xx—xxiii, xxv, and xxvi, which are all dated in the year Saṁvat
1689; while his appointed successor Vijayasimha is mentioned once (inscription xx).
Inscription No. ii makes us acquainted with a Guru of the Mahideśvariya Maṭha
called Jirâja, i.e. probably Jerâja or Jayarâja.

No. I.¹

- L. 1. सं[व]त् १[३]५ [८] वर्षे [आ]श्विन व[दि] १५ सोमे
2. ॥ प्र[ग्व]ाटज्ञातीय श्रे[ष्ठ] — — [ण]सुत
3. समयकेन मूर्ति कारापि[त]² . . .

TRANSLATION.

In the year Sam. 1358, on the 15th (*day*) of the dark half of Āśvina, a Monday, a
figure was caused to be made by the Śreshṭhin Samayaka, son of . . . of the
Prâgvâṭa gotra.

No. II.³

- L. 1. [श्री] महिदेखरीयमठाधिप-
2. जिराजगुरु[श्रीध्यान]गणाचार्य-
3. स्व ॥

TRANSLATION.

(*The image*) of the venerable Dhyânaganâchârya, the guru Jirâja, the lord of
the Mahideśvariya monastery.

No. III.⁴

- L. 1. श्री ॥ श्रावण सुदि १५ चवण ज्येष्ठ वदि
८ जम्मा । फागुण [श्रा]
2. सुदि १२ दिक्का । फागुण वदि १३ नाण ।
ज्येष्ठ वदि ८ मुक्खो स्वामि

TRANSLATION.

Hail ! The conception (*chyaavana*) on the 15th (*day*) of the bright half of Śrâvana ;
birth on the 8th (*day*) of the dark half of Jyeshṭha ; consecration (*dîkshâ*) on the 12th

¹ At Bhilri : on the base of an image in the Jaina temple.

² Read मूर्ति; कारापिता.

³ At Bhilri : on the base of an image in Mahadeva's temple.

⁴ At Bhilri : in an old well outside the village.

(*day*) of the bright half of Phālguna; enlightenment (*jñāna*) on the 13th (*day*) of the dark half of Phālguna; liberation (*moksha*) on the 9th (*day*) of the dark half of Jyeshtha . . .

The stone bearing this must have been taken from a Jaina temple, and the inscription refers to the career of one of the Tirthamkaras.

No. IV.^b

- L. 1. संवत् १[२]८५ वर्षे पौषे वदि ८ गुरौ गाभलाग्रामि डोलविक-
 2. भंगानंतरं श्रीपार्श्वनाथबिंबं मातृपितृमूर्तिश्च कारिता अः
 3. सोहडसुतकुमरभदेन

TRANSLATION.

In the year Sam. 1295 (?) on the 8th (*day*) of the dark half of Pausha, a Thursday, an image of the venerable Pārśvanātha and a figure of his parents were made by the Śreshṭhin Kumrabhadra (?), son of Sohaḍa, in the village of Gābhaḷā, in the district (?) of Dilavika.

No. V.⁸

- L. 1. [श्री] सिद्धिः । — — । सज — समु-
 2. द्रो । याव [ञ] — — [त]डि[त]ी म[कः] ।
 3. यावत्त — — — णे । ताव-
 4. दि — — — — य — ॥ स्व-
 5. ॥ स्ति [संवत् १५१२]वर्षे वै-
 6. षाषे शुदि १० उगंकिला
 7. ॥ उत दा[गा]सुत वादाकेन हि
 8. जेयकः करापितः ॥ नुत [भरव]
 9. अस्मिन् — [शे]लकं कृते सति उ-
 10. भय कुला नद्ये [भवत] मूटप दृ-
 11. षद प्रतिमूत्र सागा कृत [ट]क ४००
 12. कणप^०[डाहु] खो वशव

Nothing can be made out of this with certainty except the words :—“on the 10th (*day*) of the bright half of Vaiśākha was caused to be made”

No. VI.⁹

- L. 1. संवत् १५३२ वैशाखे वदि ११
 2. रवी के लषासुतदेवा-
 3. केन स्थापितो नारायणः ।

TRANSLATION.

In (*the year*) Sam. 1532, on the 11th (*day*) of the dark half of Vaiśākha, a Sunday, (*an image*) of Nārāyaṇa was placed by Ke. Devāka, son of Lakhā.

⁸ At Dilmal: on the base of an image of Pārśvanātha.

⁹ Read बिंब.

⁷ May also be ०षदि.

⁸ At Dilmal: on a pillar of the Kirtistambha to the west of the large temple of Limbu Mātā.

⁹ At Dilmal: on the seat of an image in a small temple on the west of the large temple of Limbu Mātā.

No. VII.¹⁰

- L. 1. स्वस्ति संवत् १५३२ वर्षे वैसाख वदि ११
 2. रवौ के° रा[घ]ासुत मा° सादाभांटा
 3. [यए]तयो भार्या ठा° नरसिंग-
 4. तनया बाइ रूपाइ मा° सादास[ह]
 5. तथा ठा° गोपालतनया बाई मांई
 6. मा° भांटासह अहर्निशि श्रीलक्ष्मी-
 7. नारायणाभ्यां प्रणमतः ॥ प्रति-
 8. मा पिंडी [तत]: कारापिता शिव-
 9. मस्तु ॥ कल्याणमस्तु शुभं भवतु ॥

TRANSLATION.

Hail! In the year Sam. 1532, on the 11th (*day*) of the dark half of Vaisākha, a Sunday, Bâi Rûpâi, daughter of Thâ(kura) Narasing (Narasimha), wife of Mâ (ṇḍa-
 lika) Sâdâjhâmṭâ, son of Kc. Râghâ(?) (and) mother of Sâdâsaha (?)—likewise
 Bâi Mâmî, daughter of Thâ(kura) Gopâla and mother of Jhâmṭâsaha, bow day and
 night to Lakshmî and Nârâyaṇa. An image (*and*) then a base (*for it*) was caused to
 be made.

No. VIII.¹¹

- L. 1. بناریخ پانزده یم ماه ذی القعدة سنة عشرين وثمانمئة
 2. در عهد سلطان سلاطین احمد بن محمد بن مظفر شاه
 3. السلطان بن سلطان بن سلطان عمل کا مجند این کتابت¹²
 4.
 5.
 6.
 7. [स्व] स्ति संवत् १४७४ वर्षे पौष वदि
 8. — के¹³ अद्येह मंडल्यां मलिक श्री [का]-
 9. मचंदप्रतिपत्तौ राण श्रीरण[क]-
 10. विजयराज्ये समस्तमांडलिनि[वा]-
 11. [सी]त्योक्विद्यमान मांडवी [हद] खप
 12. [त]निखल कीधी ते पूर्वम[दनी] ¹⁴को
 13. ल[खद]निहरदिपू[छ]ीयाहिजेपा
 14. पवर्त्तदाननिलाविलाय [दपं तप]

¹⁰ At Dilmal: on the base of the image of Lakshmî Nârâyaṇa in a small shrine.

¹¹ At Mandal: on a stone in the Chauki.

¹² The transcription of the Persian text I owe to the kindness of Prof. Karabacek at Vienna. Lines 4 to 6 are illegible.

¹³ L. 8. Probably कुके is to be read.

¹⁴ Corrected below the line to पुर्व [मह]—नौ. The third letter may be सु and the fifth seems to have been intentionally effaced.

TRANSLATION.

Persian Text.—At the date on the 15th day of the month Dhu-l-Qa'de, in the year eight hundred and twenty (of the Hijra, *i.e.* the 24th December of 1417 A.D.), during the reign of the Sultân of Sultâns Ahmad, son of the Sultân Muhammad, son of the Sultân Muzaffar Shâh . . . business(?) of Kâmajand . . . this writing

Sanskrit Text.—Hail! In the year Sam. 1474, in the dark half of Pausha, on a Friday (Friday, 24th December 1417 A.D.), to-day, here in Mamdali, during the administration of Malik, the illustrious Kâmachanda, in the victorious reign of Râṇa the illustrious Raṇaka (?),—the business of the limits of the custom-house was settled in the presence of all the inhabitants of Mamdali

No. IX.¹⁵

L. 1. । संवत् १२११ वर्षे वैशाखे शुदि ५ वर—

2. ङडा [यानभ]मा — तथा माय — — [यदि]सी [क]स

3. मताक्ष सा — — धव — श्रीवि[पुर] सदेव कारापित . . .

Much defaced and probably mutilated on the right side. Nothing can be made out except the date Samvat 1211, Vaisâkha śudi 5.

No. X.¹⁶

L. 1. संवत् १२१७ वर्षे कार्तिक शुदि १०

2. क्रे¹⁷ खीवाणास्थाने श्रीमहावीर

3. त्वे¹⁸ योसा पेदिकायां [स]गविका प

4. [य]ी खंजमेकं कारापितं ॥ [ये]चि

This inscription seems to refer to a donation in the temple of Mahāvira at Khim-vāṇā, made in Samvat 1217, on the tenth day of the bright half of Kārttika, a Friday. Hence this stone, too, must have been removed from its original site.

No. XI.¹⁹

L. 1. । संवत् १२८२ वर्षे पौष शुदि ४ शुके गेडीसा

2. राउत्त [मे]घां [सुत्त] वणरां । [धा]रातीर्थे पतितः ॥

ABSTRACT.

In the year Sam. 1282, on the fourth (*day*) of the bright half of Pausha, a Friday, fell the Gedîā Rāutta (*Rājaputra*) Vaṇarām (*Vanarāja*), the son of Meghām.

The stone must be a so-called Pālîā.

No. XII.²⁰

L. 1. संवत् १२५८

2. र्धे कार्तिक शुदि १

3. शुके । [ज]सदेवसुत

¹⁵ At Munjpur : in the Jāmi Masjid, on a beam.

¹⁶ At Palanpur : on the side of a well.

¹⁷ Read क्रे.

¹⁸ Read त्वे.

¹⁹ At Palanpur : on a loose stone lying near the railway.

²⁰ At Poho : on a pillar of the Chhatri of Pārvanātha, much defaced.

- L. 4. [तो]जी गा — — — ये
 5. यं — पं — — — कुं
 6. — — कारापिता ॥

TRANSLATION.

. . . . caused to be made by son of Jasadeva in Samvat 1259, Kārttika sudi 1, a Friday.

No. XIII.²¹

- L. 1. संवत् १२६[९] — ती
 2. — — — — वदि १४
 3. — — — देव —र्य सि
 4. रीहा — — व — ता
 5. — — — प्रदत्ता ॥

No. XIV.²²

L. 1. संवत् १२८८ वर्षे वैशाख शुदि १३ सोम ऋषभदेवीचेल्ये निर्वृतिगच्छे गौरदेविमुते[न वाना] केन मातानिमिसिं नेमिनाथबिंबं कारा[पित] शुभं भवतु ॥

TRANSLATION.

In the year Sam. 1299, on the 13th (*day*) of the bright half of Vaiśākha, a Monday, an image of Neminātha was caused to be made, for his mother's sake, by Vālāka (?), son of Gauradevi of the Nirvṛiti-Gotra in the temple of Rishabhadevi (?)

No. XV.²³

- L. 1. ॥ श्रीं ॥ श्रीगणेशाय नमः । संवत् १६[७४]
 2. वर्षे । पोसमाम । कृष्णपक्षे । पंचम्यां ति-
 3. थौ । गुरुवामरे । [डाभी]वंशे । भट्टेसरी [—]²⁴
 4. शाय्यां । रांत श्रीमांडणजी । भार्या बाइ
 5. श्रीचांपा वापी करापिता । प्र[र]माद हौ सहि-
 6. ता प्रतिष्ठा करापिता । बाई पुत्री श्रीमज्ज-
 7. बाई सहितना पीरीजी ५१००० एकावन्न
 8. सहस्रव्यथो कृता । आ चंद्रार्क नंद्यात्
 9. मासं ११ कार्य बी प्रासाद इ सहिता कृता ।
 10. वंशो विस्तरं बायातु । यातु पुष्पं मङ्ग-²⁵
 11. यश । आयुविपुलतां यातु । यस्यथा वा-²⁶
 12. पिका कृता ॥ ॥ — [धरनेना] ठाला कृतं ॥
 13. पं° — — — — श्रीरस्तु ॥ कल्याणमस्तु ॥

²¹ At Roho : on a pillar in the third storey of an old well,—much defaced.

²² At Roho : on the arch over the old well,—first storey.

²³ At Roho : on a pillar in the third storey of the old well.

²⁴ L. 3 : Probably [जी].

²⁵ L. 10. Read °तरमा° । °मङ्गलम्.

²⁶ L. 11. Read °विं° । यक्षेया.

TRANSLATION.

In the year Sam. 1671 (?), on the 5th lunar day of the dark half of Pausa, a Thursday, a well was caused to be made by Rāmīta Māṇḍaṇajī, (*whose*) wife (*was*) Chāmpā, and together with two temples it was consecrated. He, together with his daughter Sajja Bāi, spent 51,000 *Pirojī* (*Rupees*) (*for this purpose*). May it rejoice as long as sun and moon endure! In 11 months the work (*i.e. the well*), together with the two temples, was completed. May the race extend! May great merit and fame come (*to him*)! May the life of him be lengthened who built this well! The Dhālā was made by Pam(dita)

No. XVI.²⁷

- L. 1. श्री संवत १६७६ वर्षे पौष शुदि ४ दने [वारगरु आ]²⁸
 2. — मानचत्र रात श्रीमडणजी भारय श्रीचप बाइ पूतो सज्जनजे — पू — [प]ाल
 3. सूत्र ना[बा] म — — — — श्रीगणेश न — — — —
 4. म श्रीनराय[न] — — — — —

The same persons seem to be mentioned as in the preceding inscription.

No. XVII.²⁹

- L. 1. संवत १६७८ वर्षे फागणमासे शु पक्षे नवम्यां तथी शनिवसरं री [ह]-³⁰
 2. ॥ श्रीनचत्रे । धन्या अयोध्यादसरथनृपा साय माताप धान्या
 3. ॥ धन्या वाणी कविवरमुखे रामनामपुष्टता । धन्या देसी ऋष-
 4. पतिभवां³¹ जत्र रामाश्रवतारा धन्यो लोको नीश्रातमगुंणा राम
 5. ॥ वाचं शृणोति ॥ १ ॥ रात श्रीश्रजुगजी । बाई श्रीपदमां बाई । बाई³²
 6. ॥ श्रीश्रमरी ॥ पुत्र श्रीहरदासजी वेजयराज्ये³³ । छत्री कारापिता

ABSTRACT.

In the year Sam. 1678, on the 9th lunar day of the bright half of Phālguna, a Saturday, under the Rohiṇī Nakshatra, during the reign of Arājūnajī, whose wives were Padamām and Amari, and whose son was Haradāsajī, a Chhatrī was caused to be erected.

No. XVIII.³⁴

- L. 1. सं १६८५ वर्षे अषण सुदि ११ दने [हरदास लघना]³⁵

²⁷ At Roho: on the base of an image in a ruined shrine near the old well.

²⁸ Perhaps वारगुरु.

²⁹ At Sarotra: in the Bhavan Dhvaja temple.

³⁰ L. 1. Read शु(क्ल) । तिथी । रीहिषी³¹.

³¹ Bhavān is meant for bhagavān.

³² The metre of the verse in ll. 2—5 seems to be Mandākrāntā. It may be restored as follows:—

*Dhanyogodhyādaśarathauṇipah sōpi mātāpi dhanyā,
 Dhanyā vāni kavivaramukhe rāmanāmaprasāritā,
 Dhanyo deśo roghupatibhavan yatra rāmavātāro,
 Dhanyo loko nityatamagunān rāmavāchaṁ śṛṇōti.*

³³ L. 6. Read विश्वयराज्ये.

³⁴ At Sarotra: in the Bhavan Dhvaja temple.

³⁵ L. 1. Read आच.

TRANSLATION.

In the year Samvat 1685, Śrāvāṇa Sudi 11, Haradāsa

No. XIX.⁴⁶

- L. 1. संवत १६[८८] वर्षे आषाढमा[से] [ब]हुलप[क्षे] एकादसी ११ तथ[े]⁴⁷ रविवार — —
 2. ॥ धान्या अयाध्यादमरथनप सा माताप धान्या धान्या वांणी कविवरमुखे
 3. ॥ रामनामप्रवृत्ताः । धन्या देसो [ऋषु]पतिभवान् जित रोमाश्रवताग धन्या
 4. ॥ [ला] का नीश्रतमगुणा रामवाच्य शृणोतीः ॥ १ ॥ रात श्रीहरदासजी । बा-
 5. ई श्रीजिवंती ॥ बाई राजू ॥ सबक ॥ वषट्ठ नाम संवत्सरो । पूत श्रीतोग[बी] व-
 6. ॥ जयराजे ॥ छत्री करापितः⁴⁸ ॥ २ ॥

ABSTRACT.

In the year Sam. 1689, on the 11th lunar day of the dark half of Āshāḍha, a Sunday, during the reign of Haradāsajī whose wives were Jivantī and Rājū and whose son was Togabī (?), a Chhatrī was caused to be erected. (Regarding the verse see inscription No. xvii.)

No. XX.⁴⁹

- L. 1. ॥ श्री ॥ भट्टारकश्रीविजयदेवसूरीश्वरगुरुभ्यो नमः आचार्य श्रीविजयसिंह-
 2. सूरीश्वरगुरुभ्यो⁵⁰ नमः ॥ सं० १६८९ वर्षे यावण व० ११ दिने देहरीनीपजतई सं [घप]
 3. लाडण भायी नाथी सुत सं० हरदास । सं० सांपा । सं० तेजा । सं० देवकरणई रूपईय
 [— —]⁵¹ । आप्या छई [॥]

ABSTRACT.

In the year Sam. 1689, on the 11th day of the dark half of Śrāvāṇa Sam. Lādāna, whose wife was Nāthī and his son Sam. Haradāsa, Sam. Sāmpā, Sam. Tejā, Sam. Devakaranaī, gave silver Thapas (?) on the completion of the temple, while Bhaṭṭāraka Vijayadevasūri and Vijayasimhasūri were [living].

No. XXI.⁵²

- L. 1. ॥ श्री ॥ भट्टारकश्रीविजयदेवसूरीश्वरगुरुभ्यो नमः⁵³ ॥ संवत १६८८ वर्षे [वदि]
 2. [ना] सं० लाडण भायी ना[थ]सुत सं० हरदास सं० सांपा सं० तेजा सं० [देवकरण]
 3. — — — [सुत याव] — क — — — स्वपति[वुका] — दि[सर्वेषां] श्रयो-
 4. र्थ । श्रीमहावीरप्रामाद — ॥ देवकुलिका — रुध्यः [कारिता] ॥

ABSTRACT.

In the year Sam. 1689, in the dark half of . . . Sam. Lādāna, whose wife was Nātha (?), and his son Sam. Haradāsa, Sam. Sāmpā, Sam. Tejā, Sam. Devakarana, erected a chapel in connection with the temple of Mahāvīra.

⁴⁶ At Sarotra: in an old chhattri.

⁴⁷ L. 1. Read एकादशीतिथी.

⁴⁸ L. 6. Read विजयराज्य । कारापिता.

⁴⁹ At Sarotra: in the Bhavan Divaja temple.

⁵⁰ Read गुरुभ्यो.

⁵¹ Looks like घप.

⁵² At Sarotra: in the Bhavan Divaja temple. Preservation bad and most letters indistinct.

⁵³ Read गुरुभ्यो.

No. XXII.⁴⁴

- L. 1. ॥ श्री ॥ "भटारकश्रीविजयदेवसूरीश्वरगुरुभ्यो नमः ॥
 2. सं० १६८८ व० सं लाडण [भा० वा०] "नाथी [त्य पूग] सं० हर-
 3. दास सं० तेजादिकुटुंब श्रेयार्थदेहरी कारापिता ॥

ABSTRACT.

In the year Sam. 1689, in the dark half of . . . a temple of Pārśva was caused to be made by Sam. Lāḍaṇa, whose wife was Nāthī, and his son (?) Sam. Hara-dāsa, and by the family of Sam. Tejā.

No. XXIII.⁴⁵

- L. 1. ॥ श्री ॥ तपागच्छाधिराजभटारकश्रीविजयदेवसूरिगुरुभ्यो न°
 2. सं० १६८८ वर्षे फागुं शुदि १३ दिने ए देहरीनीपजतइ वुः हरदास—
 3. बु[प]नावु माडण तथा भोज — देभइ रूपए [— —]⁴⁶ आप्या छ

ABSTRACT.

In the year Sam. 1689, on the 13th day of the bright half of Phālguna, on the completion of this temple, silver Thapas (?) were given by Haradāsa, whose father (?) was Māḍaṇa (Lāḍaṇa ?)

No. XXIV.⁴⁷

- L. 1. ॥ श्री ॥ सं० १६८८ वर्षे फागुण शुदि १० दिने वु[प] — करणइ ए देहरी [नीपजतइ]
 2. रूपइआ [— —]⁴⁸ आप्या छइ ॥ इति मंगलम् ॥ श्री ॥ श्री ॥ श्री ॥

ABSTRACT.

In the year Sam. 1689, on the 10th day of the bright half of Phālguna, on the completion of this temple, silver . . . were given by Karaṇa (?).

No. XXV.⁴⁹

- L. 1. ॥ श्री ॥ श्रीविजयदेवसूरिगुरु[र]भ्यो नमः ॥ सं० १६८८ वर्षे फागुण शु
 2. दि १० दिने वुहरा-[वस्ता]कीकर ए देहरीनीपजतइ रूपइआ — — आप्या छे — — — —;
 3.

ABSTRACT.

In the year Sam. 1689, on the 10th day of the bright half of Phālguna, on the completion of this temple, silver . . . were given by the son of

⁴⁴ At Sarotra : in the Bhavan Dhvaja temple.

⁴⁵ Read भटार°, °गुब°.

⁴⁶ Possibly for भायां बाई.

⁴⁷ At Sarotra : over a shrine door in the Bhavan Dhvaja temple.

⁴⁸ Looks like यवा.

⁴⁹ At Sarotra : over a shrine door in the Bhavan Dhvaja temple.

⁵⁰ Looks like यष.

⁵¹ At Sarotra : over a shrine door in the Bhavan Dhvaja temple.

No. XXVI.⁴⁹

- L. 1. ॥ श्री ॥ भहारकश्रीविजयदेवसुरिगुह-
 2. श्री गमः सं० १६८८ वर्षे फा० वदि ११ दिने ए
 3. देहरीनीपजतर बु मा[ता] ताना [रा]ज पालि रूप [य बव]
 4. आया छर ॥

ABSTRACT.

In the year Sam. 1689, on the 11th day of the dark half of PhA(lguna), on the completion of this temple, silver Thapas (?) were given by

No. XXVII.⁵⁰

- L. 1. ॥ संवत् १६५६ वर्षे चैत्र व ११
 2. गम । यथेह सुषकपामि म-
 3. हाराव श्री[छे]तलप्रतिपत्ती
 4. सीव ° महिपाकेन देवीश्री-
 5. शिवलीयात्रायां नाट [पूजाय]
 6. प्रदत्त पा[द्र] ये दि[न] पूमि—
 7. [य]न — — ना माता [द्वियो]—
 8. पीलक [ब्रह्मपुरे] सचित [सक]
 9. लमपि धा[म] ॥ श्रीः ॥

ABSTRACT.

The inscription refers to some gift made by one Līsha (?) Mahipāka in Sam. 1856, on the 11th day of the dark half of Chaitra, during the administration of the Mahārāpa, the illustrious Khetala (?) in the village of Sūnaka, on the occasion of the Yātrā of Devī Śrīśivalī.

No. XXVIII.⁵¹

- L. 1. ॥ संवत् १५८६ वर्षे
 2. आवष वदि १३ सोमि — — —

TRANSLATION.

In the year Sam. 1596, Śrāvaṇa vadi 13, a Monday

No. XXIX.⁵²

- L. 1. ॥ संवत् १६४२ वर्षे आसाढ शुदि १०
 2. दने पातसा श्रीभक्तवर महि
 3. गठीआ° महिस गठी सजा
 4. पगी श्री गोवद पगी श्रीराम

⁴⁹ At Sarotra : over a shrine door in the Bhavan Dhvaja Temple.

⁵⁰ At Sonak : on a pillar in an old temple.

⁵¹ At Sonak : on the base of an image in the same temple.

⁵² At Taranga : on the side of the great temple.

L. 5. कर्त्तुं पातया जगन्मतीर-

6. य श्रीहीरविजयसूरिः ।

7. श्रीवज्रयक्षे । नम्रुः ।

8. तेजना धरमं साक्षा जांभी

9. श्रीवीसलनगरि संधिमूर्ति

10. साक्षां गणापति आदर

11. करी गुरय ठामठामथक

12. गरय चांभीनि प्रासादशु

13. नवी आचार कीयो छिः ।

14. सों सवसी कूरीआ

15. उपरि रही कबाडू करा छ

16. सूत्रं गोवाल वडनगर

17. सूत्रं भूपा वीसलनगर

18. कबाडूकरः ॥ सू गो [पा]

19. रातं साहसपासरठा

Seems to refer to the restoration of the temple in Samvat 1642.

VI.—THE JAINA INSCRIPTIONS FROM ŚĀTRUMJAYA.

By G. BÜHLER, PH.D., LL.D., C.I.E.

The subjoined editions and abstracts of 118 inscriptions, found in the Jaina temples on the hill of Śātrumjaya near Pālitaṇā, have been prepared according to the impressions, taken by Mr. Cousens during the working season of 1888-89, and made over to me by the Editor. They consist of two great series, the first comprising Nos. i-xxxii which range between Samvat 1587 and 1710, and the second, Nos. xxxiii-cxv, which run from Samvat 1783 to 1943 or 1887 A.D.¹ As the latter series of course possesses in general little historical interest, I have thought it inadvisable to publish them *in extenso*, and I believe the abstracts given below will satisfy all requirements. I have, however, made an exception with No. cv, because it contains a tolerably complete account of the heads of the Āṇchala *gacchhā*, regarding which hitherto very little has become known. Moreover, it furnishes a good sample of the Sanskrit, written by the Yatis of our days, and it may be useful for settling the controversy regarding the origin of the "mixed" dialects found in older books and inscriptions, as well as that regarding the advisability of bringing, by conjectural emendations, the language of somewhat older Jaina authors such as Merutuṅga, Rājasekhara and Jinamaṇḍana, into harmony with the rules of Sanskrit grammar. The transcript of this document as well as those of Nos. i-xxxiii and No. cxviii have been prepared by Dr. J. Kirste, Privat-Docent in the Vienna University, and to him belong also the footnotes appended to the same.

As regards the historical information contained in the 118 inscriptions, it falls under the following heads :—(1) notes regarding the political history of Western India;

¹ The dates of Nos. cxvi-cxvii are not certain. No. cxviii ought properly to stand after No. xii.

(2) notes regarding the schools of the Jaina monks; (3) notes regarding the subdivisions of the Jaina laymen.

As regards the first point, No. I is the most important document; for it names (l. 1) three Sultāns of Gujarāt: (1) Mahimūda, (2) Madāphara, and (3) Bāhadara, and states that the latter was alive in Vikrama Samvat 1587, and (l. 2) that his minister was Shāna (Khān) Majhādashāna or Majhādaka (l. 26). It further enumerates (ll. 8-10) four rulers of Chitrakūṭa—(1) Kumbharāja, (2) Rājamalla, (3) Saṃgrāmasimha, (4) Ratnasimha, which latter ruled in Samvat 1587, and adds (l. 23) that Karmasimha or Karmarāja, who made (l. 27) the seventh restoration (*saptamā uddhāra*) and re-built the temple of Puṇḍarika, was his prime minister. We are further told (l. 26) that he did so with the express permission of Sultān Bāhadara, and obtained from him a *sphuranmāna*, i.e. a *fermān*, for this purpose. The negotiations were conducted by a *mantri* Rava (*raṇākhya*) Narasimhaka, probably also a Jaina, who was in the employ of Sultān Bāhadara's prime minister.

With respect to the list of the sovereigns of Gujarāt, it deserves to be noticed that Sultāns Sikandar and Mahmūd, the two brothers of Sultān Bahādur, who reigned each for a short period after Sultān Muzaffar II., have been left out. I am unable to identify Khān Majhād or Majhādaka, who, according to our inscription, was Bahādur's *vazīr* in Samvat 1587. According to the *Mirāt-i-Sikandari*,¹ that dignity was bestowed on Tāj Khān in A.D. 1526; nor is Karmarāja or Karmasimha mentioned in Tod's account of the history of Mevād. But there is no reason for doubting the veracity of the document. The list of the four Rāṇās agrees with Tod's. The statements of the *Mirāt-i-Sikandari* (*op. cit.*, p. 350) prove that Ratnasimha really ruled in Samvat 1587, and that his relations with the Sultān of Gujarāt were of a friendly nature.

Next come the dates from the reigns of the third and fourth Mughal Emperors—

1. Jahāngīr is mentioned as Nūradīna J. Savāi in Nos. xv, xvii—xx, xxiii, xxiv, which are all dated in Samvat 1675, as well as in No. xxvii of Samvat 1683. Nos. xvii-xx mention also prince Khosrū (*Shāhijādā Suratāṇa Shosaḍū*) and the governor (*sobat*) of Ahmadābād (*Rājanagara*), Sultān Khurme (*Sahiyāna suratāṇa Shurame*).

2. Shāh Jihān (*Shāhajāhām*) occurs once in No. xxx, which is dated in Vikrama Samvat 1686 and Śaka Samvat 1551. The two dates agree perfectly with the facts. And it is also correct that Suratāṇa Khurme, i.e. Sultān Khurram or Shāh Jihān, was viceroy of Gujarāt in Samvat 1675. For the Muhammadan historians inform us that the latter province was added to the other governments held by him in A.D. 1617. Of interest, too, is the mention of Shāhijādā Suratāṇa Shosaḍū, i.e. prince Khosrū, (Nos. xvii—xx), who no doubt was alive in Vikrama Samvat 1675, but had been a prisoner ever since the second year of his father's reign.

Among the local chiefs of Kāthiāvād, the inscriptions mention—

(1) Jām (*Yāma*) Śatruśālya and his son, Jasavanta, which latter, according to No. xxi (l. 4) ruled at Navīnapura, i.e. Navānagar, in Hāllāra, i.e. the Hālār Prānt, in Vikrama Samvat 1675;

(2) Some of the Gohel chieftains of Pālītāṇā, viz.,—

(a) Khāmdhuji and his son, Śivāji, in No. xxvii. ll. 38-9, of Vikrama Samvat 1683;

- (b) Unadāji in No. li, of V. S. 1861;
 (c) Khamdhāji; his son, Noghanaji, and his grandson, Pratāpasimghaji, in Nos. lxxviii and lxxx, dated V.S. 1891 and 1892;
 (d) Noghanaji and his son, Pratāpasimghaji, in No. xcvi, of V.S. 1910; and
 (e) Pratāpasimghaji, V.S. 1916 (No. ciii).
 (f) Sūrasimghaji, in No. cxi, of V.S. 1940.

The information of the inscriptions agrees perfectly with the notes on the history of Navānagar and Pālitānā, given in the *Bombay Gazetteer* (vol. viii, p. 559). According to the latter work, Jām Jasoji, the son of Jām Satāji, ruled from A.D. 1608 to 1624. It is not difficult to recognise Jasavanta in Jasoji. Satāji is a very considerable abbreviation of Satrśāl, the more usual form for the Sanskrit Śatruśālya, "an arrow for his enemies." As regards the Gohels, we read (*op. cit.* pp. 604f.) that Khāndoji II. was succeeded by Shavaji II. This is no doubt the pair of the inscription, as Shavaji is placed five generations before A.D. 1766. The other chiefs mentioned in the inscriptions are called in the *Gazetteer*, Unadji, A.D. 1766—1820, Khāndoji IV., 1820—1840, Noghanaji IV., A.D. 1840—1860, Pratāpsimghaji, 1860, Sūrsimghaji, since 1860. It is amusing to note that in No. xcvi, Noghanaji receives the proud titles *rājarājēśvara* and *mahārājadhīrāja*, though the Pālitānā State pays tribute to several masters and ranks among the second class states of Kāthiāvár. Besides, Thakur Noghanaji was, according to the *Bombay Gazetteer*, by no means as well off as his successors, who have a gross revenue of five lakhs of rupees; for his state was held under Government guarantee in farm by Vakhatchand, the Nagarsheth of Ahmadābād, from A.D. 1821—1831, when prince Pratāpsimghaji managed to get it out of the hands of the banker. None of the numerous donors from Ahmadābād, Bombay, and other towns in the British territory, has thought it necessary to mention the British Government. But Harashacharnda, i.e. Harakhchand of Damaṇa-bandira or Daman, gratefully mentions, in No. xlv of V.S. 1860, that he was honoured by the *Phirangijāti Puratākāla pātāsāhi*, i.e. by the king of Portugal. Compared with this, the forgetfulness of the family of the Nagarsheth of Ahmadābād is, to say the least, remarkable.

The next point which claims attention is the copious information which the inscriptions furnish regarding several schools of Jaina monks, the Kharatara, Tapā, Añchala, and Sāgara gachchhas. Lists of the spiritual heads of the former two sects have been published by Dr. Klatt in the *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XI, p. 245 ff, and a Kharatara *paṭṭāvali* from the *Praśasti* of the temple of Vādipura Pārśvanātha has been given, *ante*, vol. I, p. 319. The other two *paṭṭāvalis* have not, as far as I know, been made known before:—

I.—Paṭṭāvali of the Kharatara gachchha.

The following list is given in No. xvii—

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. Ud(d)gotanasūri, | <i>Narāngī vṛitti</i> , and discoverer of the Pārśva- |
| 2. Vardhamānasūri, <i>vasatimārgapra-</i> | nātha of Stambhana, |
| <i>kāśāṭa</i> , | 6. Jinavallabhasūri, |
| 3. Jineśvarasūri I., | 7. Jinadattasūri, to whom a <i>devatā</i> |
| 4. Jinachandrasūri I., | gave the title <i>yugapradhāna</i> , ³ |
| 5. Abhayadevasūri, composer of the | 8. Jinachandrasūri II., |

³ The first seven Sūris are mentioned also in No. xviii.

9. Jinapattisûri,⁴
10. Jineśvarasûri I.,
11. Jinaprabodhasûri,
12. Jinachandrasûri III.,
13. Jinakuśālasûri,
14. Jinapadmasûri,
15. Jinalabdhisûri,

16. Jinachandrasuri IV.,
17. Jinodayasûri,
18. Jinarājasûri,
19. Jinabhadrasûri,⁵
20. Jinachandrasûri V.,
21. Jinasamudrasûri,
22. Jinahamsasûri,

23. Jinamāṇikyasûri,

24. Jinachandrasûri VI.,⁶ who awakened the Pātisāhi of Delhi, Akabara, received from him the title *yugapradhāna*, and obtained an edict not to kill during 8 days in all countries, who appeased the angry Jahāṅgīra and protected the Sādhus banished by him.

25. Jinasimhasûri, who caused the *mantrin* Karamachandra to institute⁷ a *rūpa-nandī* festival at an expense of 12,500,000 (*sapādakoṭī*), wandered through Kāthina, Kāśmīr and other countries, pleased Akabara-Sāhi, stopped for a year the slaying of aquatic animals, caused an edict of not killing to be proclaimed in Śrīpura,⁸ Gola-kumḍā (Golkonda), Gajjaṇā (Ghazni), and other countries, and received the title of *yugapradhāna* from Jahāṅgīra-Nûradī-Mahammada.

26. Jinarāja,⁹ whose parents were Sā Dharmasī and Dhāralade, who belonged to the Bohittha race, received a boon from the goddess Ambikā, and in consequence deciphered the *prakāśī* on an ancient image at Ghaṁghāṇipura. In No. xxvi there is a second date, Samvat 1682 for him.

The later inscriptions mention :—

Jinachandrasûri, Samvat 1794,¹⁰ (No. xxxix); Jinabarshasûri,¹¹ Samvat 1887 (No. lx), Samvat 1888, Samvat 1891 (No. lxxiii), Samvat 1892 (No. lxix); Jinamahendrasûri, successor of the preceding, Samvat 1893 (No. lxxx), stated to belong to the Pippalya branch (Nos. lxxxii-v),¹² Samvat 1903 (No. lxxxviii).

Jinasaubhāgyasûri, successor of Jinaharsha, Samvat 1910 (No. xvi).

Jinamuktisûri, Samvat 1922 (No. cvi). The statements of the latest inscriptions show that there were several heads of the Kharatara *gachchha*, which fact is known to everybody personally acquainted with the sect. I met Jinamuktisûri at Jesalmīr in 1874, and at Bikānīr another head of the Kharataras called Hemasûri. The pupils of a third Yugapradhāna of the same community visited me in 1876 at Surat, when he passed through the town.

II.—Paṭṭāvalī of the Tapā gachchha.

No. xii mentions first Vardhamāna (verse 2), Sudharma (verse 3), Sūsthitā and Supratibuddha, the founders of the Koṭikagaṇa (verse 4), Vajra, the founder

⁴ This form, which is found also in the *Pāṭaṇ Prāśasti*, *ante*, vol. I, p. 319, seems to be preferable to Dr. Klatt's *Jinapati*, *Ind. Ant.*, vol. XI, pp. 245ff.

⁵ Mentioned also in No. xviii.

⁶ Mentioned also in Nos. xviii—xx, xviii, xxiv.

⁷ Nos. xviii—xx, xviii—xxiv. add "before Akabarasāhi."

⁸ According to No. xviii Śrīkāśtīpura, according to No. xix Śrīkāra-Śrīpura, according to No. xxiii Śrīpura.

⁹ Mentioned in the same way in Nos. xiv—xx, xviii—xxiv, xxvi.

¹⁰ Klatt has a Jinachandra (No. 69) in S. 1834.

¹¹ This is the last on Klatt's list, *Ind. Ant.*, vol. xi, pp. 245ff.

¹² Nos. lxxxii—lxxxv have a note speaking of a Jipachandrasûri, successor of Jinadeva, being alive. Regarding the Pippalya Kharatara gachchha, see Klatt, *ut sup.*, under No. 56.

of the Vajrā śākhā (verse 5), Vajrasena and his pupils Nāga, Indra, Chandra, Nirṇṛiti and Vidhyādhara, the third of whom founded the Chāndra kula (verses 6-7), Jagachchandra, who obtained in Saṃvat 1285 the *Tuṇāhiruda* (verse 9), and then begins the enumeration with—

(1) Ānandavimāla (Klatt No. 56), who reformed the conduct of the Yatis in Saṃvat 1582 (verses 10-11).

(2) Vijayadāna (Klatt No. 57), verses 12-13.¹³

(3) Hīravijaya (Klatt No. 58), verses 14—24, who was called by Sāhi Akabbara to Mevāta, and persuaded the emperor in Saṃvat 1639 to issue an edict forbidding the slaughter of animals for six months, to abolish the confiscation of the property of deceased persons, the *Sujjia*-tax, and a *śulka*;¹⁴ to set free many captives, snared birds and animals, to present Śatrumjaya to the Jainas, to establish a Jaina library (*paustakam bhāṃdāgāram*), and to become a saint-like king Śreṇika, who converted the head of the Lumpākas. Meghaji,¹⁵ made many people adherents of the Tapā gachchha, caused many temples to be built in Gujarāt and other countries, and made many natives of that country, of Mālava and so forth, undertake pilgrimages to Śatrumjaya. No. cxviii commemorates one of these pilgrimages, which was undertaken by Vimalaharsha and 200 others. The same inscription states that Hīravijaya belonged to the *Sāpha* race. He died, according to No. xiii, by starvation, at Unnatadurga, in Saṃvat 1652; Bhādrapada śukla 10, and his *pādūkās* were erected in the same year, on Mārga vadi 9, Monday, by Udayakaraṇa of Stambhatīrtha (Cambay) and consecrated by Vijayasena.

(4) Vijayasena (Klatt No. 59), (verses 25—34), who was called by Akabbara to Lābhapura (Lahor), received from him great honours, and a *phuramāṇa*, forbidding the slaughter of cows, bulls and buffalo-cows, to confiscate the property of deceased persons and to make captives in war, who, honoured by the king, the son of Choli-begam (*Cholī Vegama*), adorned Gujarāt. Latest date Saṃvat 1650.

(5) Vijayadeva (Klatt No. 60) is mentioned in No. xxv, Saṃvat 1676, No. xxxi of Saṃvat 1696, Nos. xxxii and xxxiii of Saṃvat 1710. According to the same he received from Pātisāhi Jihāṃgīra the title *mahātāpa*. His appointed successor, Vijaya-simhasūri, who, according to Klatt (*op. cit.*) died before him (Saṃvat 1709), occurs in No. xxxii, which is dated Saṃvat 1710. It is stated there that the *Sahasrakūṭa tīrtha* had been dedicated at his request.

(6) Vijayaprabha (Klatt No. 61) is mentioned in No. xxxiii, dated Saṃvat 1710. He receives the titles *āchārya* and *sūri*, and seems, therefore, not yet to have been the actual head. Vijayadeva, on the other hand, is there called *bhaṭṭāraka*. This goes against Klatt's *Paṭṭāvali*, which places Vijayadeva's death in Saṃvat 1709.

In the later inscriptions are named—

Vijayakshamāsūri, No. xxxviii.

Vijayadayāsūri, No. xxxvii, *bhaṭṭāraka*, in Saṃvat 1788 (Sumatisāgara, *ibid.*, also *bhaṭṭāraka*), and in No. xxxviii, Saṃvat 1791.

Vijayajinendrasūri, No. xlv, Saṃvat 1843, Nos. xlv—xlix, Saṃvat 1860.

Vijayadhaneśvarasūri, No. lxxviii, Saṃvat 1893.

¹³ Mentioned as head of the gachchha in Nos. iv, vi—x, all dated in Saṃvat 1620.

¹⁴ Possibly a tax on Jaina pilgrims, visiting their holy places, may be meant,—see also Elphinstone's *History of India*, p. 339 (6th edition). The *Sujjia* tax is, of course, the *jizya*, or capitation tax on infidels.

¹⁵ Regarding the Lumpākas see Bhāṇḍārkar, *Report on Sanskrit MSS. for 1893-94*, p. 153.

Vijayadevendrasūri,¹⁶ No. lxxxvi, Samvat 1897, No. lxxxix, Samvat 1905, No. xlii, Samvat 1908, No. xcvii, Samvat 1911, No. civ, Samvat 1916, No. cvii, Samvat 1924.

Vidyānandasūri, successor of Dhaneśvara, No. ciii, Samvat 1916.

A Samvijñāyamārgiya Tapā gachchha, belonging to the line of Vijayasthasūri, is mentioned in No. lxxvi of Samvat 1893 and in No. cxiii of Samvat 1940.

III.—*Paṭṭāvali of the Añchala or Vidhipaksha gachchha.*¹⁷

The first seventeen teachers are named in Nos. xxi of Samvat 1675 and xxvii of Samvat 1683, the remainder mostly in No. cv of Samvat 1921 :

- | | |
|---|---|
| (1) Āryarakshita, ¹⁸ | (16) Dharmamūrti, |
| (2) Jayasimha, | (17) Kalyāṇasāgara or Kalyāṇasamudra, Samvat 1675 and 1683, |
| (3) Dharmaghosha, | (18) Amarodadhi, |
| (4) Mahendrasimha, | (19) Vidyāsūri (Vidyābdi), ¹⁹ |
| (5) Simhaprabha, | (20) Udayārṇava, or Udayasāgara, ²⁰ |
| (6) Devendra or Devendrasimha, | (21) Kīrtisindhū or Kīrtisāgara, No. li, Samvat 1861, |
| (7) Dharmaprabha, | (22) Puṇyodadhi or Puṇyasāgara, (No. li, of S. 1861), |
| (8) Simhatilaka, | (23) Muktiśāgara, Samvat 1905, ²¹ |
| (9) Mahendra, | (24) Ratnodadhi, Samvat 1921; |
| (10) Merutunga, | (25) Vivekasāgara, Samvat 1940, No. cxi |
| (11) Jayakīrti, | |
| (12) Jayakeśari, | |
| (13) Siddhāntasamudra or Siddhāntasāgara, | |
| (14) Bhāvasāgara, | |
| (15) Guṇanidhāna or Suguṇasēvadhi, | |

IV.—*Paṭṭāvali of the Sāgara-gachchha.*

This list is given chiefly in No. xci, where the latest date is Samvat 1905 :

- | | |
|--------------------|--|
| (1) Rājasāgara, | (7) Ānandasāgara, |
| (2) Vṛiddhisāgara, | (8) Śāntisāgara, Samvat 1886, No. lv, No. lix; Samvat 1889, No. lxii, No. lxx; Samvat 1893, No. lxx, No. lxxi, No. lxxii, No. lxxix. |
| (3) Lakshmisāgara, | |
| (4) Kalyāṇasāgara, | |
| (5) Puṇyasāgara, | |
| (6) Udayasāgara, | |

Teachers of two other *gachchhas* are named :—

(1) Rājasāmasūri of the Laghuposāla *gachchha* in No. xlii, dated Samvat 1815.

(2) Paṇḍit Anandakuśala of the Pāyachanda *gachchha* in No. xcv, dated Samvat 1908. One is tempted to conjecture that *Pāyachanda* is a

¹⁶ Spelt also Vijayadevindra and Vijayadevidra.

¹⁷ The name Vidhipaksha gachchha occurs in No. xxvii, verse 6, and in No. cv.

¹⁸ Regarding this Āryarakshita, see Bhāṇḍārkar, *Report on Sanskrit MSS.* of 1883-84, p. 152. The *Paṭṭāvali* described by Dr. Bhāṇḍārkar (*op. cit.*, pp. 14, and 3195.) is that of the

Āñchala-*gachchha*, and in the main agrees with the earlier part of the above text.

¹⁹ This form is required according to the metre.

²⁰ No. li.

²¹ No. xc. Before him appears Rājendrasāgara, Samvat 1886 in No. lvi.

mistake for *Pāsachanda* or *Pāśachanda*, regarding which sect see Bhāṇḍārkar's "Report on Sanskrit MSS. for 1883-84, p. 185".

V.—*Digambara Paṭṭāvali*.

No. xxx, dated Samvat 1686, gives (ll. 2 *et seq.*) the list of the spiritual heads in the line of Kumḍakunda (*read Kundakunda*) Āchārya in the Balātākāragana, the Sarasvati, *gaohohha* and the Mūlasamgha, i.e. the community of the Digambaras, as follows :

- | | |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| (1) Sakalakīrti, | (7) Guṇakīrti, |
| (2) Bhuvanakīrti, | (8) Vāḍibhūṣaṇa, |
| (3) Jñānabhūṣaṇa, | (9) Rāmakīrti, |
| (4) Vijayakīrti, | (10) Padmanandi, the actual head of |
| (5) Śubhachandra, | the sect in Samvat 1686. |
| (6) Sumatikīrti, | |

The list is, of course, a mere fragment of the *Paṭṭāvali* of the Kundakundānaya, which dates from much earlier times.

Next to the subdivisions of the Jaina monks, those of the laymen deserve notice, and it is interesting that our inscriptions furnish a good deal of valuable information on this point, regarding which hitherto little has become known. Among the various tribal names of the laymen which are mentioned in the great majority of the documents, that of the Osvāls occurs most frequently, as might be expected from the fact that this section of the Jaina community is not only generally considered the most noble, but possesses also the greatest wealth. The name appears in various forms, (1) *Upakeśa jñāti*, No. xxi; *vamsa*, No. xxvi; (2) *Ūkeśa* or *Ukeśa*, Nos. xxxiii and lx; (3) *Oiśa vamsa*, No. xxxix; (4) *Ośa* or *Osa*, mostly with the addition of the termination *vāla* in a great many inscriptions; and (5) *Uśa*, Nos. i—iii, or *Usa*, No. cii.

It is not in the least doubtful that *Upakeśa* is the original Sanskrit word from which the other forms have been derived.²² The processes by which the forms *Ūkeśa*, *Ukeśa*, *Uśa* and *Usa* were obtained, will be clear to everybody. With respect to *Oiśa*, *Ośa* and *Osa*, it may be noted that, according to the rules of the Jaina and Mahārāṣṭrī Prakrits, the preposition *upa* may be represented by *o* (see Hemachandra, *Prākṛita vyākaraṇa*, i, 173).

In addition to the correct form of the name of this famous tribe, the Pālitaṇā inscriptions furnish also a traditional account of its origin. We read in No. i—

(Verse 8). "Further—on the hill called Gopa, there was born a most worshipful (*ruler*) called the illustrious Āmarāja, whom glorious Bappabhaṭṭi awakened. His wife was some daughter of a trader."

(Verse 9). "In her womb were born the following men belonging to the Rājakoṣṭhāgāra family, the sole vessel of piety, and the pure illustrious Uśa race."

The meaning seems to be that both the Uśa tribe and the Rājakoṣṭhāgāra family, which latter is evidently a subdivision of the former, owe their origin to the king Āma and his consort of the Vaiśya caste. According to the *Paṭṭāvali* and the *Prabandhas*, Āma, whose existence is not proved by really historical documents, is said to have lived about Vikrama Samvat 800.²³ I may add that the genealogy of Karmarāja, which is

²² See Ind. Ant., vol. XIX, pp. 283ff.

| ²³ See S. P. Pandit, *Gauḍavaṇa*, pp. cxxviii.

given in verses 10—20, cannot be complete. It names only ten ancestors who cannot possibly fill the seven centuries and a half which intervene between the traditional date of king Âma and that of the inscription, Vikrama Samvat 1587.

The inscriptions mention also a good many other subdivisions of the Osvâl tribe, *viz.*—

I.—A Vṛiddhaśākhā, which is subdivided into the following *gotras* or families, —(1) Ūhāḍa, No. xxxiii; (2) Châjedâ, No. cvi; (3) Nâḍûla, Nos. xxxviii, xxxix; (4) Nâhaṭâ, No. lxxx; (5) Mummiyâ, No. xvi; (6) Râjakoshṭhâgâra, Nos. i, ii, iii; (7) Yadugaḍa, No. lxviii; (8) Lâlaṇa, No. xxi; (9) Luṇṇiyâ, No. lx; (10) Loḍhâ, No. xvi.

II.—A Laghuśākhâ, to which belongs (1) the Nâgaḍâ *gotra*, No. xc; (2) the Shota *gotra*, No. xi.

III.—An Addaiśākhâ, to which belongs the Kumkumalola *gotra*, Nos. xci, xcviii-xcix. The members of this *śākhâ* and *gotra*, as represented by the family of the Nagarsheth of Ahmadâbâd, besides claim kinship with the Sisodiâ Rajputs of Mevâḍ, — see No. xci. Viśâ Osavâlas are mentioned in No. cxv.

The tribe next in importance is that of the Śrîmâlîs, called after the town of Śrîmâla or Bhîllamâla, the modern Bhînmâl in the extreme south of Marvâḍ. It includes—

I.—A Vṛiddhaśākhâ, Nos. xxxvii, cxii, of which no subdivisions are given;

II.—A Laghuśākhâ, Nos. xxix, xxxiv, lxxvi, to which belongs, according to No. xlv, the Kâśyapa *gotra*, the members of which claim kinship with the Paramâra Râjputs.

A Viśâ Śrîmâlî occurs in No. xev.

About the same importance belongs to the Prâgvâṭa tribe, called also Prâga-vaṁsa, Nos. iv, vi and viii, or by its modern name Poravâḍa or Poravâla, (pronounce Porvâḍ and Porvâl). It seems, too, to have an elder and a junior branch, as Nos. xv, xvii, xxv, and xli mention its Laghuśākhâ. Viśâ Poravâḍas or Poravalas are named in Nos. l and xevii; Dasâ-Porvâḍas in cxvii. A few other tribes occur each in single inscriptions, *viz.* Gûrjara *jñâti*, No. x; Dîśavâla *jñâti*, No. vii; Dûgaḍa *gotra*, No. liv; Nimma *jñâti*, No. cxiii; Mohotâ *gotra*, No. cv; Saṁghavâla *gotra*, and Kâchâra *saṁtâna*, No. xiv.

The native places of the donors are:—

- (1) Ajamera, *i.e.* Ajmîr in Râjputanâ,
- (2) Aṇahillapura, also called Pattana,
- (3) Antarapura in Vâgvaradesa or Duṅgarpur,
- (4) Ahmadâbâd, called eleven times by its Sanskrit name, Râjanagara, 'the capital,'
- (5) Ugrasenapura,
- (6) Kapaḍavanaja in the Khedâ Collectorate,
- (7) Kâśî, or Benares,
- (8) Koṭhârâ in Kachh,
- (9) Khambanayara, *i.e.* probably Cambay,
- (10) Ghandhâra in the Bharoch Collectorate,
- (11) Chitrakûṭa, or Chitor in Mevâḍ

- (12) Cheula, probably Chaul, near Bombay,
- (13) Jesalamera, *i.e.* Jesalmir in Marvād,
- (14) Damaṇa handira, *i.e.* Daman in Gujarāt,
- (15) Dīvabamdira or Diu in Kāthiāvād,
- (16) Devagiri or Daulatābād in the Dekhan,
- (17) Dhaṇapura,
- (18) Nabhīnpura, in Kachh,
- (19) Nalinapura, in Kachh,
- (20) Navanagara, in Kāthiāvād,
- (21) Pālanapura, in Northern Gujarāt,
- (22) Bāluchara,
- (23) Bhāvanagara, in Kāthiāvād,
- (24) Makasudāvāda—Bāluchara or Makśudāvāda.
- (25) Mumbāi, or Bombay,
- (26) Mesāṇa, in Gujarāt,
- (27) Rādhanapura, in Northern Gujarāt,
- (28) Vīkaṇera, or Bīkāner, in Northern Rājputānā,
- (29) Vīsalanagara, in Northern Gujarāt,
- (30) Sirohi, in Southern Rājputānā,
- (31) Surata bamdira, in Gujarat.

The name of Ahmadābād or Rājjanagara occurs most frequently.

For those who care to verify Hindu dates the Pālitāṇā inscriptions will be a perfect mine; for every single date can be calculated, as the weekdays are invariably mentioned.

No. I.²⁴

- L. 1. ॥ श्री ॥ स्वस्ति योगूर्जरधरित्रयां पातसाहस्रीसहिमूदप्रभाकरपातसाहस्रीसदापरभाह-
पट्टोद्योतकारक ।
2. पातसाहस्रीश्रीश्रीश्रीबाहदरसाहस्रविजयराज्ये ॥ संवत् १५८७ वर्षे राज्यश्यापारधुरधरपान-
श्रीमभादपानव्या-
3. पारं श्रीशत्रुंजयगिरं श्रीचित्रकूटवास्तव्य । दी० करमाकृतमसमोडारसत्ता प्रशस्तिर्लिख्यते ॥
स्वस्ति श्रीसाख्य-
4. दी जीयात युगादिजिननायकः ।
केवलज्ञानविमलो विमलाचलमंडनः ॥ १²⁵
श्रीमदपाटे प्रगटप्रभावे [1]
भावेन भ-
5. व्ये भुवनप्रसिद्धे ।
श्रीचित्रकूटो मुकुटोपमानो [1]
विराजमानोस्ति समस्तलक्षणः ॥ २²⁶

²⁴ In the porch of the east or main entrance of the great temple of Ādiśvara Bhagavān;—see *Lists of the Antiquarian Remains in the Bombay Presidency*, &c. (Bombay, 1885) p. 188.—J. B.

²⁵ Metre of verse 1 Anuṣṭubh. Read °जीयात्. A good measure of my corrections have been given between brackets in the text.—J. K.

²⁶ Metre of verses 2—4, Upajāti. Read °अयत्.

L. 14.

[— — —]³³ ॥ ११

तत्पुत्रस्तोलाय्यः पत्नी तस्याः[स्य]प्रभृतकुलजाता ।

तारादे परनाम्नी लील पुण्यप्रभापुर्णा ॥ १२

तत्कुलममुद्रताः प[ट]

15. पुत्रा³⁴ कल्पपादपाकाराः [।]

[धर्मा]नुष्ठानपराः शीवतः श्रीकृतोऽन्येषां ॥ १३

प्रथमो र[त्ना]ख्यसुतः सम्यक्तोद्योतकारकः कामं ।

16. श्रीचित्रकूटनगरं प्रामादः [कारितो]येन ॥ १४

तस्याऽस्ति कीमला पाल्पवल्लीव³⁵ विशदात्सदा ।³⁶

भार्या रजमलदेवी पुत्र[ः]श्रीरंगना-

17. मार्या ॥ १५

भ्राताऽन्यः पोमाह्नः पतिभक्ता दानशीलगुणयुक्ता ।

पद्मापाटमदेव्या पुत्रो माणिक्यहीराह्नी ॥ १६

बंधुगेणस्तृ-

18. तीर्थभार्या³⁷ गुणरत्नराशिविख्याता [।]

गङ्गागारतदेव्या पुत्रो देवाभिधो ज्ञेयः ॥ १७

तुर्यां दशरथनामा । भार्या तस्यास्ति देवगु-

19. रुभक्ता ।

देवल[द्रु]मदेव्या पुत्रः कल्पाभिधो ज्ञेयः ॥ १८

भ्राताऽन्यो भोजाय्यः भार्या तस्यास्ति सकलगुणयुक्ता ।

20. भावलक्ष्मणमदेव्या पुत्रः श्रीमंडणो जीयात् ॥ १९

सदा सदाचारविचारचारुचातुर्यधैर्योदिगुर्णः प्रयुक्तः

श्रीकर्मराजो

21. भगिनी च तेषां जीयात्सदा सूहृद्विनामध[या] ॥ २०³⁸

कर्माख्यभार्या प्रथमा कपूर [।]-

देवी पुनः कामलदे द्वितीया ।

श्रीभीषजी-

22. कस्तुलीदयाद्वि³⁹

सूर्यप्रभः कामलदेविपुत्रः ॥ २१

श्रीतीर्थयात्राजिनबिंबपूजा-

पदप्रतिष्ठादिकवर्मधुर्याः ।

सुपाचदानेन प-

23. विचमात्राः

सर्वेदृशाः सत्पुरुषाः प्रसिद्धाः ॥ २२⁴⁰

श्रीरत्नसिंहराज्ये राज्यव्यापारभारधीरेयः ।

श्रीकर्मसिंहदत्तो मुखो

³³ Read 'तो' ज्ञातः.³⁴ Read 'पुत्रा'.³⁵ Read कल्पवल्लीव. Above पा there is a mark.³⁶ Read विशदा सदा.³⁷ Read 'तीर्थी'.³⁸ Metre: Upajāti.³⁹ Metre: Indravajrā. Read 'श्रीक'.⁴⁰ Metre: Upajāti. Read 'सिद्धा'। सर्व' stands for सर्वे दृ०.

- L. 24. व्यवहारिणां मध्ये ॥ २३" .
 श्रीशत्रुंजयमाहात्म्यं शु[त्वा]महर्षमनिर्भा ।
 तस्योद्धारकृत भावः कर्मराजस्य — द्यभृत् ॥ २४"
 श्राग-
25. त्व गौर्जरे देगे विवेकेन नरायण ।
 वसंति विबुधा लोकाः पुण्यश्रीका इवाऽऽहुताः ॥ २५
 तत्रास्ति श्रीधरार्धाश्रीश्रीमत् ॥ २६
26. बाहदरी नृप ।
 तस्य प्राप्य स्फुरन्मानं पुंडरीके ममाययौ ॥ २६
 राज्यव्यापारधौर्यः पानः श्रीमान् मभादकः ।
 तस्य गंह म-
27. क्षामंवी रवाग्री नरमिन्दकः ॥ २७
 तस्य सन्मानस्यार्थं वक्ष्यित्तथेन च ।
 उदारः सप्तमस्तेन चक्रे शत्रुंजये गिरौ
28. ॥ २७
 श्रीपादलिमलनामरशत्रुदेगे
 महाद्यमंगलमनोज्ञरगतना[दे] ।
 श्रीकर्मराजसुधिया जलया वि[कायां]
 चक्रे महोत्ता-
29. ववरः सगुरुपदेगात् ॥ २८'
 चंचच्चंगदंगरंगरचनाभरीनफेरारशा-
 वीणा[वंश]विश्रुतनालपिभवामाधर्मि[वात्मन्य]
30. कं ।
 वस्त्रान्कति[त्तम]तुंगतरगादीनां च स[ह]र्षण-
 भवं विस्तरपूर्वकं गिरवरं बिंबप्रतिष्ठापनं ॥ ३०"
 विक्रमसमयार्तीति ति-
31. त्रिमितमंवत्सरं ॥ ३१
 शाके जगत्त्रिबाणे ५३ वैशाखे कृष्णपक्ष्यां च ॥ ३१"
 मिलिताः सूर्यः संवा मार्गणम्-
32. निपुंगवाः ।
 बह्ममाने धनुर्लग्ने प्रतिष्ठा कारिता वराः ॥ ३२"
 चावस्थसमयाख्येन पंडितेन महात्मना ।
 स[त्त]मीद्वारसक्ता
33. च प्रशस्तिः प्रकटीकृता ॥ ३३
 श्रीमहा[हदर]क्षितिशवचनादागत्य शत्रुंजये [1]
 प्रासादं विदधाप्य येन ह — १ — बिंबमा-

²¹ Metre: Āryā.

²² Metre of verses 24—28, Anuśtubh.

²³ Metre: Vasantatilakā.

²⁴ Metre: Śārdūlavikrīḍita. Read तत्रो. ०२१०. The con-
text is not clear.

²⁵ Metre: Āryā. Read ०२११

²⁶ Metre of verses 32, 33, Anuśtubh. Read ०२११ वरा

L. 34.

रोप्य च ।

उद्धारः किल मममः कलियुगं चक्रेथ ना — — —
जोयादप मटोशवंगमुकुटः श्रीकर्मराजश्चरं ॥ ३४¹⁷

य-

35. त्कर्मराजन कृतं सत्कार्य-
मन्येन केनापि कृतं त्रि तत्रो ।
यन्मेकराज्यं पि नृपा न्नयवी-
हारः कृतः समम ण्य येन ॥ ३५¹⁸

36. स — — — "याणि बहूनि मध्ये
कुर्वति भव्याः परम[त्र] काले ।
कर्माभिधानव्यवहारिणो-
द्धारः कृतः श्रीवि-

37. मलादिगुंग ॥ ३६¹⁹
श्रीचित्रकूटोदयगंगलगुंग ।
कर्माव्यभानोरुदयान्वितस्य ।
शत्रुजयं विजयिहारकृत्य-
[कर्मा-

38. वलीयं स्फुर्गतीति चित्रं ॥ ३७²⁰
श्रीमदपाटं विषयं निर्यामिनः
श्रीकर्मराजस्य च कीर्तिक[ज्वला] ।
दशैश्वर्यकैष्वपि [संचरत्य]-

39. श्री
ज्योत्स्नेव चन्द्राय नमोवज्राणिः ॥ ३८²¹
दत्तं येन पुरा धनं बहु सुरचाणाय तन्मानतो
यात्रा येन [नृ]-

40. गां च संघपतिना शत्रुजये कारिता ।
माधूनां सुगमैव ना च विहिता चक्रे प्रतिष्ठा र्चिता-
मित्यं वर्णनमुच्यते किय-

41. दहो श्रीकर्मराजस्य तु ॥ ३९²²
येनोद्धारः शुभवति नगे कारितः पुंडरीके ।
स्वात्मोद्धारो विशदमतिना दुर्ग-

42. तस्तेन चक्रे ।
येनाकारि प्रवरविधिना तीर्थनाथप्रतिष्ठा प्राप्ता
स्तेन त्रिभुवनतले सर्वदैवप्रतिष्ठा ॥ ४०²³
सौम्यत्वे-

¹⁷ Metre: Śārdūlavikrīḍita.¹⁸ Metre: Indravajrā.¹⁹ Perhaps सत्यव्यवहार्यादि.²⁰ Metre: Indravajrā.²¹ Metre: Indravajrā.²² Metre: Indravajrā.²³ Metre: Śārdūlavikrīḍita. Read 'हा²⁴ Metre: Maudākṛāntā. Read 'हा, तेन, 'हा.

L. 43. न निशामणिर्दिनमणिस्तीव्रप्रतापेन च

वंशोद्दीपनकारणात् गृहमणिश्चितामणिदानतः ।

धर्मात् आर्द्धशरोम-

44. णिर्मदविषध्वस्तमणिर्भोगिनः

एकानेकमयो गुणैर्नवनवैः श्रीकर्मराजः सुधीः ॥ ४१^{११}

तोलासुतः सुतन[यां]

45. विनयोज्वलश्च

लीलसुकुचिनिलनीशचिराजहंसः ।

सन्मानदानविदूरी मुनिपुंगवानां

सद्गुणबांधवयुतो

46. — — कर्मराजः ॥ ४२^{१२}

कर्मी श्रीकर्मराजोयं कर्मणा केन निर्ममे ।

तेषां श्रमानि कर्माणि यदृष्टः पुण्यवानमी ॥ ४३^{१३}

47. श्र्यर्धाशः पुंडरीकस्तु मरुदेवा[वः]कर्पदिरात् ।^{१४}

श्राद्धश्रीकर्मराजस्य सुप्रसन्ना भवत्वमी ॥ ४४ ॥

श्रीशत्रुञ्जयतीर्थोद्धारः ।

48. कामटा[य] मनिध्यकारक सा' जडता भा' वाई चांपू पुत्र नाथा भ्रातृ कीता ॥ गृहम्भदा-

वादवास्तव्य भूचधार कोला

49. पुत्र सूत्रधार विरु[पा]सू' भीमा ठ' वेला ठ' वळा ॥ श्रीचित्रकूटादागत सू' टीला सू

पोमा सू' गंगा सू' गीरा सू' ठाना

50. सूत्र' देवा ॥ सूत्र' नाकर सू' नाईआ सू' गोविंद सू' विणायग सू' टीला सू' वळा सू

भाणा सू' का[न्ना] सूत्र'

51. देवदास सू' टीका सू' ठाकर — - प' काला वा० विणायग ठा' काम ठा' हीरा सू

दमोदर वा० हराज सू० धान

52. ॥ मंगलं आदिदेवस्य मंगलं लिमलाचल । मंगलं ^{१५}सर्कलमधस्यमंगलं लिखकस्य च । पं'

विवेकधीरगणिना लिखि

53. [ता प्र]शस्ति ॥^{१६}पूज्य पं' समयरत्नशिष्य पं' लावण्यसमयस्त्रिसधं श्रीआदिदेवस्य प्रणमतीति

भद्रं ॥ श्रीः

54. — 'हरपति । ठा' हांसा ठ' मूला ठा' कृष्णा ठा' का[न्ना] ठा' हषा सू' माधव सू' बाद्र ॥ लो

सहज

No. II.^{१७}

L. 1. श्री ॥^{१८} संवत्[त]१५८७ वर्षे शके १४५३ प्रवर्त्तमाने [विशा]ख वदि ६ । रवौ ॥ श्रीचित्र [कूट]

2. वास्तव्यश्रीउशवा[स]ज्ञातीय^{१९} वृद्धशाखाया^{२०} दे' नरसिंह सुत दे' [से]ला भार्या वाइ लील

पुत्र ६ दो

^{११} Metre: Śārdūlavikrīḍita. Read 'साश'.

^{१२} Metre: Vasantatilakā.

^{१३} Metre of verses 43-44, Anuṣṭubh.

^{१४} Read 'राट'.

^{१५} Read सर्वस'.

^{१६} Read 'लि'.

^{१७} On the base of the image of Ādinātha in the great temple.—J. B.

^{१८} Looks like एठ'.

^{१९} Read 'वाल'.

^{२०} Read शाखाया'.

- L. 3. रत्ना भार्या रजमलदे पुत्र श्रीरंग दी पोमा भा पंथादे दि पटमादे पुत्र माणिकहोर दी गणा
भा^{१५} गुराद [दि]^{१६} गरवदे पुं दवा
1. दी^{१७} दशरथ भा देवलदे दि टरमदे पुत्र केहला दी० सोमा भा^{१८} भावलदे दि^{१९} [सु] पम[दे पु]
— — — भविनी [सु] विदे [- वं] धव श्रीमद्राजसभा गंगारहार श्रीशृंजयसप्तमोहार-
5. कारक दी करमा भा कपूरादे दि कामलदे पुत्र भीपजी पुत्री वाई सोमां वा^{२०} सोना वा
मन वा प्रता प्रमुपमस्तकुटयथो^{२१} शृंजयमुख्यप्रासादी [हा] र श्रीआदिनाथविं प्रति
स्थापित^{२२} । मं रवी म^{२३} नरसिंगमानिध्यात् । प्रतिष्ठित^{२४} श्रीसूरिभिः ॥ श्रीः ॥

No. III.^{२५}

- L. 1. श्री ॥ संवत् [तु] १५८७ वर्षे वैशाख दि
2. श्रीउशवंश वृद्धशाखायां दी^{२६} तोला भा^{२७} वाई लील सुत दी^{२८} रत्ना दी^{२९} पोमा
3. दी^{३०} गणा दी^{३१} दशरथ दी^{३२} भोजा दी^{३३} करमा भा^{३४} कपूरदे । कामलदे पुं भीपजी महि-
तेन श्रीपुंडरीकविं कारितं श्रीः ॥

No. IV.^{३५}

- L. 1. ७६ श्री ॥ श्री नमः ॥ संवत् [१६] २० वर्षे आषाढ शुदि २ रवी ।
2. गंधारवास्तव्य । प्राग[वंश]दीमा । श्रीगोईया सुत दी^{३६} ।
3. नैजपाल भार्या बोई^{३७} [भोड]की सुत दी । पंचारण ।
4. भ्रातृ दी । भांस दी । नने दी । देवराजप्रमुख ।
5. [स्व]कुटवेन युतः । श्रीमहावीरदेवकुलिका ।
6. कारापिता हर्षण । तपागळेविश्वगिमणि श्री-
7. विजयदानसूरिश्रीहरीविजयसूरिप्रसादा[तु]
8. शुभं भवतु ॥ श्रीः ॥ श्रीः ॥ श्रीः ॥

No. V.^{३८}

- L. 1. ॥ ७४ श्री ॥ संवत् १६२० वर्षे कार्तिक शुदि २ रवे
2. गंधारवास्तव श्रीश्रीमालक्ष्मार्तीय सा । गी [पा]स[वीर] ।
3. भार्या वाई [पू]तल सुत सा । श्रीवर्धमान भार्या वाई
4. धमलादे अमरादे सुत सा । श्रीरामजी भाई सा^{३९} । श्री-
5. लक्ष्मी सा । हंस[रा]ज सा । मनजी प्रमुपस्वकुट-
6. वेन युतः श्रीमंत्रजयोपरि श्रीशान्तिनाथप्रासा-
7. दं चोमय कारापित । श्रीतपागळेविश्वधरो [श्रीरी-]
8. मणिश्रीहरीविजयसूरिप्रसादात् शुभं भ-
9. वतु[॥]

^{१५} Read °सुख°.^{१६} Read °हृ°.^{१७} Read °हृ°.^{१८} On the base of the image of Puṇḍarika in the temple facing Aśvavara Bhagavān's temple. *Lists*, u. r. p. 196, No. 98.—J. B.^{१९} In a temple on the south of the enclosure round the temple of Aśvavara. conf. *Lists*, p. 194, Nos. 38—43.—J. B.^{२०} At the beginning of each line stands a symbol looking like ए.^{२१} Read बारं.^{२२} Read °बुधश्रीमणि.^{२३} In the Gandhāria Chaumukh temple, in the north-east of the enclosure of the great Āśvavara temple. *Lists*, p. 195, No. 73.—J. B.^{२४} Looks like एई^{२५} The middle bar of the ४ is wanting

No. VI.⁷⁶

- L. 1. ॥ श्री ॥ उ नमः ॥ संवत् १६२० वर्षे वैशाख शुदि ५
 2. ॥ गुरौ । श्रीगंधारवास्तव्यप्राग्वंशज्ञातीय । संघ-
 3. ॥ वी श्रीजावडा सुत सं^० श्री[सीपा] भार्या बाई ॥
 4. ॥ गिर [सुनाम्ना सुत । सं ।] जिवंत भ्रातृ । सं । काउ-
 5. ॥ जी । सं । अ[ट्ट]जी । प्रमुख[स्व]कुटुंबेन युतः ॥
 6. ॥ श्रीपार्श्वनाथदेवकुलिका । कारापिता ॥
 7. ॥ श्रीतपागच्छे । श्रीविजयदानसूरि[ः] श्री-
 8. ॥ ज्ञीरविसूरिप्रसादात् [त्] ॥ शुभं भवतु ॥

No. VII.⁷⁷

- L. 1. ॥ श्री ॥ संवत् १६२० वर्षे वैशाख शुदि ५ गुरु
 2. ॥ श्रीअक्षय . त्वादवास्तव्यदीसावालज्ञा-
 3. ॥ तीय महं श्रीवणाइग सुत महं । श्रीगला
 4. ॥ भार्या बाई मंगाई सुत । महं । वीरदाम
 5. ॥ स्वकुटुंबेन युतः । श्रीमंत्रंजयोपरि श्री-
 6. ॥ आदिनाथदेवकुलिका कारापिता । श्री-
 7. ॥ तपागच्छे श्रीविजयदानसूरि श्रीज्ञीरवि-
 8. ॥ जयसूरिप्रसादात् ॥ शुभं भवतु ॥

No. VIII.⁷⁸

- L. 1. ॥ श्री ॥ संवत् १६२० वर्षे वैशाख शुदि
 2. ॥ २दिने गंधारवास्तव्यप्राग्वंश[वांश]व्यो । श्री
 3. ॥ परवत सुत व्यो^० फोका सु^० व्यो । व[—]
 4. ॥ आ स्वकुटुंबेन युतः श्रीमंत्रंजयो-
 5. ॥ परि देवकुलिका कारापिता । श्रीत-
 6. ॥ पागच्छे विबुधशिरामणि श्रीविजय-
 7. ॥ दानसूरिप्रसादात् ॥ ॥ श्रीः ॥

No. IX.⁷⁹

- L. 1. ॥ श्री ॥ उ नमः ॥ संवत् १६२० वर्षे वैशाख शु-
 2. ॥ दि ५ दिने गंधारवास्तव्यप्राग्वंशज्ञातीय ।
 3. ॥ व्यो^० समरीश्रा भार्या बाई । भोलु पुत्री बा-
 4. ॥ ई वरधार्ई । बाई । कोबाई स्वकुटुंबेन यु-
 5. ॥ तः । श्रीशान्तिनाथदेवकुलिका कारा-
 6. ॥ पिता । श्रीतपागच्छे विबुधसरोमणि⁸⁰

⁷⁶ In a cell against the wall of the Âdiśvara temple, at the north-east corner

⁷⁷ In a cell to the right of that containing the preceding.—J. B

⁷⁸ In a small shrine, or cell, to the left of the north entrance to, and against the wall of the Âdiśvara temple.—J. B.

⁷⁹ In the cell to the right, or west, of the north door of the Âdiśvara temple.—J. B

⁸⁰ Read °शिरामणि.

L. 7. ॥ श्रीविजयदानसूरि । श्रीहीरविजय ।

8. ॥ सूरिप्रसादात् ॥ शुभं भवतु ॥ :श्री

No. X.⁶¹

L. 1. ॥ श्री ॥ उ नमः ॥ संवत् १६२० वर्षे वैशा-

2. ॥ ष शुदि ५ गुरुदिने श्रीगणेशवास्तव्य-

3. ॥ श्रीश्रीमालोयज्ञार्तीय परी । देवा भा-

4. ॥ री बाई कमलाई सुत परी । मंथी । तथा

5. ॥ गूजरज्ञार्तीय दोसी । श्रीकर्ण भा वा

6. ॥ अमरी सुत । दोसी । हंमराज उभर्यो ।

7. ॥ मोलने श्रीसंतंजयोपरि श्रीआदिना-

8. ॥ थदेवकुलिका कारापिता रीतपागळे-

9. ॥ श्रीविजयदानसूरिप्रसादात् [तु]॥

No. XI.⁶²

L. 1. संवत् १६४० वर्षे फागुण⁶³ शुदि १३ दन⁶⁴

2. ठाकर करमभा भार्जी बाई मली ठाकर

3. दामा भार्जी बाई चंडी ठाकर माह्व ठा-

4. कर जसू ठाकर पोम ठाकर जसूजी भा-

5. जी बाई जांवादे ठाकर माह्व सूत त-

6 जपाल भार्जी बाई तेजलदे सखवी

7. जसू सूत तेजपाल प्रसाद⁶⁵ करा-

8. पितं सुभ भवतु⁶⁶ ॥ दो नाकर सेठ नावाण

9. ७४ ॥ चंडी मावाल ।

No. XII.⁶⁷

L. 1. ॥ श्री ॥ उ नमः ॥

श्रयस्वी प्रथमः प्रभुः प्रथिमभाग् नैपुण्यपुण्यात्मना ।-

मस्तु स्वास्तिकरः सुस्वाब्धिमकरः श्री — —

2. देवः स वः

पद्मोद्भासकरः करैरिव रविव्योम्नि क्रमांभोरुह ।-

न्यासैर्यस्तिर्कावभूव भगवाञ् शत्रुजयेनक-

3. शः ॥१॥⁶⁸

श्रीमहार्थनरेशवंशसरसीजन्माजिनीवल्लभः ॥

⁶¹ In the left end of the verandah to some small chapels or cells on the west of the preceding, and against the north wall of the great temple.—J. B.

⁶² On the Simhāsana of the image in a temple to the south-east of the great Ādiśvara temple.—J. B.

⁶³ Read फाल्गुण.

⁶⁴ Read दिने.

⁶⁵ Read दासा°.

⁶⁶ Read शुभ भवतु.

⁶⁷ In the porch of the east, or front, entrance of the Ādiśvara temple, on the south or left hand, facing No. 1. A transcript is given in the *Lists*, pp. 188. After this inscription No. cxvii should follow.—J. B.

⁶⁸ Metre of verses 1—3: Śārdūlavikṛīḍita. Read श्री चादिदेवः

पायाहः परमप्रभावभवन्नं श्रीवर्द्धमानः प्रभुः ।

उत्पत्तिस्थिति[सं-]

L. 4. हृतिप्रकृतिवाग् यद गौर्जगत्पावनी ।

स्वर्वापीष महाव्रतिप्रणयभृगामीद् रसोद्गामिनो ॥ २

आसीद्दामववन्दवन्दितपदवन्द-

5. पद सपदा ।

तत्पट्टावुधिवन्दमा गणधरः श्रीमान् सुधर्माभिधः ।

यस्योदार्ययुता प्रहृष्टसमना अद्यापि विद्यावती ॥

धत्ते

6. संततिरुन्नतिं भगवतो वीरप्रभोगौरिव ॥ ३⁹⁰

श्रीसुस्थितः सुप्रतिबुद्ध एतौ ।

सूरी अभूता तदनुक्रमेण ।

याभ्या गणो ऽ भू-

7. दिह कोटिकाह 1-

संदायसभ्यामिव सप्रकाशः 181⁹¹

तत्राभुर्हजिणां वदा । श्रीवज्रप्रिगणाभिपः ।

मूल श्रीवज्रगताया । गं-

8. गाया हिमवानिव । 4⁹²

तत्पट्टावुधिवन्दितमणि । रुदितः श्रीवज्रमेनगुरुरासीत् ।

नागेंदुसदृनिर्वृति । विद्याधरसंज्ञकाय तच्छिष्याः

9. 1 ६ 1⁹³

स्वस्वनामसमानानि । गेभ्यस्तत्वारि जज्ञिरे ।

कुलानि काममेतेष कुलं चादं त् दिव्युत ॥ ७ [॥]⁹⁴

भास्करा इव तिमिरं । जज्ञिरेः स्थाति-

10. भालनं ।

भूरयः सूरयस्तत्र । जज्ञिरे जगतां मताः । ८ ।

बभूवुः कमतस्तत्र श्रीजगज्जगत्सूरयः ।

येस्तृणाविकृतं लभे । बाणमिद्धा ऽ कं

11. १२८५ वत्सरे ॥ ९

क्रमेणास्मिन् गणे हिम । विमलाः सूरयोभवन् ।

तत्पट्टे सूरयोभूव । नानन्दविमलाभिधाः ॥ १० [॥]

साध्याचारविधिः प-

12. शः शिथिलतः सम्यक् श्रियां धाम ये 1-

रुद्धे स्तनमिहिसायकसुधारोचिर्निभे १५८२ नेहसि ।

जोमूतैरिव येर्जगत्पुनरिदं तापं

⁹⁰ Read वन्दः पद सपदा

⁹¹ Metre: Indravajra.—Read अभूतां यायाः।

⁹² Metre: Anushubh.

⁹³ Metre: Gita

⁹⁴ Metre of verses 7—10: Anushubh.—Read जज्ञिरे.

L. 13.

हरद्विभृशं ।

सन्नीकं विदधे गवां श्रुचितमैः स्तोमै रमोक्षाभिभिः ॥ ११ १^{१५}

पद्याश्रयैरलमलंक्रियते स्म तेषां ।

प्रोणक्षनां-

14.

सि जगतां कमलोदयेन ।

पट्टः प्रवाज इव निर्जरनिर्करिण्याः ।

शुद्धात्मभिर्विजयदानमुनीशङ्कमैः ॥ १२ १^{१६}

सौभाग्यहरिसर्व[प]-

15.

वृहत्तरणं^{११७} रूपं च रंभापति ।-

श्रीजैचं शतपञ्चमिचमहसां चीरं प्रतापं पुनः ।

येषां वीक्ष्य मनातनं मधुरिपुस्तः स्वामिवर्मांश्वो ।

जाताः

16.

काममपञ्चपाभरभृतो गोपलमाप्तास्त्रयः ॥ १३ ॥

तत्पट्टः प्रकटः प्रकामकलितोद्योतस्तथा सौधव[त्] ।

सस्नेहैर्य[त्]राज-

17.

हीरविजयस्नेहप्रियैर्निर्मम ।

सौभाग्यं महसां भरणं महतामत्यर्थमुल्लासिनां ।

विभ्राणः स यथाजनिष्ट सृष्ट्यां कामप्र-

18.

मोदास्पदं ॥ १४

देशाद् गूर्जरतोय सूरिहृषभा आकारिताः सादर[र] ।

श्रीमत्सामाहिभ्रकब्बरेण विषय^{११८} मेवातसंज्ञं शुभम् ।

शा-

19.

— — — जपाण्योवतमसं सर्वं हरंतो गवां ।

स्तोमैः सूचितविश्वविश्वकमलोक्षाभैर्नभोकी इव ॥ १५ ॥

चक्रः फर्तपुरम-

20.

— — — [र्]भौम ।

दृग् युग्मकोककुलमामरुखं सृजंतः ।

अन्देकपावकटपप्रमितं १६३८ खगोभिः ।

सोला-

21.

— — — — — बुजकाननम् ये ॥ १६ १^{११९}

दामवाखिलभूपमूर्धसु निजाभाज्ञां सदा धारयञ् ।

श्रीमान् सामाहिभ्रकब्बरो नरवरो[दिशेभ]

22.

शेषेष्वपि ।

षण्मासाभयदानपुष्टपटहोद्योधानघध्वसिनः ।

कामं कारयति स्म हृष्टहृदयो यहाकलारजितः ।^{१११०}^{१११५} Metre : Śārdūlavikrīḍita.—Read "हृष्टं".^{१११६} Metre : Vasantatilakā.—Read "निर्करिण्याः".^{१११७} Read सौभाग्य.^{१११८} Metre of verses 13—15. Śārdūlavikrīḍita.^{१११९} Read विषय.^{१११९} Metre : Vasantatilakā^{१११०} Metre : Śārdūlavikrīḍita.—Read "असितः । °रजित

- L. 23. ॥ १७ [॥]
 यपुपदेशवशेन मदं दधन्निखिलमण्डलवासिजने निज ।
 मृतधनं च करं च सुजीजिआभिधमकब्बरभुपतिरत्यजत् ।
24. ॥ १८ [॥]
 यद्वाचा कतकाभया विमलितस्वांतां वपुरः कृपा ।-
 पूर्णः शाहिरनिन्दनीतिवनिताक्रो [डीकृतात्मा] त्यजत् ।
 शुक्लं त्य [क्तु]
25. [म] शक्यमन्यध- तेराजां जनप्रीतये ।
 तद्वाक्कीडजपुंजपूरुषपगंध्याममुचद्धरिशः ॥ १९ ॥
 यद्वाचा^१ निचर्यमृधाकृतसुधास्वा [दे]
26. [र] मंदैः कृता ।-
 ल्लादः श्रीमदकब्बरः क्षितिपतिः मंतुष्टिपुष्टाशयः ।
 त्यक्त्वा तत्करमर्थमार्थमतुलं येषां मनःप्रीतये ।
 जैनभ्य-
27. : प्रददां च तीर्थतिलकं श्रवजयोर्विधरं ॥ २० [॥]
 यद्वाग्भिर्मुदितयकार करुणास्फूर्जन्मनाः पोस्तकं ।
 भांडागारमपारवाड्मय-
28. मयं वेश्मेव वाग्देवतं ।
 यत्सवंगभरण भावितमतिः शाहिः पुनः प्रत्यहं ।
 पूतात्मा बहु मन्यते भगवतां महर्गनो दर्शनम् ॥ २१ [॥]
29. यद्वाचा तरणित्विषेव कलितोक्तामं मनः पंकजं ।
 विभ्रच्छाहिरकब्बरो व्यसनधीपाथोजिनी चंद्रमाः ।
 जज्ञे आहजनीचितैश्च सुकृतै-
30. 'मर्वेषु देगेष्वपि ।
 ख्यातीर्हन्तमक्तिभावितमतिः' श्रीश्रेणिकच्चापवत् ॥ २२ ॥
 लुंपाकाधिपमघजोऽष्टिमुखो हित्वा कुमत्याग्रज ।
 मेजुयश्च-
31. रणद्वयोमनुदिनं भृगा इवांभोजिनी ।
 उक्तासं गमिता यदीयवचनैर्वैराग्यरंगोन्मुखे ।-
 ज्ञाताः स्वस्वमतं विहाय बहवो लोकास्तपासंश्चका-
32. ॥ २३ [॥]
 आसीच्चैत्यविधापनादिसुकृतक्षेत्रेषु वित्तव्ययो ।
 भूयान् यद्वचनं गूर्जरधरामुख्येषु देशेष्व ऽलं ।
 यात्रां गूर्जरमालवादिकमहादेशो-
33. इवैर्भूरिभिः ।
 संचैः मार्गस्त्रयोधरा विदधिर श्रवजये ये गिरौ ॥ २४ [॥]

^१ Read यदुपदे'. Metre Drutavilambita.^२ Metre of vv. 19-24. Sārdūlavikrīḍita.^३ Read यद्वाचा.^४ Read विष्णुः^५ Read 'यद्वा'.

तत्पद्ममिव रम्यतमं सृजंतः ।

स्तोमैर्गणा सकलमंतममं हरंतः [1]

[क]-

L 34. ।मोक्षसत्त्वलयप्रणया जयति [1]

स्फूर्जत्कला विजयमेनमूर्नीट्रचंद्राः । २५[॥]

यत्प्रतापस्य माहात्म्यं वर्ण्यते किमतः पर ।

अस्वप्राथकिरे येन जीव[तां]-

35. पि हि वादिनः । २६[॥]

सौभाग्यं विषमायुधात्मलिनीकांताच्च तजस्विना ।-

मैश्वर्यं गरिजापतेः कुम्दिनीकांतात्कलामालिनां ।

माहात्म्यं ध-

36. रणीधराश्वभुजां गांभीर्यमभानिधि ।-

रादायां वज्रभूः प्रभुः प्रविद्धे यन्मूर्तिमंतमयि । २७[॥]

ये च श्रीमदकब्जरं विनयाटाकारिताः

37. सादरं ।

श्रीमन्नाभपुं पुरंदरपुं व्यक्तं सपर्याकिरे ।

भूयोभिर्ब्रतिभिर्वर्धः परिवृता [१] वगादलं चकिरे ।

सामोदं सरसं सरोरुहवनं लीलामराला

38. इव । २८[॥]

अर्जितं परमेश्वरत्वकलितं मंगाय विश्वोत्तम ।

साक्षात्साहिअकब्जरस्य सदसि स्तोमैर्गवामुद्यतः ।

यैः संमोलिवलोत्तना^६ विदधिर

39. इत्युत्तरं^७ शिवा ।

वाटोन्मादभतो विजातिपतयो भट्टा निशाटा इव ॥ २९[॥]

श्रीमत्साहिअकब्जरस्य सदसि प्रोत्सर्षिभिर्भेगिभि- [१]

वाटोन्मादि-

40. वरान् विजित्य समदाम्निहेहिपंड्रानिव ।

सर्वज्ञाशयतुष्टिहृत्तुग्नयो दिशुत्तरस्यां स्फुरन् ।

यैः कलास इवोच्चलो निजयशःस्तंभो

41. निचक्षु मरुतान् । ३०[॥]

दत्तमाहमधीरधीरविजयश्रीसूरिराजां पुरा ।

यच्छ्रीशहिअकब्जरं धरणांशकेण तत्पीतये ।

तश्चक्रैखिलमयबालम-

42. तिना यत्साज्जगत्साक्षिकं [१]

तत्पत्रं फुरमाणसंज्ञमनघसर्वा^८ दिशो व्यानश ॥ ३१[॥]

⁶ Vasantasaka.

⁷ Anushtubh.

⁸ Metre of vv. 27-31, Śādhālavikrīṭa.

" Read 'निलसो'.

" Perhaps meant for प्रत्यक्षः.

" Read दक्षः, 'नघं स'.

किं च गीहषभकासरकांता ।-

कामरा यमगृहं न हि नेयाः ।

मोच्य-

L 43. मव मृतावित्तमर्गपं [i]

बंदिनीपि हि न च ग्रहणीयाः ॥ ३२ ॥¹⁷

यत्कलासलिलवाहविलासप्रोतचित्तरुणाजनतृष्ट्ये ।

स्वीकृतं स्वयमकम्बरधात्रीस्वामि-

44. ना सकलभतदपीह ॥ ३३ ॥

चोर्लोवैगमनंदनन वसुधाधीन सन्मानिता ।

गुर्वीं गूर्जरमदिनीमनुदिनं स्वर्लोकाच्चिबोकिनी ।

45. मदृता महमां भरण सुभगा गाढं गुणोन्नामिनी ।

ये हारा इव कंठमंबुजदृशां कुर्वति गोभास्यदं ॥ ३४ ॥

इतथ ॥

आभृगान्वय[प]-

46. द्यपद्मसवया उक्तेशवशभव ।-

च्छ्रेणी¹⁸ श्रीशिवराज इत्याभिधया मौर्वर्णिकः पुण्यधीः ।

तत्पुत्रोजनि मोधरश्च तनयस्तस्याभवत्पर्वतः ।

[क]-

47. तालाहंजनि तत्पुत्रश्च तनुजस्तस्यापि वाधाभिधः । ३५ ॥

तस्याभृद्वक्त्राभिधश्च तनुजः श्यातो रजाइभव ।-

स्तस्याभूच्च सुहासिणो [ति]

48. गृहिणी पद्मव पद्मापतेः ।

इंद्राणीसुरराजयोरेव जयः पुत्रस्तयोश्चाभव ।-

त्तजःपाल इति प्रहृष्टसुमनाः पित्रोर्मनःप्रोतिकृत् । ३६ ॥

[का]-

49. मस्यैव रतिर्हरिरेव रमा गौरीव गौरीपते ।-

रामो तैजलदे इति प्रियतमा तस्याकृतिः [— — —] ।

भोगश्रीसुभगो गुरौ प्रणयिनी शश्वत्पुष्पादरी ।

पौलो-

50. मोचिदशेखराविव सुखं तौ दंपती भजतुः ॥ ३७ ॥

वैराग्यवारिनिधिपूर्णांशकणाणां ।

तेषां च हीरविजयव्रतिसिंधुराणां ।

सौभाग्य [भा]-

51. म्यपरभागविभासुराणां ।

तेषां पुनर्विजयसेनमुनीश्वराणां ॥ ३८ ॥¹⁹

वाग्भिर्मुधाकृतसुधाभिरुदंचितेताः ।

आहः स शोभनमना भज-

¹⁷ Metre of vv. 32 and 33, Svāgātā.

¹⁸ Metre of vv. 34—36, Śārdūlavikīṛita.

¹⁹ Read 'कृती

¹⁹ Metre of vv. 38, 39, Vasāntatīlaka

L. 52.

ति स्म भावं [1]

श्रीमं [चम] क्तिघनदानजिनदचैत्यो [1]

ह्वारादकर्मसु भृश सुकृतिप्रियेषु ॥ ३९ ॥¹⁶

विशेषकः ।

अहं प्रमस्तन्ति सुपार्श्वभक्तं-

53 [अ]नतभक्तं श्रमां प्रतिष्ठां ।

सो ऽ चीकरत्पङ्क्युगभृष १६४६ वर्षे ।

हृषणं श्रीवर्माकृतजपालः । ४० [॥]¹⁷

आदावापंभिरच तीर्थतिलके शत्रुं [ज]-

54.

ये ऽ चीकर ।

सैत्यं¹⁸ शैत्यकरं दृशोर्मणिगणस्वर्णादिभिर्भासुरं ।

अत्रान्तेपि भुजार्जितां फलवतीमुच्चैः सृजंतः श्रियं ।

[प्रा]-

55

सादतदनक्रमेण बह्वक्षाकारयन् भृभुजः ॥ ४२ ॥¹⁹

तीर्थं च साधुकरमाभिधो धनो सिद्धिसिद्धितिथि १५८८ संख्ये ।

चैत्यम[चा]-

56.

करदुक्तेरानंदविमलमुत्तिराजां ॥ ४३ ॥²⁰

तं वाच्यं ज्ञेयं भगवद्विहारं ।

म तजपालः स्वहृदीति दध्यौ ।

भावां कदा सो ऽवस

57.

रो वरीयान् ।

यन्ता ऽ च चैत्यं भविता नवीनं ॥ ४४ ॥²¹

अन्यद् स्वगुरुपदेशशरदा कामं वल्लोक्तं ।-

स्वांताभा. स वणिग् व[र] पु-

58.

रवरं श्रीस्तंभतीर्थं वसन ।

तीर्थं आमति तृगतीर्थतिलके शत्रुं जयं हृद्गुह्यो [1]-

हारं कर्तुमना अजायततमां साफल्यमिच्छन् श्रियः । ४५ [॥]²²

59.

अत्र स्यात् सकृतं कृतं तनुमतां श्रयः श्रियां कारण ।

मत्वं निजपृष्ठं जव्रजमहानंदप्रमोदासये ।

तीर्थं श्रीविमलाचलतिविमलं [1]

60.

मौलिहृतो मंदिर ।

जीर्णोद्धारमकारयत्स सुकृती कुंतीतनूजश्रवत् ॥ ४६ ॥

भृशं भिक्षुगणांगणमेतदुच्चैः ।-

चैत्यं चवास्ति शि-

¹⁶ Read 'जिनेन्द्र', अश¹⁷ Metre: Upajāti¹⁸ Read 'श्रीकरं श्रेय'.¹⁹ Śārdūlavikrīṭa. The figure 89 is missing. Read वासाध²⁰ Metre. Āryā. -- Read नन्द.²¹ Metre Upajāti.²² Metre of vv. 15, 16. Śārdūlavikrīṭa.

- L. 61. खरस्थितहेमकुंभं ।
 हस्तेषु ५२ हस्तामितमुच्चमुपैति नाक ।-
 लक्ष्मी विजितुमिव काममखवैश्वी । ४७[II]²⁷
 यत्राहं दीकसि जितागरकुम्-
 62. भिकुभाः ।
 कुंभा विभाति शरवेदकंगदु १२४५ संख्याः ।
 किं सेवितुं प्रभुमयुः²⁸ प्रचुरप्रताप ।-
 पूरैर्जिता दिनकराः कृतनैकरूपाः । ४८[II]
 63. उन्मूलितप्रमदभूमिरुहानशेषान् ।
 विश्वेषु विघ्नकरिणो युगपन्निहतुं ।
 सज्जाः स्म इत्यमभिधातुमिवेदुनेत्राः २१ ।
 सिंहा विभात्युप-
 64. गता जिनधानि यत्र ॥ ४९ ॥
 योगिन्यो यत्र शोभते चतस्रो जिनवैश्वनि ।
 निषेवितुमिवाक्रांताः प्रतापैरागता दिशः । ५०[II]²⁹
 राजते च दि-
 65. शां पाला [- १] यत्राऽहं दालये ।
 मूर्त्तिमंत × किमायाता धर्मास्त्रयमिनाममी । ५१[II]
 हाससतिः श्रियमयति जिनेन्द्रचंद्र ।-
 बिंबानि देवकुलि-
 66. कासु च तावतीषु ।
 हाससतेः श्रितजनालिकलालतानां ।
 किं कुटुम्बलापरिमलैर्भुवनं भरतः । ५२ ॥³⁰
 राजते यत्र चत्वारो गवाक्षा जिनवे-
 67. श्वनि ।
 विरंचेरिव वक्त्राणि विश्वाकारणहेतवे ॥ ५३ ॥³¹
 यत्र चैत्ये विराजते । चत्वारश्च तपोधनाः ।
 अमी धर्माः किमाया-
 68. ताः । प्रभूपाख्यै वपुर्भूतः ॥ ५४ ॥
 पंचाक्षिकाः श्रियमयति जिनेन्द्रधानि ।
 हात्रिंशदिन्द्रमणीभरजेचरूपाः ।
 ज्ञात्वा पतीनि-
 69. ह जिनो किमु लक्षणम् ।-
 राजां प्रिया मिजमिजेशनिभालनोत्काः ॥ ५५ ॥³²
 हात्रिंशदुत्तमतमानि च तोरणानि ॥
 राजंति य-

²⁷ Metre of vs. 47—49: Vasantatilakā.²⁸ °युः visible on the reverse.²⁹ Metre of vs. 60: 51: Anushtubh.³⁰ Metre: Vasantatilakā.³¹ Metre of vs. 53, 54: Anushtubh.³² Metre of vs. 55, 56: Vasantatilakā.

1. 70. च जिनधास्त्रि मनोहराणि ।
किं तोयिक्तदृशनलस्त्रिमृगच्छणाना ।-
मंदोलनानि सरलानि सुखासनानि ॥ ५६
गजाश्चतु-
71. विंशतिर ऽ द्विनुगा ।
विभांति गस्ता जिनधास्त्रि यत्र ।
देवाश्चतुर्विंशतिरोशमन्त्र्य ।
किमागताः कुञ्जररूपभाजः ॥ ५७ ॥²⁹
स्त-
72. भाद्यतुस्त्रयस्त्रि ऽ द्विराजो ।-
तुंगा विभांतीह जिनद्वर्चस्ये ।
दिशाम ऽ धीर्गः सह सर्व्व इन्द्रा ।-
× किमाशमन्त्र्य समुपयिवांसः ॥ ६
73. ॥ ५८ ॥
रम्यं नंदपयोधिभूपति १६४८ मिते वर्षे सुखीत्कपकृत्
माहाय्याद् जसुठकुरस्य सुकतारामैकपाथीमुचः ॥
प्राप्ता (1)-
74. दं वक्षिन्नासुतेन सुधिया शत्रुजये कारितं ॥
दृष्ट्वा ऽ ष्टापदतीर्थचैत्यतुलितं केषां न चित्ते रतिः ॥ ५९ ॥³⁰
चैत्यं चतुर्णामिव धर्म-
75. मेदिनी ॥-
भुजां गृहं प्रीणितविश्वविष्टपम् ॥
शत्रुजयोर्व्वीर्भति नदिं वर्धना ।-
ऽ भिधं सदा यच्छतु वांछितानि वः ॥ ६० ॥³¹
[—]
76. यः प्रभाभरविनिर्भितनेत्रशैत्ये ।
चैत्ये ऽ च भूरिर ऽ भवद् विभवव्ययो यः ।
ज्ञात्वा वदंति मनुजा इति तेजपालं ॥
क-
77. ल्पद्रुमत्ययमनेन धनव्ययेन । ६१ ॥³²
शत्रुजये गगनबाणकला १६५० मिते ऽ व्दे ।
यावां चकार सुकृताय स तेजपा ।-
78. लः ॥
चैत्यस्य तस्य सुदिने शुभभिः प्रतिष्ठा ॥
चक्रे च ह्रीरविजया ऽभिधसुरिसिंहैः ॥ ६२ ॥
मार्तण्डमंडलमिवांबुस्रहां

²⁹ Metre of vs. 57, 58 : Upajāti.³⁰ Metre: Śārdūlavikrīḍita.³¹ Metre. Upajāti of Indravamśā and Vamśaṣṭha.³² Metre of vs. 61, 63 : Vasantatilakā.

L. 79.

समूहः ॥

पीयूषरश्मिमिव नीरनिधेः प्रवाहः ।

केकिव्रजः सलिलवाहमिवाऽतितुंगं ।

चेत्यं निरीक्ष्य मुदमति जनः

80.

समस्तः ॥ ६३ ॥ क

चेत्यं चारु चतुर्मुखं कृतसुखं श्रीरामजीकारितं ।

प्रोत्तुंगं जसुठकुरेण विज्ञितं चेत्यं द्वितीयं शुभं ।

रम्यं कुञ्च-

81.

रजीविनिर्मितम् ॥ भूषैत्यं तृतीयं पुन ।-

मूलयेष्टिकृतं निकामसुभगं चेत्यं चतुर्थं तथा ॥ ६४ ॥^{११}

एभिर्विश्वविमारिभिर्द्युतिभरैर-

82.

त्यर्थसंस्त्रितोद् ।-

द्योतो दिच्छ ॥ खिलासु निर्ज्वरपतिः स्वर्लोकपालैरिव ।

श्रीशत्रुंजयशैलमौलिमुकुटं चेत्यं द्युतिभिर्यु-

83.

तः ॥

प्रामादीं ॥ गिमनोवि ॥ नोदकमलाचेत्यं चिरं नन्दतु ॥ ६५ ॥

धस्ताभिधस्य वरसूत्रधरस्य शिल्पं ॥

चेत्यं चिरादिदमदोक्ष

84.

निरीक्षणीयं ।

शिल्पत्वमिच्छति ॥ कलाकलितोपि विश्व ।-

कर्मण्य शिल्पिपटले भवितुं प्रमिदः ॥ ६६ ॥^{१२}

सदाचाराधीनां कमलविज-

85.

याह्वानसुधियां ।

पटद्वंदांभोजभ्रमरमदृशो हेमविजयः ।

अलंकारैराद्यां स्त्रियमिव शुभां यां विहितवान् ।

प्रशस्तिः श[स्ते-]

86.

पा अगति चिरकालं विजयतां ॥ ६७ ॥

इति सौवर्णिकमाह्वयितंजःपालोद्भूतविमलाचल-

[पट्ट] नश्रीआदीशमूलप्रासादप्रशस्तिः [य_य-]^{१३}

87.

बुधमहजसागराणां । विनयजयसागरो ॥ निखद्वर्णेः ।

शिल्पिभ्यामुत्कीर्णा । माधवनानाभिधानाभ्यां ॥ ६८ ॥^{१४}No. XII.^{१५}

L. 1. ॥ श्री ॥ स्वस्ति श्रीसंवत् १६५२ वर्षे मार्गं वदि २ सोमवासरे पुष्यनक्षत्रे निष्प्राप्तिसमं

वसन्ते ॥

2. निःस्पृहतादिगुणरजितेन सहिशीषकवरनरेन्द्रेण प्रतिवर्षं घाण्णामिकमकलजतृजाता-

^{११} Metre of vs. 64, 65: Śārdūlavikrīḍita. Read श्रीष्ट.^{१२} Metre: Vasantatīlaka.^{१३} Metre: Śikharinī.^{१४} Read यय-^{१५} Metre: Āryā beginning with दृष्ट.^{१६} Round a pair of Pādukas in a small temple to the west of Ādīśvara Bhagavāna temple — *Lists of Ind. Rem.*, p. 198; No. 119.—J. B.

3. भयदानप्रवर्त्तनसर्वकालीनगवादिवधनिवर्त्तनजीजिष्वादिकरमोचनमुंडका-
4. भिधानकरमोचनपूर्वकश्रीशंजुजयतीर्थसमर्पणादिपुरस्सरं प्रदत्तबहु २ मानानां नानादे-
5. श्रीयसंघसमुदायेन सह श्रीशंजुजये कृतयात्राणां जगद्विख्यातमहिमपात्राणां सं० १६५२ व-
6. र्घे भाद्र सितैकादश्यां उन्नतदुर्गे अनशनपूर्वकं महोत्सवेन साधितोत्तमार्थानां तपागङ्गा-
धिराजभट्टा
7. श्रीहीरविजयसूरीणां पादुकाः कारि^० स्तंभतीर्थीय सं० उदयकरणेन प्र०भ^०
8. श्रीविजयसेनसूरिभिः ॥ महोपाध्याय श्रीकल्याणविजयगणयः पं० धनविजय-
9. — णिथ्यां स[ढ]प्रणमंति ॥ एताश्च भ[वा]
10. [— — रा] राध्यमानाशिरं
11. [नंदं] तु ॥ ॥ श्रीः ॥

No. XIV.³⁹

- L. 1. १६७५ वैशाख सुदि १३ शुक्ले संघवालगो-
2. श्री^{१०} काचरसंताने सां० केल्ला पुत्र सां० थन्ना
3. पुं नरसिंघ पुं कुंभरा पुं नच्छा भार्या नव-
4. रंगदे पुं सुरताण भार्या सैदूरदे पुत्र श्रीशंजु-
5. यतीर्थयात्राविधानसंप्राप्तसंघपतितिलक^{११}-
6. समक्षेन्नोत्सवित्त सां० पेतसी भां० सोभागदे
7. पुं पदमसी भार्या प्रेमलदे पुं इंद्रजी भार्या [आ]
8. ० वीरमदे द्वितीयपुत्र सोमसी खलघुपुत्र सां०
9. विमलसी भार्या लाडिमदे पुत्र पोमसी द्वितीय-
10. भार्या विमलादे पुत्र दूजणसी पोमसी भार्या
11. केसरदे पुत्र विं डूंगरसी प्रमुखपुत्रपौत्र-
12. पौत्रपरिवारसहितेन चतुर्मुखविहारपूर्वा-
13. भिमुखस्थाने — — — देवगृहिका कुटुंब-
14. श्रेयोर्थ^{१२} कारिता श्रीवृत्तस्वरतरंगकाधिराज-
15. युगप्रधानश्रीजिनसिंहसूरिपट्टालंकारक[^{१०}]
16. शंजुजयाष्टमोहारप्रतिष्ठाकारकश्रीजिनराज-
17. सूरिसूरि[समाजराजाधि]राजैः^{१३}[॥]

No. XV.^{१४}

- L. 1. ॥ सं० १६७५ वैशाख सुदि १३ तियौ शुक्लवारं सुरताणनूरदीनजङ्गांगीरसवादविजयिराज्ये ॥ श्रीः
2. अहमदावास्तव्यप्राग्य टन्नातीयलघुशाखाप्रदीपक सं० माईया भार्या नाकू पुत्र सं० जोगी भार्या
असमादे
3. पुत्ररत्न सकलसुश्रवककर्त्तव्यताकरणविहितयत्न सं० सोमजी भार्या राजलदे पुत्र संघपति
रूपजीकीन भाया

³⁹ On the shrine door of the temple of Puṇḍarikā in front of the Chaumukha temple in the Kharataravasi Tūṅk; *Lists*, p. 206, No. 312.—J. B.

^{१०} Read "नीचे".

^{११} Read "शंजुजय".

^{१२} Read "उदय".

^{१३} Read "राजाधि".

^{१४} Round *pādukas* in a small temple in the north-west of the Kharataravasi Tūṅk; Lines 1, 2 and 3, are on the south, west and north sides respectively, and 4—6 on the east. *Lists*, p. 206, No. 320.—J. B.

- L. 4. जेठी पुत्र चिं उदयवंत बाई कोडिकुंअरिप्रमुखसारपरिवारसहितेन स्वयंकारितसप्राकारयो-
 5. विमलाचलोपरि मूलोद्वारमारचतुर्मुखविहारशृंगारकश्रीयुगादिदेवप्रतिष्ठायां श्रीआदिनाथ-
 पादुके परमप्रमोदाय
 6. कारिते प्रतिष्ठिते च श्रीवृहत्पुत्रतरगकाधिराजश्रीजिनराजसूरिसूरिशिरस्थिलकैः ॥ प्रणमति
 भुवनकीर्तिगणिः ॥

No. XVI.⁴⁵

- L. 1. संवत् १६७५ वैशाख सुदि १३ शुके ॥ श्रीमवालज्ञातीयलोढागोत्रीय सा° रायमल्ल भार्या
 2. रंगादे पुत्र सा° जयवंत भार्या जयवंतदे पुत्र विविधपुण्यकर्मकारकश्रीशत्रुंजययात्रा
 3. विधानसंप्राप्तसंघपतितिलक स° राजसीकेन' भार्या कसुंभदेव तुरंगदे पु° अषयराज भार्या अह-
 4. कारदे
 5. पु° अजयराज स्वभ्रातृ स° अमीपाल भार्या गृजरदे पु° वीरधवल भा° [ज]गतादे खलघुभ्रा-
 6. तृ स° वीरपाल भार्या लीलादे प्रमुखपरिवारसहितेन श्रीआदिनाथपादुके कारिते प्रतिष्ठिते
 युगप्रधानश्रीजि[न]
 7. सिंहसूरिपट्टोद्योतकश्रीजिनराजसूरिभिः श्रीशत्रुंजयोद्वारप्रतिष्ठायां [i] श्रीवृहत्पुत्रतरगकाधि-
 राजै [i] ॥

No. XVII.⁴⁶

- L. 1. स° १६७५ मिते सुरताणनरदोजह्वांगीरसवाईविजयिराज्ये साहिजादासुरताणप्रोस[ड] प्रवरे
 श्रीराजी
 2. नगरं सोवइसाहियानसुरताणपुरमे वैशाख सित १३ शुके श्रीअहमदावास्तव्यलघुशाखाप्रकट-
 प्राग्वाटज्ञातीय स° देवराज भार्या
 3. [ड]डी पुत्र स° गोपाल भार्या राजपुत्र स° राजा पुत्र स° साईआ भार्या नाक पुत्र स° जीग
 भार्या जम्मदे पुत्ररत्न श्रीशत्रुंजयतीर्थयात्राविधानसंप्राप्तश्रीसंघपतितिलकनवीनजिनभ-
 वनत्रिवप्रतिष्ठामाधर्मिकवात्सल्यादिधर्मजेचो-
 4. सखवित्त म सोमजी भार्या राजलदे कुजिरत्न राजसभाशृंगार स° [ड]पजीकेन पितृव्य स° शिव
 स्वहृदभ्रातृ रत्नजी पुत्र सुंदर[दाम] सपर लघुभ्रातृ धीमजी पुत्र रविजी स्वभार्या जंठी पु° उदय-
 वंत पितामह भ्रातृ स° नाथा पुत्र स° सूरजी प्रमुखसारपरिवारसहितेन
 5. स्वयंसमुद्धारितसप्राकारश्रीविमलाचलोपरि मूलोद्वारसारचतुर्मुखविहारशृंगारहारश्रीआदिना-
 थबिंबं कारितं प्रतिष्ठितं च श्रीमहावीरदेवपट्टानुपट्टाविच्छेदपरंपरायातश्रीउद्योतनसूरि
 श्रीवर्धमानसूरि वसतिमार्गप्रकाशकश्रीजिनेश्वरसूरि श्रीजिनचंद्र-
 6. [स] रि नवांगवृत्तिकारकश्रीस्तंभनकपार्श्वनाथप्रकटकश्रीअभयदेवसूरि श्रीजिनवल्लभसूरि देव-
 ताप्रदत्तयुगप्रधानपदश्रीजिनदत्तसूरि श्रीजिनचंद्रसूरि श्रीजिनपत्तिसूरि श्रीजिनश्वरसूरि
 श्रीजिनप्रबोधसूरि श्रीजिनचंद्रसूरि श्रीजिनकुशलसूरि श्रीजिनपद्मसूरि श्री-
 7. जिनलब्धिसूरि श्रीजिनचंद्रसूरि श्रीजिनोदयसूरि श्रीजिनराजसूरि श्रीजिनभद्रसूरि श्रीजिन-
 चंद्रसूरि श्रीजिनसमुद्रसूरि श्रीजिनहंससूरि श्रीजिनमाणिक्यसूरि दिल्लीपतिपातसाहि-
 श्रीअकबरप्रतिबोधकतत्प्रदत्तयुगप्रधानविरुद्धारकसकलदेशाष्टाङ्गिका-

⁴⁵ Round a second pan of feet in the same temple; Line 1 on the south, 2 on the west, 3 and 4 on the south, and 5-7 on the east.

⁴⁶ In the Gaumukha shrine, at the entrance of the great temple in the Kharataravasi Tunk, — *Lists*, p. 205, No. 310 — J. B.

L. 8. मारिप्रवर्त्तावकुंयित⁴⁷ जङ्गागीरसाहिरंजकतत्सुमंडलवह्निःकृतमाधुरस्यकयुगप्रधानश्रीजिनचंद्र-
सूरि मंत्रिकर्मचंद्रकारितमपादकोटिवित्तव्ययरूपनंदिमहोत्सवप्रकारकठिनकाश्मीरादिदेशविहारकारकश्रीश्र-
कबरसाहिमनःकमलभ्रमरानुकारकवर्षावधिल 48

9. जलजंतुजातघातनिर्वर्त्तक⁴⁹ श्रीपुरगोलकुंडागळणाप्रमुखदेशामारिप्रवर्त्तकसकलविद्याप्रधानजङ्गागी-
रनरदीमहम्मदपातिसाहिप्रदत्तयुगप्रधानपदश्रीजिनमिहसूरि पट्टालंकारकश्रीश्रंभिकावरधारकतदलवाचित-
घंघाणिपुरप्रकटितचिरंतनप्रतिमाप्रशस्ति [व —]-

10. तरबोहित्यवंशोय सा⁵⁰ धर्मसीधारलदेदारकचतुःशास्त्रपारीणधुरीणशृंगारकभट्टारकहंदाकरकश्रीजिन-
राजसूरिसूरिशिरो[मुकुटः] ॥ आचार्य श्रीजिनसागरसूरि श्रीजयसोम महोपाध्याय श्रीगुणविनयोपाध्याय
श्रीधर्मनिधानोपाध्याय पं⁵¹ आनंदकीर्त्ति खलघुसहोदर वा⁵² [भद्र]

11. [मनादिसत्परिकरैः ॥]

No. XVIII.⁵³

I. 1. ॥ संवत् १६७५ प्रमिते सुरताणनूरदीजङ्गागीरमवाईविजयिराज्ये

2. साहिजादासुरताणधोस[रु]प्रवरे राजनगरे सोवडसाहियानसुरताणपुरमे ॥ वैशाख मित १३ शुक्ल १ श्री

3. अहम्मदावादवास्तव्यप्राख्यातज्ञातीय सं⁵⁴ देवराज भार्या [रु]डी पुत्र सं⁵⁵ गोपाल भा⁵⁶ राज पु⁵⁷ सं⁵⁸
राजा पु⁵⁹ साईभा भा⁶⁰ नाजू पु⁶¹ सं⁶² जोगी भार्या असमादे पुत्ररत्न श्रीशंजयतीर्थयात्राविधानसंप्राप्तसंचप-
तितिल-

4. कनवीनजिनभवनविंशप्रतिष्ठासाधर्मिकवास्तव्यादिधर्मक्षेत्रोमस्त्वित्त सं⁶³ सोमजी भार्या राजलदे
कुस्त्रिरत्न संचपति [रु]पजीकेन पितृव्य सं⁶⁴ शिवा स्वहृदभ्रातृ रत्नजी सुत सुंदरदाम मपर लघुभ्रातृ पीमजी
पुत्र रविजी पितामहभ्रातृ सं⁶⁵ नाथा पुत्र सू-

5. रजी स्वपुत्र उदयवंत प्रमुखपरिवर्त्तन स्वयंसमुद्धृतमप्राकारश्रीविमलाचलोपरि मूलोद्धारसार-
चतुर्मुखविहारगृंगारश्रीआदिनाथविंश कारितं प्रतिष्ठितं च श्रीमहावीरदेवाविच्छिन्नपरंपरायातश्रीउद्यो-
तनसूरि श्रीवर्द्धमानसूरि वसतिमार्गप्रकाशकश्रीजिनेश्वर-

6. सूरि श्रीजिनचंद्रसूरि नवांगहस्तिकारकश्रीस्तंभनकपार्श्वप्रकटकश्रीश्रभयदेवसूरि श्रीजिनवल्लभसूरि
युगप्रधानश्रीजिनदत्तसूरिया[पा]द श्रीजिनभद्रसूरिया[पा]द श्रीश्रकबरप्रतिबोधकतत्प्रदत्तयुगप्रधानपदधार-
कसकलदेशाष्टाङ्गिकामारिपालकषाण्मासिकाभय-

7. दानदायकयुगप्रधानश्रीजिनचंद्रसूरि मंत्रिकर्मचंद्रकारितश्रीश्रकबरसाहिममत्तमपादशतलक्षवित्तव्यय-
रूपनंदिमहोत्सववि [स्तार] विहितकठिनकाश्मीरादिदेशविहारमधुरतरातिशायिस्ववचनचातुरीरंजिताने⁶⁶
कहिंदूकतुरष्काधिपतिश्रीश्रकबरसाहिश्रीका-

8. स्त्रीपुरगोलकुंडागळणाप्रमुखदेशामारिप्रवर्त्तावकवर्षावधिलधिलजलजंतुजातघातनिवर्त्तावकसुरता-
णनूरदीजङ्गागीरसाहिप्रदत्तयुगप्रधानविद्वदप्रधानश्रीजिनमिहसूरि पट्टमभाकरसमुपलब्धश्रीश्रंभिकावरबोहि-
त्यशोय⁶⁷ सा⁶⁸ धर्मसीधारलदेनंदनभट्टारकचक्र-⁶⁹

9. चक्रवर्त्तिभट्टारकशिरस्स्थलकश्रीजिनराजसूरिसूरिराजैः श्रीहृदयस्वरतरंगकाधिराजैः ॥ आचार्य
श्रीजिनसागरसूरि पं⁷⁰ आनंदकीर्त्ति खलघुभ्रातृ वा⁷¹ भद्रमेनादिसत्परिकरैः [॥]

⁴⁷ Probably °कुंयित.

⁴⁸ Read 'जलवि'.

⁴⁹ Read °निव'.

⁵⁰ In the shrine of the great Chaumukha temple (No. 310)
on the south image.—J. B.

⁵¹ Read रंजिता'.

⁵² Read वंशोय'.

⁵³ Dele चक्र'.

No. XIX.⁶⁴

L. 1. संवत् १६७५ मिते सुरताणनूरदीजङ्गांगीरसवाईविजयिराज्ये साहियादासुरताणघोस[डू]-

2. वरे राजनगरे सोवडसाहियानसुरताणपुरमे वैशाख मित १३ शुक्ले श्रीअहमदावादवास्तव्यप्राग्वा-
टज्ञातीय से^६ देवराज भार्या

3. [डू]डी पुत्र से गोपाल भार्या राजू पुत्र से राजा पुत्र से सार्इआ भार्या नाकू पुत्र से जोगो
भार्या जममादे पुं श्रीशतृजयतीर्थयात्राविधानसंप्राप्तसंघपतितिलकनवीनजिनभवनबिंबमाधार्मिकवात्स-
ल्यादिधर्मजेतो-

4. मस्ववित्त से मोमजी भार्या राजलदे पुत्ररत्न संघपति [डू]पजीतेन [केन] पितृव्य शिवालालजी
खहडभ्रातृरत्न रत्नजी सुं [दरदास] खलधुभ्रातृ पीमजी सुत रविजी पितामहभ्रातृ से नाथा पुत्र सूरज
खपुत्र उदयवंत प्रमुखपरिवारसहितेन स्वयंसमुद्धारित-

5. सप्राकारश्रीविमलाचलोपरि मूलोद्धारसारचतुर्मुखविहारशृंगारहारश्रीआदिनाथबिंबकारितं प्रति-
ष्ठितं च श्रीमहावीरदेवाविष्णुपरायथातश्रीहृत्स्वरतरगच्छाधिराजश्रीअकबरसाहिप्रतिबोधकतत्पद-
युगप्रधानविरुद्धधारकषाण्मासिकाभय-

6. दानदायकसकलदेशाष्टाङ्गिकामारिप्रवत्तोवकयुगप्रधानश्रीजिनचंद्रसूरि मंत्रिमुख्यकर्मचंद्रकारितश्री-
अकबरसाहिसमक्षमपादशतलक्षवित्तव्ययरूपनंदिपदमहोत्सवविस्तारविहितकठिनकाश्मीरादिदेशविहारमधु-
रतरातिशायिस्ववचनचातुरीरंजिताने-

7. कच्छिंदूकतुरष्कराधिपश्रीअकबरसाहिश्रीकारश्रीपुरगोलकुंडागज्जाणप्रमुखदेशामारिप्रवत्तोवकषाव-
धिजलधिजलजंतुजातघातनिर्वृत्तोवकसुरताणनूरदीजङ्गांगीरसवाईप्रदत्तयुगप्रधानपदधारकसकलविद्याप्रधा-
नयुगप्रधानश्रीजिनमिहसूरि पट्टप्रभाक^{६५}

8. श्रीअंबिकावरप्रवाचितचंघाणीपुरप्रकटितचिरंतनप्रतिमाप्रशस्तिवर्ष्णीतरबोद्धित्य[वं]श्रीय सा^{६६} धर्म-
सोधारलदेनंदनभट्टारकशिरोमणिश्रीजिनराजसूरिसूरिपुरंदरैः ॥ आचार्य श्रीजिनसागरसूरि श्रीजयसोममहो-
पाध्याय श्रीगुणविनयोपाध्याय श्रीधर्मनिधानोपाध्याय पं^{६७} आनंद-

9. कीर्त्ति खलधुभ्रातृ वा^{६८} भट्टसेन पं^{६९} राजधीर पं^{७०} भुवनराजादिसत्परिकरैः [॥]

No. XX.⁶⁷

L. 1. संवत् १६७५ प्रमिते ॥ सुरताणनूरदीजङ्गांगीरसवाईविजयिराज्ये साहियादा-

2. सुरताणघोस[रू] प्रवरे श्रीराजनगरे सोवडसाहियानसुरताणपुरमे वैशाख मित १३ शुक्ले श्रीअह-

3. अदावादवास्तव्यप्राग्वाटज्ञातीय से^६ देवराज भार्या [डू]डी पुत्र से गोपाल भार्या राजू पुत्र से
राजा पुं से सार्इआ भार्या नाकू

4. पुत्र से जोगो भार्या जममादे पुत्र श्रीशतृजयतीर्थयात्राविधानसंप्राप्तसंघपतिपदवीकनवीन-
जिनभवनबिंबप्रतिष्ठासाधर्मिवात्सल्यादिसत्कर्मधर्मकारक से मोमजी भार्या राजलदे पुत्ररत्न संघपति
[डू]पजीकेन भार्या जेठी पुत्र

5. उदयवंत पितृव्य से शिवा खहडभ्रातृ रत्नजी पुत्र सुंदरदास सपर खलधुभ्रातृ पीमजी सुत
रविजी पितामहभ्रातृ से नाथा पुत्र [से] सूरजी प्रमुखपरिवारसहितेन स्वयंकारितसप्राकारश्रीविम-
लाचलोपरि मूलोद्धारसारचतुर्मुखविहारशृंगारकश्रीआदि-

⁶⁴ In the same on the west image.—J. B.

⁶⁵ Read निर°.

⁶⁶ Read °भाकर.

⁶⁷ In the same, on the north image.—J. B.

6. नाथबिंबं कारितं प्रतिष्ठितं च श्रीवीरतीर्थकराविच्छिन्नपरंपरायातश्रीवृहत्स्वरतरंगच्छाधिप-
श्रीभक्तवरसाहिप्रतिबोधकतत्प्रदत्तयुगप्रधानविरुद्धारकसकलदेशाष्टाङ्गिकामारिप्रवर्त्तावकयुगप्रधानश्रीजिन-
चंद्रसूरि श्रीभक्तवर-

7. साह्रिंजकविविधजीवदयालाभग्राहकसुरताणनरदीजहांगीरसवाईप्रदत्तयुगप्रधानविरुद्धारकयुग-
प्रधानश्रीजिनसिंहसूरि पट्टविभूषणबोहित्यवसोय "सा" धर्मसीधारलदेनंदनभट्टारकचक्रचूडामणिश्रीजिनराज-
सूरिसूरिदिनमणिभिः ॥ आ-

8. चार्य श्रीजिनसागरसूरि पं' आनंदकीर्त्ति खलघुसहोदर वा' भद्रसेनादिसत्यरिकरैः ॥

No. XXI.⁵⁹

L. 1. श्री ॥ स्वस्ति श्रीवत्सभर्त्तापि न विष्णुश्चतुराननः ।

न ब्रह्मा यो हृषीकोपि न रुद्रः स जिनः श्रिये ॥ १ ॥⁶⁰

संवत्

2. १६७५ वर्षे शाके १५४१ प्रवर्त्तमाने ।

समग्रदेशयुंगार । ज्ञात्वारतिलकोपमम् ।

अनेकेभ्यश्चहाकीर्त्ति । नवीनपुरम्-

3. त्तमं ॥ २ ।

अभ्रंलिङ्गविहाराय । ध्वजांशुकहृतातपम् ।

रूप्यस्वर्णमणिव्यास । चतुष्पथविराजितम् । ३ । युग्मम्

तत्र राजा

4. [प्र] शास्ति श्रीजसवंताभिधो नृपः ।

यामश्रीशत्रुशल्याहकुलांबरनभोमणिः । ४ ।

यत्प्रतापाग्निमंतापसंतप्त इव ताप-

5. नः ।

निर्भ्राति जलधौ नित्यमुभज्जननिमज्जने । ५ । युग्मम् ।

बभूवुः श्रीमहावीरपट्टानुक्रमभूषणाः ।

श्रीभ्रंचलगणा-

6. तीशा आर्यरक्षितसूरयः । ६ ।

तत्पट्टपंकजादित्याः सूरिश्रीजयसिंहकाः ।

श्रीधर्मघोषसूरीद्रा महेन्द्रास्त्रिंहसूरयः⁶¹

7. । ७ ।

श्रीसिंहप्रभसूरीशाः सूरयो ऽजितसिंहकाः ।

श्रीमहेवेंद्रसूरीशाः श्रीधर्मप्रभसूरयः । ८ ।

श्रीसिंहतिलकाङ्गाय श्रीम-

8. [हं]द्रप्रभाभिधाः ।

श्रीमंतो मेरुतुंगाख्या बभूवुः सूरयस्ततः ॥ ८ ॥

⁵⁹ Read श्रीय.

⁶⁰ On the north wall of a temple near the Hāthipola, in the Vimalavasi Tunk : *Lists*, p. 201. No. 234.—J. B.

⁶¹ Metre: Anushtubh.

⁶² Probably महेन्द्रास्त्रिंह.

समयगुणसंपूर्णाः स्मृतिश्रीजयकीर्तयः ।

तत्पदेय सुसाधुश्री-

- L. 9. जयकेशरिसूरयः । १० ।
 श्रीसिद्धांतसमुद्राख्यसूरयो भूरिकीर्तयः ।
 भावसागरसूरीन्द्रास्ततो ऽभूवन् गणाधिपाः ॥ ११ ॥
 श्री-
10. मङ्गलनिधानाख्यसूरयस्तत्पदेभवन् ।
 युगप्रधानाः श्रीमंतः स्मृतिश्रीधर्ममूर्तयः । १२ ।
 तत्पद्मोदयशैलाग्रप्रोद्यत्तरणिंस-
11. निभाः ।
 जयंति स्मृतिराजः श्री । युजः कल्याणसागराः । १३ ।
 श्रीनव्यनगरे वास्य । पक्षेयज्ञातिभूषणः ।
 इभ्यः श्रीह-
12. रपालाङ्ग आसीलालणगोचकः । १४ ।
 हरीयाख्यो ऽय तत्पुत्रः सिंहनामा तदंगजः ।
 उदेसीत्यथ तत्पुत्रः पर्वताङ्गस्त-
13. तो ऽभवत् । १५ ।
 वच्छ्रुनामा ऽय तत्पत्नी चामूढाकलदेविका ।
 तत्कुक्षिमानसे हंसतुल्यो ऽया ऽमरसंज्ञकः । १६ ।
 लिंग-
14. देवीति तत्पत्नी तदीरस्यास्तयो वराः ।
 जयंति श्रीवर्धमानचापसीपद्मसिंहकाः । १७ ।
 अतः परं विशेषतः साहिवर्धमान-
15. साह्विपद्मसिंहयोर्वर्धनम् ।
 गांभीर्येण समुद्राभौ दानेन धनदोपमौ ।
 अक्षालुगुणसंपूर्णौ बोधिना श्रेणिको-
16. पत्नी । १८ ।
 प्राप्तश्रीयामभूपालसमाजबहुलादरी ।
 मन्त्रिश्रीवर्धमानश्रीपद्मसिंहौ सहोदरी । १९ ।
 महेला वर्ध-
17. मानस्य । वक्रादेवीति विन्मुता ।
 तदंगजावुभौ ख्यातौ । वीराख्यविजपालकौ । २० ।
 वर्धिनी पद्मसिंहस्य । रत्नगर्भा
18. सुजाणदे ।
 श्रीपालकुरपालाङ्गरणमल्लास्तदंगजाः । २१ ।
 एवं स्वतंत्रयुक्ताभ्या । मनस्योत्सवपूर्वकम् ।
 साह्विश्रीवमाङ्गनश्री-

- L. 19. पद्मसीम्भ्यां प्रयादरात् । २२
 प्रागुक्तवत्सरं रम्ये । माधवार्जुनपत्नके ।
 रोहिणीभृततीयायां बुधवासरसंयुजि
20. । २३ ।
 श्रीशान्तिनाथसुस्थानां । जिनानां चतुस्तारा ।
 द्वितीयती प्रतिमा हृद्या । भारिताश्च प्रतिष्ठिताः । २४ ।
 युग्मम् ।
21. पुनर्निजबहुद्रव्य । सफलीकरणकृते ।
 श्रीनव्यनगरे ऽकारि । प्रासादः शैलसन्निभः । २५ ।
 हाससततिजिनौ-
22. कोभिः । स्मृष्टितश्च चतुर्मुखैः ।
 केशाशपर्वतोत्तुंगैर । द्याभिः शोभितो ऽभितः । २६ ।
 युग्मम् ॥
 साहिब्यो-
23. पद्ममिहना ऽकारि शृङ्गज्योपरि ।
 उत्तुंगतोरणः श्रीमान् । प्रासादः शिखरोन्नतः । २७ ।
 यं दृष्ट्वा भविकाः स-
24. र्वे । चिंतयन्ति स्वचेतसि ।
 उच्चैर्भूतः किमेषोद्वि । दृश्यते ऽभ्रंलिङ्गो यतः । २८ ।
 येन श्रीतीर्थराजोयं राजते सा-
25. वतंसकः ।
 प्रतिमाः स्थापितास्तत्र श्रीश्रेयांसमुखा ऽर्जताम् । २९ ॥
 तथा च । संवत् १६७६ वर्षे फाल्गुन सित द्वि-
26. तीयायां तिथौ दैत्यगुरुवासरे रेवतीनक्षत्रे श्रीमती नव्यनगरात् साहिब्योपद्मसीकेन श्रीभरतचक्र-
27. वर्त्तिर्निर्मितसंघसदृशं महासंघं कृत्वा श्रीचंचलगणाधीश्वरभट्टारकपुरंदरशुभप्रधानपूज्यराज-
28. श्री ५ श्रीकल्याणसागरसूरीश्वरैः सार्धं श्रीविमलगिरितोर्थवरे समेत्य स्वयंकारितश्रीशृङ्गज्य-
 गिरिशि-
29. रः प्रासादे समहोत्सवं श्रीश्रेयांसप्रमुखजिनेश्वराणां सन्ति बिंबानि स्थापितानि ॥
 सङ्गः पूज्यमानानि
30. चिरं नदंतु ।
 यावद्दिभाकरनिशाकरभूधरार्यरत्नाकरध्रुवधराः किल जाग्रतीह ।
 श्रेयांसनाथजिनमंदिरमत्र ता-
31. वसंदत्तनेकभविकौघनिषेव्यमानम् [म्] । १ ।⁹²
 वाचकश्रीविनयचंद्रगणीनां शिष्यमुदेव सागरेण विहिता प्रशस्तिः [॥]

No. XXII.⁶³

- L. 1. ॥ संवत् १६७५ वर्षे वैशाख सुदि
 2. ११ तिथौ शुक्रवारे श्रीमदंघलग-
 3. ष्ठाधिराजपूज्यश्रीधर्ममूर्तिसूरि-
 4. तत्पद्मालंकारसूरिप्रधाने युगप्र-
 5. धानपूज्यश्रीकल्याणसागरसू-
 6. रिविजयिराज्ये श्रीश्रीमालोद्गा ॥-
 7. तीयभ्रमदावादवास्तव्य साह
 8. भवान भार्या राजलदे पुत्र साह ।
 9. धीमजी सुपजी हाभ्यामका देह ।-
 10. री कारापिता विमलाचले चतुर्मुखे ॥

No. XXIII.⁶⁴

- L. 1. [सं]^० १६७५ वैशाख सित ११ शुक्ले सुरताणनूरदीजहंगीरसवाईविजयिराज्ये ॥ श्रीराजनगर
 वास्तव्यप्राग्वाटस्था-
 2. तीय सं^० देवराज भार्या [रु]डी पुत्र सं^० गोपाल भार्या राजू सुत राजा पुत्र सं^० सार्वपा भार्या नाकू
 पुत्र सं^० नाथा भार्या ना-
 3. रिंगदे पुत्ररत्न सं^० सूरजीकेन भार्या सुपमादे पुत्रायित इन्द्रजी सहितेन श्रीशान्तिनगर्यं बंधं कारितं
 प्रतिष्ठितं च श्रीवृद्धत्वरतर[ग]-
 4. ष्ठाधिराजश्रीभक्तवरपातसाहिभूपालप्रदत्तवास्मासिकाभयदानतत्प्रदत्तयुगप्रधानबिरुद्धारकमक-
 लदेशाष्टाङ्गिकामार्ग⁶⁵ — — — — —
 5. युगप्रधानश्रीजिनचंद्रसूरि पट्टोद्दीपककठिनकाश्लीरादिदेशविहारकारकश्रीभक्तवरसाहचिन्नरंज-
 नप्रपालितश्रीपुरगे — — — — —
 6. प्रमुखदेशामारिजहंगीरसाहिप्रदत्तयुगप्रधानपदधारिश्रीजिनसिंहसूरि पट्टोदयकारकभहारकशि-
 रोरजश्रीजिनरा[जसूरि] — — —

No. XXIV.⁶⁶

- L. 1. संवत् १६७५ वैशाख सित ११ शुक्ले सुरताणनूरदीजहंगीरसवाईविजयिराज्ये श्रीराजनगरवास्तव्य
 2. प्राग्वाटप्रातीय सं^० सार्वपा भार्या नाकू पुत्र सं^० जोगी भार्या असमादे पुत्र विविधपुष्पकर्मापा-
 र्जक सं^० सोमजी ।
 3. भार्या राजलदे पुं सं^० रतनजी भार्या सुजाबदे पुत्र २ सुंदरदाससवराम्यां पितृनाम्ना श्रीशान्ति-
 नाथबंधं कारितं प्रतिष्ठितं च श्री-
 4. वृद्धत्वरतरगळे युगप्रधानश्रीजिनचंद्रसूरि जहंगीरसाहिप्रदत्तयुगप्रधानबिरुद्धारकश्रीभक्तवर-
 साहचिन्नरंजककठिनक[र]-
 5. [र]भीरादिदेशविहारकारकयुगप्रधानश्रीजिनसिंहसूरि पट्टालंकारकबोहित्यवंशभंगारकभहारक-
 धदारकश्रीजिनराजसूरिसूरिछगराजैः ॥

⁶³ In a cell or chapel attached to the north-east corner of the great Ādlāvāra temple, close to that in which are Nos. vi and vii.—J.B.

⁶⁴ In the Khartaravast Tunk, immediately to the north-east of the great Chaumukha temple, on the base of the image; *Lists*, p. 206, No. 333.—J. B.

⁶⁵ Probably "मारि".

⁶⁶ In the Khartaravast Tunk in a temple fronting that containing No. xxiii, to the south-east of the great Chaumukha on the base of the image; *Lists*, p. 206, No. 316.—J. B.

No. XXV.⁶⁷

- L. 1. ॥ श्रीं ॥ संवत् १६७६ वर्षे वैशाखासित ६ शुक्ले लघुशाखीयश्री ।-
 2. श्रीमालिङ्गतीय मन्त्रिजीवा भार्या बार्ह रंगार्ह सुत मन्त्रिख[व]।-
 3. [स]वाक्काकेन भार्या बार्ह गंगार्ह प्रमुखकुटुंबयुतेन श्रेष्ठ ।
 4. [भ]णसालीशिवजीप्रसादात् स्वयंप्रतिष्ठापितश्रीविमलना-
 5. यदेवकुलं कारितं ॥ श्रीमत्तपागणगगनांगणगगनमणि[स]-
 6. [मा] नभद्वारकश्रीविजयसेनसूरीश्वरपट्टालंकारभद्वारक ।
 7. [श्री] विजयदेवसूरोश्वरविजयिराज्ये ॥
 यावद्देवगिरिर्भाति
 8. ॥ यावत् शत्रुं जयाचलः ॥
 तावद्देवकुलं जीयात् । श्रीवाक्काकेन
 9. कारितं ॥ १ ॥ श्रीः ॥

No. XXVI.⁶⁸

- L. 1. ॥ श्रीं ॥ नमः श्रीमारुदेवादिवर्धमानांततीर्थकराणां श्रीपुंडरीकाद्यगौतम-
 2. स्वामिपर्यन्तेभ्यो गणधरेभ्यः सभ्यजनेः पूज्यमानेभ्यः सेव्यमानेभ्यश्च संवत् ।
 3. १६८२ श्रेष्ठ वदि १० शुक्ले श्रीजिसलमेरुवास्तव्योपकेशवंशीयभांडशालिके
 4. सुश्रावककर्त्तव्यताप्रवीणधुरीण सा श्रीमल्ल भार्या चापलदे पुत्र पवित्र चरित्र ।
 5. सोद्ववापत्तनकारितजीर्णोद्धारविहारमंडनश्रीचिंतामणिनामपार्श्वनाथाभिराम-
 6. प्रतिष्ठाविधायकप्रतिष्ठासमयाहंशुवर्षलभनिकाप्रदायकसंघनयककरणोय-
 7. देवगुरुसाधर्मिकवात्सल्यविधानप्रभासितसितसम्यक्पुद्गिप्रसिद्धम[डुधि] व्ययविहि-
 8. तश्रीशत्रुंजयसंघलब्धसंघाधिपतिलक सं' थाद [डूनामको] द्विपंचाशदुत्तरचतुर्दश-
 9. शत १४५२ मितगणधराणां श्रीपुंडरीकादिगौतमानानां पादुकास्थानमजातपूर्वम-
 10. चीकरत् स्वपुत्रहरराजमेघराजसहितः समेधमानपुण्योदयाय प्रतिष्ठितं च श्रीवृद्ध-
 11. त्खरतरगङ्गाधिराजश्रीजिनराजसूरिसूरिराजैः पूज्यमानं चित्रं नंदनात् ॥

No. XXVII.⁶⁹

- L. 1. संवत् १६८३ वर्षे ॥ पातिसाहजिह्वांगीरश्रीसल्लमसाहभूमंडलाखंडलविजयरा[ज्ये] ॥
 2. ॥ श्रीचक्रेश्वरी नमः ॥ श्रीं ॥ महोपाध्यायश्री ५ श्रीहेममूर्तिगणिसद्गुह्यो नमः ॥ श्री ॥
 3. ॥ श्रीं ॥ उं नमः ॥
 स्वस्ति श्रीः शिवशंकरोपि गणमान् सर्वज्ञशत्रुंजयः [।]
 शर्वः शंभुरधीश्वरश्च भग[वा]-
 4. न् गौरी वृषांको मृडः ।
 गंगोमापतिरस्तकामत्रिक्रतिः सिद्धैः कृता ऽतिस्तुती [।]
 वद्धो यो न परं श्रिये स

⁶⁷ In a temple in the Vimalavasi Tunk, near Adīvara's.—J. B.

⁶⁸ Round the margin of *pādukas* or foot-prints, No. 3, north side, in a temple in the west of the Khartaravasi Tunk *Lists*, p. 206, No. 317.—J. B.

⁶⁹ In a niche in a temple in the Vimalavasi Tunk, on the left hand between the Vāghana-pola and Hāthi-pola; *Lists*, p. 204, No. 138.—J. B.

- L. 5. जिनपः श्रीनाभिभूरस्तु मे ॥ १ ॥⁷⁰
उद्यद्गोरजडः कलंकरहितः संतापदोषा ऽपहः [1]
सौम्यः प्रासस[७]-
6. या ऽमितकलः सुश्रीर्गंगाकोऽव्ययः ।
गौरानोद्यतसूरपास्तकलुषो जैवातृकः प्राणिनां ।
चंद्रः [कर्म]
7. जयत्यहो जिनपतिः श्रीवैश्वसेनिर्महान् । २ ॥
त्यक्त्वा राजीमतीं यः स्वनिहितहृदयानेकपत्नीः ७ -
8. पां ।
सिद्धिस्त्रीं भूरिरत्नामपि बहु चकमेऽनेकपत्नीमपीशः ।
लोके ख्यातस्तथापि स्फुरदतिशय[वान्]
9. ब्रह्मचारीति नाम्ना [1]
स श्रीनेमिजिनेन्द्रो दिशतु शिवसुखं सात्वतां योगिनाथः ॥ ३ ॥⁷¹
चंचच्छारं चंद्रचा [६ ७]⁷²
10. दनश्रेयोविनिर्णयः [1]-
पेयुषौघनिषेकतो विषधरेणापि प्रपदे द्रुतं ।
देवत्वं सुकृतैकलभ्य[म]-
11. तुलं यस्यानुकंपानिधेः ।
स श्रीपार्श्वजिनेशितास्तु सततं विघ्नच्छिदे सात्वतां । ४ ॥⁷³
यस्य श्रीवरशास[न]
12. क्षितितले मार्त्तंडबिंबायते [1]
यद्वाक्यं भवसिंधुतारणविधौ पीतायते देहिनां ।
यद्ध्यानं [भ-]
13. विपापपंकदलने गंगाबुधारायते ।⁷⁴
श्रीसिद्धार्थनरेन्द्रनंदनजिनः सोऽस्तु श्रिये सर्वदा ॥ ५ ॥ [1]
अथ पट्टावली ॥
श्रीवर्द्धमानजिनराजपदक्रमेण ।
श्रीआर्यरक्षितमुनीश्वरसूरिराजाः ।
वि-
15. व्यापगाजलधयो विधिपक्षगच्छ ।-
संस्थापका यतिवरा गुरुवो बभूवुः । ६ ॥⁷⁵
तत्कारुण्यकमला[ज]-
16. लराजहंसा ।-
क्षारिचमंजुकमलाश्रवणावर्तसाः [1]
गच्छाधिपा बुधवरा जयसिंहसूरि ।-
नामा[न]

⁷⁰ Metre of verses 1-2 : Śārdūlavikrīḍita.⁷¹ Metre : Śragdharā.⁷² Read "आरहचंद्रचारु".⁷³ Metre of verses 4-5 : Śārdūlavikrīḍita.⁷⁴ Read गंगापु.⁷⁵ Metre of verses 6-7 : Vasantatilakā. Read गुरुवो.

- L. 17. ⁷⁶[उ]द्यदमलोरुगुणावदाताः । ७ ॥
 श्रीधर्मघोषगुरवो वरकीर्त्तिभाजः [1]
 सूरौश्वरास्तदनु पूज्यमहं-
18. द्रसिंहाः ।
 आसंस्ततः सकलसूरिशिरोवतंताः [1]
 सिंहप्रभाभिधसुसाधुगुणप्रसिद्धाः ॥ ८ ॥⁷⁷
 तेभ्यः क्र-
19. मण गुरुवो जिनसिंहसूरि ।⁷⁸
 गोत्रा बभूवुरथ पूज्यतमा गणेशाः [1]
 देवेन्द्रसिंहगुरुवोऽखिललो-
20. कमान्या [1]
 धर्मप्रभा मुनिवरा विधिपक्षनायाः ॥ ९ ॥
 पूज्याश्च सिंहतिलकास्तदनु प्रभूत [1]-
 भाग्या
21. महेंद्रविभवो गुरुवो⁷⁹ बभूवुः [1]
 वक्रेश्वरीभगवतीविहितप्रसादाः [1]⁸⁰
 श्रीमरुतुंगसूरवो नरदेवव[द्य]-
22. T: ॥ १० ॥⁸¹
 तेभ्योऽभवन् गणधरा जयकीर्त्तिसूरि [1]-
 मुख्यास्ततश्च जयकंसरिसुराजः ।⁸²
 सिद्धांतसाग-
23. रगणाधिभुवस्ततोऽनु [1]
 श्रीभावसागरगुरुगुणा⁸³ अभूवन् ॥ ११ ॥
 तदंशपुष्करविभासन्⁸⁴ भा-
24. नुरुपाः⁸⁵
 सूरौश्वराः सुगुण[शे]वधयो बभूवुः । षट्पदो ॥
 तत्पट्टोदयशैलशृंगकिरणाः
25. शास्त्रांबुधेः पारगा [1]
 भव्यस्त्रांतचकोरलासनसलत्पूष्पाभचंद्राननाः [1]⁸⁶
 श्रीमंतो विधिपक्षगच्छ[च्छ]-
26. तिलका वादींद्रपंचानना ।
 आसन् श्रीगुरुधर्ममूर्त्तिगुरुवः सूरिद्रवंध्याङ्गयः ॥ १२ ॥⁸⁷
 त-

⁷⁶ द् stands above the line.

⁷⁷ Metro of verses 8—11: Vasautatilakā.

⁷⁸ Read गुरवो । 'सिंह'.

⁷⁹ Read गुरवो.

⁸⁰ Read 'वक्र'.

⁸¹ Read री.

⁸² Read 'सुराजः'. द् stands above the line.

⁸³ Read 'गुरु'.

⁸⁴ Read 'भासन्'.

⁸⁵ Read 'रुपाः'.

⁸⁶ Read 'सनसल'.

⁸⁷ Metro of verses 12-13. Śārdūlavikrīṭita. Read 'दुरवः'.

L. 27. त्पट्टेऽद्य जयति मन्मथभटाङ्कारशर्वीपमाः ।

श्रीकल्याणममुद्रसूरिगुरवः कल्याण —

28. कदांबुदाः ।

भव्यांभोजविबोधनककिरणाः सद्विज्ञानपाथोधियः ।⁸⁹

श्रीमंतोच जयति सूरिवि-

29. भुभि ।⁹⁰ सेव्याः प्रभावोद्यताः । १३ ॥

श्रीश्रीमालज्ञातीयमंचीश्वरश्रीभंडारी तत्पुत्र महं श्रीच-

30. मरसी सुत महं श्रीकरण तत्पुत्र सा

श्रीधन्वा तत्पुत्र साह श्रीसोपा तत्पुत्र सां श्रीवंत त-

31. द्वार्या उभयकुलानंददायिनी बाई श्रीसोभागदे तत्कुक्षिसरोराजहंस साह श्रीरूप-

32. तद्गुणिनी उभयकुलानंददायिनी परमश्रीविका श्रीरबाई पुत्र पारीच श्रीसोमचं⁹⁰

33. प्रभृतिपरिकरयुतया । संवत् १६८३ वर्षे । माघ शुदि त्रयोदशी तिथौ सोमवासरे [श्री]-

34. चंद्रप्रभस्वामिजिनमंदिरजीर्णोद्धारः कारितः । श्रीराजनगरवास्व⁹¹ महं भंडारी प्र-

35. साद कराविउ हुतु तेहनइ वठी पेढी [इं] बाई श्रीहीर बाई हुई तेणीइ प — — — उद्धार
कराविउ ॥

संघसहित ११ वा-

36. रयाना कीधी ॥ श्वसुरपत्ते पारिष श्रीगंगदास भार्या बाई गुरदे पुत्र पारिष श्रीकुंवरजी भार्या
बाई कमलदे-

37. कुक्षिसरोराजहंसोपमौ पारिषश्रीवीरजीपारिषश्रीरहीयाभिधानौ । पारिष वीरजी भार्या बाई
हीरादे पुत्र पं

38. सोमचंदस्तत्राज्ञा श्रीचंद्रप्रभस्वामिजिनबिंबं कारितं प्रतिष्ठतं⁹² च ॥ देशाधीश्वरस्वभापतपनप्रभो-
ज्ञामिता खि-⁹³

39. लभमंडल — — — — — श्रीकांधुजी तत्पुत्र राज्य श्रीसिवाजी — — — — श्रीविका
श्रीहीर बाई पुत्री बाई कीर्ति बा-

40. ई कल्याणी आता पारिष रूपजी तत्पुत्र पारिष गुडीदासयुतेन ॥ संवत् १६८२ वर्षे माघ शुदि
त्रयोदशी [श्री] सोमव-

41. इसरे श्रीचंद्रप्रभस्वामिप्रतिष्ठा⁹⁴ कारिता ॥ भट्टारकश्रीकल्याणसागरसूरिभिः प्रतिष्ठतं⁹⁵ ॥ वाचक-
श्रीदेवसागरग[र] -

42. [श्री]नां कृतिरियं ॥ पंडितश्रीविजयमूर्तिगणीनालिखि ॥ पं° श्रीविजयशेषरगणीनां शिष्य मु°
श्रीरविशेषरगणीना लि-

43. खितिरियं ॥ श्रीशेजुंजय नमः यावत् चंद्रार्कं चिर नंदतात् श्रीकवडयक्षप्रसादात् ॥ गजधररामजो
लघुभार्ता कुच-

44. — — — शेजरतनकल्याणकृतायां अत्र भद्रं ॥

⁸⁹ Read °पाथोधियः.

⁹⁰ Read °भुभिः.

⁹¹ Read °चंद्र.

⁹² Read °वास्व.

⁹³ Read प्रतिष्ठितं.

⁹⁴ Probably for प्रतिपन्नं

⁹⁵ Read °प्रतिष्ठा.

⁹⁶ Read °प्रतिष्ठितं.

No. XXVIII.⁹⁶

- L. 1. श्री ॥ सं १ [६] ८४ माघ वदि ५ शुक्ले श्रीमत्पत्तनवास्तव्यश्रीमालज्ञातीय ठं जसपालपीनेण पि-
2. तृ ठं राजा मानृ ठं सी [बुधेयो इर्थ] ठं धाधाकेन श्रीआदिनायविंख खसकसहितं कारितं ॥

No. XXIX.⁹⁷

- L. 1. ॥ श्री ॥ संवत् १६८६ वर्षे चैत्र शुदि १५ दिने दक्षिणदेशे देवगीरोनगर-
2. वास्तव्यश्रीमालीज्ञातीयलघुशाषीय साहा तुकजी भार्या बां तेजलदे
3. सुत सां हासुजी भार्या बाइ हासलदे लघुभाता सां बहूजी सां देवजी
4. भार्या बाइ चहादे देराणी बाइ देवलदे पू [पु] न सां धर्मदास भगी[गि]नो बां
5. कुम्भरि प्रमुखसमस्तकुटुंब श्रीविमलाचलनी यात्रा करीनि
6. श्रीचदबुद था — — — [या] सादनो मंडमनो की १ सहीत फे-
7. रो उबार कराव्यु — — — — हारक [ओ] — — — — — [रा] ज्ये
8. तत्पटालंकारि [ओ] — — — — — [ओ] — — — — — [भ्य] ॥
9. पंडितोत्तम श्रीह — — — — सुपदेशात् शुभं भवतु ॥

No. XXX.⁹⁸

- L. 1. संवत् १६८६ वर्षे वैशाख शुदि ५ बुधे शास्त्रे १५५१ प्रवर्त्तमाने श्रीमूलसंघे सरस्वतीगच्छे
2. बला[त्का]रणे श्रीकुंडकुंदाचार्यान्वये भट्टारक श्रीसकलकीर्त्तिदेवास्तत्पट्टे भं श्रीभुवनकीर्त्तिदेवा-
स्तत्पट्टे भं श्रीज्ञानभूषणदेवा-
3. स्तत्पट्टे भं श्रीविजयकीर्त्तिदेवास्तत्पट्टे भं श्रीशुभचंद्रदेवास्तत्पट्टे भं श्रीसुमतिकीर्त्तिदेवास्तत्पट्टे
भं श्रीगुणकीर्त्तिदेवास्तत्पट्टे भं श्रीवादिभूषणदेवास्तत्पट्टे भं श्रीरामकीर्त्तिदेवास्तत्पट्टे भं श्रीपद्मनंदिगुरु-
पदेशात् पातसाहाश्रीशाहा-
4. ज्वाहाविजयराज्ये श्रीगूर्जरदेशे श्रीअहमदावादावास्तव्यहुंबडज्ञातीयवृहच्छाखीयवाग्वरदेशस्थांतरी-
यनगरनौतनभद्रप्रासादोत्तरणधार जाडा सं भोजा भां सं लकु सु संवस्ता भां सं रत्नादे तयोः
5. सुत ब्रह्मचर्यव्रतप्रतिपालनेन पवित्रीकृतनिजांगसप्तक्षेत्रारोपितस्वकीयवित्त सं लटकण भां सं
ललतादे तयोः सुत निजकुलकमलविकाशनैकसूर्यावतारः दानगुणेन नृपतिश्रेयांससमः श्रीजिनबिंबप्रति-
6. ष्ठातीत्ययात्रादिधर्मकर्मकरणोत्सुकचित्तसंघपति श्रीरत्नसी भां सं रूपादे द्वितीयभां सं
मोहणदे तृतीयभां सं न[थ]रंगदे द्वितीयसुत संघवी श्रीरामजी भां सं केशरदे तयोः सुत संघवी
7. डुगरसी भार्या सं डाडमदे द्वितीयसुत संघवी [रायव]जी भां सं गमतादे[एते सर्वे] महासि-
द्धोश्च श्रीश[ंजयनाम्नि] गिरौ श्रीजिनप्रासादे श्रीशान्तिनाथबिंबं कारयित्वा नित्यं प्रणमन्ति । शुभं भवतु [५]

No. XXXI.⁹⁹

- L. 1. । श्री ॥ भट्टारकपुरंदरभट्टारकश्रीहीर-
2. । विजयसूरीश्वरगुरुभ्यो तमो नमः तत्-
3. । पट्टप्रभाकरभट्टारकश्रीविजयसेन-

⁹⁶ On the base of a Chaumukha image in a small temple, west of the great Âdîśvara Bhagavân; *Lists*, p. 168 No. 114.—J. B.

⁹⁷ In the shrine of "Bhîmadeva,"—the great rock-cut image,—on the wall beside the doorway.—J. B.

⁹⁸ In the Digambara temple, in a small court to the north-east of the great Âdîśvara temple; *Lists*, p. 202, No. 237.—J. B.

⁹⁹ Beside the doorway of the Śeshakoṭa temple, facing the south door of the great Âdîśvara temple. : *Lists*, p. 137, No. 97.—J. B.

- L. 4. । सूरिगुरुभ्यो नमः संवत् [त] १६९६ वर्षे वै-
 5. । श्राव सुदि ५ रवौ श्रीदीवबंदिरवास्तव्य सं-
 6. । घवी सचा भार्या बाह तेज बाह तयो¹⁰⁰ सुपुत्र
 7. ॥ संघवी गोविंदजी भार्या बाह वयज बाह
 8. । प्रमुखकुटुंबयुतेन¹ स्वश्रेयसे श्रीश्रुज-²
 9. । ये उत्तम³ प्रासाद [ः] कारापित [ः] श्रीपार्श्वनाथ-
 10. । बिंबं स्थापितं प्रतिष्ठितं⁴ च श्रीतपागच्छनाय-
 11. । कभटारकश्रीविजयदेवसूरिभिः तत्प-
 12. । दालंकारयुवराजश्रीविजयसिंहसूरि चिरं जीय⁵

No. XXXII—(610).⁶

- L. 1. ॥ श्री ॥ उं नमः
 2. प्रत्यतिष्ठिपदि-दं खलु तीर्थ ।
 4. रायसिंह इह वर्डमानभूः [ः]
 6. शासनाद्विज-यदेवगुरोः स-
 8. हाचकेन वि-नयाद्विजये-न ॥ १ ॥
 श्री- वजयसिंहसूरिः । स जयतु तपगच्छमौ-लमाणिक्यं ।
 15. अजनिष्ट यदु । पदेशात् । स- हसकूटाभि-धं तीर्थम् ॥ २ ॥⁷
 19. दिक्कशशज-लधिमितेन्दे १७१० सित घ-छां ज्येष्ठमासि⁸ तीर्थेस्मिन् ।
 24. अर्द्धबिंबसह-सं । स्थापितम-ष्टोत्तरं वंदे ॥ ३
 27. यावज्जयति सुमेरु । स्ताप-जीयावकूट-सौभाग्यः ।¹⁰
 श्री शत्रुंजयमूर्ध-नि । सहस्र-कूटः किरी-टोयम् ॥ ४ ॥

No. XXXIII.¹¹

- | | |
|---|---|
| <p>L. 1. अर्हम्
 2. ॥ श्री ॥ स्वस्ति श्रीसं-
 3. वत् १७१० वर्षे ज्येष्ठ¹²
 4. शुक्ल षष्ठी¹³ तिथौ गु-
 5. रवारि श्रीउग्रसेनपु-
 6. रवास्तव्यऊकेशज्ञा-
 7. तीयवृद्धशास्त्रीयकु-
 8. हाडगोत्र सा । वर्डमा-</p> | <p>9. न भा । वाल्हादे पु । स
 10. मानसिंहयानसिंह-
 11. रायसिंहकनकसिंह-
 12. उग्रसेनऋषभदासैः
 13. सा । जगत्सिंहलोचन-
 14. दासप्रमुखपरिवार-
 15. युतैः खपितृवचनात्त-
 16. त्पुण्यार्थं श्रीसहस्रकू-</p> |
|---|---|

¹⁰⁰ Read सचीः.

Read "कुटुंब".

² Read "श्रुज".

³ Read "संघ".

⁴ Read "उत्तम".

⁵ Read जीयान्.

⁶ In the temple of Śeṣhakoṭa, on the left hand column.

— *Lista*, p. 147, No. 97.—J. B.

⁷ Metre: Svāgatā. The penultima of the second pada ought to be long.

⁸ Metre of verses 2—4: Āryā.

Read ज्येष्ठमासि.

¹⁰ Read सावक्यो.

¹¹ On a column in the Śeṣhakoṭa temple, in the Vinayavasi Tank.—J. B.

¹² Read ज्येष्ठ.

¹³ Read षष्ठी.

- L. 17. टतीयं कारितं स्वप्रति-
 18. ङायां प्रतिष्ठापितं¹⁴ । त-
 19. पागच्छे भ । श्रीहीरवि-
 20. जयसूरिपट्टभाकर
 21. भ । श्रीविजयसेनसूरि-
 22. पट्टालंकारपातिशा-
 23. द्विश्रीजिह्वांगोरप्रदत्त-
 24. मङ्गातपाविबुद्धारि-
 25. चनेकराजाधिराजप्र-
 26. तिबोधकारिभट्टारक
 27. श्री ७ श्रीविजयदेवस्-
 28. रीश्वरभाचार्यश्रीविज-
 29. यप्रभसूरिनिर्हंशात्

30. श्रीहीरविजयसूरि-
 31. शिथरत्नमहोपाध्या-
 32. य श्री ५ कीर्त्तिविज-
 33. य ग । शिथोपाध्या-
 34. यश्रीविनयविज-
 35. यगणिभिः प्रतिष्ठि-
 36. तं श्रीरस्तु ॥ श्रीशत्रुंज-
 37. यमङ्गातीर्थकार्यक-
 38. रपंडित श्री ५ शांति-
 39. विजय ग । देवविजय
 40. ग । मेघविजय ग । सा
 41. ङाय्यतः सिद्धमिदम्
 42. सूत्रधार मनजीः ॥

No. CV.¹⁵

L. 1. ॥ श्री ॥

2. ॥ श्री नमः ॥

बभूवुः श्रीमङ्गावीरः पट्टानुकमभूषणाः [I]

श्रीशंवलगणाधीशः प्रायश्चित्तसूरयः¹⁶ [II] १ [II]

3. तत्पट्टपंकजादिभ्यः सूरिश्रीजयसिंहकाः [I]

श्रीधर्मगोषसूरीद्रा । मङ्गिद्रमिहसूरयः¹⁷ [II] २ [II]
 श्री ।

4. सिंहप्रभसूरीशः¹⁸ सूरयो जिनसिंहकाः [I]

श्रीमहेवेंद्रसूरीशः श्रीधर्मप्रभसूरयः [II] ३ [II]

श्रीसिंहतिलकाङ्गास

5. श्रीमहेवेंद्रप्रभाभिधाः [I]

श्रीमंतो मेरुतुंग्याख्याः¹⁹ बभूवुः सूरयस्ततः [II] ४ [II]

समग्रगुणसंपूर्णाः सूरिश्रीजयकी-

6. र्तयः [I]

तत्पदेय सुसाधुश्रीजयकेशरसूरयः [II] ५ [II]

श्रीसिद्धातसमुद्राख्यः सूरया²⁰ भूरिकीर्त्तयः [I]

भावसागरसू-

7. रीद्रा ततोभूवन् गणाधिपाः [II] ६ [II]

श्रीमङ्गुनिधानाख्यः सूरयस्तत्पदेभवन् [I]

युगप्रधाना²¹ श्रीमंतः सूरिश्री-

¹⁴ Read प्रतिष्ठापितं.

¹⁵ In the Khartaravati Tank, on the south wall in the hall outside the shrine door of Narsi Keshavji's temple.—*Lists*, p. 208, No. 342.—J. B.

¹⁶ Read श्रीराः । प्रायश्चित्ति.

¹⁷ Read L. 3 : °दिवाः । °धीष° । मङ्गिद्र°.

¹⁸ Read °रीशः .

¹⁹ Read L. 5 : °तुंगा° । बभूवुः .

²⁰ Read L. 6 : °देव । °ख्याः सूरवी.

²¹ Read L. 7 : °रीद्रास° । °युव° °ख्याः । °शानाः .

- L. 8. धर्ममूर्त्तय [॥] ७ [॥]
तत्पट्टोदयर्षलायः प्रोद्यत्तरणिसन्निभा²² [॥]
अभवन्मूरिराजर्षीयुजः कल्याणसागराः
9. [॥] ८ [॥]
श्रीअमरोदधिस्त्रीद्रा ततो विद्यासूरयः [॥]
उदयार्णवसूरिख कीर्त्तिसिंधुमुनिपतिः [॥] ९ [॥]²³
ततो पु-
10. न्योदधिस्त्रिराजेंद्रार्णवसूरयः [॥]
मुक्तिसागरसूरीद्रा बभूवुः गुणशालिनः [॥] १० [॥]
तत²⁴ रत्नो-
11. दधिस्त्रिर्जयति विचरद्भुवि [॥]
शातदातक्षयायुक्त भव्यान्²⁵ धर्मोपदेशकः [॥] ११ [॥]
इति प-
12. द्वावलि ॥
अथ कच्छसुराष्ट्रे च कोठारानगरे वरं [॥]
बभूवु लघुशाखायामर्णसी-²⁶
13. ति गुणोज्ज्वल [॥] १२ [॥]
तत्पुत्रो नायकी जज्ञे ह्रीरबाई च तत्रिया [॥]
पुत्रो²⁷ केसवजो तस्य
14. रूपवान्पुंन्यमूर्त्तय[॥] १३ [॥]
मातुलेन समं मूबैबंदरं तिलकीपमं²⁸ [॥]
अगात्पुंन्यप्रभावेन
15. बहु स्व समुपार्जितं [॥] १४ [॥]
देवभक्तिगुरुरागी²⁹ धर्मश्रद्धाविवेकिनः [॥]
दाता भोक्ता यशः
16. कीर्त्तिं स्वर्गं विस्रतो बहु [॥] १५ [॥]
पाबेति तस्य पत्नी च नरसिंहसुतोत्तम³⁰ [॥]
रत्नबाई त-
17. स्व भार्या पतिभक्तिसुशीलवान् [॥] १६ [॥]
केशवजीकस्य भार्या द्वितिया³¹ मांकबाई चः [॥]
18. नात्मा त्रीकमजी तस्य पुत्रोभुत्³² स्वल्पजीवोनः [॥] १७ [॥]
नरसिंहस्य पुत्रोभुत् रूपवान्

²² Read L. 8.: °र्षयः। °षाःमी°.

²³ Read द्वावलि°। ततः.

²⁴ Read L. 10: °स्त्री°। °श्री°। ततो.

²⁵ Read L. 11: °जयति विचरद्भुवि शातदात°। युक्ती भवान्.

²⁶ Read °लिः। °लं°.

²⁷ Read °ज्यः। पुत्रः.

²⁸ Read L. 14: रूपवान्पुण्य°। मुंभे°। °मुण्य°।

²⁹ Read °स्व°। °गुं°.

³⁰ Read L. 16: विभुतो। Perhaps °विभुः°.

³¹ Read द्वितिया। च.

³² Read °भुत्°। °भुत्°.

L. 19.

सुंदराकृति [1]

चिरंजय सदा ऋद्धिर्भवतु³³ धर्मत [1] १८ [1]:

इति वंशावलिः ॥

20. गांधी मोहोतामोत्रे मा केसवन्दी निजभूजोपार्जितवित्तेन³⁴ धर्मकार्याणि कुरुते स्म
 21. तद्यथा निजपरिकरयुतो संघमाह³⁵ विमलाब्दितीर्थे समेत्य वाञ्छसौराष्ट्रगुर्जरः म-
 22. रुधरमेयाडकुण्ठादिदेशादागताः बहुसंघलोकाः मिलिताः अंजनशलाका-
 23. प्रतिष्ठा³⁶दिमहोत्सवार्थं विशालमंडपं कारयति स्म तन्मध्ये नवीनजिनविंबा-
 24. नां रुप्यपाषाणधातूनां बहुमहस्रसंख्यानां सुमुहुर्त्ते सुलग्ने पीठीपरि संस्था-³⁷
 25. प्य तस्य विधिना क्रयाकरणार्थं³⁸ श्रीरत्नसागरसूरिविधिपक्षगच्छपतरादे-
 26. शतः मुनिश्रीदेवचद्रगणना तथा क्रियाकुशलश्राद्धैः सह शास्त्रोक्तरीत्या³⁹
 27. शुद्धकीया कुर्वन् श्रीवीरविक्रमार्कतः संवत् १९२१ ना वर्षे तस्मिन्⁴⁰ श्रीशा-
 28. लीवाहनभूपालकृतश्राद्धे १७८६ प्रवर्त्तमान्ये मासोत्तम⁴¹ श्रीमाघमासे शुक्ल-
 29. पक्षे तिथि सप्तम्याः गुरुवासरे मार्त्तंडोदयवेलायां सुमुहुर्त्ते सुलग्ने स्वर्णशि-⁴²
 30. लाकया जिनमुद्राणां श्रीगुरुभिश्च साधुभिरंजनक्रियाकुरुते स्मः सघलोका-⁴³
 31. न् सुवेसधारीन् बहुऋद्ध्या गीतगांनवाजितपूर्वकं समेत्य जिनपुजनलीङ्ग-⁴⁴
 32. नादिक्रियायाचकानादानादिसघषात्सत्त्वादिभक्तिहर्षतयके पुनः⁴⁵ धर्मशा-
 33. लायां आरासोपलनिर्मितं सास्त्रतत्त्वपभादिजिनानां चतुर्मुखं चैत्यं पुनः गिरि-
 34. शिखरोपरि श्रीअभिनंदनजिनस्य विशालमंदिरं तस्य प्रतिष्ठा⁴⁶ माघ मित त-
 35. योदश्यां बुधवासरे शास्त्रोक्तविधिना त्रिया कृता⁴⁷ श्रीरत्नसागरसूरिणामुप-
 36. देशंतः श्रीसंघपति निजपरिवारेण सह श्रीअभिनंदनादिजिनबिम्ब⁴⁸ स्था-
 37. पिता ततः गुरुभक्तिसंघभक्तिशक्त्यानुशारेण कृतः गोहिनवंशविभूषण-⁴⁹
 38. ठाकोरश्रीसूरसंघजीराज्ये पादलिप्तपुरे⁵⁰ मदनीलवमभूत् श्रीसंघस्य भद्र
 39. भूयात्[.] कथाणमस्तु शुभं भवतु[.] ॥
 माणिकसिंधुवरभुज्यमुनिवरैषु⁵¹ ।
 40. तत्तिष्ठन्वाचकवरविनयार्णवेन [1]
 एषा प्रशस्तिः श्रवणास्ततस्तुल्यरूपा⁵²
 संघ-
 41. स्य शासनममुच्यतिकारलिखि⁵³ १: ॥
 वाचकविनयसागरैण्यं प्रशस्ति-

³³ Read L. 19: °कृतिः । °जयः । °र्द्धिः°.³⁴ Read °मुनी°.³⁵ Read L. 21: °साह° । °अरम°.³⁶ Read °तिष्ठा°.³⁷ Read L. 24: °रूप° । °इत°.³⁸ Read क्रिया°.³⁹ Read L. 26: °मुनि° । °चद्र° । °रीत्या°.⁴⁰ Read L. 27: °क्रियाः । तजिन°.⁴¹ Read L. 28: °कृत° । °माने° । °चक्षे°.⁴² Read L. 29: तिथी सप्तम्यां । °कुर्वे° । °प्रका°.⁴³ Read L. 30: °कृता° । स् संघ°.⁴⁴ Read L. 31: °वे०° । °दिवपूर्वकं । पूजन°.⁴⁵ Read L. 32: °संघ° । पुनः°.⁴⁶ Read °मा°.⁴⁷ Read L. 35: °शास्त्री° । कृता°.⁴⁸ Read L. 36: °दिशतः । °विंब°.⁴⁹ Read L. 37: °पिते° । °स्वतुष्टा° । कृतः । °सुव°.⁵⁰ Read पुरे°.⁵¹ Read °रैषु°.⁵² Read L. 40: °लिः । °रूपा°.⁵³ Read शास्त्र° । °कार्यलिखि°.

L. 42. लिखिताः ॥

यावत् मेरु मञ्जिधर यावत् चद्रदिवाकरौ⁵⁴ [1]

43. यावत्तीर्थ जिनेन्द्राणां[:] तावत् नदंतु मंदिरं⁵⁵ ॥ १ ॥

श्रीरस्तु [11]

ABSTRACTS.

No. xxxiv.⁵⁶ Samvat 1783, Māha sudi 5; *Siddhachakra*, dedicated by Anandabāi, wife of Shetā (Khetā) of the Śrīmālī *laghuśākhā*, inhabitant of Dhaṇapura; consecrated by *paṇḍitavara* Devachandra, pupil of *upādhyāya* Dīpachandra, pupil of *mahopādhyāya* Jñānadharmajī, pupil of *mahopādhyāya* Rājasārājī in the *śākhā* of Jinachandra Sūri, *yugapradhāna* of the Bṛihat-Kharatara *gachchha*, who awakened Pātisāhi Akabbara.

No. xxxv.⁵⁷ Samvat 1788, Māha sudi 6, Friday; an image of Bhīmamuni dedicated by Dulichand, son of Sā(hu) Kikā of the Kharatara *gachchha*; consecrated by *upādhyāya* Dīpachandragāṇi.

No. xxxvi.⁵⁸ (Date as above); an image of Śrī-Yudhishtira [*shṭhira*] muni (remainder as above).

No. xxxvii.⁵⁹ Vikrama Samvat 1788, Śāka 1653, Māha sudi 6, Friday; an image of Chandraprabha, dedicated by Premajī (surnamed Cheulī, from his residence in Cheula), of the Śrīmālī *vriddhaśākhā*, at the request of *bhaṭṭāraka* Vijaya-Dayāsūri, and of the Tapā *gachchha*; consecrated by *bhaṭṭāraka* Sumatisāgara of the same *gachchha*.

No. xxxviii.⁶⁰ Samvat 1791, Vaiśākha sudi 8, *pushyārke*; an image of Pārśvanātha, dedicated by *bhaṇḍārī* Ratnasimha, a *mahāmantrī*, who caused an edict of *amāri* to be proclaimed in Gujarāt, son of Udayakarāṇa (and Udayavantadevi), son of Shetasihaṇḍī, son of *bhaṇḍārī* Dīpājī, of the Osavāla *vriddhaśākhā* and the Nāḍūla-gotra; consecrated in the victorious reign of Vijaya-Dayāsūri, successor of Vijai-Kshamāsūri, of the Tapā *gachchha*.

No. xxxix.⁶¹ Samvat 1794, Śāka 1659, Aśāḍha sudi 10, Sunday; an image of Pārśvanātha, dedicated, and restoration of a temple made by *bhaṇḍārī* Harashachanda son of *bhaṇḍārī* Sivachanda, son of *bhaṇḍārī* Rūpachanda, son of *bhaṇḍārī* Tārachanda, son of *bhaṇḍārī* Nārāyaṇajī, son of *bhaṇḍārī* Bhānājī of the Oisavamāsa *vriddhaśākhā*, Nāḍūla-gotra, dedicated in the victorious reign of Jinachandrasūri of the Bṛihat-Kharatara *gachchha*, by *paṇḍita* Devachandra, pupil of *upādhyāya* Dīpachandajī, pupil of *upādhyāya* Jñānadharmajī, pupil of *mahopādhyāya* Rājasārājī.

⁵⁴ Read L. 42: °लिखिता । °मंजीधरी । °च°,

⁵⁵ Read °लीर्थ° । नदंतु मंदिरं.

⁵⁶ On *Siddhachakra* slab in the south corridor of the Kharataravasi Tūṅk.—*Lists*, p. 206, No. 337.—J. B.

⁵⁷ On the base of the image, on the right side of principal one in the Pañcha Pāṇḍava temple.—*Lists*, p. 207. No. 350.—J. B.

⁵⁸ In Pañcha Pāṇḍava temple, on the base of the principal image.—*Lists*, ib.

⁵⁹ Outside the door of a square temple opposite the south-west corner of the great Ādiśvara.—*Lists*, p. 197, perhaps No. 100.

⁶⁰ In the Vimelavasi Tūṅk, on right hand when going towards the Hāthipola, about half-way up.—*Lists*, p. 202, No. 247.

⁶¹ In the south wall outside the shrine door of a temple in the Chhipāvasi Tūṅk.—*Lists*, p. 207, No. 357.

No. xl.⁶² Samvat 1810, Māha sudi 13, Tuesday; an image of Sumatinātha, dedicated by the whole Saṅgha, *Saṅghavi* Kachara Kikā and the rest; dedicated by Sarasūri.

No. xli.⁶³ Samvat 1814, Māgha vadi 5, Monday; a temple with a *śikhara*, dedicated by *Vo.* Kāsarisaṅgha, son of *Vo.* Lādihā, (and Prānakumhara,) son of *Vo.* Dipachand, son of *Vo.* Sakalachanda of the Prāgvāṭa-vaṇisa, *laghusākhā*, inhabitant of Rājaganagara; consecrated by Udayasūri.

No. xlii.⁶⁴ Samvat 1815, Vaiśākha sudi 6, Wednesday; an image of Pārśvanātha dedicated by *masa* Kuyarajī Lādihā of Bhāvanagara; consecrated by Rājasāmasūri, of the Laghuposāla *gachchha*.

No. xliii.⁶⁵ Samvat 1822, Phālguna śudi 5, Thursday; two images in the Viśā, Deshavāla-Tapā *gachchha*'s *derī*, dedicated by *gāndhī* Parasottama Sūdarajī and by his nephew Amāidāsa and his brothers Nāthā and Kumibera, all of Meśāṇā. The inscription was incised by Kubera, in Samvat 1863, Chaitra sudi 2, Friday.

No. xliv.⁶⁶ Samvat 1843, Śāka 1708, Māgha sudi 11, Monday; an image of Ādinātha, dedicated by Premachanda, of Rājanagara, a Śrīmālī of the *laghusākhā* of the Kāśyapa gotra and Paramāra race, consecrated by Vijaya-Jinendrasūri of the Tapā *gachchha*.

No. xlv.⁶⁷ Vikrama Samvat 1860, Śāka 1726, Vaiśākha sudi 5, Monday; an image of Śāntinātha, dedicated by Harashachanda, son of Hirāchanda (and Kumarabāi), son of Sā Rāyakaraṇa, a Śrīmālī of the *vriddha sākhā*, a native of Damaṇa-bandira (Daman), honoured by the Phiraṁgijāti Puratakāla pātāsūhi (the king of Portugal).

No. xlvi.⁶⁸ (Date as in the preceding); a new image in the new temple of Vijjaharā-Pārśvanātha, dedicated by Savaichanda, son of Premachanda Jhaverachanda and Joyatī, a Jhaverī (*jeweller*) of the Usavāla race in Surat, in the name of Premachanda and others, during the victorious reign of Vijaya-Daivachandrasūri, of the *gachchha* Vijaya-Ānandasūri, consecrated by Vijaya-Jinendrasūri of the Tapā *gachchha*.

No. xlvii.⁶⁹ (Date as in No. xlv); a new image in the new temple of Asrahurā (Vijjaharā?) Pārśvanāth, dedicated by Jhaverī Premachanda, an Usavāla of Surat, belonging to the *gachchha* of Vijaya-Ānandasūri, in the victorious reign of Vijaya-Devachandrasūri, consecrated by Vijaya-Jinendrasūri, *bhaṭṭāraka* of the Tapā *gachchha*.

No. xlviii.⁷⁰ (Date as in No. xlv); an image of Sahasakuṭajī (*Sahasrakūṭa*), dedicated by Dāhābhai, son of Sā Lālabhāi, grandson of Sā Bhāisāji, a Śrīmālī, at the request of Puṇyasāgarasūri of the Amchala *gachchha*, consecrated by Vijaya-Jinendrasūri of the Tapā *gachchha*.

No. xlix.⁷¹ Date and contents as of the preceding.

⁶² In a temple on the south of the way to the Hāthipola, in Vimalavaśi Ṭuṅk.—*Lists*, p. 204, No. 285.—J. B.

⁶³ On south side corner of a temple in the outer compound of the Ādīśvara temple.

⁶⁴ On the base of an image in a temple on the south side of the way to the Hāthipola.—*Lists*, p. 204, No. 291.

⁶⁵ In Modi Premachand's temple No. 84?

⁶⁶ In Vimalavaśi Ṭuṅk, in a small temple on the south of the Vāghana-pola.—*Lists*, p. 204, No. 304.—J. B.

⁶⁷ In Modi Premachand's Ṭuṅk, on an image in the principal temple.—*Lists*, p. 207, No. 362.

⁶⁸ On the base of an image in the temple on the right upon entering the Ṭuṅk of Modi Premachand.—*Lists*, p. 208, No. 367.—J. B.

⁶⁹ In Modi Premachand's Ṭuṅk, on the base of the image in the temple facing the preceding.—*Lists*, p. 208, No. 364.

⁷⁰ On a column in the Śeshakoṭa in the Pañcha Pāṇḍava's temple.—*Lists*, p. 207, No. 351.

⁷¹ In the same temple.—J. B.

No. i.⁷³ Samvat 1860, Māhā sudya 13; a temple was begun and finished Samvat 1861, Phāguna vadi 5, Wednesday, by Virachanda, son of Pitāmara, grandson of Harashachanda, a Pārekha of Ahmadābād, of the Visā-Poravāla gachchha, and of the *gachchha* of Vijaya-Ānandasūri.

No. ii.⁷⁴ Vikrama Samvat 1861 and Śalivāhana Śāka 1726, Dhātā samvatsara Mārgaśīrsha sudi 3, Wednesday, Pūrvāshādha nakshatra, Vṛiddha Yoga, Gira Karana, in the victorious reign of Puṇyasāgarasūri, successor of Kirtisāgarasūri, successor of Udayasāgarasūri of the Amchala *gachchha*, a *kumda*, called Ichhākumda, was dedicated by Ichchhābhāi, son of Nihālachandabhāi, a Śrīmālī of Surat; while the Gohil chief Unnadājī ruled over Pālītānā.

No. iii.⁷⁵ Samvat 1867, Chaitra sūda 15; an agreement in Gujarātī not to allow anybody to build temples in the Hāthī Pola.

No. liii.⁷⁶ Samvat 1875, Māgha vadi 4, Sunday; an image of Suvadhinātha (?), dedicated by Sosajī, son of Mūlajī and Māmnakumara, of Rādhnapura; an image of Chandraprabha, dedicated by Sedugarasī, son of Mūlajī and (?); an image of Mallinātha, and a little temple (*dehari*) dedicated by Kāmṭiyā Hemaji, son of Tokarāsī.

No. liv.⁷⁶ Samvat 1885, Vaiśākha śukla akshayatritiyā, Thursday; a temple of Chandraprabha, built, at the request of the Śrāvika Gulāvabeni, by Bābu Harsha-chandaji and Visanachandaji, sons of Jeṭhamallajī, Būranachandaji and Keśava-dāsajī, sons of Sāha Bohitthajī, of the Dūgada gotra, inhabitant of Bālūchhara; consecrated by Jinaharshasūri of the Kharatara *gachchha*.

No. lv.⁷⁷ Samvat 1886, Śāka 1751, Māgha, śuklapaksha 5, Friday; an image of Puṇḍarika gaṇadhara, dedicated for the welfare of his father by Lalubhāi, son of Seṭh Pānābhāi and his wife Mughivahu, daughter of Seṭh Vakhatachanda Khusyālachanda of the Vṛiddhasākhā of the Ośa race, inhabitant of Rājanagara; consecrated during the reign of Śāntisāgarasūri of the Sāgara *gachchha*.

No. lvi.⁷⁸ (Date as above); a temple built and an image of Pārsvānātha, dedicated for the welfare of Bāi Rāmākumyara, wife of Sāha Harakhachanda, son of Sāha Mūlachanda, of the Vṛiddhasākhā of the Ośa race, inhabitant of Rājanagara, and for the welfare of her daughter Jhaverabāi, wife of Dosi Kusalachanda, in the reign of *bhaṭṭāraka* Rājendrasāgarasūri of the Amchala *gachchha*.

No. lvii.⁷⁹ (Date as above); a *chaturvīṃśatūlirhaka-apatta* with *hrīmkāra*, dedicated by Motichanda, son of Sāha Malukachanda, and Kusalabāi, of the Vṛiddhasākhā of the Ośa race, inhabitant of Rājanagara; consecrated by the *bhaṭṭāraka* of the Kharatara *gachchha*.

No. lviii.⁸⁰ (Date as above); a *parameshṭī*[*shṭhi*]*pada*, with *Omkāra*, dedicated by the donor of No. lvi; consecrated as above.

⁷³ In Vimalavasi Tūnk, to the south-east of the Chaumukh of a hundred pillars.—*Lists*, p. 202, No. 245.—J. B.

⁷⁴ On a tank by the roadside part of the way down the hill.

⁷⁵ On the wall, beside the Hāthīpola; or the gateway separating the enclosure of the Ādīvara Bhagavān and the eastern section of the Vimalavasi Tūnk.—J. B.

⁷⁶ In Modi Premachand's Tūnk, in a cell or chapel in the north corridor.

⁷⁷ In a small temple to the south of Puṇḍarika's.—*Lists*, p. 207, No. 345.

⁷⁸ In Hemabhai's Tūnk, at the entrance.—*Lists*, p. 209, No. 408.

⁷⁹ On the seat of an image south of Puṇḍarika's in the entrance to Hemabhai Vakhatchand's Tūnk.

⁸⁰ In Hemabhai's Tūnk, on the north wall of the Māṇḍapa of the principal temple.—*Lists*, p. 209, No. 407.

⁸¹ In the same temple, on the south wall.—J. B.

No. lix.⁸¹ (Date as above) ; a temple and an image of Chandraprabha, dedicated for her husband's welfare by Ichhāvahu, wife of Naginadāsa, son of Śeṭh Himabhāi, son of Śeṭh Vakhatachamda Khusyālachamda, of the Vṛiddhasākhā, of the Ośa race, inhabitant of Rājanagara; consecrated in the reign of Śāntisāgarasūri of the Sāgara *gachchha*.

No. lx.⁸² Samvat 1887, Vaisākha sudi 13, *jñavāsara*, in the reign of Gohel Kāndhājī, *kumvara* Noghanājī, of Pādaliptanagara, a temple (*vihāra*) built, and an image of Kumthanātha by Gajamalaājī Parakh, son of Himatarāma, son of Sāha Tilokachamda of the Luniyā gotra, Ukeśa jñātīya Vṛiddhasākhā, inhabitant of Ajameranagara; consecrated by Devachamda, in the reign of *bhaṭṭ*. Jinaharshasūri, of the Brihat-Kharatara *gachchha*.

No. lxi.⁸³ Samvat 1888, Vaisākha vadi — — *śorivāsare* (!), three images of Chandraprabha, etc., dedicated by Khemakuvarabāi, wife of Nihālachamda, son of Sāha Pāmnāchamda, Ośavāla of Ahmadābād; consecrated by Devachandra, in the reign of Jinharshasūri of the Kharatara *gachchha*.

No. lxii.⁸⁴ Samvat 1889, Śaka 1755, Vaisāsha śukla 13, Wednesday; an image of Dharmanātha, dedicated by Ujamabāi, daughter of Vakhatachamda, an Ośavāla of the Vṛiddhasākhā, inhabitant of Rājanagara; consecrated in the reign of Śāntisāgarasūri of the Sāgara *gachchha*. A small temple in the Moṭi ṭunk near Puñchabhāi's temple by the same.

No. lxiii.⁸⁵ (Date as above); an image of Rishabhadeva, dedicated by Paradhāmnava, wife of Sūryamala, son of Śresṭhī Vakhatachamda, of the Vṛiddhasākhā of the Ukeśa race, inhabitant of Rājanagara; consecrated in the Sāgara *gachchha* (?).

No. lxiv.⁸⁶ (Date as above); an image of Chandraprabha, dedicated by Kapurachamdaājī, inhabitant of Sirohi, son of Pubachamda Jasarupājī, younger brother of Jasarupājī, son of Patāājī Pārakh; consecrated in the Tapā *gachchha*.

No. lxv.⁸⁷ (Date as above), *chaturmukha bimba*, dedicated for the welfare of Hemabhāi by his whole family, *viz.* Naginadāsa, his wife Ichhāvahu, his younger brother Premābhāi, his wife Sāmkalivahu and his sisters Rukhamāṇi, Prāsana, Motikumara—Hemābhāi's wife Kamkuvahu, parents Śeṭh Vakhatachamda and Jadāvabāi, grandfather Khusyālachamda, Ośavālas of the V. S., inhabitants of Ahmadābād; consecrated by Śāntisāgara of the Sāgara-*gachchha*.

No. lxvi.⁸⁸ Date as above, but sukra 12, Wednesday (?); a *pañchaparameshṭi*-[*shikhi*]-*paṭṭa*, containing an *Oṃkāra*, dedicated by Ujamabāi (see No. lxii); consecrated in the Tapā *gachchha* (?).

No. lxvii.⁸⁹ Samvat 1889, Śaka 1754 Vaisāsha, śuklapaksha 12, Wednesday, a *chaturvimśatīrthamkarapaṭṭa*, containing a *Hṛīmkāra*, dedicated by Ujamabāi (see No. lxvi), consecrated in the Tapā *gachchha* (?).

⁸¹ In a shrine in Hemabhāi's Tunk.—*Lists*, p. 209, No. 413.—J. B.

⁸² Outside Kharataravasi Tunk, in a temple at the north-east corner.—*Lists*, p. 207, No. 347.—J. B.

⁸³ In one of the shrines round the court of Hemabhāi's Tunk, on the north side.

⁸⁴ In a small shrine on the south corridor of the same.

⁸⁵ In Hemabhāi's Tunk in a shrine in the south corridor room No. 4.

⁸⁶ In Hemabhāi's Tunk, north corridor, room No. 2.

⁸⁷ In the shrine of the temple in the north-east of the court.—*Lists*, p. 209, No. 412.

⁸⁸ In the principal temple in Hemabhāi's Tunk, on the south wall, see No. lviii.

⁸⁹ In Hemabhāi's temple, in the Maṇḍapa north wall, east corner, see No. lvii.—J. B.

No. lxviii.⁹⁰ Samvat 1891, Māgha, śita 5, Monday, during the reign of Gohel Khāmdhājī, his son being Noghanājī and his son Pratāpasimghājī, of Pālītāṇā, an image of Rishabha, dedicated by Imdrajī, son of Nihālachamda, of the Yadugaḍa-gotra, Ośavāla race, Bṛihat-śākhā, inhabitant of Makasudāvād-Bāluchara; consecrated by Pam. Devachandra, pupil of Pam. Jayavamtājī, in the reign of Jinaharsha of the Bṛihat-Kharatara *gachchha*.

No. lxix.⁹¹ Samvat 1892, Vaiśākha, śita 3, Friday, in the reign of Gohel Khamdājī (etc., see No. lxviii), images of Sambhavanātha, Pārśvanātha and Śī-talanātha, dedicated by Mahetābhakumāra, wife of Bābu Pratāpasimghājī, brother of Bābu Bahādarasinghājī, son of Bābu Rādhāsimghājī, of the Yadugaḍa-gotra, Ukesa race), Bṛihat-śākhā, of Makasudāvād-Bāluchara; consecrated in the reign of Jinaharsha of the Bṛihat-Kharatara *gachchha* by P. Devachandra, pupil of P. Jayabhadra, pupil of P. Kanaka Sekharājī.

No. lxx.⁹² Samvat 1893, Śāka 1758, Māgha vadi 2, Wednesday; a temple built and image of Ādinātha dedicated by Phūlakumyara, daughter of Anopabhāi and Manachhī, Anopabhāi being son of Vakhatachamda (see above, No. xlv); consecrated by Śāmtisāgara of the Sāgara *gachchha*.

No. lxxi.⁹³ (Date as above), temple built and image of Śāmtinātha dedicated by Ujalivahu, wife of Phatebhāi, son of Motīchamda, Vṛiddha-śākhā, Ośavāla, inhabitant of Rājanagara, consecrated by Śāmtisāgara of the Sāg. ga.

No. lxxii.⁹⁴ (Date as above), temple built and image of Śāmtinātha dedicated by Bhagubhāi, son of Phatebhāi (wife Acharatavahu), son of Motīchanda (see No. lxxi); consecrated by Śāmtisāgara of the Sāgara-gotra.

No. lxxiii.⁹⁵ (Date as above), a temple built in Hemābhāi's ṭuṅk and image of Ajitanātha dedicated by Sā Lashamīchamda, (wife Pāravati), son of Sā Jesamgha, son of Sā Hīrāchamda, of the Vṛiddha-śākhā, Usavāla, inhabitant of Khambhā-nayara.

No. lxxiv.⁹⁶ Samvat 1893, Jyeshṭha śudi 3, Wednesday; an image of Gomukha Yaksha, dedicated by Bāphaṇā Gumāmnachamdājī Bahādarāmallaājī of Jesālameru, consecrated by Jinamahemdrasūri of the Kharatara *gachchha*.

No. lxxv.⁹⁷ Samvat 1893, Śāka 1758, Māgha śukla 10; an image of Pārśvanātha dedicated by Premachanda, etc. (see next inscription), consecrated by Padmavijaya, etc. (see next inscription).

No. lxxvi.⁹⁸ Samvat 1893, Śāka 1758, Māgha śukla 10, Wednesday; an image of Pārśvanātha dedicated by Ajab and Mānakumara, the first and second mothers of Sā Pītāmara, son of Sā Sākalachamda, son of Sā Premachamda, son of Sā Dāmodaradāsa of the Laghu Śākhā of the Śrīmāla race, inhabitants of Ahammadāvād, consecrated by

⁹⁰ In temple outside the gate of Puṇḍarika temple of the Kharataravasi Ṭuṅk and next to that containing inscription No. lx.—*Lists*, p. 206, No. 341.—J. B.

⁹¹ Outside the enclosure of the great Chaumukha on the east, next to preceding on the base of an image.—*Lists*, p. 206. No. 339.

⁹² In Hemābhāi Ṭuṅk, west corridor, room No. 1.

⁹³ In the same Ṭuṅk in a shrine in the west corridor.

⁹⁴ In Hemābhāi's Ṭuṅk, west corridor, room No. 5.

⁹⁵ In the Hemābhāi Ṭuṅk, north corridor, first room.

⁹⁶ In the shrine of Gaumukha, at the entrance to the Chaumukha temple.—*Lists*, p. 206 No. 311.

⁹⁷ Sākalachand Premachand's Ṭuṅk, on the seat below the image (Chintāmaṇi Pārśvanātha), in a cell in the west corridor of the principal temple.—*Lists*, p. 212, No. 494.

⁹⁸ In Sākalachand Premachand's Ṭuṅk, on the seat of Puṇḍarika, facing the principal temple.—J. B.

Rûpavijayagani, pupil of Padmavijayagani, a Samvijñamârgî, of the line of Vijayasahasûri, in the Samvijñayamârgîya—Tapâ *gachchha*.

No. lxxvii.⁹⁹ (Date as above), an image of Padmanâtha, dedicated by Sâ Mûlachamda, son of Sâ Karmachamda, son of Sâ Premachamda (etc., see above) consecrated by Rûpavijayagani (etc., see No. lxxvi).

No. lxxviii.¹⁰⁰ (Date as above), an image of Dharmmanâtha, dedicated by Amarachamda (and family), son of Ratnakhimachamda and Devakûara Bâi, son of Premachamda and Ichhâbâi of the Osa race, Laghu Śâkhâ, inhabitant of Mumbâi, consecrated in the reign of Vijaya-Dhaneśvarasûri of the gachchha of Vijaya-Ânamdasûri, called the Tapâ *gachchha*.

No. lxxix.¹ (Date as above), an image of Dharmanâtha, dedicated by Sâ Sâhavisimgha, son of Sâ Kesirisingha, son of Sâ Khusâlachamda, son of Sâ Nâhâlachamda, an Osavâla of the Vṛiddhasâkhâ, inhabitant of Ahamadâvâd, consecrated by Śâmtisâgarasûri of the Sâgara *gachchha*.

No. lxxx.² (Date as above), an image of Âdinâtha, dedicated by Khemachamda (and family), son of Śeṭh Motichamda and Divâlî Bâi, son of Śeṭh Amichamda and Rupâ Bâi; an Osavâla of the Vṛiddhasâkhâ and Nâhaṭâ gotra, inhabitant of Mumbai-bindara (*Bombay*), consecrated by Jinamahemdrasûri, successor of Jinaharshasûri of the Bṛihat-Kharatara *gachchha* (Kharatara Pippaliya), during the reign of Gohel Pratâpasimghajî.

No. lxxxi.³ (Date as above), image of Śeṭh Mo[ticham]da and his wife Ichhâvâlî set up by Śeṭh Khemachamda, in the Kharatara-Ghînalîya (*Pippaliya*?) *gachchha*.

No. lxxxii.⁴ (Date as above), image of Śâmtinâtha, dedicated by Śeṭh Amichamda (etc., see No. lxxx); consecrated by Jinamahemdra, (*Kharatara-Pippaliya-gachchha-ja-yu śrî-Jinadevasûri tatpatte bha-śrî-Jinachamdasûri vidyamâne saparikarasam-yute*).

No. lxxxiii.⁵ (Date as above), an image of Supârśvanâtha, dedicated by Rûpâ Bâi, wife of Śeṭh Amichamda (etc., see No. lxxx); consecrated by Jinamahimdrasûri, etc., (see the preceding No. lxxxii).

No. lxxxiv.⁶ (Date as above), an image in the temple of *śrîvat*-Kesarîdevî (Ambâ), dedicated by Mumgîvahu, wife of Khemachamda (etc., see No. lxxx); consecrated by Jinamahemdrasûri, etc., (see No. lxxxii).

No. lxxxv.⁷ (Date as above), an image of Puṇḍarîka, dedicated by Khemachamda (and family, etc., see No. lxxx); consecrated by Jinamahemdrasuri, etc. (see No. lxxxii).

No. lxxxvi.⁸ Samvat 1897, Śâka 1763, Vaisâka, śukla 13, Monday; an image

⁹⁹ In the temple in the north-east corner of Sâkalachand Premachand's Tunk.—*Lists*, p. 213, No. 498.—J. B.

¹⁰⁰ In Motîśâh's Tunk, in the temple on the south side of the principal one.—*Lists*, p. 210, No. 420.

¹ In Motîśâh's Tunk, on the base of image in a temple on the north of the principal one.—*Lists*, p. 210, No. 433.

² In Motîśâh's Tunk, on the base of the principal image in the temple next to the preceding.

³ Near the door below the image of the Śeṭh and his wife, in the principal temple in Motîśâh's Tunk.—*Lists*, p. 209, No. 417.

⁴ On the base of an image to the right of the principal image in temple No. 420.—*Lists*, p. 210.

⁵ On the base of an image to the left of the principal image in a temple to the north-east of the central one.

⁶ On the base of image of Ambâ Mâtâ (Chakreśvari) to the right of the principal temple in Motîśâh Amichand's Tunk.

⁷ On the base of Puṇḍarîka, in the temple at the entrance of Motîśâh's Tunk; the temple of Puṇḍarîka always faces the principal shrine of Âdinâtha.—*Lists*, p. 209, No. 418.—J. B.

⁸ On the base of image in a temple behind the principal one in Motîśâh's Tunk.—*Lists*, p. 210, No. 431.

of Âdinâtha, dedicated by Phulachamda, son of Kapurachamda and Kasali, son of Pârekhi Jiboghâ (?) and Lashamî, Śrîmâli of the Vṛiddha Śâkhâ, inhabitant of Mumbâi-bidar (*Bombay*), consecrated in the reign of Vijaya Devidrasûri of the Tapâ *gachchha*.

No. lxxxvii.⁹ Samvat 1900, Śâka 1765, Mâgha śukla 7, Friday; a temple built by Kshemachamda.

No. lxxxviii.¹⁰ Samvat 1903, Śâka 1768, Mâgha, kṛishna 5, Friday; an image of Rûpabâi (etc., see No. lxxxiii), dedicated by Kshemachamda; in the reign of Jinamahimdrasûri of the Brihat-Kharatara Pipaliya *gachchha*.

No. lxxxix.¹¹ Samvat 1905, Vaiśâsha, śukla 1, Monday; an image of Âdinâtha dedicated by *mehetâ* Motichamda, son of *m. Khetasî*, Ośavâla of the Vṛiddha-Śâkhâ, inhabitant of Pâlanapûra; two other images of Âdinâtha, dedicated by his wives Râmakuyara and Imdara, two more images of Âdinâtha, dedicated by Maṁgali, son of *metâ* Îsvara and Jñânavaḥu, son of Râmakuyar and Motichamda, as well as by Dilubâi, wife of Khetasî, son of Mûlachamda, in the reign of Devimdrasûri of the Tapâ *gachchha*.

No. xc.¹² Samvat 1905, Śâka 1770, Mâgha, śukla 5, Monday; a temple built, thirty-two images of Chamdraprabhu and other Jinas dedicated, a *dharmasâlâ* 120 gaja long and 40 gaja broad, built in Pâlitânâ, south side, an *upâsar* in Pâlitânâ for the Amchala *gachchha* restored, (*all*) by Sâ Hîraji and Sâ Vîraji with their wives Purabâi and Lîlâ Bâi, they being sons of Sâ Narasî and Kuarabâi, son of Bhâramalla, and Maṁka Bâi Ośavalas of the Laghu-sâsha, and Nâgaḍâ-gotra, members of the Amchala *gachchha*, inhabitants of Nabhinpura in Kachha, the pious works being made by the advice (*upadeśât*) of Muktiśâgarasûri of the Amchala *gachchha*.

No. xci.¹³ Pedigree and record of the benefactions of Śeṭh Vakhatachanda, his son Hemâbhâi and his grandson, the late Nagarśeṭh Premâbhâi of Ahmadâbâd. He belonged to the Osavâla-jñâti, the Addai Śâkhâ, the Śîsodiâ-*vaṁśa*, the Kumkumalola-gotra, worshipped the gotra-devî Âsâpurî, the Kshetrapâla Baraḍâ. The pedigree includes (1) [K]ulautapatirâjâ Sâmaṁtasamgha râmno, (2) his son Kuarpâla, converted to Jainism by Achâraja Dharmagoshasûri, (3) his son Sâ Harapati, (4) his son Sâ Vachchhâ, (5) his son Sâ Sehasakaraṇa, (6) his son Śeṭh [Sâ]m̐tidâsa of Râjanagara, a courtier (*râjasabhâsragâra*) in the time (*pravartte*) of Dalipatipâtasâha—Sâhajâṁgâji, his son Śeṭh Lakhamichamda; (8) his son Shusâlachamda (Khushâlchand), wife Jhamaku; (9) their son Seṭh Vashatachamda (Vakhatchand). Then follow the names of the latter's wives, children and grand-children, an enumeration of his, and his family's benefactions with dates, from V. S. 1864 to 1905 and a *paṭṭâvali* of the Sâgara-*gachchha*; (1) Râjasâgarasûri; (2) Vṛiddhiśâgarasûri; (3) Lakshmîśâgarasûri; (4) Kalyâṇasâgarasûri; (5) Punyasâgarasûri; (6) Udayasâgarasûri; (7) Ânandasâgarasûri; (8) Śâmtisâgarasûri, V. S. 1905.

No. xcii.¹⁴ Samvat 1908, Chaitra vada 10, Wednesday; a temple built in the Mubata

⁹ In Sâkalachand Premachand's Tũk, on the left side wall, near the shrine door of the temple on the south-east.—*Lists*, p. 213, No. 499.

¹⁰ On the base of a female image near the door of the principal temple in Motiśâh's Tũk.

¹¹ In Motiśâh's Tũk, south corridor, first room.

¹² In the Kharataravasi Tũk in a temple outside the Chaumukh enclosure and behind that of Narsi Keśavji.

¹³ In Hemabhai Vakhatachand's Tũk, on the front wall, at the south corner, outside the principal temple of Ajitarâth, built by Premabhai.—*Lists*, p. 209, No. 407.—J. B.

¹⁴ In Motiśâh's Tũk, in a room in the south corridor.

Moti-vasi (Motiśāh's Tūnk), by Vṛiddhishamāñjī, son of Mubatā Pamchāna and Punyakuara, of the Ośa race, inhabitants of Vikānera; consecrated by Pam. Devendrakuśala, brother of Āṇandakuśala of the Tapā *gachchha*.

No. xciii.¹⁵ Saṃvat 1908, Vaisāsha kṛishṇa 7, Monday; an image of Dharmanāthajī, dedicated by Khusālabhāī, son of Dīpachamāda, a Śrīmālī, inhabitant of Rājānagara

No. xciv.¹⁶ (Date as above), an image of Śumatinātha, dedicated by Jeṭhābhāī, another son of Dīpachamāda (see No. xciii).

No. xcv.¹⁷ (Date as above), an image of Rishabha, dedicated in the reign of Harkhachamdasūri by Jeṭhābhāī (&c., see No. xciv) of the Pāyachamāda(?) *gachchha*, consecrated by Pam. Āṇandakuśala.

No. xcvi.¹⁸ Saṃvat 1910, Chetra, śukla 15, Thursday; in the reign of Rājarājesvara Mahārājādhirāja (!) Gohil Śrī Noghaṇa, his son being Pratāpasimghajī, of Pālitanā, a new temple built and eight images of Adijina, Suvrata, Ādinātha, Naminātha, Ādinātha, Śuvrata, Śāntinātha and Pārsvanātha, dedicated by Śeṭh Vaghmalaji, son of Agarakuvarabhāī and Dhanarūpamalla, Osavāla of the Vṛiddha Śākha and Śrī Muṃmīyā geṭra, inhabitants of Ajamera, consecrated in the reign of Jinasaubhāgyasūri, successor of Jina haśha of the Khar. ga. by Hemachamādra, younger pupil (*laghusikshya*) of Mānmasūndarajī, of Harshakīrtti, pupil of Dayavilasajī, pupil of Jayabhadrajī, pupil of Pam. Kanakasesharajī.

No. xcvi.¹⁹ Saṃvat 1911, Phālguna, kṛishṇa 2 Monday,²⁰ an image of Abhinandanasvāmimūlanāyaka, dedicated in the Motivasitūnka by Sā Kālidasa, son of Bāi-Deva and Bhagavāna, son of Sā Harashachamāda, a Viśā Poravāḍa, inhabitant of Rajanagara; consecrated by Pam. Āṇandakuśala in the reign of Devindrasūri of the Tapā *gachchha*.

No. xcvi.²¹ Saṃvat 1913, Māgasara, śudi 6; *Nāvāsare*, an image of Śāntinātha, dedicated by Śeṭh Phattebhāī, son of Sā Motibhāī and Rupakumvarabāī, of the Sisodīāsāshā and Kumkamalola-gotra, inhabitant of Ammadāvād.

No. xcix.²² (Date as above), an image of Dharmanātha, dedicated by Seṭh Chaganabhāī, son of Siradārakumvarabāī and Sā. Mānasushabhāī, of the Sisodīāsāshā and the Kumkamalola-gotra, inhabitant of Ahamadāvād.

No. c.²³ (Date as above), an image of Abhinandana, dedicated by Samarathakuarabāī, daughter of Pradhānakumvarabāī and Śeṭh Surajamalabhāī, Osavāla of the Sisodīāsāshā and the Kumkamalola gotra, inhabitant of Ahamadāvād.

No. ci.²⁴ Saṃvat 1914 (written 19014), Mārgaśīrsha, sūdi 7, Monday; an image of Dattajina, dedicated by Bāi Aimdra, wife of Sāhā Velachamāda Mānyekachamāda of Rājānagara.

No. cii.²⁵ Saṃvat 1914 (written 19014), Mārgaśīrsha, vadi aikame,²⁶ Wednesday (*vārabuddhe*); an image of Śūvrattajina, dedicated by Bāi Harakumyyara, wife of Mānikachamāda Khimachamāda, an Usa of Rājānagara.

¹⁵ In Motiśāh's Tūnk, in a room in the south corridor, next the preceding.—J. B.

¹⁶ In the same room as the preceding.

¹⁷ In the same place as in No. xciii.

¹⁸ In the Chaumukh enclosure, on the east wall of a marble temple behind the great one.—*Lia*, p. 206, No. 325.

¹⁹ In Motiśāh's Tūnk, in a room in the south corridor.—J. B.

²⁰ Date on the image 903.

²¹ In Hemabbai's Tūnk, south wing, room No. 33.—J. B.

²² Hemabbai's Tūnk, south wing, No. 32.

²³ In the same corridor, No. 31.

²⁴ In Motiśāh's Tūnk, south corridor, No. 28; see No. cvi.

²⁵ In Motiśāh's Tūnk, south corridor, No. 22.—J. B.

²⁶ Date on the image Saṃvat 1693.

No. ciii.²⁷ Samvat 1916, Vaiśākha, kṛṣṇa 6, Thursday, (*uttarāślāḍhanakshatra saṁkrānti mesha, sūryo udayāt ghaṭi 1, pala 45*); a temple (*Śrīcāmpūjyaprasāda*) built, *yātrā* and various benefactions made by an inhabitant of Kapaḍavaṇṇaja, a Nemiya by race, of the Vṛiddha-sāśhā and Maṇiyāṇa-gotra, called Sā Hīrāji, his son Gulabachamda and wife Manakuvara, their son Pārekha Mithubhai and wife Benakumvara, their son Karamachamda and wives (1) Bāi Jaḍava, (2) Bāi Śiven, consecrated in the reign of Vidyānamdasūri, successor of Dhaneśarasūri of the Āṇandasūri *gachchha* by Gaṇi Raṁgavijaya, pupil of Paṁ. Viravijaya, pupil of Paṁ. Dhīravijaya, a *samregapakshi*, pupil of Paṁ. Khemāvijaya of the Tapā *gachchha*, during the reign of *rājādhirāja* Pratāpasimghaji.

No. civ.²⁸ Samvat 1916, Śaka 1781, Phalguna, kṛṣṇa 2, Friday; an image of Ajitanātha, dedicated in the new temple in the Vakhatachamdavasi, by Śeṭh Anopachamda, son of Vakhatachamda (etc., see No. xci), and by his wife Adhīra and daughter Bāi Ddhīrya (Dhiraj), in the reign of Vijaya-Devendrāsūri of the Tapā *gachchha*.

No. cv.²⁹ Samvat 1922, Margasara, vadi 7, Thursday; an image of Aranātha, dedicated by Śivaprasāda, son of Modī Namidāsa, Ośavāla of the Vṛiddha Śākhā and the Chhājeḍa gotra, inhabitant of Kāśī, consecrated by Hīrachamdra, pupil of Paṁ. Devachamda, by command of (?) Jinamuktisūri of the Bṛihat-Kharatara *gachchha*.

No. cvii.³⁰ Samvat 1924, Māgha śukla 10, Monday³¹; an image of Sitalanāthaji, dedicated by Sā Amolakakasalā, a Dasā-Poravāḍa of the Laghu-Śākhā, inhabitant of Viśālanagara (Visalnagar?) in Gujara-deśa; his sons were Mūlachamda, Mayāchamda, Ravichamda, their sons Gokala, Dipachamda and Shimachamda; consecrated in the reign of Vijaya-Devendrāsūri of the Tapā *gachchha* by Paṁ. Ratnavijaya.

No. cviii.³² Samvat 1928, Māgha śukla 13, Thursday; an image of Pāśvajina, in their own temple (*dehari*) in the Ṭuṅk of Seṭh Motisā, dedicated by Prāgaḷi and his father Gaḷāchamda, the latter being the son of Bāi Iratana, and Khimaji, son of Jhaveri Velāji of Navānagara.

No. cix.³³ Samvat 1930, Chaitra vada 2; an image of Dharmanātha, dedicated by Sā Māmnakachamda Motichamda, of Amadāvād.

No. cx.³⁴ Vikrama-Samvat 1939, Mahāmāsa, kṛṣṇa 12, Tuesday; an image of Sāntinātha, dedicated by Nānāpajā Sa Pitambaradāsa, a Poravāḍa of the Laghu Śākhā inhabitant of Amadāvād.

No. cx.³⁵ Samvat 1940, Śaka 1805, Vaiśākha śukla 3, Monday (*iṣṭa ghaṭi 3 pala 10 suryodayāt*); during the reign of Goyala Sūrasimghaji of Pālitanā, in the time of Vivekasāgarasūri of the Amchala *gachchha*, an image of Ādinātha dedicated in Sā Keśava Nāyaka's Ṭuṅk in the temple of Puṁḍarika, by Sāhā Trikama, who lives in Nalinapura, in Kachchha and in Mu[m]bāi (Bombay), an Ośavāla of the Laghu Śākhā and Shotā-gotra; consecrated by Muni Shetasi.

²⁷ In the Bālābhāi Ṭuṅk, near the shrine door of the temple in the south-east.—*Lists*, p. 212, No. 493—J. B.

²⁸ In Homabhai's Ṭuṅk, in another room, No. 27 of the same *Bhāṁti*, with No. c.

²⁹ In Motisāh's Ṭuṅk, in a room in the south corridor, No. 28; in the same room as No. ci.

³⁰ In Motisāh's Ṭuṅk, in the south corridor room No. 23.—J. B.

³¹ Date on the image—Samvat 1903.

³² In Motisāh's Ṭuṅk, in the south corridor room No. 30—J. B.

³³ In Motisāh's Ṭuṅk, south corridor No. 35.

³⁴ In Śākalchand Premachand's Ṭuṅk, west side corridor, small shrine No. 18, under an image.

³⁵ In the shrine of Puṁḍarika at Keśavji Nāvah's temple in Vimlavasi Ṭuṅk.—J. B.

No. cxii.³⁶ Samvat 1940, Māgha śukla 6, Saturday; an image of Pārśvanātha, dedicated by Parasatāmidhayā (?), son of Jayasimha Himachamda, a Śrīmālī of the Vṛiddha Śākhā, inhabitant of Amatavāśma (?), consecrated by Pamnyāsa Gulābavijaya-gaṇi, pupil of Pamnyāsa Maṇivijaya.

No. cxiii.³⁷ Samvat 1940, Phālguna śukla 3, Friday; an image of Dharmanātha, dedicated by Rāmachamda Phulachamda, a Vṛiddha Śrīmālī, inhabitant of Anahil-lapura; consecrated by Pamnyāsa Gulābavijaya gaṇi, pupil of Pamnyāsa Maṇivijaya-gaṇi of the Samvijñā-paksha of the Tapā gachchha.

No. cxiv.³⁸ Samvat 1943, Pausa, kṛṣṇa aṣṭamī, Monday; a *Vāsnpūjyajina*, dedicated by Sā Kevala Lakhamicha(m)da, a Daśā-Saramālī (Śrīmālī) of Amadāvād, his wife Kesarabāī, his son Chunilāla, with his wife Parasanabāī, and their daughter Bena Sāmkuna.

No. cxv.³⁹ Samvat 1943, Māga sukala 10, Thursday; an image of Sā(m)tīnāth, dedi-cated by Sā Lala Vakhatachamda(a), a Viśā-Osavāla of Amadāvād, his wife Bāī Adhīr, his daughter Dhīraja, and his sons Vādīlāla and Bholābhāī.

No. cxvi.⁴⁰ No date; an image of Śreyāmsa, dedicated at the request (*upadeśa*) of Kalyāṇasāgarasūri⁴¹ of the Amchala gachchha, consecrated on Vaiśākha sudi 3, Wednesday.

No. cxvii.⁴² Samvat 15 . . (? ?) . . . sudi 5; buddhe, an Aṣṭāpada, dedicated by Jhaverachamda (?), mentions also the name of Udayasāgarasūri.

No. cxviii.⁴³

- L. 1. ॥ श्री ॥ सं १६५० [प्र] चै पूर्णि-
2. मायां सुविहितसाफचीर-
3. सागरप्रोक्तासशीतपादानां
4. निजवचनरजितसाहिब्यो-
5. भक्तभरप्रदत्तश्रीसिद्धशै-
6. लानां भटारकश्रीविजयसे-⁴⁴
7. नस्त्रिप्रमुखसुविहित-
8. भक्तिभरसेव्यमानपादा-
9. रविदानां श्री ६ श्रीहीरवि-
10. जयस्त्रिपादानां माहात्म्यप्रो-
11. णितसीहिनिर्मितसकल-⁴⁵

12. सत्वद्रव्यग्रहण[सु]त्तिका-
13. यां प्रथमचैत्रीपूर्णमायां [त-]
14. च्छिद्यसकलवीचककोटि-⁴⁶
15. कोटीरगतकोटिश्री ६ श्रीवि-
16. मलहषगणिमि⁴⁷ । श्रे° पं° देव°
17. हर्ष गं श्रीशत्रुजयकृतक्रत्य⁴⁸
18. पं° धनविजय गं पं° जयवि-
19. जय गं जसविजयहसवि-⁴⁹
20. जय गं मुनि [वे]सलादिमुनि-
21. शतहयपरिकरितैर्निर्वि-
22. ⁵⁰—ीकता यात्रा इति भद्रम् [॥]

Samvat 1650, Chaitra, full moon day; Vimalaharsha, pupil of bhaṭṭāraka Hira-vijaya, of the Sāpha gotra, which latter was honoured by Sāhi Akabbara and received from him the Siddhasāila (*Satrumjaya*), made a pilgrimage, together with 200 other monks.

³⁶ In a cell of Keśavji Nāyak's temple in the Vimalavasi Tunk, east corridor.—J. B.

³⁷ In some place as the preceding.

³⁸ In Sākalachand Premachand's Tunk, on the seat below the image in the temple to the right of the principal one.

³⁹ In Sākalachand Premachand, under an image, west side, corridor 17.

⁴⁰ On the base of an image in a temple outside the Hāthi-pola, north side.—*List*, p. 201, No. 234.

⁴¹ This name shows that the inscription belongs to Samvat 1675 or 1683.—1676 is the date of the temple.—J. B.

⁴² On a brass plate in Modi Premachand's temple, see No. xlii.—J. B.

⁴³ On the south wall of the temple of Ādīśvara Bhagavān temple.—J. B.

⁴⁴ Read भटारक°

⁴⁵ Read साहि°

⁴⁶ Read वाचक°

⁴⁷ Read शिवमि;

⁴⁸ Read शत्रुजय°; कृतक्रत्य°

⁴⁹ Read हंस°

⁵⁰ Read श्री°

VII.—VOTIVE INSCRIPTIONS FROM THE SÂNCI STŪPAS.

By G. BÜHLER, PH.D., LL.D., C.I.E.

The subjoined transcripts of a large number of the votive inscriptions, found on the Sânci, or more correctly Kâkanâda,¹ Stûpas Nos. I and II, have been prepared according to impressions by Drs. Burgess and Führer. The former took impressions of about twenty inscriptions in the beginning of 1869, and Dr. Führer, who visited Sânci during the cold season of 1889-90, made copies of the whole collection. Compared with Sir A. Cunningham's collection,² *Bhilsa Topes*, plates xvi—xix and xxi, the present ones show a hundred and ten inscriptions less for Stûpa I, and twenty-seven less for Stûpa II. On the other hand, there are, counting the duplicates, forty-one inscriptions from Stûpa I and five from Stûpa II which I cannot trace in Sir A. Cunningham's work. The missing inscriptions are probably incised on stones, which may have been lost in the repairs executed some years ago, or during the forty years which have elapsed since the preparation of Sir A. Cunningham's book. The most serious loss is that of Sir A. Cunningham's No. 177 (*Bhilsa Topes*, plate xix), see also *Corpus Inscr. Ind.*, vol. I, Plate xx³, which, as I have already pointed out in the *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XIX, p. 124, seems to contain a second version of Aśoka's so-called Kosambî edict on the Allahâbâd Pillar. This much appears highly probable from a comparison of the middle portions of the two inscriptions:—

Allahâbâd.

. saṅgham [.]
[Bho]khati bhikhu-va bhikhunî-vâ. [pi]
châ [.] (2) dâ[tâ]ni [d]usâni . nam
dhâpayitu ânapesa . v. s. y. y. [.]

Sânci.

. saṅgham (3)
Bhokhati bhikhu [v*] â blikhuni [vâ*]
[.] dâtâ (4) ni du[sâ]ni s[â*]nam .
[dh* â]p[a*]yit [u*] anâ[p*]e (5) sasi
visapetaviye [.] Ichhâ hi me sâ (6) ti
saṅghasa * mage chilathitike siyâ ti (7).

Assuming a new sentence to begin with *bhokhati* L. 5, the remainder of the Sânci version may be translated as follows:—

“Monks and nuns will dine,⁵ (*and*) causing white cloths to be put down for them, (*you*) will order it to be spread. For my wish is this, that the path of the Community (*of Buddhist monks*) may endure for a long time.”

The order is evidently addressed to an official, probably to the *mahâmâtra* in charge of Mâlvâ, just as the edict on the Allahâbâd Pillar gives directions to the governor of

¹ See J. F. Fleet: *Corp. Inscr. Ind.* vol. III, p. 31.

Inscriptions found only in Sir A. Cunningham's collection will be referred to in the sequel, according to his numbers to which C is prefixed.

² During the repairs under Major Keith, many loose stones were built into the dome, some of which may have borne short votive inscriptions. The Aśoka edict was on a fragment of a large pillar, which still lies at the great s'ûpa. This and others may possibly have been overlooked by Dr. Führer in his hurried visit.—J. B.

³ Sir A. Cunningham's two facsimiles (A, *Bhilsa Topes*, Plate xix; B, *Corp. Inscr. Ind.*, vol. I Plate xx) have the following readings, which I have corrected:—

L. 4, *ta bhikhu* . . . *i omddto* (A) *châ bhikhuni yi khuddtâ* (B.);

L. 5, *dupâni* (A), *du[sâ] pir* (B); *sanam* (A, B); *chhavam andto* (A); *pâyata and* (B);

L. 6, *vidhapetaviye* (A).

L. 7, *tiṃ saṅghassa* (A), *tiṃ* (B); *milathitikeṃ* (A).

I do not dare to propose a restoration of the first three lines of the Sânci version. Mr. Prinsep's and Sir A. Cunningham's attempts (*Bhilsa Topes*, p. 260 f.) do not seem to me successful.

⁵ Or perhaps “(*if*) a monk or a nun will dine, (*then*) etc.”

Kosambî. According to Childers, *Pali Dictionary* (sub voce *dussa*), it was customary with the Buddhists to spread white cloths on the seats prepared for the reception of distinguished monks. It is this mark of honour which both versions order to be shown to the monks and nuns visiting the sacred places. The concluding sentence of the Sânci version, which probably was omitted on the Allahâbâd Pillar, may mean either that the king wishes the tenets (*mage*) of the Buddhist monks to exist for a long time, or that he desires the visits of the faithful to the sacred places to continue for a long time. The wording of this inscription shows the peculiar breadth which the Beloved of the Gods affects in his edicts, and we have the phrases, familiar to every reader of the latter, "for it is my wish" and "that . . . may endure for a long time." Finally, it must be pointed out that *chilathilika*, instead of which the dialect of Mâlvâ would have required *chirathilika*, as well as the final *e* of the masculine nominative singular *mage*, is a Magadhiem, and that (as already stated by Sir A. Cunningham) the letters of the Sânci version show the type of Aśoka's Rock and Pillar edicts. This inscription furnishes, therefore, the proof that the pillar at the South Gate, on which it has been found, dates from Aśoka's times. It, further, permits us to infer that those inscriptions on the railings of the Stûpa, which show the same characters, belong to an equally early period. The latter inference agrees with Sir A. Cunningham's views, who, besides, has assigned the inscriptions on the gateway to much later times.⁶ According to his opinion all the latter documents belong to the first century A.D., because their characters are of the same type as his No. 190, which records a donation of Vâsiṭhiputa Ânamda, *âvesani* or foreman of the artisans of king Siri-Sâtakaṇi. Identifying this Sâtakaṇi with the third king of the Andhra dynasty, Sir A. Cunningham assigns to him, in accordance with Professor H. H. Wilson's calculations, the years 19-37 A.D., and thus places the date of Ânamda's inscription in the beginning of the first century of our era. Though I fully agree with Sir A. Cunningham in considering the Sâtakaṇi of his No. 190 to be the third Andhra king of the Paurânik lists, I must differ from him regarding the date. The characters of his inscription No. 190, as well of the others on the Sânci gateway, are in my opinion much earlier than the first century of our era. They are almost identical with those of the Nânâghaṭ inscriptions, and differ only slightly from the type of the characters of Aśoka's times. It deserves also to be noted that among the inscriptions of the Śeṭh Nâgapiya two, our Nos. 85 (= C. 182) and C. 192, are in the later characters, while one, our No. 7 (= C. 13) on Stûpa No. II, shows the same characters as Aśoka's inscriptions. Such a vacillation is easily explicable, if Nâgapiya lived in the second century B.C. But it is difficult to understand on the supposition that his donations were made two centuries later. Further, there is another important argument, which makes it probable that the first Siri-Sâtakaṇi of the Andhra dynasty ruled, not after the beginning of our era, but about the middle of the second century B.C. I can only agree with Dr. Bhagvânâlâl⁷ in identifying the first Andhra Sâtakaṇi with the Sâtakaṇi, whom Khâravela, king of Kalinga, protected in the second year of his reign. The

⁶ *Dhîta Types*, pp. 271 ff. Sir A. Cunningham's assertion that the occurrence of the name *Gotiputra* (in our No. 49) possesses a great value for determining the age of the railing, is of course no longer tenable. Correctly interpreted, *Gotiputra*, in Sanskrit *Gaṇtiputra*, means only that the royal scribe *Sudhita* was the son of a lady of the *Gota* or *Gaupla* race. It by no means follows that she was the same person as the *Goti* or *Gauptî* who bore the famous Buddhist teacher of Aśoka's times.

⁷ *Actes du sixième Congrès int. des Orient.*, vol. III, 2, p. 146. Dr. Bhagvânâlâl, who assumes that the Maurya era began with Aśoka's conquest of Kalinga, fixes the beginning of Sâtakaṇi's reign at 200 B.C.

thirteenth year of Khāravela corresponds with the year 165 of the Maurya era (Bhagvānlāl, *op. cit.* p. 149). Assuming, as I believe must be done, that the Maurya era begins with the coronation of Chandragupta, which fell between 322—312 B.C., Khāravela's second year lay between 169 and 159 B.C., and Siri-Sātakani was on the throne about that time. For these reasons I must assign our Nos. 83—88 and Sir A. Cunningham's Nos. 178, 179, 183, 184, 186—192, 194—196 to the first half of the second century B.C. To the same, and partly even to a later, period belong also our Nos. 13 (C. 25), 21 (C. 39), 51 (C. 112), 96, 108, 111 and 123. Among these, Nos. 13, 51 and 96 particularly show the characteristics noticeable in the inscriptions of the first century A.D., *viz.* the inverted *da*, the square *sa*, the angular *ma*, and the *anusvāra* above the line. All these inscriptions, with the exception of No. 21, are very badly engraved and not much better than mere scrawls. Their occurrence on the railings among much older documents may possibly be explained by the supposition that they record repairs of older broken *vedikās*.

With respect to the age of the inscriptions on Stūpa II, it is important to note that one person, who contributed to the gateways of Stūpa I, appears as donor of a piece of the railing. This is Śeṭh Nāgapiya, whose case has already been noticed.⁸ It is further remarkable that Balaka, the pupil of Arahaguta-Sāsadaka, occurs in No. 19 (C.) of Stūpa II, while his teacher is named as donor in No. 18 (C. 35) of Stūpa I. These two facts point to the conclusion that portions of, or perhaps the whole, railing were erected somewhat later than Aśoka's times. But the interval cannot be very great, because, as Sir A. Cunningham has pointed out (*Bhilsa Topes*, p. 291), the letters of the inscriptions on Stūpa II fully resemble those of Aśoka's edicts. And it agrees with this estimate, as Sir A. Cunningham has likewise stated (*loc. cit.*),⁹ that the Stūpa II contained the relics of contemporaries of Aśoka, of Moggaliputta, who, according to the Buddhist works, presided at the third Council of Pāṭaliputra, and of Majjhima who was sent as missionary to the districts in the Himālaya and is called in the inscription on the relic box *savahemavattāchariya* "the teacher of the whole Haimavata (district)."

The language of the inscriptions likewise agrees with the assumption that they belong to the third century B.C., and to the first half of the second. It differs very little from the literary Pali and still less from the dialects of Aśoka's edicts, and it shares all the peculiarities of that of the Bharhut inscriptions,¹⁰ which latter, with the exception of the inscription over the gateway, on the evidence of their characters belong to the time of the Maurya dynasty.

The inflected forms show, like the Bharhut inscriptions, a mixture of the case terminations used in the eastern and western versions of Aśoka's edicts. This is particularly noticeable in the genitives of the feminine stems in *ḷ* and *ā*, which sometimes end in *ya* or *yā*, and sometimes in *ye*. Not traceable in Pali and Aśoka's edicts is the ablative in *āto*, which occurs three times in I, 51, 59, and 64. It is found once in the Bharhut inscription No. 116. It is very common in the Jaina Mathurā inscriptions,¹¹ and the

⁸ It is also possible that Nāgila, the pupil of Aya, mentioned in No. 1 of Stūpa II, may be identical with the venerable Nāgila, whose relatives according to No. 84 (C. 181) made a portion of the gateway of Stūpa I.

⁹ I cannot agree with Sir A. Cunningham that there were relics of ten contemporaries of Aśoka. There are only two names which agree with those mentioned in the *Dīpavansa* and the *Mahāvansa*.

¹⁰ See Dr. E. Hultzsch's excellent edition of these documents in the *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft*, vol. XL, p. 58 ff. The references in the sequel give his numbers and readings.

¹¹ See *ante*, vol. I, p. 372.

original from which the Śaurasenī termination *ādo* and the Mahāshtrī *āo* have been derived. Peculiar is also the nominative, instead of the crude form, at the end of the first part of a compound. It occurs once in I. 3, where *kekaṭeyakasa jamata-vijitasa* is a negligent spelling for *kekaṭeyakasa jāmāta-vijitasa*, and takes the place of the grammatically correct *jāmātu* or *jāmāti-vijitasa*. The same anomaly is repeatedly observable in the Bharhut inscriptions, see e.g. No. 10, *isimigo-jātaka*, and it is very common in the Jaina Mathurā inscriptions.¹² Further, the use of the feminine affix *ā* at the end of female names in *devā*, e.g. *Soṇado* [*de*]vā, I, 8; *Dhumadevā* II, 3; which agrees with that of the Bharhut inscriptions e.g. in *Chāpadevā*, No. 22, differs from the Pali. But it would be correct even in Sanskrit, if the compounds are taken as Bahuvrihis and in the sense of “she whose god is Sona, Dhama, or Chāpa.”¹³

The word-forms are in general of the type of the Pali and of Aśoka's Gīrnār edicts. Even the *ra*, which is never changed to *ṛ*, is occasionally preserved in groups of consonants. And it is worthy of note that in the name *Prātīḥānasa*, I. 12, even the spelling of the initial syllable agrees exactly with that used in the Gīrnār inscriptions for words like *prāya*, *priya* and so forth. The *ā* stroke is attached to *ra*, and both are placed above the *pa*, which thus shows at the top the well-known wavy line. Some of the words, which differ from those used in Pali and Aśoka's edicts, are at least formed according to principles prevalent or traceable in the most ancient Prakrits. *Bhichhu*, which appears far more frequently than *bhikhu*, shows the change of *ksha* to *chchha* or *chhu* observable in Pali *achchhi*, a variant for *akkhi*, and Aśoka's *chhudaka* for *khudaka* and other words.¹⁴ The only remarkable point is that the form with *chha* does not occur in this particular case in Pali, nor in Aśoka's edicts, but that it is found repeatedly in the Bharhut inscriptions¹⁵ see, e.g., Nos. 27, 31, 65, 120. *Nhusa*, I. 22, which clearly stands for Sanskrit *snushā* “daughter-in-law” has been formed according to the principle applied in Pali to medial *su*. It is interesting, because neither the Pali nor Aśoka's dialects admit the *su* up *nh* in the beginning of this or any other word. The Pali forms for *snusha* are *sunisa*, *soṇha* and *husā*.¹⁶ *Nusā*, a further corruption of *nhusā*, appears in our inscription, I. 73. The proper name *Patīḥiā*, I. 22, 23, no doubt represents the Sanskrit *pratiṣṭhita*, and thus shows an elision of a medial *ta*. Such elisions are rare in the most ancient Prakrits. Nevertheless, a number of similar forms has been collected in Professor Kuhn's *Beiträge zur Pali Grammatik*, p. 56.

A large number of other peculiarities which the Sānchi and Bharhut inscriptions exhibit are, I think, merely graphic and partly due to negligent spelling. Among these I would reckon :—

- (1) The frequent omission of a medial long *ā*, e.g. in *danam* for *dānam*, I. 6, 49, 82, *jamata* for *jāmātā*, I. 3, *Achalaya* for *Achalāya*, I. 6, *Soṇado*[*de*]vaya for *Soṇadevāya*, I. 8, *upasikāye* for *upāsikāye*, I. 20, *Asvaderaye* for *Asvadevāye*, I. 30, *Yasilaya* for *Yasīlāya*, I. 33, *pojavatiyā* for *pajāvatīyā*, I. 48, *bhatu* for *bhātu*, I. 63, *Samidatasa* for *Sāmidatasa*, I. 65, *Avisinaye* for *Avisināye*,

¹² See *ante*, vol. I, p. 375.

¹³ Such compounds would fall under the general rule of Pāṇini, IV, 1, 4, which teaches that nouns in *a* take *ā* in the feminine.

¹⁴ See E. Müller: *Pali Grammar*, p. 55;—Kuhn: *Beiträge zur Pali-Grammatik*, p. 52.

¹⁵ Another abnormal *chha* occurs in *sechha*, Sanskrit *śaikṣha* and Pali *sekha*, Bharhut inscriptions, No. 15.

¹⁶ The latter probably stood in I, C. 58, where Sir A. Cunningham gives a meaningless *pusa*; see below, note 27.

- I. 79, *Arapána* for *Arápáná* (ablative), I. 89 *Jitāmitaye* for *Jitāmitāye*, I. 101, *Vedisakaya* for *Vedisakāya*, I. 117, *Dhamasenaya* for *Dhamasenāya*, II. 9, and *Nāgapalitaya* for *Nāgapalitāya*, II. 10.¹⁷
- (2) The occasional omission of short *i*, e.g., in *Dhamarakhatāye* for *Dhamarakhitāye*, I. 76, and *bhichhunayā* for *bhichhuniyā*, I. 119
- (3) The almost invariable omission of medial *anusvāras*, e.g. in *Udubaraghara* and its derivatives (see Appendix, List V), in *Nadinagara* and its derivatives (see *ibidem*), *Tubavana* (*ibidem*), *Upidadata* (see Appendix, List III), in the proper names beginning with *Dhama*^o (see Appendix, Lists I-V), and in *atevāsi* for *amtevāsi* I. 12, 66, 88, 112.

It seems to me that the omission of the long *ā* is due everywhere to carelessness, and has for its ultimate cause the custom, common even in late times among clerks and tradesmen, of omitting the vowel-marks altogether; for, the real existence of forms like *jamata*, *jataka* and *jilamita*, in the spoken language, has little probability. As regards the omission of *i*-strokes and of the *Anusvāras*, it is possible to conceive also of other explanations. The syllables in which the *i* is omitted stand, one and all, in *thesei*, i.e. immediately before or after the syllable which bears the stress-accent. A vowel which is placed in such a position is very indistinct, and it is not impossible that *na* and *kha* were sometimes actually pronounced instead of *ni* and *khi* in words like *bhichhūnayā* and *Dhamarakhatāye*. A good many vowel-changes in the literary Prakrits are due to this principle, and a still greater number in the modern vernaculars of India. It must also be noted that in Aśoka's inscriptions *aya* appears occasionally instead of *iya*,¹⁸ and for the same reason.

With respect to the omission of the *Anusvāra* it must be borne in mind that the spelling *dhama*^o instead of *dhamma*^o is perfectly admissible, because in these inscriptions a single consonant does duty for a double one, and hence *dhama* may be read *dhamma*, as the word is invariably spelt in Pali. Further, *Upidadata* and *Tubavana* may stand for *Upidadatta* and *Tūbavana*, because long *ī* and *ū* are marked very rarely in these, as in other old inscriptions. But forms like *Upidadatta* and *Tūbavana* are again admissible in Pali and other ancient Prakrits, where *ī* and *ū* may be put for *im* and *um* as in *siha*, *visati* and so forth. And it must be noted that the form *Īda* for *Indra* occurs repeatedly, e.g. in L. 1 of the large Nānāghāt inscription, *Archæological Rep. West Ind.*, Vol. V, p. 60. A form like *Nadinagara* may be a negligent spelling for *Nādinagara*, which actually occurs in our inscription I. 7; for the Pali and the other Prakrits show in a number of cases *ā* for *am*, e.g., in *dāhā* for *damshtrā*, *saṇḍāsa* for *samdamśa* and so forth. It is no matter of surprise that the dialect of the inscriptions should show these changes more frequently than the literary language of the Buddhist canon. These explanations of the omission of the *Anusvāra* seem to me more probable than the assumption that it is simply in every case due to negligence.

Turning to the contents of the inscriptions, the latter offer, in spite of their extreme brevity, a good many points of interest. Among the two hundred and eighty-five in-

¹⁷ Analogous cases are extremely common in the Bharhut inscriptions, and it will suffice to quote one sentence, No. 155, *yaṁ brāhmano avayesi jatakam*, which, as Dr. Hultzsch has pointed out, is a quotation from *Jātakas*, vol. I., p. 293, verse 61 (Fausboll), where the text has *yaṁ brāhmano avādeci*.

¹⁸ See M. Senart's collection of such forms in the *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVII, p. 304; and my additions in *der Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft*, vol. XLV, p. 154, to which instances *chetaya* for Sanskrit *chaitya*, Pali *chetiya*, has to be added from the Bharhut inscription No. 11.

scriptions, contained in Sir A. Cunningham's and the present collections, there are ten, recording donations by corporate bodies or families. The remainder give the names of individual donors. If a certain number of mutilated, or according to the facsimiles inexplicable, inscriptions are left out, and if the homonymous donors are considered to be the same persons,¹⁹ we find among them fifty-four monks and thirty-seven nuns, as well as ninety-one males and forty-five or forty-seven females, who probably were lay members of the Buddhist sect.²⁰

On the other hand, it is not improbable that two persons, bearing slightly different names, may be identical. Thus the monk Jonaka, I, C. 152, may be the same as the monk Jonhaka, I, 75.

Among the corporate bodies making donations, there are, according to the explanations given below, two villages, Vejaja I, 17, and Pāḍukulikā II, 1. Sir A. Cunningham (*Bhilsa Topes*, p. 240 and p. 280) takes in both cases *grāma* as a personal name or as a part of such. But *grāma* is not used in such a manner, and the translation of *Vejajasa gāmasa dānam* by "Gift of Vejajagrāma," is grammatically inadmissible, as that could only be *Vejajagāmasa dānam*. On the other hand, the form of the two names agrees well with the suggestion that they denote villages, for, Pāḍukulikā corresponds to Pāṇḍukulikā, 'the little dwelling,' or the little sanctuary of Pāṇḍu, *i.e.* the Nāga Pāṇḍu, and the word Vejaja closely agrees in its ending with the terminations *aj*, *ej*, and *ij*, which occur in hundreds of Indian village names as corruptions of the affixes *aya*, *eya* and *iya*²¹. Its first part corresponds to Sanskrit *vaidya*, which possibly may be an abbreviation of *Vaidyanātha*.²² Pious donations, made by villages or towns, do not occur frequently in the inscriptions²³, and those recorded on the Sānchi Stūpas possess a particular interest for, though, perhaps, they do not prove that all the inhabitants of Vejaja and Pāḍukulikā were Upāsakas of the Buddhist Saṃgha, they yet indicate that their most influential men, the members of the village Panch, belonged to the Bauddha sect, and that Buddhism had gained a footing among the agricultural population of Mālvā. Of equal interest is the mention of a *Bodhagoṭhī*, in I, 25, 26. The compound corresponds to *Bauddhagoṭhī*. A *goṭhī* is a committee of trustees in charge of a temple or of a charitable foundation. The inscriptions teach us, therefore, that the village of Dharmavardhana possessed a Bauddha shrine, or perhaps a Vihāra, which was managed by such a committee. The fact that Goshṭhis existed in the third century B.C. is of some importance. The term *goṭhī* occurs also in I, 51, where it is preceded by the word *Barulumisāya* or *Barulumisāna*. I am unable to explain the latter term. The collective gift of the *Vedisakā dāntakārā*, or workers in ivory of Vedisa, I, C. 189, probably indicates that these artisans formed a guild, or *śreṇī*, such as the Nāsik inscriptions mention repeatedly.

Among the remaining inscriptions of this class, which mention the Vākiliyas of Ujjain, I, 27, C. 21, all the relatives of the venerable Nāgila, I, 84, and the Upāsikās

¹⁹ This is, of course, by no means certain, because in some cases persons bearing the same names are clearly distinct. Thus Asvadevā, the mother of Bahadatta (I, 30), is certainly not the same person as Asvadevā, the mother of Samika (I, C. 83), nor the nun Isidatā of Madhuvana (I, C. 132), the same as the nun Isidatā of Kurāra.

²⁰ The number of the Upāsikās is uncertain, because possibly the Oḍi, mentioned I, 82, may be identical with the nun Oḍi, II, 11, and because the interpretation of I, 21 is uncertain.

²¹ The map of Gujārat furnishes *e. g.* Adāraj, Arnej, Kāmlej, Kamrej, Pariyaj, Palej, Prāntij, Sarkhej. Among these Kamrej (also Kāmlej), east of Surat, was called in Sanskrit *Karmaneya* or *Kaṇaniya* (*Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVII, p. 193).

²² Vaidya occurs as the name of a village in the Nepal inscriptions; *Ind. Ant.* vol. IX, p. 175.

²³ The Bharhut inscription No. 16 mentions a gift of the town of *Karahakaṭa* (*Karahakaṭa-nigamasa*).

or female lay worshippers of Navagāma, I, C. 53, the first two are the most interesting. If, as I believe to be the case, the Vākalā devī, the mother of Ahimita, mentioned in I, C. 8, belonged to this family, it must have been a royal, or at least a noble race. With this supposition, *Vākiliya*, further, must be considered to stand for *Vākaliya*. It would then correspond to Sanskrit *Vārkalīya* and *Vākalā* to *Vārkalā*. Both forms might be somewhat irregular patronymics from *Vrikala*, which name is found in the mythological lists of the *Purānas* (see the Petersburg Dictionary, *sub voce*).

Proceeding to the inscriptions which mention donations made by monks and nuns, the first point, which must strike every reader, is their great number, which is proportionally greater than that found on any other Stūpa except at Bharhut or in the caves. As the Buddhist ascetics could not possess any property, they must have obtained by begging the money required for making the rails and pillars. This was no doubt permissible, as the purpose was a pious one. But it is interesting to note the different proceedings of the Jaina ascetics, who, according to the Mathurā and other inscriptions, as a rule, were content to exhort the laymen to make donations and to take care that this fact was mentioned in the votive inscriptions. Another interesting point in which these inscriptions again agree with those of the Bharhut Stūpa is the entire absence of all mention of the Buddhist sects, which are frequently named in the Nāsik, Kārlē, Amarāvati and other inscriptions. There are, on the other hand, a few specifications or titles given to certain monks and nuns, which are not without importance. Two terms of this kind, *Sutātikinī* or *Sātātikinī*, I, 79, 80, "a female reciter of the *Suttanta* or the *Sūtras*" and *dhamaḥāṭhika*, I, C. 191, "a reciter of the Dhamma," have been recognised by the earlier decipherers. But, a third very interesting one, *pachanekayika*, I. 66, has escaped detection. *Pachanekayika* is a negligent spelling for *pāchanekāyika* or *pañchanekāyika*, which former occurs in the Bharhut inscription No. 144, in Sanskrit *pāñchanaikāyika*, and means, as Dr. Hultzsch has translated it, *loc. cit.*, "one who knows the five Nikāyas." The five Nikāyas are (see Childers, *Pali Dictionary*, *sub voce*) the five divisions of the *Sutta Piṭaka*, the Dīghanikāyo, Majjhimanikāyo, Samyuttanikāyo, Aṅguttaranikāyo and Khuddakanikāyo. The title furnishes, therefore, a clear proof of the existence of these divisions of the *Sutta Piṭaka* at the time when the inscription was incised. And this time must be the third century B.C., as the letters of the inscription fully agree with those of Aśoka's edicts.²⁴ The discovery that the five great subdivisions of the *Sutta Piṭaka* existed at so early a period lends some support to the view of those scholars who, like Professor Oldenberg, identify the titles of some of the treatises mentioned in Aśoka's longer Bairātī edict with portions of the Majjhimanikāyo and Aṅguttaranikāyo. *Yugapajaka*, I, 74, which I translate tentatively by "the path-(finder) of the age," seems to be a new title.

Among the individual monks named in the inscriptions, there are none who can be identified with any of the great men in the Buddhist scriptures. But the names of some, *e.g.* Bhaṃḍuka and Tisaka, agree with such as are found in Dr. Wenzel's *Index*. Aya-Pasanaka, I, 5, must, however, have been a man of some importance, as two pupils of his are mentioned on a pillar of the Sonārī Tope No. 1. Monks and nuns, who

²⁴ See also Dr. Hultzsch's remarks on this and other clerical titles in the Bharhut inscriptions, *op. cit.*, p. 58, and on the quotation from the *Jātaka*, *ibidem*, p. 76.

had one pupil, there are several. Peculiar and noteworthy are the names of monks and nuns, which like Kâboja, Prâtiṭhâna, Chirâtî, and perhaps also Oḍî, consist of adjectives derived from the names of countries, towns and races. In these cases it would seem that the real name of the donors has been left out. Some similar names occur among the laymen—thus the name of Kekaṭeyaka, I. 1. 3, the father of Dhama- and father-in-law of Vijita, apparently stands for Sanskrit Kaikaṭeyaka, and means 'he of the Kikaṭa country or Magadha.'

As regards the persons who are not marked as monks, and presumably were laymen, the specifications of their social position, which are sometimes added, possess some interest. To the highest rank belongs the Vâkalâdevî, I, C. 8. Descending lower in the social scale, we have a *gahapati*, or village landholder,²⁵ I, 22, 23. Next we find numerous persons bearing the title *seṭhi*, *sheṭh*, or alderman, II, 11, 35, 57, 85, 99, 115; C. 45, C. 46, C. 94, II, C. 8. Simple traders *vâṇija* or *vâṇika* are mentioned I, 47, 81, 91, C. 119. A royal scribe *râjalipikara* occurs I, 49, a professional writer, *lekḥaka*, I, C. 48, a (*royal*) foreman of artisans, *âvesani*, I, C. 190, a trooper, *asa-vârîka*, I, 117, and a humble workman, *kanika*, I, 10. The prevalence of merchants and traders seems to indicate, what indeed may be gathered also from the sacred books of the Buddhists, that this class was the chief stronghold of Buddhism. The mention of professional writers is of some importance on account of the great age of the inscriptions. Among the epithets given to females the repeated occurrence of the old Pali title *pajâvatî*, literally 'a mother of children,' I, 8, 48, 95, 117; C. 45, C. 46, C. 62, is not without interest, and the fact that some females are named merely 'the mother of N. N.,'²⁶ and, that others proudly associate the names of their sons with their own,²⁷ is worthy of note. Very peculiar is the phrase I. 73, *Tâpasîyânam nusâya Mitâya* 'of Mitâ, the daughter-in-law of the Tâpasîyas'. The inscriptions I. C. 58, C. 59 and C. 67, of which unfortunately no impressions are accessible, indicate that the Tâpasîyas were a family or tribe residing in Ujjain.²⁸ If Mitâ is called the daughter-in-law of this community, that looks very much like a confirmation or practical illustration of a view regarding the legal position of married women mentioned as existing, but combated as objectionable by Âpastamba, *Dharmasûtra*, II, 10, 27, 3. He says, "For, they declare that a bride is given to the family (*of her husband, and not to the husband alone*)."²⁹ I must content myself for the present with pointing out the possibility of a connexion between the wording of our inscription and the passage of Âpastamba. In order to prove it, more inscriptions containing the same or similar statements, and further information regarding the Tâpasîyas, are required.

²⁵ See Prof. Rhys Davids: *Sacred Books of the East*, vol. XI, p. 257, note.

²⁶ See I, 2, 59, 72, 108; I. C. 37; C. 66, C. 166.

²⁷ See e.g. I, 30; C. 83. Similar cases occur frequently in other Buddhist, and also in the Jaina inscriptions.

²⁸ According to Sir A. Cunningham's copies the three inscriptions run as follows:—No. 58, *Ujjeniya Tapasayâna Pusanajaya dânam*; No. 59, *Ujeya, Tâpasayânâ Isimâtasa dânam*; No. 67, *Ujeniyâ Tapasiyânâ Sihadataya dânam*. None of the texts can be quite correct. I suspect that the real readings are: (1) *Ujjeniya Tapasiyâna husâ-Naddya dânam*, "The gift of Nadâ (*Nandâ*), daughter-in-law of the Tâpasîyas, from Ujjain;" (2) *Ujjeniya Tâpariyânâ Isimitasaddnam*, "The gift of Isimita (*Rishmitra*) of the Tâpasîyas, from Ujjain;" (3) *Ujjeniya Tâpâsiyânâ Sihadataya dânam*, "The gift of Sihadatâ (*Simhadattâ*) of the Tâpasîyas from Ujjain." If we combine the information conveyed by these inscriptions with the statement of our No. 73, it is evident that the Tâpasîyas were a family or tribe, settled in Ujjain. I do not think it necessary to assume, with Sir A. Cunningham (*Bhilsa Topes*, p. 365), that they were ascetics. We have also Pâṇivṛjaka Râjâs, *Corp. Inscr. Ind.*, vol. III, pp. 97ff. I may add that Sir A. Cunningham identifies, *loc. cit.*, the Tâpasîyas with the "Tabasi Magorum" and the "Tabasa gens" of the classical authors.

The names of various lay donors and, I may add, of a few monks furnish also some valuable information regarding the existence of the Paurāṇik worship during the third and second centuries B.C. A very large proportion of the names, *e.g.* Arāha, Arāhaka, Arāhadata, Arāhadina, Arāhadāsa, Arāhadāsi, Arāhatapālita, Arāhaguta, Dhama data, Dhamaguta, Dhamarakhita, Dhamapālita, Budhila, Budhapālita, Bodhi, Sagha, Saṃghila, Saghadinā, Saghadeva, Saghamita and Saṃgharakhita, is decidedly Buddhist. Another considerable group of proper names, *e.g.* Asāḍa, Mūlā (*i.e.* *Mulā*), Muladatā, Phaguna, Poṭhaka, Pusa, Pusaka, Pusinī, Pusagiri, Pusarakhita, Poṭhaka, Poṭhādevā, Rohiṇi (*Rohini*), Sātīla, Svatiguta, has been derived from the names of Nakshatras, and points to the conclusion that the rule of some *Grihyasūtras*,²⁹ which recommends the use of *nakshatranāmāni*, was practically obeyed. The same inference may be drawn from almost all other collections of ancient inscriptions and from various ancient Brahmanical, Buddhist and Jaina works. There are further some names, such as Agisimā (*Agnikarmā*), Agido-[de]vā, Bahadata (*Brahmadatta*), Mahida, Mitā, Vesamanadatā, Visvadeva, Yamarakhitā, which are closely connected with the ancient Vedic worship; and some, Nāgā, Nāgila, Nāgadatta, and so forth, bear witness for the existence of the snake-worship, which was common to the Brahmanists and the heterodox sects. Finally, the names Vinhukā, an abbreviation for Vishṇudattā or Vishṇurakhitā, Upidadata or Opedadata (*Upendradatta*), Balaka and Balamitra (connected with one of the heroes of the legend of Kṛishṇa, Baladeva or Balarāma, who is also called Bala), furnish evidence for the development of Vaiṣṇavism, while Nadiguta (*Nandigupta*), Nandigiri,³⁰ Sāmidata (*Svāmi*, *i.e.* *Kumāra-datta*), Samika and Samikā (*Srāmika*, *Svāmikā*), Sivanadi (*Śivanandi*) do the same service to Śaivism. It is also possible that Isadata and Himadata are likewise Śaiva names. If the former has been correctly read,³¹ it corresponds to Sanskrit Īsadatta. The other two may be connected with Himā, a name of Durgā. They may, however, be also derived from *Hima*, "the moon."

The occurrence among the Buddhists of names connected with the ancient Vedic religion, as well as of such as are connected with Vaiṣṇavism and Śaivism, in these early inscriptions, has no doubt to be explained by the assumption that their bearers or their ancestors adhered to these creeds before their conversion, and that they received their names in accordance with the established custom of their families. The rules regarding the giving of names were probably then as lax among the Buddhists as they are in the present day among the heterodox sects of India, which by no means restrict themselves to the lists of their particular saints or deities. Their historical value consists therein that they form a link in the chain of evidence which enables us to trace the existence, nay the prevalence of Vaiṣṇavism and Śaivism, not only during the third century B.C., but during much earlier times, and to give a firm support to the view now held by a number of Orientalists, according to which Vaiṣṇavism and Śaivism are older than Buddhism and Jainism. By exactly the same method of analysis which has been here applied to the names on the Sanchi Stūpa, it is possible

²⁹ See, *e.g.*, *Hir. Gri. Sū.* II, 4, 13.

³⁰ I would explain *Nandigiri* by "he whose mountain (*i.e.* protection) is or may be Nandin," on the analogy of *Dantidurga*, for which name *Dantivarman* appears. *Devagiri*, *Humagiri*, *Dhamagirika*, *Sibagiri* may be explained similarly, though *Devagiri* and *Himagiri* may also be considered as personal names derived from mountain names. *Vijha*, *i.e.* *Vindhya*, furnishes a certain instance of the latter kind.

³¹ Possibly the correct form may be *Isidata*, *i.e.* *Rishidatta*, (compare *Isiguta*).

to extract from those occurring in the canonical works of the Buddhists, the proof that the Paurāṇik religions flourished at the time when those works were composed. If, e.g., we learn from the *Bhikkhupācchittya*, II, 1, that the ox of a Brahman in Takshasilā was called Nandivisālo "as big as Nandi," it is not doubtful that the animal had received its name in honour of Śiva's vehicle, and that Śaivism was popular at the time when the *Suttavibhaṅga* was composed and probably earlier.

The last point which calls for remark is the identification of the numerous geographical names which the inscriptions offer. A small proportion of the latter causes no great difficulty. Erakina, as must be read instead *Dharakina*, is without doubt the modern Eraṇ in the Sāgar district of the Central Provinces, which on the ancient copper coins is called Erakana or Erakaṇa, and in Sanskrit Airikiṇa.³² Mahisati is the ancient town of Māhishmatī, identified with the modern Mandal,³³ Pokhara, the ancient Pushkara and the modern Pokhar near Ajmir, Pratiṭhāna (the base of the male proper name Prātiṭhāna) either Allahābād³⁴ or Patihān on the Godāvarī, Ujenī, the modern Ujjain, and Vedisa the modern Besnagar.

Further Kuraghara, which appears five times, I would identify with the village of Kuraraghara, which according to the Buddhist scriptures lay in Avantī or Eastern Mālvā, and was the residence of Mahākachchāyana.³⁵ Kuraraghara is, of course, the etymologically correct form of the name, and Kuraghara, a corruption by a kind of haplophony, which occurs more frequently in geographical and other names. The *Indian Atlas*, Quarter Sheet, No. 53 N.E., shows in 23° 38' N. Lat., and 77° 5' E. Long., an apparently not inconsiderable place, called 'Kurawar,' which may be the modern representative of Kuraghara.

With respect to the remaining towns and villages it is difficult to offer more than more or less uncertain conjectures, because there is no indication to which part of India they belonged, and because many of the names occur scores of times on the map of India, and more than once even in the immediate neighbourhood of Sānchi-Kākanāda. Thus it is possible to suggest that Tubavana may be identical with the southern district of Tumbavana mentioned in the *Bṛihat-Saṃhitā*, XIV, 15, or with its chief town; that Sonara, the etymon of the adjective Sonaraka, is the modern Sonārī close to Sānchi where some stūpas exist; that the name of Pādāna, the etymon of the adjective Pādāniya, is preserved in the modern Parana, Lat. 23° 36' N. and 76° 38' E. Long. (*Ind. Atl. Q. Sh. No. 53 N. W.*); and that Na(m)dinagara and Kāpāsīgāma correspond with the modern 'Nandner', Lat. 23° 4' N. and Long. 76° 6' E. (*Ind. Atl. Q. Sh. No. 53 N.W.*) and Kapasi Lat. 23° 28' N. and Long. 77° 51' E. But it must not be forgotten that there were, and are, several 'Nandinagaras' and Nandners, and that another 'Kapasi' is found at no great distance in Lat. 23° 55' N. and Long. 77° E. The same remarks apply with still greater force to such places as Madhuvana, Udubaraghara, the etymon of the adjective Udubaraghariya, and Aba, i.e. Amba, the etymon of *Abeyaka*; for the corresponding modern Madhuban, Mahu, Umra or Umrer and Ambagan are found over and over again in the neighbourhood of Sānchi and in more distant districts. For the present it is not advisable to do more

³² Fleet: *Corpus Inscr. Ind.*, vol. III, p. 18, note 2.

³³ Cunningham: *Ancient Geography*, p. 488.

³⁴ Jhūsi, opposite to Allahābād,—see Führer: *Monumental Antiquities and Inscriptions in the North-Western Provinces and Oudh*, p. 138.—Ed. E. I.

³⁵ See Dr. Wenzel's Index: *Jour. Pali Text Soc.*, 1888.

than to note the names and to point out some of the possibilities which they suggest. All of them may, hereafter, become important. Hence they have been given in alphabetical order, just as well as the personal names, in the Appendix to this paper.

TOPE I.

No. 1 = C. 1.

केकट्यकपुतस धम्मसिवस दानं [1*]³⁵

“The gift of Dhamasiva (*Dharmaśiva*), son of Kekaṭeyaka (*Kaikaṭeyaka*).

No. 2 = C. 4.

धमगिरिकस मातु दानं [1*]

“The gift of Dhamagirika's (*Dharmagirika's*) mother.”

No. 3 = C. 5.

केकट्यकस जमत विजितस दानं [1*]³⁶

“The gift of Vijita, son-in-law³⁷ of Kekaṭeyaka (*Kaikaṭeyaka*).

No. 4 = C. 6.

कादस भिक्कुनो दानं [1*]

“The gift of the monk Kāda (*Kānda*).”

No. 5 = C. 13.

अयपसनकस भिक्कुनो दानं [1*]³⁸

“The gift of the monk Aya-Pasanaka (*the venerable Prasannaka*).”

No. 6 = C. 14.

नदिनगरा अचलय भिखुनिया दनं [1*]³⁹

“The gift of the nun Achalā, from Nadinagara (*Nandinagara*).”

No. 7 = C. 15.

नादिनगरा काबोजस भिखुनो दानं [1*]

“The gift of the monk Kāboja (*Kāmboja*) from Nādinagara (*Nandinagara*).

No. 8 = C. 16.

सिहरखितस पजावतिय सोणदेवय दानं [1*]⁴⁰

“The gift of Soṇadevā (*Suvarṇadevā* or *Śoṇadevā*), wife of Siharakhita (*Simharakhita*).”

³⁵ Possibly ककट्यक°, but see No. 3. Below this is a modern Devanāgarī inscription. रा श्री सावदेव प्रणमति निखं [1*]. The king (?), the illustrious Sāvadeva, adores constantly.

³⁶ An apparent *e*-stroke above the last ण is an accidental scratch.

³⁷ जमत seems to stand for जामाता, the nominative being used instead of the stem.

³⁸ There are three impressions showing these words, and the differences in the shape of the letters seem to indicate that they have been derived from three different inscriptions.

अचलय stands for अचलाय and दनं for दानं.

⁴⁰ सोणदेवय is meant for सोणदेवाय.

No. 9 = C. 19.

धम्मरखितस भिक्खुनो दानं [1*]

"The gift of the monk Dhammarakhita (*Dharmarakshita*).

No. 10 = C. 20.

अठस कम्मिकस दानं [1*] 41

"The gift of Aṭṭha (*Artha*), the labourer (?).

No. 11 = C. 23.

L. 1. सामनेरस

2. अबेयकस

3. सेठिनो दानं [1*]

"The gift of Sāmanera,⁴² the Abeyaka Sheth (*i.e. the Sheth of a town or village called Aba or Amba, in Sanskrit Āmra-grāma*).

No. 12 = C. 24.

प्रातिष्ठानस भिक्खुनो हाटियस अतिवासिनो दानं [1*]

"The gift of the Prātiṣṭhāna monk (*i.e. the monk from Pratishṭhāna*), pupil of Hāṭiya."

No. 13 = C. 25.

उदुबरघरियस सीह्वरखितस दानं [1*]

The gift of Siharakhita (*Simharakshita*), inhabitant of Udubaraghara (*Udumbaragṛiha*)."

No. 15 = C. 30.

धम्मरखिताय मधुवनिक्काये दानं [1*]

"The gift of Dhammarakhitā (*Dharmarakshilā*), inhabitant of Madhuvana."

No. 16 = C. 33.

L. 1. [गो]तिपुत्तस

L. 2. भंडुकस

L. 3. भिक्खुनो दानं [1*]

"The gift of the monk Bhaṇḍuka, son of Goṭi (*i.e. of a mother of the Gaupṭa race*).

No. 17 = C. 34.

L. 1. वेजजस गामस दा

L. 2. नं [1*]⁴³

"The gift of the Vejaja village." 44

⁴¹ The i-stroke is expressed by a prolongation of the upper right limb of न.

⁴² With the proper name Sāmanera (*Sṛdmanera*), literally "a novice," compare the name *Samanā*; Bharhut Inscriptions, ed. Hultzsch, No. 29, and *Samana*; I, C. 179.

⁴³ The न is faint and blurred, the Anusvāra distinct. Both stand above the line.

⁴⁴ Regarding the correctness of Prinsep's interpretation, which the above translation follows, see the Introduction.

No. 18 = C. 85.

अरहगुतस सासादकस भिक्षुनो दानं [1*]

“The gift of Arahaguta (*Arhadgupta*), inhabitant of Sāsada.”

No. 19 = C. 36.

L. 1. सुभगस

L. 2. कुरघरस दन [1*]

“The gift of Subhaga, inhabitant of Kuraghara (*Kuraragriha*).”⁴⁵

No. 20 = C. 38.

वुदिनाये उपसिकाये दानं [1*]⁴⁶

“The gift of Vudinā (*Vyudirṇā*), a female lay-disciple.

No. 21 = C. 39.

का[कणा]ये भगवतो पमाणलठि [1*]⁴⁷

“The measuring-staff of the Divine one (*Buddha, the gift*) of Kākana⁴⁸ (i.e. probably *kakinī*, ‘the little crow’).

No. 22 = C. 40.

तुषवना गहपतिनो [प]तिठियन्हुसाय वेसमन[दत्त]ायि दानं [1*]⁴⁹

“The gift of Vesamanadatā (*Vaiśravanadattā*), daughter-in-law of Patīṭhiya (*Pratishṭhita*), a *gahapati* from Tubavana (*Tumbavana*).⁵⁰

No. 23 = C. 41.

तुषवना गहपतिनो पतिठियस दानं [1*]

The gift of the *gahapati* Patīṭhiya (*Pratishṭhita*) from Tubavana (*Tumbavana*).

No. 24 = C. 43.

नदावुनो च नादिविरोहिस्स च दानं [1*]

“The gift both of Nadāvu (*Nandāyu*?) and of Nādivirohi (*Nandivirohin*).”

No. 25 = C. 73.

बोधगोठिय धम्मवदनना दानं [1*]

“The gift of the Bauddha Committee of Trustees from Dhamavaḍhanana (*Dharmavardhanana*).”⁵¹

⁴⁵ Regarding this name see the Introduction.

⁴⁶ The vowels of the first two syllables of the first word, and of the last two syllables of the second word, are not quite distinct.

⁴⁷ Possibly काकणाये is to be read.

⁴⁸ Dr. Bhagvānāl Indrāji according to Mr. Fleet, *Corp. Insc. Ind.* vol. III, p. 31, took काकणाये to stand for काकणादे.

⁴⁹ The fourth and fifth consonants of the last word but one are not certain. At first sight they look like दत्ता.

⁵⁰ Regarding the precise meaning of गहपति, see the Introduction.

⁵¹ Regarding the explanation of Bodhagoṭhī, see the Introduction. Though the term *Dhamavaddhanana* occurs twice, it is probably a mistake for *Dhamavaddhana*. Only the latter gives a sense, and its Sanskrit equivalent is mentioned as a village-name in the *Bṛhadāraṇyaka*, I, 74, 10, see the *Petersb. Dict.*; s. v.

No. 26.

बोधगोठिया धम्मवट्ठना दानं [1*]⁵²No. 27 = C. 76.⁵³

उज्जैनिया वाकिलियाना दानं [1*]

“The gift of the Vākiliyas, from Ujjain.”

No. 28 = C. 78.

चिरातिय भिक्कुनिया दानं [1*]

“The gift of the nun Chirātī (*Kirātī*)”

No. 29 = C. 79.

सधनस भिक्कुनो दानं [1*]

“The gift of the monk Sadhana (*i.e. Sa-dhana or Sud-dhana*)”.

No. 30 = C. 80.

अस्वदेवये बह्मदत्तमातु दानं [1*]

“The gift of Asvadevā (*Asvadevā*), mother of Bahadata (*Brahmadatta*)”.

No. 31 = C. 81.

उगिरियक्कस स्वतिगुत्तस दानं [1*]⁵⁴“The gift of Svaticguta (*Svaticguta*), an inhabitant of Ugirā.

No. 32 = C. 82.

अरहगुताये दानं [1*]

“The gift of Arahagutā (*Arhadguptā*)”.

No. 33 = C. 81.

यसिलय अत्तेवसिनि संघरखिताये दानं [1*]

“The gift of Saṅgharakhitā (*Samgharakshitā*), pupil of Yasilā (*Yasilā*)”.

No. 34 = C. 86.

यसिलाये दानं [1*]⁵⁵“The gift of Yasilā (*Yasilā*)”.

No. 35 = C. 87.

सेठिनो पटिकमकारिकाना दानं [1*]

“The gift of the Sheth, the great executor of repairs”.⁵⁶

⁵² This is apparently a second inscription of the same import as No. 25, not a second impression of No. 25. For the size of most letters does not agree in the least and the termination of the first word ends in *य* instead of in *य*.

⁵³ See also I, C. 11.

⁵⁴ The subscribed *य* of *स्वतिगुत्तस* is not quite distinct.

⁵⁵ Possibly *यसिलायै* to be read.

⁵⁶ I take *पटिकमकारिकाना* as *pluralis majestatis*, agreeing with the singular *सेठिनो*, see above, vol. I, p. 573.

No. 36 = C. 90.

उपिददत्तस दानं [1*]

“The gift of Upidadata (*Upendradatta*).”

No. 37 = C. 92.

वाघुमता सघदिनाय भिक्षुनिय दानं [1*]⁵⁷

“The gift of the nun Saghadinâ (*Samghadattâ*) from Vâghumata.”

No. 38 = C. 93.

यखिय भिक्षुनिये वेदिसा दानं [1*]

“The gift of the nun Yakhî (*Yakshî*) from Vedisa (*Vidiskâ*).”

No. 39 = C. 95.

कुररिये तापसिया मातु दानं [1*]

“The gift of Kurari, the mother of Tâpasi.”⁵⁸

No. 40 = C. 99.

कापासिगामा अरहस दानं [1*]⁵⁹

“The gift of Araha (*Arhat*) from Kâpâsigâma (*Kârpasigrâma*).”

No. 41 = C. 101.

कटकज्या भदकस दानं [1*]⁶⁰

“The gift of Bhadaka (*Bhadra*) from Kaṭakañu.”

No. 42 = C. 102.

अपथकस दानं [1*]

“The gift of Apathaka (*Apārthaka*).”⁶¹

No. 43 = C. 103.

भोगवदनकस अजितिगुतस [1*]

“(The gift) of Ajitiguta (*Ajitigupta*?), inhabitant of Bhogavadhana (*Bhogavardhana*).”

No. 44 = C. 104.

मोरसिहिकटि अरहदिनस दानं [1*]

“The gift of Arahadina (*Arhaddatta*) in Morasihikaṭa (*Mayârasimhikaṭa*).

No. 45 = C. 105.

भोगवधन धमरखितय सिवनदिनो मातु [1*]⁶²

“(The gift) of Dhamarakhitâ (*Dharmarakshitâ*), mother of Sivanadi (*Sivanandin*) from Bhogavadhana (*Bhogavardhana*).”

⁵⁷ Perhaps वाघुमती.

⁵⁸ *Tâpasi* may be either a proper or a family name, as there was a Tâpasiya family (see the Introduction).

⁵⁹ There are two impressions with these words. The differences of the characters show that they have been derived from two different inscriptions.

⁶⁰ The letters are much blurred.

⁶¹ I take the name to be formed from *apārthaka*, “who has renounced (the acquisition of) wealth.” It may, of course, also correspond with Sanskrit *alpārthaka*, ‘poor.’

⁶² There are two impressions bearing these words. The differences of the characters show that they have been derived from two different inscriptions.

No. 46 = C. 107.

नवगमकसमिकाये उर्जनि[ह]ारा दानं [1*]

"The gift of Samikā (*Scāmikā*), inhabitant of Navagama (*Navagrāma*) from the district of Ujjain.⁶³

No. 47 = C. 108.

L. 1. सिरिगुतस

L. 2. वानिजस दानं [1*]

"The gift of the merchant Siriguta (*Śrīgupta*)."

No. 48 = C. 109.

सुबाहितस पञ्चवतिया मझिमाया दानं [1*]⁶⁴

"The gift of Majhimā (*Madhyamā*), wife of Subāhita.

No. 49 = C. 110.

L. 1. सुबाहितस गोतिपुत-

L. 2. स राजलिपिकरस

L. 3. दनं [1*]

"The gift of the scribe Subāhita, son of a Goti (i. e. of a mother of the *Gaṇṇa* race)."

No. 50 = C. 111.

तिरिडपदा नागाय उपासिकाय — —⁶⁵

"(The gift) of the female lay disciple Nāgā, from Tiriḍapada (Tiriḍapadra?)."

No. 51 = C. 112.

बरुलमि[साय] गोठिया दान [वे]दिमातो [1*]⁶⁶

"The gift of the Barulamisa Committee from Vedisa (*Vidiśā*)."

No. 52 = C. 113.

L. 1. धमरखिताये भिक्कुनिय काचुपथे

L. 2. दानं [1*]⁶⁷

"The gift of the nun Dhamarakhitā (*Dharmarakshitā*) in Kāchupatha."

No. 53 = C. 114.

धमरखितस काचुपथस भि[कु]नो दान [1*]

"The gift of the monk Dhamarakhita (*Dharmarakshita*), inhabitant of Kāchupatha."

⁶³ *Ujjenihārā* may stand for *Ujjeni-āhārā* (see E. Müller: *Pali Grammar*, pp. 40-41). *Āhārā* is the well-known ancient revenue term, corresponding to the modern Collectorate. It follows that *Navagrāma* was situated in the neighbourhood of Ujjain.

⁶⁴ There is a second copy, which bears only सुबाहितस पञ्चव[त] ... The letters show that it has been taken from another inscription.

⁶⁵ The last two syllables दानं and half the preceding व are wanting in the impression.

⁶⁶ Possibly वरुलमिसान.

⁶⁷ Possibly भिक्कुनिये; According to Sir A. Cunningham's copy the letter व has been lost after काचुपथे. I am, however, unable to make any sense out of such a reading.

No. 54 = C. 115.

संधानस भिक्कु दानं [1*]⁶⁹

“The gift of the monk Saṁdhâna.”

No. 55 = C. 116.

पुसगिरिनो नावगामकस दानं [1*]

“The gift of Pusagiri (*Pushyagiri*), inhabitant of Navagâma (*Navagrâma*).”

No. 56 = C. 117.

भिक्कुस पाडानियस दानं [1*]

“The gift of Bhichhuka (*Bhikkhuka*), inhabitant of Pādâna.”

No. 57 = C. 124.

L. 1. सामनेरस अबेय-

L. 2. कस सेठिनो दानं [1*]

“The gift of Sâmanera, the Abeyaka Shetṭh.”⁷⁰

No. 58 = C. 125.

नदिगुतस दाना भिक्कुनो [1*]⁷⁰

“The gift of Nadiguta (*Nandigupta*), the monk.”

No. 59 = C. 127.

अरा[पा|नातो अरहदिन — — — [1*]⁷¹

“(The gift) of Arahadina (’s mother) from Arâpâna.”

No. 60 = C. 123.

तंबलमडा कुज — दानं [1*]⁷²

“The gift of Kuja—(?) from Tāmbalamaḍa.”(?)

No. 61 = C. 129.

— धुवना धमगुतस भिक्कुनो दानं [1*]⁷³

“The gift of the monk Dhamaguta (*Dharmagupta*) from Madhuvana.”

No. 62 = C. 131.

महागिरिनो भिक्कुनो दानं [1’]

“The gift of the monk Mahâgiri.”

No. 63 = C. 135.

उपसिभस फगुनस भत्तु भिक्कुनो [1*]

⁶⁹ Read भिक्कुनो.

⁷⁰ See above, No. 11.

⁷¹ The apparent *d*-stroke at the end of दाना may possibly be an *anusvâra*, run together with the द

⁷² Sir A. Cunningham completes स मातु दानं.

⁷³ Last sign of कुज—is not decipherable. I suspect that कुज is *i. e.* कुज, is meant (see Bharhut Inscr.). No. 104.

⁷⁴ Restore मधुवना.

“The gift of the monk Upasijha (*Upasidhya*), brother of Phaguna (*Phālguna*).”

No. 61 = C. 136.

भोगवदनातो इसिरखिताय [1*]

“(The gift) of Isirakhitā (*Īshirakshitā*) from Bhogavaḍhana (*Bhogavardhana*).”

No. 65 = C. 139.

समिदतस भिकुनो दानं [1*]

“The gift of the monk Samidata (*Srāmidatta*).”

No. 66 = C. 140—141.

L. 1. देवगिरिनो पचनेकयिकस

L. 2. भिकुनो स अतंवासि — — णो [१*] ⁷⁴

“(The gift) of the monk Devagiri, who knows the five Nikāyas,⁷⁵ and of his pupil.”

No. 67 = C. 142.

पुसकस भिकुनो दानं [1*]

“The gift of Pusaka (*Pushyaka*) the monk.”

No. 68 = C. 143.

चुडस च धमरखितस च भिकुनं दानं [1*]

“The gift both of Chuḍa (*Kṣudra*) and Dhamarakhita (*Dharmarakshita*).”

No. 69 = C. 144.

उज्जिनियं अगिसिमयं दानं [1*]

“The gift of Agisimā (*Aguśarmā*) in Ujjain.” ⁷⁶

No. 70 = C. 145.

L. 1. [प्रा]तिठानस भिकुनो दानं

L. 2. अ[यतिस]कस अंतवासिनो [1*] ⁷⁷

“The gift of the monk Prātiṭhāna⁷⁸, pupil of Aya-Tisaka (*the venerable Tishyaka*).”

No. 71 = C. 146.

बुधरखितस भिकुनो दानं एजावतस [1*]

“The gift of the monk Budharakhita (*Buddharakshita*), the Ejāvata.” ⁷⁹

⁷⁴ Though the last sign looks like णी, I suspect that the writer meant to give *Sa-alevāsino dānam*.

⁷⁵ See the Introduction.

⁷⁶ Possibly अगिसिमयं is to be read.

⁷⁷ Possibly पतिठानस or पतिथानस. — The other three bracketed syllables are very faint.

⁷⁸ See above, No. 12.

⁷⁹ The etymological meaning of एजावत would be “endowed with desire.” This, of course, does not suit here. I take the word to be an adjective, derived from the name of a town.

No. 72 = C. 143.

अरापाना असाडस मातु दानं [1*]⁸⁰“The gift of Asâḍa's (*Ashāḍha's*) mother, from Arâpâna.”

No. 73 = C. 149.

L. 1. उज्जेनिया ताप-

L. 2. सियानं नुसा-

L. 3. मिताय

L. 4. दानं [1*]

“The gift of Mitâ (*Mitrâ*), daughter-in-law of the Tâpasiyas, from Ujjain.”

No. 74 = C. 150.

[भ]रडियस सपुरिसस युगपजकस दानं (1*)⁸¹“The gift of the Bharāḍiya, the holy man, the path-(finder) of the age.”⁸²

No. 75 = C. 153.

जोहकस भिक्कुनी दानं [1*]

“The gift of the monk Jonhaka (*Jyotsnaka*).”

No. 76 = C. 154.

धमरखताये मधुवनाकाये दानं [1*]⁸³“The gift of Dhamarakhatâ (*Dharmarakshitâ*), inhabitant of Madhuvana.”

No. 77 = C. 155.

माहमोरगिम्हा सिहगिरिनो दानं [1*]⁸⁴“The gift of Sihagiri (*Sinhagiri*) from Mâhamoragi.

No. 78 = C. 160.

L. 1. धम[मि]रिया भिक्कुनिये मडलक्खिकटिकाये

L. 2. दानं [1*]⁸⁵“The gift of the nun Dhamasiri (*Dharmaśrī*), inhabitant of Maḍalachhikaṭa (*Maṇḍalākshikaṭa*?).”

No. 79 = C. 161.

L. 1. अविसिनये सूतातिकिनिया

L. 2. मडलक्खिकटिकाये दानं [1*]

“The gift of Avisinā (*Avishaṇṇā*),⁸⁶ who is versed in the Sutta-Piṭaka, an inhabitant of Maḍalachhikaṭa.”⁸⁰ Possibly असाडस is to be read. But the wavy line below the ड is probably accidental.⁸¹ The left side of the initial भ has been almost cut away in the impression.⁸² Or, perhaps, the gift of the holy man of *Bharaḍa*, etc.⁸³ Meant for धमरखताये मधुवनाकाये.⁸⁴ Possibly माहमोरगि^०. Though there is a second inscription of this individual, I, C. 28, I suspect that we should read *Mahamoragirimhā*, from the great Mayūragiri. Compare Bharhut Inscr. Nos. 81, 94, 95, where *Moragirimhā* occurs as⁸⁵ The third sign of अविसिरिया has been originally वि, which afterwards was altered to वि.⁸⁶ Compare Pali *nisaṇṇa* for *nishaṇṇa*, and the male name *Avisana*; Bhar. Inscr. Nos. 141, 142.

No. 80.

L. 1. अविमिनाये सुतातिकिनि—

L. 2. मडलक्किटिकाय दानं [1*]⁷⁷

No. 81 = C. 162.

L. 1. मघदेवस वेरोहकटस

L. 2. वाणिजस दानं [1*]

“The gift of Saghadeva (*Saṃghadeva*), a trader, inhabitant of Virohakaṭa.”

No. 82 = C. 167.

L. 1. ओडिय

L. 2. नदिनग-

L. 3. रिकय दन [1*]

“The gift of Oḍi, inhabitant of Nadinagara (*Nandinagara*).”

No. 83 = C. 180.

अरहदिनस भिखुनो पोखरेयकस दानं [1*]

“The gift of the monk Arahadina (*Arhaddatta*), inhabitant of Pokhara (*Pushkara*).”

No. 84 = C. 181.

L. 1. भदतनागिलस

L. 2. सवानं जातीन-

L. 3. दानं यमो [1*]⁷⁸

“A pillar, the gift of all the relatives of the venerable Nāgila.”

No. 85 = C. 182.

कौररस नागपियस अक्कावड सेठिस दानं यमो [1*]

“A pillar, the gift of Nāgapīya (*Nāgapriya*), inhabitant of Kurara, a Sheth in Achhāvāḍa.”⁷⁹

No. 86 = C. 184.

बुधपालाताया भिखुन[या] दानं [1*]⁸⁰

“The gift of the nun Budhapālītā.”

No. 87 = C. 185.

पोठकस भिखुनो दानं [1*]⁸¹“The gift of the monk Poṭhaka (*Proshṭhaka*).”

No. 88 = C. 193.

अयचुडस अनेवासिनो बलमित्रस दान यमो [1*]

“A pillar, the gift of Balamitra, the pupil of the venerable Chuḍa (*Kshudra*).”⁷⁷ Restore सुतातिकिनिया.⁷⁸ There are two impressions. One shows in l. 2 सवानं and the other सुविनं, as Sir A. Cunningham reads. The latter reading gives, however, no sense.⁷⁹ The proper form of the name is *Kurara*; see No. 98.⁸⁰ This means probably that Nāgapīya had his shop in Achhāvāḍa.⁸¹ Meant for भिखुनिया.⁸² The apparent *d*-stroke after पोठ is in my opinion accidental.

No. 89.

अरपान देवकस दानं [१*]

“The gift of Devaka from Arapāna (*Arápāna*).”

No. 90.

अरहदिनस दानं रमोरज[हि]कडि — —

“The gift of Arahadina (*Arhaddatta*) in Ramorajakadi (?).

No. 91.

अस्ववतिया इमिगुतस वणिजस दानं [१*]

“The gift of the merchant Isiguta (*Rishigupta*) from Asvavati (*Asvavati*).”

No. 92.

इसिकस भिखुनो दानं [१*]

“The gift of the monk Isika (*Rishika*).”

No. 93.

L. 3. इसिकस रोह्हा —

L. 2. णिपदियस दा —⁹³

L. 1. नं [१*]

“The gift of Isika (*Rishika*), inhabitant of Rohinipada.”

No. 94.

इसिरखितस दानं [१*]

“The gift of Isirakhita (*Rishirakshita*).”

No. 95.

L. 1. उर्जनिया विस्वदे-

L. 2. वस पजावतिया

L. 3. मुलाय दानं [१*]

“The gift of Mulā (*Mūlā*), wife of Visvadeva (*Viśvadeva*), from Ujjain.”

No. 96.

[उ]दुम्बरघरिय [स]चमि[तस] दा[न १*]⁹⁴

“The gift of Sachamita (*Satyamitra*), inhabitant of Udubaraghara (*Udumbaragṛiha*).

No. 97.

L. 1. क[ट]क[अय]कस अयपतुडस⁹⁵

L. 2. भिखुनो दानं[१*]

“The gift of the monk, the venerable Patuḍa (?), inhabitant of Kaṭakañu.”

⁹³ The impression seems to be incomplete. The last word probably ended in वस and designated Arahadina as inhabitant of *Ramorajahikada* (?).

⁹⁴ The inscription has to be read from below. Read रोहिहिस.

⁹⁵ This is a mere scrawl, and the bracketed letters are mostly uncertain.

⁹⁶ Most of the letters of l. 1 are very faint, and the first bracketed one is not certain.

No. 98.

कुरारा सवलितस दानं[1*]

“The gift of Samvalita from Kurāra.”

No. 99.

L. 1. कोरघरस सेठिनो

L. 2. सीहस दानं[1*]

“The gift of the Sheth Siha (*Siṃha*), inhabitant of Kuraghara.”

No. 100.

गिरिगुताय भिक्षुनिया दानं[1*]

“The gift of the nun Girigutā (*Giriguptā*).”

No. 101.

जितमितये भिक्षुनिये वडिवह्निकाये दानं[1*]

“The gift of the nun Jitamitā (*Jitāmitrā*), inhabitant of Vāḍivahana.”

No. 102.

धमताय दानं पुञ्जवदनियाये [1*]⁹⁶“The gift of Dhamatā (*Dharmadatā*), inhabitant of Puñjavadhana (*Punyavar-dhana*).”

No. 103.

धमदत्तस भिक्षुनो दानं अ[य]भङ्गुकिय[स 1*]⁹⁷“The gift of the monk Dhamadata (*Dharmadatta*), pupil of Aya-Bhaḍuka (*Arga-Bhaḍuka*, No. 16).”

No. 104.

L. 1. [पिय]धमाय

L. 2. बोधिय च

L. 3. कोरघरिन

L. 4. भिक्षुनिनं दानं [1*]

“The gift of Piyadhamā (*Priyadharmā*) and Bodhi, the nuns of Kuraghara.”

No. 105.

पुसये भिक्षुनिये नदिनगरिकाये दानं [1*]

“The gift of the nun Pusā (*Pushyā*), inhabitant of Nadinagara (*Nandinagare*).”

No. 106.

L. 1. पोखरा हिम-

L. 2. गिरिनो दानं [1*]

“The gift of Himagiri from Pokhara (*Pushkara*).”

No. 107.

पोरविखिकस धम्मसिवस दानं [1*]

“The gift of Dhammasiva (*Dharmaśiva*), inhabitant of Puravikha(?).”⁹⁶ Probably धमदत्ताय is to be read.⁹⁷ अयभङ्गुकियस looks almost like अहमङ्गुकियस; but see No. 122, where the reading, given above, is plain.

No. 108.

बेदकरा नंदिगि[रि]मातु द[र]नं [१*]

“The gift of Namdigiri's mother from Bedakara.”

No. 109.

[भोगवढ]नकस भञ्जि[द] — — —⁹³

“(The gift) of Mahida (*Mahendra*), inhabitant of Bhogavādhana (*Bhogavar-ananā*).”

No. 110.

L. 1. मधुवनिकाये बुधरखितये भिक्कुनिये

L. 2. दानं [१*]

“The gift of the nun Budharakhitā (*Buddharakshitā*), inhabitant of Madhuvana.”

No. 111.

महिंसतिय देवभगस [दा]नं [१*]

“The gift of Devabhaga (*Devabhāga* ?) from Mahisatī (*Māhishmatī*).”

No. 112.

L. 1. यखिलस भिक्कुनो अयदेवगिरिनो अते-

L. 2. वासिनो दानं [१*]

“The gift of the monk Yakhila (*Yakshila*), pupil of the venerable Devagiri.”

No. 113.

L. 1. रोहणिपदिय-

L. 2. स निगडिस दा-

L. 3. नं [१*]

“The gift of Nigāḍi, inhabitant of Rohaṇipada (*Rohiṇipada*).”

No. 114.

L. 1. रोहणिपदिय-

L. 2. स बुलिकस

L. 3. दानं [१*]

“The gift of Bulika,⁹⁰ inhabitant of Rohaṇipada.”

No. 115.

L. 1. रोहणिपदियसि

L. 2. सेठिनो नागदि-

L. 3. नस दानं [१*]¹

“The gift of Sheṭh Nāgādina (*Nāgadatta*), inhabitant of Rohaṇipada.”

⁹⁰ Restore महिंस दानं.

⁹¹ Compare the *Buli* tribe (Wenzel : *Index*, sub voce) of *Allakappa*.

¹ Read पदियस; the सि stands above the line, and is a correction.

No. 2 = C. 4.

बुधिलस भोग[व]ठनकस दानं [1*]

"The gift of Budhila (*Buddhila*), an inhabitant of Bhogavādhana (*Bhogavardhana*)."

No. 3 = C. 5.

धमदेवय देनं मितसिरिय चंतेवासिनि[य] [1*]⁵

"The gift of Dhamadevā (*Dharmadevā*), (*who is*) a pupil of Mitasiri (*Mitrasiri*)."

No. 4 = C. 6.

इसिलस भिक्षुनो दाना [1*]

"The gift of the monk Isila (*Ṛishila*)."

No. 5 = C. 11.

विभस भिक्षुनो दानं [1*]

"The gift of the monk Vijha (*Vindhya*)."

No. 6 = C. 12.

याखिलस भिक्षुनो दान [1*]

"The gift of the monk Yakhila (*Yakshila*)."

No. 7 = C. 13.

L. 1 नागपियस अक्काव[ड]

L. 2 स सठिस दानं [1*]

"The gift of Nāgapiya (*Nāgapriya*), a Shēṭh of Achhāvaḍa."

No. 8 = C. 14.

L. 1. सपकाय कोररिय दान

L. 2. भिक्षुनिय [1*]

"The gift of the nun Sapakā (*Sarpakā* ?), an inhabitant of Kurara."

No. 9 = C. 16.

L. 1. धमसनय कोररिय दान भिक्षुनि

L. 2. य [1*]

"The gift of Dhamasenā (*Dharmasenā*), an inhabitant of Kurara, a nun."

No. 10 = C. 17.

नागपालितय दान यमो [1*]

"A pillar, the gift of Nāgapālītā."

No. 11 = C. 25.

[ओ]डिय भिक्षुनिय यमो दान [1*]⁶

"A pillar, the gift of the nun Oḍī."

⁵ Read दानं; the third letter of मितसिरिय was originally an नि, which was afterwards corrected to वि.

⁶ This inscription can be restored in accordance with No. 85, and C. 192 of Tope I.

⁷ Properly कुरार; see I, 98.

⁸ Possibly ओडाय.

No. 12 = C. 30.

सघमितस सोनरकस दानं [1*]

“The gift of Saghamita (*Saṅghamitra*), an inhabitant of Sonara (*Sonārī*).”

No. 13 = C. 32.

अ[गि]लस दानं अधपोरिकस [1*]

“The gift of Agila (*Agnila*), inhabitant of Adhapura (*Ardhapura*).”

No. 14 = C. 33.

यसोगिरिनो दानं भिक्षुनो [1*]

“The gift of Yasogiri (*Yasogiri*), a monk.”

No. 15 = C. 36.

गडाय नादिनागरिकाय [1*]

“(The gift) of Gaḍā, inhabitant of Nadinagara (*Nandinagara*).”

No. 16 = C. 38.

अयनादुकस भिक्षुनो दानं [1*]

“The gift of Aya-Nāduka (*the venerable Nāduka*), a monk.”

No. 17.

पुसरखितस दानं [अ]यस अतेवासिनो [1*]

“The gift of Pusarakhita (*Pushyarakshita*), the pupil of Aya (*Arya*, i.e. the venerable one).

No. 18.

सिहाय दान [1*]

“The gift of Sihā (*Siṃhā*).

No. 19.

L. 1. सुमनस भिक्षुनो

L. 2. दानं [1*]

“The gift of the monk Sumana (*Sumana*).”

No. 20.

धमगुतस पुसिनिया च दान [1*]

“The gift of Dhamaguta (*Dharmagupta*) and Pusiniya (*Pushyanikā*).”

No. 21.

विसाखस पाडा — यस [1*]⁹“The gift of Visākha (*Viśākha*), an inhabitant of Pāḍā[na].⁹ Restore वाडानियस, according to I, 56.

APPENDIX.—LISTS OF NAMES.

I.—*Monks.*

Arahadina I, 83, 90.
 Arahaguta I, 18; II, C. 19.
 Arabhaka II, C. 34.
 Balaka II, C. 19.
 Balamitra I, 88.
 Bhairiduka (Gotiputa) I, 16; °kiya, 103, 122.
 Bharadiya I, 74.
 Budharakhita I, 71.
 Chhadika I, 116.
 Chuda I, 63; (aya—) I, 88.
 Devagiri I, 66, (aya—) 113.
 Dhamadata I, 103.
 Dhamagiri I, C. 178.
 Dhamaguta I, 61.
 Dhamarakhita I, 9, 53, 68.
 Dhana I, C. 31.
 Dhanaka (aya—) I, C. 151.
 Gotiputa—see Bhairiduka.
 Hāriya I, 12.
 Iska I, 92 (93?).
 Isila II, 4.
 Jonaka I, C. 152.
 Jonhaka I, 75.
 Kāboja I, 7.
 Kāda I, 4.
 Mahāgiri I, 62.
 Nadiguta I, 58.
 Nagadina I, C. 10.

Nāduka (aya—) II, 16.
 Nāgarakhita II, C. 39.
 Nāgila (bhadata—) I, 84; II, C. 1.
 Pasanaka (aya) I, 5.¹⁰
 Patuḍa (?) I, 97.
 Poṭhaka I, 87.
 Prātiṭhāna I, 12, 70.
 Pusa I, C. 29, C. 158.
 Pusagiri I, C. 21.
 Pusaka I, 67.
 Pusarakhita II, 17.
 Rahila (aya—) I, C. 37.
 Sadhana I, 29.
 Saghamita II, C. 7.
 Sagharakhita II, C. 40.
 Saṁdhāna I, 54.
 Samidata I, 65.
 Sumana II, 19.
 Tisaka (aya—) I, 70.
 Upasijha I, 63.
 Vājuka (bhadata—) I, C. 122.
 Vejha II, 5.
 Visākha I, C. 123.
 Vira I, C. 186.
 Yakhudā[di]na I, C. 50.
 Yakhita I, 112; II, 6.
 Yasogiri II, 14.

II.—*Nuns.*

Achalā I, 6.
 Arahadāsī I, C. 175.
 Avisina I, 79, 80.
 Balikā I, C. 159.
 Bodhi I, 104.
 Budhapālita I, 86.
 Budharakhitā I, 110.
 Chirātī I, 28.
 Dhamadevā II, 3.
 Dhamarakhitā I, 52.
 Dhamasenā II, 9.
 Dhama[s]iri I, 78.
 Dūpasā (?) I, C. 170.
 Gaḍā (II, 15?), C. 21, 36.
 Girigutā I, 100.
 Hana (?) I, C. 2.
 Isidāsī I, C. 169; II, C. 22.
 Isidatā I, C. 132, 133.
 Isidinā I, C. 147.

Jitamitā I, 101.
 Kaḍi I, C. 65.
 Mitasni II, 3.
 Mulā II, C. 21.
 Odatikā I, C. 26.¹¹
 Oḍi (I, 82?); II, 11.
 Phagulā II, C. 18.
 Piyalhamā I, 104.
 Pusā I, 105.
 Saghadinā I, 37.
 Sapakā II, 8.
 Saṁgharakhitā I, 33.
 Sedi II, C. 43.
 Siridinā I, 119.
 Valā II, C. 15.
 Yakhī I, 38; (Yakha) C. 187.
 Yamarakhitā II, C. 20.
 Yasilā I, 33 (34?).

¹⁰ *Pasanaka* occurs as teacher of *Dhamaguta* and *Sagharakhita* in the inscription on a pillar of the Sonāri Topa No. I, Cunningham, *Bhilsa Topes*, plate xxiii.

¹¹ This corresponds to Sanskrit *avadātikā*, literally 'the pure one.'

III.—Male names, not marked as clerical.

Agila II, 13.
 Ahimida I, C. 8.
 Ajitiguta I, 43.
 Apathaka I, 42.
 Araba I, 40.
 Arahaka I, C. 165 (see also List I).
 Arabadāsa I, C. 100.
 Arabadina I, 41, 90.
 Arabatapālita I, C. 164.
 Asāḍa I, 72.
 Aṭha (kamika) I, 10.
 Bahadata I, 30.
 Bahula II, C. 35.
 Bhadaka I, 41.
 Bhichhuka I, 56.
 Budhapālita (sethi) II, C. 8.
 Budharakhita II, C. 10, 23.
 Budhila I, C. 32; II, 2.
 Bulika I, 114.
 Chadaguta I, C. 97.
 Chheta I, C. 66.
 Dadata (?) I, C. 89.
 Damaka (?) I, C. 174.
 Devaka I, 89.
 Devabhaga I, 111.
 Dhamaduta I, C. 173.
 Dhamagiri I, C. 56.
 Dhamagirika I, 2; C. 166.
 Dhamaguta II, 20.
 Dhamapāla I, C. 134.
 Dhamarakhita II, C. 2.¹²
 Dhamasiva I, 1, 107.
 Dhanagiri I, C. 157.
 Disarakhita (?) I, C. 42.¹³
 Gohila I, C. 77.
 Gopāla I, C. 12.
 Gotiputa,—see Subāhita.
 [Hain]sarakhita I, 117.
 Himnagiri I, 106.
 Isadāta (?) I, C. 52.
 Isiguta (vānija) I, 91.
 Isika I, 93 (?) (compare also List No. II).
 Isipālita I, C. 179.
 Isimita I, C. 59.
 Isirakhita I, 94.
 Kekateyaka I, 1, 3.
 Kujā (?) I, 60.

Kujara I, C. 94.
 Mahida I, 109.
 Mulagiri (lekha) I, C. 48.
 Nadāva I, 24.
 Nādivirohi I, 24.
 Nagadina I, C. 74.
 Namdigiri I, 108.
 Nāgadina I, 115.
 Nāgapiya (sethi) I, 85; C. 192; II, 7.
 Nigaḍi I, 113.
 Opedaduta I, C. 62-64.
 Patīṭhiya (gahapati) I, 22, 23.
 Phaguna I, 63.
 Pusagirf I, 55.
 [Sa]chami[ta] I, 96.
 Sadatha (?) I, C. 168.
 Sagha I, C. 192.
 Saghadeva I, 81.
 Saghāmīta II, 12.
 Samana I, C. 179.
 Saṁghila I, C. 163.
 Sāmanera I, 11, 57.
 Samika (vānika) I, C. 83, C. 119.
 Samvalita, I 98.
 Sātīla I, C. 98.
 Sihagiri I, 77; C. 28 (?) (facsimile, *Sapaga. an. 7*).
 Siharakhita I, 13.
 Siriguta (vānija) I, 47.
 Siripāla I, C. 120.
 Sivanadi I, 45.
 Silha (sethi) I, 99.
 Siharakhita I, 13.
 Sona I, C. 57.
 Soyasa I, 120.
 Subāhita, Gotiputa (rājaputaka) I, 18, 49.
 Subhaga I, 19.
 Svatiguta I, 31.
 Upidadata I, 36.
 Vada (?) I, C. 172.
 Vadha I, C. 47.
 Vajaguta I, C. 3.
 Vijita I, 3.
 Vimala I, C. 138.
 Visa I, C. 77.
 Viśākha II, 21 (see also List I).
 Visvadeva I, 95.
 Yasopāla I, C. 27, 156.

¹² If the following *sejhasu* stands for *śaikshasya*, this person was an ascetic.

¹³ The name is probably wrong.

IV.—Females, not marked as nuns.

Agido[de]vā I, C. 17.
 Agis[i]mā I, 69.
 Arahagutā I, 32.
 Asvadevā I, 30 ; C. 83.
 Balakā I, C. 61.
 Budhā I, C. 64.
 Dāsā I, C. 46.
 Dhamatā [datā] I, 102.
 Dhamarakhitā I, 15, 45, 76.
 Gadā II, 15 (compare also Last II).
 Himadatā I, C. 43.
 Isimitā I, C. 54, 69.
 Isakhitā I, 64.
 Kā[ka]ṇā I, 21.
 Kanī I, C. 85.
 Kurai I, 39.
 Majhimā I, 48.
 Mayadatā I, C. 62.
 Mitā (Tāpasīyanam nusā) I, 73.
 Mulā I, 95.
 Muladatā I, C. 60.
 Nāgadatā I, 117.
 Nagamitā I, C. 72.
 Nāgāpalitā II, 10.
 Nāgā I, 50 ; C. 45.

Odi I, 82 (compare also List II).
 Padonā (?) I, C. 51.
 Patolā (?) II, C. 28.
 Pothādevā, I, C. 44.
 Pusmī II, 20.
 Revā, I, 121.
 Rohunī (?) I, C. 55.
 Sagharakhitā II, C. 22.
 Saghā I, C. 106.
 Sāmidarā [tā] I, C. 176.
 Samikā I, 46, 118.
 Semākā (?) I, C. 91.
 Sihā II, 18.
 Sihadatā I, C. 67.
 Sonado[de]vā I, 8 ; C. 17.
 Subhagā I, C. 18.
 Tāpasī I, 39.
 Vākalā devī I, C. 8.
 Vasulā I, C. 88.
 Vesamanadatā I, 22.
 Vinhukā II, C. 24.
 Vipulā I, C. 70.
 Vudmā I, 20.
 Yakhadāsi I, C. 171.
 Yasilā I, 35 (see also List No. II).

Geographical names.

Abeyaka (adj.) I, 11, 57.
 Aehhāvaṇa I, 85 ; (adj.) I, C. 192 ; ¹⁴ II, 7.
 Adhaporika (adj.) II, 13.
 Ananhitaka (?) (adj.) II, C. 10.
 Arāpāna I, 59, 72, 89.
 Asvavati I, 91.
 Bedakara I, 108.
 Bhasikada I, C. 156.
 Bhādanakāṭṭiya (adj.) I, 120.
 Bhogavadhana¹⁵ I, 45 ; °*vaḍhana*, I, 64 ; C. 137 ;
 °*vaḍhanaka*, (adj.) I, 43, 109 ; II, 2.
 Chahāṭṭiya (adj.) I, C. 158.
 Dhamavadhanana (read °*vaḍhana*) I, 25, 26.
 Ejāvata (adj.) I, 71.
 Erakina¹⁶ I, C. 98.
 Kāboja (proper name) I, 7.
 Kāchupatha (adj.) I, 52, 53.
 Kaṇḍadigāma I, C. 47 ; °*gāmiya* (adj.) I, C.
 45, 46.
 Kāpāsīgāma I, 40.
 Kaṭakañu I, 41 ; °*kaṇḍaka* (adj.) I, 97.
 Kaṭakareyaka (adj.) I, C. 100.
 Kekateyaka (proper name) I, 1, 3.

Kuraghara I, C. 69 ; C. 71-72 ; *Koraghara* (adj.)
 I, 19, 99, 104.
 Kurāra I, 98 ; *kurariya* (adj.) I, C. 138 ; C.
 192 ; ¹⁷ *kurari* (adj.) I, C. 133 ; *korara* (adj.)
 I, 85 ; II, 8, 9 ; C. 15.
 Maḍalalchhikaṭṭika (adj.) I, 78, 79, 80 ; C. 159.
 Madhuvana I, 61 ; ¹⁸ C. 132 ; °*ranika* (adj.) I, 15,
 76, ¹⁹ 110.
 Māhamoragi, I, 77 ; *Mahūmo*²⁰ I, C. 28.
 Mahisati I, 111.
 Morasihikaṭṭa I, 44.
 Nadinagara I, 6 ; C. 170 ; *Nādi*²¹ I, 7 ; *Nadina-*
garika (adj.)²² I, 82, 105 ; C. 147, 169 ;
Nādinagarika (adj.) I, 119 ; II, 15.
 Navagamaka (adj.) I, 46 ; °*gāmaka*, I, 55 ; °*gā-*
mika, I, C. 53.
 Pādāniya (adj.) I, 56 ; II, 21.²¹
 Paḍukulikā II, 1 ; *Paḍukulini*[*ki*]ya (adj.)
 II, C. 8.
 Pokhara I, 106 ; °*reyaka* I, 83 ; ²² C. 23, 39.
 Peravikkhika (adj.) I, 107.
 Prāthṭhāna (proper name) I, 12, 70.
 Puṇṇavadhaniya (adj.) I, 102.

Ramoraḥajhikaḍi (?) I, 90.

¹⁴ *Achhavaṇa*, on facsimile.

¹⁵ See also *Bharhut Inscriptions*, No. 95.

¹⁶ *Dharakina*, on facsimile.

¹⁷ *Kararāya*, on facsimile.

¹⁸ First syllable obliterated.

¹⁹ Spelt °*vandka*.

²⁰ See also *Bharhut Inscriptions*, No. 13.

²¹ Third syllable obliterated.

²² *Pokhamreyakada* [sa], on copy.

Rohanipadiya (adj.) I, 113, 114, 115; *Rohāni*^o I, 93.
 Sāhakagāmiya (adj.) I, C. 97.
 Sāsādaka (adj.) I, 18; II, C. 19.
 Sonaraka (adj.) II, 12.
 Tambalamaḍa I, 60.
 Tiridapada I, 50.
 Tubavana I, 22, 23.
 Udubaraghariya (adj.) I, 13; II, C. 41;
 C. 42, *Udumbara*^o I, 96.

Ugireyaka (adj.) I, 31.
 Ujenī I, 27, 69, 73, 95; C. 11, 12, 49, 55-68,
 70, 77; *ka* (adj.) I, 121.
 Vādivahana I, 116; *Vādivahanika* (adj.) I,
 101; *Vādya*^o (adj.) II, C. 24.
 Vāghumata I, 37; C. 118.
 Vedisa³ I, 38; *Vedisaka* (adj.) I, 117; C. 189;
śika I, C. 26.
 Vejaja I, 17.
 Verohakata (adj.) I, 81.

VIII.—HARSHA STONE INSCRIPTION OF THE CHAHAMANA VIGRAHARAJA.

THE [VIKRAMA] YEAR 1030.

BY PROFESSOR F. KIELHORN, PH.D., C.I.E.; GÖTTINGEN.

The stone which bears this inscription lies among the ruins of an ancient temple on the top of a hill, called Harsha or "Unchāpahar," which is near the village of "Harasnāth" in the Shaikhāwati province of the Jaypur state of Rājputāna, about 7 miles south of Sikar and 60 miles north-west of Jaypur, Long. 75° 15', Lat. 27° 31', *Indian Atlas*, quarter-sheet No. 33, N. E. There it was discovered, in 1834, by Dr. G. E. Rankin and Sergeant E. Dean, who both sent facsimiles of the inscription which it bears to the Asiatic Society of Bengal early in 1835. And, Dr. Rankin's copy having on the way become so much injured as to be totally illegible, the inscription was edited shortly afterwards from Mr. Dean's facsimile by the Rev. Dr. Mill, with a lithograph prepared by Lieutenant Kittoc, and an account of the ruins on the hill Harsha by Mr. Dean, in the *Journal As. Soc. Beng.*, vol. IV, pp. 361-400.¹ In the course of last year Mr. Fleet kindly presented me with a pencil rubbing of the inscription, found among Sir A. Cunningham's papers, which, though it helped me to amend Dr. Mill's readings in many places, was yet not sufficiently clear to furnish a trustworthy text throughout. I therefore applied for assistance to Dr. Burgess, and owing to his interest and exertions in the matter I am now able to re-edit the inscription from two excellent impressions, obtained by Colonel S. S. Jacob for Dr. Burgess; they were prepared by Mr. Lāla Rām Bakhsh of Jaypur.

According to Mr. Dean's account the inscription is on a slab of black stone, about 3½" thick and 3' square. It consists of 40 lines of writing which cover a space of about 2' 11" broad by 2' 10" high. Small portions of the inscribed surface are broken away at the four corners, and the right and left margins are slightly damaged; besides, about a dozen *aksharas* have become illegible in the body of the inscription by the peeling off of the surface of the stone. The rest of the writing is well preserved, and may be read with certainty almost throughout. The size of the letters is between ⅞" and ¾"; it is largest at the top and becomes gradually smaller towards the bottom. The characters

² See also *Bharhut Inscriptions*, Nos. 22, 32, 85, etc.

¹ See also Lassen's *Indische Alterthumskunde*, vol. III, pp. 932-937.

are the ordinary northern alphabet of the period to which the inscription belongs, and they are skilfully formed and well engraved. The names of the writer and the engraver are not given. The language is Sanskrit, and by far the greater portion of the inscription, to nearly the end of line 33, is in verse. This poetical part is called a *prastāva*, and was composed by Dhiraṇāga, the son of the *karanika* Thiruka (verse 4v).—In respect of orthography the text calls for few remarks. The letter *b* is throughout denoted by the sign for *r*; before *r*, *t* is always doubled after a vowel, e.g., in *vichittra*, line 4, and *sūtradhāro* and *dagdha-ttripura*, line 6; and a single *j* is written instead of *jj* in *ujvatah*, line 16, and *viṣphurajūāna*, line 22. The dental *n* is employed instead of *anvśāra* in *dhvansa*, line 22; the dental sibilant instead of the palatal in *antareśvaraḥ*, line 22, and in *Chandāsiva*, line 29 (in a verse which offends against the metre); and the dental nasal has been incorrectly changed to the lingual in *prasannaḥ*, line 15, and wrongly retained in *nirṇāsītā*, line 17.—Both the verses and the prose part of the inscription contain some words which are not given by the dictionaries or are employed in an unusual sense. To such words attention will be drawn in the notes on the translation.²

The proper object of the poetical part of the inscription, which consists of 48 verses, is to record the erection of a temple of Śiva, worshipped under the name of Harsha, on the hill Harsha, by the Śaiva ascetic Allāṭa, and the completion of other works of piety by Allāṭa's disciple Bhavadvyōta. But more interesting is the account which is furnished, by way of introduction, of the princes to whose kingdom the hill Harsha belonged and by whom the ascetics were patronised, and of their wars with other princes who have not yet become known from other sources. And the value of the inscription is enhanced by the fact that it yields a considerable number of names of districts and places, and contains several dates, for one of which the corresponding European date may be given with absolute certainty.

The first twelve verses of the inscription are mainly devoted to the glorification of the god Śiva or, as he is more particularly styled here, Harshadeva, of his place of residence, the mountain Harsha, and of the temple erected to the god on that hill. After that the poet, in verses 13-27, celebrates a line of princes belonging to the Chahamanā family, who are said to have become illustrious through their devotion to the god Harsha. The first prince mentioned is—

1. Gūvaka I., said to have been famous as a hero in the assemblies of the Nāgas and other princes (v. 13). His son was—
2. Chaudraraṇja (v. 11); his son—
3. Gūvaka II. (v. 11); and his son—
4. Chandana, who defeated or slew in battle the Tomara prince Rudrena (v. 14). This prince was succeeded by his son—
5. Vakpatirāja, who put to flight Tantrapāla, a neighbouring chief, by whom he had been attacked (vv. 15-16). And he again was succeeded by his son—
6. Simharāja. This prince, though he is said to have subdued, amongst others, a Tomara leader, who appears to have been allied with a certain Lavaṇa, was evidently defeated by his opponents and probably slain in battle (vv. 17-19). He was succeeded by his son—

² See notes 64, 66, 68, 69, 70, 77, 78, 81 & 85.

³ I take this name to be equivalent to Rudrapāla.

7. Vighraharāja, ruling at the time when the inscription was composed, who restored the fortune of his family; but nothing specific that need be repeated here is mentioned regarding him, except that he had a younger brother, named Darlabharaja (vv. 20-26). It will appear below that, besides Vighraharāja, Simharaja had two other sons, Chandrarāja and Govindarāja, and that he also had a brother, named Vatsarāja.

These seven princes are apparently mentioned in the same order in the inscription from Bijhōl of which a rough reading is published in the *Journal As. Soc. Beng.*, vol. LV, part I, p. 40. From verse 16 of the present inscription it appears that the country over which they held sway, and in which Harsha was situated, was called Ananta, a name which is repeated in verse 28. The princes with whom they are represented to have been at war I have not hitherto met with in any other inscription, but the fact that two of them are described as Tomaras leads me to suspect that at any rate some of them were ruling the country around Delhi, which we know to have passed from the Tomaras to the Chāhamānas in later times.

The contents of the remaining verses of our inscription may be given in very few words. In the country Ananta there lived a sage Viśvarūpa, a devotee of Śiva, who followed the *Pañchārthala* doctrine (v. 28). He had a disciple named Praśasta (v. 29); and his disciple again was Bhāvarakta, also called Allaṭa, who was born in a family of Brāhmins of the Vārṇatīka tribe, and had come to Harsha from the neighbouring Rānapallikā, a village where the *Sāmsārīka* doctrine was practised. Allaṭa built the magnificent temple of Harshadeva at which the inscription was afterwards put up, but died before he could accomplish all he had intended to do (vv. 30-34). And the works begun by him were therefore completed at his request by his disciple Bhavadvyota (vv. 35-42). The temple erected by Allaṭa was built by the architect Chandaśiva, a son of Virabhadra (v. 43), and completed on the 13th of the bright half of Āshāḍha of the year 1013 (line 32). And the sage Allaṭa is (in v. 48) reported to have died in the expired year 1027, when the sun had entered the sign of the Lion, on the third bright lunar day joined with the *yoga* Śubha and the *nakshatra* Hasta, on a Monday. Referring this date to the Vikrama era, I find that the corresponding European date is Monday the 8th August, A.D. 970; for in northern Vikrama 1027 expired the *Simha-saṁkrānti* took place on the 26th July, A.D. 970, which was the 6th of the dark half of the *pūrṇimānta* Bhādrapada, and the third of the following bright half (of the same Bhādrapada) was Monday the 8th August, when the third *tithi* of the bright half ended 4 h. 15 m. and the *nakshatra* was Hasta up to 12 h. 29 m. and the *yoga* Śubha up to 13 h. 26 m. after mean sunrise. The date is interesting, because it is the earliest Vikrama date known to me in which the writer has quoted a solar *saṁkrānti*, instead of giving us the name of the lunar month.⁴

To the poetical part of the inscription is appended, in lines 33-40, the following list of endowments of the temple of Harshadeva, which were received up to Āshāḍha-sudi 15 of the (Vikrama) year 1030, the date on which I take the inscription to have been composed:—

The king Simharāja, after having bathed at Pushkaratīrtha, had given the villages Simhagoshṭha in the Tānakāpaka group of twelve, Traikalakaka and

⁴ The practice is common enough in modern dates of Sanskrit MSS. written in the Bengālī characters.

The inscription ends with the usual appeal to future kings to respect these endowments.

¹² Metre : Sragdharâ.

⁷ The words broken away at the beginning were probably
जो जो नमः शिवाय.

इत्थं गोयां प्रहसितहरः सन्निवः पातु युष्मान् ॥¹¹—[4.]

वंगोऽतायमादिप्रहगगनतलं व्यथुवाना जलौघै-
न्दकुर्वान्मा स[म][ट्रान्*]

L. 5. चय[व]लितजलानृमिमालासहस्रैः ।

देयादभ्यर्धितं वः शशधरधवला स्वर्दुनी चंद्रमाल-

माला लालां वहन्ती स्फुटविकटजटाव(व)श्चनं चीरकायाः ॥¹²—[5.]

नंचसंद्राकृतारं भुवननगनदीदीप[मि]धु[-

6. ! प्र[पंचं

विश्वं देवासुराहिप्रमथमुनिवरैर्यत्तमर्त्यः सनाम !

यस्येच्छाशक्तिभावादसदपि सकलं जायते लीयते च

मोक्षोद्दो हर्षदेवो भुवनविरचनासूत्रधारीप्रमथः ॥ —[6.]

नूनं वाणाग्निदग्धक्षिपुसुररिपु-

7. | ज[ा]तहर्षः सहर्ष-

रिद्राद्यैर्ववृंदैः कृतनूतिनतिभिः पृज्यमानोच्च ग्रीले ।

योभन्नास्त्रापि हर्षां गिरिशिखरभुवोभारतानुग्रहाय

मां रत्नादो लिंगरूपो द्विगुणितभवनशट्रमालिः शिवाय ॥ —[7.]

निर्गन्धेच्छा[गड]-

8. | भा [गडानण्टहनरचि श्लोपसंभ्रान्तसत्तं(त्तं)

प्रान्तज्वालावलीदट्टमव(व)हलमत्ताधूमधूमायितायम् ।

मरभारभभीमस्वनमसमशरोच्छेदि यस्याशङ्कै

दृष्टा देवैः स्वरूपं¹³ किमियमममयं संहतिर्व्यो(र्व्यो)भुवे[द्य ॥]—[8.]

9. देवः पुरधगध्यास्ते यमभ्रंक्षपमुच्चकैः ।

हर्षव्यातिः स हर्षाव्यो गिरिरिष¹⁴ पुतातु वः ॥¹⁵—[9.]

शरस्यदं श्लोकं¹⁶ ।

गांगं नी निष्कर्षं रंभ¹⁷ प्रवहति न शुभा नंदनोद्यानलक्ष्मोः

मद्वलस्वर्णशृंगामलविविधरुचो नैव — —¹⁸

10. [स्तः]याच ।

अन्यां धत्तं तथार्थापयियमतिशयिनीमेष शैलीदिनीयां

मात्ताच्छंभुर्यदास्ते तदपि हि परमं कारणं रम्यतायाः ॥¹⁹—[10.]

अष्टमूर्तिर्यमध्यास्ते सिद्धाष्टविभुः स्वयम् ।

महिमा भूधरस्यास्य परमः कोपि — ७ ७²⁰ ॥²¹—[11.]

¹¹ Metre : Mandākṛāntā.

¹² Metre of verses 5-8 : Śṛagdhara.

¹³ Read म.

¹⁴ Originally स्रुपं was engraved, but the initial स seems to have been altered to स्वरूपं.

¹⁵ Originally रंभ, altered to रंभ.

¹⁶ Metre : Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

¹⁷ Read शरस्येय श्लोकः.

¹⁸ The two akṣaras here broken away were perhaps चौषा.

¹⁹ Metre : Śṛagdhara.

²⁰ The word here broken away was perhaps विभुते.

²¹ Metre : Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

- L. 11. [एत^x]सुवर्णाण्डकांतिप्रवरतममहामण्डपाभोगभद्रं
 प्रांतप्रासादमालाविरचितविकटापाण्डुपुच्छाभिरामम् ।
 मेरोः शृंगोपमानं सुघटितवृषसत्तोरणहारस्य
 नानासङ्गोद्युक्तं जयति भगवतो हर्षदेवस्य [ह^x]-
 12. [स्यम्] ॥ ²¹—[12.]
 आद्यः श्रीगुप्तकाव्याप्रथितनरपतिश्चाहमानान्वयोभूत्²²
²³श्रीमन्नागा[द्यः]लोकप्रवरनृपसभालब्ध(व्य)वीरप्रतिष्ठः ।
 यस्य श्रीहर्षदेव वरभवनमयी भीतली कीर्त्तिमूर्ति-
 क्षांकिद्यापि स्थिरपा प्रतपति परमैः —
 13. — — — [मेः] ॥ —[13.]
 पुत्रः श्रीचंद्रराजोभवदमनयशास्तस्य तीव्रप्रतापः
 सूनुस्तस्याथ भूपः प्रथम इव पुनर्गुप्तकाव्यः प्रतापी ।
 तस्माच्छ्रीचंदनोभूत्त्वितिपतिभयदस्तोमरं सदर्प्य
 हत्वा रुद्रेनभूत्²⁴ समर[भुवि]
 14. [व^x]लाद्य[न लब्ध^x] जययोः ॥ —[14.]
 ततः परमर्तजस्त्री सदा ममरजित्वरः ।
 श्रीमान्वाक्पतिराजाख्यो महाराजोभवत्सुतः ॥ ²⁵—[15.]
 येनादैव्यं स्वसंन्यं कथमपि दधता वाजिवल्गा मुमुक्षु
 प्रागव क्षामितभः सरसि क[रि]²⁶रट्टिडिर्मर्डि ८
 15. —[जः] ²⁷।
 वन्यक्ष्माभर्त्तराज्ञां समदमभि[व]²⁸ हन्नागतानंतपाश्व-
 क्ष्मापालस्तक्षपालो दिशि दिशि गमितो द्वीपिपण्णः प्रमण्ण(व्र)ः ॥ ²⁹—[16.]
 शूरस्यदं ।
 लोकेर्यो हि महीतलं ननु हरिचंद्रोपमो गीयते
 त्यागैश्व[र्य]जयेषु की[र्ति]-
 16. [र^x]मला धम्मेय यस्योज्ज्व(ज्ज)लः ।
 येनादायि हराय मंदिरकृतं भक्त्या प्रभूतं वसु
 श्रीमहाक्पतिराजसूनुरसम् श्रीमहाराजोभवत् ॥ ³⁰—[17.]
 हैममारोपितं येन शिवस्य भवनोपरि ।
 पूर्णचंद्रोपमं स्त्रीयं सूर्त्तं य[श] ८ [पिं?]डक[म्] ॥ ³¹—[18.]
 17. — — ³² । तोमरनायकं सलवणं सैन्याधिपत्योद्धतं
 युद्धे येन नरेश्वराः प्रतिदिशं निर्वा(र्णा)शिता जिष्णुना ।

²¹ Metre of verses 12-14: Śragdhara.

²² Read 'सुवर्ण'. The *akshara* श्री, preceding सून, may possibly have been altered to ये.

²³ Originally undoubtedly श्रीमन्नागाव was engraved, but the *akshara* व appears to have been altered subsequently, and the only *akshara* which would suit the context appears to me to be य; I am not certain, however, that the adopted reading is correct.

²⁴ This word, for which Dr. Mill has read रुद्रेन भूयः, is quite clear and distinct in the original.

²⁵ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

²⁶ This *akshara* might possibly be read वि

²⁷ The lower portion of this *akshara* is not quite distinct.

²⁸ Metre: Śragdhara.

²⁹ Metre: Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

³⁰ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

³¹ The word, here broken away, was probably either जिष्णु or हत्वा.

कारावेशमनि भूरयश्च विधृतास्तावच्च यावद्भूतं
तन्मुक्त्यर्थमुपागतो रघुकुलं भृशकवर्त्ता स्वयम् ॥ ३६—[19.]

श्रीमा-

L. 18. [मि* | यज्ञराजोभूतः सतो वामवोपमः ।

वंशलक्ष्मीर्जयश्रीश्च येनैतं विधुरोदृतं ॥ ३६—[20.]

श्रीमिन्द्रराजरक्षिता किल चिंतयंतो भीतेव संप्रति विभुर्नेनु को ममेति ।

धनात्मवा(वा)हुयुगलं चिरमस्त्रियामं संधीरितिति ददता निज-

19. [रा* | ज्यलक्ष्मीः ॥ ३७—[21.]

धनं दुष्टदमनेन सर्वतः साधितार्थलभस्यै स्ववा(वा)हुभिः ।

लीलयैव वशवर्त्तिनी कृता किं करोव निजपादयोस्तल ॥ ३७—[22.]

यस्य चारु चरितं सतां मदा शृण्वतां जगति कीर्त्तितं जनैः ।

हृष्टिजातघनरोमकं-

20. ○ —³⁶ जायते तनुरलं मुहुर्मुहुः ॥ —[23.]

मुक्ताहारैः सुतारैः प्रतरन्तुरगेश्वरवस्त्रैश्च शस्त्रैः

कर्पूरैः पूगपूरैर्भूषणयतनवरैर्हंसभारैरपरैः ।

उद्यद्मानैः समानैश्चलकुलगिरिभिर्हन्तिवारैः मदारै-

र्विर्ज्याजैः प्रातिर — —

21. ○ ○ भिरिति भूतैः प्राभूतैर्यैः सिधेवे ॥ ३८—[24.]

छावधारावरयामो द्वितीयः शंकराणकः [1*]

तनमो हर्षना[थाय] [भ]क्त्या दत्तो मशासनो ॥ ३८—[25.]

श्रीमद्भक्तभराजो योनुजो विभूषितः [1*]

लक्ष्मणेनैव काकुत्स्थो विष्णुनैव हलायुधः ॥ —[26.]

22. [महा*] राजावक्तो चासौ शंभुभक्तिगुणोदया ।

श्रीहर्षः कुलदेवीष्यास्तस्माद्दिव्यः कुलक्रमः ॥ —[27.]

अनंतगोचरे श्रीमान् पण्डित श्रीनरेश्वरः ।

पंचार्थलाकुलाकायै³⁷ विश्वरूपोभवद्गुरुः ॥ —[28.]

दीक्षाजातमलध्वजविस्फुरद्वा-³⁸

23. [मनि*] र्मलः ।

प्रशस्तास्थीभवच्छिष्यस्तस्य पाशुपतः क्षतो ॥ —[29.]

भा[व]रक्तो[भ]वत्तस्य शिष्यो हिनामतोक्षटः ।

वार्गटिकान्वयोद्भूतसद्विप्रकुलसंभवः ॥ (॥) —[30.]

हर्षस्यासन्नतो ग्रामः प्रसिद्धो राणपक्षिका ।

सांसारिकलक्षणायास्ततो यस्य विनि[र्गमः] ॥* —[31.]

³⁶ Metre: Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

³⁷ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

³⁸ Metre: Vasantatilakā.

³⁹ Metre of verses 22 and 23: Rathoddhatā.

⁴⁰ The two akṣaras have been broken away, were probably

उदा.

⁴¹ Metre: Bragdhārā.

⁴² Metre of verses 25—32: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

⁴³ One would expect to read here पंचार्थलाकुलाकायै, and I believe that the akṣaras क्षा have been altered to क्ष already in the original.

⁴⁴ Read ॐ हृष्टिपुष्करवा.

⁴⁵ Originally वि, but apparently altered to व.

- L. 24. पल्लटच्छद्मना नदी शिवासन्नस्थितिक्रमः ।
 श्रीहर्षाराधने नूनं स्वयं मर्त्यमवातरत् ॥ —[82.]
 आज्ञा त्र(त्र)ज्ञाचारी दिगमलवसनः संयतात्मा तपस्वी
 श्रीहर्षाराधने कव्यसनशुभमतिव्यक्तसंसारमोहः ।
 आसीद्यो सख्य(व्य)जन्मा भवतर[णधि]यां — ८
25. — [यो?] सुव(व)भू-
 ज्ञेनैव धर्मिर्विनीः सुवटितविकट कारितं त्रयहर्म्यम् ॥ ⁴⁰—[33.]
 आर्क्ष्यं चंद्राक्षौणिकं गगनपथनिहोमं गम्यं प्रसिद्धं
 हर्म्यं श्रीहर्षनामप्रथितपशुपतः सहमानो[प]मानम् ।
 दृष्ट्वा सन्नो गयुक्तं व(व)हसुरभवनं कारितं येन
26. — —
 नासाध्यं किंचिदस्ति स्फुटमिति तपसो निःसृष्टाणां यतीनाम् ॥ —[34.]
 आसीन्नैष्ठिकरूपो यो दीप्तपाशुपतव्रतः ।
 ती[त्र]वेगतपोजातपुण्यापुण्यमलक्षयः ॥ ⁴⁰—[35.]
 सदा शिवसमाकारस्तस्येश्वरसमद्युतः ।
 भावद्योतोभवच्छिष्यः संदीपितगु-
27. [रुक्^x]मः ॥ —[36.]
 गुरोराज्ञामयं प्राप्य प्रतिष्ठासोः शिवालयम् ।
 यथाप्राव(व्य)कार्याणामंगीकृतभगोभवत् ॥ —[37.]
 पुरस्तात्पर्वतस्याधस्तितयं येन कारितम् ।
 सत्कपो वाटिका दिव्या गोप्रपा घटितोपलैः ॥ —[38.]
 सदैव वल्लभानेन कृपेन स्वादुवारिणा ।
 वाटिकामेव-
28. . . . प्रपाभरणन्तथा ॥ —[39.]
 सत्पुष्परत्नं शम्भोः पयःपानं गवामपि ।
 कार्यद्वयमिदं सारं दर्शितं पुण्यकाञ्चिणाम् ॥ —[40.]
 दिगं व(व)रं जटा भस्म⁴⁷ तल्पं च विपुलं मही ।
 भिक्षा वृत्तिः करः पात्रं यस्येतानि परिग्रह ॥ —[41.]
 शिवभवनपु—
29. — ८ — [पा?]रं यदासीत्तदखिलमुपल्लोचः पूरयित्वा गभीरम् ।
 समतलसुखगम्यं प्रांगणं तेन कांतं मद्युतरशिलाभिः कारितं व(व)धयित्वा ॥ ⁴¹—[42.]
 वीरभ[द्र]सुतः ख्यातः सूत्रधारी च चंडसिव⁴⁹ ।
 विश्वकर्मेव सर्वज्ञो वास्तुविद्या[म]-
 — ८ — [॥^x] ⁴⁰—[13.]
 [ये]न निर्मितमिदं मनोहरं शंकरस्य भवनं समंडपम् ।

⁴⁰ Metre of verses 33 and 34 : Śragdhara.

⁴¹ Metre of verses 35-41 Śloka (Anushtubh).

⁴⁷ Originally मन्त्र, altered to मन्त्र.

⁴⁹ Metre : Mālinī.

⁴⁰ This word is perfectly clear in the impressions and can—
 not possibly be read in any other way. It offends against both
 the metre and grammar.

⁴¹ Metre : Śloka (Anushtubh).

[स]र्वदेवमयचारुतोरणं स्वर्गखंडमिव वेधसा स्वयम् ॥⁵¹—[11.]

गंगाधरवरम्भवनं करुणिकथीरुकसुतं भक्तेन ।

अक्रियतेयं सुगमा प्रशस्तिरिह धीरनारग ॥⁵²—[15.]

यावच्छभी ॐ —

31. — ॐ ॐ नमुरनदीचंद्रलखापतित्वं

यावत्तन्मीर्मरारिरमि विलसति द्योतते कौस्तुभं च ।

गायन्ती यावदास्त सततमुपनता प्रेयसी ब्र(ब्र)ह्मणोत्

कैलामाचारमेतत्प्रापु भवनं हर्षदेवस्य तावत् ॥⁵³—[46.]

अ . .

32. . [ह]ेतः शंभुः कथं कालस्य गीचरः ।

हर्मनिर्मणिकालस्तु यथादृष्टो निव(ब)ध्यते ॥⁵⁴—[17.]

संवत् १०१[३] आषाढशुदि १३ शंभोः प्रामादमिद्धिः ॥ ॐ ॥

जातव्वा(व्वा)नां मज्जसं त्रिगुणनवयुते सिंहराशौ गतेर्ह

शुक्ला यामोक्तु[ती*]-

33. [या] शभकरमहिता सोमधारण तस्याम् ।

आदिष्टः गंभुनासौ [ध्रुव]ममलपदं दिक्भुजा शुद्धमत्वं(त्वं)

लब्धा(ब्धा)र्षदेहभ. ५ शिवभवनमभिप्रस्थितो ह्यष्टोद्य ॥ ॐ ॥ (॥)⁵⁵—[48.]

स्मस्ति । संवत् १०३० आषाढशुदि १५ निरुद्धं यथालब्ध(ब्ध)शामना[ना] . .

34. . चैव लिख्यते । महागजाधिराजश्रीमिहाराजः स्वभोगे तून्कूपकडादशके भिह्मगोष्ठं ।⁵⁶

तथा पटव(ब)डकविषये चैःलककेशानकूपी ।⁵⁶ सरकोटविषये कण्हपल्लिकामिवं ग्रामांश्चतुर-
युंदांकशिखरीपरि . . . [भ*]-

35. गवते श्रीहर्षदेवाय पृथ्वेर्जन श्रीमत्पुष्करतीर्थे स्नात्वा स्नपनाञ्जनविलिपनोपहारपदीपपर्व-
याक्षोत्सवाद्यमा शशंकतपनार्णवस्थितेर्यादच्छासनत्वेन प्रददौ . तथैतद्भाता श्रीवत्सराज
स्वभोगावामजय[पु][रविप*]-

36. ये कहेगुवातयाममदाच्छासनेन । तथा आवायहराजेन शामनदत्तशामहयमुपरिलिखित-
मास्ते । तथा श्रीमिहाराजात्मजौ श्रीचंद्रराजश्रीगोविंदराजौ रामोगावासपटव(ब)डकविषये ।⁵⁷
दर्भकक्षविषये] . .

37. [पा]मंख्येन खड्गस्तंकितशासनी [ष्ट?] . . . [द]के पण्टकडय⁵⁸पल्लिकायामी भक्त्या वितेरतुः ।
श्रीमिहाराजीयदुःसाध्यश्रीधंधुकः खड्गकूपविषये खभुज्यमानमयूरप[द]ग्रामं स्वाम्यनुमतः
प्रदत्तवान् ।

38. हिलात्मजः श्रीजयनराजः⁵⁹ खभुज्यमानकोलिकूपकग्रामं भक्त्या हर्षदेवाय शासनेन दत्तवान् । (॥)
तथा समस्तश्रीभग्नाह[द]श्या शार्कभर्या लवणकूटकं प्रति विशेषकर्मकं दत्तं । तथात्तराप
श्रीयर्हडाविकानां [म]

⁵¹ Metre - Rathoddhātā.

⁵² Metre - Arvā.

⁵³ Metre - Sragdharā.

⁵⁴ Metre - Śika (Anushtubh).

⁵⁵ Metre - Sragdharā.

⁵⁶ These signs of punctuation are superfluous.

⁵⁷ The sign of anusvāra of the last akṣhara is doubtful.

⁵⁸ Originally श्रीजयनराजः, altered to श्रीजयनराजः.

L. 39. [घ]टकं प्रति द्रुम एको दत्तः ।(॥) पुण्यात्मभिर्दत्तानि देवभुज्यमानचेक्षाणि यथा । म[द्रा]-
पुशिकायां पि[प]लवालिकाचेक्षं निम्ब(स्व)डिका[या]म दर्भटिकाचेक्षं मरुपल्लिकायां [भा]-
टके[क्षं] [ह]र्ष लाटकेक्षं

40. . . . [क]लावणपट्रे सक्ककेक्षं तथाचैव द्विल्लिकानं[दि]मीमके वृहडलमिति ॥

सर्वानितान्भाविनो भूमिपालान्भूयो भगो याचते रामभद्रः ।

सामान्ध्यायं धर्मसेतुर्नृपाणां कालं कालं पालनीयो भवद्भ [ः॥^x] ⁹¹—[49.]

TRANSLATION.

[Om !]

[Om ! Adoration to Śiva !]

(*Verse 1.*) I adore him who removes all obstacles, the first-born of Śiva and his spouse, who is worshipped by the gods, [Gajānana,] the granter of boons, who gives enjoyment, salvation, and true perfection.

(2.) May the destroyer of Tripura guard you, who is ⁶⁰ with bewildered minds, but praised by the true gods !

(3.) Victorious is that Paśupati⁶¹, the bestower of compassion on all, who is named Harsha, the holy, at whose dancing the whole world verily changes its position ; [for] the earth, resting on Śeṣha's hoods, bends low beneath the tread of his feet, [while the sky] with the sun and moon [rises high] with the lifting up of his arms.

(4.) " A three-pointed spear is in thy left hand, in the other an alms-dish ; the ornament ; a serpent serves as necklaco for thy blue throat ; nowhere have I seen, O three-eyed one, such wondrous vesture ;"—may Hara, smiling when thus jestingly addressed by Gaurī, guard you !

(5.) May the river of heaven, who with her masses of water pervades the sky where the sun and the planets are shaken by her velocity, and who with the thousands of lines of her waves puts to shame the oceans with their decreasing water ; who, white like the moon, appears like a graceful veil on the crest of the moon-crested (*god*), fast bound with huge uncouth tresses of hair ;—may she grant your petitions !

(6.) May the god Harsha, that unfathomable architect for the construction of the world, preserve you,—he by whose will and power all that was not is produced and vanishes again, the universe with its moving moon and sun and stars, with its expanse of worlds, mountains, rivers, islands and oceans, and together with the gods, demons, serpents, his own attendants, excellent sages, Yakshas and mortals !

(7.) May the moon-crested (*god*), who in the form of the Liṅga has (*here*) a twofold dwelling, promote your happiness ; he who—full of joy at having burnt Tripura, the enemy of the gods, with the fire of his arrow, (*and*) worshipped on this hill by the joyful divine hosts, Indra and the rest, who praised him and bowed down to him,—verily under the name of Harsha ⁶² stayed on (*these*) two mountain peaks for the welfare of Bhārata⁶³ !

(8.) When the gods saw how his own nature broke forth, like a mighty fire issuing from the hollow of his eye, frightening the creatures with its scorching rays, darkening

⁵⁹ Metre : Śālinī.

⁶⁰ The missing portion of the verse probably expressed the idea that Śiva is dreaded by the demons.

⁶¹ i.e. Śiva.

⁶² i.e. 'joy.'

⁶³ i.e. *Bhāratavarsha*, or India.

the quarters with thick volumes of smoke of the trees which were consumed by its flames all round, roaring terribly in its impetuous progress, (*and*) annihilating the god of love, they became afraid lest the destruction of the world had come, out of season.

(7. 9.) May this mountain Harsha, which grazes the clouds on high (*and*) on which the god Harsha, the destroyer of Pura, resides, purify you !

A hero speaks the following verse :—

(10.) The water of the torrents which flows here is indeed not that of the Ganges ; here there is not the charming beauty of the garden of paradise ; nor are there [palaces] here which glitter brightly all around with their jewelled and gilded pinnacles. Yet this hill possesses another, superior, unrivalled beauty ; for that Śambhu dwells here in person is surely a paramount cause of loveliness.

(11.) Since the eight-formed (*g. d.*), the lord of the eight perfections, himself dwells here, this mountain possesses supreme grandeur which baffles description.

(12.) Glorious is this mansion of the holy Harshadeva which is charming with the expanse of its spacious chapels, embellished with eggs of gold, (*and*) pleasing like the sons of Pāṇḍu by reason of the court-yards⁶⁴ which are formed by the flights of buildings along its sides. Surpassing in height the peak of Meru, it is beautified by an excellent porch at which a bull is skilfully carved, and is endowed with manifold objects of enjoyment.

(13.) First came the lord of men known as the illustrious Gûvaka, of the Châhamâna family, who attained pre-eminence as a hero in the assemblies of the foremost princes of the world, the illustrious Nagas and the rest⁶⁵ ; whose fame, remaining on earth in visible form, as an excellent dwelling for the holy Harshadeva, even now endures here and illumines with great

(14.) His son was the illustrious Chandrarâja, of spotless fame and fierce valour ; and his son was the valorous prince who again, like the first, was named Gûvaka. From him sprang, inspiring kings with fear, the illustrious Chandana, who, when he had slain on the battle-field the proud Tomara lord, prince Rudrena, by force secured the fortune of victory.

(15.) After him came his son, the Mahârâja named Vâkpatirâja, the illustrious, endowed with supreme splendour, ever victorious in battle ;

(16.) Who, managing to sustain the spirit of his army, eager to loosen the reins of their chargers, forced Tantrapâla,—the ruler of the country adjacent to Ananta, who had come arrogantly defying (?) the command of the prince whom he should have honoured,—to wander, quieted, overwhelmed with shame, in every direction, after his elephants had before already been frightened . . . by drums (?)⁶⁶

⁶⁴ The reading of the second line of this verse is quite clear in the impressions, but owing to the word *vikatâ* its meaning is not clear to me. *Vikatâ* is apparently used here as a feminine substantive, and should, judging from the context, denote both a hall or court-yard and a female, related somehow to the Pāṇḍavas. In the former sense the word is evidently used also in verse 38, below ; and as regards the other meaning, it may at any rate be noted that *Vikata* is the name of one of the hundred sons of Dhritarâshtra.

⁶⁵ See note 26, above. I am doubtful about the correctness of my translation, but see no other way of explaining the original text.

⁶⁶ I do not properly understand the second line of this verse, which is damaged in the original. *Trasitâha* might of course also mean 'who had frightened the elephants.' The word *abhihâna* (supposing the reading to be correct) of the third line appears to be used in an altogether unusual sense. Dr. Mill's translation of the second line is : 'even he, having his elephant terrified and driven into a lake by the sounding cymbals of the hostile war-elephants.'

A hero speaks :—

(17.) Vākpatirāja's peerless son was the illustrious Simharāja, who verily all the earth over is sung of by people as the equal of Ilariśchandra, of spotless fame for his liberality, majesty, and victories, and of resplendent virtue. He piously gave abundant wealth to Hara for a temple.

(18.) And on Śiva's dwelling he set a golden dome (?), his own fame in bodily form, resembling the full moon.

(19.) [After having defeated] the Tomara leader, proud of the command of armies, together with Lavaṇa, the victorious one annihilated in war rulers of men in every direction. To him, who kept as many (*princes*) in his prison as he did in his house, the universal sovereign of the earth in Raghu's race came of his own accord to bring him final liberation.⁸⁷

(20.) His son was the illustrious Vighraharāja, resembling Indra, who rescued both the fortune of his family and the Fortune of victory from the distress which had thus befallen them.

(21.) By him the Fortune of his realm, deprived of the illustrious Simharāja and as it were frightened at the idea who might now be her lord, was re-assured by offering her a lasting home in his two arms.

(22.) Having subdued with his arms the whole earth by overpowering the wicked on all sides, he easily made it obedient to his commands, so that it was like a servant bowing down at his feet.

(23.) When good men hear his noble conduct constantly celebrated by mankind throughout the world, their bodies again and again are closely covered all over with hairs erect through delight.

(24.) He has been served with many presents, offered without guile and exceedingly pleasing,—with strings of pure pearls, gay steeds, fine garments and weapons; with camphor, quantities of betel, first-rate sandal-wood and endless quantities of gold; and with spirited rutting elephants, huge like moving mountains, together with their mates.

(25.) Piously he has assigned by deeds two villages to the lord Harsha, the excellent village of Ohhatradhārā and Śaṅkarāpuka.

(26.) He is adorned by his younger brother, the illustrious Durlabharāja, as Rāma was by Lakshmaṇa, and as Ilāyudha is by Viṣṇu.

(27.) This row of great kings had the origin of their virtues in devotion to Śambhu. The holy Harsha is their family-deity; through him has the family become illustrious.—

(28.) In the land of Ananta dwelt the blessed preceptor Viśvarūpa, learned and a devout worshipper of Uttareśvara, whose hereditary doctrine was that of the *Pañchārthas*.⁸⁸

(29.) His disciple was Praśasta, a true worshipper of (Śiva) Paśupati, purified by knowledge which shone brightly because the rite of initiation had removed all stains from it.

⁸⁷ The following verse shows more clearly that Simharāja had been unfortunate in war and was probably killed in battle. The universal sovereign in Raghu's race, spoken of in this verse, I understand to be the divine Rāma (or Viṣṇu).

⁸⁸ This verse contains the two words *uttareśvara* and *pañchārthas*, which I have not met with elsewhere. The former is derived from *uttareśvara* which we have in *Uttareśvara-tīrtha*, the name of a Tīrtha, and *Uttareśvārśrama*, the name of a Linga, and which apparently is a name of Śiva. *Pañchārthas* is evidently equivalent to *pāñchārthas*, which occurs in line 6 of the Tewar stone inscription of Gayākarnadeva (*Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVIII, p. 211), and the meaning of which is apparent from the *Seroudurtana-samgraha*, translation by Cowell and Gough, p. 108.

(*Vv. 30 and 31.*) His disciple was Bhâvarakta, also called⁶⁹ Allaṭa, sprung from a family of holy Brâhmanas belonging to the Vargaṭika tribe, who had migrated from the well-known village of Râṇapallikâ, near Harsha, where the hereditary doctrine is that of the *Sâmsârikas*.⁷⁰

(32.) In Allaṭa surely Nandin⁷¹ himself, whose place is ever near Śiva, had descended to the state of mortality, to worship the holy Harsha.

(33.) He who from his birth was a religious student, with mere space for his pure covering, with the soul completely controlled, and practising austerities; whose excellent mind was solely bent on worshipping the holy Harsha, and who had forsaken the infatuation of the outer world; who as soon as he was born was a dear friend of those eager to cross (*the sea of*) life,—he caused to be built this mansion of Harsha with its well-constructed halls (?), with the wealth received from pious people.

(34.) When one sees how on this hill of the moon-decorated (*god*) which with its lofty peaks kisses the path of heaven he built this incomparable mansion of the holy Paśupati, celebrated under the name of Harsha,—this mansion which surpasses the palaces of the immortals and is a home for many gods, endowed with excellent objects of enjoyment,—it becomes evident to one that there is nothing which the penance of sages who have renounced all desires would not accomplish.

(35 and 36.) Of him, resplendent like Īvara, the disciple was Bhâvadyota, always like Śiva himself in appearance, who shed light on the (*spiritual*) lineage of his preceptor. A perpetual religious student and fervently practising the vows of a Pâśupata ascetic, he by fierce austerities acquired holiness and got rid of the defilement of unholy deeds.

(37.) Having received the command of his preceptor about to depart for Śiva's home, he took upon himself to complete the works as they had been commenced.

(38.) To the east of the mountain, at the foot of it, he had three works executed, an excellent well, a beautiful garden, and a cistern for watering cattle, built of stone.

(39.) Watering the garden by means of a well of sweet water which never dries up and filling the cistern.

(40.) To worship Śambhu with beautiful flowers and to give water to cattle to drink, these two things have been declared to be the best for people desirous of religious merit to accomplish.

(41.) Raiment consisting of mere space, matted hair, ashes, a broad couch formed by the earth, food received as alms, and the hand used as a drinking-cup,—these represented all his wants.

(42.) Having filled up with masses of stone all that immense which was [in front] of Śiva's temple, he caused to be made a beautiful court which, laid out with very smooth stones, is level and pleasant for walking.

(43.) The architect in this (*work*) was Chaṇḍaśiva, the famed son of Vîrabhadra, omniscient like Viśvakarman, in the art of building houses

(44.) He built this delightful house of Śaṅkara with its chapels, the beautiful porch which contains all the gods, like a portion of heaven made by the creator himself.⁷²

⁶⁹ This apparently is the meaning of *dvindmataḥ*, which does not occur elsewhere.

⁷⁰ What the doctrines of this sect were I have not been able to find out.

⁷¹ An attendant of Śiva, commonly the bull on which he rides.

⁷² In his account of the ruins of the temple, Mr. Dean speaks of a doorway relieved by an architrave of most elaborate sculpture, divided into twelve compartments, in each of which a group from the Hindu Pantheon occupies a place.

(V. 45.) Dhīranāga, the pious son of the *karaṇika*⁷³ Thīruka, has composed this lucid eulogy here, at the excellent dwelling of Gaṅgādhara.

(46.) As long as Śambhu ownsthe river of the gods and the moon's crescent, as long as Lakshmi sports and the Kaustubha glitters on the bosom of Mura's foe,⁷⁴ as long as Gāyatrī abides near Brahman as his ever-devoted spouse, so long may this Kailāsa-like dwelling of the god Harsha shine forth !

(47.) how should Śambhu come within the range of time ? But the time when this mansion was built is recorded here, as we have learnt it.

In the year 1013, on the 13th of the bright half of Āshāḍha, was the temple of Śambhu completed.

(48.) When a thousand and three times nine years had gone,⁷⁵ and when the sun had entered the sign of the Lion, on the third bright lunar day joined with (the *yoga*) Śubha and (the *nakshatra*) Hasta, on a Monday,⁷⁶—then that Allāṭa, commanded by Śambhu who desired to give him an eternal, undefiled, essentially bright home, departed for the abode of Śiva, about to obtain freedom from bodily existence.⁷⁷—

May it be well !

The following is a record of the endowments as they were severally received up to⁷⁸ the 15th of the bright half of Āshāḍha of the year 1030 :—

The *Mahārājādhirāja*, the illustrious Simharāja, having on an auspicious day bathed at the sacred Pushkaratīrtha, made over by deed of gift to the holy Harsha-deva on the hill of the moon-decorated (*god Harsha*), to be his as long as the moon, the sun and the sea endure, four villages in his possession, *viz.* Simhagoshṭha in the Tānakūpaka group of twelve, Traikalakaka and Īśanakūpa⁷⁹ in the Paṭṭabaddhaka *vishaya*, and Kaṇhapallikā in the Sarahkoṭṭa *vishaya*, for the purpose of bathing, worshipping and anointing (*the god*), for oblations, incense and lamps, and for the celebration of processions on festive days.

Similarly his brother, the illustrious Vatsarāja, gave by deed the village of Kardamakhāta in the Jayapura *vishaya* which he had become possessed of.

Similarly two villages, already mentioned above,⁸⁰ were given by deed by the illustrious Vigharāja.

Similarly the sons of the illustrious Simharāja, the illustrious Chandrarāja and the illustrious Govindarāja, piously presented by deeds bearing their signatures two hamlets⁸¹ in the Paṭṭabaddhaka *vishaya* (*and*) the Darbhakaksha *vishaya* which they had become possessed of.

⁷³ *i.e.* a writer of legal documents.

⁷⁴ *i.e.* Vishnu.

⁷⁵ *i.e.* in the expired (Vikrama) year 1027.

⁷⁶ The name of the week-day is similarly put in the instrumental case in other dates, where we should have expected the locative.

⁷⁷ I take *voidaka* as an abstract noun, derived from *voidaka* 'bodiless.'

⁷⁸ The word of the original, so translated, is *niruddham*, which I have not met with in this sense elsewhere.

⁷⁹ The names of these two villages form in the original a Dravida compound, which I cannot be sure of having resolved correctly.

⁸⁰ See above, verse 25.

⁸¹ Owing to the mutilated state of the text I am unable to translate this sentence properly. In particular I do not see how the meaning of the word *pāṭaka* here differs from that of *pallikā*. On *pāṭaka* see the *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVIII, p. 135.

The illustrious Simbarāja's *duṣṣādhyā*,⁸³ the illustrious Dhandhuka, with the permission of his master, gave the village of Mayūrapadra which he possessed in the Khaṭṭakūpa *viśaya*.

The illustrious Jayanarāja, the son of hila, piously gave by a deed to Harshadeva the village of Kolikūpaka, possessed by him.

Likewise one *vinśopaka* on every *kūṭaka* of salt was assigned by the most illustrious⁸³ at Śākambharī.

And one *dramma* on every horse was assigned by the of horse-dealers⁸⁴ from the northern country.

The fields given by pious-minded people and now possessed by the god are as follows: at Madrapurikā a *pippalavālikā*⁸⁵ field, at the village of Nimbaḍikā a *darbhāṭikā* field, at Marupallikā a *jhāṭa* field, at Harsha a *lāṭa* field, at [ka]-lāvaṇapadra a *sekyuka* field; likewise here at⁸⁶ a big plough of land.

(Verse 49.) Rāmabhadra again and again entreats all the kings to come: this common causeway of religion to princes must at all times be guarded by you!

IX.—MUHAMMADAN INSCRIPTIONS FROM THE SUBA OF DIHLĪ.

BY PAUL HORN, PH.D., STRASSBURG.

The papers relative to Muhammadan inscriptions already published by scholars in India, especially those of the late Professor Blochmann, are so valuable that they have laid a solid foundation for further advances; but the number of inscriptions still unedited must be very large, and their collection and publication may be looked to with confidence for many additions to, and corrections of, our information.

The following inscriptions are from impressions taken by the Archæological Survey officers of the Panjāb circle at diverse places in the former *Sūba* of Dihlī.

I.—JHAJHAR (جھجھر; in inscr. No. 5 جھجھر).

Jhajhar is now the head-quarters of the *tahsil* of the same name in the Rohtak District. It is situated in the plain 35 miles west of Dihlī. The town is said to have been founded at the time of the first Muhammadan conquest of Dihlī, and is not seldom mentioned by the chroniclers. Many ruins testify its later importance. In 657 A.H. it is named among other cities which were conferred upon Nuṣrat Khān (*Tab. Nāṣ*, p. 274); in 756 Fīrōz Shāh dug a large canal from the river Satlaj and prolonged it to Jhajhar, a distance of 48 *krōḥ* (Firishta, lithographed edition, vol. I, p. 262). In the *Ain-i-Akbarī* it is registered with 128,417 *bighas*, 1,422,451 *dāms*, *Sayūrgāh* 306,461 *dāms*, 60 cavalry and 1,000 infantry; the castes were Afghāns and Jats. In A. H. 967, when Akbar made his encampment at Jhajhar, Abu'l Ma'ālī, who was set free from his confinement at

⁸³ This word apparently denotes an office. In other inscriptions we find *duṣṣādhyasaddhanika*.

⁸⁴ The word here left untranslated is *bhāmmaka-detyā* about the reading of which there is no doubt. *Detyā* apparently is used in the same sense which it ought to convey here in the Peheva inscription, *ante*, vol. I, p. 187, l. 8, and probably denotes 'a guild'; and in that case *bhāmmaka* should denote a class of traders. For *vinśopaka* see *vinśopaka*, *ante*, vol. I, p. 166. *Kūṭaka* probably denotes a certain weight or measure (of salt).

⁸⁵ The original has *teḍḍavika* instead of *heḍḍavika*, which occurs in the *Mitāksharā* on Yājñavalkya II, 30.

⁸⁶ The terms here left untranslated probably denote various kinds of grain.

⁸⁷ The words here omitted I do not understand.

Bayāna by Khān Khānān, dared to present himself before the emperor; but the latter was so greatly offended by this that he ordered the rebel again into imprisonment.

The town was almost ruined by the great famine of 1783 A.D., but has since regained its prosperity. The following twelve inscriptions belong to seven mosques in it, and date from the tenth and eleventh centuries of the Hijrat.

1. A loose stone (20½" by 18") from the destroyed Kālī Masjid, now in the house of Mardān 'Alī Shāh Bhattān in the town of Jhajhar, bears the following inscription of four lines¹ :—

قال النبي عليه السلام من بنا مسجدا لله بنا الله له مثله في الجنة
بنا كرد اين مسجد مجلس عالي خان اعظم بركزيده رحمان مرئي جهان
دارد خان بن مغفور مرحوم ملك الشرق علاء الدوله والدين ملك ميان افغان
سلمه الله عن الحدثات و توج ثوابه بتاج الرضوان الخامس والعشرين من رمضان سنة تسع و تسعين
وسبعماية

"The Prophet—may he be blessed!—says,—‘He who builds a mosque for Allāh, will have built for him a house like it by Allāh in Paradise.’

"This mosque has been built by the high *Majlis*, the great Khān, the chosen of the Merciful, the educator of the world,—

"DAūd Khān, the pardoned deceased son of the Malik Ashsharq ('Aladdaula) Waddīn Malik Miyān, the Afghān;—

"May God preserve him from bad accidents and crown his reward with the crown of Paradise!—on the twenty-fifth Ramazān 799 [22nd June 1397]."

The date falls in the reign of Mahmūd Shāh; an inscription of the same year has also been published by Blochmann in the *Journal As. Soc. Beng.*, vol. XLII, p. 304.

2. Over the *mihraḥ* of the Bazārwalli mosque in the middle of the town, a sandstone slab, measuring 28" by 15½", bears the following five lines :—

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم لا اله الا الله محمد رسول الله
في زمانة عمدة الخلافت باسط العدل و رافت جلال الدين
محمد اكبر بادشاه غازي خلد الله ملكه و سلطانه بناء مسجد ساختہ مكرمه
و محسنه بيكه لطباع نواب ررميخان فرمايش سرثار خواجه كثر
في التاريخ السابع والعشرين ٢٧ من شهر رجب رجب قدره سنة سبعين تسعمائة ٩٧٠

"In the name of the merciful and compassionate God. There is no God, etc.

"In the time of the pillar of the Kalifat, spreading justice and clemency, Jalāladdīn Muḥammad Akbar *Bādishāh-i-Ghāzī*—may God perpetuate his kingdom and his

¹ Here, at Strassburg, I am unable to refer to the *Tārīkh-i-Badaonī*, the *Jaunpūr-nāma*, the *Miftāḥ attawārīkh*, Stewart's *History of Bengal* and other works. I have retained in the transcriptions of the inscriptions the spelling of the originals, adding no wanting dots, *hamzas* or *tashlids*, the poetry excepted; but I have omitted the signs of the short vowels and the *fazmas* which often occur arbitrarily. For the short accounts of the places where the inscriptions have been found, I have consulted Hunter's *Imperial Gazetteer of India*. To Dr. S. Landauer I am obliged for his kind assistance in the elucidation of some difficulties.

reign! the erection of the honoured

"And beautiful mosque², the lady over natures (?), has been made by the *Nawāb* Rūmī Khān, governor of the *sarkar* Khwāj Katōr³ (?),

"On the 27th of the month Rajab—may its honour increase!—of the year 970 [22nd March 1563]."

This inscription is interesting because it gives new information about Rūmī Khān, who is not often mentioned in the histories. Blochmann has noted two services of his in his translation of the *Āin* (No. 111, p. 124), the one from A.H. 971—one year later than the present inscription—the other of A. H. 983 (No. 146, p. 411). His full name is not certain. Blochmann styles him Rūmī Khān Ustād Jalabī.⁴

3. Over the northern outside arch of the mosque of Shāh Bura in the north of the town, is a sandstone, measuring 22" by 19", bearing the six lines:—

براه حق رحد افیق جون داد	با این مسجد را عزم افناد
بدر شه جلال الدین اکبر	که ایام بکام او مقرر باد
چو کس برسد بدین توان نوکش	محمد روست ابراهیم دلشاد
یقین او همدام است با راصلان حق	که خاطر خشنکان می سازد اباد
بتخصیص انک با قطب زمانه	سر خدمت بجا می آرد اسناد
چو مقصود جهانست بده شاه	خدایا عمر او را جاردان باد
که نه صد بود نزرش شصت رده و پنجم	شهر رمضان که آنرا کرد بنیاد
زهاتف خراستم اتمام تاریخ	وصل الله علی محمد
	ندایم جاء سجده فیض ده داد

۹۷۶

Metre: *Hazaj*.

"When God the only one, put away (*my*) misfortune, the building of this mosque was designed.

"In the time of the king Jalāl addīn Akbar—may his days be equal to his desire!—

"Everybody who comes to that mighty one, becomes a new one; Muḥammad Ibrāhīm (*also*) is happy through him.

"He is true and a companion of the followers of God, he exhilarates the afflicted.

"To him especially the pole and time devote their service, the masters.

"As the design of the world is for ten kings, so, O God! may his glory be eternal!

"When nine hundred was increased by sixty and ten and five, it was in the month Ramazān that he laid the foundation. May the friendship of God be over Muḥammad!

² *Liṭībā'* has been distinctly engraved, but perhaps *aṭbā'* is to be read and *Bēga-ya* (i.e. *Bēg-i*) *aṭbā'* synonymous with *daryā khānlary*—Bābar's *Memoirs*, p. 480.

³ The reading Katōr is somewhat doubtful; the word may be Gansur or Kansum, but even if read thus, we get none of the well-known *sarkars* of the Moghul empire. The same strange use of *farmāyish* occurs in Nos. 4 and 44.

⁴ More famous was the homonymous *mīr ātash* of Humāyūn (died 942), who effected in 942 the conquest of the fort of Chanār by the practice of old Zopyrus' stratagem, after having quitted the service of his former master Sultān Bahādur of Gujārāt, which treachery has given matter for the anecdote of Sultān Bahādur's parrot in Khāfi Khān's *Muntakhab al-lubāb*. The Turks of Europe were celebrated in Asia for their knowledge of artillery, which was always the most remarkable weapon of the Turks in their wars with the Persian Shāhs, a fact that Shāh Tahmāsp I. in vain attempts to deny in his *Memoirs* (see my German translation of them, p. 129. Strassburg, Trübner).

'From the heavenly speaker I asked the date of completion, a voice gave me 'já (-yí) *siġda fayz da*' (Bestow a place of adoration). 976.' [Ramazân 976 began on the 17th February 1569]."

The words of the *tárík* give only 975. The poetry is very poor and difficult to understand. I do not pretend that my readings are absolutely correct.

4. Over the central arch of the ruined mosque of Miyân Râib to the south of the Bázârwalli Masjid is a rectangular sandstone, measuring 19" by 15", bearing the following five lines. This mosque is in the last stage of ruin, the yard being filled with filth and sweepings; it is no more used for religious purposes.

اشهد ان لا اله الا الله وحده لا شريك له

واشهد ان محمد عبده ورسوله

كرمه بناء عمارت مسجد میان رائب بن پیاره
فی التاریخ عشر من شر (sic) ذی الحج

سنة ۹۸۹ فرمایش میان دارد

"I testify that there is no God but God alone, who has no companion ;

"And I testify that Muĥammad is His servant and His apostle.

"The edifice of this mosque has been built by Miyân Râib, son of Piyâra,⁵ on the tenth of the month Zi-l-Hajj,

"In the year 989 [5th January 1582]. His commander (was) Miyân Dâúd."

5. The graveyard of Miyân Râib is situated half a mile east of Jhajhar, near the Buawalla tank. A red sandstone over the eastern gateway (27" by 13") bears the following inscription of four lines; the lettering is very poor:—

بدورې شاه اکبر کز عدالت مبارکه تاج جهان را
ساختن [؟] چرن دین بهنر محیر میان رایب ابن پیاره
قصه پر نور حجر سلامت کیان [؟] همرا
سنة الفه اثنه (sic) ماه رمضان محمد دولتیاره ارتاجی [؟]

"In the time of Shâh Akbar, by whose justice came blessing upon the crown of the world

..... "Miyân Râib, son of Piyâra.

"The town full of light, Jajar, is the repose of all nobles ;

"In the year 1002, in the month of Ramazân [began the 21st May 1594]"

The marble gravestone itself measures 3' 8" by 10½". It contains the *Kalima* and the words *qabr-i-Miyân Râib* ; round the open middle space runs the *Fātiĥa*. At the foot of the stone the Persian sentence has been engraved هرچه آمد برقت 'Whatsoever came has gone'.

6. Over the outside central arch of the mosque attached to the tomb of 'Abd as-Samad, half a mile east of the town near the Buawalla tank, is a red sandstone slab (21" by 17"), containing the following six lines :—

خوش رواجیست دین اسلامی در زمانه خلیفه نر الدین
یا الهی بباد سال هزار عدل و انصاف استوار متین

کرد مسجد بنای اسمعیل ایره رایب که ارست طالب دین
 سال تاریخ از خرد جستم خردم رهنمون هزار و عشرين
 گوشه شیني فقير عبدالصمد ابن مکن که قری عباسین
 از طفیلی محمد عربي دین داران بر روضه خلد برین

Metre : *Khafif*.

"In a good career is the faith of Islām in the time of the king Nāraddīn (Jahāngir) O God! may his justice and equity stand firm and solid a thousand years!

"This masjid has been built by Ism'ail Īrah Rāib, who is a student of the faith.

"I asked the mind the date-year, the guiding mind (*said*) to me '1020' [began 16th March 1611].

"(I), a hermit, the poor 'Abd aṣ-Ṣamad, the son of Makan, who belongs to the family of the 'Abbassides,

"To the companions of Muḥammad the Arabian, the pious ones in the high Paradise."

In No. 11 'Abd aṣ-Ṣamad's father is named Mankan.

7. Over the gateway of Rustam Khān in the south of the town, on a sandstone, measuring 4 feet by 12½", are two lines, and flower arabesques:—

اشهد ان لا اله الا الله وحده لا شريك له واشهد ان محمد عبده ورسوله
 تاريخ دروازه رستم خان ابن محمد خان سربني سنه ۱۰۲۹

"I testify that there is no God but God alone, who has no companion; and I testify that Muḥammad is His servant and His apostle.

"The date of the gateway of Rustam Khān, son of Muḥammad Khān Sarbanī (*is*) 1029' [began 8th December 1619].

"Jahāngir elevated the builder from a commander of 60 to a chief of 5,000 foot and 4,000 horse and named him Rustam Khān; besides he bestowed on him the government of Gujarāt. He put much confidence in him and placed him in 1032 as general under the command of Prince Parwēz, after he had abandoned the service of the rebel Prince Shāhjahān. *Iqbālū. Jah.* 209, *Waqi'āt-i Jah.* in Elliot's *History*, vol. VI, p. 388."

8. Over the central arch of the same mosque as No. 6, on a red sandstone measuring 4' 7½" by 9", is an inscription of two lines; (*not in situ*):—

بدور جهانگیر شاه جهان شد این روضه پر نور حسن شهید
 تراریخ این روضه را کسی هزار و سی و پنج سالش کشید

Metre : *Mutaqārib*.

"In the time of Jahāngir, the king of the world, this light tomb of Ḥasan Shahīd has been made.

"The date of it for a tomb has been brought by somebody (?) in the year 1035" [began 3rd October 1625].

9. Over the central outside arch of the Shaikhānwalla masjid, a soft sandstone slab, measuring 4' 11" by 8½", contained originally four lines, but the first, in Arabic, has almost vanished. Also the other lines are much injured, and some words are

missing, so that it is not possible to decipher fully the eighteen Persian hemistichs. I give only the beginning and the date:—

بحمدالله که در جہر کلام . .	نہایت خوبی جہر . . .
بدر شاہ نورالدین جہانگیر	کہ عالی تر ز شاہانِ جہانست
بنای کرد رستم خان محمد خان	کہ در زمین بمثلش بی نشانست
.
(مرتب شد عمارت) بتاریخ دہم از ماہ رجب	بسن الف و پنج و سی

Metre: *Hazaj*.

"In the time of Shâh Nûraddîn Jahângîr, who is more exalted than the kings of the world, Rustam Khân,⁶ son of Muḥammad Khân, has built it, who has not his like on the earth."

In the verses that follow the beauty of the mosque is described. The building was completed on the 10th of the month Rajab in the year 1035 [the 7th April 1626].

10. Over the north-west arch of a small *burj* on the west side of the tank of Shâh Ghâzî Kamâl, a short distance north of the town, is a red sandstone, measuring 4' 11" by 8", and containing eight hemistichs, arranged in two lines:—

در زمانہ خلیفہ نور الدین	حوضِ نادر مثال کرد نشان
رای رایان رای در کھل	بہرِ راحت فراغِ خلقِ جہان
سالِ تاریخ از خرد جستم	خردم گفت در غلرِ بدان
کرشہ شیفی فقیر عبدالصمد	ابنِ منکن کہ صاحبِ عرفان
	سنہ ہزار سی و شش برد

Metre: *Khafif*.

"In the time of the king Nûraddîn the tank, which has scarcely its like, became a sign

"Of Râi Râyân Râi Darghal, for the benefit of the happiness of the people of the world,

"I asked the mind the date-year; the mind spoke to me 'Know it in *ghulûro*.'

"(I) the poor hermit 'Abd aṣ-Ṣamad, the son of Mankân, who is a master of knowledge.

"The year was 1036" [began the 22nd September 1626].

The name of the builder is not certain.

11. Over the central outer arch of Kalâl Khân's masjid, half a mile outside Jhajbar towards the east near the Buawalla tank, on a red sandstone measuring 2' 9" by 7½", sculptured at the ends, is an inscription of three lines:—

بلطف و کرم عنایات کارساز جہان	شدہ مرتب و موجود این بلند مکن
بنای مسجد عالی کلال خان کردہ	بدر عہد 7 خلافت پناہ شام جہان
بسال سی و نہم یکہزار شد موجود	خدای عز و علا داردش بامن و امان

⁶ Concerning Rustam Khân, see above No. 7.

⁷ Corrected from بنائے خلائق

Metre : *Mujlass*.

"By the grace and favour of the aids of the settler of the world, this high place has been arranged and constructed.

"Kalâl Khân has built this high mosque in the time of Shâhjahân, the refuge of men.

"It was in the year 1039 [began the 21st August 1629]. God—may he be honoured and exalted!—keeps it in safety and security."

II.—PÂNÎPAT.

Pânîpat lies to the north of Dihlî, as the *Akbarnâma* (II, 35) says 30, according the *Munt. allub.* (I, 50) 40, *krôhs* distant. It dates back to the wars between the Pândavas and the Kauravas. The modern town stands upon a high mound composed of the *débris* of earlier buildings. Because of its importance in the Muḥammadan period it was frequented by the kings, especially by the Mughal emperors, so that its name occurs very often in the chroniclers. Bâbar, in his *Memoirs*, names it as a considerable city. Besides, it has at several times witnessed decisive battles which were fought on its plains and sealed the fate of the whole of Upper India. Tîmûr found it a place of consequence where were stored large provisions of wheat. In 933 A. H. Bâbar met Ibrâhîm Lôdî near Pânîpat and defeated him, his adversary himself being killed in the fight. The emperor considered the place of his victory a fortunate one and bestowed as a special favour the government of Pânîpat, together with the gift of the revenues due on one harvest, upon Sultân Muḥammad Anghulî, who had bravely assisted him in that battle (*Târîkh-i-salâṭîn-i-Afghîna*; Elliot's *History*, vol. V, p. 34). Sixty years later, Akbar, or speaking more exactly Khân Zamân, conquered Hêmu on the same field. On account of these victories Jahângîr, in his *Tuzaq*, speaks of Pânîpat as of a place 'which has always been a fortunate one for my ancestors' (Transl. of Lowe, p. 47). In 980 it was plundered, together with Sonpat and Karnâl, by the rebel Ibrâhîm Husain Mîrzâ. When Nâdir Shâh entered India, battles were again fought in the vicinity of Pânîpat. In A.D. 1761 Ahmad Shâh Durrânî gained there his great victory over the Marâṭhas.

The *Âin* states the area of the district to have been 568,444 *bîghas*, its revenues were 10,756,647 *dâms*, 3,540, 632 *say dâms*, and its contingent amounted to 100 horse and 2,000 foot. It had a castle built of brick. The principal tribes were Afghâns, Gôjars and Ranghars. Two learned men born at Pânîpat in Akbar's reign were Shaikh Zamân, author of a commentary on the *Lawâih* and other excellent works (Badâunî, II, 258), and of the renowned surgeon Shaikh Hasan (*Âin*).

12. Over the central arch in the western wall of the tomb enclosure of Hâfiz Jamâl, half a mile north of the town, is now fixed the sandstone (3' 8" by 13½") which bears the following inscription. It has been transported thither from Munk, where (from its contents) it seems originally to have belonged to a mosque. The middle of the second line has been completely destroyed by the weather, which has injured also other words and has taken away all dots:—

امر بنا هذه العمارة في عهد السلطان المعظم علاءالدین والدنس ابوالمظفر

مسعود شاه سلطان و حلد ملکہ ... ترکہ مرکب مظفر منصور علا بدر الدولہ والدنس [اس]

سدد الامر بھلوان السرف (?) . . . مرحوم (?) السلطانی اعز الله ... فی المنصف من سوال

سہہ ملک و ازبک و سمانہ

"This edifice was ordered to be built in the time of the honoured king 'Aladdunyâ waddîn Abu-l-Muzaffar Mas'ûd Shâh, the king—may God perpetuate his reign of Pargana Munk, Muzaffar Mansûr 'Alâ Badr addaula waddîn,

"(son) of the chief of the Amîrs, *Pahlawân ashsharq* . . ., the defunct, the royal—may God increase his !—in the middle of Shawwâl 643" [began the 19th February 1246].

This is the first inscription of Mas'ûd Shâh, who reigned from 639 till 644 A.H. He was the son of Fîrôz Shâh and succeeded Bahrâm Shâh on the throne. The name of the father of the grandee is not quite certain; the latter bore the title *Badr addîn*, which Malik Badr addîn Sunqar-i-Rûmî (put to death in 639) had before him (*Tab. Nâs* 255). Afterwards the title was bestowed on Malik Nuṣrat Khân Sunqar-i-Ṣūfî. As two persons could not have the same title at the same time—which is specially stated, *e.g.* in the *Riyâz assalâfîn*, p. 284—Malik Sunqar-i Ṣūfî must have been named Badr addîn, but in the reign of Maḥmûd Shâh, under which king he was more of a *persona grata* than under Mas'ûd, who permitted the Nizâm al Mulk Khwâja Mubazzab addîn to take all functions out of the hands of the Turkish Amîrs. It is not surprising that the name of the Malik of our inscription does not occur in the *Ṭabaqât-i-Nâsirî* among the Shamsî Maliks, for Minhâj addîn gives also a defective list of the Maliks of Maḥmûd Shâh's reign, from which Major Raverty concludes that the author intended to continue his work to the period falling within his own lifetime.

Pargana Munk has been identified by General Cunningham (*Archæol. Survey of India*, vol. II, p. 186) with the old Nicæa of Alexander the Great. It is not mentioned in the *Āin*, but it occurs once in the *Akbarnāma*, vol. III, p. 604, where it is said that Akbar gave it to Ziyâ al Mulk.*

13. Over the south door of the tomb of Shaikh Jalâl addîn in the east of Pânipat is a sandstone slab (2' 8½" by 19") bearing four lines; the inscription is not visible from the enclosure owing to a high verandah which has been attached:—

باني این عمارت فیروز محمد لطف الله افغان باني ینخیر در عهد سلطان السلاطین
سکندر شاه بهلول شاه سلطان بکر باری تعالی توفیق یافت تا کنبد حظیره بندکی شیخ
المشایخ والا ولیاء شیخ جلال الحق والشرع والدين قدس الله سره
العزیز برآورد بتاریخ دوم ماه شوال سنه اربع و تسعمائة

"The builder of this edifice is Fîrôz Muḥammad Luṭfallâh, the Afghân. The builder of this religious edifice, during the time of the king of kings Sikandar Shâh, son of Buhlâl Shâh, the king, by the kindness of God Almighty, found grace to erect the vault of the tomb of the revered Shaikh of Shaikhs and saints, Shaikh Jalâl alḥaq washshar' waddîn—may God sanctify his dear secret! Dated 2nd Shawwâl, 904" (13th May 1499).

* Because Blochmann has overlooked the fact that Ziyâ al Mulk is mentioned several times in the *Akbarnāma*, I add here briefly what is there said about him, as a supplement to the *Āin* Translation, p. 497, No. 276. In 994, he served under Shaikh Farîd Bukhārî; in 1000 A.H., he became Governor of Munk. Afterwards he and Abû Nâsir were sent as ambassadors to Shâh 'Abbâs of Persia. In 1011 he became *bakhshîgar* of the army sent against Bir Singh Dêv Bundêla, the murderer of Abu-l Fazl. In 1012 he was elevated to the rank of a commander of 700 foot and 300 horse. The *Āin* names him only as a chief of 350.

The words *Bānt-yī in khair* in the first line are doubtful, but, as I cannot make out the correct reading, I have recurred to that of Blochmann, who has published the inscription in the *Proceedings of the As. Soc. Beng.* for 1873, July, p. 141. (Perhaps Pānpatī should be read, written بانی بتی). In the same place is given an account of Shaikh Jalāl addīn. The inscription falls in the 11th year of Sikandar Shāh Lōdī's reign.

III.—SEWĀH.

14. In Sewāh, 4 miles south of Pānpat, is the tomb of Sayyid Bāyazīd. A sandstone, measuring 13" by 9", over the doorway of the dome, bears the following inscription of three lines :—

بنا نموده کنبه سید بایزید شهید
بلر ولد نیت ساکن موضع سراه
سنه ۴ فرخ سیرتی

"The dome of Sayyid Bāyazīd, the martyr, has been built by Balu, son of Nayat, an inhabitant of the village of Sewāh, in the fourth year of Farrukh Siyar."

Farrukh Siyar really became emperor in 1124 A.H., but he gave orders that Jahāndār Shāh's reign should be considered as rebellion, and that his own reign should date from the 1st Rab' al awwal 1123 [19th March 1711], *Munt. allub.* II, 737.

IV.—SONPAT (سرنپتہ، سونی پٹ، سرنپت).

Sonpat is also a town of great antiquity which is said to have been founded by the earliest Aryan settlers. In the *Āin* it is registered with 283,299 *bīghas*, 7,727,323 *dāms*, 775,105 *say. dāms*, 70 horse, and 1,000 foot. It had a brick fort. The principal tribes were Afghāns and Jats. The following inscriptions are from three mosques and several tombs there. A celebrity buried at Sonpat is Sayyid Naṣir 'Ābidallāh ibn Aḥmad, whose tomb is still extant, but no inscription relative to himself has been discovered. Khizr Khān, son of 'Alā addīn Muḥammad Khiljī, is said to have made a pilgrimage to the tombs of certain martyrs buried here (Ibn Baṭūta, French edition, vol. III, p. 187).

15. Over the central outside arch of a mosque attached to the tomb of Imām Naṣir, just outside Sonpat to the south on the Dihlī road, is a sandstone slab (7' 6" by 17") which bears an inscription* of two lines, the letters being thick and clumsy :—

تجدد هذه العمارة المسجد المباركة الممورة في دولة السلطان الاعظم طلال الله في العالم عات الدنيا والدن
العايم بماند الرحمى ابرالمطهر دلس السلطان
ناصر امير المرمينى خلد الله ملكه و سلطانه العبد الصعدي مير ملك دس ابي بيك مقطع سرنپت
في التاريخ دارادهم ماه مبارك رجب عظم الله حرمة سنة سعدى و ستمانه

"The building of this blessed august mosque was renewed during the reign of the great king, the shadow of God on earth, *Ghiyāth addunyā waddīn*, who stands by the assistance of the merciful, Abu-l Muzaffar Balban, the king, the aider of the

* See the facsimile No. i on the accompanying plate. The fact that the late Mr. Blochmann, the acknowledged authority for these inscriptions, has several times given faulty readings in the *Proceedings of the As. Soc. Beng.* for 1873, May, p. 94, where he has published this inscription, may show how easily errors may be committed in deciphering.

Commander of the Faithful—may God perpetuate his kingdom and his reign!—by the weak slave Mîr Beg, son of Âî Beg, the *muqti* of Sonpat. Dated the 12th of the blessed month Rajab—may God increase its honour!—670" [13th February 1271].

Three other inscriptions of Balban's time are extant: the first from Barwāla, 680 A.H. (see No. 51); the second from Garhmukhtésar in the Mîrat District, 682 A.H. (E. Thomas, *Chronicles of the Pathân Kings of Dihlî*, p. 136); and the third from Sakî in sarkâr Qanauj, 684 A.H. (*Proceedings of the As. Soc. Beng.* for 1874, May, p. 104¹⁰).

16. In a part of the graveyard of Imâm Naşîr a square pillar, of coarse black sandstone, is standing, which has been inscribed on each side with Persian verses and some Arabic religious sentences. Its dimensions are 6' 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ " by 1' 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " by 10 $\frac{1}{4}$ ". It is beautifully sculptured and copiously ornamented with flower arabesques and scrolls. The verses in the middle of each side have been written in a large handsome *Tu'âlîq*; round these middle pieces run also verses in smaller letters. As there is no information about the builder or the name of the holy man buried in these, the historiographer loses nothing if I omit the marginal hemistichs—18 each on the top and on both sides, and 6 each on the two ends. That it is the tomb of some saint follows from the poetry, which throughout points to a pious man. The date of the erection has been inscribed on the left side of the stone, *viz.* the third Rajab 884 [20th September 1479], which falls in the reign of Buhlâl Lûdî.

It has been said that the stone was put up in the time of Aurangzêb, and that it is the tomb of some Pir Yûsuf, but such local tradition is of no value compared with the statement of the stone itself. The stone is broken about 2 feet from the head; it is exposed to the weather, and the margin has thus been ruined in many parts. Besides being broken, the sides near the basement are crumbling and the stones forming the base are very rotten. This beautiful object should be protected.

At the head and foot of the stone the following verses are inscribed (Metre: *Ramâl*):—

کر سراپردہ قدر تو بر افلاک بود عاقبت زبرسرت زبر خست خاک بود

"Even if the curtain of thy power were near the heavens, in the end under thy head and armpit will be the dust."

راست کن کار خود امروز که عمرت برجاست که هر آخر شدت عمر بود کار تو راست

"Make ready thy work to-day when thou art in full life, so that thy work may be ready when thy life is finished."

Both sides contain two *Rubâ'is* each":—

تاکی ز اصول دین کم رکاست کنی از مطرب سادہ نغمہ درخواست کنی
 اہنگِ نوائی عین کم کن کہ اجل چندان نکذارد کہ نفس راست کنی

"For what length dost thou bid defiance to the fundamentals of the faith, requesting a smooth melody from the musician?"

¹⁰ Besides those mentioned there exist the following two inscriptions of Balban; *viz.* at Kôh, or 'Aligarh, A.H. 652 and at Manglaur in tahsil Rurki, A. H. 683; see A. Führer, *Monumental Antiquities and Inscriptions in the North-Western Provinces and Oudh*, pages 2 and 17.—*Ed. E. I.*

¹¹ See the facsimile No. ii of the right side of this stone on the plate.

"O eye! resign to thy new purpose, for death scarcely allows the soul to become ready."

کر کار برسم صاحب هوش کنی زیبا سخنانِ اهلِ دل کوش کنی
با کس نکنی بدی رنسبت بترکس هر چند بدی کند فراموش کنی

"If thou wilt do thy works as a man of judgment, thou must listen to the words of the wise.

"Do evil to no one and nobody will do evil to thee, or whatever evil they do thee forget it."

خوش آنکه بآلینِ مررت کیشان راحت رسد از روی بدلِ درویشان
از خلقِ نگو همیست با خلقِ خدای نیکی کند و عرضِ بجزید زیشان

"Happy is one by whose generous practice the heart of the poor receives quiet.

"He belongs to the good people, who does well to the creatures of God and gets reward from them."

خواهی از صحرائِ فنا پیمری در ملکِ بقا رسیدن و آسودن
باید سخت بذکر کردی جو خمش خاموشی تر بفکر باید بودن

"If thou wilt pass from the plain of perishableness to the kingdom of eternity and become satisfied,

"Thou must preserve thy words and be like a silent one and always have silence in thy mind."

On the top are inscribed the *Kalima*, *Qorân*, *Sûra* II, 256, and some other short sentences.

Respecting the *Imâm Naşîr*, near whose tomb the inscription has been found, Mr. F. G. Delmerick gives some information in the *Proceedings, As. Soc. Beng.* 1873, May, p. 95.

17. Over the eastern doorway of the enclosure of *Khawāja Khizr's* tomb, a quarter of a mile north of *Sonpat*, is a red sandstone, measuring 7' 1" by 1', containing two lines:—

مرتب شد عمارت دهلیز حظیره میان خواجه خضر بن دریا خان سررانی رحمة الله علیهما يوم الاثنين
الخامس عشر من شهر شوال سنة ثمان عشرين
و تسعمائة فی عهد السلطان العادل البازل الراقی بذیید الرحمان ابر المظفر ابراهیم شاه بن اسکندر شاه
بن بهلول سلطان و سلطانه خلد لتناد²

"The portico of the tomb of *Miyân Khwāja Khizr*, son of *Daryâ Khân Shîrwânî*—may God have mercy upon both!—was erected on Monday the 15th *Shawwâl*, 928 [7th September 1522].

"In the time of the just and liberal king, who relies on the assistance of the Merciful, *Abu-l Muzaffar Ibrâhîm Shâh*, son of *Iskandar Shâh*, son of *Buhlûl*, the king—may his kingdom be perpetuated until the resurrection!"

² The second last words are uncertain.

18. Over the southern doorway of the same tomb is found another inscription of two lines; the stone measures 9' 5½" by 11". It runs—

بعون الله تبارک و تعالیٰ و فضله عمارت کنبد این حظیرہ بندکی میان معظم و مکرم میان خواجه خضر
مرحوم مغفور بن دریا خان بن شیخ المشایخ شیخ احمد بن ملک المشایخ شیخ مندوکی درویش شیروانی
علیہم الرحمة والغفران
مقطع قصبه سونپتہ در عهد حضرت سلطان السلاطین مہمد فواعد الاسلام و الدین ظل الله فی العالمین
الرائق بباييد الرحمن [ابر] المظفر ابراهيم شاه بن سکندر شاه بن بہلول شاه سلطان خلد ملکہ و سلطانہ تمام شد
بفرمایش لکر خان خضر در یانزدہم ماہ رجب قدرہ سنہ ثلاثین و تسعمایہ

“With the help of God, who is blessed and exalted, and by His grace, the building of the vault of this tomb of the great and noble saint, Miyân Khwāja Khizr, the deceased, the pardoned, son of Daryā Khān, son of the Shaikh of Shaikhs, Shaikh Aḥmad, son of the king of Shaikhs, Shaikh Mandūkī Darwēsh of Shirwān—may God have mercy and pardon upon them!—

“The *muqṭi*’ of the town of Sonpath, was completed in the time of his Majesty the king of kings, who confirms the laws of Islām and the faith, the shadow of God in both worlds, who trusts to the aid of the Merciful, Abu-l Muzaffar Ibrāhīm Shāh, son of Sikandar Shāh, son of Buhlūl Shāh, the king—may God perpetuate his kingdom and his reign!—by order of Langar Khān Khizr, on the 15th Rajab—may its honour increase!—930” [19th May 1524].¹³

19. Over the central outer arch of a small *masjid* in the *sarāi* near the dispensary, on a brown sandstone slab (21" by 13"), are two lines. The stone belonged originally to a tomb which is now used as a police rest-house:—

یا الله لا اله الا الله محمد رسول الله یا عابر اعفر رنوبی (sic) یا الله
اس حظیرہ المرحمان برادر محمود خان افغان مقطع قدسہ سریندہ راسب کناوند در عهد بابر نادشاه درم ماہ
سفر سنہ سبع و ثمانیہ

“O God! There is no God, etc. O forgiving! forgive me my sins, O God!

This tomb has been built by 'Alī Khān, brother of Maḥmūd Khān, the Afghān, *muqṭi*’ of the town of Sonpath, in the time of Bābar Pādishāh, on the second of the month Ṣafar 937' [25th September 1530].

'Alī Khān belonged to the Farmulī tribe and is mentioned in Bābar's *Memoirs* as 'Umdat al A'yān 'Alī Khān Shaikhzāda-ya Farmulī, in the battle against Rana Sanka (933); in 935 A.H., he was sent against the rebel Baban (pp. 416, 435, 452). Abu-l-Fazl has inserted the same statements in his *Akbarnāma*.

20. Over the outside of a doorway, leading to the west from the enclosure of Imām Naṣīr, is a sandstone slab (3' 5" by 1' 3") containing 16 hemistichs in four lines:—

بعالم هیچکس زیدسان بنای ندیدہ تا بنای روزگارست
بدرزبان شہنشاہی¹⁴ کہ در میدان مردی شہریارست

¹³ Both inscriptions have been published by Blochmann in the *Proceedings of As. Soc. Beng.* 1873, May, p. 94, *et seq.* Mr. Delmerick there identifies the Khwāja Khizr with the homonymous Khān, who is several times mentioned by Firūzshāh.

¹⁴ Corr. from نمائند

جلال الدین محمد اکبر آن کل که از بستان معنی یادگارست
 جهانگیری که چون خاقان و قیصر غلام و جاکرش مرسوم آرست
 امیری کشته بانی این بنا را که طرح دولت او استوارست
 امیر کشور شوکت علی درست که روزِ رزم چون اسپندبارست
 عدالت پیشه چون او ندیدم که در ملک عدالت شهریارست
 جو جسم از خرد سال بنایش بگفتا نهصد و شست و چهارست

Metre: *Hazaj*.

"In the world nobody has seen such a building since the beginning of time ;

"It suits to the time of a king who is a sovereign on the battle-field of manliness,—

"Jalāladdīn Muḥammad Akbar, the rose, which is a reminder of the spiritual flower garden ;

"A conqueror of the world, who makes (*men*), as the emperor of China and the Cæsar, his accustomed servants and slaves.

"An Amīr was the builder of this building, of whose power the foundation is solid,—

"The chief of the kingdom of the majesty, 'Alī Dōst, who is like Ispandiyār on the day of battle.

"I never saw a practiser of justice like him who is sovereign in the reign of justice.

"When I asked the mind the year of its erection, he said 'It is 961' [began the 11th November 1556].

'Alī Dōst Khān Bārbēgi (master of the ceremonies), son of Hasan 'Alī, is mentioned in the *Akbarnāma* (II, 69), 963 A.H. as one of Tardī Beg's officers in the war against Hēmū. A.H. 951 he is named among other nobles who accompanied Humāyūn to Persia (I, 223). In 981 he was attached to Shihābaddīn Aḥmad Khān; and in 991 he was intrusted with the care of the army and the pay of the soldiers (III, 104). For the last time he is mentioned A.H. 1006 (III, 644). He had a son, Faṭḥ Dōst, who died in 989 (III, 354).

21. Over the south outer arch of the masjid of the Qāzizādas, in the Maḥalla of the Qāzizādas, in the fort of Sonpat, is a rectangular soft sandstone slab, measuring 2' 6" by 10", which contains three lines :—

لا اله الا الله محمد رسول الله

خوشا تعمیر مسجد زیر افلاک صفایی عرش جو خوش بوی عنبر
 زمانی شد بشاهی جان عالم جلال الدین محمد شاه اکبر
 شده تاریخی (sic) بناء او مسلم بامر قاضی احمد با بنیم دگر
 ماه رجب رجب قدره سنه تسع و ستین و تسعمایه

Metre: *Hazaj*.

"There is no God, etc.

"Well ! the repairing of the mosque—the clearness of its roof under the heavens is like fragrant ambergris—

"Was in the time of the kingdom of the soul of the world, Jalāladdīn Muḥammad Akbar Shāh.

"The date of the erection has been intrusted to the command of Qâzî Aḥmad and five others (?).

"In the month of Rajab—may God increase its honour!—A. 969" [began the 7th March 1562].

The Qâzîâdas mentioned in the *Akbarnâma* were all rebels, or *bad-andêsh* (malicious men); one being a partisan of Ma'sûm Khân; another who had the surname *khabîtha-ya Mâwarannahr* (the impure from Transoxania) obeying Abu-l Ma'âlî's command and attempting to murder Mah Chochuk Begum, Prince Muḥammad Hakîm's mother; a third being united with a pretended son of Mirzâ Shâhrukh to murder Qâsim Khân.

22. Over the northern outer arch of the same mosque is a second inscription sculptured on a sandstone (measuring 2' 8" by 18") in five lines:—

لا اله الا الله محمد الرسول الله

بعد شهنشاه شاهجهان که اسلام را زینت و زیب داد

چنان مسجد ساخت قاضی نصر که خلق آورد سوی سجد چکان

چو تاربخش از عقل جستم بکفت که مسجد برای خدا نرهاد

مرتب شد عمارت هذه المسجد المباركة الميمونة في التاريخ يازدهم شهر ربيع الاول سنة الف و ستة و ستين

(Metre: *Mutaqârib*.)

'There is no God, etc.

"In the time of the king of kings, Shâhjahân, who gave ornament and beauty to Islâm, Qâzî Naṣr has repaired the mosque that people might bow the forehead in adoration. When I asked the mind its date, he said 'He has newly built the mosque for God's sake'. The edifice of this blessed and august mosque has been prepared on the 11th of Rabi'al awwal A. 1066" [8th January 1656].

23. In the southern wall, outside Imâm Naṣîr's tomb, is a stone which has been whitewashed so much that the letters of the inscription have been partly ruined. Nor has Imâm Naṣîr's tomb a right to the stone, which originally belonged to a mosque; the dimensions of the inscription, which is in one line, are 3' 8" by 4½". Above the letters there are ornaments in the form of Cufic characters:—

عمارة هذا المسجد بنى العابد الحامل السدد ابى نكر (؟) محمد بن الحسن النضرولى ادام الله نوابهما

فى المحرم سنة احدى وثمانى و الف و مائة

"The edifice of this mosque has been built by the leader, the guardian, the Sayyid Abû Bakr (?) Muḥammad, son of Hasan, the Fuzûlî—may God perpetuate their reward!—in the Muharram of 1181."

The first name of the builder and the two last words are very doubtful; instead of 1100, it may also be 700 or 900.

V.—ROHTAK AND MAHIM.

The cities of Rohtak and Mahim have suffered in general the same fate during the Muhammadan period. In the environs of both are to be found many remains of antiquities, also of Hindu origin; in the vicinity of Rohtak have been excavated coins of

Rāja Samanta Deva from about 920 A.D.¹⁵ These districts had the misfortune to be plundered by every invader who came to the Panjāb, from Maḥmūd of Ghaznī till the present century, so that there are everywhere remains of destroyed villages; they were laid waste by Maḥmūd the Ghaznavide, by Timur, by Shihāb addīn Ghōrī, by Bābar, by Nādir Shāh, by the Marāṭhas, and by the English under General Lake. Now Mahim belongs to the district of Rohtak lying 19 miles north-west of the town of Rohtak; also in former times, before Akbar, both appertained to one *sarkār*, the older name of the tract of country being Hariāna. 'Abbās Khān states in his *Tārīkh-i Shērshāhī* (Elliot-Dowson's *History*, vol. IV, p. 415) that Shēr Shāh 'gave the *sarkār* of Sirhind to *masnad* 'Alī Khawās Khān as *jāgīr*, who kept in that *sarkār* his slave Malik Bhagvant at the capital Dihlī. Miān Aḥmad Khān Sarvānī was *amīr*, and 'Ādil Khān and Hātim Khān *shiqdār* and *faujdar*.' If Dihlī was the capital of Malik Bhagvant's *sarkār*, it is to be supposed that Rohtak and Mahim were also allotted to his province. Under Akbar they were separated: Rohtak fell to the Dihlī *sarkār*, and Mahim to *sarkār* Hīṣār Firōza. The *Ain-i Akbarī* has the following details concerning them: 'Mahim has a fort of burnt brick; 188,080 *bighas*, 4,958,613 *dāms naqdī*, 84,202 *dāms sayurghāl*. The inhabitants were Tunvar Rājput and Jats, 700 horse, 2,000 foot' (I, 527). 'Rohtak has a fort of burnt brick; 636,835 *bighas*, 8,599,270 *dāms naqdī*, 428,000 *dāms sayurghāl*. Jats; 100 horse, 2,000 foot.' (I, 519). At Rohtak Kai Khosrau, grandson of Balban and designated heir of his throne, was murdered by Kai Qobād's emissaries.

Both towns, especially Mahim, bear traces of great importance in past times. The following inscriptions refer to five mosques at Mahim and four at Rohtak.¹⁶ The most important and interesting of the nineteen Rohtak and Mahim inscriptions is No. 33. below.

24. Over the central outer arch of the new mosque in Rohtak, the tomb-stone of Firōz Khān is now placed. It measures 3' 8" by 8", and contains two lines. The letters are partly illegible:—

تمام شد این بقعه شیر نو... [؟] در عهد نیکو [؟] حضرت بابر بادشاه غازی خلد الله ملکه ر سلطانه
روضه بنا [؟] مسند عالی [؟]
فیروز خان بن مسند عالی احمد خان بن جمالخان مردومین بتاریخ دهم ماه ربیع الآخر سنه اربع
و ثلثین و نسمائه

"This tomb ... has been finished in the prosperous time of his Majesty Bābar Bādishāh-i-Ghazī—may God perpetuate his kingdom and his rule!—The tomb of the high *Masnad*

"Firōz Khān, son of the high *Masnad* Aḥmad Khān, son of Jamāl Khān, both defunct, on the 10th Rabī'al awwal 934" [4th December 1527].

Firōz Khān is mentioned in Bābar's *Memoirs* and in the *Akbarnāma*, 932 A.H., as a renowned officer who was generously rewarded along with other men of merit by Bābar after the victory over Ibrāhīm Lōdī. Besides one *krūr* of *tankas*—(according to Bābar's *Memoirs*,—1 *krūr* 46 *lakhs* and 5,000 *tankas*, p. 384) from Jaunpūr, he received some *jāgīr* (*chīzī jāgīr*). The emperor sent him afterwards against Itāwah.

¹⁵ Cunningham's *Reports Archaeological Survey of India*, vol. XVI, p. 136.

¹⁶ It may be remarked incidentally that the mention of Rohtak in the text of the *Tabaqāt-i Nāṣirī*, p. 217, is not correct, as Captain Haverly states in his translation of that work, p. 683, note 7.

25. Over the central outside arch of the mosque in the *garhi*, or fort, of the Pathâns at Rohtak the following inscription of three lines is engraved on a rectangular sandstone—size $16\frac{1}{2}$ by $12\frac{1}{2}$ inches. The *garhi* of the Pathâns is in the north-west of the town, where the road comes in from Hânsî :—

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ مَنْ جَاءَ بِالْحَسَنَةِ فَلَهُ عَشْرُ امْتِثَالِهَا وَمَنْ
جَاءَ بِالسَّيِّئَةِ فَلَا يَحْزَى إِلَّا امْتِثَالِهَا وَمَا تَوْفِيقِي إِلَّا بِاللَّهِ قَالِ عَلَيْهِ السَّلَامُ
مَنْ بَنَى مَسْجِدًا فِي الدُّنْيَا فَلَهُ قَصْرٌ فِي الْجَنَّةِ ٩٦٢٥١ سنه ٩٦٥

“In the name of God the merciful and the compassionate! He who brings a good work shall have ten like it; but he

“Who brings a bad work shall be recompensed only with the like thereof.”¹⁷ My grace does not come through any one but God.¹⁸ He (*the Prophet*), upon whom may God’s blessing be, has said :—

He who builds a mosque in the world will have a castle in Paradise.’ 96,251¹⁹ A. 945’ ” [began the 30th May 1538].

26. On a rectangular sandstone over the central outside arch of the *mosjid* in the Khiâbân Maḥalla, west of the town Rohtak, is a stone, which measures 13 by 12 inches: the inscription is in three lines, and is worn :—

بِعِزِّ اللَّهِ تَعَالَى وَرِسَالَةِ بَعَارَتِ ابْنِ مَسْجِدِ دُرْعِدِ دَوْلَتِ نَصِير مُحَمَّدٌ هَمَائِينَ بَادِشَاهُ غَازِي
خَلَّدَ اللَّهُ مَالَهُ وَرِسَالَتَهُ تَوْفِيقِي يَأْتِ الْعَتَبُ بِرُحَانِ الْجَنَّةِ وَالْدِّينِ
مُحَمَّدٌ جَمَالٌ نَاغُورِي أَجَشْتِي سَنَةِ خُمْسٍ رَافِعِينَ وَنَسْمَاعِي ٩٦٥

“With the aid of God almighty and His apostle for the erection of this mosque in the time of the reign of Nasir Muḥammad Humâyûn Bâdishâh-i Ghâzî—

“May God perpetuate his kingdom and his reign!—found grace the poor, chief of the Paradise and the faith,

“Muḥammad Jamâl of Nâgôr, the Chishtî. In the year 945” [began the 30th May 1538].

The inscription being much defaced some words are doubtful. Humâyûn’s common title *nasir addin* does not certainly occur here, though the word after *nasir* is not clear.

The Chishtîs are a well-known family of Muhammadan saints. There exist shrines of divers members of it in several places. Chishtî saints—*khwâjagân-i chisht* in the inscription on the tombstone of Princess Jahânârâ at Dihlî (*Proceedings of the Asiatic Society, Bengal*, for November 1872, p. 170)—are mentioned in the following published inscriptions :—Qutb addin Khân Chishtî, commonly known as Shaikh Khûbû (Blochmann, *Atin-Translation*, p. 496), the foster brother of Shâh Jahângîr, in *Proceedings, A. S. B.*, for December 1873, p. 199; Shaikh Faiz Allâh Chishtî, *ib.*, p. 200; Shaikh Muḥammad ibn Shaikh Maḥmûd Chishtî, *Proceedings, A. S. B.*, for April 1877, p. 94.

At Nâgôr, the birth-place of Muḥammad Jamâl of our inscription, was a Chishtî shrine.²⁰ A contemporary and fellow-countryman of his was Shaikh Mubârak, the

¹⁷ *Qordân*, Sûr. vi, 161 (E. H. Palmer’s translation).

¹⁸ *Qordân*, Sûr. xi, 90.

¹⁹ There follows a group like a rupee-sign turned upside down.

²⁰ See Cunningham’s *Archæological Survey of India, Reports*, vol. XIII, p. 51.

father of the poet Shaikh Faizî and of Abu'l Fazl, but in the year 945 Shaikh Mubârak had already left Nâgôr.

27. Over the central outer arch of a mosque at Gaokarân, about half a mile west of Rohtak, a red sandstone (3' 2" by 2' 5") contains three lines with one line going round the stone as a border. The stone is getting worn partly by the weather and partly by copies being taken from it, but the inscription is quite legible. In the midst of the stone the period of the erection has been engraved in a square در در جلال الدین محمد اکبر (in the time of Jalâl addin Muḥammad Akbar)²¹—

مست جان درویش سپهرِ مکرمت کشته از جان بنده فرمانِ حق
کرده از جان بفعه خیرالامور شد از آن رخشا و قابل آن حق
سوی آن مسجد هر آن کس رفته شد عرقِ بحرِ لطف بایبی (۹) نای حق
راغدی نارنج این مسجد نوشت کفت در کو معبدِ خاصانِ حق

Metre: *Ramal*.

"The Darwêsh Mast jān, the heaven of benignity, has gone out of life a slave of the order of God ;

"He has made his soul a temple of good works, he became happy by it, and a receiver of the time of God (of eternity).

"Every man who has come to this mosque shall get a drop of the ocean of the kindness of God's banquet. (?)

"Zâhidî (or 'a *hermit*') wrote the date of this mosque ; he said, ' Say " A temple of God's favourites " . ' "

The letters of the *târîkh* give 966 { began 14th October 1558 }

28. A loose stone standing on a grave in the graveyard near the Dak Bangla or Rohtak, on the west side of the Dilli road, bears an inscription of three lines. its dimensions are 17" by 16". On the left side the last letters of each line and the border have been lost :

سید شمس الدین سید
در سال ۹۷۳ هجری قمری
سید شمس الدین سید []

"Shamsî-êr Khân has made with the favour of God the vault over the tomb of Pîr Sâfi-Dil 'Â...975 H. is the year" [began 8th July 1567].

Shamshêr Khân was *shiqqîâr* of *pargana* Rohtak, A. H. 973, as we learn from inscription No. 43. His name occurs several times in the *Akbarnâma*. In 987 he was *Khudâjarsâi* and was sent to Bihâr to quell the disturbances which had broken out there, and to Bengal, together with Râi Purukhôt, Maulânâ Tayyib, and Shaikh Najm addin (III, 284). When a rebellion arose in the same year at Pâtna, Shamshêr Khân was despatched to Banâras (III, 286). In 991 he was one of the four judges who were named for the four " meadows " of the empire, the others being Râja Bîr Bar, Qâsim 'Alî Khân, and Ilakîm Humâm (III, 405). Later he seems to have revolted, for it is related that in 1008 the besiegers of Aḥmadnagar received ' the joyful tidings ' of his death.²²

²¹ The border contains the passage from the *Qorân*, Sûra ii, 256.

²² According to a notice on the inscription, a Shamshêr Khân lies buried at Batûla, I know nothing about him.

29. In the wall of the Dogawalli masjid in the north-east of the town on a soft red sandstone (19" by 16") is an inscription of four lines which has been so much eaten away by the weather that the decipherment is almost an impossibility. But the year of erection and the name of the king are legible. All I can read runs:—

اسمہد [ان لا اله الا الله محمد رسول الله]
 ثانی انعموا الله در عهد ملک حلال الدین محمد اکبر بادشاہ غازی
 حلد الله ملکہ
 سنہ ۹۷۱

"The builder...by the grace of God in the time of the reign of Jalâl addin Muham-mad Akbar *Iâdîshâh-i-Ghâzî*,—may God perpetuate his kingdom!...anno 979" [began 26th May 1571].

The year is perhaps 972, instead of 979.

30. A sandstone partly cylindrical and partly octagonal, each face measuring 19 by 2½", in a graveyard on the west of the fort of Rohtak, near the western gate of the fort, bears an inscription of nine lines. The rubbing does not permit the decipherment of the fourteen engraved hemistichs; the first and last lines are the same, they contain the date—*sana* 998 (began 10th November 1589). On a brown sandstone (2' by 6") over the gateway of the same graveyard has been engraved, *Sûra* xlviii, 1.

31. In the south of Rohtak, near the Government school, is a tomb the pillars of which are connected by eight lintels of red sandstone, each measuring 4' 2½" by 9". The single lintels have been inscribed with the following sentences from the *Qurân*: *Bismillâh*, *Sûra* ii, 256-59 incl., *Kalima*, *Sûra* cxii. No details of the date or of the builder are found.

32. Over the Dhôbî Gate in the *Makalla* of Wazîr Khân, is a sandstone (18" by 17") containing an inscription of four lines:—

بعون الله تعالى و رسوله بناء ابن عمارت در عهد دولت
 و خلافت ابروالفتح شہاب الدین محمد صاحب فران ثانی
 شاہ جهان بادشاہ غازی خلد الله ملکہ باہم نام خادم المرآ
 پیرخان ابن سرخان سرورا بانمام رسبد فی عرو ماه محرم سنہ ۱۰۴۴

"With the favour of God Almighty and His apostle, the erection of this building in the time of the reign

"And the Khalifat of Abu-l Fath Shihâb addin Muhammad, the second *Shâhib-qirân*²³ (Timûr)

"*Shâhjahân Bâdîshâh-i-Ghâzî*—may God perpetuate his kingdom!—by the endeavour of the slave of the...

"Pîr Khân, son of *Sarkhân*, the *Sarwâ*[nî ?] has been finished. In the beginning of the month Muharram A. 1044" [began 27th January 1634].

33. About half a mile from the Khâna, on the Rohtak road to Mahim, is the tomb of Jamâl Khân. The door has fallen in, but the walls are well built, and will stand many

²³ Elsewhere *Shâhjahân* is named ثانی صاحب فران a phrase which is to be interpreted *Thânî-yî Shâhibqirân*, see Rückert-Pertsch, *Grammatik, Poetik und Rhetorik der Perser*, p. 240, note 1, and Teufel, *Z. D. M. G.*, vol. XXXVIII (188), p. 253, note. Titles such as *Thânî-yî Iskandar-i-âdurân* or *Thânî-yî Iskandar-i Zâl qarnain* and others occur very often

years yet. A red sand-stone (size 14 by 10½ inches) fixed over the south arch of the tomb, bears the following important inscription :—

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم لا اله الا الله محمد رسول الله
 در عهد دولت سلطان السلاطين جلال الدين محمد اكبر پادشاه عازي
 مقبل الرحمن جمال خان بن منصور روضه توفيق يافت راست كنانيد جمال خان را اميد نواب كه
 يابد من تاريخ ذى القعدة (؟) سنه الف و مائة

“In the name, etc. There is no god, etc.

“In the time of the reign of the king of the kings, Jalāl-addīn Muḥammad Akbar Pādishāh-i-Ghāzī,

“The friend of the Merciful, Jamāl Khān, son of Maṣṣūr, found grace to build this tomb. Jamāl Khān has hope that he will obtain reward. In the *Zil'qu'du* A. 1100 ” [began the 17th August 1689].

This inscription is unique, as no other mention of Muḥammad Akbar, son of Aurangzēb, has till now been found. Prince Akbar proclaimed himself emperor, assisted by the Rajpūts, in 1089, and attempted to maintain the assumed title until 1100, when he fled to Persia. There exist no coins of his. The foregoing inscription shows that he adopted the *jalis* name of his great predecessor, whose name he bore. It is highly interesting to find a document of the rebel king's son in a place lying so far north as Mahim, because Prince Akbar fled to the Marāṭhas in the beginning of his insurrection and always lived in the Dekhan.²⁴

34. In the north wall of the enclosure of the mosque of the Pīrzādas is a red sandstone, measuring 19" by 16", which bears an inscription of five lines :—

در عهد حصرت دولت (sic) سهند شاه عالم بدها طهرالدین محمد دهر
 عازي پادشاه خلد الله ملكه و سلطانده بنده در ده مهري
 الفقير حقد ر جائير سفر اعا ابن شعبه سدر و ساكن حصار ندماني
 بتوفيق الله تعالى توفيق بافت مسجد در مصده مهم راس كنانيد حق تعالى
 محاب و مستجاب كرداند نمنه و فضله بناريم نهم ماه ربيع الاول سنه سب و نلندن و نسه نده

“In the time of the reign of his Majesty the king of kings, the refuge of the world Zahiraddīn Muḥammad Bābar

“*Ghāzī Bādishāh*—may God perpetuate his kingdom and his reign!—the slave of the court of the sun,

“The poor, base Janyu Sunu Āghā, son of Shaikh Sunu, an inhabitant of the town of the joy,


“Through the grace of God Almighty found grace to build²⁵ the mosque of the town of Mahim—May God Almighty

“Make him accepted and favoured through His kindness and His wisdom!—On the fifth Rabi' al awwal 934” [7th November 1529]

²⁴ It is not impossible, as I now see that the last word is *samān* and that the inscription belongs to Akbar himself

²⁵ *Rav or rāst kaminidan* with the meaning ‘to build,’ occurs several times in the inscriptions. In 19 and 33 *rāst* has distinctly been engraved.





35. On an arch over the pulpit of the Jāmi' Masjid in the centre of the town, is an inscription. It is inside the arch on the east side, so that no one can see it without entering the arch and crouching. The stone is a red sandstone; it measures 3' 1" by 2' 2½" and consists of five lines²⁶:—

در عهد دولت سلطان السلاطین ظل الله فی العالمین مرئوس السرور السلطنة
والخلافة حصرت محمد همامین ناساه عاری حلاله ملکه و سلطانه را علی امره و سانه حصرت معزول
الدارین نکه بکم سلطان سرینده الدین کردنه درگاه امیر نادکار طعاه بومدی الله تعالی
بومدی نامب مسجد جامع قصه مهم راس کنانند الله تعالی محاب و مسجاب
کرداند نمده و فصله و کمال کرمه بداریم هعیم ماه رحب سده سنع و بلنس و بسعماه

"In the time of the reign of the king of the kings, the shadow of God in both worlds, the adorned of the throne of kingdom

"And Khalifat, his Majesty Muḥammad Humāyūn *Pādishāh-i-Ghāzī*—may God perpetuate his kingdom and his reign and exalt his condition and dignity!—his highness the admitted

"To the palace of Baga Bêgum Sultān,²⁷ the worthy of the faith, the chosen of the court Amīr Yādgar Ṭaghāi, by the grace of God Almighty

"Found grace to build the mosque of the town of Mahim—may God Almighty make him accepted and favoured

"Through His kindness and His wisdom and His perfect liberality!—On the 7th Rajab 937 [24th February 1531]."

Respecting Yādgar Ṭaghāi the *Akbarnāma* furnishes the following information: One of his girls (according to II, 243 a grand-daughter) gave birth to Prince Alamān, son of Humāyūn, anno 934 (I, 113)²⁸; and she most probably is the Baga Bêgum Sultān of our inscription. She made a pilgrimage to Makka and Madina in 972 (II, 244), whence she returned in 975 (II, 329). This journey may probably have been why she was styled Hājī Bêgum. Afterwards she lived at Dihli, and was in 981 welcomed with great honours by Akbar, who loved her much because she had cured him as a child of six years of vehement tooth-ache by a potent drug (III, 77). Akbar visited her, anno 989, at Dihli (III, 373). She died in the same year (III, 375). The Hājī Bêgum, who with other Bêgums made the pilgrimage in 983, was probably not Prince Alamān's mother, who then was too old for such a toilsome journey. Blochmann mentions Hājī Bêgum in his *Ain*-translation, but he is in error about her descent. In the *Akbarnāma*, II, 243 the words *dukhtar-i-Ṭaghāi* are to be understood 'a daughter of (Yādgar) Ṭaghāi.'

Over the *mihṛāb* in the western wall of the mosque a sandstone (8' 5" by 10½") is fixed which contains in two lines *Qorān*, Sūra lxii, 1—8. Round the inside of an arch over the *mihṛāb* runs the *Kalima* and *Qorān*, Sūra, iii, 16-17 (to *al islāmu*).

The Jāmi' mosque was repaired according to inscription No. 39 in A.H. 1078, by Aurangzēb's order.

36. On a rectangular sandstone—size 21 by 13 inches—originally belonging to the old mosque of the butchers, now fixed over the central outer arch of the new masjid at

²⁶ See the facsimile reproduction No. iv on the accompanying plate.

²⁷ The words *mayhūl addārīn Baga Bêgum Sultān saz [d] yida addīn* are perhaps uncertain.

²⁸ Bābar mentions in his *Memoirs* the chronogram for the birth of the prince invented by Shaikh Abn-i Wāḥid, p. 350. According to the *Memoirs*, Alamān's mother was a daughter of Yādgar Ṭaghāi. For Bābar's not agreeing with the name of Alamān see p. 451 (Pavet de Courteille, tome II, p. 363).

Mahim (finished in 1857 A.D.), is the following inscription of three lines, which is considerably worn by exposure to the weather:—

در عهد دولت شهنشاه عالم بداه حضرت محمد هماين بادشاه
 خلد الله ملكه عمارت هذه المسجد نوبقى يادى
 قصابان قصبة مهم راس كداند بدربخ ماه شعبان سنه اثني و اربعين و تسعمه

"In the time of the reign of the king of kings, the refuge of the world, His Majesty Muhammad Humâyûn Bâdishâh—

"May God perpetuate his kingdom!—..... found grace to build the edifice of this mosque of the butchers of the city of Mahim. During the month of Sha'bân of the year 942" [began the 25th January 1536].

As some of the letters appear to be broken, it is not possible to decipher the whole inscription. A butcher's mosque is also mentioned at Nâgôr.³⁹ The mosque was rebuilt in Aurangzeb's time, see inscription No. 40.

37. The rectangular sandstone that bears the following inscription is no longer *in situ*. It is now fixed over the outer doorway of the court of the ruined mosque of the Qassâyan³⁹ at Mahim. The inhabitants know that it is not in its original place; they say it belonged to a mosque in a quarter of the town called Daulatpûr, the Daulatâbâd of the inscription. The size of the stone which is yet entire is 4' by 11½:—

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم انا فتحنا سبيدا كساد داه شادي همبسه ابن دراه سحر اشهد ان لا اله الا الله
 در عهد دولت تاند كحضرت سلعماني سدر شاه حسن سر سلطان بوندى دولت بداه ابن مسجد
 و مقام دولاباد يوسف ابن حسن سر سند عالي سراجان
 باميد ثواب حدثت نبوي من بني مسند بنى الله تعالى له قصر فى الحقد والحدان ابد
 الله تعالى فى الدنيا و رفته الله تعالى الى اعلى الامم والاحسان
 فى الحادي والعشرين من شهر المبارك المكرم شهر رمضان سنه نهصد جهل نه بود كه ميسر رسانيد
 الله اعلم الشعار

"In the name of the merciful and compassionate God! Verily, we have given thee an obvious victory." May this liberal door always be opened with joy! I testify that there is no god but God.

"In the time of the reign of the king, powerful as Salomo, Shêr Shâh, the son of Hasan Sûr, Yûsuf ibn Hasan Sûr, the high Sayyid (surnamed) Daulatkhan, found grace to erect this mosque and the place of Daulatâbâd,

"In the hope of the reward of the prophet's saying 'He who builds a mosque will have a castle built for him by God Almighty in Paradise, and as for the merciful, God Almighty gives to him long life in the world and protects him for his justice and beneficence.'

It was on the 21st of the blessed and venerable month, month Ramazân of the year 949 [29th December 1542], when it became ready. God is the generous and the omniscient.'

³⁹ Cunningham's *Reports of Archaeological Survey of India*, vol. XXIII, p. 51.

⁴⁰ See facsimile No. iii, on the plate at p. 138. *Qassâi* and *qassâb* occur in Hindûstânî (Shakespeare); see No. 40.

⁴¹ *Qorân Sûr.* xlviii, 1.

It is known that Shêr Shâh built numerous mosques and *kārawānsarâis*, but only very small remains of them have come down to our day. Besides his stately mausoleum at Sahsaram there exist some ruins of his time at Dillî.³² If I am right, the above inscription is the second of Shêr Shâh's reign that is known, the first being published by Blochmann in the *Proceedings of the Asiatic Society, Bengal*, for May 1874, p. 105 (947 A.H.)

Yusuf, the builder of the mosque at Mahim, was one of the seven brothers of Shêr Shah (*Tārīkh-i Shērshāhī*, in Elliot-Dowson's *History*, vol. IV, p. 310). He may be the same who built in 958 H. a door to the shrine of Khwāja Quṭb addīn (*Journal Asiatique*, Vème Série, tome XVI, 426).

38. In the south wall of the enclosure of the masjid of the Pīrzâdas (No. 34) is a sandstone, measuring 17" by 6", which bears three lines:—

در عهد دولت حصرة ددكان خلافت بده سلطان
شهاب الدین محمد صاحب مہمان دینی شاہ جهان بادشاہ غازی خد
خلاند اندا بونفق دامت سنج دلمر ابن سیم الهداد عالمی سده الف و خمسمین واحد

"In the time of the reign of his Majesty the slave (*of God*), the asylum of the Khalifat, the king

"Shihâbaddīn Muhammad, the second lord of the conjunction, Shâhjahan, *Bâdishâh-i Ghazi*—may (*God*) perpetuate

"His Khalifat for ever!—Shaikh Nâsir, son of Shaikh Ilâhdâd of Mahim, found grace A. 1051" [began 12th April 1641].

Khâfî Khan, in his *Ma'atkhâb allahab* (I, 550) and 'Abd al Hamîd in the *Bâdishâh-nâma* (I, 2, 337) have each inserted an account of Shaikh Nâsir, whom they mention among other saints and learned men of Shâhjahan's time.³³ Both authors evidently made use of the same sources, Khâfî Khan citing the *Shahjahan-nâma*.³⁴ I extract the following:—His original name was Nâsir Muhammad; he was born at Ajmir, and lived afterwards in Gujarat. He made many voyages, and at different times attended the emperor. Always, night and day, he was clothed in mail and with a garment of cotton, and he perpetually bore arms. Besides his daily alms, he gave, if urged, all his property to poor men, even the horses and elephants which he had received from the king, and was content if he could get a fire of straw and wood in the open air to care for his own body, eating roots and leaves. Many wonders were effected by him. He was a disciple of Sayyid Ahmad, son of Sayyid Raffaddīn, son of Sayyid Ja'far, from Suraz.

39. On the south outer arch of the façade of the Jâmi' masjid is a red sandstone (3' x 1' 11½"), bearing nine lines:—

در عهد سعادت مہد حد و مد زمیں
و زمان خدبو دین و مکان سلطان
السلطین طال اللہ فی العالمین محمد اور نکرزب

³² See Garcin de Tassy: 'Description des monuments de Delhi en 1852 d'après le texte hindoustani de Sayyid Ahmad Khân,' *Journal Asiatique*, Vème Série, tome XVI, *passim*, e.g. pages 192 (No. xvii), 426 (No. lvi), and 428 (No. lviii).

³³ The printed text of 'Abd al Hamîd has Shaikh Nâzir.

³⁴ Many histories of Shâhjahan's reign are so entitled.

بہادر عالم کیر بادشاہ غازی خلد اللہ ملکہ
 و سلطانہ تعمیر مسجد جامع قصبہ مہم حسب الحکم اقدس
 باہتمام بندہ درگاہ خواجه رحمت اللہ بانمام رسید
 اللہ تعالیٰ مجاب و مستجاب کرداناد بوند و فصلہ
 فی التاریخ ہفدہم شہر محر الحرام سنہ ثمان و
 سبعین و الف ہجری و سنہ عاشر جلوس مبارک

“In the happiness-spreading reign, extended over all the earth

And the time of the master of authority and dignity, the king

“Of kings, the shadow of God in both worlds, Muḥammad Aurangzêb

Bahâdur 'Âlamgîr Bâdîshâh-i-Ghâzî—may God perpetuate his kingdom

“And his reign!—the repairing of the Jâmi' masjid of the town of Mahim, according to the holy order,

“Was finished through the endeavour of the slave of the court, Khwâja Raḥmat Allâh—

“May God Almighty make him accepted and favoured through His kindness and wisdom!—

“On the 17th of the month Muḥarram, the holy, A.H. 1078 [9th July 1667], in the tenth year after the blessed accession to the throne.”

Sarbuland Khân Khwâja Raḥmat Allâh was a man of great consequence under Shâhjahân and Aurangzêb, and died in 1090. But, as Sarbuland Khân received this title, which is omitted in the inscription, in the 30th year of Shâhjahân (*Maâsir al Umarâ*, II, 478), *i. e.* 1066, and as he was in 1078 in the Dakkan with Prince Mu'azzam, whence he came back in 1080, it does not seem probable that both were the same person. A homonymous person is named as a commander of 800 soldiers and 200 horsemen under Shâhjahân (*Bâdshâhnâma*, II, 741).

40. The following inscription is engraved on a red sandstone (size : 11 by 7 inches), now lying on a heap of bricks in the ruins of the former mosque of the Qassâyân at Mahim; the whole is in a bad condition and the stone will probably get lost in a short time. The inscription contains eight lines, and is poorly cut:—

بسم اللہ الرحمن الرحیم
 در عہد دولت و زمان (?)
 ظل سبحانی ابو الطفر
 محیی الدین محمد اورنگ زیب
 بادشاہ غازی خلد اللہ ملکہ
 و سلطانہ مسجد را قضاہ
 جہن کیر (?)

ترتیب کرد در شہر رمضان سنہ ساعدی و الف

“In the name of the merciful and compassionate God. In the time of the reign and the life of the divine shadow Abu'l Zafar, Muḥyiddîn Muhammad Aurangzêb

Bādishâh-i-Ghâzi—May God perpetuate his kingdom and his reign! — the mosque of the butchers . . . was rebuilt. In the month of *Ramazan* 1090" [that month began the 6th October 1679].

The two last lines being hopelessly worn, the name of the restorer is not legible; the year may perhaps have been *sab'in*. It is interesting to learn from this inscription that the butchers' masjid erected in 912 H. (Inscription No. 36) was repaired a century and a half afterwards.

41. An inscription of five lines is engraved on a rectangular red sandstone (size 17½ by 14 inches) over the northern outer arch of the mosque of Daula Khân in the *garhi* of the Pathâns in Mahim. The building being in a critical condition, the inscription, till lately safe, is now in danger of getting lost:—

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم	لا اله الا الله محمد رسول الله
يا الله يا فتاح	يا محمد يا نبي الله
ابن عمارت رب رب كريم	بائنس درله خان و الاشغال
پدرش بخندار ناموری	معرفت دسدها درنا خان
سال اسم را بعد از نمبر	مسجد معبدہ بخان درخان

"In the name of the merciful and compassionate God. There is no god but God, and Muḥammad is the apostle of God.

"O God! O opener!

"This edifice for the merciful God"

"His father (*was*) a celebrated Bakh-

tiyâr,

The year of the completion—name with

wisdom and discernment:

"O Muḥammad! O apostle of God!

"Its builder (*was*) Daula Khân of ele-

vated rank;

"Daryâ Khân whose sins are forgiven.

"*Masjid [u] miqbara ze Ahan* 'mosque

and tomb (*are built*) by the Khân.' "

The letters of the *tûrikh* give 1108 [began 31st July 1696)].

42. Daula Khân, the builder of the mosque of the preceding inscription, erected a tomb for himself in the same year as the masjid. It is now a ruin, and the inscription on its wall being engraved on sandstone (size 2' 8" by 1') will be destroyed when it falls. It runs in four lines—

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم لا اله الا الله محمد رسول الله ببدء درگاه رحمانی
 درگاه خان محمدی مرید کبلائی دانستم که چهار بار در حق این دندله همه فانی و فانی باقت
 در هدام زندانی این معده ساز ساخت از لطف ربانی در عهد اورنگ رب
 دانسته طل سلطانی داریم ۱۴ شهر رمضان المبارک سنه یک هزار و یکصد و چهارده هجری باهنام استا
 ابو ولد طیب معمار

'In the name, etc. There is no god, etc. The slave of the court of the Merciful Daula Khân Muḥammadî Murîd of Gilân—

"I knew that the four friends (*the Khalifs*) are over this wholly perishable world —found grace

"In his lifetime to erect this burial-place with the favour of the Lord, during the reign of Aurangzêb

"Bâdishâh, the shadow of God. On the 14th of the month *Ramazân*, the blessed A.H. 1114 [1st February 1703]. Through the effort of the architect *Ustâ Abâ Valad Tayyib*."

The inserted *Sunnî* dogma in the first person seems to be an allusion to the formula *ashhadu an lâ ilâha illallâh*, etc.,

VI.—KHÔKARAKÔT (کھوکرو کوٹ)

43. Khôkarakôt is a village in ruins situated to the north of Rohtak. Over a gateway of the village, built by Shamsheer Khân, a stone was placed, which has been dug up out of the ruins and is now in the Museum at Lahore.³⁶ Its dimensions are 2' 2" by 1' 7½" and it bears a bilingual inscription, three lines in Persian and five lines in Hindi.³⁷ The Persian text runs—

در آیام سلطنت بندگان حضرت جلال الدین محمد اکبر بادشاه غازی
خلد الله ملکه ابد رفعتہ فی جنات ابا شمشیر خان شقدار پرکنہ رھتک عمارت
این دروزہ بنیاد نہاد فی شهر المبارکۃ رمضان سنہ نہصد و سہ و ہفتاد باتمام رسید

"In the days of the empire of the slave (*of God*), his Majesty Jalâl addîn Muḥammad Akbar Bâdishâh-i-Ghâzî—

"May God perpetuate his kingdom for ever (*and*) his highness in Paradise!—Abâ Shamsheer Khân, the *shiqqdâr* of *pargana* Rohtak,

"Has laid the foundation of this gateway. In the blessed month *Ramazân* anno 973 it has been finished" [began 22nd March 1566].

The words *raf'atahu fi junnât Abâ* are not certain. There is no doubt that the Shamsheer Khân of this inscription and of No. 28 are the same persons.

VII.—BOHM.

44. The village of Bohm (برہم) is 4 miles east of Rohtak on the road to Dihli. In the east wall of the tomb of one Maḥmûd of Bohm, a stone (3' 9½" by 9") has been recently fixed which contains an inscription of three lines not relative to its present place. The passage from the *Qorân*, Sûra ii, 256, is followed by these words:—

کاجحرف ابراہیم عرف مواسلختی فرمایش علی قاضی بہایخان

"The writer (*was*) Ibrâhîm, known as Muwâsâkhânî, by the order of 'Alî Qâzî Bahâûkhân."

VIII.—HISÂR FIRÔZA.³⁸

45. From a mosque outside the Dihli gate, situate in the Sarâi Nathûâ Bhaṭṭyârâ (a baker). Four lines on a stone 2' 5" by 1' 3".

³⁶ See the facsimile No. v, at p. 149.

³⁷ The Hindi inscription, as Professor G. Bühler informs me, is not quite intelligible. But it is of the same year, A. D. 1566. The date is *Saivat* 1623, *Vaisâkha vadî* 15, which corresponds according to Dr. Schram's approximate tables to the 20th April 1566, if the year is taken as an ended northern (*purnimânta*) Vikrama-year; the 20th April was the last of *Ramazân*. Akbar, Shamsheer Khân and the gate are all mentioned in the Hindi version.

³⁸ For a short historical account of the town of Hisâr Firôza, see Blochmann, *Proceedings of As. Soc. Beng.* 1877, April, p. 92. ff., where some of the following inscriptions have been published.

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم قال النبي عليه السلام من بني مسجدًا لله بني الله تعالى له بيتًا في الجنة
بعد توفيق الله الملك العلام و برکت حضرت رسالت عم
در عهد میمون و دولت ایام افزون خدایگان ناصر جهان عادل الزمان سلطان الهند و الخراسان رافع
رايات المجاهدات و المغاري محمد همایون پادشاه غازي خلد الله ملكه
و خلافته و ابد على العالمين عدله و رافته بنا کرد و مزین گردانید این مسجد مرغوب بعمارت خرب بمرفعات
حضرت معبود بنده امیدوار رحمت پروردگار المستعین بالله³⁰ القوي الرحمان نظر قلی ابن شاه قلیخان
المعروف نظامالدين جانم ترکمان زادالله تعالى ما اعطاه و بلغه الى ما يتمناه بحرمه⁴⁰ [سيد] الابرار
والاخيار مورخا في الرابع من شهر شعبان ختمه الله بالظفر و الامان سنه ٩٣٩ كاتبه عرف عبدالله يوسف احمد
بن ركن الدين

"In the name of the merciful and compassionate God! The prophet—upon whom be peace!—says, 'He who builds a mosque for God, will have a house built for him by God Almighty in Paradise.' By the grace of God, the omniscient king, and the blessing of the Lord of prophethood—on whom be peace!—

"In the auspicious time, and the day-increasing reign of the sovereign, the helper of the world, the just one of the age, the king of India and Khorāsān, who raises the standard of holy strife and war, Muḥammad Humāyūn, *Pādishāh-i-Ghāzī*—may God perpetuate his reign

"And his khalifat and extend over both worlds his justice and his compassion! this fine mosque was built and adorned, in beautiful structure, in order to please the Lord, who is adored by the slave who hopes in the mercy of the All-nourisher, who seeks help from God, the strength of the merciful, Nazar Qulī, son of Shāh Qulī Khān,

"Who is known as Nizām addīn Jānym, the Turkmān—may God increase what He has given him, and bring him to what he desires for the honour of the chief of the pious and the saints!—Dated 4th Sha'bān—may God allow the month to end in victory and security!—anno 939 [1st March 1533]. The writer of these letters is 'Abdallāh Yūsuf Aḥmad, son of Ruknaddīn."

46. The inscription from the Jāmi' mosque of Hīṣār consists of nine lines, and measures 1' 11" by 1' 5". The last line is written very closely, and is almost undecipherable on the rubbing, so that I can only accept Mr. Blochmann's readings, whose translation I have also adopted, so far as I approve of it,

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم و ان المساجد لله فلا تدعوا مع الله احداً و انه لما قام عبدالله يدعو كادوا
يكونون عليه لبداً قال عليه السلام من بني لله مسجدًا ينبغي به وجه الله بنى الله له في الجنة مثله
تمام شد این مسجد در ایام دولت شهنشاه الاعظم و الخاقان المعظم مالک رقاب طرایف الامم من الهند و التترک
و العرب و العجم السلطان الفاضل الكامل الرلي الرالي و الخاقان العادل العلي العالي الذي رجب اطاعته
طاعة الله و رسوله بحکم اطيعوا الله و اطيعوا الرسول و اولی الامر منكم حافظ بلاد الله
ناصر عباد الله رافع رايات المجاهدات و المغازي محمد همایون پادشاه غازي خلد الله تعالى

³⁰ By no means السلتي

⁴⁰ Added by Blochmann.

ملکه رفی بحار اللطف اجری فلک بسعی جناب سعادت فرجام ریدۃ فضلا الانام نتیجۃ (امراء العظام
امیر محمد بن

عالیجناب امارت مآب مملکت پناه نظام الدین بیوک مدرت بن جناب المغفور المبرور خوشکبدی ...

بن جناب مغفرت مآب ... "فردوس مکانی [؟]"

شد بنای مسعدی بهر خدا اندر حصار کو رفیع القدر آمد همچو کبدان سر بلند

پس کہ عالی قدر کبدان ہیئت و مرزور فزاد هرکه دد اندک اورا طرح این مسجد پسند

چون پسند آمد تمام اهل دل [؟] تاریخ از باب . . . رحمت کعبه اند

کاتبه و قایله نظام . . . سنه ۹۴۲

"In the name of the merciful and compassionate God! [*Qorân*, *Sûra lxxii*, 18, 19] 'the mosques are God's.' You should not call on any one with God, and 'when God's servant stood up to pray they (*the jîns*) called out to him and well-nigh crowded upon him.' The prophet—upon whom be peace!—says, 'He who builds a mosque for God desiring thereby God's honour, will have one like it built for him by God in Paradise.

"This mosque was finished in the days of the reign of the great king of kings, the exalted prince, the master of the necks of crowds of nations among the Indians, Turks, Arabs, and Persians, the accomplished Sultân, the perfect, the chief, the ruler, the just prince, the high, the exalted, whom to obey is as necessary as to obey God and the Prophet, according to (*Qorân*, *Sûra iv*, 62) 'Obey God, and obey the Apostle and those in authority amongst you,' the guardian of God's countries, the helper of God's servants, who raises the standard of holy strife and war, Muḥammad Humâyûn, *Bâdîshâh-i Ghâzî*—may God Almighty perpetuate his kingdom and guide his ship in the seas of His favour!—through the exertion of the auspicious dignitary, the cream of the accomplished among men, the issue of great Amirs, Amir Muḥammad, son of the distinguished noble, the meritorious Nizâmaddîn Beg Mirak, son of the pardoned and purified Khushgeldî, son of his highness, whose sins are forgiven

(Metre: *Ramal*). "A mosque has been built in Hîşâr for the sake of God, which is as high in dignity as the seventh heaven.

"Because it is high in dignity, and has the aspect of the seventh heaven, and has turned out well-adjusted, every one who saw it has approved of the style of this mosque. Because all people of sense approved of it, its chronogram is

"Its writer and composer is Nizâm A. 942" [began 2nd July 1535].

The father of the builder was already, in Bâbar's time, an officer of Prince Humâyûn (Bâbar's *Memoirs*, p. 402), who afterwards employed him during his own reign in several situations. In 942, the year of the above inscription, he appointed him commander of the fort of Chanâr, then just conquered (*Akbarnâma*, I, 151). In the report of the victory gained over Rana Sanka (Bâbar's *Memoirs*, pp. 410 ff.) ten grantees bear the title Nizâmaddîn, but that was probably not their proper title, and is only a declamatory addition of the learned composer of the letter.

^a I do not think that these words are correct.

47. The ruins of Ulugh Beg's mosque are near the Commissariat in the town of Hisâr. The inscription consists of five lines; it measures 1' 4" by 2':—

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ قَالَ عَلَيْهِ السَّلَامُ مَنْ بَنَى لِلَّهِ مَسْجِدًا
دَعَا بِهِ رَجَاهُ اللَّهِ تَعَالَى بَنَى إِلَهُ لَهُ بَيْتًا مِثْلَهُ فِي الْجَنَّةِ دَر عَهْدِ
مِیْمُونِ ر دَوْلَتِ افزونِ حَصْرَتِ سُلْطَانِ السُّلَاطِیْنِ نَاصِرِ الدُّنْیَا ر
الدِّیْنِ رَافِعِ رَابَاتِ اَلْمَحَاضِدَةِ رِ الْمَغَازِیِ مُحَمَّدِ هَمایِیْنِ بَادِشَاهِ غَاضِیِ حِلْدِ خَلِافَتِهِ بَانِبِهِ
بِدَوْنِیِ اَللّٰهُ اَلصَّمَدِ اَمِیْرُ مَعْظَمِ اَمِیْرِ اَلْعِیْلِیِّ اَبِیْنِ اَمِیْرِ یُوسُفِ اَحْمَدِ مَدْرَاخُورِ رَوَانِ فِی ۹۵۱

"In the name of the merciful and compassionate God! He upon whom may be peace! says, 'He who builds a mosque for God

"[Desiring thereby God Almighty's honour, will have a house like it built for him by God in Paradise.' In the auspicious time

"And the increasing reign of his Majesty the king of kings, the helper of the world

"And the faith, who raises the standard of holy strife and war, Muhammad Humâyûn *Bādishāh-i-Ghāzī*—may God perpetuate his khalifat!—its builder,

"By the grace of God the eternal (*was*) the great Amir, Amir Ulugh Beg, son of Amir Yûsuf Ahmad, the master of the horse. Dated in 951" [began 25th March 1544].

48. On a dome outside Hisâr, about a mile to the east, inside the commissariat godowns, on a slab, measuring 1'5" by 1'10", are four lines of very bad poetry—

خَر شَارُوضَةُ کَبِدِ جَوْنِ بَهْشْتِ کُلِ رَخْشَتِ اَرِ مَشْکِ رِ عَدْرِ سَرِشْتِ
زَانْفَلَسِ بَاغِشِ مَعْطَرِ دَمَازِ رَوَانِ سَلْسَبِلِیِ زَا سَجَارِ دِشْتِ
دَنْبَرِ فَلَکِ کَشِشِ بَرِ کَرِ اَوِ کِه بَارِیْمِ بَرِ کَنْدِ اَمَدِ نَوِشْتِ
سَنَه ۹۷۹
هَرَارِ اَمَرِشِ بَرِ اَبِیِ یَرِدِ کِه حَکْمِشِ بَعِیْدِ اَبْهَکِ خُشْتِ
قَاتِبِ کَبِیْرِ

(Metre: *Mutaqarib*). "How beautiful is the dome of the paradise-like mausoleum, its mortar and bricks are like musk and ambergris.

"From the scent of its garden the brain is perfumed; and a *salsabîl* (a spring in paradise) flows from its trees.

"The secretary of heaven (*Mercury*) turned round it, when the date was written on the dome: A. H. 975 [began 8th July 1567].

A thousand praises are due to Bâyezîd, by whose order the bricks were placed on the foundation. The writer was Kabîr."

49. On a mosque in the yard of 'Shêr Buhlûl's mausoleum' outside Hisâr, one mile to the south, is an inscription, 2' 5" square, in six lines of well-formed *Nasta'liq*:—

بِدَوْنِیِ شَرَحِ رَسُوْلِ مَحْتَبِیِ عَبْدِالنَّبِیِ
اَنکِه دِیْدَارِشِ دَهْدِ اَلْبَیْنَةُ دَلِیْلُ جَلَا
پِیْشِ مَحَبِّ رَوْضَةِ بَهْلُوْلِ شَاهِ اَنکَنْدِ طَرَحِ
مَسْجِدِیِ عَالِیِ کِه بَاشْدِ مَسْکِنِ اَهْلِ دَعَا

بی تلف هاتقی زد بانک کاین مصرع نویس
در هزار یکصد و شش یانت اتمام این بنا

Metre: Ramal.

"The follower of the law of the Prophet, the chosen one,' Abd-annabi,
"Whose sight gives brightness to the mirror of the heart,
"Erected, before the courtyard of Shāh Buhlāl's mausoleum,
"A grand mosque, which is to be the dwelling of worshippers.
"A heavenly speaker said without hesitation, 'write the hemistich
"This building was completed in 1106'" [began 22nd August 1694].

An inscription from a tomb near the Commissariat godowns, 6' 2½" by 10½ inches, is of no value, as it consists only of *Qorān*, *Sūra* V. 98.

IX.—BARWĀLA.

Barwāla is now the head-quarters of a *tahsīl*, distant 18 miles north-east of Hisār. In the *Āin-i-Akbarī*, Pargana Barwāla is mentioned as belonging to *Sarkār* Hisār. Its area was 136,799 *bighas*; the revenues were 1,097,807 *dāms* (109,052 *Say. Jāms.*); the tribes were Sayyids, Malikzādas, Baqqāls; the military contingent consisted of 100 horse, 1,500 footmen.

50. An inscription from an old mosque, measuring 2' 5" by 8" is in very bad preservation:—

امیر بناء هذه المسجد في عهد السلطان المعظم معز الدين والدین خلد ملکه
ابوالمظفر کعباد السلطان محمد الاول والعرا تاج الدوله والدین . . .
السلطاني دام اعداله في العره [?] من ربيع الاول سنة ثمان و ستمائه . . .

"This mosque was ordered to be built in the time of the noble king Mu'izzaddunyā waddīn—may (*God*) perpetuate his reign!—

Abu-l-Muzaffar Kaiqobād, the king, by the glory of the saints and the poor, Tājaddaula waddīn . . the royal—may his prosperity be perpetual!—at the beginning of the Rabī' al awwal 686" [began 25th March 1289].

The inscription is important, as it is the first known of Kaiqobād,² son of Bughrā Khān, viceroy of Bengal, the last emperor of the Balbanī Turks, after whom the kingdom was transferred to the Khiljīs.

51. A second Barwāla inscription is of Balban's reign; it consists of two lines. It has also been much injured by the weather. The dimensions are 3' 3" by 11 inches:—

نور [?] الاسلام والمسلمين ابو المظفر بلبن السلطان ناصر امير المومنين خلداله ملکه و سلطانه
دام اقباله في العسرين من شهر المبارک رمضان عمت مدامه سنة ثمان و ستمائه . . .

"The light of Islām and of the Muslims Abul-Muzaffar Balban, the king, the helper of the Lord of the Muslims—may God perpetuate his kingdom and his reign!—
may his prosperity be perpetual!—on the 20th of the blessed month Ramazān—may its blessing be general!—680" [2nd January 1282].

The extant Balban inscriptions have been mentioned above under No. 15.

² Another inscription of Kaiqobād, dated A.H. 686, exists in the Saddo masjid at *Amrohdā*, Murādābād district, North-Western Provinces, (see A. Führer; *l. c.*, page 35).—*Ed. E. I.*

X.—FATHÂBÂD.

52. In the town of Fathâbâd is a round sandstone pillar, 10' 2" high and 6 1/4" in circumference. It is a pity that it is not possible to decipher this evidently most important document, which consists of thirty-six lines going round the pillar. The letters have been much injured and many patches of the stone have fallen off. Only single words are here and there legible on the rubbings (in five pieces). The inscription belongs to a king of the Tughluq dynasty, and contains long historical accounts. Tughluq Shâh himself is mentioned. An occurring date, the reference of which is not clear, is the first Sha'bân 725 [13th July 1325], which falls in the first year of Muḥammad, son of Tughluq Shâh.⁴³

XI.—HÂNSÎ.⁴⁴

53. On the Dînî mosque, near the Sarâogyân mandir, is an inscription in three lines, measuring 2' 9" by 1' 4"—

بنومدی حدای علام و برکت مصطفی علیه السلام در عهد معمرین و در لب همانین
 حدانکن عالمنداد ساه اسلام راعی الانام میروز ساه السلطان خلدالله ملکه
 و سلطانہ بندہ درگاه ساهن سلطانی بنارنم غره دی الععدہ سنہ سبع و ستن و سبعمانہ ابن مسجد بنا کرد

"Through the grace of God the omniscient and the blessing of the chosen Prophet—upon whom be peace!—in the auspicious time and the august reign

"Of the lord, the refuge of the world, the king of Islâm, the shepherd of the people, Fîrôz Shâh, the king—may God perpetuate his kingdom

"And his reign!—this mosque was erected by the slave of the court, Šâh(i)n, the royal, on the beginning of Zilqa'da, 767" [10th July 1366].

54. From the middle arch of Ja'far Beg's mosque. Two lines, 1' 3" by 1", evidently a fragmentary piece, containing the words—

و مظهر کلمة [الله] ناصر امیر المرو

".....and who manifests the word of God,⁴⁵ the helper of the Lord of the faithful."

There is also a defective inscription found on the middle arch of the Jod Goh (?). It consists of two lines (2' 13" by 30") and belongs to the reign of Iltimish (Altamsh), whose name it contains.

Finally, a third inscription, which is found on the back corner of the mosque of Makhdûm Ashraf, measuring 1' 22" by 12", contains some words from *Qor'ân*, Sûra ix, 19.

⁴³ I cannot let this opportunity pass of recommending this interesting document to travellers visiting Fathâbâd. From rubbings alone it cannot be deciphered. An examination of the original may perhaps be more successful. It would be necessary to make a transcript directly from the original which would assist in the reading of the rubbing. Ghulâm Husain, one of Mr. C. J. Rodger's staff, who prepared the copies of all the Panjâb inscriptions in this paper, says in a note that Zin ud Dîn, Extra Assistant Commissioner, spent more than a month at Fathâbâd trying to decipher the inscription, but he had not been able to see what he did.

⁴⁴ For the history of the town of Hânsî, see *Proceedings of the As. Soc. Beng.* for 1877, 117. fig.

⁴⁵ These words occur as an epithet of Husain Shâh in an inscription from A.H. 906 (see *Journal As. Soc. Beng.* vol. XLIII (1874), p. 304, note).

X.—BADAL PILLAR INSCRIPTION OF THE TIME OF NARAYANAPALA.

BY PROFESSOR F. KIELHORN, PH.D., C.I.E.; GÖTTINGEN.

In November 1780 Charles Wilkins discovered in the vicinity of the town of Badāl,¹ in the Dinajpur District of the Province of Bengal, a stone pillar, about 12 feet high, which was found to contain, at a few feet above the ground, an inscription engraved in the stone. Some years afterwards he succeeded in deciphering and translating this inscription; and his translation was published in 1788, in the *Asiatic Researches*, vol. I, pages 131-144, with a drawing of the pillar and a specimen of the characters, and accompanied by some valuable remarks of Sir William Jones. In 1874 Mr. E. V. Westmacott obtained a careless and mutilated transcript of the original text from Pandit Harachandra Chakravarti, which, with a translation by Mr. Pratāpachandra Ghosha, will be found in the *Journal, Asiatic Society, Bengal*, vol. XLIII, part i, pages 356-63. I now edit the inscription, of which a complete and trustworthy text has not yet been published, from impressions which at Dr. Burgess' request the Government of India has had prepared by Mr. H. B. W. Garrick.

The inscription contains 29 lines of writing—28 full lines and one line only 5' long,—which cover a space of from 1' 8 $\frac{1}{4}$ " to 1' 10" broad by 1' 7 $\frac{3}{4}$ " high. With the exception of two *aksharas* each at the commencement of lines 1 and 2, and altogether sixteen *aksharas* at the commencement of lines 25-28, which have become illegible by the peeling off of the surface of the stone, and a few slightly damaged *aksharas* in the body of the inscription, the writing is well preserved and may be read with certainty throughout. The size of the letters is between $\frac{7}{16}$ " and $\frac{1}{2}$ ". The characters are of the same type as, but decidedly more modern than, those of the Ghosrāwā inscription, of which a photolithograph is published in the *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVII, page 310, and may be assigned to about the end of the 9th or commencement of the 10th century A.D. They are skilfully formed and well engraved. The language is Sanskrit, and, excepting the short line 29, which merely records the name of the engraver, Viṣṇubhadra, the inscription is in verse. In respect of orthography the text calls for few remarks. The letter *h* is throughout denoted by the sign for *v*. Before *v* the consonant *m* has been retained, instead of being changed to *anusvāra*, in *samvṛita*, line 6, *bhrāntam vikāśam*, line 8, *samvalgilāś*, line 9, *satām = vismayah*, line 10, *vāchām = vaibhavam*, line 20, and *tā-dṛiṣṭam = vyadhita*, line 24; and instead of *anusvāra* the guttural nasal has been used in *vañśe*, lines 1 and 26, and *vañśasya*, line 21, and the dental nasal in *pānsuh*, line 7. We also have *-sañśi* for *-śaṃsi*, line 17, and *-sañghater* for *-saṃhater*, line 4. Besides, it may be noted that the rules of *sandhi* have not been observed in *vidhivat Rallā-*, line 11, and *-putan chatur-*, line 13; and that the sign of *avagraha* has been exceptionally employed in *'buddhā' bharat*, line 17, and *'lāro 'vadat*, line 21. As regards grammar I need draw attention only to the first compound in verse 11, which is formed incorrectly.

The proper object of the inscription is, to record (in verses 27 and 28) the erection of a pillar, bearing on its top a figure of the mythical bird Garuḍa,—the pillar on which the inscription still is, but the upper part of which is now missing,—by a Brāhman,

¹ See Montgomery Martin's (Buchanan Hamilton's) *Eastern India*, vol. II, page 672. [Badāl Kacheri is in the south of the Dinajpur district, 3 miles south-west from the village of Maṅgalkāri, which is on the borders of the Sagunā pargana of the Bagurā (Bogra) district and 7 miles south-west from Damdamā station on the Northern Bengal State Railway. Badāl is in Long. 88° 58' E., Lat. 25° 5' N. (*Indian Atlas*, sheet 119), and the pillar is about a mile north from it. (*Conf.* Hunter's *Stat. Acc. Bengal*, vol. vii, p. 151, and vol. viii, p. 198)—J. B.]

मृतपारिवर्षादयपुत्रादिभिरपुत्रै

named Rāma Guravamiśra. But the inscription is really a *prastāvi*, or laudatory account, of Guravamiśra and his ancestors, and it is of some value mainly because it furnishes the names of four of the so-called Pāla kings of Bengal, of whom some of the said Brāhmins were counsellors or ministers.

In the race of Śāṇḍilya there was one [Vishṇu?]; in his lineage was Viradeva; in his family Pāñchāla; and his son was Garga, the adviser of Dharma (or Dharmapāla), 'the regent of the east' (vv. 1-2). Garga married Ichchhā, and their son was Darbhapāṇi, who became minister of the king Devapāla (vv. 3-7). He, again, married Śarkarādevī, who bore to him Someśvara (vv. 8-9). Someśvara married Rallādevī (v. 10); and their son was Kedāramiśra, by whose counsel the lord of Gauḍa (whom I understand to be Devapāla)² is represented to have defeated or held in check the Utkalas, Hāṇas, Draviḍas and Gurjaras (v. 13), and who is intimated to have consecrated the king Śūrapāla (v. 15). And, finally, Kedāramiśra married Vayvā, a lady born at Devagrāma (v. 16), and she bore to him Rāma, called Guravamiśra (v. 18), who was held in high esteem by the king Nārāyaṇapāla (v. 19). There can be no doubt that this last-named Brāhmin is the Bhaṭṭa Gurava who is mentioned as *dūtaka* in the Bhāgalpur copper-plate inscription of Nārāyaṇapāla.³

Of the four kings mentioned in this inscription three, Dharma[pāla], Devapāla and Nārāyaṇapāla, are well known to us from copper-plate inscriptions. As to Śūrapāla, I readily adopt Dr. Hörnle's suggestion⁴ that he is identical with the Vighrahapāla of the Bhāgalpur copper-plate, the immediate predecessor of Nārāyaṇapāla. But regarding the Pāla kings in general my views differ from those of Dr. Hörnle, and I hope to prove before long that the successors of Nārāyaṇapāla were: his son Rājyapāla; his son Gopāla II.; his son Vighrahapāla II.; his son Mahīpāla; his son Nayapāla; and his son Vighrahapāla III.

TEXT.⁵

L. 1. . . .⁶ शाण्डिल्यवङ्गभूमीरदेवस्तदन्वये ।

पाञ्चालो नाम तन्नोत्रे गर्गस्तस्मादजायत ॥⁷ — [1.]

शक्रः पुरोदिश पतिर्न दिगन्तरेषु तत्रापि दैत्यपतिभिर्जित एव

2. — ँ : [1']

धर्मः कृतस्तधिपस्वखिलासु दिक्षु स्वामी मयेति विजहास वृ(वृ)हसति यः ॥⁸ — [2.]

पत्नीष्ठा नाम तस्यासीदिच्छेवान्तर्ज्विर्वर्त्तिनी ।

निसर्गानिर्गलस्त्रिधा कान्तिसम्-

3. मसो यथा ॥¹⁰ — [3.]

विद्याचतुष्टयमुखायु(यु)वहातलक्ष्मा नैसर्गिकोत्तमपदाधरितत्रिलोकः [1*]

सुतुस्तयोः कमलयोनिरिव द्विजेशः श्रीदर्भपाणिरिति नाम निजम्भ-

See *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XV, page 308, v. 6.

² See *ib.*, page 307.

³ See the *Centenary Review of the Asiatic Society Bengal*, Part II, Appendix II; and *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XIV, pages 162—165.

⁴ From impressions prepared by Mr. H. E. W. Garrick, and supplied to me by the editor.

⁵ The word which has almost entirely disappeared here was probably विष्णु; and it may have been preceded by the symbol for ञ.

⁷ Read 'वृह'.

⁸ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

⁹ Metre: Vasantilakā.

¹⁰ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

L. 4.

नः ॥¹¹ — [4.]

आ रवाजनकाभतङ्गजमदस्तिम्यच्छिलासङ्गते¹²
 रा गौरीपितुरोश्वरेन्दुकिरणैः पुष्यसितिम्बो गिरिः ।
 मार्तण्डास्तमयोदयारुणजलादा वारिरा-

5. शिद्वया-

नीत्या यस्य भुवं चकार करदां श्रीदेवपालो नृपः ॥¹⁴ — [5.]
 माद्यन्नानागजन्दसवदनवरतीहामदानप्रवाहो-
 मृष्टक्षोणीविसर्पिप्रव(ब)ल-

6. घनरजःसम्भृताशावकाश¹⁴ ।

दिक्कायातभूत्परिकरविसरद्वाहिनीदुर्विलोक-
 स्तस्थौ श्रीदेवपालो नृपतिरवसरापेक्षया द्वारि

7. यस्य ॥¹⁵ — [6]

दङ्गाप्यनल्पमुडुपच्छविपीठमये यस्यासनं नरपतिः सुरराजकल्पः ।
 नानानरेन्दुमुकुटाङ्कितपादपान्मः सिङ्गासनं¹⁶ सच-

8. कितः स्वयमाससाद ॥¹⁷ — [7.]

तस्य श्रीशर्करादेव्यामन्त्रेः भोम इव द्विजः [1¹⁸]
 अभूत्सोमेश्वरः श्रीमान् परमेश्वरवत्सभः ॥¹⁸ — [8.]
 न भ्रातृभिविकट¹⁹

9. धनञ्जयतुलामारुह्य विक्रामता ।²⁰

वित्तान्यधिषु वर्षता स्तुतिगिरी नोद्भव्यमाकर्णिताः ।
 नैवोक्ता मधुरस्वङ्गु²¹ प्रणयिनः सम्ब[लि]ताश्च त्रि-

10. या ।²¹

येनैवं स्वगुणैर्जगद्दिसदृशीयक्रे सताम्विस्मयः²² ॥²³ — [9.]
 शिव इव करं शिवाया हरिरिव लक्ष्म्या गृह्णाश्रमप्रेप्सुः [1²⁴]
 अनुरूपाया विधि-

11. वत्²⁵ रत्नादेव्याः स जग्राह ॥²⁵ — [10.]

आसन्नाजिह्वाराजह(ह)ल्लशिखशिखासुम्बि(म्बि)दिक्कवालो
 दुर्वारस्फारशक्तिः स्वरसपरिणताशेषविद्या-

12. प्रतिष्ठः ।

ताभ्यां जम्भ प्रपेदे विदग्धजनमनोनन्दनः स्वक्रियाभिः

श्रीमान् केदारमिश्रो गुह इव विकसज्जातरूपप्रभावः ॥²⁶ ॥ — [11.]

¹¹ Metre: Vasantatilakā.¹² Read 'सङ्गते'.¹³ Metre: Śārdūlavikrīḍita.¹⁴ Read 'संभृता'. Originally विकारा was engraved, but the sign for i is struck out.¹⁵ Metre: Śragdharā.¹⁶ Read 'पादुः सिङ्गासनं'.¹⁷ Metre: Vasantatilakā.¹⁸ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).¹⁹ Read आनं विकट.²⁰ These signs of punctuation are superfluous.²¹ Read मधुरं वङ्ग प्रणयिनः संव²².²² Read सतां विस्मयः.²³ Metre: Śārdūlavikrīḍita.²⁴ Read विधिबद्धा?²⁵ Metre: Āryā.²⁶ Metre: Śragdharā.

- L. 13. सकृदर्शनसम्प्रीतान्²⁷ चतुर्विंश्यापयोनिधीन् [1^x]
जहासागस्थसम्पत्तिमुद्गिरन्वा(न्वा)न् एव यः ॥²⁸ — [12.]
उत्कोलितोत्कलकुलं हृतङ्गणगर्जं स्वर्वीक्ष-
14. तद्रविडगुर्जरनाथदर्प ।
भूपीठमम्बि(म्बि)रशनाभरणम्बु(म्बु)भोज गौडेश्वरश्चिरमुपास्य धियं यदीयां ॥²⁹ — [13.]
स्वयमपहृतवित्तानर्थिनी यो-
15. मुमेने द्विषति सुहृदि चासीन्निर्विवेको यदात्मा ।
भवजलधिनिपाते यस्य भीक्षु द्रुपा च ।³⁰ परिमृदितकशा(षा)यो³¹ यः परे धान्नि रेमे ॥³² — [14.]
यस्ये-
16. ज्यासु ह(बृ)हस्पतिप्रतिष्ठतेः श्रीशूरपालो नृपः
साक्षादिन्द्र इव क्षताप्रियव(व)लो गत्वैव भूयः स्वयं ।
नानाश्रीनिधिर्मेखलस्य जगतः
17. कल्याणसङ्की³³ चिरं
यहाश्वःपूतमानसो नतशिरा जघ्राञ्च पूतम्पयः ॥³⁴ — [15.]
देवग्रामभवा³⁵ तस्य पत्नी वष्वाभिधाऽभवत् ॥ [1^x]
अतुल्या चलया ल-
18. क्ष्मरा सत्या चाप्य[नपत्य]या³⁶ ॥³⁷ — [16.]
सा देवकीव तस्माद्यगोदया स्वीकृतम्पतिं लक्ष्म्याः ।
गोपालप्रियकारकमसूत पुरुषोत्तमन्तनयं ॥³⁸ ॥ — [17.]
19. जमदग्निकुलोत्पन्नः सम्पन्नचक्रचिन्तकः [1^x]
यः श्रीगुरुवमिश्रास्थो रामो राम इवापरः ॥³⁹ — [18.]
कुशलो गुणान्वितः विजिगीषुर्नृप-
20. य व(व)हुर्मने ।
श्रीनारायणपालः प्रशस्तिरपरास्तु का तस्य ॥⁴⁰ — [19.]
वाचास्वभवभागमध्वधिगमं⁴¹ नीतिः परान्निष्ठतां ।⁴²
वेदार्थानुगमादमी-
21. ममहसो वङ्गम्य⁴³ सस्वन्धितां ।
आसक्तिङ्गणकोर्त्तनेषु महतान्निष्णाततां ज्योतिषो
यस्यानल्पमतेरमेययशसो धर्मावतारो ऽवदत् ॥⁴⁴ — [20.]
22. यस्मिन्मयः श्रीमृति वागधीशे विहाय वैराणि निसर्गजानि ।
उभे स्थिते सत्यमिवादि(धि)गम्यावेकत्र लक्ष्मीश्च सरस्वती च ॥⁴⁵ — [21.]
शास्त्रानुशील-

²⁷ Read °पोतांशु°.

²⁸ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

²⁹ Metre: Vasantatilakā.

³⁰ This sign of punctuation is superfluous.

³¹ Originally °कशयी, altered to °कशायी.

³² Metre: Mālinī.

³³ Read कल्याणसङ्की.

³⁴ Metre: Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

³⁵ Originally °भव, altered to °भवा.

³⁶ The lower portion of the akṣaras in brackets is damaged.

³⁷ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

³⁸ Metre: Āryā.

³⁹ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

⁴⁰ Metre: Āryā.

⁴¹ Read वाचां वैभव°.

⁴² This sign of punctuation is superfluous.

⁴³ Read वङ्गम्य संव°.

⁴⁴ Metre: Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

⁴⁵ Metre: Upajāti.

- L. 23. नगभीरगुणैर्वचोभिर्विहसभासु परवादिमदावलिपः [1*]
 उद्भासितः सपदि येन युधि द्विषाञ्च निस्सीमविक्रमधनेन [भ]टाभिमानः ॥⁴ — [22.]
24. [आविर्व्य(र्व)भू]व सहसैव फलं न यस्य यस्तादृशस्वधित⁴⁷ कर्णसुखञ्च किञ्चित् ।
 यन्माप्य दानपतिमर्थिजनोन्त्यमेति तत्कोलिदानमपि यस्य न जातु
 25. — ७ ॥ — [23.]
 अतिलोमहर्षणेपु⁴⁸ [च*] कलियुगवाल्मीकिजन्मपिशनेषु ।
 धर्म्येतिहासपर्वसु पुण्यात्मा यः श्रुतीर्व्याहृणोत् ॥⁴⁹ — [24.]
 असिन्धुप्रसृता यस्य स्वर्धुनी
26. . ७ — ७ [धा] ।
 वाणी प्रसन्नगम्भीरा धिनोति च पुनाति च ॥⁵⁰ — [25.]
 पितृत्वं स्वयमास्थाय पुत्रत्वमगमत्सुयं [1*]
 त्र(त्र)होति-पुरुषान् यस्य वङ्गे⁵¹ यच्च प्रपेदिरे ॥ — [26.]
 शोभो-
27. — ७ ७ — स्वकीयवपुषो लोकोच्चणधाहिणि
 स्वाभिप्राय इवातुलोन्नतिमति स्वप्रेमव(व)न्धस्थिरे ।
 अष्टं शय्य इवार्षिते कलिहृदि स्तम्भेन ते-
28. [न?] ७ ७
 — — फणिनां हरेः प्रियसखस्ताप्योयमारोपितः ।(॥)⁵² — [27.]
 भ्रात्रा दिगन्तमखिलं गत्वा पातालमूलमप्यस्मात् ।
 यम इ[ह]⁵³ तस्योत्तमो हताहिगरुडच्छलादमल[म्] ॥⁵⁴ — [28.]
29. सूत्रधारविष्णुभद्रेण⁵⁵ प्रशस्ति क्षणित⁵⁶ [॥*]

TRANSLATION.

[Om!]

(Verse 1.) In Śāṇḍilya's race was . . . ⁵⁷, in his lineage Viradeva, in his family Pāñchāla; from him was Garga born.

(2.) As he made Dharma⁵⁸, the regent of the east, sovereign over all the regions, while Indra ruled no other than the eastern quarter, and was even there defeated . . . by the Daitya chiefs, he laughed Brihaspati to scorn.

(3.) His wife was Iohohhā⁵⁹, like love dwelling in his heart. By nature pure and tender, she was like the beauty of the moon.

(4.) Their son was the illustrious chief of the twice-born, named Darbhapaṇi, who, by his innate high rank rising above the three worlds and distinguished by his

⁴⁷ Metre of verses 22 and 23; Vasantatilakā.

⁴⁸ Read °दृग् अपिप.

⁴⁹ The *akṣhara* न was originally omitted, and is engraved below the line. The following च, which I have added, is not in the original.

⁵⁰ Metre: Āryā.

⁵¹ Metre of verses 25 and 26; Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

⁵² Read नम.

⁵³ Metre: Śārdūlavikṛdita.

⁵⁴ The *akṣhara* in brackets looks like न, altered to च.

⁵⁵ Metre: Āryā.

⁵⁶ The *akṣhara* न was originally omitted, and is engraved below the line.

⁵⁷ One would have expected ब्रह्मविष्णुमहेश्वर.

⁵⁸ The name, here missing, probably is Viśṇu.

⁵⁹ i. e. the king Dharmapāla, whose adviser Garga is represented to have been.

⁶⁰ i. e. 'desire, love.'

knowledge of the four Vedas, was like the lotus-born Brahman, the possessor of four lotus-like faces.⁶⁰

(7. 5.) By his policy the illustrious prince Devapāla made tributary the earth as far as Revā's parent⁶¹ whose piles of rocks are moist with the rutting-juice of elephants, as far as Gauri's father,⁶² the mountain which is whitened by the rays of Ívara's moon, and as far as the two oceans whose waters are red with the rising and setting of the sun.

(6.) At his gate stood, awaiting his leisure, the illustrious prince Devapāla, scarce visible among the vast armies attending on princes who had come from all quarters, (*in such numbers*) that the prospect of the regions was hidden by thick clouds of dust rising from the earth, (*though*) swept by the constant and abundant streams of rutting-juice, flowing down from lustful elephants of various breeds.

(7.) Though the prince, who resembled the king of the gods, and the dust of whose feet was marked with the diadems of sundry kings, first offered to him a chair of state with a seat bright as the moon, he ascended his own throne with trembling.

(8.) To him was born, of the illustrious Śarkarādevī, the twice-born Someśvara, the illustrious, a favourite of the supreme lord, as the moon had sprung from Atri.

(9.) Never exulting, though like Dhanañjaya⁶³ he displayed unlimited prowess; never listening proudly to words of praise, though he showered riches upon suppliants; and never addressing friends with many honeyed words, though he made them leap with joy by his bounties,—he roused the wonder of good men by such qualities of his, not common in the world.

(10.) Desirous of attaining the state of a householder, he in due form took the hand of Rallādevī, a suitable spouse, even as Śiva had done of Śivā, and Hari of Lakshmi.

(11.) From them took his birth the illustrious Kedāramiśra. Filling the circle of the quarters with the flames of abundant (*sacrificial*) fires, radiant with the presence of the gods, of irresistible great might, of a pre-eminence in every branch of knowledge matured from within, and brilliant as glowing gold, he, like unto Guha, delighted the minds of gods and men by his deeds.⁶⁴

(12.) Pouring forth, even as a boy, the oceans of the four Vedas which he had drunk at a single draught, he laughed at Agastya's proficiency.⁶⁵

(13.) Attending to his wise counsel the lord of Gauḍa long ruled the sea-girt earth, having eradicated the race of the Utkalas, humbled the pride of the Hūṇas, and scattered the conceit of the rulers of Draviḍa and Gurjara.

(14.) He allowed suppliants to take freely away his riches; his mind made no

⁶⁰ Darbhapāni was *chaturvidya* (or *chaturvedin*), as Brahman is *chaturmukha*; and the epithet *divijeta*, applied to him, besides suggests that he was like the moon.

⁶¹ i.e. the Vindhya mountains.

⁶² i.e. the Himālaya.

⁶³ i.e. Arjuna.

⁶⁴ The general meaning of this verse, in which Kedāramiśra is compared to the god of war, Guha or Kārttikeya, is clear enough; but two difficulties are presented by the compound which forms the first line. Since that compound is a Bahuvrīhi, we should have expected a past passive participle, conveying the sense of 'touched' or 'filled,' in the place of *chumbin*, 'kissing,' which is the reading of the original. Besides, when the compound is referred to Kedāramiśra, none of the ordinary meanings of *ajikṣma* ('not crooked, straightforward, a frog, a fish') appears very appropriate. I therefore incline to think that the writer has confounded the word with *amimikṣa* ('a fish, a god'), and I have translated accordingly. Applied to Guha, the first two compounds of the verse would mean: 'who fills the circle of the quarters with the big crest of his peacock which shines brightly when frogs are near, and who wields an irresistible, large spear'.

⁶⁵ Agastya is fabled to have swallowed the ocean.

distinction between friend and foe; he was both afraid and ashamed to fall into the ocean of worldly existence; and having crushed the attachment to the pleasures of this life, he took delight in the supreme abode.

(V. 15.) At the sacrifices of him, the image of Brihaspati, the illustrious prince Śûrapâla, having destroyed the forces of his enemies, often attended of his own accord, like Indra himself, the destroyer of the demon Vala; and ever desirous of the welfare of the earth, girt by the several oceans, he there with bent head received the pure water,⁶⁶ his soul being bathed in the water of faith.

(13.) His wife was Vavvâ, born at Devagrâma, unlike the fickle Lakshmi and the childless Satî.

(17.) As Devakî gave birth to Purushottama⁶⁷, the adopted son of Yaśodâ, Lakshmi's husband, who delighted the cow-herds, so she bore to him a son, famous and compassionate, who was a lord of fortune, and who caused pleasure to the king, being the most excellent of men.

(18.) He, Râma,⁶⁸ called Guravamiśra, the illustrious, born in Jamadagni's race, and conversant with the constellations which bode good fortune, was like another Râma Jâmadagnya, to whom the thriving Kshatriya order caused anxious thought.

(19.) Since the illustrious prince Nârâyaṇapâla, desirous of victory, skilful in discerning excellent qualities, held him in high esteem, what need is there of further eulogy?

(20.) The spread of holiness told that he, of no mean intelligence and of immeasurable fame, possessed great power of speech, knowledge of traditional lore, and profound skill in politics; that he belonged to a family which had acquired boundless lustre by searching after the meaning of the Vedas; that he was eager to celebrate the virtues of great men, and was well versed in astronomy.

(21.) In him, who was possessed of fortune, as well as a master of speech, Lakshmi and Sarasvatî resided both together, having forsaken, as it were, their natural enmity and joining in friendship.

(22.) In the assemblies of the learned he at once confounded the pride of self-conceit of opponents by his speeches to which the constant study of the Śâstras imparted deep meaning, just as, possessed of boundless wealth of valour, he did in battle the conceit of bravery of enemies.

(23.) He never uttered words gratifying to the ear of which the fruit became not at once apparent, nor did he ever bestow a gift which the suppliant having received went to another more bountiful donor.⁶⁹

(24.) This pious-minded man expounded the Vedas in books of moral tales, which excited a thrill of joy and showed that he was born a Vâlmiki of the Kali age.

(25.) Being a river of heaven which does not proceed to the ocean . . . , his pellucid and profound language both delights and purifies.

(26.) To his ancestors and to him people were wont to resort, considering that (*in them*) Brahman himself had first become a father, and that the same Brahman (*in him*) had again become their offspring.

⁶⁶ i.e. the prince, at those sacrifices. was as it were consecrated as sovereign of the earth.

⁶⁷ i.e. the god Vishnu-Krishna.

⁶⁸ Or perhaps, 'this pleasing person, called Guravamiśra.'

⁶⁹ In other words, he never made a promise which he did not at once fulfil, nor did he mock suppliants with valueless gifts.

(F. 27.) He has placed Tārks̥hya,⁷⁰ [the foe] of serpents and dear friend of Hari, here on the top of this pillar, the . . . beauty of which, like that of his own person, attracts the eyes of people, which like his own aspiration rises to an unparalleled height and is firm like his affection, and which clearly is as it were a stake planted in the breast of the Kali age.

(28.) Having roamed to the furthest ends of the world, and hence descended even to the bottom of the lower regions, his spotless fame has risen here in the guise of this Garuḍa with a serpent in his mouth.

This eulogy was incised by the artisan Viṣṇubhadra.

XI.—ATAKUR INSCRIPTION OF THE TIME OF KRISHNA III.; DATED SAKA-SAMVAT 872.

By J. F. FLEET, I.C.S., M.R.A.S., C.I.E.

This inscription was first brought to notice by Mr. Rice, in 1889, in his *Inscriptions at Śravaṇa-Belgoḷa*, pp. 19, 21 (see note 6 below). It is now published in full for, I believe, the first time. I edit it from inked estampages, for which I am indebted to the kindness of Dr. Hultzsch.

Ātakūr is a village about fifteen miles to the north-east of Maṇḍya, which is the head-quarters town of the Maṇḍya Talukā of the Maisūr District in Maisūr. It is shown in the Indian Atlas, Sheet No. 60, as 'Atcoor,' in Lat. 12° 40' N., Long. 77° 8' E.;¹ and it is entered, as 'Atagur,' in the map given in Mr. Rice's *Gazetteer of Mysore and Coorg*, Vol. II, pp. 190-91. In the inscription the name occurs in the slightly different form of Ātukūr. The inscription is on a stone slab, set up in front of a temple known as that of the god Challaśaliṅga, about a quarter of a mile to the north of the village. The temple is mentioned in the inscription, by the name of Challaśvara.

Towards the top of the stone there are, in connection with part of the record, the sculptures of a dog and a boar fighting with each other; the dog is on the proper right, and the boar on the proper left; they occupy a space of about 3' 2" broad by 1' 6" high.—Below this lies the inscription proper, lines 1 to 19, covering a space of about 4' 11" broad by 4' 0" high. At each side, however, of the boar and the dog, and along the top of the stone, there were left blank spaces, which were subsequently utilised for a slightly later additional record, consisting of four lines, which I have numbered 20 to 23. Lines 20 and 21 run up the proper right margin of the stone, curve round at the corner, run right along the top, and then curve down into the proper left margin. Line 22 breaks off on the proper right margin with the *ppa* of *ppanneradum*, and continues on the proper left margin, below the ends of lines 20 and 21. Line 23 is a short line, lying below the ends of lines 20, 21, and 22, on the proper left margin. The writing is in a state of fairly good preservation throughout; and, with the exception of two *aksharas* in line 4, the whole record can be made out with perfect certainty. The

⁷⁰ i. e. the bird Garuḍa, the vehicle of Viṣṇu.

¹ The name seems to be not an uncommon one. The maps show an 'Atagur,' forty-nine miles north-east of Maisūr, and an 'Adagur,' eleven miles north by west of Hassan.

estampages, however, are not suitable for lithography; and, the surface of the stone being apparently rather rough, it would probably be very difficult to obtain impressions which would serve that purpose.—The characters belong to the so-called Old-Kanarese alphabet, and are of the regular type of the period to which the record refers itself. The average size of the letters, both in the original inscription and in the addition, is about $1\frac{1}{4}$ ".—The language is Old-Kanarese; and, except for two verses in lines 15 to 19, the whole record is in prose.—In respect of orthography the only points that call for special notice are (1) the use of *ri* for *ṛi* in *nripa*, line 1, *prithuvī*, line 2, and *krishṇa*, line 3; (2) the use of *b* for *v* in the word *bīra*, lines 16, 18; (3) the occasional use of *s* for *ś*, e.g. in *saka*, line 1, and *saktiy-āge*, line 16; and (4) the doubling of *s* after *r*, in *ār-ssagara*, line 17.

The inscription refers itself to the time of a king named Kṛishṇarāja, whom, partly from the date that is given, and partly from the mention of a predecessor, we know to be Kṛishṇa III., a son of Amōghavarsha III., of the Rāshṭrakūṭa dynasty of Mālkahḍ: in the body of the inscription, he is also called Kannaradēva; and in the addition at the top of the stone, this form only of his name is used. We are told that he was making a display of triumph, after fighting against and killing a Chōla king or chief named Rājāditya, at a place called Takkōla.² The inscription then introduces a Gaṅga feudatory of his,³ called in the body of the record Permāṇaḍi, and in the addition Bātuga,⁴ who, as we learn from the addition, had killed a certain Rāchamalla,⁵ the son of Ereyapa, and (as the result) was ruling over a Ninety-six-thousand district, which is evidently the well-known Gaṅgavāḍi Ninety-six-thousand. From the addition we also learn that it was Bātuga himself who slew Rājāditya; treacherously, and while they were out taking the air together: and that, in approbation of the deed, Kṛishṇa III. gave to Bātuga the districts known as the Banavase Twelve-thousand, the Belvola Three-hundred, the Purigere Three-hundred, the Kisukāḍ Seventy, and the Bāgenāḍ Seventy.⁶ The record then introduces a follower of Bātuga, named Maṇalarata, of the Sagara lineage, with the hereditary title of "lord of Valabhi." And it proceeds to state that, in recognition of his valour in battle, Permāṇaḍi-Bātuga granted some land to a temple of the god Challésvara (a form of Śiva) at the village of Ātukūr; a stone,—evidently the one bearing this

² The fact that Rājāditya died in battle with Kṛishṇa III., is mentioned also in the large Leyden copper-plate grant (see Dr. Hultzsch's Report No. 79, dated the 6th April 1891); the passage speaks of the "lordly elephant," on which Rājāditya was riding.

³ The use of the paramount title in *dharma-mahārājādhirāja* must be taken, with the use of the name Satyavākya-Koṅguṇivarman, to be only an hereditary custom. The construction of the whole record, and the subject-matter of it, show clearly the nature of the relations between Permāṇaḍi-Bātuga and Kṛishṇa III.

⁴ This name occurs also in the body of the inscription, among the titles of Maṇalarata. That passage (l. 9) is probably enough to show that Permāṇaḍi and Bātuga were one and the same person. But the point is rendered quite certain by the other reference to Bātuga and Maṇalarata in ll. 21-23.—When I wrote the preceding remarks, I overlooked the fact that Bātuga is distinctly called Permāṇaḍi-Bātayya in the Hebbāl inscription (see *Ind. Ant.* Vol. XII, p. 270).

⁵ One might perhaps expect the name of Rājamalla, rather than Rāchamalla. But the original very distinctly has *cha* in the second syllable.

⁶ Mr. Rice (*loc. cit.*, p. 21) summarised this part of the record thus:—"Moreover, from a subsequent addition 'engraved at the top of the same inscription, we learn that this Gaṅga king' [viz. the Satyavākya-Koṅguṇivarman, or more specifically the Permāṇaḍi-Bātuga, of lines 6, 6, 8] 'was Rāchamalla, the son of Ereyappa; also, that he defeated a rival named Bātuga, who then proposed to Rājāditya, the Chōla prince mentioned in the previous part of the inscription, to join him in attacking Kannara Dēva. His treachery becoming thus known, he was defeated and slain, and the provinces of which he was governor absorbed into the Gaṅga territories.'"—Mr. Rice's extract from the text (*loc. cit.*, p. 21, note 5) showed that this summary could not be correct, but did not suffice to indicate the real meaning with any completeness and certainty.

inscription,— being set up, to commemorate the grant, on the spot where, a dog having been loosed against a boar, the boar and the dog killed each other. The inscription proper ends with two verses descriptive of the prowess of Maṇalarata. And from the addition we learn that Bûtuga also granted, to Maṇalarata himself, the group of villages known as the Âtukûr Twelve, and the village of Kôṭeyûr of the Belvola country.

In the date the only details given are the Saumya *samvatsara*, coupled with Śaka-Samvat 872 (current) (A.D. 949-50), expressed in words. This falls within the limits of the dates already obtained for Krishna III. And all else that can be said is that, as, by the southern luni-solar system of the cycle, which is the only one that can be made applicable in this case, the Saumya *samvatsara* coincided with Śaka-Samvat 871 as an expired year, we have in this record another clear instance of the use of a current Śaka year.

This record refers to a variety of places. Âtakûr itself is mentioned, as Âtukûr, in the inscription proper (l. 12), and in the addition at the top of the stone (l. 22); and the latter passage shows that it was the chief town of a group of twelve villages. The inscription also mentions (l. 10) a neighbouring village named Belatûr, in the Kelale *nâḍ*; but for these names I cannot find any representatives in the map. It gives Takkôla (l. 4) as the name of the place where Râjâditya was slain; this, again, I cannot identify. In the titles of Permânadi-Bûtuga it mentions the town of Kolâla and the Nandagiri mountain (l. 5). Mr. Rice (*c. g. Mysore Inscriptions*, p. xxviii) has taken Kolâla to be the same with the Kôlâhalapura of the Eastern Gaṅga records, and has identified it with "Kôlâr, in the east of Maisûr." The identity of the two names, Kolâla and Kôlâhala, is probably established by the statement, in the eastern grants, that Kôlâhala was in the Gaṅgavâdi *nishaya* (*Ind. Ant.*, vol. XVIII., p. 170). The identification of the place with Kôlâr may be correct; but I do not know of any conclusive proof of this point: and I notice, in the map, a small village named 'Kolala' close to Dêvarâyadurg in the Kortagiri Tâlukâ of the Tumkûr District, Maisûr; this seems to me to suggest that Kolâla may possibly be the ancient name of Dêvarâyadurg itself. Nandagiri has been identified by Mr. Rice (*Mysore Inscriptions*, p. xlv) with Nandidurg, a fortified hill in the Chikballâpur Tâlukâ of the Kôlâr District, Maisûr. And finally, in the titles of Maṇalarata, the inscription mentions the town of Vaiabhi (l. 7-8), which is, of course, the well-known Valabhî in Kâthiâwâd. The reference is of interest, as being the only one from Southern India that is known to me; but, whether it may be taken as implying that, like Maṇalarata, the rulers of Valabhî themselves claimed to belong to the lineage of Sagara, seems not very clear. The addition at the top of the stone first mentions a province called the Ninety-six-thousand: this is undoubtedly the well-known Gaṅgavâdi Ninety-six-thousand, which probably included the whole of Maisûr, and is known, from many other inscriptions, to have been the hereditary territory of the Western Gaṅgas; towards the north it extended as far as Lakkundi, near Gadag, in Dhârwâd (*Inscriptions at Śravaṇa-Belgoḷa*, No. 53). It then mentions a province and four districts which were given by Krishna III. to Bûtuga; *i.e.* the feudatory government of which was entrusted to Bûtuga. The province is the well-known Banavase Twelve-thousand, which took its appellation from one of the ancient forms of the name of the modern Banawâsi in North Kanara. Of the districts the

first is the Belvola Three-hundred. This district is equally well-known; and its name, being derived from the Kanarese *bele*, 'growing corn, a crop,' and *pola*, *kola*, 'a field,' means 'the country of luxuriant crops,' with reference to the fertility of the rich black-soil which constitutes one of its chief features. It included Gadag in the Dhârwâḍ District (*Ind. Ant.*, Vol. II, p. 297), Anṇigere in Dhârwâḍ (*Ind. Ant.*, Vol. XII, p. 220; apparently Anṇigere was then, A.D. 866, the capital of the district), Kurtakôṭi in Dhârwâḍ (*Ind. Ant.*, Vol. VII, p. 218), Nargund in Dhârwâḍ and Hâli in Belgauni (*Ind. Ant.*, Vol. XII, p. 47; here the name is written Belvala, and the district is said to be a part of the Kuntala *vishaya*), and Kukkanûr in the Nizam's Dominions (*Ind. Ant.*, Vol. IV, p. 275; here the name is written Beluvala, in Nāgarī characters). From the wording of the passage in line 22 of the present inscription it seems not to have included Âtakûr. The second is the Purigere Three-hundred. This, again, is a very well-known district, taking its appellation from the ancient Kanarese name of Lakshmêshwar in the Miraj State, within the limits of the Dhârwâḍ District. The third is the Kisukâḍ Seventy. This was in later times one of the divisions of the hereditary territory of the Sindas of Erambarage; and the chief town of it (*Jour. Bo. Br. R. As. Soc.*, Vol. XII, p. 272) was Kisuvolal or Paṭṭada-Kisuvolal, which is the modern Paṭṭadakal in the Bijâpur District, near Bâdâmi. To the south it included (*id.*, p. 257) Kîru-Narayaṅgal, which is to be identified with Koḍikop, a hamlet of Naregal in the Rôn Talukâ, Dhârwâḍ. And the fourth is the Bâgenâḍ Seventy. This is plainly identical with the Bâgaḍage Seventy, or Bâgaḍige *nâḍ*, which was another of the divisions of the Sinda territory. I have only recently obtained the means of localising precisely the position of this district, and of determining the town from which it took its name. That town is Bâgalkôṭ in the Bijâpur District. It has long been known to me that the rustics call this place 'Bângaḍikôṭe;' the popular explanation of which is that some Nawâb assigned it to his wife for pin-money (*lit.* for bangles, *bâṅgadī*). And I had a suspicion, but no more, that it might be the ancient Bagaḍage or Bâgaḍige. All doubt has now been removed by my examination of an inscription on a stone which stands in the courtyard of the Talukâ Kachêri at Bâgalkôṭ, and was brought, I understand, from the neighbouring village of Hêrkal, and which mentions "the famous capital, Bâgaḍageya-kôṭe," (*śrīma[d-r]ājadhāni Bâgaḍag[e]ya-kôṭeya śrī-mūla*)-[*sthān-ā*][*dhi*][*pati*] *Nilakanṭha-paṇḍitadēvaru*; lines 9-10). Taken with the rustic appellation, this is quite sufficient to establish the identity of the two names, Bâgaḍage and Bâgalkôṭ. And finally, in line 23, the addition mentions the village of Kôṭeyûr of the Belvola country. This place I cannot identify with any certainty; but it may possibly be either Kurtakôṭi in Dhârwâḍ, or the small village of 'Kotoor,' 3 miles west of Koppal in the Nizam's Dominions (Lat. 15° 20' N., Long. 76° 10' E.).

There can be, I think, no doubt that the Ereyapa of this inscription is the Gaṅga king Ereyapa or Ereyapparasa, ruler of the Gaṅgavâḍi Ninety-six-thousand, of the Bêgûr inscription (edited by me, *ante*, Vol. I, p. 346 ff). This record, therefore, fixes the period of the events recorded in the Bêgûr stone, and adds another interesting link in the history of the Western Gaṅgas. It shows internal dissensions among them; for Permānaḍi-Bâtuga, himself a Gaṅga (see lines 5, 6), acquired the province by killing Râchamalla, the son of Ereyapa; and Ereyapa, though he has been stamped by Mr. Rice as an "usurper" (*e.g. Mysore Inscriptions*, pp. xlv, xlvi), was most distinctly of the

Gaṅga lineage (see *ante*, Vol. I, p. 349). Also, the construction of the record, and the grant of districts to Bûtuga by Krishna III., distinctly prove that at this period the Gaṅgas were feudatories of the Râshtrakûṭas.

Incidentally it may be noted that, as the period of Ereyapparasa is now known to be about A.D. 949, it follows that there is some real probability of the Ayyapadêva of the Bêgûr record being identical with the Western Chalukya Ayyapa I., whose period was two generations before A.D. 973-97.

Among the territory given by Krishna III. to Bûtuga was the Banavase Twelve-thousand. This point is of interest, because this province was the principal component of the territory of the Kâdambas of Banawâsi and Hângal; and the present record shows that they had not the ancient, or at least the uninterrupted, enjoyment of their possessions, which their inscriptions seem to imply.

TEXT.⁷

- 1 Svas[t]i Sa(śa)ka-nri(nri)pa-kāl-âiṭa-samvatsara-sa(śa)taṅga|eṇīu-nûr=el|part-erāḍa-
neya Sau(sau)myam=emba
- 2 samvatsaram pravartise [I*] Svasti Amôghavarisha"dêva-śrīprithuvi"vallabha-
paramêśvara-parama-
- 3 bhaṭṭi[â]raka-pâdapankaja-bhramara[m] n[ri]pa-Tri[nê]tran=âne-vedeṅgam vana-
gaja-mallam kachehegam Kri(kri)shnarâjam śrīmat
- 4 Kan[ri]aradêva[m] . . lû(?)vajam Chôla-Râjâdityana môle [ba]ndu
Takkôladol-kâdi kondu bijayam-geyyutt-ildu [I*]
- 5 Sva[st]i [S]atyavâkya-Kongunivarmma-dharm[m]amahârâjâdhirâjam Kolâla-pura-
var-êśvaram Nandagiri-nâtham
- 6 śrīmat Permmânadiga| nanneya-Gaṅga jaya[d-u]ttaraṅga Gaṅga-G[âṅgê]ya
Gaṅga-Nârâyaṇa tan¹⁰-âlu
- 7 Svasti Saka|a-lôka-paritâp-âvi(?)hata-[pra]bhâv-âvatâri[ta]-Gaṅga¹¹-pravâh-ôdâra-
Sagara-vamśa Va-
- 8 labhi-puravar-êśvaran udâra-Bhagirathan=iṛiva-beḍeṅgam Sa[gara]-Tri[nê]tram
seṇase-mâgarivom
- 9 kadan-aika-Su(śu)drakam Bûtugan-aikakâram śrīmat Maṇalarata[ṅg=a]nuvaradol
mecheli bêṇi-ko||=endo-
- 10 de dayeya meṇe-vo[?]([?])=emba Kâliyam daye-geyy=endu koṇḍanâ nâya[m]
Kelale-nâḍa Belatûra paḍu-
- 11 vaṇa deseya morâḍiyol piri[du]m pa]ndige viṭṭole pandiyum nâyum=oda-
sattuvadarkke-
- 12 y=Atukûro! Challêśvarada munde kalla=naḍisi piriya kereya kelage Malti-
kâlângadol=ir-kka(kkha)ṇḍuga-
- 13 maṇṇa[m] koṭṭar=Â maṇṇan=okkal nâḍan-â|vomn¹²=ûran=â|vor=i maṇṇan=
ajidon=â nâya geyda pâpama[m] koṇḍom-

⁷ From the inked est. mpages.

⁸ Read °vareha°.

⁹ Read °prithivî° or °prithivî°.

¹⁰ We should expect *tann(a)*, with the double *nn*. But I

notice that Sanderson's Kanarese Dictionary gives *nana* as a variant of *nanna*.

¹¹ Read *gaṇḍa* or *gâṅga*.

¹² Read °dvom°.

- 14 n¹³=Ā sthānaman=ā|va goravan=ā kallam pūjisad-undar=appode¹⁴ nāya geyda
pāpamam koṇḍa[n] [I*] Ōm [II*]
15 Uṇḍ¹⁵=idir-ānta Chōḷa-chaturāṅga-balaṅgaḷan=aṭṭi muṭṭi taḷt=iriv-eḍeg=ōrvvar=
appoḍam=idirchchuva
16 gaṇḍaran=āmpēv=endu poṭṭaḷisuva¹⁶ bi(vi)raram neṇṇe kōṇe(na)me Chōḷane¹⁷
sa(śa)ktiy-āge taḷt=iridudan=āve(ṛ me) ka-
17 nḍev=ene mechchador=ār=Śsagara-Trinētranam || Narapati bennoḷ=iḷdon=idir-
āntudu vairi-samūham=illi
18 machcharisuvār=ellarum seraguv-āḷdapor=int-ire neudu singad=ant-ire Hari
bi(vi)ra-Lakshmi nerav-āg-ire Chō-
19 la[na]-kōṭey=emba sindhurada śir-āgramam biriye pāyidam¹⁸ kadan-aika-
Su(śū)drakam [II*] Ōm [II*]

THE ADDITION AT THE TOP OF THE STONE.

- 20 Svasti Śrī-Eṇṇeyapana magam Rāchamallanam Bātugam kādi kondu tombhatt-
aru-sāsiramumam¹⁹ āḷutt-ire [I*] Kannaradēva[m] Chōḷanam kāduvandu
Bātugam Rājādityanam bisugeye kaḷḷan-āgi gurig=iridu
21 kādi kondu Banavase-pannirchchā(? rechchā)siramum Beḷvola-mūnūrum
Purigeṇe-mūmūnū²⁰ um Kisukāḍ-elpattum Bāgenāḍ-elpattuva(ma)m Bātugaṅge
Kannaradēvam riechchu-goṭṭam [II*] Bātuganum²¹ Maṇalarata-
22 na munde nind=iridudarkke mechchi Ātuk[ū]r-ppanneradum Beḷvolada
Kōṭeyūrumam bāḷga[m]
23 [me]²²chchu-goṭṭam [II*] Maṅgaḷa-mahā-śrī [II*]

TRANSLATION.

Hail! The *samvatsara* named Saumya, (*which is*) the eight hundred and seventy-second (*in*) the centuries of years that have gone by from the time of the Śaka king, being current:—

(Line 2).— Hail! When Kṛṣṇarāja (III.), the glorious Kannaradēva,— a very bee at the water-lilies which (*were*) the feet of Amōghavarshadēva (III.), the favourite of fortune and of the earth, the *Paramēśvara*, the *Paramabhaṭṭāraka*; a very Trinētra (Śiva) among kings; a marvel in giving support (or, in resistance); a hero against wild elephants; a (*real*) fighter (or, disputant), — having come upon the Chōḷa, Rājāditya, and having fought and killed (*him*) at Takkōla, was going in triumph:—

(L. 5).— Hail! (*He who has the hereditary titles of*) the pious *Mahārājādhirāja* Satyavākya-Koṅṇivarman; the lord of Koḷāla, the best of towns; (*and*) the lord

¹³ Read *koṇḍan*.

¹⁴ This seems to be the reading; but **appoḍ=d* would be better.

¹⁵ Metre: Champakamālā; and in the following verse.

¹⁶ The *prda* is wrong here; in the third syllable of this word, there ought properly to be *ri*, instead of *li*.

¹⁷ This *ne* was omitted, and then was inserted below the line.

¹⁸ Read *pāyidan=d*.

¹⁹ Read **śsiramam*; the copulative ending is not required here.

²⁰ Read **mūnūrum*.

²¹ Read *bātugam*; here, again, the copulative ending is not required.

²² I cannot find the place where this syllable, *me*, stands in the original; perhaps the *akṣara* was omitted altogether.

of the mountain Nandagiri,— (*viz.*) the illustrious Permānadi, the truthful Gaṅga, the arch of victory, a very Gāṅgēya among the Gaṅgas, a very Nārāyaṇa among the Gaṅgas,—

(L. 7).— Hail !,— being pleased in battle with his follower, the illustrious Maṇalārata,— who is of the lineage of Sagarā, which brought down the stream of the (river) Gaṅgā through (*its*) prowess that was unimpeded in causing the affliction of all mankind ; (*who has the hereditary title of*) the lord of Vaḷabhi, the best of towns ; who is as noble as Bhagiratha ; who is a marvel among men who pierce ; who is a very Triṇētra among the Sagaras ; who cuts off noses when he is angry ; who is a very Śūdraka (*devoted*) only to war ; (*and*) who is the arithmetician of Bātuga,— said “ Prefer a request ! ” Thereupon, Kāḷiya, who is called “ the shining river (?) of kindness,” said, “ Do (*this*) favour ! ” Then they loosed his dog at a [great] boar, on the hill in the western quarter of (the village of) Beḷatūr of (the district called) Keḷale *nād*. And then, having set up a stone in front of the temple of (the god) Challésvara at Âtukûr, at (*the place where*) the boar and the dog killed each other,²³ he (Permānadi) gave²⁴ land yielding two *khaṇḍugas* (*of grain*), at the site called Maltikālaṅga below the large tank.

(L. 13).— Any cultivator (*who destroys*) that land, (*or*) the ruler of the district (*if he does so*), (*or*) any governors of the village who destroy²⁵ this land,— he incurs (*the guilt of*) the sin committed by that dog ! If the *Gorava*, who presides over that locality, eats without doing worship to that stone, he incurs (*the guilt of*) the sin committed by (*that*) dog ! Ôm !

(L. 15).— Saying “ We ourselves saw how powerfully the Chôḷa, like a male buffalo *in coitu*, approached and pierced the heroes who were vaunting (*their*) prowess²⁶ in saying ‘ Pursuing and besetting the forces of the army of the Chôḷa which, blazing (*with wrath*), have opposed (*us*), we will resist the valiant men who confront (*us*), if (*indeed*) there be any (*to make a stand*) at the place of meeting and piercing,’ ”— what people have failed to express approbation of him who is a very Triṇētra among the Sagaras ? The king was at (*his*) back ; a multitude of enemies opposed (*him*) in front ; all the people here, emulously rivalling each other, are bathed (*in perspiration*) to the (*very*) edges of (*their*) garments ;— under these circumstances he, a very Śūdraka (*devoted*) only to war, dripping (*with sweat*), with the assistance of (the god) Hari (*and*) the brave Lakshmi, passed on like a lion, cleaving open the forehead of the elephant that was called “ the fortress of the Chôḷa.”²⁷ Ôm !

THE ADDITION AT THE TOP OF THE STONE.

(L. 20).— Hail ! When Bātuga, having fought and killed Rāchamalla, the son of the glorious Eṇeyapa, was governing the Ninety-six-thousand :— At the time when Kannaradēva was warring against the Chôḷa, Bātuga treacherously,²⁸ while (*they*) were taking the air (*together*), aimed at and pierced, and thus fought against and killed,

²³ *lit.* “ died together.”

²⁴ *lit.* “ they gave ” (*koffar*) ; the word is in apposition with *permānadiḡaḷ* (l. 6).

²⁵ *lit.* “ who destroys ” (*aḷiden*) ; this sentence illustrates well the change of construction from the singular to the plural, and back again (compare *Ind. Ant.*, Vol. XIX, p. 146, note 15). The words “ this land ” are rather unnecessary after the ‘ that land ’ which stands at the beginning of the sentence.

²⁶ *puṭṭaḷiṇuva* seems to be some derivative from *puṭṭari*, ‘ a brave man ; ’ see note 16 above, regarding the *prāsa*.

²⁷ See note 2 above.

²⁸ *lit.* “ as a thief.”

Rājāditya; and then Kannaradēva gave to Būtuga, in token of approbation, the Banavase Twelve-thousand, the Beḷvola Three-hundred, the Purigere Three-hundred, the Kisukād Seventy, and the Bāgenād Seventy.

(L, 21).— Being pleased with the manner in which Maṇalarata stood out in front and pierced (*his foes*), Būtuga gave (*to him*), in token of approbation, for subsistence, the Ātukūr Twelve, and the village of Kōṭeyūr of (*the*) Beḷvola (*country*). (*May there be*) auspicious and great good fortune!

XIII.—KARITALAI STONE INSCRIPTION OF THE REIGN OF THE CHEDI LAKSHIMANARAJA.

BY PROFESSOR F. KIELHORN, PH.D., C.I.E.; GÖTTINGEN.

The stone which bears this inscription¹ was found at Kāritalai, a village in the Muḍwārā Subdivision of the Jabalpur District of the Central Provinces (*Indian Atlas*, sheet No. 89, Latitude 24° 3' North, Longitude 80° 46' East), whence it has been removed to the Jabalpur Museum. And an account of the contents² of the inscription has been given in Sir A. Cunningham's *Archaeological Survey of India Reports*, vol. IX, page 81. I now edit the text from a rubbing, supplied to me by Dr. Burgess.

The inscription is only the concluding portion of an apparently much longer inscription the upper part of which is missing. The writing of what remains covers a space of 3' 8" broad by 4' 3½" high; but of this, again, a large piece, about 1' broad by 1' 8" high, is broken away at the lower proper right corner, and a small piece at the upper left corner. Besides, the stone is broken in several pieces, and some *aksharas* have thereby become damaged or illegible in the body of the inscription. The average size of the letters is 1". The characters, well formed and skilfully engraved, are Nāgari of about the end of the 10th century A.D. The language is Sanskrit; and, excepting the words *yaś-cha*, in line 5, and *kim vahunā*, in line 26, the inscription is in verse. In respect of orthography it will be sufficient to state that *ḥ* is throughout written by the sign for *v*, and that the sign of the *jihvamūliya* once occurs in line 9, and³ the sign of the *upadhmanya* once in line 30.

The missing introductory portion of the inscription in all probability contained a number of verses eulogising the earlier Chedi (or Kalachuri) rulers of Tripurī, especially Yuvarājadeva and Lakshmaṇarāja, who are actually mentioned in the sequel. In verses 2-6 of the extant text we are told that in the family of the sage Bharadvāja there was a Brāhman, named Bhākamiśra, who was chief minister of the king Yuvarājadeva; and in verses 7-17 that he had a son, Someśvara (in verse 9 described as *bhaṭṭa-Someśvara-dīkṣita*), who became minister of the Chedi lord, the illustrious Lakshmaṇarāja. And the proper object of the inscription is to record that this Someśvara built a temple of Viṣṇu, at which the inscription evidently was put up, and which is glorified in verses 18-29. Beyond this, verses 1-29 contain nothing of interest.

¹ See Sir A. Cunningham's *Archaeological Survey of India Reports*, vol. IX, p. 8; also Dr. Fleet's *Gupta Inscriptions*, p. 117.

² This account, which no doubt was furnished by some native assistant, is very incorrect. Here it will be sufficient to say that the word, which was interpreted to denote a place called *Pāṇḍgir* is really a name of the planet Saturn; see line 24 of the text.

From verse 30 it appears that near the temple there was a *pura* (or *brahmapura*, as it is more commonly called) for eight learned Brāhmanas; and the rest of the inscription enumerates various donations, made for their maintenance and in favour of the temple generally. To the Brāhmanas mentioned the king, apparently Lakshmanarāja, gave the village of Dīrghaśākhika (verse 30). To the temple he assigned, on the occasion of a solar eclipse, another village, the name of which has not been preserved (verse 31); while his queen, Rāhadā, gave the village of Chakrahradī (verse 32). Another donation was made, on the occasion of a lunar eclipse, by the illustrious Śaṅka[raṅga, the king's son(?)], a devout worshipper of Viṣṇu (verse 33); and at a solar eclipse were given (it is not certain by whom) the village Chhallipāṭaka in the district of Dhavalaharā and the village Antarapāṭa (verse 34). Several fields, and the village Vaṭagartikā in the Mālā 'group of twelve,' are besides mentioned among the donations to Viṣṇu, after the founder of the temple called 'the holy *Somasvāmideva*, in the mutilated verses 35-37³. And finally verses 38-42 enumerate various taxes and tolls⁴ which had to be paid in favour of the temple.

The kings Yuvarājadeva and Lakshmanarāja, mentioned in this inscription, undoubtedly are Keyūravarsha-Yuvarājadeva and his son Lakshmanarāja, the father of Śaṅkaragaṇa, of the Bilhari inscription (*ante*, vol. I, page 253); and accordingly the present inscription is older than the Bilhari inscription, and must have been composed some time between the middle and end of the 10th century A.D.

Of the localities mentioned in the inscription, none have been identified with certainty; but I suspect Dīrghaśākhika to be the village Dighee of the maps, about 6 miles south-east of Kāritalai (or Kareetullae).

TEXT.⁵

L. 1.⁶

2. 'मेस्त्रोणि पदानि वाचनमज्ञापात्राय कृच्छाद्दौ ।

प्र[त्य]चीकृतदान एष तु जनैः प्रादादनभ्यर्त्थितो

ग्रामान्त्रा(न्त्रा)क्षेत्रजातये व(व)हु[तरास्तु]ष्टान्तरा[त्मा] ७ — [१]⁸—[1].

3. वंशाद्वरहाजमुनेरननाङ्गुणानतो⁹ धर्म इवापरो[भू]त् ।

श्रीभाकमिश्रः क्षितिपप्रणम्यः शश्वत्कृतार्थीकृतमार्गशोधः ॥¹⁰ —[2].

कुण्डितधियणो धिय[णो] . .¹¹

³ The mutilated state of verse 37 makes it impossible to say whether the word *khāriṇīpa*, which occurs in it, should be explained to mean 'a field sown with a *khāri* of grain', or taken as a proper name. If it were a proper name, we should probably have here the older name of Kāritalai.

⁴ I am unable to explain fully all the particulars stated in the mutilated verses 38-42. Verse 40 again contains the word *deśi*, mentioned *ante*, p. 130, note 83.

⁵ From Sir A. Cunningham's rubbing, supplied to me by the editor.

⁶ Of this line only the lower part of about half the number of *akṣaras*, which it contained, is preserved; but what remains is sufficient to show that this was not the first line of the inscription.

⁷ The last *akṣara* of the preceding line must have been नम्. The poet is speaking of the Daitya Bali, with whom he evidently compares a king (probably the Chedi Lakshmanarāja), who must have been spoken of in the lost portion of the inscription.

⁸ Metre: Śārdūlavikrīṭita.

⁹ I should have expected to read here वंशे भरहाजमुनेरन्ने युषोन्नतो.

¹⁰ Metre: Upajāti.

¹¹ The *akṣaras*, here broken away, probably were शौचः, i.e. चरौचः.

L. 4.

सौम्यो वु(बु)धो न वु(बु)धः ।

अकविः कविरपि यस्मादतिग्रहोप्येवमग्रहस्थितं ॥¹² —[3].

यदनवधिवितानप्रञ्चलज्जातवेदस्तततरखरतापोत्तमगात्री धरित्री ।

दिगिभभुज[ग]-

5. राजानन्तकूर्मैः समूहे कलितदवधुपोडानष्टधैर्यैः कथंचित् ॥¹³ —[4].

यश्च ॥

अचोकरत्न¹⁴ न देववेष्मान्यचोखनत्न न वा सरांसि ।अविश्वधत्न¹⁵ न कूपवा[पी]-6. ररूपपद्मवर्णानि कुत्र ॥¹⁶ —[5].

अवाप्य यं त्रीयुवराजदेवो धराधरं प्राच्यमिवांशुमाली ।

प्रापोन्नतिं व्यस्तसमस्तदोषां मन्त्रप्रधानं कुलगोत्रचारं ॥¹⁷ —[6].

न संदिग्धे वे-

7. दे द्रवदवधिवो(बो)हा पदविधेरतर्क्यस्तर्कैष्वप्यनवममतिस्तन्मृगतिषु ।

य आद्यो वेदान्ते स्मरणनिपुणः काव्यसरणेः¹⁸ स तस्यासीत्सुनुः प्रथित इह सोमेश्वर इति ॥¹⁹ —[7].

पुस्ते-

8. नपास्तमतिरप्रतिमखरिते तोर्यत्रिके किमपि यः कुशलो व(ब)भूव ।

हस्यशपालनपरीक्षणकर्माशास्ते रत्नत्रयेप्यनुपमो धुरि धीमतां च ॥²⁰ —[8].

एका कला मूर्ध्नि श[शा]-

9. इमौलेरिन्दोः कलाः पञ्चदश प्रसिद्धाः ।

त्रीमहसोमेश्वरदीक्षितस्य कल्याः²¹ कलाहार्त्तयितुं कृती कः ॥²² —[9].

मेरुः सञ्चितकाञ्चनैकनिचयः पुथन्तुषारोत्करै-

कङ्कती[हर]जा-

10. अ एव हिमवान्नीद्रो गिरिः स्फाटिकः ।

अर्थिप्रत्तममस्तहेमनिवहः प्रहैकवो(बो)धावधिः

सौम्योमावुपमीयते कथमिव स्मामृन्त्रयेणामना ॥²³ —[10].

अपा[स्तकुं]कुमं ये-

11. न नवनीतमनीयत ।

वपुःप्रणयितां यज्ञे वशिभिर्हुःकरं²⁴ हि किं ॥²⁵ —[11].

अपास्तकाञ्चिर्धृत[मंज]रञ्जुर्गलहृगूलो [धृ?]त[दू?]रवासाः ।

अलौकिक[कत्वेन][विशे]षचार्य[दो]-

12. पितां पर्वणि वेष एषः ॥²⁶ —[12].

अग्नीदग्नीन्विह्वर विधिवद्गन्धि होमोपयुक्ता-

मध्वर्यो गां सूचमपि समं चालयाशु सुवेण ।

तसैर्वाभिर्भवतु सविधे गार्हपत्यस्य प-

¹² Metre: Udgiti.¹³ Metre: Mālinī.¹⁴ Originally अचोकरत्न was engraved, but the first aksharas कर are struck out.¹⁵ Originally अविश्वध⁰, but altered to अविश्वध⁰.¹⁶ Metre: Upendravajrā.¹⁷ Metre: Upajāti.¹⁸ It is somewhat doubtful whether °हरणे: or °हरवि: was engraved.¹⁹ Metre: Śikharinī.²⁰ Metre: Vasantatilakā.²¹ Originally कल्याः, altered to कल्याः.²² Metre: Indravajrā.²³ Metre: Śārdūlavikrāṇṭa.²⁴ Read वपुष⁰ and हुंकर.²⁵ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh)²⁶ Metre: Upajāti.

L. 13.

बो-

त्वेवं प्रोचुर्गृहशुकगणा यज्वनो यस्य सन्धौ ॥²⁷ —[13].

अन्तर्व्यशिक वासमन्दिरमही गन्धोदकीः चान्यतां

वारस्त्रीजन देहि भास्वरशिखा[१]ब्रप्रदीपान्व(न्व)हन् ॥(1)

त्वं मे-

14. रश्मि निधेहि गन्धमखिलं रात्रि त्वमप्याद्रता

वेपे स्या इति शा(सा)रिकोदितमभूत्सायं [य]दन्तःपुर²⁸ ॥²⁹ —[14].

विश्वेपि विश्वजित्कोटिमगमन्मसतन्तवः ।

[स*][र्व]स्वं ददतस्तस्य श्रीमच्चंदीन्द्र[म]-

15. न्निग्नः ॥³¹ —[15].

[शस्तं] यद्यज्ञभूमौ रणशिरसि सदा शमितं होतृसूते-

गीतिं पड्जाटिभेदं रतिमधुरगिरोज्ञातृभिर्गीयकैश्च ।

अध्वर्युः [क]र्मवर्त्यः प्रचरति च करी दानसंसि-

16. क्तहस्त-

स्तस्य श्रीभट्टसोमेश्वरगुणगणनं के वयं तुच्छवाचः ॥³¹ —[16].

वात³² त्वमाधिराहं [भ]ग्नति दोला भूतिश्रमात्किञ्चित् ।

17. श्रीमन्नक्षत्रराज स्कन्धमदायस्य दोलायां ॥³¹ —[17].

प्रामादोयमकारि तेन कृतिना देवस्य दैत्यद्रुहः

श्रीमच्चंदिनरन्ध्रमन्त्रितिलकेनाच्छादिताशामुखः ।

यस्योच्चैः [पव]नाहतो ध्वजपटः प्रह्वान्वियज्ञामिनां(नः)³³

संज्ञां [व्या]-

18. घुटने करोत्यविरतं रुद्धाध्वनोतिध्रुवं ॥³⁶ —[18].

ऋजुरति व(व)हिरन्तरादधानः कुटिलतरत्वमधःकृतान्यकीर्तिः । ।

[पि] ७ ७ इव समुद्रतो विभाति प्रकटविलङ्घितसर्व्वलोक एषः ॥³⁸ —[19].

19. लक्ष्मीन्दधानोपि पु[र]े ददानो विमुक्तिमादर्शि(शि)तविश्वरूपः ॥

[क]क्षामगाहिथ्यत दानवाग्नेरौष्यया[द्य] ७ ७ [वा]मनत्वं ॥³⁷ —[20].

अलमलमुरुवंशप्रान्तसंसक्तशुक्लांशुकघटित[श]-

20. रीरेणोच्छ्रितेन ध्वज[न] ।

³⁸ कनक[क]लशरोचिर्हृण्डलग्नप्रवाहामरसरिदिह धत्ते केतुतामेव नित्यं ॥³⁹ —[21].

[उ]न्नतानां परिष्वङ्गो गुणाय महते यतः ।

अपि दुर्हर्षनो भानुर्भवल-

²⁷ Metre, Mandākrāntā.

²⁸ The *ashara* in brackets was originally omitted, and is engraved below the line.

²⁹ Metre, Śārdūlavikrāṇḍita.

³⁰ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

³¹ Metre, Śragdharā.

³² The exact meaning of this line I do not understand.

³³ Metre, Āryā

³⁴ This correction appears to have been made already in the original.

³⁵ Metre, Śārdūlavikrāṇḍita.

³⁶ Metre, Pushpitāgrā.

³⁷ Metre, Upajāti.

³⁸ The *ashara* in brackets was originally omitted, and is engraved below the line.

³⁹ Metre, Māluf.

L. 21.

त सुदर्श(र्श)नः ॥⁴⁰ — [22].

ध्वजपटविकटान्तभ्रान्तिसर्पत्सभीरे मिलदमरसरिक्तेवश्यमस्थीत्तमाङ्गे ।
तरणिरननुभूतं यामयुग्माभिगम्ये निजरथतुरगाणां वातपृष्ठन्द-⁴¹

22.

[दा]ति ॥⁴² — [23].

अस्योक्तुङ्गो[त्त]माङ्गानवगतगमनोद्धृष्टिसीदद्रथाङ्गः
प्रातः प्रातर्द्दिनेशो घटयति युगलं चक्रयोर्वीतसंख्यं⁴³ ।
शङ्क कूटान्तरालस्थपुटनिपतनीत्या-

23.

७ — — ७ [द]ण्डः

सायं सायं जनानां⁴⁴ कलयति नितरामक्षवर्गं समग्रं ॥⁴⁵ — [24].
नयति रथममुञ्चेद्विष्णोर्नोत्तरेण क्षरति हि दिवसानां कुञ्चनप्राञ्चनानि ।
त-

24.

७ ७ ७ ७ ७ — — [म]रुयातेप्यभिज्ञो भुवमनभिविलंबं प्राप्य सोदत्वमन्दं ॥⁴⁶ — [25].

अलङ्कारशिखरश्रेणिगलङ्कनव्यसनादिव ।
पातङ्गिरङ्ग(ङ्गि)व्यापत्तिरसपात्रमभू-

25.

७ — [॥^x] ⁴⁷ — [26].

— — — ७ ७ — [व]राहचरणक्षोदादुदञ्चत्किणं
पृष्ठं मे तव तत्त्व[णोद्धृ]तविसप्राया शिरःसन्ततिः ।
मा गाङ्गङ्गमितीपसर्प्य निदधे तत्तावदेतद्ध्रुवं
कर्मस्यापि [व]-

26.

— ७ — ७ ७ ७ — — — ७ वाहे भवन् ॥⁴⁸ — [27].

किं व(व)हुना ॥
सर्व[प्रासा]दराजोयं नियतं प्रतिभाति नः ।
यदस्य केतुदण्डाग्रलग्नम्हत्वा(क्षा)यते विधुः ॥⁴⁹ — [28].
स्थयादाकल्पमेतत्सु-

27.

७ ७ ७ ७ ७ — — ७ — — [रसुख्य]-

व(व)क्ष्याण्डाधारमूर्ध्नि [दृढ]तरजगतीनिचलोभावशङ्कोः ।
कल्पम्यार्वास्थिति स्तान्मुररिपुशरणं यावदेतच्चकास्ती-
त्येतच्चाशसनं

28.

— ७ ७ ७ ७ ७ ७ — — ७ — — [क्र]रूपं ॥⁵⁰ — [29].

न्यवोविश[त्युरे] चास्मिन्नष्टौ भटान्वसूनिव ।
दीर्घशाखिकनामानमभ्यो ग्राममदादृपः ॥⁵¹ — [30].
श्रीमल्लक्षणराजो ग्रामं देवा-

⁴⁰ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).⁴¹ Read "भूतं and वातपृष्ठन्द".⁴² Metre, Mālinī.⁴³ This may have been altered to "संख्यं" in the original.⁴⁴ Possibly this has been altered to "सत्तानां".⁴⁵ Metre, Ślagdharā.⁴⁶ Metre, Mālinī.⁴⁷ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).⁴⁸ Metre, Śārdūlavikrāṇita.⁴⁹ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).⁵⁰ Metre, Ślagdharā.⁵¹ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

L. 29.

. [1^x]. दादस्मै ग्रहे भानोः ॥⁵³ —[31].

मृपानमत्या भक्त्या च स्वीयया तस्य राहडा ।

ग्रामं चक्रद्वीमस्मै महादेवी व्यग्रिग्रणत् ॥⁵⁴ —[32].

श्रीशङ्ख-

30. स्मै ।

देवाय दानशीण्डो ग्रहणे सोमस्य वेणाव७परमः ॥⁵⁵ —[33].

धवलहरामं व(व)डं प्रायच्छच्छिपाटकं ग्रामं ।

भानोरन्तरपाटं ग्रहणे देवा-

31. [11^x]⁵⁶ —[34].

. [लन्नेत्र]कं ददौ ।

तत्समीपे वराहाय क्षेत्रं द्वादशश्वणिडकं ॥⁵⁷ —[35].

मालाद्वादशके ग्रामं शमनं षट्गर्तिकां ।

स्वकीये शमनं श्रीमान्व[ले]

32. [11^x] —[36].

. [यतः] ।

श्रीसोमस्वामिदेवाय खारीवापमिहागतः ॥ —[37].

पुरपत्तनयोः स्थानः⁵⁷ शक्लामकादगीं ददौ ।

द्वादशीमपि गात्रायाः षो-

33. [11^x] —[38].

. का तथा ।

नित्यमकां षट्ठीं गोण्णां षोडशीद्वितयं तथा ॥ —[39].

कषायपञ्चके देशिर्दानमध्ये न्यवेदयत् ।

मार्द्धद्वाविंशमं च वा(बा)ह्मं पाद-

34. [11^x] —[40].

. ।

[वागू]लिकप्रधानश्च पर्णपञ्चाशतं ददौ । (11) —[41].

पर्णपञ्चाशदपरा दत्ता पायटिभिस्तथा ।

खलभिच्चाशतस्रश्च मण्डलं सकलं ददौ ॥ —[42].

⁵³ Metre, Āryā.⁵⁴ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).⁵⁵ Metre, Udgīti.⁵⁶ Metre, Āryā.⁵⁷ Metre, from here to the end, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).⁵⁸ All this is quite clear in the rubbing.

XIII.—NAGPUR STONE INSCRIPTION OF THE RULERS OF MALAVA.

THE [VIKRAMA] YEAR 1161.

BY PROFESSOR F. KIELHORN, PH.D., C.I.E., GÖTTINGEN.

This inscription, which is on a slab of stone in the Nāgpur Museum, was first edited and translated into English, in 1813, by Bāl Gaṅgādhara Śāstri, from a copy sent to him by Dr. Stevenson, who had received it from Mr. L. R. Reid.¹ And a few years later it was re-edited, with a German translation, by Professor Lassen.² In addition to the previously published text Lassen was enabled to use a copy of the text sent to him by Sir W. Erskine, which was reported to have been taken from a copper-plate at Sattārā.³ On the value of the two published editions it is unnecessary to express an opinion, but I may say that Professor Lassen once or twice has unjustly censured the Hindu translator for having misunderstood the original, in passages where the English translation, if not altogether correct, shows its author to have had a fairly accurate idea of what the writer of the original meant to say. And I must add that, for the proper understanding of some really difficult verses, more help may be derived from the English than from the German translation. I now re-edit this inscription from excellent estampages, prepared and supplied to me some time ago by Dr. Fleet and Dr. Burgess.

The main body of the inscription contains 40 lines of writing which cover a space of 4' 5½" broad by 2' 8¼" high; and beneath it, and separated from it by a narrow empty space, is an additional line about 4' 11½" long. No part of the writing is entirely lost, but the lower half of the stone has suffered greatly, apparently from exposure to the weather, and in consequence many single *aksharas* and whole groups of words are either badly damaged or only faintly visible. I nevertheless hope that, with the exception of about a dozen syllables (in lines 21, 33, and 37) which I have been unable to restore, and a few others which have been marked as doubtful, my transcript may be taken to be an accurate and trustworthy copy of the original text. The size of the letters in lines 1-40 is about ⅝", in the separate line 41 about ¾". The characters are Nāgarī of the beginning of the 12th century A. D.; they include the sign of the *jihvāmūliya*⁴ in *vāshpāmbhalikaṇa*, in line 12. The language is Sanskrit; and, excepting the introductory *om om namo Bhāratyai* and the date *sam 1161* at the end of line 40, the inscription is throughout in verse. The whole has been written and engraved with such care and accuracy that the orthography is almost perfect and calls for very few remarks. Except in the word *nirbhara*, in line 18,⁵ the letter *b* is throughout denoted by the sign for *v*. Besides, attention need only be drawn to the wrong spellings °*shanna* for °*shaṇṇa*, in lines 6 and 10, *nistrīmśa* for *nistrīmśa*, in line 16, *chakshuḥkarṇam* for *chakshuḥkarṇam*, in

¹ See *Journal, Bombay Asiatic Society*, vol. I, p. 259.

² See *Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenlandes*, vol. VII, p. 194.

³ According to Lassen his copy was marked on the title page 'Sanskrit No. 57,' and he believed it to have belonged to a collection of transcripts of inscriptions at Bombay. Having studied Lassen's text as well as his notes, and compared his various readings with my estampages, I feel certain that, what Lassen was told about the origin of the copy sent to him, was incorrect, and that there never has existed such a copper-plate as is mentioned by him. In my opinion, what he received from Sir W. Erskine was simply another transcript of the stone inscription, made by a Pāṇḍit and brought to Bombay. To prove this in detail would be a waste of time. It will be sufficient to say that Lassen's copy furnishes no help wherever the stone is damaged, and that its readings in these places are just such as might be suggested by an intelligent Pāṇḍit.

⁴ This sign of the *jihvāmūliya* is hardly to be distinguished here from the sign for śā:

⁵ But not in line 41, where we read *nirbhara*.

line 24, *tajñair* for *tajñair*, in line 35, and *vidhadhram* for *vidhadhram*, in line 41. The language is correct.⁶ It contains several uncommon words; but the only word which is used in a sense for which I can find no authority is *brahmaṇḍakhaṇḍa*, apparently denoting 'the vault of heaven' or 'the firmament,' in lines 5, 7, 11, and 13. As regards versification, the author has twice offended against an elementary rule of metrics, in line 3 of verse 28 and line 1 of verse 31. The style of the whole poem is highly bombastic and artificial, and the author has not without reason (in verse 57) exhorted his readers to exert themselves well and to make their intellect sharp as the point of *kuśa* grass.

The inscription is a *Prakāśī* or laudatory account of the Paramāra rulers of Mālava, from Vairisimha (II.) to Lakshmadeva, the son of Udayāditya and (probably elder) brother of Naravarmadeva; but, compared with its great length, the actual facts reported in it are few indeed. Beginning with seven benedictory verses, the poet (in verses 8-15) tells the well-known fable how on mount Arbuda (or Ābū) the sage Vasishṭha, when his wonderful cow Nandini was being carried off by Viśvāmītra, produced from the sacred fire the hero Paramāra, who defeated Viśvāmītra and became afterwards the founder of the royal family here eulogized. The first king of this family, mentioned by the poet, is Vairisimha (vv. 16-19). He was succeeded by his son Sīyaka (vv. 20-22); and after him came his son Muñjarāja (vv. 23-25), Muñjarāja's younger brother Sindhurāja (vv. 26-28), and Sindhurāja's son Bhojadeva (vv. 29-31). The description of these five kings is purely conventional and for the historian worthless. In verse 32 the poet intimates that Bhojadeva's end was unfortunate; and he relates that, during the troubles which then had befallen the realm, Bhojadeva's relative Udayāditya became king, whose great achievement was that he freed the land from the dominion of (the Chedi) Karna who, joined by the Karnāṭas,⁷ had swept over the earth like a mighty ocean (vv. 32-34). Udayāditya was succeeded by his son Lakshmadeva, the glorification of whom takes up no less than twenty verses (vv. 35-54). According to the poet's account Lakshmadeva subjugated the earth in all directions; but the only tangible and probably true facts mentioned are an expedition undertaken against Tripurī (v. 39), the well-known capital of the Chedi kingdom, and perhaps some fights with the Turushkas or Muhammadan invaders alluded to in verse 54, which speaks of the king's encampment on the banks of the river Vaṅkshu, and contains a well-known play on the word *kira*.⁸

To the above laudatory account verses 55 and 56 add, that Lakshmadeva, at the time of a solar eclipse, had granted, it is not clear to whom, two villages in the Vyāpura *maṇḍala*, and that his brother, the king Naravarmadeva, afterwards assigned the village of Mokhalapāṭaka instead. Naravarmadeva, moreover, ordered (the architect) Lakshmidhara to build the temple at which this inscription was put up, and which is said to have been adorned with many eulogies and hymns composed by (the king) himself. From this last remark I feel strongly inclined to believe that this *prākāśī*, the author of which is not mentioned, was likewise composed by no less a personage than the king Naravarmadeva.

⁶ The use of *udbhavat* in the first compound of verse 20, for *udbhava*. I ascribe to an error of the writer.

⁷ As Karna is joined here with the Karnāṭas, so the lord of Chedi apparently is joined with the Karnāṭas in the Udaypur *Prākāśī*, ante, vol. I, p. 235, line 20.

⁸ See, e.g., above, p. 15, verse 12.

This main part of the inscription closes with the date, the (Vikrama) year 1161 = A. D. 1104-5. The additional line 41 (vv. 57 and 58) appeals to the reader to study carefully and with impartiality and to appreciate properly the poem, here set before him.

The history of the earlier Paramāras of Mālava, down to Udayāditya, has been fully treated of by Professor Bühler, *ante*, vol. I, pp. 223-233. The names of the later rulers of the same dynasty I have given from their copper-plate grants in *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XIX, pp. 346-347. All these later records make Naravarman the immediate successor of Udayāditya, and so does the inscription from Madhukargadh, the substance of which is given in *the Transactions Roy. As. Soc.*, vol. I, p. 226. But from the present inscription it is clear that Udayāditya was succeeded by his son Lakshmadeva, and he by his brother Naravarman; and the dates available show that Lakshmadeva's reign falls between the years A. D. 1080 and 1104.

TEXT.⁹

- I. 1. श्री¹⁰ [॥*] श्री नमो भारत्यै ॥
 प्रसादौदार्यमाधुर्यममाधिसमतादयः ।
 युवयोर्यं गुणाः सन्ति वारद्वयौ तेषु सन्तु नः ॥¹¹—[1].
 एक एव भुवनत्रयं स श्रीपतिर्भवतु वा विभूतये ।
 यस्य मध्यमपदस्थितोऽप्यमी भास्करप्रभृतयश्चासति ॥¹²—[2].
 जातिं वृत्तञ्च वि[भ्राणा]¹³ गु-
 2. णालंकारचारवः ।
 सरसाश्च प्रसीदन्तु सूक्तयः सूरयश्च नः ॥¹⁴—[3].
 दुर्हरारिपुरभङ्गभीषणो भूरिभूतिसविशेष[भूषणः]¹⁵ ।
 [रा]जराजकृतसत्त्वियः क्रियाहृद्भिर्वंशसदृशः शिवः शिवं ॥¹⁶—[4].
 जाता महार्णवात्पद्मे व्र[त्र]ह्माण्डशुक्तिमं पुटे ।
 महेश[स्यासि]-
 3. ता मुक्ता जयन्त्यश्भोजयोनयः ॥¹⁷—[5].
 वैराग्यं च सरागतां च नृशिरोमालां च माल्यानि च
 व्याघ्रानेकपचर्मणी च वसने चार्हीश्च हारादि च ।
 यद्धतिं च विलेपनं च भजति भीमं च भयं च त-
 दिश्याद्रूपमुमारमारमणयोर्भुक्तिं च मुक्तिं च वः ॥¹⁸—[6].
 वैश्वरूप्यं सम[भ्य]-
 4. स्य मीनाद्याकृतिकेतवात् ।
 स्वाभिन्ननिर्मिताशेषविश्वो विष्णुः पुनातु वः ॥¹⁹—[7].

⁹ From impressions supplied to me by Dr. Fleet and Dr. Burgess.

¹⁰ Expressed by a symbol.

¹¹ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

¹² Metre, Rathoddhatā.

¹³ Read विभ्राणा. The *akṣharas* in brackets are much damaged, but I believe the reading to be correct. There is no doubt about the words जातिं वृत्तञ्च.

¹⁴ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

¹⁵ The *akṣharas* in these brackets are almost entirely illegible.

¹⁶ Metre, Rathoddhatā.

¹⁷ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

¹⁸ Metre, Śāṇḍilyakṛīṭa.

¹⁹ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

अस्ति ग्रस्तगिरीन्द्रगर्वगरिमा नीलाश्रमसानूजम-

त्वान्तिव्रातविडम्बि(म्बि)ताम्ब(म्ब)रतलः श्रीमान्गन्दोर्बु(र्बु)दः ।

यस्य व्यामतलोद्विलङ्घिशिखरप्राग्भारपद्माकर-

प्रद्वत्पद्मपरागचक्रमि-

L. 5. तरत्र(त्र)ह्याण्डखण्डायते ॥²⁰—[8].

देवैरावृतमभ्रमण्डलमिदं मर्त्यं भूभण्डलं

कृत्वा धर्मतुलायमानं वपुषो यस्यान्तर्धान्यस्य च ।

जाने यावदवैतुमिच्छति विधिः किं गृहमित्येतयो-

रुर्ह्येतावदगादमर्त्यशिखरिस्तम्भान्भोमण्डलं ॥ —[9].

लिभे विभिद्य जलधिप्र-

6. धिभूमिचक्रमाकाशचक्रमपि येन दिगन्तनेमि ।

संसारवर्त्मनि महाविषमं निपन्न(ण्ण)भग्नोन्नतैकतटविश्वरथाक्षलक्ष्मीः ॥²¹—[10].

तस्मिन्वेदविदां वरः स भगवानाकाशगङ्गापयः-

पूरप्तावितकान्तक्रोमन्तटं तटिष्ठदसिष्ठो मुनिः ।

यस्त्वैतानलधूमवर्त्तियमु-

7. नां प्रीत्ये पितुर्व्र(र्ब्र)ह्मणो

गङ्गामङ्गमिदयं समनयद्(द्)ह्याण्डखण्डं प्रति ॥²²—[11].

विद्यामहासरिदुपान्तविवर्त्तिधोरसंसारसैकतविषक्तममक्तमेतं ।

यस्य त्रिलोकरथमुत्पथमप्रवृत्तमुत्तरयन्ति शतशोऽप्युपदेशधुर्याः ॥²³—[12].

आयातस्य कदाचन क्षितिपतेराच्छिन्दतः कौशिक-

स्याति-

8. थ्योचितवस्तुजातजननादानन्दिनीं नन्दिनीं ।

निर्जंता कुपितेन तेन हविषा संहर्षिताह(ह)र्षिणी

वीरः श्रीपरमार इत्यनुपमः सत्याभिधानोभवत् ॥²⁴—[13].

राज्यवर्द्धनविशालपद्मभ्रमस्त्यकेतुपृथुकीर्त्तिपार्थिवः ।

वर्द्धतयमहिमांशुचन्द्रमः संततिप्रतिकृतिर्यदन्वय-

9. : ॥²⁵—[14].

वराजराजराजितोनलोद्भवः सभारतः ।

ग्रहेन्द्रचन्द्रयोरिव व्यजायतायमन्वयः ॥ ॐ ॥²⁶—[15].

नशेच्छिन्नेरिसिंहः क्षितिपतिरभवद्भूरिभूतिप्रभाव-

प्रागल्भ्यौदार्यशौर्यप्रचयपरिचयप्राज्यसौराज्यमिद्विः ।

नमस्त्वापालभालस्थलदलितलुलत्वान्तको-

10. टोरकोटि-

तुल्यश्लाणिकचक्रस्थपुटितमणिमत्पादपोठोपकण्ठः ॥²⁷—[16].

सर्वाशाविजयप्रयाणसमये यस्येन्द्रनीलप्रभै-

²⁰ Metre of verses 8 and 9, Śārdūlavikrīṭita.

²¹ Metre, Vasantatilakā.

²² Metre, Śārdūlavikrīṭita.

²³ Metre, Vasantatilakā.

²⁴ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīṭita.

²⁵ Metre, Rathoddhātā.

²⁶ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

²⁷ Metre, Śragdharā.

भ्यायूरातपवारणैः शुशुभिरै नष्टावकाशा दिशः ।
 मर्ष्यन्तकरीन्द्रचक्रचरणप्राग्भारदीर्घस्थिरा-
 रन्ध्रोद्धृतविषन्न(गण)शेषसविष-

L. 11. खासावरुद्धा इव ॥²²—[17].

पातालं वडवामुखानलमिषात्पृथ्वीतले च स्फुर-
 त्त्वैवगर्णाचलकैतवाद्द्वयति च व्र(ब्र)ह्माण्डखण्डच्छलात् ।
 [च]ञ्चत्काञ्चनचक्रवालवलयव्याजाञ्च दिग्गणले
 यस्याद्यापि समुल्लसत्यविचलीभूतः प्रतापानलः ॥ —[18].
 स्वर्णकिेषु च विद्विषत्क्षितिषु च व्यालेन्द्रगेहेषु च

12. स्वाराजं च रिपुव्रजं च मूर्जजिन्नागाधिराजं च यः ।
 ऐश्वर्येण च विक्रमेण च धराभारक्षमत्वेन च
 न्यकुर्व्वञ्च पराभवंश्च समतिक्रामंश्च पृथ्वीमपात् ॥ १९ —[19].

तस्माद्देविनृपावरीधनवधुवैधव्यदःखोद्धव-

द्वा(वा)प्याश्व७कणशान्तकोपदहनः श्रीमीयकोभृन्नृपः ।

13. आविर्भावितनृतनस्थितिरयं व्र(ब्र)ह्माण्डखण्डच्छला-
 द्यस्याद्यापि विनोक्तं विद्य[द]धोधूमः²³ प्रतापानलः ॥ —[20].
 अन्नगगनमुदस्यः स्थूलसुक्तीश्वया यं यदसिदलितकुप्यत्कुम्भिकुम्भस्थलभ्यः ।
 सततमपि पतन्तस्तस्य यावन्न पृथ्वीं पृथुलतरन्लताराव्या-

14. चभाजो भजन्ते ॥²¹—[21].

अत्याद्ययमदृष्टमश्रुतमिदं कस्मै समाचक्ष्महे

को न्वतत्प्रतिपद्यते च तदपि प्रस्तूयते कौतुकात् ।

उद्धृत्यापि ५ मुं धराममदृशीं लब्ध्वा(भूवा)पि लक्ष्मीं च यः

कुर्व्वन्कार्यमनकशः सुमनसामागात्र वैकुण्ठता ॥ २० ॥²¹—[22].

तस्माद्दे-

15. विवरुथिनीव(व)हुविधप्रारब्ध(व्य)[यु]द्धाध्वर-
 प्रध्वंसैकपिनाकपाणिगरजनि श्रीमुञ्जराजो नृपः ।
 प्रायः प्रावृतवान्निपालयिषया यस्य प्रतापानलो
 लोकालोकमहामहोद्धवलवयव्याजाम्महिमण्डलं ॥ —[23].
 यस्मिन्मर्षयति लीलयति ललितः सैन्यैः समुज्ज-

16. श्रितं

वाङ्मय्यञ्जविमारिधूलिपटलव्यालुप्तदिग्मण्डलं ।

अत्यद्री[न्द्र]करीन्द्र[मञ्च]यपदप्रेङ्गोलनोच्छृङ्खल-

प्रेङ्गच्छृङ्खलनादनिर्भरभृतव्र(ब्र)ह्माण्डभागोदरैः ॥ —[24].

यस्मिंस्तृ(स्तिं)शनिरस्तमस्तकतया लब्ध्वा(भूवा)न्दया दुर्लभं

देवत्वं स्वकव(त)न्ममु[ह]तमधो दृष्ट्वा भटै-

²² Metre of verses 17-20, Śārdūlavikṛīḍita.

²³ Originally विद्यदर्शो²⁴ was engraved, but it has been altered to विद्यदर्शो²⁵.

²⁴ Metre, Mālinī.

²⁵ Metre of verses 22-26, Śārdūlavikṛīḍita.

L 17.

व्यंष्टितं ।

सहर्षात्पततो विमानशिखरादान्निथ कण्ठे हठा-

हीरा[भ्रङ्ग]ररागिणो रुधिरं संभूय सिद्धाङ्गनाः ॥ ॐ ॥ —[25].

तस्मात्तोदय पार्थिवः प्रयुयशाः श्रीसिधुराजोनुजः

स्फूर्जद्वाडवपावकस्फुट[म]हः[सौन्द]र्यशौर्यान्लः ।

यः संयामयु-

18. गान्तवन्ति तभुजादुर्वीतदूरोल्लस-

त्कल्लोलायितमण्डलाग्रप[ट]लिनामज्जयद्भूतः ॥ —[26].

प्रजति जयिनि यत्नामितजातेन जज्ञे तरलतुरगवेगोद्भूतभूरेणुराजिः ।

विकटकरटिभारभ्रष्टभूष्टरन्ध्रादुदित इव समस्तादस्तकालाग्निधूमः ॥³²—[27].

गाभीर्यं प्रल-

19. यागर्णवस्य च व(ब)लं कल्पास्तवातस्य च

स्थेमानं कमठेशितुश्च [गुरु]तां [व्र(ब्र)ह्माण्ड]भाण्डस्य च ।

तेजः कालहुताशनस्य च महीयस्त्वं दुचक्रस्य च

स्त्रीकृत्येव विनिर्मितं यमविदुः प्रत्याजि पृथ्वीभुजः ॥ ॐ ॥³³—[28].

तत्सूनुर्भुवनैकभूषणमभूद्भूपालचूडामणि-

20. ष्छायाडम्ब(म्ब)रचुस्त्रि(म्ब)तांक्रिकमलः श्रीभोजदेवी नृपः ।

यस्माद्या[पि] स[माश्र]यन्ति चरणौ शक्नासना[ध्या]सिनः³⁴

स्यर्वाव(व)भविनमनिर्जरनटत्कोटीरकोटित्विषः ॥ —[29].

रटत्पटहपाटवप्रकटभृङ्गरस्फूर्जितस्फुरड्डमरुडम्ब(म्ब)रोड्डमरडिण्डिमोड्डामरा ।

स्फु-

21. टत्करटकुञ्जरप्रपदसंपतत्संभ्रमभ्रमद्भवन[म]भ्रमज्जग[ति] यश्चमू[रुच]कैः ॥³⁵—[30].

वकुण्ठः कमलासनाय चतुरास्याय स्वयंभूः पुनः

पञ्चास्याय हराय शम्भुरपि षड्भ्राय पुत्राय च ।

सनानीरपि दन्दशूकपतयेजस्रं सहस्रानना-

याद्यापि स्पृहय-

22. त्वमर्त्यसमितौ य[त्कीर्त्ति]मुत्कीर्त्तयन् ॥ ॐ ॥³⁶—[31].

तस्मिन्वासव(व)भुतामुपगते राज्ये च कुल्याकुलि

ममस्वामिनि तस्य व(व)भुरुदयादित्योभवद्भूपतिः ।

येनोद्भूत्य महापर्णवोपममिलत्कर्णाटकर्णप्र[भु]-

सुर्वीपालकदर्शितां भुवमिमां श्रीमहराहायितं ॥ —[32].

य-

23. आदुयतरप्रताप[पवनो]पाकूटदुर्धृता-

सादृश्योत्तरविभ्रमादभिमुखैः प्रापश्च यैः पञ्चता ।

³² Metre, Mālinī.³³ Metre of verses 28 and 29, Śārdūlavikrīḍita. The third line of verse 28 has no caesura after the 12th syllable.³⁴ The *akṣhara* in brackets looks as if originally वी had been engraved.³⁵ Metre, Prithivī.³⁶ Metre of verses 31-37, Śārdūlavikrīḍita. Here, again, the first line of verse 31 has no caesura after the 12th syllable.

मन्त्रे सोयमिति प्रतीतिविततामर्षप्रकर्षेण ते
भि[क्षा] भास्करमण्डलं रिपुभटाः प्रापुः परां निर्वृतिं ॥ —[33].
एकस्यां समितौ विलोक्य विजयं य-

L. 24.

स्थापरस्यां स्तुव-

[नस्वी?] — — [वक्त?]तां समर्थयति दृग्जिह्वासहस्रद्वये ।
किंत्वानन्दनिमीलितेक्षणतया श्रौतैः सुखैर्व्विञ्चित-
³⁷श्चक्षुःकर्णमकर्णमप्यहिपतिः स्वीयं वपुर्विन्दति ॥ ॐ ॥ —[34].
पुत्रस्तस्य जगत्त्रयैकतरणेः सम्यक्प्रजापालन-
व्यापारप्र-

25.

वणः प्रजापतिरिव श्रीलङ्गदेवीभवत् ।

नीत्या येन मनुस्तथानुविदधे नासौ न वैवस्वतः
सर्व्वत्रापि सदाप्यवर्द्धत यथा कीर्त्तिर्नैवैव स्वतः ॥ —[35].
संभूय भ्रियतां गुरुर्व्व(ब्ब)लभराङ्गः कूर्मराजादयः
सद्यो नश्यत [वा दु]तं नमत वा प्रत्य-

26.

धिपृथ्वीभुजः ।

चक्षुर्धृष्टु पिधीयतामनिमिषाः पांसुः पुरा पूरय-
त्वेवं व्याहरति प्रयाणपटहो यस्य स्वनच्छद्मना ॥ —[36].
यस्मिन्सर्प[ति] वा(बा)श्ववोपि विधुरैः पूर्व्वं परित्यज्यते
कल्याणस्य कथापि कातरतया नापेक्ष्यते दक्षिणैः ।
आशावह्निरस-

27.

त्फलिति विकलैर्निश्चीयते पश्चिमै-

र्मर्तु केवलमुत्तमैर्नृपतिभिर्हंधाप्ययोध्यास्यते ॥ —[37].
प्रयाति यस्मिन्प्रथमं दिशं हरैर्ज्जिह्वीर्षयानन्यसमानदन्तिनां ।
यथाविशहोडपतेः पुरं दर[स्तथा]ग्रशङ्के सहसा पुरंदरः ॥³⁸—[38].
उत्साहोन्नतिसस्मिन्मत्तजनि-

28.

ताजसप्रयाणक्रमे-

णाक्रम्य त्रिपुरीं रथैकरसिकान्वि[ध्वं]स्य विद्वेषिणः ।
येनावस्थत विन्ध्यनिर्भरमरुत्संचारचारुक्षस-
न्नोलोद्यानलतावितानवसती रेवोपकण्ठ[स्य]लि ॥³⁹—[39].
जातानि जन्म्यममार्जनानि वीजानि यत्कुञ्जरमञ्जनानि ।
तटाचलो-

29.

श्चाटनतत्पराया रेवाप्रवाहोर्मिपरंपरायाः ॥⁴⁰—[40].

ये व्यालोलकरालनिर्भरकराः कुम्भायमानोन्नम-
त्कूटास्ताः कटकान्तभागविगलहानायमानाश्वसः ।
प्रायस्तेपि विरोधिसिन्धुरधिया यद्वाहिनीवारणै-
रुन्मीलनमदमेदुरैर्व्वि(र्व्वि)भिदिरे विन्ध्यस्य

³⁷ Read चक्षुः.³⁸ Metre, Upendravajrā³⁹ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīḍita.⁴⁰ Metre, Upajāti.

L. 30.

पादाचलाः ।(॥)⁴¹—[41].

स्फार[त्वक्सा]रवारस्वगितगुरुतटीकूटकुट्टाकटङ्क-

प्रायप्रेङ्गत्सुरापलरित[ह]रिचमूचकच[ङ्ग]म्यमाणाः ।

येनालङ्कान्त सेनाकरिकरटतटोद्दामदानासु(स्व)गन्ध-

व्याविहागण्यवन्धपकुलपटलध्यामला विन्ध्यपादाः ॥⁴²—[42].

ये दिक्लिभुरव(व)-

31.

न्धवः क्षयमरुक्मोलाद्रिस[त्ता]भृतः

क्रीडाक्रीडकुट्स्व(स्व)का[न्ति]जलमुक्कम(व)द्वाचर्याजुषः ।

यत्नेमानृपगन्धसिभुरमरुक्मौद्रोविहस्तीकृतै-

स्त्रैरप्यङ्गकलिङ्गकुञ्जरकुलैर्युद्धा[य] व(व)द्वोञ्जलिः ॥⁴³—[43].

देवासौ पुरुषोत्तमः स भगवानाशिन्त्रिये यः श्रिया

32. येनदं व(व)लिर्वैरिव(व)न्धविधिना विश्वं समाश्वासितं ।

येनाधारि वसुन्धरेति दधतः सानन्दमन्दाक्षतां

यस्य प्राच्यपयोनिधौ तु(वु)धजनैर्व्याजस्तुतिः प्रस्तुता ॥ —[44].

ये कल्पानलधूममण्डलनिभाः कादम्बि(म्बि)नीविहिषः

संवर्त्तोक्षसितान्धकारसुदृष्टदृष्ट्य-

33.

हियद्वा(वा)न्धवाः ।

[व] — — ७ ७ [आहव]श्रमनुदे पा[यो]वगाहोद्यतै-

र्यक्षामन्तमतङ्गजैरधरितास्तेष्वु(स्व)धेरुर्मयः ॥ —[45].

कुश्रमंभवसोदयं यत्नापाचीमुपा[र्च्छ]ति ।

चोलाद्यैर्नी[च]कै[र्भू]त्वा विन्ध्यवा(वा)न्धवतादधे ॥⁴⁶—[46].

ली[ला]भःप्रवने यदीयपुतनासामन्त-

34.

सीमन्तिनी-

श्रोणि[श्रे]णि[विशी]र्यमाणर[श]नामुक्ताः पतन्ति स्म याः ।

ताभिः संप्रति पप्रथेनु पृथिवीं यत्तान्नपण्णीपयः

पश्चाद्यापि तदेव पाण्ड्यनृपते[र्जी]वातवे [जा]य[ते] ॥⁴⁷—[47]

स्वामिन्नेष स संतुरत्रभवतो रामस्य यो मारुति-

प्रायोपाहृत-

35.

शैलशृङ्गरचितो वर्द्धि[ष्णु]विन्ध्या]यते ।

इत्या[द्]त्य कुतूहलिन कथितं तन्ने(ज्ज्ञै)रवन्नाय यः

सेनाह्यस्तिकसंतुनैव विदधे द्वोपान्तरोपक्रमं ॥ —[48].

अथावभज्योभयथा यमाशां यस्या[नघे] सप्यति सैन्यसङ्घे ।

अभूत्स्वकीयां ककुभं व्यपायाद्भो-

36.

पायितुं पाशभृदप्यपाशः ॥⁴⁸—[49]

मैनाकप्रमुखा वसन्ति कुहचिक्कालाम्बिरास्ते क्वचि-

त्सन्ति क्वापि तिमिगिलप्रभृतयः कुत्रापि शेते हरिः ।

⁴¹ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīḍita.⁴² Metre, Śragdharā.⁴³ Metre of verses 43-45, Śārdūlavikrīḍita.⁴⁴ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).⁴⁵ Metre of verses 47 and 48, Śārdūlavikrīḍita.⁴⁶ Metre, Upajāti.

एतद्देति न कोपि यत्र जलधौ [त]स्याप्य[शिषं] पय[ः]

[पीत्वा] यत्करिभिः कृतैकचुलुकैस्सै-

L. 37.

रगस्थायितं ॥⁴⁷—[50].

यैः संभूय तिमिङ्गिलप्रभृतिभिः संसर्पिणस्त[न्व]ते

पोताधानसव(व)भुतां शिखरिणो मीनाकमुत्था अपि ।

भ्राम्यन्मन्दरद्व(स्व)राणि दधिरे⁴⁸ तैरप्यशेषेभ्यु(म्बु)धौ

यत्सेनागजराज[पीव]रकरा — — ॐ नोच्छृङ्खलैः ॥ —[51].

अथातितिचोरिव राज-

38.

राजमन्यं तदाशां प्रति यस्य यातुः ।

द्विधापि भीत्युज्जितवित्तपाशैर्भूपैः प्रतीपैर्विभयैर्व्य(म्बु)भूवे ॥⁴⁹—[52].

आरामाः समरा मरावपि तदा पुत्रागपूगादिम-

हुस्मान्मन्त्रनदेवतायितजयश्रीमद्यशःपादपाः ।

यस्यासन्भुजदण्डच[ण्डि]मलसङ्गोलासिलचीकृत-

चोणीपालक-

39.

पालमण्डलगलत्कीलालकुल्याकुलाः ॥⁵⁰—[53].

खेलोन्खाततुरुष्कदत्तविलसद्वाहावलोवेक्षण-

क्लाम्यत्कुङ्कुमत्रेसराधिकमृदौ वंचूपकण्ठस्थले ।

येनावास्थ सरस्वतीसविधतासाधिक्यवाक्पाटव-

खाटुन्कुट[प]त्रिपञ्चरगतः कीराधिपोध्याप्यत ॥ —[54].

तेन व्यापुरमण्डले सुकृति-

40.

ना यस्मै महेंद्रप्रहे

यद्गामद्वयमप्रियेण विधिना विश्राणितं श्रद्धया ।

तद्गता नरवर्धदेववृषतिः पश्चात्परीवर्धं त-

द्गामं मोखलपाटकाख्यमदिशद्देशत्रयस्येच्छया ॥ ॐ ॥ ⁵¹—[55].

तेन स्वयंकृतानेकप्रशस्तिस्तुतिचित्रितं ।

श्रीमङ्गल्मीधरेणैतद्देवागारमकार्यत ॥⁵²—[56].

सं ११६१ ॥

41.

श्री

इहो वु(व)धाः साधु समुत्पद्यन्तं कुशाग्रकक्षां च धियं विधध्वं⁵³ ।

मध्यस्थभावं च समाश्रयध्वं सुखं च नः सूक्तिबुधासुपाध्वं ॥⁵⁴—[57].

वन्दनीयावुभौ सूक्तिश्रोतारौ तौ विपश्चितौ ।

बावन्तु सुव्रतः सान्द्रमानन्दालस्यनिर्भ(र्भ)रौ ॥ ॐ ॥ ⁵⁵—[58].

⁴⁷ Metre of verses 50 and 51, Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

⁴⁸ Originally धिधरे seems to have been engraved.

⁴⁹ Metre, Upajāti.

⁵⁰ Metre of verses 53-55, Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

⁵¹ Originally "इहो व" was engraved.

⁵² Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

⁵³ Read विधध्वं.

⁵⁴ Metre, Upajāti.

⁵⁵ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

TRANSLATION.

Om !

Om ! Adoration to Bhârâtî!⁵⁶

(Verse 1). O goddess of speech and divine Durgâ ! Let simplicity,⁵⁷ force, sweetness, depth, harmony, and whatever other qualities you possess, let them all be shared by us !

(2). May Lakshmi's husband, that sole lord of fortune in all the three worlds, grant you prosperity,—he from whose middle stride even⁵⁸ the sun and the other luminaries yonder shine forth !

(3). May good utterances, clad in *jâti* and *vṛitta* metres,⁵⁹ pleasing by their style and by figures of speech, and expressive of poetical sentiment, be gracious to us ! And may sages be so, men of taste, of noble birth and good conduct, who charm with the qualities that adorn them !

(4). May Śiva grant whatever is auspicious,—he who, terrific when he destroys the irresistible foe Pura, singularly embellished with copious ashes, and revered by the lord⁶⁰ of the Yakshas, resembles this fire-born race,⁶¹ which is terrible when it breaks the strongholds of irresistible foes, is exquisitely adorned with abundant good fortune, and paid homage to by the kings of kings !

(5). Glory be to the lotus-born Brahman who, engendered in the mundane egg which was produced in the great ocean, and, when set free, an object of reverence for Śiva, is like the pearls which grow in the oyster-shells of the sea, and are prized by great lords !

(6). May that form⁶² of the husbands of Umâ and Lakshmi grant you both enjoyment and salvation, which, both passionless and passionate, wearing both a wreath of human skulls and flower-garlands, clad both in tiger and elephant skins and in costly garments, adorned both with serpents and with pearl-strings and other ornaments, and both smeared with ashes and anointed with perfumes, is fearful as well as auspicious !

(7). May Vishṇu purify you, who, in the guise of a fish and other creatures having assumed one after another all forms, made the whole universe not differing from himself !

(8). Well-known is the glorious mount Arbuda, which utterly humbles the pride of the noblest of mountains, and rivals the firmament with the rich splendour radiating from its sapphire crest. The circle of the pollen of the lotuses that swing to and fro in the pools on its broad sky-piercing top looks as if it were indeed another vault of heaven.

(9). I fancy, it was when the creator, wishing to know whether the gods or the mortals were purer, attached the round of heaven, filled with the former, and this orb of

⁵⁶ *I.e.*, the goddess of speech or eloquence.

⁵⁷ For the exact definitions of *prasâda*, *aundârya*, *mâdhurya*, *samâdhi* and *samatâ*, denoting certain qualities of style, see, *e.g.*, *Kâvyâdarta* I, 45, 76, 51, 93, and 47. But these terms should be understood here, not only in their technical, but also in their ordinary senses. 'serenity of disposition,' etc.

⁵⁸ The *madhyamam Vaishnavam padam*, 'Vishnu's middle stride,' is the air. The idea which the poet intends to convey apparently is, that, if Vishṇu's *madhyama-pada* already is so high, his *uttama-pada* must be infinitely higher still.

⁵⁹ See *Indische Studien*, vol. VIII, pp. 180 and 192.

⁶⁰ *I.e.*, the god Kuvera.

⁶¹ *I.e.*, the Paramâra family which will be eulogised in the following.

⁶² *I.e.*, the form of Hari-Hara or Vishṇu-Śiva ; see *ante*, vol. I, p. 311, note 47.

the earth, filled with the latter, to the two extremities of this mountain, using it as a balance of virtue, that the round of heaven rose higher even than the top of mount Meru!⁶³

(V. 10). Piercing with one end the ocean-surrounded orb of the earth, and with the other the horizon-encircled round of the sky, this mountain has assumed the glorious appearance of an axle of the chariot of the universe, one side of which lies down, broken on the rugged road of this world, while the other is lifted upwards.

(11). On this mountain, whose slopes, bathed by the streams of water of the heavenly Ganges, are pleasant and soft, dwelt that chief of those versed in the Vedas, the venerable sage Vasishṭha, who, to delight his father Brahman, led the Yamunā, enveloped in the smoke of the three sacred fires, up to the vault of heaven, to effect her junction with the Ganges.

(12). His hundreds of precepts, like horses, extricate the chariot of the three worlds, so that it may proceed without hindrance, when, turned into a wrong road, it has stuck fast in the terrible quicksand of worldly affairs, bordering on the great stream of knowledge.

(13). Once upon a time, when the royal descendant⁶⁴ of Kuśika visited him and took by force the cow Nandinī, which caused delight by yielding all things suitable for hospitable entertainment, Vasishṭha, enraged, pleased the fire by an oblation, and from that fire sprang, to conquer Viśvāmitra, the unparalleled hero, the illustrious Paramāra, rightly so named.⁶⁵

(14). Ever prosperous is his family here, which, made up of rulers illustrious for having increased the realm, who were supporters of the law, whose banner was truth and whose fame is widely spread, is an image of the solar and lunar lines, in which there were the princes Rājyavardhana, Viśāla, Dharmabhrit, Satyaketu, and Prithukirti.

(15). This family, unsurpassed by the blessed never-aging immortals, sprung from fire, and taking delight in the assemblies of the learned, has been propagated like those of the Sun and the Moon, which are adorned by the eminent Aja and Rāma, gave birth to Nala, and include the descendants of Bharata.

(16). In this race there was the king Vairisimha, whose rule was supremely prosperous, because he was abundantly endowed with good fortune, might, resoluteness, generosity and bravery; while the ground around his jewel-inlaid foot-stool was made uneven by the mass of rubies, broken from the edges of the tremulous handsome diadems which fell from the foreheads of kings who bowed down before him.

(17). When he set out to conquer all the quarters, the regions of space, withdrawn from view, were beautified by his umbrellas of peacocks' feathers, shining like sapphires, so that they seemed enveloped in the poisonous breath of the distressed serpent Śesha, rising up through the fissures of the earth, rent as it was by the massive feet of the arrays of his marching rutty elephants.

(18). Not to be interfered with, the fire of his prowess shines forth even now, in the lower regions under the guise of the fire proceeding from the mare's mouth, on the earth under that of the glittering golden mountain, in the sky as the starry firmament, and near the confines of space as a range of mountains of quivering gold.

⁶³ Literally, 'higher than the pillar-like mountain of the immortals.' What the poet wishes to convey is, the mount Arbuda is higher even than the fabulous mountain Meru.

⁶⁴ *I.e.*, Viśvāmitra.

⁶⁵ *Viz.*, 'the slayer of enemies.' See *ante*, vol. I, p. 236, verse 6.

(V. 19). He ruled the earth, humbling Indra in heaven by his majesty, defeating host of enemies in hostile lands by his valour, and surpassing Vishṇu's lord of serpents in his serpent-home by his ability to uphold the earth.

(20). From him sprang the illustrious king Siyaka, the fire of whose wrath was extinguished by the tears, caused by the pangs of widowhood, of the royal ladies of hostile princes; while the fire of his prowess even now is perceived here, in the guise of the starry firmament, presenting a novel appearance inasmuch as the smoke, in the guise of the atmosphere, is beneath it.

(21). The clusters of big pearls, which flew up into the air from the frontal globes of furious elephants cleft by his sword, though constantly falling down, have, disguised as they are as large sparkling stars, not even now reached the earth.

(22). To whom shall we tell this great unseen and unheard-of wonder? Or who will understand it? Yet for curiosity's sake we report it: Although he delivered the earth, although he wedded the unique goddess of fortune, although again and again he did the work of the gods, he never was Vaikuṇṭha.⁶⁶

(23). From him was born the illustrious king Muñjarāja, who alone, with the bow in his hand, put an end to many a fight commenced by hostile armies, as Śiva did to (Daksha's) sacrifice;⁶⁷ and the fire of whose prowess, to protect the orb of the earth, used to envelop it under the guise of the belt of the lofty Lokāloka mountains.

(24). Even when he was marching for mere pleasure, his frolicsome soldiers turned out in such numbers that the horizon was obscured by the clouds of dust, raised by the squadrons of his cavalry, and that the cavity of the universe was completely filled with the excessive noise of the trembling chains, swung to and fro by the feet of the arrays of his huge elephants, taller than the hugest mountains.

(25). When, having their heads struck off by his sword, heroes had obtained the rare fortune of being transformed into divine beings, and when, proudly viewing their bodies below surrounded by champions, eager for the fray, they were throwing themselves from the aerial cars, they were joyfully embraced and forcibly held back by the Siddha women, clustering round them.

(26). After him his younger brother, the far-famed illustrious Sindhurāja, became king, the fire of whose bravery bore the brilliant beautiful lustre of the roaring submarine fire. As the mass of waves, raised by the fierce blast which springs up at the destruction of the world, submerges the mountains, so the broad scimitar, brandished by his arm, when it was lifted in war, dealt out destruction to princes.

(27). When this prince marched forth victoriously, the cloud of dust raised by the onset of his fleet horses appeared to the host of his enemies like the smoke of the all-devouring fire rising all round from the fissures of the surface of the earth, broken by the weight of his formidable elephants.

(28). In every battle princes found out that the creator had as it were combined in him the depth of the ocean and the might of the wind, as they are at the destruction of the world, the firmness of the lord of tortoises, the weight of the whole universe, the splendour of the all-destroying fire, and the magnitude of the celestial vault.

⁶⁶ Vaikuṇṭha, i.e., Vishṇu, uplifted the earth, obtained Lakshmi, and did the work of the gods; the king freed the earth from troubles, secured fortune, acted for the benefit of well-minded people, and never indeed showed dullness or indolence (*āgān na vaḥ kuṇṭhatām*).

⁶⁷ See the first verse of the first act of Kālidāsa's *Sākuntala*, and Sir M. Monier-Williams' note on it

(V. 29). His son was the unique ornament of the world, the illustrious king Bhojadeva, whose lotus-feet were richly adorned with the lustre of the crest-jewels of kings, and on whose feet rests even now, while he is occupying Indra's throne, the vivid splendour of the edges of the diadems of the immortals, bowed down with envy.

(30). His army, distinguished by the sharp sound of roaring kettle-drums, by the thunder of loud *jharjharas*,⁶⁸ by a multitude of shrill *damarus*, and by tabors heard above the tumult, roamed, filling the world with its noise, over the whole earth, thrown into confusion under the footsteps of his ruddy elephants.

(31). Even now, when they recite his fame in the assembly of the gods, Vaikuṇṭha is ever jealous of the four-mouthed Brahman, Svayambhū again of the five-mouthed Hara, Śambhu too of his six-mouthed son Kārttikeya, and this leader of the divine hosts of the lord of serpents with his thousand mouths.

(32). When he had become Indra's companion, and when the realm was overrun by floods⁶⁹ in which its sovereign was submerged, his relation Udayāditya became king. Delivering the earth, which was troubled by kings and taken possession of by Karna, who, joined by the Karnaṭas, was like the mighty ocean, this prince did indeed act like the holy Boar.⁷⁰

(33). The hostile champions who met their death facing this king, similar to the sun and confounded with it because the terrible fire of his valour made him difficult to look at, I fancy that they became supremely happy, when, their fury heightened by the belief that the luminary was their opponent, they split the orb of the sun.⁷¹

(34). The lord of serpents, having in battle witnessed his victory and eulogizing it in an assembly, shows that his thousands of eyes and tongues indeed serve a purpose; but, cheated of the pleasure of listening when he has closed his eyes with delight, he scorns his body because, bereft of ears, it must use the eyes in their stead.

(35). A son of that sun of the three worlds was the illustrious Lakshmadeva, resembling the lord of creatures in his eagerness properly to rule his subjects; who verily in his conduct so allowed himself to be guided by that son of Vivasvat, Manu, that everywhere and at all times new fame grew up for him of its own accord.

(36). This is what his marching-drum proclaims, under the pretence of resounding: 'Join together, you king of tortoises and ye others, in upholding the earth, heavy with the load of this army! Either perish at once or bow down speedily, ye opposing princes! Quickly shut your eyes, O gods, before the dust fills them!'

(37). When he marches, the princes of the east, bewildered, forsake even their relatives; those of the south in their perplexity take no note even of good news; the princes of the west find out to their sorrow that the creeping-plant of hope bears no good fruit to them; while those of the north throw themselves on their swords, and thus court death as the best and only fate left to them.⁷²

(38). When, desirous of capturing matchless elephants, he first proceeded to Hari's

⁶⁸ *Jharjhara* and *damaru* are names of certain kinds of drums.

⁶⁹ *Kulyāṅkula* would also mean 'crowded with nobles.'

⁷⁰ I.e., Viṣṇu in his boar-incarnation, in which he raised the earth from the bottom of the sea with his tusks.

⁷¹ See the *Parāśara-smṛiti*, Calcutta ed., p. 626: *Dedv imau puruṣau loka śūrya-maṇḍala-bhedinau, parivṛddhāyogayuktas tva raṇe chābhimukho hataḥ.*

⁷² I take the words *deedhāpy ayodhyāyate* to mean, that the princes of the north did what is expressed by *ayodhyāyate*, in the two senses which these words are capable of conveying. *Ayo*, i.e. *ayaḥ*, may be taken as the nominative of either the neuter *ayas*, 'iron, steel,' or the masculine *aya*, 'favourable fortune.' Compare below, verses 49 and 52.

quarter,⁷³ then, just as dread entered the town of the lord of Gauḍa, so Purandara even was of a sudden filled with apprehension.

(V. 39). When in the course of an unchecked expedition, undertaken in the height of power and under favourable auspices, he had attacked Tripurī and annihilated his warlike spirited adversaries, he encamped on the banks of the Revā, where his tents were shaded by the creeping-plants of pleasure-gardens, gently set in motion by the breeze from the torrents of the Vindhya mountains.

(40). The bathing of his elephants, which allayed the fatigue of battle, produced in the stream of the Revā a succession of waves, bent upon undermining the steep river-banks.

(41). Often and often the elephants of his army, thickly covered with streams of rutting-juice, demolished even the hills at the foot of the Vindhya mountains, taking them for the elephants of the enemy because their tremulous broad torrents appeared like trunks, their projecting peaks like frontal globes, and the water flowing from their ridges like rutting-juice.

(42). He traversed the hills at the foot of the Vindhya mountains, which were frequently trodden by the squadrons of his fleet horses the quick sharp hoofs of which acted like chisels in cutting up the extensive, bamboo-clad, massive table-land, and which were black with herds of countless wild elephants, excited by the odour of the rutting-juice which thickly covered the broad cheeks of the elephants of his army.

(43). Even the troops of elephants of Aṅga and Kalinga, kindred to the elephants of the quarters and bulky like mountains set in motion by the storm at the destruction of the universe, and rivalling rain-clouds, dark like herds of hogs kept for pastime,—even they had to sue for mercy, when they were bewildered⁷⁴ by the union of the storm-wind with the powerful elephants belonging to the princes of his army.

(44). Near the eastern ocean clever men thus artfully proclaimed his praise, while he, pleased, looked on bashfully: ‘O lord, it was the holy Purushottama to whom Fortune resorted, who relieved this universe by subduing the enemy Bali, and who supported the earth’.⁷⁵

(45). The elephants of his feudatories, eager to plunge into the water to . . . get rid of the fatigue of battle, worsted even those ocean-waves which resemble the circle of smoke of the all-consuming fire, and rival a bank of clouds, and are befriended with the darkness that spreads at the universal dissolution, when they harbour the down-breaking sky.

(46). When, like the pitcher-born Agastya, he directed his steps towards the south, the Cholas and other tribes, bowing low before him, acted the part of the Vindhya mountains.⁷⁶

(47). The water of the Tāmraparṇī which is famed all the earth over for the pearls which the wives of the feudatories in his army, while they mirthfully bathed in the stream, dropped into it from the breaking girdles on their hips, behold, even to this day that water affords a livelihood to the Pāṇḍya chief.⁷⁷

⁷³ *I.e.*, the east.

⁷⁴ The word of the original would also mean ‘deprived of their trunks.’

⁷⁵ The words translated by ‘it was the holy Purushottama’

and ‘the enemy Bali’ would also mean ‘glorious is that chief of men’ and ‘powerful enemies.’

⁷⁶ See Mr. S. P. Pandit's note on Kālidāsa's *Raghuvamśa* VI, 61.

⁷⁷ See *ib.*, notes on verses IV, 4^o and 50.

(V. 48). Respectfully informed by the people, that the dam before him, which looked like the growing Vindhya range, was the bridge of the holy Rāma, constructed of many mountain-peaks which were fetched by Māruti, he listened attentively, but scornfully crossed over to the opposite island simply on the elephants of his army.

(49). When his army afterwards, having reduced both the quarter and the expectations of Yama, continued its march unscathed, the noose-bearing Varuṇa despaired of guarding his own quarter from destruction.⁷⁸

(50). It is surely in some ocean or other that the Maināka and the other mountains rest, that the all-devouring fire dwells, that the Timiṅgila and other monsters live, and that Hari sleeps; but where this is, nobody has discovered at any of the oceans at which his elephants, in swallowing all the water at a single draught, have severally acted the part of Agastya.⁷⁹

(51). By those same Timiṅgila and other monsters of the sea, floating about in company with which the Maināka and other mountains appeared like shoals of young fish, by them, unchecked . . . in the whole ocean, the massive trunks of the lordly elephants of his army were made to assume the marvellous appearance of revolving Mandara mountains.

(52). Afterwards when, impatient that there should be another king of kings, he was marching towards the quarter of the lord of Yakshas, the princes opposed to him got rid of fear when, terror-stricken, they abandoned not merely their wealth of riches, but also the quarter of Kuvera.⁸⁰

(53). And all the time even in the wilderness his wars were pleasure-gardens the trees of which were his fame, reared in the midst of multitudes of distinguished warriors as among Punnāga and betel-nut creepers, and attended by the Fortune of victory who acted as a forest-deity in the thicket of his troops; gardens which were irrigated by the streams of blood flowing down from many skulls of princes, aimed at by the flashing sword which was fiercely wielded by his strong arm.

(54). Being encamped on the banks of the Vaṅkshu,⁸¹ which were even softer than nature made them because the saffron-filaments on them were withering under the rolling of the teams of frisky horses, presented by the Turushka whom he had eradicated with ease, he taught the Kīra chief to utter most flattering speeches, who on account of the proximity of the Sarasvatī was eloquent beyond measure, and who was like a parrot shut up in a big cage.

(55). This blessed (king), on the occasion of a solar eclipse, piously gave⁸² with due rites two villages in the Vyāpura *maṇḍala*. Afterwards his brother, the king Naravar-madeva, has instead assigned the village of Mokhalapāṭaka, at the request of the three places.

(56). And he has ordered the illustrious Lakṣmīdhara to construct this temple, which is adorned with many eulogies and hymns, composed by himself.

⁷⁸ Yama, the ruler of the dead, is the regent of the south, and Varuṇa the regent of the west.

⁷⁹ Agastya is fabled to have swallowed the ocean.

⁸⁰ Kuvera, the chief of the Yakshas, is the regent of the northern quarter.

⁸¹ According to Sir M. Monier-Williams' dictionary, a small arm or branch of the Ganges.

⁸² The original does not say in whose favour the donation was made, but contains only the relative *yasmai*, in reference to which the demonstrative *tasmai* would have to be supplied in the second half of the verse.

The year 1161.

Om !

(V. 57). O ye learned ! Exert yourselves well, and make your intellect sharp as the point of *kuśa* grass ! Try to judge with impartiality, and with pleasure do homage to the nectar of our poetry !

(58). Blessed are both those wise men, the poet and who listens to him, who completely overcome with delight shed plentiful tears of joy.

XIV.—FURTHER JAINA INSCRIPTIONS FROM MATHURÂ.

By G. BÜHLER, PH.D., LL.D., C.I.E.

The impressions of the subjoined inscriptions have all been furnished to me by Dr. A. Führer. Nos. i—viii, x—xxi, xxiii—xxxvii and xxxix—xli belong to the splendid discoveries which Dr. Führer made in the Kankālī Tīla at Mathurâ during the period from November 1890 to March 1891.¹ No. ix comes from the same site, but was discovered during the working season of 1889-90. No. xxii is identical with Sir A. Cunningham's No. 19 (*Arch. Surv. Rep.*, vol. III, plate xv), and No. xxxviii with Mr. Growse's No. 5 (*Indian Antiquary*, vol. VI, p. 218). No. xlii is a Buddhist inscription, brought by Dr. Führer from Kāman or Kādambavana. I have added it, because it helps to interpret more correctly a sign used in one of the Jaina inscriptions, and possesses some interest in other respects.

The earliest among these inscriptions, which probably cover more than 1,200 years, is without doubt No. i, which shows exceedingly archaic characters. Its letters do not differ very much from those of Aśoka's edicts, and they look somewhat older than those in Dhanabhūti's inscription on the gateway of the Bharhut Stūpa which is dated in the reign of the Śungas (*Indian Antiquary*, vol. XIV, p. 138). It may, therefore, be assigned to the middle of the second century B.C. Its language is pure Prakrit of the Pali type, which shows a few peculiarities, partly traceable in other ancient documents. The genitive in *āsa* from *a*-stems, instead of *assa*, occurs in one of the older votive inscriptions at Bharhut.² It may be explained by the substitution of the long vowel and a single consonant for the short vowel and a double consonant. The lengthening of the initial *a* of *āṃtevasī*, which is also found in *āṃtevasīni*, No. iv, finds an analogy in the curious *paryāntam*, which occurs twice in Āpastamba's *Dharmasūtra*, I. 3, 9, 23 and I. 3, 11, 33, and has been explained in the Introduction to the second edition of that work (p. v, note 4). The use of the nominative at the end of the first part of the compound *pāsādōloranam* is well known from the inscriptions of the Indo-Skythic period, see *ante*, vol. I, p. 375 and below. Possibly it is found also in one of the votive inscriptions from the Sānchi Stūpa, No. i, see *ante*, p. 90.

Next in age comes No. ii, the inscription from the reign of the Mahākshatrapa Śoḍāsa. The existence of this ruler was first made known by Sir A. Cunningham, who found another inscription with his name in the Kankālī Tīla, (see *Arch. Surv. Rep.*,

Dr. Führer has sent me during the period mentioned altogether 74 inscriptions, among which 64 are new Jaina inscriptions from the Kankālī Tīla. Those not given in this paper are either very small fragments of no particular interest or not readable with any certainty.

² See Dr. E. Hultzsch's article *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft*, Bd. XL, p. 60ff., No. 145.

vol. III, p. 30, and plate xiii, No. 1). On the evidence of his coins, which resemble those of Azes, Sir A. Cunningham placed Śodāsa about 80—70 B.C., and conjectured that he was a son of Rajubula, another Satrap of Mathurā. The latter conjecture is confirmed, as he has stated of late, by a passage on Dr. Bhagvānlāl's lion-pillar, where Śodāsa is called the son of Rajula.³ Though the precise date assigned to Śodāsa may be doubted, still he must have ruled at Mathurā in the first century B.C., before the time of Kanishka and his successors. I do not dare to offer for the present any conjecture regarding the era which Śodāsa uses. Sir A. Cunningham (*loc. cit.*) is inclined to identify it with that used by the Mahārāja Moga and other foreign rulers of Northern India. The inscription No. ii is also in pure Prakrit of the Pali type. The next inscription, No. iii, mentions also a Mahākshatrpa, whose name seems to have begun with Ma. It is to this circumstance that it owes its place. Its appearance indicates that it is much later than No. ii, and as it is incised on a sculpture cut out of the back of that on which No. x is found, it must be later than the latter. Still its date will fall before the time of Kanishka, as the Mahākshatrapas of Mathurā must have passed away before the Kushanas reigned there. The *maṅgala* of the inscription, the only portion fully preserved, is in pure Sanskrit.

The next seven inscriptions, Nos. iv—x, which have been grouped under the name "archaic," all belong in my opinion to the period before Kanishka. But I am not able to say anything regarding their relative position towards each other or towards Nos. ii and iii. The chief differences which separate them from the documents of the Kushana-period are the use of the tripartite subscribed *ya*, the want of the loop on the left side of the ordinary *ya*, the *da*, slightly open to the left (which occurs in Nos. iv and ix), and the well developed vertical stroke and symmetrical shape of the *ta*. The *va* has a very curious shape in the word *Śivayaśā*, No. v, l. 2a, as it consists of two triangles with the apexes joined. The language of most of them is pure Prakrit of the Pali type, but No. iv shows one Sanskrit form and No. vii is apparently in pure Sanskrit. With respect to No. ix I must add that I am inclined to identify the Gotiputra Īdrapāla or Īdrapālita with Gotiputra, "the black cobra for the Śakas and the Poṭhayas" mentioned in No. xxxiii of the collection, published *ante*, vol. I, p. 396. If that is correct, the document must go back to the times before the consolidation of the foreign rule at Mathurā.

The next group, Nos. xi—xxiv, consists of the dated inscriptions which in my opinion all belong to the time of Kanishka, Huvishka and Vāsudeva. Not one shows the name of a king. Nevertheless, I believe that nobody, who carefully compares them with the dated documents, mentioning the three kings, will come to a different conclusion. The dates range within the well-known limits from Samvat 4 to Samvat 98. The characters and the language are of the same mixed types described in the introduction to my first paper, *ante*, vol. I, p. 371ff. I have nothing to add to the remarks made there on the alphabet and the spelling. As regards the language, I will only call attention to a few points. The few verbal forms, *bhavalu*, No. xiii, *astu*, No. xviii, and *nirnarlayati*, No. xx, are pure Sanskrit. No. xxiii, where we have *śishyasya ganisya*

³ *Academy* of April 25th, 1891, p. 397. On an impression of the inscriptions on the lion-pillar, which I owe to the kindness of Dr. Burgess, I, too, read *Rajulasa putra Sudasa chhatrava*. But I do not feel quite certain that *Rajula* and *Rajubula* are the same person, nor that the words given above, which stand in two consecutive lines with other signs in between, are really connected.

Nandiye, furnishes an exact analogy to the curious form *Haganāmdia* in No. ix of the collection in vol. I, and shows that masculine *i*-stems really take the terminations of the feminine. Possibly No. xxix, which shows *ganisya uggahiniya*, furnishes a third instance. Very interesting, too, are the numerous cases where the nominative takes the place of the crude form, both in this and in the next group. Among the remarkable words I note *asma* or *asmi kshuṇe*, "at that moment," in Nos. xv, xxiv and xlii, *pañña* "fifty" in No. xvii and *dvāpanā* "fifty-two" in No. xviii, among which the latter possesses some interest on account of the Mahārāshṭrī *bāvaṇṇa* and the *bāvan* or *bāvaṇ* of various modern vernaculars.

After this group have been placed thirteen inscriptions, Nos. xxv—xxxvii, which in my opinion, likewise belong to the period of Kanishka and his successors, though it is impossible to exactly fix their dates. With respect to Nos. xxv—xxvii, which show the names of Devaputra Huvishka and Devaputra Huksha, as well as the titles *māhārāja rājātirāja*, the correctness of my view is evident. It can also be proved or made probable for some others, e.g., No. xxxiv, which mentions the venerable Balatrāta and his pupil Sandhi. Both names occur in No. v of the earlier collection (*ante*, vol. I, p. 384), which is dated in the year 25. Again, No. xxxvi certainly did bear a date, which may have been Samvat 50, and the teacher Jeshṭhastī, mentioned in No. xxxvii, is known from No. xiv of the earlier collection, where the name of his pupil, looking like *Mahalo*, has to be read Mihilo, on the evidence of the new inscription. With respect to these two inscriptions, as well as to Nos. xxviii, xxix and xxxiii, the close resemblance of the letters to those of the inscriptions, showing the names of the three kings, must be allowed its due weight. I must, however, admit that Nos. xxx and xxxi are somewhat doubtful, and that they may possibly have to be transferred to the archaic group, belonging to the time before Kanishka. The characters of some of these thirteen inscriptions show a few peculiarities worthy of note. In *pratimā* No. xxix, the *ā*-stroke has been undoubtedly placed below the consonant to which it belongs. This is quite in keeping with the "anyhow-style" of the Indian writers and masons. In No. xxix of the earlier collection (*ante*, vol. I, p. 395, fourth plate), the same vowel has been attached to the lower right limb of *ta* in the word *arahantāna*, and similar instances occur in later inscriptions. In No. xxxiv, l. 2, the looped *ta* of *Navahastisya* is remarkable, especially as the same sign is used for *na* in *bhrātrinaṃ* (l. 3). The looped form of *ta* is common enough in the southern inscriptions, where it first appears in those of Siriyāṇa Sātakani.⁴ But I have not observed it on any document which comes from the northern half of India. Another curious sign is the *ra* which looks, owing to a continuation of the two side-strokes beyond the apex, almost exactly like *ma*. It is quite distinct in the word *vadhūye*, No. xxxii, l. 1, likewise in *viḥāre*, No. xlii, and in *Huvishkasya*, No. xxv. As regards the spelling, I would call attention to the tachygraphic abbreviation of the word, *siddha*, discussed in the remark on No. xxvii, in note 2 on No. xxxvi, and note 2 on No. xlii. Among the linguistic peculiarities, I would point out the phrase *bahavo[to] vachaka cha gaṇino cha*, "of the great preacher and *gaṇin*," No. xxxvi, which affords another illustration of the principle discussed in the introduction to the earlier collection, *ante*, vol. I, p. 375. Another amusing instance of the

⁴ *Arch. Rep. West. Ind.*, vol. IV, plate IV, No. 22; compare also No. 20.

utter absence of all feeling for grammatical forms is furnished by the description of the *donatrix* in No. xxxiv, *Navahastisya dhītā, grahasenasya vadhu ... Sivasenasya..... bhrātrinaṃ mātū jāyāye*, where two nominatives are co-ordinated with two genitives.

The next three inscriptions, Nos. xxxviii—xl, belong, in my opinion, to the Gupta period. This is, of course, indisputable with No. xxxix, which is dated in the reign of Kumāragupta. The shape of the letters, and especially the peculiar method of marking the long and short *i*, by turning the former to the right of the consonant and the latter to the left, makes it, I think, impossible to assign No. xxxviii to an earlier period. If my conjecture is accepted, its date, the year 57, is the earliest Gupta date yet found. No. xl may perhaps be a little later than the Gupta period. With respect to the remaining two documents it will suffice to say that No. xli clearly belongs to the eleventh century A.D., and that No. xlii, the Appendix, dates from the Indo-Skythic period.

Short discussions of the historical results, obtainable from these inscriptions, have been given already in the *Vienna Oriental Journal*, vol. V, p. 59ff, and p. 175ff, and a fuller one will appear in this publication. Here I content myself with giving a brief resumé of the chief points. First, as regards the political history, we have now, through No. ii, actual proof that the Kshatrapas of Mathurā used a particular era, different from that of Kanishka and his successors. The importance of this fact will probably become still greater when Dr. Bhāgvānlāl's paper on his Lion-pillar will appear. For the history of the Kushana kings, we gain through No. xxvi the certainty that the name Hushka, which the *Rājatarāṅginī* has preserved and which still survives in the name of the Kashmirian village Ushkar-Hushkapura, was actually used in ancient times for Huvishka. Secondly, with respect to the history of the Jaina sect, we learn through No. i that the Jainas were settled in Mathurā in the second century B.C., and through No. xx that an ancient Jaina Stūpa existed in Mathurā, which in A.D. 167 was considered to have been built by the gods, *i. e.*, was so ancient that its real origin had been completely forgotten. The inscriptions further furnish the new names of the Vachchhaliya kula (No. xiii) and of the Vidyādhari Śākhā (No. xxxix) for the Koṭṭiya or Koṭika gaṇa, as well as those of the Nāṭika, or perhaps Nāḍika kula (No. xxviii) of the Aryyabhyista or perhaps Aryyanyista kula and of the Sa[nikā-siyā] Śākhā (No. xxxvi) for the Vārāṇa gaṇa. The schools connected with the Koṭṭiya gaṇa offer no difficulty, as they agree with the corresponding names of the *Kalpasūtra*. The Nāṭika or Nāḍika kula may be considered to correspond to the Mālijja kula of the *Sthavirāvalī*, and there is just a possibility that the last name may be an abbreviated spelling for *Aryya-Aniyasa(to)* and correspond to a Prakrit corruption of Ārya-Kaṇiyasa, another equivalent for which, *Aryya-Kaniyasika*, occurs in the inscription No. xxiii of the earlier collection (see note 1 to No. xxxvi).

No. I.⁵

समनस माहरखितास अतिवासिस वहीपुत्रस सावकास उत्तरदासक[र]स पासादीतोरनं [॥]⁶

TRANSLATION.

“An ornamental arch for the temple’ (*the gift*) of the lay-hearer Utaradāsaka

⁵ Incised on a large ornamented rectangular slab, measuring 4' 2"–9".

⁶ According to the impression the reading सावकास is perhaps possible. Possibly उत्तरदासक is to be read.

⁷ Regarding the irregular compound, see above the introductory remarks.

(*Uttaradāsaka*),⁹ son of the Vachhî (*Vātsī mother and*) disciple⁹ of the ascetic Māharakhita (*Mūgharakshita*)."

No. II¹⁰.

L. 1. नम अरहतो वर्धमानस ।¹¹

2. स्व[र]मिस महचक्षपस शोडासस सवत्सर ४० (?) २ हेमंतमाम २ दिवसे ८ हरितिपुत्रस पालस
भयाये समसाविकाये¹²

3. कोकिये अमोहिनिये सहा पुत्रेहि पालघोषेन पोठघोषेन धनघोषेन आयवती प्रतियापिता
प्राय—[भ]—

4. आयवती अरहतपुजाये [॥]

TRANSLATION.

"Adoration to the Arhat Vardhamāna! In the year 42 (?) of the Lord, the Mahākshatrapa Śodāsa, in the second month of winter, on the 9th day, an Āyavatī (*Āryavatī*), was set up by Amohini (*Amohinī*), the Kochhi (*Kautsī*), a female lay-disciple of the ascetics (*and*) wife of Pāla, son of a Hariti (*Haritī* or *Hārītī mother*), together with her sons Pālaghosha, Pōthaghosha (*Proshthaghosha and*) Dhana-ghosha . . . the Āryavatī (*is*) for the worship of the Arhat."

No. III¹³.

L. 1. सिद्धम् । नमोस्वर्हद्भ्यः¹⁴

2. महाराजमहाक्षत्रपस — —¹⁵

TRANSLATION.

Success! Adoration be to the Arhats! Mahārāja, Mahākshatrapa-Ma . . .

No. IV¹⁶.

L. 1. भदतजयसेनस्य चांतेवामिनीये

2. धामघोषाये दानो पासादो [॥*]

TRANSLATION.

"A temple, the gift of Dhāmaghoshā (*Dharmaghoshā*), the female disciple¹⁷ of the venerable Jayasena."

⁹ Compare the Buddhist name *Uttarasena*. *Uttara* probably stands *bhīmavat* for Uttarahālguni or Uttarabhadrapadā.

¹⁰ Regarding the form अतिवासि see above the Introduction. The term means here no doubt that Māharakhita was the spiritual director of Uttaradāsaka; for the latter was, as the description shows, a layman, not an ascetic.

¹¹ Incised on a slab measuring 3' 2" by 3' 8" and bearing the representation of a royal lady, surrounded by attendants one of whom is holding up a parasol.

¹² Possibly वनी, as the ends of the upper strokes of *ma* are somewhat thickened.

¹³ The first figure of the date may, possibly be 70. The Anuvāra of ७० is not certain. Read सवत्सराविकाये.

¹⁴ Incised on the top of a small statue cut out of the back of the panel, which bears the inscription No. X.

¹⁵ The second vowel of वनी is expressed by two abnormally short strokes. An accidental scratch gives to ७० the appearance of ७०: '—

¹⁶ The last syllable may have been से '—

¹⁷ Incised on the back of a broken sculptured Torana, measuring 1' 2" by 8".

¹⁸ I suppose अतिवासिनी means here, like अतिवासि in No. 1, that Jayasena was Dhāmaghoshā's spiritual director, not that she was an ascetic.

No. V¹⁹.

- L. 1a. नमो अरहतानं फगुयशस¹⁹
 2a. नतकस भयाये शिवयशा-
 3a. — — ि — — ि — — ि — काये
 1b. आयागपटो कारितो
 2b. अरहतपुजाये [॥^x]

TRANSLATION.

“Adoration to the Arhats! By Śivayaśā (Śivayaśas), wife of the dancer Phaguyaśa (Phalguyaśas), a tablet of homage (āgāgapāṭa)²⁰ was caused to be made for the worship of the Arhats.”

No. VI.²¹

भगवा नेमिसो भग — —

TRANSLATION.

“The divine Nemesa (*Naigamesha*), divine”²²

No. VII.²³

- L. 1. य — क्ष
 2. — र[क्षत्व]स्य च यो मनो
 3. — ायतनं धनस्य महतः
 4. — — [चेप्य]क्षयं [न] किं²⁴

The fragment admits of no continuous translation. It would seem that we have in line 2 the beginning of a verse in the Śārdūlavikrīḍita metre, and in line 3 the middle portion of another. The whole was no doubt a metrical Praśasti written entirely in Sanskrit.

No. VIII.²⁵

नमो अरहती महाविरस । मायुरक — — लवाडस [सा] —
 भयाये — — व — — ताये [आयागपटो] [॥^x]

TRANSLATION.

“Adoration to the Arhat Mahāvira (*Mahāvira*). A tablet of homage (āgāgapāṭa the gift) of . . . itā, wife of . . lavāḍa (?), an inhabitant of Mathurā.”

¹⁹ Incised on the base of a mutilated tablet measuring 2' 4" by 1' 9", and bearing the representation of a stūpa with rails and gate, as well as of two naked dancing women in the same posture as those on Dr. Bhagvānlāl's slab, *Actes du sixième Congrès, Int. Or.*, pt. III, sect. ii, p. 142.

²⁰ Possibly फगुयशस, but I believe the apparent *i*-stroke to be accidental.

²¹ Regarding the meaning of आयागपटो, see *ante*, vol. I, p. 396.

²² Incised on a small sculptured frieze measuring 2' by 10", and bearing the representation of a large seated figure with a goat's head, of a small naked male and several large female figures, one of whom holds a child in her lap.

²³ The inscription seems to be intended to explain the meaning of the sculpture, regarding which see a subsequent article on sculptures from Mathurā.

²⁴ Incised on a fragment of a large slab, measuring 1' by 8".

²⁵ The bracketed letter looks almost like *ki*, but the lower part of the vertical is faint, and the top so damaged, that the apparent *i*-stroke may have been only a serif. The Anusvāra of किं is doubtful.

²⁶ Incised on the base of a beautifully-sculptured square panel (an āyāgapāṭa), measuring 2' 10" by 2' 10".

No. IX.²⁶L. 1. मा अरहतपुजा [यि]²⁷2. गोतीपुत्रस ईद्रपा[ल] — —²⁸

TRANSLATION.

An image of for the worship of the Arhats of Īdrapāla (*Idrapāla*),²⁹ the son of the Gotī (*Gauṭī* mother).

No. X.³⁰

— ये जीवनादाय —

TRANSLATION.

“Of Jīvanādā (*Jīvanandā*).”

No. XI.³¹A. सिंहं स ४ यि १ दि २० वारणातो गणातो अर्यहाट्टकियातो कुलतो वज्जगगरित[ी शा] — —³²B. पुश्चमित्तस्य शिशिनि सधिसिहाये शिशिनि सिंहमित्तस्य सदचरि — —³³C. दाति सहा ग्रहचेटेन ग्रहदासेन — —³⁴

TRANSLATION.

“Success! In the year 4, the first (*month* of) summer, the day 20,— . . . of the female convert³⁵ (*sadhachari*) of Sihamitra (*Simhamitra*), the female pupil of Sathisihā (*Shashīsimhā*), the female pupil of Puśyamitra . . . out of the Vārana *gaṇa*, out of the Arya-Hāṭṭakiya (*Ārya-Hāṭṭakiya*) *kula*, out of the Vajjanagarī (*Vārjanagarī*) *śākhā* the gift , together with Graha-
cheṭa (*and*) Grahadāsa”

No. XII.³⁶

— — — स्व व ५ ग ४ दि ५ कोट्टिया — — — — —

त[ी] शाखात [ी] वाचकस्य अर्थ — —

TRANSLATION.

“In the year 5 of , in the fourth (*month of*) summer, on the fifth day,— of the preacher (*vāchaka*) Aryya (*Ārya*) out of the Koṭṭiya (*gaṇa*) , out of the *śākhā*

²⁶ This inscription belongs to Dr. Führer's collection of 1889-90. It is incised on the pedestal of a small squatted Jina measuring 1' 6" by 1' 2".

²⁷ Restore *pratimā*.

²⁸ As the right half of the *la* has been lost, the name is not certain. It may have been *Idrapālita*.

²⁹ Regarding the person meant, see above the Introduction.

³⁰ Incised on lower border of a sculptured panel, see inscription No. III.

³¹ Incised on the base of a squatted Jina, 1' 1" by 1' 7".

³² The *i*-stroke of *siddham* has been lost; the Anusvāra is not certain. The *i*-stroke of *aryahāṭṭakiyāto* is faintly visible on the impression. Below the *ja* of *vajjanagarito* a letter, probably another *ja*, has been lost. Restore *śākhāto*, but more syllables have probably been lost.

³³ At the end of the line, the name of the nun has been lost, and probably also the word *nirvartanā*.

³⁴ The line is mutilated both in the beginning and at the end, the name of the donor or donatrix and the description of the gift being certainly lost.

³⁵ This translation is, of course, merely tentative; the Sanskrit equivalent is *śrāddhachari*.

³⁶ Incised on base of a squatted figure of Jina, measuring 2 by 1' 0"

No. XIII.³⁷

A. स १० ८ गृ ४ दि ३ [अस्या पु] — [य] — — [या]तो गण[तो] — — — —³⁸

B. संभोगातो वच्छलियातो कुलातो गणि — — — — —³⁹

D. 1. — — — वासि जयस्य — तु मासिगये (?) दानं सव्वत[?]म[द्र] — — — —⁴⁰

2. — [सर्वस]वा[नं] सुखाय भवतु ।⁴¹

TRANSLATION.

"The year 18, the fourth (*month of*) summer, the third day,—on that (*date specified as*) above a fourfold [*image*], the gift of M ās ig ī(?), (mother of) J a y a [*at the request*] of a *gani* out of the [K o ṭ ṭ i] y a g a ṇ a, out of the *sambhoga*, out of V a c c h h a l i y a (*V ātsaliya*) *kula*. May it be for the welfare of all beings !"

No. XIV.⁴²

A. — — — ष १० [८] व २ दि १० १⁴³

B. धितु मि[तशि]रिये भगवतो अरिष्टणेमिस्स [ववर्त्त] ? — —⁴⁴

TRANSLATION.

"The year 18, the second (*month of*) the rainy season, the day 11 [*the gift*] of M it a - Ś i r i (? *Mitraśrī*), the daughter of a . . . of divine A ri ś ṭ ṇ a ṇ e m i (*Arishṭanemi*)

No. XV.⁴⁵

A. स ३० १ व १ दि १० षस्य क्षणे

B. 1. — — यातो गणतो [अ]र्यवेरितो शासतो [ठा]णियातो कुलातो वह[तो] । कुटुम्बि-
णिये [अ]ह⁴⁶

2. — — — — [अर्य] — दासस्स निवर्त्तना बुद्धिस्स धितु देवि लस्स । शिरिये दाणं ।⁴⁷

³⁷ Incised on the base of a quadruple image of four standing naked Jinas, 1' by 8". The section C. has been cut away.

³⁸ Read *asyā*. The restoration *koṭṭiyāto* is highly probable. At the end of the line the name of the Sambhoga has been lost.

³⁹ Indistinct remnants of *aya* are visible after *gani*. The lost piece C must have contained the name of the Gani and the greater portion of the description of the donatrix which is very imperfect.

⁴⁰ The impressions point to *mātu*. For *māsiye* it is possible to read *māsiye* or *maseniye*, but none of these forms, admits of an explanation. May it be *Mosiniye* for *Moshiniye*? See below No. xxxvii. Restore *savutobhadrikā pratimā*.

⁴¹ Read *sarvasatēnaṃ*.

⁴² Incised on base of small standing Jina, measuring 2' by 10"

⁴³ Perhaps *vursha* is to be restored.


⁴⁴ The third syllable of the proper name is not certain.

⁴⁵ Incised on base of small squatted Jina, 2' by 1' 1".

⁴⁶ Restore *koṭṭiyāto ganto; vahato* (= *brihato*). Before *kuṭumbiye* the impression shows a faint vertical stroke, which apparently indicates that in reality the line is at an end, and that one must read on from the beginning of B 2. the last words, which would give no sense, must be read at the end of the second line.

⁴⁷ Probably *ganisya* is to be restored in the beginning. As only one syllable has been lost between *arya* and *dāsya* the restoration *godāsya* seems certain. The name occurs in the *Kulpasūtra* (p. 79 ed. Jacobi). After *devilasya* stands again a faint vertical stroke, exactly corresponding to that in the upper line. The proper order of the words is therefore:—
कीट्टियातो [ग]ण[तो] अर्यवेरितो शासतो [ठा]णियातो कुलातो वह[तो] (?) [गणस्य] अर्य [तो] दासस्स निवर्त्तना बुद्धिस्स धितु देविस्स कुटुम्बिणिये वहशिरिये दाणं ।

No. 1. Early undated inscription.



Scale 1:4th

No. 2. Of Śodāsa

Inscriptions of the Kṣatrapas of Mathurā



Scale 3:10th

No. 3. Of unnamed Kṣatrapa



Scale. Half the original

Archae. Inscriptions. No. 4



Scale 1:10th

No. 7. Archaic.



Scale 1:4th

No. 3. Archaic



Scale 1:3rd

No. 6. Archaic



Scale 1:10th

No. 8 Archaic

MATHURA JAINA INSCRIPTIONS (NEW SERIES): NOS. VIII-XV.

Scale 3-10/16

No. 11 Dated Inscription. Sam. 4

Scale 1-3/4

No. 12 Archaic

Scale 1 1/4

No. 12 Dated Sam. 5

Scale 1 1/4

No. 14 Dated Sam 18. vuisha

A

B

Scale Half the original

No. 15 Dated Sam 31.

A

B

A Führer Pl. D in 2. 1935

No. 15 Dated Sam 18. grishma

A

B

C

Scale 1-3/4

Scale 1-3/4

TRANSLATION.

“The year 31, the first (*month of the*) rainy season, the tenth day,—at this moment a gift (*was made*) by Grāhaṣiri (*Grahaśri*), daughter of Buddhi (*and*) wife of Devila at the request of the great (?) [*Gaṇin*] Aryya [-Go-]dasa, out of the [*Koṭṭijya* (*gaṇa*), the Aryya-Veri (*Ārya-Vajri*) *śākhā*, the Thaṇiya (*Sthāniga*) *kula*.”

No. XVI.¹⁹

- A. 1. मिडम् । सव[त्स]रि ३० । २ हेमन्तमासे ४ दिवसे २ वारणातो गणा — —
यातो कु[कु]()ः^{११}
2. —————
B. 1. — णि अयनन्दिकस्य निर्वर्त्तना जितामितय [गितु]नन्दिस्य धीनु बुद्धिस्य कुटुम्बिनिये
प्रा—^{१२}
2. रिकस्य — नी — f— प्य मातु गन्धिकस्य अरहन्तप्रतिमा सर्व्वतोभद्रिका ।^{१३}

TRANSLATION.

“Success! In the year 32, in the fourth month of winter, on the second day, a fourfold image of the Arhat (*was dedicated*) by Jitâmitra, daughter of Ritumandî (*Ritumandî*), wife of Buddhi (*and*) mother of the perfumer at the request of *gani* Aya-Nandika (*Ārya-Nandika*), out of the Vārāṇasī *gana*, the *ya kula*”

No. XVII.⁵²

1. पण ५० हेमंतमास प — — —
2. आर्यवेरस्य — — — —
3. ये युधदिनस्य
4. धित
5. पूषद्विष्य — — —

No continuous translation of the fragment is possible. The date is "the year 50 and the first or fifth (?) month of winter."

No. XVIIIth.

- L. 1. सिंह संवत्सर हापना ५० २ हंमन्त[मा]स प्रथ-दिवस पंचवीश २० ५ अस्म सुण क[ी] [१]
द्वियातो गणात[ी] [१]
2. वेरातो शखतो स्थानिकियातो कुलात[ी] श्रीगृहतो संभोगातो वाचकस्यार्थघस्तुहृत्तिरय¹⁶
3. शिथो गणिस्यार्थमंगुहस्तस्य षटचरो वाचको अर्थद्वितस्य निर्व्वर्तना शूरस्य अम-
4. एकपुत्रस्य गोदिकस्य लोहिकाकारकस्य दानं सर्व्वमत्नानं हितसुखायास्तु ।

⁴⁸ Incised on base of a quadruple image of four standing naked Jinas, measuring 8' by 11'

⁴⁹ Possibly *ganāto kaṭṭādyto* is to be restored.

⁶⁰ Restore *gani*, *ritu* is uncertain.

⁵¹ Perhaps *prātārīkasya* to be restored, which might mean 'a ferryman.' See below, No. XXXIX.

⁵² Incised on base of large squatted Jina, measuring 1' 5" by 1' 10".

²² Perhaps *Araya kharasya*.

⁵⁴ Incised on the base of a broken image, measuring 8" by 1' 6".

¹⁶ The Anusvâra of *samvatsara* is doubtful.

56 Read *sākhāto*.

⁵⁷ *Shadhacharo* stands no doubt for *sadhucharo*; possibly *devetasya* or *divetasya*.

TRANSLATION.

"Success! the year fifty-two, 52, the first month of winter, the twenty-fifth day, 25—at that moment (*was dedicated*) the gift of the worker in metal⁶⁰ Gotṭika, the Śūra,⁶¹ the son of Śramaṇaka, at the request of the preacher Aryya-Divita, (*who is*) the convert of the *gaṇi* Aryya-Maṅghasthi (*and*) the pupil of the preacher Aryya-Ghastu-hasti out of the Koṭṭiya *gaṇa*, the Verā (*Vajrā*) śākhā, the Sthānikiya *kula* (*and*) the Śrīgriha *sambhoga*. May it be for the welfare and happiness of all creatures!

No. XIX⁶⁰.

L. 1. सिद्ध स ६० २ व २ दि ५ एतस्य पुत्रय वाचकस्य आयककृद्दस्य[स]⁶¹

2. वारणगणियस शिषो ग्रहबलो आतपिको तस निवर्तना

"Success! the year 62, the second (*month of the*) rainy season, the day 5, on that (*date, specified as*) above, the pupil of the preacher Āya-Karkuhastha (*Ārya-Karkaśa-gharshita*)⁶² of the Vāraṇa *gaṇa*, was the ātapika Grahabala, at his request

No. XX.⁶³

A. 1. सं ७० ६ व ४ दि २० एतस्यां पुर्वायं कोट्टिये गणे वज्रायां शाखायां — ⁶⁴

2. को अयद्वहस्ति अरहतो गम्धि[आ]वर्तस प्रतिमं निवर्तयति ।⁶⁵

B. — — — भार्यये आविकाये [दिनाये] दानं प्रतिमा बोद्धे शुपे देवनिर्मिते प्र — — — ⁶⁶

TRANSLATION.

"The year 70, the fourth (*month of the*) rainy season, the twentieth day—on that (*date, specified as*) above, Āya-Vṛidhahasthi (*Ārya-Vṛiddhahastin*), a preacher in the Koṭṭiya *gaṇa* (*and*) in the Vairā (*Vajrā*) śākhā, gave the advice to make an image of the Arhat Nandīāvarta (*Nāndyāvarta*)⁶⁷ the image, the gift of the female lay-disciple Dinā (*Dattā*), wife of . . . , was set up at the Vodva Stūpa, built by the gods."

No. XXI⁶⁸.

L. 1. स ८० १ व १ दि ६ एतस्य पुत्राय [अ]यिकाजीवाये अन्ते-

2. वासिकिनिये दत्ताये निवतना । [अ]हमिरिये — —

⁶⁰ Compare *ante*, vol. I, p. 391, No. xxi.

⁶¹ I take Śūra, as the name of the family or the clan.

⁶² Incised on the upper part of the base of a sitting Jina 2' 8" by 1' 8"; the lower part has been destroyed.

⁶³ On further consideration, I read the numeral sign, which I formerly believed to possibly stand for 40, always as 60.

⁶⁴ The versions named here are probably the same as those in Sir A. Cunningham's inscription, re-edited in the *Vienna Or. Jour.*, vol. 1, p. 172.

⁶⁵ Incised on the left portion of the base of a large standing figure of Jina, measuring 2' 3" by 1' 8"; the right portion is missing.

⁶⁶ I now recognise that the second figure is 9, not 8, as I read it formerly. Possibly the apparent *ra*-stroke above *va* may be accidental. Possibly *koliye* to be read. At the end of the line the two syllables *vācha* have certainly been lost, because the syllable *ko* in l. 2 stands exactly under *sam* and l. 2 is, therefore, complete.

⁶⁷ The *na* is abnormal, and so is the marking of the length of *d* by a stroke going upwards.


⁶⁸ It is possible to read also *vofve*, which, however, is as unsatisfactory as *vodve*. Perhaps *voddhe*, i.e. *vṛiddhe* "ancient," ignorant. Professor E. Leumann proposes to divide *pratimāvo dve* and to take this in the sense of *pratīme dve* (two images)? This exceedingly ingenious explanation becomes difficult, because in A only *one* statue is mentioned. Restore *pratishkṛtāpitā*. At the beginning of the line stood the name of Dinā's husband, as a small remnant of a *ya* is still visible on the impression.

⁶⁷ The Arhat Nāndyāvarta, i.e., he whose mark is the *Nāndyāvarta* symbol, is Ara, the eighteenth Tīrthamkara.


⁶⁸ Incised on the upper part of the base of a seated figure, 2' 5" by 1' 10", lower part destroyed.

MATHURA JAINA INSCRIPTIONS (NEW SERIES): NOS. XVI-XIX.

No. 16: Dated Sam. 32.




No 17 Dated Sam. 50



Scale 1/3rd


Scale: Half the original

No 18. Dated Sam 52



Scale: Half the original

No. 19 Dated Sam. 62



A. Fichet, Ph. D. imprata

Scale 1/10th

NO. 20. Inscription dated Sam. 79. MATHURA JAINA INSCRIPTIONS (NEW SERIES): NOS. XX-XXVI.


A  

No. 25. Huvishka.



Scale 1.3rd

No. 26. Hushka



Scale 3-1/4ths

No. 21. Dated Sam. 81



Scale Half the original

No. 22. Dated Sam. 90





Scale 1.3rd.

No. 23. Dated Sam. 93

A 

B



Scale 1.3rd

No. 24. Dated Sam. 98



A. Fuhrer, Ph. D. impress

Scale 1.3rd

TRANSLATION.

"The year 81, the first (*month of the*) rainy season, the sixth day—on that (*date as specified*) above at the request of Datā, the female pupil⁶⁹ of Ayikā-Jivā (*Āryikā-Jivā*)—Grahāsiri (*Grahaśrī*)

No. XXII.

- L. 1. सव [८० व] — — — — — टुबनिए दिनस्स वधुय
 2. को — — तो ग[णा]तो प — व[ह] — [क]तो कुलातो मभमातो शाखा[तो] — —
 सनिकय भतिबलाए—भिन

This inscription is Sir A. Cunningham's No. 19 (*Archaeological Survey Reports*, vol. III, pl. xv). It seems to have suffered since the time when the first facsimile was prepared. The date, which I have given according to Sir A. Cunningham, has disappeared, and several letters at the end of line 2 have become indistinct. Its chief value consists in the mention of the *Majhamā śākhā* and the *Pa-vaha-ka kula*, which latter I have formerly identified with the *Praśnavāhanaka* or *Paṇhavāhanaya kula* of the Jain tradition. Dr. Führer's impression shows pretty clearly that the first letter is *pa*, which is not equally certain according to Sir A. Cunningham's facsimile. It also makes it probable that the third letter of the name is not *hu*, but *ha*. The name of the *gana* was without a doubt Kottīya, as the first syllable *ko* is tolerably distinct.

No. XXIII.⁷⁰

- A. नमो अर्हतो महाविरस्य सं ८० ३ [व] — — —
 B. 1. शिष्यस्य ग[णि]स्य [न]न्दिने [नि]र्वर्त्तना देवस्य हैरस्यकस्य धितु — — — — —¹
 2. — — — ि — [भ] — वतो वर्द्धमानप्रतिमा प्रति — — — — —² — पुजा[ये] [॥]⁷²

TRANSLATION.

"Adoration to the Arhat Mahāvira (*Mahāvīra*)! The year 93, the (*month of*) the rainy season at the request of the *gaṇi* Nandi (*Nandi*) pupil of an image of divine Vardhamāna was set up by, the daughter of the goldsmith Deva, for the worship [*of the Arhat*]."

No. XXIV.⁷³

स ८० ८ हे १ दि ५ अस्य क्षुणे क[१]द्वियात [१] गणातो उचनग — — —⁷⁴

TRANSLATION.

The year 98, the first (*month of*) winter, the fifth day, at that moment,—out of the Kottīya *gaṇa*, the Uchanagarī (*Uchchānagarī*) [*śākhā*]

⁶⁹ *Aṃterāsikini*, as well as *ayikā*, are properly diminutives. Compare *pavajitkā*, which is common in the Buddhist inscriptions.

⁷⁰ Incised on the base of a sitting Jina, 1' 8" by 2' 2".

⁷¹ Regarding the form *nandīye*, which is indisputable, see above, the Introduction

⁷² Restore *bhagavato* and further on *prati-hīhāptā arhato* or *arhata*.

⁷³ Incised on the base of a squatted Jina 1' 10" by 2' 4".

⁷⁴ Restore *uchanagarito śākhāto*.

No. XXV.⁷⁶— — — — [व]पुत्रस्य हुविष्कस्य स — — —⁷⁸

TRANSLATION.

“In the year of . . . Devaputra Huvishka”

No. XXVI.⁷⁷

- | | | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|
| A. 1. एकुनती [श] | B. 1. अ[र]ह[तो] | C. 1. — — — |
| 2. वा — — | 2. — [ह]रवल | 2. प्रतिस — — |
| D. 1. स्थ म — र — स्य देव[पु]त्रस्य[हु]क्षस्य — — — ⁷⁹ | | |
| 2. [वा]मि[क] नगदतस्य शिषो मि[ग क]— — ो स — — | | |

I am not able to give a certain connected translation of this fragment. It is only evident that D. 1—2 gives us the names of the Mahārāja Devaputra Huksha, (*Hushka* or *Hurishka*), and of a monk named Nagadata (*Nāgadatto*). It may also be suggested that the inscription begins with D. 1, because that line contains the group *sdha*, an abbreviation of *sulham*, and the name of the king. The continuation may possibly be looked for in A 1, where the word *ekunatīśa*, the twenty-ninth, seems to belong to the date. The year 29 would fall in the reign of Huvishka.

No. XXVII.⁸⁰

- L. 1. सिद्धम् महाराजस्य राजातिराजस्य — — — — —
 2. ओहनन्दिनस्य शिष्येण से — न — — — — — ि—⁸⁰

TRANSLATION.

“Success! Of the great king, the supreme king of kings by Sena, the pupil of Oghanandi (*Oghanandin*)”⁸¹

No. XXVIII.⁸²

- A. — — भगवतो उमभस वारणे गणे नाडिके कुलं — — — — — खा [यं] — —⁸³
 B. दुक्स वायक्स सिसिनि ए सादिता ए नि — — —⁸⁴

TRANSLATION.

“[Adoration] to divine Usabha [*Uśabha*]! At the request of Saditā, female

⁷⁶ Incised on the base of a standing Jina, measuring 3' 6" by 2' 6".

⁷⁷ Restore *devaputrasya* and *śrīvatsava*.

⁷⁸ Incised on the base of a broken standing Jina, measuring 1' 8" by 1' 1".

⁷⁹ The bracketed letters are all more or less uncertain : *hukshasya* looks, because the first letter is blurred, like *pukshasya*. But two impressions show a small curve at the extremity of the top of the right-hand vertical stroke.

⁸⁰ Incised on the base of a sitting Jina, 1' 6" by 2' 1".

⁸¹ Restore *senena* according to C. No. 10 (republished *ante*, vol. I, p. 396, No. xxx), where *Sena*, pupil of *Oghanandi*, is mentioned. The last *na* is faintly visible on the impression. It is important to note that in this inscription the initial letter of *Oghanandi's* name is unmistakable and that the reading *Deha*^o is impossible.

⁸² As the other inscription is dated Sam. 47, the king, whose name has been lost, must be Huvishka.

⁸³ Incised on the base of a squatted Jina, measuring 2' by 1' 8".

⁸⁴ Restore *nama* before *bhagavato*. Possibly *ndīke* or *nādīke* to be read. Restore *sākyāṃ*

⁸⁵ Restore *śārvarāṇa*.

pupil of . . . dhuka, a preacher⁸⁶ in the Vâraṇa *gaṇa*, Nâḍika *kula* and
śākhā

No. XXIX.⁸⁰

ख[र]निकिये कुले गनिख्य उगहिनिय शिषो वाचको घोषको आर्हतो⁸⁷ पख्खस्य प्रतिमा — —

TRANSLATION.

. . . "The preacher Ghoshaka, pupil of the Uggahini,⁸⁸ a *gaṇi* (*gaṇin*) in the Sthānikiya (*°kiya*) *kula*; an image of the Arhat Parśva (*Pārśva*)."

No. XXX.⁸⁹

L. 1. नमो अरहंतानं सिहकस वानिकस पुत्रेण कोशिकपुत्रेण⁹⁰

2. सिहनादिक्केन आयागपाटो प्रतिथापितो आरहंतपुजायै [॥^x]

TRANSLATION.

"Adoration to the Arhats! A tablet of homage (*āyāgapāṭa*) was set up by Sihanādika (*Siṃhanādika* ? *°nandika* ?), son of the Vānika⁹¹ Sihaka (*Siṃhaka*) and son of a Koṣikī (*Kauśiki* mother), for the worship of the Arhats."

No. XXXI.⁹²

नमो अरहंताना शिवघो[षक]स भरि[या] — — — ना — — — ना — —

TRANSLATION.

"Adoration to the Arhats! the wife of Śivaghoshaka"

No. XXXII.⁹³

L. 1. नमो अरहंतानं [मल] — खस धितु भद्रयशस वधुयै भद्रनदिस भयायै⁹⁴

2. अ[चला]यै आ[या]गपाटो प्रतिथापितो अरहंतपुजायै [॥^x]⁹⁵

TRANSLATION.

"Adoration to the Arhats! A tablet of homage (*āyāgapāṭa*) was set up by Achalā (?), daughter of Mala-ṇa (?), daughter-in-law of Bhadrayaśa (*Bhadrayaśas*), and wife of Bhadrānadi (*Bhadrānandin*), for the worship of the Arhats."

⁸⁰ *Vāyaka* is the Jaina-Prakrit form for *vāchaka*.

⁸⁶ Incised on the left side of the upper part of the base of a squatted Jina, 1' 2" by 1' 9", the right side being broken off.

⁸⁷ Read *arhato*.

⁸⁸ The word looks like a female name, and might correspond with Sanskrit *udgrahinī*. But the difficulty is that it is joined with the title *gaṇi*, which is given to males alone.

⁸⁹ Incised on the base of a beautifully sculptured square panel measuring 2' 1" by 1' 11", the central figure of which is a seated Jina.

⁹⁰ *Ārahaṃta*^o is a mistake for *arahaṃta* and *āyāgapāṭo* may be a mistake for *paṭo*, but, as the Sanskrit has *pāṭa* and *patta*, the form *pāṭa* is not impossible.

⁹¹ This might be a corruption or misspelling for *rāṇijaka* or *rāṇiyaka*. But, as Sihanādika receives the epithet *koṣiki-putra*, I suspect that he was a Kshatriya, not the son of a merchant, and that *vānika* is the name of his clan.

⁹² Incised on the base of a sculptured square slab, measuring 2' 10" by 3' 1".

⁹³ Incised on the base of a sculptured square slab, measuring 2' 7" by 2' 4".

⁹⁴ The bracketed letters are uncertain. The first may be अ.

The second and third letters of this line are uncertain.

No. XXXIII.⁹⁶

A. वर्धमानपटिमा वजरनद्यस्य धिता वधिश्चिब — — — —

B1. — f— ख — कुटीविनि⁹⁷ दिनाये दाति बडिम[चि] ये — — — —

2. — — — — — — — — — —

TRANSLATION.

"An image of Vardhamāna, the gift of Dinā (*Dattā*), daughter of Vajaranadya (*Vajranandin*), [daughter-in-law]⁹⁸ of Vādhiśiva (*Vṛiddhiśiva*?) house-wife of
i; Baḍimasi's (?)

No. XXXIV⁹⁹L. 1. उचैनगरितो शखतो अर्यबलस्रतस्य शिसिणि अर्यबल्ल—¹⁰⁰2. अर्यबलस्रतस्य शिषो अर्यसन्धिस्य परिग्रहे नवहस्तित्य धिता ग्रहसेनस्य वधु — — — —
— — — — — — — — — —3. गिवसेनस्य देवसेनस्य शिवदेवस्य च भ्रातृनं मातु जायये प्रतीमा प्र — — — — —²

4. [मा]नस्य सर्वसत्त्वानं हितसुखय ॥

TRANSLATION.

"For the acceptance³ of Aryya-Sandhi (*Arya-Sandhi*), pupil of Aryya-Balattrata (*Arya-Balatrāta*) [and of ?] Aryya-Bahma, . . (*Arya-Brahma* . .), the female pupil of Aryya-Balattrata (*Arya-Balatrāta*) out of the Uchenagarī (*Uchchānagarī*) śākhā, Jāyā, daughter of Navahastī (*ḥastin*), daughter-in-law of Grahasena, mother of the brothers Śivasena, Devasena and Śivadeva, has set up an image of [Var-dha]māna for the welfare and happiness of all creatures."

No. XXXV.⁴

A. तियं निर्वर्तना

B. 1. तो शखतो शिरिकतो संभोक्तो अर्य

2. f—धराये निवतना शिवद[त] —⁵

3. — — लनस्य मतु ह[स्त] — — —

Remark.

If the two fragments, which admit of no connected translation, belong to one inscription, the occurrence of the words *nirvartanā* and *niratana* is very remarkable. There is no other instance of the kind.

⁹⁶ Incised on the base of a squatted Jina, measuring 1' 11" by 1' 6".

⁹⁷ The *ba* of *kuṭṭivini* is abnormal, being made round and not perfectly closed.

⁹⁸ The restoration proposed may be safely made according to the analogy of the other inscriptions

⁹⁹ Incised on the base of a large slab, measuring 2' 10" by 2' 1".

¹⁰⁰ Read शाखाती. Restore अर्यबल्लदासिये or 'स्रवाये.

¹ The apparent *ā*-stroke after the *la* of *Balattrata* is an accidental scratch, not visible on the reverse. The same remark applies to the apparent *ra*-stroke above the *va* of *Navahastisya*. According to the reverse of the impression the real reading is *parigrahe*, not *parigraho*, as the obverse seems to show. At the end of the line, five or six letters have been lost, probably *bhaya* or *bhaya*, preceded by a male name in the genitive.

² Read *śivasenasya*. Restore *pratishṭhāpitā vardha*.

³ *Parigrahe* 'for the acceptance' is common on the Buddhist inscriptions of the first and the following centuries of our era. Here it indicates that the ascetics named exhorted Jāyā to make the donation and that the spiritual merit is made over to them; for, the image itself could, of course, be of no use to them.

⁴ Incised on the base of a quadruple image of four standing naked Jinās, measuring 2' by 8", sections C and D being cut away.

⁵ A. the beginning पचतिधराये i.e. पञ्चतिधरायाः; has probably to be restored; compare below No. XXXVI.


MATHURA JAINA INSCRIPTIONS (NEW SERIES): Nos. XXVII-XXXIV.

No. 27.



Scale 1-3rd

No. 28.




Scale 1-10th

No. 29.



Scale. Half the original

No. 30




Scale Half the original

No. 31



Scale 1-4th

No. 32.




Scale: 1-3rd

No. 33



Scale 1-3rd.

No. 34



Scale 3-10ths.


No. 35.

MATHURA JAINA INSCRIPTIONS (NEW SERIES): Nos. XXXV-XLII.




Scale. Half the original

No. 36.




Scale 1-3rd.

No. 37.



No. 38: Inscription of Gupta period, S. 57.



Scale: 1-3rd



Scale: Half the original

No. 39. Inscription of Kumāragupta, S. 113.




Scale 3-10ths

No. 41 S. 1080

No. 40



Scale 1-3rd.



Scale: Half the original

No. 42. Buddhist Inscription from Kāman, Sam. 74.



Scale: 1-3rd.

No. XXXVI.⁶

- L. 1. — — ५० (?) हे २ दि १ अस्य पुर्व्वय वरणतो गणतो अय्यभियस्तकुलतो [स] — — —⁷
 2. — खतो शिरिग्रहतो सभोगतो बहवो वचक च गणिनो च समदि[स]—⁸
 3. — वस्य दिनरस्य शिशिनि अय्यजिनदसिपणतिधरितय शिशिनिअ — —⁹
 4. चकरवपणतिहरमसोपवसिनि बुबुस्य धित रज्जवसुस्यधर्म — —¹⁰
 5. [द]विलस्य मत्तु विष्णु[भ]वस्य पिदमहिक विजयशिरिथे दन वध — —¹¹
 6. — — — — —

TRANSLATION.

" . . . 50, (?) second month of winter, first day, on that (*date, specified as*) above, [*an image of*] Vadha[māna] (*Yardhamāna*), the gift of Vijayaśiri (*Vijayaśrī*), daughter of Bubu, first wife of Rajyavasū (*Rājyavasū*), mother of *Devila* and) paternal grandmother of Vishṇubhava, who fasted for a month¹² (and) obeys the command¹³ of A[yya] . . . ghakaraba (?) (*who is*) the female pupil, obeying the command¹⁴ of Ayya-Jinadasi (*Arya-Jinadāsī*), (*who was*) the female pupil of Samadi . . . ya Dinara, the great (?) preacher and *gaṇi* out of the Varāṇa (*Vārāṇa*) *gaṇa*, the Ayyabhyista (?) *kula*, the Sa[mkāsīyā] *śākhā*. and the Śirigriha (*Śrīgriha*) *sambhoga*"

No. XXXVII.¹⁵

- A 1. सिद्ध को[हि]यतो गणतो उचेन-
 2. गरितो शखतो बम्हादासिअतो¹⁶
 3. कुलतो शिरिग्रहतो संभोकतो
 4. अय्यजिष्टहस्तस्य शिथो अ[य्यमि]हि[लो]
 B 1. तस्य शिथ[ो] अर्थत्तेर¹⁷
 2. [को]वाचको तस्य निर्वत-
 3. न वर[ण]हस्ति[स्य]¹⁸

⁶ Incised on the base of a broken image, measuring 10" by 1' 4".

⁷ The first figure may possibly have been 7. In the transcript, I have given the apparently plain, but inexplicable, reading *ayyahhysakulato*. It is, however, possible that the third syllable may be intended for *ayi*. If this were the case, and if we might assume that we had to deal with a combination of the consonants and a partial omission of the vowels, as in *sidha* for *sidha* (see No. xxvi), the first four syllables might be a kind of tachygraphic representation of *ayyānīyasato* and correspond to *āryakantīyasataḥ*. This *kula* of the Vārāṇa *gaṇa* is mentioned in No. 23, *ante*, vol. I, p. 392. The *śākhā* was probably *Saṃkāsiyā*, see *Kālpasūtra*, p. 80 (ed. Jacobi)

⁸ Restore *śakhato* i.e. *śākhāto*; read *sambhogato* and *bahato*; the last bracketed letter is very doubtful.

⁹ Restore *ayya*.

¹⁰ Restore *dharmaṇas*.

¹¹ Read *devilasāya*. Restore *vadhamanopratimā* or perhaps *paṭima*, as the inscription does not mark the *d*-stroke. The last three syllables no doubt stood in line 6, which has been completely destroyed with the exception of the tops of a few letters.

¹² A pious queen, who fasted for a month, is mentioned in the large Nārāghāt inscription, *Arch. Sur. Rep. W. I.* vol. v., p. 60.

¹³ *Panatidhṛita* corresponds with Sanskrit *dāḍṛitaprajñāpti*.

¹⁴ *Panatihara* i.e. in Sanskrit *prajñāptidhārā*.

¹⁵ Incised on base of a large quadruple image, consisting of four standing naked Jinas, measuring 1' 7" by 9".

¹⁶ Read *bahma*.

¹⁷ Read *īasya*.

¹⁸ *Nirvatana* is, no doubt, meant for *nirvar'and*.

C 1. [च] देविय च धित जय-

2. देवस्य वधु मोषिनिदे

3. वधु कुठस्य कसुयस्य

D 1. धम्मप[ति]इ स्थिरए¹⁹

2. दन शवदोभद्रिक²⁰

3. सर्वसत्वन हितसुखये²¹

TRANSLATION.

"Success! The pupil of Ayya-Jeṣṭhābasti (*Jyeshṭhahastin*) out of the Koṭṭiya gaṇa, the Uchenagarī (*Uchchānagarī*) Śākhā, (and) the Bamhadāsia (*Brahmadāsika-kula*) (was) Aryya-Mihila (*Arya-Mihira*); his pupil (was) the preacher (*vāchr ka*) Arya-Ksheraka (*Arya-Kshairaka* ?); at his request a fourfold (image was dedicated as) the gift of Sthirā, daughter both of Varāṇahasti (*°hastin*) and of Devī, daughter-in-law of Jayadeva (and) daughter-in-law of Moshinī (and) first wife of Kuṭha Kasutha for the welfare and happiness of all creatures."

No. XXXVIII.²²

संवत्सरे सप्तपञ्चाश ५० ७ हेमन्त्रितो —²³

— स [दि]वसे त्रयोदशे च—पूर्वायां —²⁴

TRANSLATION.

In the fifty-seventh, 57, year, in the third month of winter, on the thirteenth day, on that (date specified as) above

No. XXXIX.²⁵

L. 1. सिद्धम् । परमभट्टारकमाहाराणाधिराजश्रीकुमारगुप्तस्य विजयराज्यसं [१०० १०]

१ क — — — तमा — [दि]— स २० अस्यां पू[र्वायां]कोट्टिया गणा-²⁶

2. हिद्याधरी[तो] शास्त्रातो दत्तिलाचाय्यप्रज्ञपिताये शामाढाये भट्टिभवस्य धीतु यहमिच्छपा-
लि[त]प्रा[ता]रिकस्य कुटुम्बिनीये प्रतिमा प्रतिष्ठापिता ।²⁷

TRANSLATION.

"Success! In the year 113, in the victorious reign of the supreme lord and supreme king of great kings, the illustrious Kumāragupta, on the twentieth day [of the winter-month] Kārttika]—on that (date, specified as) above an image was set up by Śāmādhya (*Śyāmādhya*), daughter of Bhaṭṭibhava (and) house-wife of the ferry."

¹⁹ *Dhamrapatika* seems to be a misspelling of *dhammapatiye* with *dhamra* for *dharma*. Compare the forms of the Girār version of Asoka's rock-edicts *drabhiptā* for *drabhiptā*, *tiṣṭanti* for *tiṣṭanti*, and so forth.

²⁰ Meant for *dānāṃ carvatobhadrā* viz. *pratimā*.

²¹ Meant for *carvasatāna hitasukhādye*. The spelling *carava* for *carva* is not uncommon in bad MSS.

²² This is Mr. Growse's No. 8 (*Indian Antiquary*, vol. VI, p. 319). It is incised on the base of a small statue, now preserved in the Mathurā Museum.

²³ Read *hemanta*; restore *tritiya* or *tritiya*.

²⁴ Restore *māse* and *asyām*.

²⁵ Incised on the base of a large sitting Jina, measuring 3' 8" by 2' 7".

²⁶ Read *-mahādja-*; restore *kārttikahemantamāse* *divase*.

²⁷ Read *dati/dchāryya*.—Possibly *prabhārikasya* is to be read,

man (?) Grahamittrapālita,²⁸ who had received the command (to make the dedication) from Datilāchāyya (*Dattilāchārya*) out of the Kotṭiya *gaṇa* (and) the Vidyadhari *Śākhā*."

No. XL.²⁹

- L. 1. —प्रीक[रच त]³⁰
 2. चन्द्रावदाता भवंतु
 3. तारयिष्योः ॥ गोविन्दस्य
 4. रं वडभेरस्या विनि —
 5. भूतलोकेषि सुनेस्तेनेदं

This fragment, of which no continuous translation is possible, belongs to a longer metrical Praśasti, which apparently recorded the building or restoration of a temple. For the remnant of line 5 says :—"By him this . . . of the sage, which touches the sky." It is evident that a word like *वडम्* or *चायतनम्* has been lost. The verses to which the pieces in lines 2, 3 and 5 belong, seem to have been in the Śārdūlavikrīḍita metre.

No. XLI.³¹

- L. 1. श्री श्रीजिनदेवः सुरिस्तदशु श्रीभावदेवनामाभूत् ।
 आचार्यविजयसिद्ध-
 2. स्तुष्टिस्तुष्टेन च प्रीतिः ॥ [१॥]³²
 सुसावर्कैर्नवग्रामस्थानादिस्थै स्तुतिभिः ।
 3. वर्धमानस्तुर्बिम्बः कारितोयं सभक्तिभिः । [१२॥]³³
 संवत्सरं १०८० चंभकप-
 4. प्यकाभ्यां चटितः ॥ श्री³⁴

TRANSLATION.

"Om! The illustrious Jinadeva (*was*) a Sūri; after him was he who is named the illustrious Bhāvadeva. His pupil (*is*) the *Āchārya* Vijayasīṅha (*Vijaya-simha*). Exhorted by him the virtuous laymen, who reside in Navagrāma, Sthāna and other (*towns*), caused to be made, full of devotion, (*and*) in accordance with their means, this fourfold Vardhamāna; it was fashioned in the [*Viśkrama*] year³⁵ 1080 by Thambhaka and Pappaka. Om!"

²⁸ The translation of *prāṭārika* by "ferryman" seems to be justified by the use of *pratri*, which frequently means "to carry over in a ship or boat."

²⁹ Incised on the back of a large broken slab, the surface of which is beautifully carved.

³⁰ Only the lower portions of the bracketed syllables are visible.

³¹ Incised on the base of a quadruple image of four sitting Jinas, measuring 2' 5" by 1' 3".

³² Read श्री. Both here and at the end of the inscription, the word is expressed by the symbol resembling the figure 9. Read *-vijayasimha*—.

³³ Read *suśrāvaka*; *sthāṇi*, *svastakṛitāḥ*.

³⁴ Read चंभकप

³⁵ It is evident from the characters, which show the common Nāgarī of the eleventh century A.D., that the era must be the Vikrama Samvat, and that the date corresponds to A. D. 1022—24, accordingly as the year was expired or current and a northern or a southern one. This donation was, therefore, made within five years after Mahmud's expedition against Mathurā (A.H. 409) during which the temples of the town are said to have been burnt (Elliot, *History of India*, vol. II, p. 466f.). Probably the Jaina temples of the Kankālī Tīlā escaped destruction; for, it seems hardly likely that they could have been rebuilt so quickly.

No. XLII.³⁶

- L. 1. सिद्धं । सं ७० ४ गृ १ दि १० ५ अस्मि क्षुणे भिक्षुस्य नन्दिकस्य दानं भगवतो शक्यमुनिना
प्रतिमा मिहिरविहारे अ[चार्या]णां सर्वस्तिवादीनां परिग्रहे मातपितॄणां स-³⁷
2. अस्[त]ना च हितसुखार्थं ।³⁸

TRANSLATION.

"Success! The year 74, the first (*month of*) summer, the fifteenth day,—at that moment, a statue of divine Śākya muni (*Śākya muni, was set up as*) the gift of the monk Nandika in the Mihiravihāra, for the acceptance of the Sarvastivādi, (*Sarrāstivādin*) teachers, for the welfare and happiness of (*the donor's*) parents and of all creatures."

XV.—SAMGAMNER COPPER-PLATE INSCRIPTION OF THE YADAVA BHILLAMA II.

THE ŚAKA YEAR 922.

BY PROFESSOR F. KIELHORN, PH.D., C.I.E.; GÖTTINGEN.

I edit this inscription from excellent impressions prepared by Mr. H. Cousens, Superintendent of the Archaeological Survey of Western India. The original plates belong to an inhabitant of Saṃgamner, in the Saṃgamner Sub-Division of the Aḥmadnagar District of the Bombay Presidency.

The inscription is on three plates, the first and last of which are inscribed on one side only, and which are held together by a ring, bearing a Garuḍa as seal.¹ Each plate measures about 8½" broad by 13¼" high. The first plate contains 28, and the last 22 lines of writing; on the front of the second plate are 37, and on the back 29 lines. Below the writing on the third plate, in the proper right corner, the figure of a conch-shell is engraved; and the same plate, in line 110, contains an ornamental device, shaped like an open flower. The plates are on the whole well preserved, but in the upper part of the second plate the writing on both sides has suffered slightly from corrosion, and in consequence a few *akṣharas*, of no great importance, have become illegible. As may be inferred from the numbers of lines on the several plates, the writing, though done by one and the same writer, is wanting in uniformity. The size of the letters is about ⅙" on the first and last plates and on the lower part of the back of the second plate, but only between ⅓" and ¼" on the front and at the top of the back of the second plate. The characters are those of the ordinary Nāgarī alphabet of the period to which the inscription belongs. The language is Saṃskṛit, but the names of the Brāhmanas, men-

³⁶ Incised on the base of a large seated Buddha, found in the town of Kāman.

³⁷ The curve open to the left with a small horizontal bar in the centre is, as now appears, a peculiar form of the full-stop. It occurs also in No. ix of the collection, published *ante*, vol. I, p. 387. There I failed to recognise its value (see note 5), because I misread the preceding sign as *sd*. The latter is, as I now recognise, *sdha*, the abbreviation for *sidha*, which occurs also in No. xxiv of the earlier collection as *sdhi* and as *sdha* in No. xxvi of the present one. The first numeral sign may also be read as 40. The vowels of the bracketed syllables are not certain. Possibly the stone has *mdā*, which has to be restored in any case. The long *ri*-vowel in *pitṛināth* is plainly expressed on the impression by a curved stroke slanting to the left, to which a second stroke is attached.

³⁸ The third sign of *sarvasatvānā* is so badly injured that it is impossible to say if it was not *tvā*. The vowel of the last sign is perfectly plain on the impression.

I owe this information to Mr. Cousens

tioned in lines 66-77, and the name of the writer in line 114 are given in their vernacular forms (*Keśavainpādhyāyena* for *Keśavopādhyāyena*, in line 114, *Nāraṇaiupādhyāya* for *Nārāyaṇopādhyāya*, in line 66, *Vidyādharaīāya* for *Vidyādharaīya*, and *Śrīdharaiupādhyāya* for *Śrīdharopādhyāya*, in line 67, etc.). Besides, attention may be drawn to the term *khaśrevādhā*, in line 88, which is equivalent to the expression *khaśrāvādhā* of the Siyadōṇī inscription, *ante*, vol. I, p. 165; and to the title or *biruda sellavidēga*, which does not look like Sanskrit and which I am unable to explain, in line 51. Excepting the words *om namaḥ Śivāya* and a date in lines 1-2, the first part of the inscription which treats of the genealogy of the grantor, up to line 45, or perhaps 47, is in verse. The formal part of the grant, beginning with line 48, is in prose, but quotes a large number of benedictive and imprecatory verses,² in lines 55-61, 89-98, 102-106, and 108-110; and another verse, asking the reader's indulgence for any clerical mistakes which the writing may be found to contain, is given in lines 114-116. As regards orthography, the letter *b* is throughout denoted by the sign for *v*; the dental sibilant is often used for the palatal, *e.g.*, in *Sivāya*, l. 1, *īsaḥ*, l. 6, *visdlaḥ*, l. 26; and the palatal occurs instead of the dental in *śamsāra*, l. 60, and *śakala*, l. 82. Besides, the vowel *ṛi* is employed instead of *ri* in *Atri*, l. 10, and *ṛigrāmī*, l. 84, and *ri* in the place of *ṛi* in the word *riṣayaḥ*, l. 95. Altogether the inscription has not been written carefully, and, though corrected in several places, it is by no means free from serious mistakes. The rules of *sandhi* are frequently disregarded; the verses of the genealogical portion are only partially numbered or have wrong numbers appended to them; single *akṣaras* and whole words or groups of words are either given quite wrongly or left out; and I hope to prove below that even one or more whole lines have been omitted by the writer.

The inscription is one of the *Mahāsāmanta* Bhīllama II., and it is the earliest record, hitherto discovered, of the Yādava dynasty (of Devagiri). After the words 'om, adoration to Śiva', it gives (in lines 1-2), both in words and in figures, the date—the Śaka year 922. It then has (in lines 2-7) two verses, one of which glorifies Śiva (Chandramauli), while the other invokes the god's blessing on Bhīllama, the donor of this grant. The genealogy of Bhīllama forms the subject of lines 7-47. Of these, lines 7-22 are of no importance because, beginning with the god Śambhu, they merely contain an account of the descent of the mythical prince Yaśu, the reputed founder of the Yādava family,—the intermediate beings mentioned being Brahman, Marīchi, Atri, Indu, Purūravas, Āyus, Naghusha, and Yayāti. Nor do the following lines, from 23 to 34, record anything that was not known before.³ The first historical prince spoken of is Seṇachandra (lines 22-26), who here as elsewhere is reported to have called his dominion (*maṇḍāla*) and the people of it after himself. His son was the prince Dhādi[yappa]⁴ (lines 26-28). After him came, evidently his son, the prince Bhīllama I. (lines 28-33).⁵ And he again was succeeded by his son, the prince Rājan or Rāja, called elsewhere Śrīrāja or Rājagi.

² The inscription resembles in this the Kalas-Budrūkh grant of Bhīllama III., *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVII, p. 121.

³ Compare, in addition to the Kalas-Budrūkh plates of Bhīllama III., the Passein grant of Seṇachandra II., *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XII, p. 119, and Professor Bhāṇḍārkar's *Early History of the Dekkan*, pp. 75-77.

⁴ See note 40, below.

⁵ Bhīllama provided the god Somanātha (or Śiva), at the place where this inscription was composed, with a valuable head-dress or turban.

From the end of line 34 our inscription becomes more interesting, but also presents some serious difficulties. According to the Kalas-Budrúkh plates of Bhillama III. and the Bassein grant of Seunachandra II., Śrīrāja was succeeded by his son Vaddiga, and he again by Bhillama II., who married Lakshmi or Lachchhiavvā, a lady born in the Rāshtrakūṭa family.⁶ The present grant first tells us (in lines 31-40) that from Rājan or Rāja sprang the prince Vandiga (the Vaddiga of the other plates), a great warrior, who was a follower or feudatory of the illustrious king Kṛishṇa or Kṛishṇarāja, and that this Vandiga married the lady Voddiyavvā,⁷ a daughter of the great prince (*mahānripa*), the illustrious Dhorappa. It then has to be referred, as the text stands, to the same Vandiga, two verses (in lines 40-45), the first of which glorifies (as it seems) Vandiga for having in battle destroyed the fortune of the great prince Muñja,⁸ and for having thereby made the goddess of fortune observe the vow of a chaste woman in the house of the illustrious king Raṇaraṅgabhīma; while the second verse, among the advantages or blessings which he enjoyed, besides recording that Sindinagara was his residence, somewhat pointedly enumerates the fact that Lakshmi incarnate, or in visible shape, always dwelt in his house, full of joy. After these verses, what may be called the poetical part of our inscription contains three more lines (45-47), which read like fragments of verses or like verses turned somehow into prose, in praise of a new Śiva-temple, called *Vijayābharanātha*; but there is no indication as to who erected this temple or why it is mentioned here at all, an omission which must appear the more remarkable because this temple is poetically described as the collected fame, or the fame in bodily form, clearly of its founder who is not named.

The grant recorded in this inscription was made by Bhillama II., and there can be no doubt that the genealogy given in the introductory *prastāvi* should have been continued to, and that the author who composed it did bring it down to, that Bhillama. Moreover, I consider it to be perfectly certain that the Lakshmi, spoken of in line 43, is the Lakshmi or Lachchhiavvā of the other grants, the wife of Bhillama, the donor of this grant; and I feel almost as sure that the temple, spoken of in lines 45-47, which, or the god worshipped in which, was named *Vijayābharanātha*, was founded either by Bhillama himself one of whose titles or *birudas*, as we learn from line 51, was *Vijayābharana*, or by his wife. I am thus driven to the conclusion that the writer, who copied this inscription, has omitted at least two verses, one verse, before the words *svendārti* in line 40, recording that Voddiyavvā bore to Vandiga a son, named Bhillama, and another, after the word *-mahasaḥ* in line 45, stating that Bhillama or his wife Lakshmi erected the temple eulogised in lines 45-47. And accordingly, what is stated in the verse in lines 40-42, must in my opinion really be referred, not to Vandiga, but to his son, and it was Bhillama II. who defeated the great prince Muñja, and who thereby secured uninterrupted fortune for his sovereign lord, the illustrious king Raṇaraṅgabhīma. The question then arises, who were these kings Muñja and Raṇaraṅgabhīma, and who was the king Kṛishṇa or Kṛishṇarāja, to whom Bhillama's father Vandiga owed allegiance?

Our grant being dated in Śaka 922=A. D. 1000, it is clear that Bhillama II.

⁶ According to the Bassein grant Lachchhiavvā was the daughter of Jhaḍijharāja.

⁷ This lady built a Śiva-temple, the exact name of which I am unable to make out.

⁸ See note 32, below.

lived during the last quarter of the 10th century A. D. The only great prince Muñja known to us, who could have been his contemporary, was Vākpatirāja-Muñja of Málava,⁹ and it is undoubtedly this Muñja whose defeat by Bhillama is recorded in line 41 of this inscription. From this it follows that the king named Raṇaraṅga-bhīma in our grant, as whose general or feudatory Bhillama was acting, was an adversary of Vākpatirāja-Muñja; and I believe that he was really no other than the Western Chālukya Tailapa,¹⁰ by whom Muñja was utterly defeated and taken prisoner, and at whose orders he was finally beheaded. Tailapa ruled from A. D. 978-997; we know him to have also been called Āhavamalla; and Raṇaraṅga-bhīma is little less than a synonym of Āhavamalla.—Bhillama's father Vandiga or Vaddiga may reasonably be supposed to have lived during the third quarter of the 10th century A. D., and, such being the case, the king Kṛṣṇa or Kṛṣṇarāja, whose follower he is represented to have been in this inscription, can only have been the Rāshtrakūṭa Kṛṣṇa,¹¹ for whom we have the dates Śaka 867 and 878 = A. D. 945 and 956, the son of Amoghavarsha-Vaddiga. Evidently, down to Vandiga, the Yādavas were feudatories of the Rāshtrakūṭas; after the overthrow of this dynasty by the Chālukyas, which took place in A. D. 973, their allegiance was transferred to the Chālukyas.—As the father-in-law of Vandiga our inscription mentions another great prince, the illustrious Dhorappa. About this prince I am unable to speak with confidence, but I will not suppress a conjecture on the probability of which I leave others to judge. Dhorappa is only a Prakṛit equivalent of the Saṁskṛit Dhruva, and we know that one of the earlier Rāshtrakūṭa kings was named Dhruva or Dhora, or Nirupama. Now Kṛṣṇarāja, the sovereign lord of Vandiga, had a younger brother likewise named Nirupama, the father of Kṛṣṇa's successor Kakkala, and it seems to me not at all improbable that this Nirupama, like his ancestor Nirupama, may too have been called Dhruva or Dhora, and that it is he whose daughter was given in marriage to Vandiga.¹² The description of Dhorappa as *mahānṛipa* would thus, I believe, be well accounted for.—I will only add here that, if, as we apparently are obliged to do, we place Vandiga in the third quarter of the 10th century A. D., the rise of the Yādava family and the date of Seṇa-chandra (or his predecessor Dṛidhaprahāra) can hardly be put earlier than A. D. 850.

The proper object of our inscription is, to record that Bhillama, the king (as he is called), granted certain lands, described in lines 78-84, to twenty-one Brāhmins who are enumerated in lines 64-77. The general meaning of the passage (in lines 48-86) which contains this information is clear enough; but the construction, changing as it does from the active to the passive, and again to the active construction, is altogether ungrammatical.

Omitting what is of no importance, the illustrious Bhillamarāja is described in lines 48-52 as a *mahāsāmanta* or great feudatory who had obtained the five *mahāśabda*, the supreme lord of the city of Dvāravattī, . . . born in Viṣṇu's family, eager to worship Śiva, . . . and rendered illustrious by such royal titles as *Arāti-nishūdana*, 'the destroyer of enemies,' *Samgrāma-Rāma*, *Kandukāchārya*, *Sellaviḍega*, and

⁹ See *ante*, vol. I, pp. 226-227.

¹⁰ See Professor Bhāṇḍārkar, *loc. cit.*, p. 59, and Dr. Fleet's *Dynasties of the Kanarese Districts*, p. 41.

¹¹ See Professor Bhāṇḍārkar, *ib.* pp. 54-57, and Dr. Fleet, *ib.*, pp. 37-38.

¹² It may be noted that Bhillama III. married 'Hāmā, the daughter of Jyāsimha and sister of Āhavamalla, the Chālukya emperor.' See Professor Bhāṇḍārkar, *ib.*, p. 78.

Vijayābharāṇa. Professor Bhāṇḍārkar has already pointed out that,¹³ since Viṣṇu Kṛiṣṇa is represented in the *Purāṇas* to have belonged to the Yādava family, even the later princes of Devagiri called themselves *Viṣṇuvamśodbhava*, and that, as Kṛiṣṇa and his immediate descendants reigned at Dvārakā, they assumed the title of *Dvāravatīpuravarādhīśvara*, 'the supreme lords of Dvāravati, the best of cities.' As regards the titles or *birudas* of Bhillama, the term *Samgrāma-Rāma* is applied to him also in line 8 of the Kalas-Budrākḥ plates. *Kandukāchōrya* apparently means 'a master in playing at ball', and *Sellaviḍega* seems to be a Prākṛit expression, the meaning of which I do not understand. The title *Vijayābharāṇa* has already been referred to above.

The names of the twenty-one donees, given in lines 64-77, it is unnecessary to repeat here. From line 64 it would seem¹⁴ that they lived at Sindinagara, which according to line 43 was the place of residence of Bhillama, and which has been identified¹⁵ with the modern Sinnar, the chief town of the Sinnar Sub-Division of the Nāsik District. All were Brāhmins, some students of the *Rigveda* or *Sāmaveda*, and others members of the Kāṇva or Mādhyandina *śākhās* of the Vājasaneyin branch of the *Yajurveda*, or of the Maitrāyaṇīya *śākhā* of the black *Yajurveda*. Some belonged to the Gautama, Kaundinya, Bhāradvāja, or Kauśika *gotras*. And one (in line 72) is described as *rāja-dauvārika*, 'the king's doorkeeper'

To these Brāhmins Bhillama gave¹⁶ (lines 78-80) the village of Arjunonḍhikā, situated on the banks of the river Mātuliṅgi, and some land between the two villages Laghu-arjunonḍhi and Laghu-vavvulavedra. The boundaries of the village were (lines 83-84), to the east, Saṃgamanagara, to the south, the village of Chikhali, to the west, the village of Jamvalenimva, and to the north, the group of three villages named Vavvulavedra. On the maps at my disposal I find no name similar to Arjunonḍhikā, but Dr. Burgess has suggested to me that the village granted may be the modern Rājapur, on the Mālunḡi river of the Saṃgamner Sub-Division of the Aḥmadnagar District, which perhaps may have received this name in consequence of this grant. And judging from the position, this identification would suit very well indeed. The Saṃgamanagara of the grant would of course be the town of Saṃgamner of the Aḥmadnagar District, which is about three miles east of Rājapur. Chikhaliḡrāma would be the village of Chikhali on the river Ārdalā, about two miles south of Rājapur, and Jamvalenimvagrāma the village of Javlekardak, which is about 2½ miles west of Rājapur. And the group of three villages named Vavvulavedra would be represented by the village Velhāle (not marked on the G. T. S. Map, sheet 38) on the Mālunḡi river, about two miles north of Rājapur, and two deserted "wādis" not far from it.

Lines 86-110 in the usual terms admonish future rulers to protect the donees and their descendants in the possession of this grant and warn them not to resume it. Lines 110-113 add that the illustrious Bhillamarāja made the donation here recorded on the new-moon *tithi* of the month Bhādrapada of the year Śarvarin, on the occasion of a solar

¹³ See *ib.*, p. 85; compare also *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XII, p. 121; and this inscription, lines 21-22.

¹⁴ I am not sure about this, for after the word *Sri-Sindinagar-Antahpādi* one would certainly expect the name or names of one or more villages, situated in the district of Sindinagara.

¹⁵ See *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVII, p. 118.

¹⁶ In the formal part of the grant the only unusual term is *sāhśādaśaprakṛityopetaṃ* in line 80. I can only compare with it *sāhśādaśaprakṛiti* in line 14 of the inscription published in the *Proceedings, Beng. As. Soc.*, 1877, p. 73, but am not able to explain the term properly.

eclipse, at the holy bathing-place of the confluence of the Arunā¹⁷ (and Godāvari) at Nāsik. And line 114 gives the name of the writer of this *śāsana-paṭṭa*, Keśava-upādhyāya, who (in lines 114-116) asks the indulgence of the reader for any mistakes, and winds up with the remark that no verbal deficiencies of this document will affect its validity.

The date of this inscription does not work out satisfactorily. The Jovian year Śārvarin, mentioned in line 110, correctly corresponds, by the southern luni-solar system, to the expired Śaka year 922, given in lines 1-2; but there was no solar eclipse on the new-moon *tithi* of either the *pūrṇimānta* or *amānta* Bhādrapada of that year,—the 2nd and 31st August, A.D. 1000. There was a solar eclipse a month later, on the 30th September, A.D. 1000, but it was not visible in India.

TEXT.¹⁸

First plate.

- L. 1. श्रीं [नमः] मि(श्र)वाय । स्वस्ति शकनृपका[ला]र्तातसंवत्सरशतेषु नवसु द्वाविंश-
2. [त्य]धिकेष्वेकतोपि संवत्सराः ८२२ ॥ त्रयमपि¹⁹ भुवनानामीषदुष्के-
3. [ष]मात्राप्रभवति लयशेषं यन्निमेषादुपैति [1*] अतिशयि-
4. तचरित्रो योगिनामप्यगम्यः स इह जयतु देवः²⁰ चारुच-
5. [न्द्राङ्ग]मौलिः ॥ १ । भुव[न*]भवनहंतुः दुष्कृताभ्योधिसेतुः विहितम-²¹
6. दनमाथः पार्वतीप्राणनाथः ॥(1) निहतमरुदरीस(श): पातु भिन्न-
7. समोशः ।²² करतलकृतशूलः सर्वदा चन्द्रचलः ॥ युगस्यादौ²³
8. श[भ्र ?]भुवनमसृजन्नवभूर्तिस्त्रिधा सुररिपविरिचप्रभवतः ॥(1)
9. वि[रि]चेः संभृतः सकलमरुतां योनिरमलो मरीचिर्या(र्य)त्को-
10. [र्त्ति]र्भ्रमति भुवनं कुन्दविशदा । ३ ।²⁴ भ्रतृ(त्रि)नामाभवत्तस्मानुसू-
11. त्थपरायणः । तस्मिन्नुरिन्दुराख्यातो जगदानन्दमन्दिरम(म्) । ४ ।
12. ²⁵ ततोभवत्त्रिभुवनसौमवंशजो विशालभूपालगुणालयं हि
13. यः [1*]पुरुषवा भूपतिरर्हमासनं सदा सुरेन्द्रस्य व(व)भाज लीलया
14. । ६ ।²⁶ भ्रमदायुस्तस्मादृपतिरमरैर्वन्द्यचरितो हठाक्रान्तारा-
15. तिप्रणतचरणाभ्योऽज्युगलः [1*] ततो जातो यास्मिन्नघुषट्-²⁷
16. पतिर्लक्ष्मि(क)नमिषाक्षिलिख स्वस्था[ख्यां ?]²⁸ स्वभुजविजयो चन्द्रफलके । ७ ।
17. अजनि²⁹ नघषभूपाङ्गमिपालो ययातिस्त्रिदशमहितको-
18. र्त्तिर्वीरिलक्ष्मीर्विलासः³⁰ [1*] किमिव³¹ गुणगणोसो व[र्य्ये]ते³² त-
19. स्व सान्ध्याजगदवननिमित्तं यादवानां प्रसूतिः ॥ ८ ॥ अ-

¹⁷ See the *Bombay Gazetteer*, vol. XVI (Nāsik), p. 524 : 'Aruna tirth' is where the Aruna joins the Godāvari near
their general sense is apparent, do not admit of a proper construction.

Ram's Pool'.

¹⁸ From an ink-impression, prepared by Mr. H. Cousens.

¹⁹ Metre, Mālinī; and of the next verse.

²⁰ Here and in other places below which it is unnecessary to point out separately the rules of *śaṁkhā* have not been observed.

²¹ The *akṣhara* त was originally omitted, and is engraved above the line between त्र and त्रि.

²² This sign of punctuation is superfluous. In the preceding the metre is incorrect.

²³ Metre, Śikharīpt. In the first half of the verse five syllables have been omitted, and the words given, though

²⁴ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

²⁵ Metre, Vamśastha.

²⁶ From here the numbers of the verses are wrong.

²⁷ Metre, Śikharīpt.

²⁸ Read यक्षात्.

²⁹ The two *akṣharas* स्त्रा[ख्यां?] were originally or and are engraved above the line.

³⁰ Metre, Mālinī; and of the next verse.

³¹ I believe the reading intended to be 'कीर्तिवीरलक्ष्मीवि-
लासः', although this would offend against the metre.

³² Here I would propose reading त्रिभिर्दशगुणगणोसो वर्य्येते
तस्य यक्षात्.

³³ The *akṣhara* in brackets, as engraved, is र्य्य.

- L. 20. भवदिह ययातेः सञ्जनानन्दकारी यदुत्पतिरशेषाका-
 21. त्तादाय(या)दचक्रः [1^x] सकलविवु(बु)धहृद्वैर्द्वन्दितोसौ सुरारिञ्ज-
 22. गदवननिमित्तं यस्य वंशे प्रसृतः ॥ ८ ॥ ³⁴तस्माद्यदुवं-
 23. शतः³⁵ समभवद्भूपालचूडामणिर्भूपालः³⁶ कलिकाल-
 24. कस्म[ष^x]लवैर्वालोक्तो भूतले । ख्यातः सेउणचन्द्र एव स-
 25. हसा नाम्ना निजेनाह्वय³⁷ यो लोकं निजमण्डलं च समदा-
 26. रातीभकण्ठीरवः । १० । प्रख्यातोसौ³⁸ सङ्घैर्यो विसा(शा)लः
 27. संप्रामोद्यद्दुर्भारातिकालः । ³⁹जातःस्तस्मादष्टमोचन्द्र-
 28. भासः स्रुतु [1^x] श्रीमान्वाडिख्यो⁴⁰ नृपालः ॥ ११ ॥ ⁴¹तदनु विवु(बु)धह-

Second plate ; first side.

29. [न्दान]न्दसन्दोहसीमा समदमदन — — [मानि]नीमानचौरः [1^x] असमस ७ ७ —
 30. — योरिकालानलोभूतत इह ७ ७ [रि]न्दो भूतले भिन्नमाख्यः ॥ १२ ॥
 31. [ननु] किमिह कवीनां तस्य वर्ण्यं हि न स्यात्कुमुदविस(श)दकीर्त्तभू-
 32. [तले]द्यापि यस्य । रुचिरकनकभासोज्ञामिनीमाववन्ध⁴² प्रति-
 33. दिनमिह देवः पट्टिकां [सो]मनाथः⁴³ ॥ १३ ॥ ⁴⁴तस्माज्जातः सुतो रा[जा] रा-
 34. जा राजीवलोचनः [1^x] राजिव राजते योत्र प्रजानन्दकरः सदा ॥ १४ ॥ तस्मा-⁴⁵
 35. इन्दिगभूपतिः समभवद्भूपालचूडामणिः यः सौभाग्यमहोदधि-
 36. र्मृगदृशां कन्दर्पदण्डितः । राजा दीर्घभुजह्वय[1^x] पृथुलसहस्र-
 37. लः संगरे ।⁴⁶ क्रूरारिप्रमदेभदर्यदलनः श्रीकृष्णराजानुगः ॥ १५ ॥
 38. श्रीवो[र]प्पमहातृपस्य दुहिता श्रीवोह्वि[व्वा]भिधा⁴⁷ शंभोः सै(शै)ल-
 39. सुतेव कैटभरिपोःलक्ष्मीरिव⁴⁸ प्रेयसी [1^x] यस्यासोत्कुमुदा[च]दातयशसः स्तूपायमा[न] यया
 40. [ना]मावेश्वरमोशवेश⁴⁹ विहितं कैलासलीलाहरम्⁵⁰ ॥ [स्ते]⁵¹नारातिकरालकालर[च]ना-
 चण्डा[सि]-
 41. दण्डेन यो हत्वा सृजमहातृपप्रणयिनी⁵² संप्रामरंगांगणे [1^x]लक्ष्मीमस्रु(म्बु)धिमेषुलावल-
 42. यित[च्चा]वर्त्तिनीम्प्रापयद्भूपश्रीरशरंगभीमभवने साक्षात्कुलस्त्रीव्रतम् । (॥) अधि-⁵³
 43. ष्ठानं सिन्धोन[ग]रसर्परस्वर्गवर्दिद⁵⁴ सदा मूर्त्ता लक्ष्मीर्वसतिभवने यस्य सुदिता ।
 44. मनः स(श)भोः पादास्रु(म्बु)रुह्युगले ध्याननियतं यदोर्व्यंशे भूपाचरितमभवं⁵⁵ चा[र ?]-
 45. महसः । (॥) ⁵⁶हिमगिरिसि(शि)खरसदृशमिह राजति सुरपुरवर्त्मसंनिभं⁵⁷ हतपुर[म]-

³⁴ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

³⁵ Originally °ब्रती, but altered to °ब्रतः.

³⁶ Originally °मणिः भू°, but altered to °मणिभू°.

³⁷ Read °ह्वयो; this correction may have been made already in the original.

³⁸ Metre, Śālini.

³⁹ Read जातसः.

⁴⁰ The intended reading most probably is वाडिख्यो.

⁴¹ Metre, Mālini; and of the next verse.

⁴² Read °ववन्ध.

⁴³ The akṣhara in brackets is शो rather than सो.

⁴⁴ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

⁴⁵ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīḍita; and of the next two verses.

⁴⁶ This sign of punctuation is superfluous.

⁴⁷ The akṣhara in brackets is — — — — — much like वा.

⁴⁸ Read °रिपोः.

⁴⁹ The consonant of the first akṣhara of this line is slightly damaged, and it may possibly be त; the following akṣharas are quite clear.

⁵⁰ Originally °हरं was engraved.

⁵¹ Of this akṣhara, again, only the superscript vowel is quite certain, and the whole akṣhara might possibly be read ये.

⁵² Read सुत्र°.

⁵³ Metre, Śikharinī.

⁵⁴ Read सिन्धोनगरपरल°.

⁵⁵ Read °भववा°.

⁵⁶ This passage, from here to the end of line 47, looks as if it were intended to be in verse or contained fragments of verses, but I cannot recognize in it any of the known metres.

⁵⁷ Read °सन्निभं.

- L. 46. धननियतकौलामगिरिस्त्वहम्[श]मसप्रभम्⁵⁹ ॥ पुंजितयश इवेन्दुकुन्द[द्य]-
 47. ति भूतलतिलकसुन्दरं विजयाभरणनाथाभिधमभिनवगिरि[श]मन्दिरम् ॥
 48. समधिगतपंचमहाशब्द[ब्]महासामन्तहारवतीपुरपरमेश्वरैकशंखध्वनिव[ब्]-
 49. धिरितदिगन्तरालविजयतूर्यारवसंज्ञासितजितरिपुसमूहविशुवशोद्ध-
 50. वभवचरणाराधनैकतत्परमनस्त्रिनीमानोन्मूलनकन्दर्यदध्योद्वताराति-
 51. निषदनमंशमरामकंदुकाचार्यमैल्लविडेगविजयाभरणेत्यादिसमस्तारा-⁶⁰
 52. जावलीविराजितश्रीमद्विजयमराजा⁶¹ ॥ अन्तःशून्यः कदलीगर्भवदसारः
 53. संसारः । व्याधिजरामरणसाधारणं शरीरं । प्रव[ब्]लपवनकम्पितनलि-⁶¹
 54. नीदलगततुषारतरले च धनायुषी यौवनं च । तथा च । कृतव्रतहापर-
 55. युगेभ्यो अत्यर्थ⁶² दानफलं ।⁶³ कलौ युगे पुनः⁶⁴ शंसन्ति । न⁶⁵ तथा सफला विद्या
 56. न तथा सफलं धनं [।*] यथा तु मुनयः प्राहुर्हानमेकं कलौ युगे ।(॥) अग्नेरपत्यं⁶⁶
 57. प्रथमं सुवर्णं भूर्वर्णवी सूर्यसुताश्च गावः । लो[क*]त्रयं तेन भवेत्प्रदत्तं य[ः]
 58. कांचनं गां च महर्षे च दद्यात्(त्) ॥ आस्फोटयन्ति⁶⁷ पितरः प्रवल्भ[त्]न्ति पिताम-
 59. हाः । [।*] भूमिदोस्तत्कालं जातः स नः सन्तारयिष्यति ।(॥) भूमिदानं सुपात्रेषु सु-
 60. तीर्थेषु सुपर्वणि । अगाधापारशं(सं)नारमागरीन्तारणं⁶⁸ भवेत्(त्) ॥ धवलान्यातप-
 61. [त्वाणि] दन्तिनचि(च) मदोदताः । भूमिदानस्य पुण्याणि फलं स्वर्गे पुरन्दरः⁶⁹ ॥ इ-
 62. [ति] पराशरवल्मकुत्सो(त्सा)ङ्गिरमगौतममनुयाज्ञवल्क्यमुनिवचनान्यव[धा]-
 63. [र्यं] मया [दृ]ढतरविरक्तवुध्या⁷⁰ मातापितरोरामनश्च श्रेयोर्थिना हि मया⁷¹ [वा(वा)]-
 64. [क्षण] ?]नां ग्रा[मो] दत्तः । श्रीसिन्दीनगरान्तःपाति । वाजिकावसा(शा)स्त्रिने⁷² गौत-
 65. मगोत्राय —

Second plate ; second side.

66. — होपाध्याय⁷³ नारण्येउपाध्यायसु[ताय] तथा वाजिकावसाखाय कौण्डिन्यगोत्राय [विद्या ?]-
 67. [ध]रैत्राय श्रीधरैउपाध्यायसुताय तथा व[ब्]हृचशाखाय भरहाजगोत्राय म[हल ?]-
 68. [पं]त्राय वि[ह ?]पैयसुताय तथा वाजिमाध्यंदिनशाखाय कौण्डिन्यगोत्रा(वा)य [महल ?]-
 69. पैत्राय इन्द्रपैयसुताय तथा व[ब्]हृचशाखाय देवपैयाय अन्नपैयसुताय तथा [हं ?]-
 70. [दो ?]गप्रभाकरदीक्षिताय तथा मित्रायणीवच्छपैयः⁷⁴ तथा अन्ध्रपन्थपैयः
 71. [त]था माध्यंदिन[गु]हिसपैयः तथा च्छन्दोगगलः⁷⁵ सोमैयसुतः तथा माध्यं-
 72. दिनमहलपैयः तथा व[ब्]हृचराजदीवारिकमहलपैयः तथा व[ब्]हृचसंग[लः]
 73. तथा करहाटवपलः तथा वाजिकावनिम्बैयः तथा व[ब्]हृचनेवपैयः तथा
 74. व[ब्]हृचशाखा[य*]कौशिकगोत्राय विक्रपैयाय श्रीधरैसुताय तथा

⁵⁹ Read 'संप्रभमसप्रभम्'.

⁶⁰ The *akshara* चा of कंदुकाचार्य was originally omitted, and is engraved above the line.

⁶¹ Read 'मराजः'. Some of the following signs of punctuation are superfluous.

⁶² The *akshara* प of प्रवन् was originally omitted, and is engraved above the line.

⁶³ Read 'सुवर्ण'.

⁶⁴ This sign of punctuation is superfluous, and may have been struck out already in the original.

⁶⁵ Instead of पुनः one would have expected पुनयः.

⁶⁶ Metro, Sloka (Anushtubh).

⁶⁷ Metro, Indravajrā

⁶⁸ Metro, Sloka (Anushtubh); and of the two next verses.

⁶⁹ The *akshara* री was originally omitted, and is engraved above the line.

⁷⁰ Read 'स्वर्गः पुरन्दरः'.

⁷¹ Read 'बुद्ध्या'.

⁷² This word is unnecessarily repeated here.

⁷³ Originally साक्षिनि was engraved; see below, line 75.

⁷⁴ One would expect 'ध्यायाय'.

⁷⁵ Read 'सेवायपीय'.

⁷⁶ The writing is quite distinct here, but must be faulty.

- L. 75. [घो?]पलाय कौशिकगोत्राय व(ब)हृचसाखिनि⁷⁶ । तथा व(ब)हृचशाखा-
 76. य अग्निलैत्राय तथा व(ब)हृचशाखाय दन्दिपैत्राय कालपैसताय
 77. तथा पौम्बदेवः अग्नपैसताय । एवममीषां द्विजानां पितृपै-
 78. तामहोपास्मिन्⁷⁷ मातुलिङ्गीतसमावामितं अर्जुनोष्टि-
 79. कायामं तथा लघुअर्जुनोष्टिग्रामलघुवज्रवेदग्राम-
 80. [ह]याभ्यन्तरं सहस्रभालाकुल चा(च)तुःसोमापर्यन्तं साष्टा-
 81. दशप्रकृत्योपेतं वापीकूपतडागारामसहितं सतृणका[ष्ट]-
 82. पाषाणोपेतं श(स)कलसमस्तोपेतं [।^x] ग्रामस्याघाटाः⁷⁸ लिख्यन्ते [।^x]
 83. पूर्वतः संगमनगरं दक्षिणतः चिखलीग्रामः पश्चिम-
 84. तः जम्बलनिम्बग्रामः उत्तरतः वज्रवेदतृ(त्रि)ग्रामो [।^x] एवं चा(च)-
 85. त्राघाटविशुद्धं सर्वाभ्यन्तरसिद्धा⁷⁹ पुत्रपौत्रादिमन्तव्योपे-
 86. तानां चद्रार्क्षं यावत्पतिपालनीयं । एवमन्वयजां वंशूनांमादि
 87. [भुञ्जानानां भो]जयतां⁸¹ कृषतां कर्षापयताम्वा⁸² यद्येष्टं प्रति, दयता प्रति-
 88. द्ययतां⁸³ केनापि शस्त्रेवाधा⁸⁴ न करणीया । उक्तां च मुनिभिः [।^x]
 89. यानीह⁸⁵ दत्तानि पुरा नेरेन्द्रैर्हनानि धर्मार्थयशस्कराणि [।^x]
 90. निर्मात्यमालाप्रतिमानि तानि ।⁸⁶ को नाम साधुः पुनराद-
 91. दोत ।(॥) व(ब)हुभिर्व्यसुधा⁸⁷ भुक्ता राजभिः सगरादि
 92. भिः । यस्य यस्य यदा भूमिस्तस्य तस्य तदा
 93. फलं ।(॥) सद्यो दानं निरायासं सायासं तस्य पाल-
 94. नम् ॥(॥)

Third plate.

- 95 एवं हि रिषयः⁸⁸ प्राहुः दानाच्छेयोनुपालनम् ॥ दत्त्वा⁸⁹ भू[मिं] भाविनः
 96. पार्थिवेन्द्राः भूयो भूयो याचते रामभद्रः । सा-
 97. माख्योयं धर्मसंतुर्नपाणां काले काले पालनी-
 98. यो भवन्तिः ।(॥) इत्यर्थितोपि यः कलिकालवस(शा)क्षोभा-
 99. भिभूतो यः⁹⁰ पूर्वदानमपहरिष्यति ।⁹¹ स पञ्चम
 100. ह्यापातकैरुपपातकैर्युतो रौरवादिषु नरकेषु
 101. पण्यमानो दुष्कृतमनुभविष्यति⁹² । तथा च ।⁹³ व्यासभट्टार
 102. कः प्राह । खदत्तां⁹⁴ परदत्ताम्वा⁹⁵ यो हरेत वसुन्धराम(म) [।^x]

⁷⁶ Read 'शाखिने तथा

⁷⁷ Read 'महोपा'.

⁷⁸ Read 'घाटा'.

⁷⁹ Read 'अनारसिद्धा'.

⁸⁰ Read 'जानां वंशूनामादि'.

⁸¹ Originally only मृचयतां was engraved; but three or four aksharas were afterwards engraved above the line, which, together with the former, appear to me to yield the reading given in the text.

⁸² Read 'तां वा'.

⁸³ This sign of punctuation is superfluous.

⁸⁴ The second akshara might also be read वे, but compare

सुश्रावाधा, ante, vol. I, p. 165.

⁸⁵ Metre, Uṣṇjāti. Read नरे⁹⁰.

⁸⁶ This sign of punctuation is superfluous

⁸⁷ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh); and of the next verse

⁸⁸ Read सस्यः प्राहुर्दो⁹⁰.

⁸⁹ Metre, Śālini. Read दत्त्वा, 'वेन्द्रान्, and याचते.

⁹⁰ This word is superfluous.

⁹¹ These signs of punctuation are superfluous.

⁹² The akshara नु was originally omitted, and is engraved above the line.

⁹³ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh); and of the two next verses.

⁹⁴ Read 'हतां वा'.

- L. 103. स विद्यायां कृमिभूत्वा कृमिभिः सह पश्यते ।(॥) विख्यातवी-
 104. श्वतोयासु शुष्ककोटरवासिनः । महाहयो हि जायन्ते
 105. भूमिदानापहारकाः ।(॥) तडागानां सहस्रेण वाजिमधश्तेन च [1*]
 106. गवां कोटिप्रदानेन(न) भूमिहर्त्ता न शुद्ध्यति ।(॥) इति महर्षिवचना-
 107. न्यवधार्य ⁹¹ आगन्तुकराजभिः धर्मलोपभयेन प्रतिपालनीयम् [1*]
 108. महंस(श)जाः⁹⁵ परमहोपतिवंशजा वा पापादपतमनसो भुवि भाविभूपाः [1*]
 109. ये पालयन्ति मम धर्ममिमं ममग्रं तेषां मया विरचितोञ्जलि-
 110. रेष मूर्ध्नि⁹⁶ ॥ ॐ ॥ सा(शा)र्व्वरीसंवत्सरीयभाद्रपदामावास्या-
 111. याम् ⁹¹ श्रीनासिकीयभरुणासवै(वे)द्यमहर्षीर्यं ⁹¹ परम-
 112. व्रती(तो)पवासनियमयुक्तेन श्री(श्री)भिल्लमराजेन सूर्यश्रृणु षा-
 113. मोयं प्रदत्तः ॥ लिख(खि)तमिदं शासनपटं स्थाननियमेन
 114. राजनियमेन च ⁹¹ मया केशवैउपाध्यायेनेति ॥ यद-⁹⁷
 115. क्षरं परिभ्रष्टं मास्त्राह्मीनं च यद्भवेत्(त्) । क्षां(क्षं)तुमर्ह्ये विद्वांसः क-
 116. स्य न खलते मनः ॥ यदस्त्रोनाक्षरेमधिकम्वा⁹⁸ तत्सर्व्वं प्रमाणमिति ॥

XVI.—STONE INSCRIPTIONS AT VAGHLI IN KHANDES.

THE ŚAKA YEAR 991.

BY PROFESSOR F. KIELHORN, PH.D., C.I.E.; GÖTTINGEN.

According to the *Bombay Gazetteer*, vol. XII, p. 478, Vāghli,¹ a village six miles east (or rather north-east) of Chalisgaon in the Khāndes District, has three temples, an old temple of Madhāidevi, a small ruined temple to its right, and a temple of the Mānbhāva² sect. "The Mānbhāva temple, built in Hemādpanti style, 33 feet long by 22 broad and 13 high, with ornamented pillars and doorway, formerly contained a *linga*, and has still the sacred bull outside. Three large stones (within) bear illegible Sanskrit inscriptions. Near the temple is a well, inside and on each side of which is a small cell. The temple is undoubtedly very old, and has for the last seven generations been in the possession of the Mānbhāva sect." Good impressions of the three inscriptions thus referred to, taken by Mr. H. Cousens, have been supplied to me by Dr. Burgess. They show that the three inscriptions are indeed damaged, especially one of them, but that they are by no means so illegible as they have been reported to be. And their contents appear to me sufficiently interesting to justify my furnishing a short account of them. I shall denote the inscriptions by the letters A, B, and C, but shall show that all three really form part of a single inscription.

A.—This inscription contains 14 lines of writing which cover a space of about 3' 6" broad by 1' 1½" high. On the proper right side the writing is much damaged and

⁹⁶ Metre, Vasantatilakā.

⁹⁷ Really सुध is engraved.

⁹⁸ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

⁹⁹ Read चरमधिकं वा.

¹ In the *Indian Atlas*, sheet 38, spelt "Baugley," in

the *Postal Directory* "Waghli," in Long. 75° 16' E., Lat. 20° 31' N.

² See *Bombay Gazetteer*, vol. XII, p. 122; *Indian Antiquary*, vol. IV, p. 335; and Dr. Burgess' *List of Antiquarian Remains in the Bombay Presidency*, pp. 122, 320.

partly altogether illegible, but, as will be seen from my transcript of the text, the greater portion of the inscription is fairly well preserved, and everything of importance may be read with certainty. The size of the letters is between $\frac{5}{8}$ " and $\frac{3}{4}$ ". The characters are Nāgarī of about the 11th century A.D. The language is Samskrit, and the inscription is in verse. As regards orthography, the letter *b* is throughout denoted by the sign for *v*; the dental sibilant is several times used instead of the palatal; and the vowel *ri* is employed instead of *ri* in *trīṭaya*, line 5.

The inscription opens with a verse invoking the blessing of Ganapati; after that it has twelve verses, numbered 1–12; and it breaks off, at the end of line 14, in the third Pāda of another verse which would have been verse 13. Verse 1 describes the origin of the solar race, and tells that from Māndhātṛi, a prince of that race, sprang the Maurya family. Verse 2 then relates how Soma, *i.e.*, the god Śiva, left his home on the mountain Kailāsa and came for the welfare of the people to settle in the country of Surāshṭra; and verse 3 states that here, in Surāshṭra, there is the city of Valabhi, the capital of the Mauryas, the Brāhman citizens of which enjoy all the blessings of life through the favour of the Mauryas. Verses 4–13 then record the names of a series of princes or chiefs, the first of whom is stated to have been born in the Maurya family, and each of whom has a verse, eulogizing his valour, allotted to him. This list, for it is nothing more, is as follows:—

1. The illustrious Kīkaṭa, born in the Maurya family (v. 4).
2. After him came the illustrious Takshaka (v. 5).
3. After him, Bhīma (v. 6).
4. Sarvaśūra (v. 7).
5. After him came the prince Govindarāja (v. 8).
6. After him, the prince Sādhvasika (v. 9).
7. The prince Jhañjha (v. 10).
8. From him was born the prince Devanastin (v. 11).
9. From him, the prince Muñja (v. 12).
10. From him, the prince Padmākara (v. 13).

B.—This inscription contains fragments of 16 lines of writing, found on a stone which apparently measures about 3' 3" broad by 1' 8" high, and the inscribed surface of which is greatly damaged. The size of the letters is between $\frac{3}{4}$ " and 1". The characters are the same as those of the inscription A. The language is Samskrit, and the inscription is throughout in verse.

Though, owing to the damaged state of the stone, I am unable to furnish a text of this inscription, I feel no hesitation in saying that we have here the continuation of the preceding inscription A. Here, too, the verses have numbers appended to them, and the first number which is preserved is 14, and occurs towards the end of line 2. This verse 14, in the Mandākrāntā metre, must have commenced about 15" from the commencement of line 1, where verse 13 would have ended, on a part of the stone which is broken away. Comparing the end of the inscription A, we see that that inscription ends in the third Pāda of a Vasantatilakā verse which would have been verse 13, and that 19 syllables are wanted to complete that verse. Now 19 syllables would in the present inscription have taken up a space of exactly 13", and, moreover, the *aksharas* preserved at the commencement of line 1 of B undoubtedly do belong to a Vasantati-

lakā verse, and their sense suits well the incomplete verse at the end of A. For what we can read at the commencement of line 1 of B, is—

. . . *prayarhchhaml=Lakṣmīśś-chaḷatoa-vadanīyam=a*

which, with the end of A, would give us the following verse;—

पद्माकरो नरपतिः प्रव(ब)भूव तस्माद्युक्तः पदा[ति]गजवाजिरथैरनेकैः ।
दानानि योभ्युदयधर्म्म[रतः?] प्रयच्छन्महाबलत्वदनीयम[पाचकार ॥ ?]

As stated before, verse 14 ends in line 2; verse 15 ends in line 3, verse 16 in line 4, verse 17 in line 6, and verse 18 in line 7; verse 19 probably ended towards the end of line 8; verse 20 ends in line 10, verse 21 in line 11, and verse 22 in line 12; verse 23 must have ended in line 13; verse 24 ends in line 14, and verse 25 in line 15; and the end of verse 26 must have coincided with the end of line 16, the last line of this inscription. I believe, I cannot go wrong in saying that, exactly like the verses 4-13 of the inscription A, each of the verses 14-24 gave the name of one prince, and that the eleven verses together therefore recorded the names of eleven princes, who one after another succeeded the prince Padmākara, spoken of in verse 13. The names of the two immediate successors of Padmākara (the 11th and 12th members of the family), which must have been contained in verses 14 and 15, are broken away or at any rate no longer legible. Further on the following are mentioned:—

13. Vappaiya (*Vappaiya-nāma sa nareśa āsit*, in verse 16).

14. Vappaiya's son, whose name has not been preserved (*Vappaiyasya sutas-tato=vanitale*, in verse 17).

15. Vālaparāja (*tasmad=Vālaparāja ity=abhihito bhūpāla-chādāmaṇiḥ samjajñe*, in verse 18).

16. Śādhvasika (*rājā Śādhvasikas=tataḥ param=abhūt*, in verse 19).

17. Śāntirāja (*śrī-Śāntirāja iti bhāmipatir=vvabhāva*, in verse 20).

18. Pravarasūkara (? *Śānty-ātmaṇḥ sakala-bhūpa-guṇair=upetaḥ śrīmān=abhūt-Pravarasū[ka]ra-nāmatheyah*, in verse 21).

19. Bhāileka (*tasmad=abhūt=prachura-śatru-gaṇa-pramāṭit śrī-Bhāileka-nripatir-jagati prasiddhaḥ*, in verse 22).

20. Bhimarāja (*śrī-Bhimarāja-nripatis=tu Śiva-prasādāt*, in verse 23).

21. Govinda (*Govinda-bhūpatir=iti*, in verse 24).

No further names seem to occur in the short passages which remain of the verses 25 and 26.

C.—This inscription contains 18 lines of writing which cover a space of 4' 2½" broad by 1' 10½" high. With the exception of a few *akṣharas*, lines 1-16 are well preserved; but the middle of line 17 is greatly damaged, and the writing of line 18 is almost entirely effaced. The size of the letters is between ¾" and 1". The characters are the same as in the inscriptions A and B. The language is Samskr̥it, and the inscription is throughout in verse. As regards orthography, *b* is everywhere denoted by the sign for *v*; the vowel *ri* is used instead of *ri* in *vimikṛita*, line 3, and *tridiva*, line 8; and the *jihvāmūlīya* and *upadhmaniya*, both denoted by the ordinary sign for *sh*, are employed in *anvitaḥ kīrti-*, line 8, *sevadhik padma-*, line 5, and *tanuḥ punya-*, line 7.

From the text, which will be given below, it will be seen that the inscription commences with a verse which is numbered 27, and which thus at once shows it to be the

continuation of the inscription B, which ends with verse 26. The inscription clearly divides itself into two portions, the first of which, extending to nearly the end of line 9, comprises the nine verses 27-35. All that it is necessary to say about this part, is, that it eulogizes the piety of a prince (*narapati, nripati, bhūmipāla*) Govinda or Govindarāja, who in verse 27 is styled *Mauryakula-pradīpa*, 'the light of the Maurya family,' and who evidently is the Govinda spoken of in verse 21 of the inscription B; and that in particular it records the foundation by this prince of a *sattra*, or hall of charity for travellers and for the learned and indigent, and the erection of a temple of Śiva, under the name of Siddheśanātha or Siddheśvara, with a tank or well attached to it. In all probability, the temple is the same at which the inscription is still preserved.

The second part of the inscription, the verses of which are numbered separately, opens, towards the end of line 9, with a date, and records up to line 15 various donations in favour of the temple and the charitable institute mentioned before, made both by Govindarāja himself and by (his sovereign lord) the *mahāmāṇḍala-nātha*, the illustrious king Seuna.

According to verses 1-3 (lines 9-11), the king Seuna, on the occasion of a solar eclipse in the month of Āshāḍha of the Jupiter year Saumya which was the (expired) Śaka year 991, granted to the temple of Siddheśvara the two villages of Saṁgami and Madhuvāṭikā, together with the income due from them to the king himself.—The date corresponds, by the *amānta* scheme, to Tuesday, the 21st July, A.D. 1069, when about half an hour after mean sunrise there was a solar eclipse which was visible in some parts of India; and the king Seuna is the Devagiri Yādava Seunachandra II., of whom we possess a copper-plate grant which is dated only a fortnight later than the present inscription, on Gurudina or Thursday, the 14th of the bright half of Śrāvaṇa of the year Saumya, corresponding to Śaka-saṁvat 991².—The villages of Saṁgami and Madhuvāṭikā I am unable to identify on the maps at my disposal.

Govindarāja's donations are enumerated in the verses 4-13 (lines 11-15). Some of the particulars of this passage I do not fully understand; but it is clear that Govinda provided for the worship of the god in the temple founded by him (verses 4-5); that, for the support of the learned men and their pupils who resorted to his *sattra*, he gave four fields which are described as *Vagalūkammatabhūmi*, *Vakhulikshetra*, *Vanakūlakabhūmi* and *Paṭayakshinivāḍa*, and the exact boundaries of which are recorded in the text (verses 6-12); and that he besides granted sixteen *nivartanas* of land to certain secular and religious teachers (verse 13).

The concluding lines of the inscription once more state that the temple, referred to in the preceding, was founded by the prince Govinda and his wife, the *rājñī* Nāyakī (verse 14), and contain the usual admonitions to future rulers to watch over, and not to resume, the donations here recorded.

Taken as a whole, what is contained on the three stones is a single inscription, dated in Śaka 991 or A.D. 1069, of a chief Govindarāja of the Maurya clan, a feudatory of the Devagiri Yādava king Seunachandra II. Its proper object is, to record that Govindarāja built the temple at which the inscription was put up, and made various

² See *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XII, p. 120. It should be stated, however, that the date of the copper-plate is incorrect; for Śrāvaṇa-sudi 14 of Śaka 991 expired (= Saumya) corresponds to the 4th August, A.D. 1069, which was a Tuesday, not a Thursday.

donations in favour of it; and by way of introduction the inscription furnishes a list of the names of the ancestors of Govindarāja for no less than twenty generations, and records that the original home of the Maurya clan was the city of Valabhi in Surāsh-
tra, which was their capital. As Govindarāja himself is indirectly described as a feuda-
tory of a Yādava king, it may be assumed that his ancestors or at least some of them
owed allegiance to the same family, and that these Mauryas came from Kāthiāvād to
Khāndes together with the Yādavas, 'the lords of Dvāravātī.'⁴ As regards the individual
chiefs mentioned in this inscription, I have not met with any of them in other inscrip-
tions.⁵

TEXT OF THE INSCRIPTION A.⁶

- L. 1. [चौ।?] ७ ७ ७ — ७ ७ — ७ ७ — ७ — क्षमि[त]र[क्ष]मरीचि ७ — ७ [ता]: । चरण-
युग्मसरोरुहरणवो गणपतर्विजयाय भवतु वः ॥ ७ ॥ आदावव्यक्तमासीत्तदनु⁷ कमल[जस्त?]-
2. त्सुतः कश्यपोभू — — — ७ — — [तद]नु मनुरभूत्सुतात्सर्ववंशः । विख्यातः सर्वलोकेष्व-
मलत्पगुणै[र]न्वितः⁸ कीर्त्तिधर्मैर्मान्यातुर्भूमिपालात्कलगुणनिधेर्मान्यैर्विशो]
3. व(ब)भूव ॥ १ ॥ आसीत्कैलास[मृगे रुचिर]शसि(शि)सुधाशुभ्रगंगाप्रवाहे दिव्यारामोपभोगात्सु-
रसुरनिकरोद्भूतकाम्यप्रलापे । सोमः सोमार्द्धभूषः सकलसुरनुतः [का ?]-
4. म[चित्तप्रदो?]षः सर्वेषां लौकिकानामशुभविहृतये सोवतीर्णः सुराष्टम् ॥ २ ॥ तस्मि⁹ देशेस्ति
रम्या विवु(वु)धसुरवरार्काण्णदेवालययाव्या मौर्याणां राजधानी वलभिरिति ७ -
5. — म[ण्डनं] मण्डलानां । यस्यान्निःशेषविद्यासुनिश्चितम[त]यो ब्रा(ब्रा)ह्मणा ब्र(ब्र)ह्मण्युष्याः
पौरा धर्मायकामतृ(त्रि)तयफलभुजः सन्ति¹⁰ मौर्यप्रसादात् ॥ ३ ॥ ¹¹खड्गप्रहारदृढपाटि[त?]-
6. [कुम्भिकुम्भसु?]¹² क्षा[फ]लप्रकरतारकितां दिनार्हं । रात्रिं चकार रुचि[रां] रुचिरप्रतापः श्रीको-
कटः प्रवरमौर्यकुलप्रसूतः ॥ ४ ॥ श्रीतत्त्वकस्तदनु¹³ यस्य पराक्रमाग्निदंष्ट्रा[मा?]-
7. ७ ७ ७ — ७ ७ — ७ [भ]म्नाः । गंगाजलैश्चभिलषन्ति ननु प्रपातं शैल्यभिलाषिण इव
दिपतां समूहाः ॥ ५ ॥ भीमो¹⁴ भीमपराक्रमस्तदनु यो दृष्ट्वा व(ब)लं विदिषां¹⁵ सद्यो वैरि ७ -
8. — ७ — ७ ७ ७ — स्व[र्ग] स्थि[त] — ७ — [न] । कालक्षेपकरं रिपुव्रजमिव क्रोधा-
न्वितः स[त्त्व]रः [स]ष्टारं कृतसंस्तुती रणरसात्य[चो]द्भ्रमं प्रार्थय[त्] ॥ ६ ॥ [चो?]केषु¹⁶ वा-
जिगजप[त्ति] ७ — -
9. ७ नेषु — — ७ — ७ ७ ७ — ७ ७ — ७ सत्सु । शौर्यं करोति सकलोरिषु तस्य सो(शौ)-
र्यं सर्वेष्विति प्रगदितः स हि सर्वसू(शू)रः ॥ ७ ॥ गोविंदराज इति च प्रथितः पृथिव्यामा-
सीत्प-

⁴ See Professor Bhāṇḍārkar's *Early History of the Dekkan*, p. 76.

⁵ I know that the Yādava Bhīllama II. married the daughter of a Rāja Jhañjha (see *ib.* p. 77); but that Jhañjha could not possibly have been the Jhañjha of the present inscription; for, not to mention other reasons, Bhīllama lived about A.D. 1000 (see *ante*, p. 212), and the Jhañjha of this inscription lived fourteen generations before Govindarāja (A.D. 1069).

⁶ From impressions taken by Mr. Cousens.

⁷ Metre, Drutavilambita.

⁸ Metre, Bragdhara; and of the two next verses.

⁹ The *akshara* in brackets was originally रं.

¹⁰ Read तस्मिन्.

¹¹ Originally सङ्गि was engraved.

¹² Metre, Vasantatilakā; and of the next verse.

¹³ The *aksharas* in these brackets are almost entirely effaced.

¹⁴ Originally कः सद्यु was engraved.

¹⁵ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīṭa.

¹⁶ Originally a sign of punctuation was engraved here; but it is struck out again.

¹⁷ Metre, Vasantatilakā; and of the next verse.

- L. 10. स्तदनु यस्य ७ — ७ — — । गोविंदवत्सपदि चिंतितमा[त्र]सिद्धास्तेनेति नाम स दधी चरि-
तार्थमुचैः ॥ ८ ॥ राजा¹⁸साध्वसिकः¹⁹ ततः परमभूषः²⁰आ[ह]वे बुध्वतस्तस्यासी रिपुदंति— ७
11. ७ ७ — — — ७ — — ७ — । साधुः साधुरयं तवासिरमरैः स्वस्थैरिति स्ना(स्ना)घित
शत्रून्साध्वसिनः करोति यदि वा तेनेतिनामा नृपः ॥ ९ ॥ भंभाभिधाननृपतिः²¹प्रथितो
धरित्रां — — —
12. ७ — ७ ७ ७ — ७ ७ — ७ — । संग्रामभूषभिसुखो रभसाग्रधावन्धुर्न शक्य इति
नाम व(व)भार सार्थ ॥ १० ॥ श्रीदेवहस्तीति²² ततः क्षितोयो जज्ञे धरामंडलशीतरश्मिः ।
सम[स्तलो] — — —
13. ७ ७ — ७ — — — ७ — — ७ ७ — ७ — — ॥ ११ ॥ श्रीमान्मंजनरेखरः²³
समभवत्सन्नाभूपग्रामणीयः सौभाग्यसुधासरित्परिहृढो [रु]पावधौ[त]स्मरः । [दुर्व]रप्र-
मदाविशो ७ ७ —
14. ७ — — — ७ — — — ७ ७ — ७ ७ — ७ ७ — — — सुहृद्भि[त्र]वत्
॥ १२ ॥ पद्माकरो²⁴ नरपतिः²⁵ प्रव(व)भूष तस्माद्युक्तः पदा[ति]गजवाजिरघैरनेकैः । दानानि
योधुदयधर्म-

TEXT OF THE INSCRIPTION C.²⁶

- L. 1. [गो]दानभूसितिल[दान]हिरण्यवैश्ववस्त्राक्षरद्वयवधान्वधनादि सर्वम् ।
संपूज्य यः प्रवरविप्रगणं प्रहर्षात्²⁷ यश्च हृदावमलमीर्यकुसप्रदोपः ॥ २७ ॥
यस्मिन्प्रयासति म[र्त्यो]
2. मङ्गनीयकीर्तौ पंम्बधनविकला न जना व(व)भूषुः ।
यश्च स्त्रीत्वपरंपरया जनानां धर्मार्थकामफलसिद्धिरर्षडिताभूत् ॥ ३८ ॥
यः प्रीणयत्यसृतगंधसुगंधमालाधूपाक्ष[त]-
3. प्रचुरदीपविचित्रभक्षैः ।
देवचहृदिजगुरुन्धु(त्रिप)तृगोमिसुख्याग्नोविंदराजनृपतिः स सुखी सदा स्थात् ॥ २९ ॥
कर्पूरकुसुमधनाधिपकर्मिण कस्तरिकाजलविमिश्र(त्रि)[त]-
4. चंदनेन ।
आदौ विलिप्य शिवविप्रगुरुनुदारः पश्चाद्विलिपनविधिं स्वयमन्वतिष्ठत् ॥ ३० ॥
यः पांशुसार्थगुणिविप्रभुजिज्ञियार्थं दीनान्बहुविकलस्य च तृप्तिहेतोः ।
सर्व³⁰ [च]-
5. कार. नृपतिर्व(व)भूषविश्वशिवैर्विद्याविनोदमिरतेः परितोतिपूर्व ॥ ३१ ॥
यद्गुरुरप्ययम् प्रवरवसुमतीदेशभोगाधिकृते-
सिष्यस्य यस्य तुच्छो धनदधनधृतः से(शे)वधि७पद्मनामा ।
अत्यु[च]

¹⁸ Metre, Śārdūlavikrāṇḍita.

¹⁹ Read °सजतः.

²⁰ Read °यसा.

²¹ Metre, Vasantatilakā.

²² Metre, Indravajrā.

²³ Metre, Śārdūlavikrāṇḍita.

²⁴ Metre, Vasantatilakā.

²⁶ This sign of *nasarga* was originally omitted.

²⁷ From an impression taken by Mr. Consens.

²⁸ Read °चिन्मि.

²⁹ Metre, Vasantatilakā; and of the four next verses.

³⁰ Read ३८.

³¹ Read सर्व.

- L. 6. दिव्य[भू]वं सुरसदनसमं मंदिरं चंद्रमौलिः
 कोन्यो गोविंदराजाक्षरपतिरमलो मर्त्यलोके चकार ॥ ३२ ॥³¹
 व(ब) ह्यज्ञानासृतांतर्निभृतवरसरोराजहंसोमलांगो³²
 राजश्रीचारुकान्तादयिततर[त]
7. सु७पुण्यवृक्षस्य³³ मूलं ।
 भ्रात्रा सुष्टोयमेको जगति नरपतिः सज्जनानन्दकारो
 शैवध्यानासिभिन्नस्त्रद्वयजतमोवृत्तिगोविन्दराजः ॥ ३३ ॥
 [चं]³⁴ तर्मीनावताराद्यभिनवतदुभिर्विष्णुद्वैतपे-
8. तं
 चक्रे सिद्धेशनाथावसथमतिमहत्सर्वभोगोपपन्नं ।
 सर्व्वेषां पार्थिवानां तृ(त्रि)दिवपतिपुरारोहनिश्रेणिभूतं
 गोविन्दो भूमिपालस्त्वमलनृपगुणैरन्वितः कीर्त्तिकामः ॥ ३४ ॥
 वापीं च-
9. कार सुविमलोपलगाटव(व)हसोपानप[ङ्क्ति]सुरवेशसन्निविष्टदेवं ।
 शशज्जलोर्ध्वव(व)[हु]शु[भ्र]जलप्रवाहसंस्त्रापिताभिगपनामघनामदद्याम् ॥ ३५ ॥³⁵
 रूपनंदांकतुल्ये तु ८८१
10. शककालस्य भूपती [।³⁶]
 सौम्यसंवत्सराषाढरविग्रहणपर्व्वणि ॥ १ ॥³⁷
 महामंडलनाथस्तु श्रीमान्सेउणभूपतिः ।
 सिद्धेश्वराय प्रददौ ग्रामहयमुदारधीः ॥ २ ॥
 निजेन राजभोगेन संग[मी]³⁸
11. म[धु]वाटिकाम् ॥ ३ ॥
 गोविंदराजोपि ददौ ग्रा[म]यो[र्मा]न्य[भो]गकं ।
 मेलक देवपूजार्थं घ्राणक दीपसिद्धये ॥ ४ ॥
 पाटकं गीतनृत्यार्थं विलासिणिसमन्वित³⁹ ।
 तृष्कालं⁴⁰ देवभोगार्थं गच्छकानां च भूमि — [॥ ५ ॥⁴¹]
12. विप्राणां भोजनायाय सत्र(त्र)मुद्दिश्य शाश्वतं ।
 विद्याभ्यासरतानां च⁴² छात्रा(त्रा)णां भोजनाय च ॥ ६ ॥
 चैत्राणि यानि भूपालो ददौ तानि लिखाम्यतः ।
 वगलुकन्यतभूमे[:]⁴³ पूर्व्वसीमा तु भूमि —

³¹ Metro, Sragdharā; and of the two next verses.

³² The *akshara* व was originally omitted, and is engraved above the line.

³³ The *akshara* च was originally omitted, and is engraved below the line.

³⁴ I am doubtful about this *akshara*, and the intended reading may possibly be प्रति नी°.

³⁵ Metro, Vasantatilakā.

³⁶ Metro, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh); and of the following twelve verses.

³⁷ Of this *akshara* only the sign of *anusvāra* is doubtful.

³⁸ The reading here and up to the end of the line is quite clear in the original. Read विवासिनी° (P).

³⁹ I do not understand this, nor the following वृक्षानां; तृष्कालं may have been put for निवासं.

⁴⁰ This च was originally omitted, and is engraved below the line.

⁴¹ Originally सुनिर्गु was engraved, but the *akshara* गु has been struck out.

L. 13.

[॥] ७ ॥

दक्षिणे [दि]वलक्षेत्रं पश्चिमे [जानि]नस्तथा ।

उत्तरे कारकग्राममाघाटा¹² खलु [नो] मताः ॥ ८ ॥

वखुलीक्षेत्रसीमास्तु पूर्वदक्षिणपश्चिमाः ।

मार्गकमतसिद्धेशभूमयस्तत्तरो वहः ॥ [९ ॥*]

14. वनकूटकभूमेऽथ नदी पूर्वोत्तरोर्वाधः ।

दक्षिणे च वहः सीमा पश्चिमे ग्रामभूरपि ॥ १० ॥

व[ट]यक्षिणवाटे तु पूर्वा कार्पाटिकस्य भूः ।

दक्षिणे देवलक्षेत्रं पश्चिमे गोपथोर्वाधः ॥ [११ ॥*]

उत्तरे वटकु-

15. पी च आघाटा[:*]परिकीर्त्तिताः ॥ [१२ ॥*]

षोडशी¹³ ददौ राजा विद्याव्याख्यानकारिणे ।

निवर्त्तनानि भूमस्तु पुण्यव्याख्यानकारिणे ॥ [१३ ॥*]

चत्वारिचारविचारवाक्पटुरभूहोविन्दनामा [नृ]-

16. प-

स्तद्राज्ञी किल नायकी भवभयाङ्गता सदा या हरे ।

ताभ्यां शंकरमंदिरं सुवचिरं निष्पाद्य विस्तारिता¹⁴कीर्त्तिर्हर्मयशः कुलं च विमलं प्रद्योतितं चात्मनः ॥¹⁵[१४ ॥*]

ये पालय-

17. न्त पृथिवीपतिदानमेतत्ते प्राप्नुवन्त्यमरलोकममस्तभोगान् ।

राज्यं च [श]ख ७ ७ - ७ ७ - ७ - - - ७ [हस्ति]तुरगाव्यव(व)लैरुपेताः ॥ ¹⁶

[१५ ॥*]

ये लोपयन्ति नृपदा-

18. [न]म - ७ लोभा[स्ते प्राप्नुवन्ति]¹⁶.XVII.—KHALARI STONE INSCRIPTION OF THE REIGN OF
HARIBRAHMADEVA.THE [VIKRAMA] YEAR 1470¹.

BY PROFESSOR F. KIELHORN, PH.D., C.I.E.; GÖTTINGEN.

This inscription is on a polished stone, which is fitted into the wall of a small temple at Khalari, a village about 45 miles² east of the town of Rāypur in the Central Provinces. It contains sixteen lines of writing, which cover a space of about 1' 11½" broad by 11½" high. The writing is well preserved throughout, and the reading is no-

¹² Read °वाग आघाटाः.¹³ The *akshara* वा was originally omitted, and is engraved above the line.¹⁴ Metro, Śārdūlavikrīḍita.¹⁵ Metro, Vasantatilakā; and of the next verse.¹⁶ From here, up to the end of the line, the writing is so seriously damaged that only a few *aksharas*, which yield no

connected sense, are at all legible. There can be no doubt that this was the concluding line of this inscription.

¹ Wrongly for 1471.² See Sir A. Cunningham's *Archaeol. Survey of India*, vol. VII, p. 156; according to the *Gazetteer of the Central Provinces*, p. 243, Khalari is only about thirteen miles from Rāypur.

where in the least doubtful. The size of the letters is about $\frac{1}{4}$ ". The characters are Nāgarī of the period to which the inscription belongs, the 15th century A.D. The language is Samskr̥it, employed by a person of little knowledge; and, excepting the introductory *om śrī-Gaṇapataye namaḥ*, and a date and the name of the engraver at the end, the inscription is in verse. The letter *b* is, as usually, written by the sign for *v*; otherwise the orthography calls for no remarks.

The inscription, called by the author a *prabastī*, was composed by Miśra Dāmodara (verse 11), written on the stone by Rāmadāsa of the Vāstavya³ family (v. 13), and engraved by the artisan Ratnadeva (line 16). And its proper object is to record the foundation of a temple of Nārāyaṇa (or Viṣṇu) by the shoemaker (*mochī*) Devapāla, a son of Śivadāsa and grandson of Jasau (vv. 9, 10, and 12), at the town of Khalvāṭikā (v. 7), i. e., the modern Khalāri. By way of introduction the inscription—after the words 'om, adoration to Gaṇapati' and three verses in honour of that deity and of Bhārati, the goddess of eloquence, and Nārāyaṇa,—gives us (in verses 4-6) the following genealogy of the prince, the illustrious Haribrahmadeva, whose capital (*rājadhānī*) Khalvāṭikā is represented to have been when the inscription was composed :—

In the Kalachuri (or Kalachutī) branch of the Haihaya (here called Ahihaya) family was the prince Siṃhaṇa, a worshipper of Śambhu (or Śiva), who conquered eighteen strongholds of adversaries. His son was the prince, Rāmadeva, who slew in battle Bhoṇingadeva (apparently a prince, whom I am unable to identify). And his son again was the illustrious prince, Haribrahmadeva.

The inscription is dated (in lines 15 and 16) in the (Vikrama) year 1470, the Śaka year 1334, and the year Plava of the sixty-years' cycle of Jupiter, on the 9th of the bright half of Māgha, a Saturday, while the moon was in the *nakṣatra* Rohiṇī. Had the years been given correctly in this date, the Vikrama year 1470 would have to be taken as a current year, and the Śaka year 1334 as an expired year. But the date works out satisfactorily neither for Vikrama 1470 current = Śaka 1334 expired, nor for Vikrama 1470 expired, and its proper year undoubtedly is Vikrama 1471 expired = Śaka 1336 expired, as will be seen from the following equivalents :—

For Vikrama 1470 current = Śaka 1334 expired Māgha-śudi 9 corresponds to Wednesday, the 11th January, A.D. 1413;

for Vikrama 1470 expired = Śaka 1335 expired, to Tuesday, the 30th January, A.D. 1414;

for Vikrama 1471 expired = Śaka 1336 expired, to Saturday, the 19th January, A.D. 1415, when the 9th *tithi* of the bright half ended 16 h. 18 m. after mean sunrise, and when the moon was in the *nakṣatra* Rohiṇī from 13 h. 8 m., or, by the *Garga-siddhānta*, from 1 h. 19 m. after mean sunrise, or, by the *Brahma-siddhānta*, from about sunrise. This Saturday, the 19th January, A.D. 1415, also, as required, fell in the Jovian year Plava, which by the *Sūrya-siddhānta* rule without *bija* lasted from the 24th April, A.D. 1414, to the 20th April, A.D. 1415.

³ See *ante*, Index of vol. I, under *Vāstavya*.

Of the reign of the prince Hariabrahmadeva we possess another¹ (carelessly written) inscription of the Vikrama year 1458, which was found at Râypur and is now in the Nagpur Museum⁴, and the full date of which I have given in *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XIX, p. 26, No. 20. In that inscription, which records the foundation of a temple of Haṭakeśvara (Śiva) by the *Nāyaka* Hājirājadeva, the prince is described as *Mahārājā dhirāja*, and called Rāyabrahmadeva, Harirāyabrahma, and simply Brahmadeva. The inscription also mentions his predecessors, who are there called Siṃgha and Rāmachandra, instead of Siṃhaṇa and Rāmadeva.

I may mention, besides, that the names of these princes Siṃhaṇa and Rāmachandra also occur in a large mutilated inscription at Ramtek⁵ in the Central Provinces of which I owe a faint rubbing to Dr. Fleet.

TEXT.⁶

- L. 1. श्री [॥*] श्रीगणपतये नमः । (॥)
सकलदुर्गतहर्त्ताऽभीष्टसिद्धिप्रकर्त्ता निगमसमुपगीतः शेषयज्ञोपवीतः ।
ललितमधुकरालोसि-
2. विता' गंडपालीतटभुवि गणराजः पातु वो विघ्नराजः ॥ १ ॥⁸
वेदानाराध्य वेधाः पठति भगवतीं यामनायस्तचित्तः
श्रीकण्ठस्यापि नादैरपहरति मनः
3. पार्व्वती किन्नरीभिः ।
हारा नारायणस्योरसि रहसि रणत्कंकणा यङ्गजाः स्युः
सद्यः सत्काव्यसिद्धैः स्फुरतु कविमुखांभोरुह भारती सा ॥ २ ॥⁹
ब्र(ब्र)ह्माद-
4. यो द(दि)विषदः श्रुतिवाक्यदृष्ट्या ध्यायति यं पुरुषमात्मविदोप्यमूर्त्तं ।
पापानि यत्स्मरणतो विलयं प्रयाति नारायणः स्फुरतु चेतसि सर्व्वदा वः । (॥) ३ ॥¹⁰
अहिह-¹¹
5. यन्मृपवंशे शंभुभक्तोऽवतीर्णः कलशुतिरिति शाखां प्राप्य तीव्र(ब्र)प्रतापः ।
निजभुजगुरुदर्पाद्योऽरिदुर्गाय्यजैषोद्वर्णभुव दश चाष्टौ सिंहणक्षोणिपालः ॥
6. 8 ॥¹²
अभवद्वनिपालस्तत्पुत्रो राक्षदेवः समरशिरसि धीरो येन भोषिणदेवः ।
भणिरिव फणिवंशस्याऽऽहतः कोपदृष्ट्या तरुणतरणितेजःपंजरजगतापः ॥ ५ ॥
7. तत्पुत्रः शतदंष्ट्रा जगति विजयते चंद्रचूडस्य भक्तः
श्यामः कामाभिरामो मनसि हृगदश्यासुहृटानां ज्ञातांतः ।
सर्व्वेषां याचकानां स्फुरदमरतर्क्ष्वाक्पतिः पंडिता-

⁴ See Sir A. Cunningham's *Archaeol. Survey of India*, vol. XVII, p. 77.

⁵ See *ib.*, vol. VII, p. 112.

⁶ From rubbings supplied to me by Dr. Burgess.

⁷ Read 'वित्ति

⁸ Metre, Mālinī.

⁹ Metre, Bragīharā. In the second line of this verse a relative pronoun has been omitted.

¹⁰ Metre, Vasantatilakā.

¹¹ I give this verse exactly as I find it in the original. Its meaning is clear enough. In the Kalachuribranche of the Haihaya family there was the prince Siṃhaṇa, who conquered eighteen fortresses of adversaries. But the Haihayas are called by the writer Ahihaya, and the Kalachuris Kalachutis, not to mention the grammatical mistakes which the verse contains.

¹² Metre of verses 4 and 5, Mālinī.

L. 8.

ना

गोतज्ञानां द्वितीयो भरत इव नृपः श्रीहरित्र(त्र)द्भदेवः ॥ ६ ॥¹³

तद्राजधानी नगरो गरिष्ठा खल्वाटिका राजति वाटिकाभिः ।

सुरालया यत्र हिमालयाभा विभांति

9.

शृंगेरतिशुभ्रतुंगैः ॥ ७ ॥¹⁴

भूदेवा यत्र वेदाध्ययनमनु रताः स्वस्तिमंतो वसंत

श्रीमंतः श्रीविलासैरमरपरिवृतं राजराजं हसंतः ।

कामिन्यः कामदेवं त्रिपुरहर-

10.

दृशा दग्धमुज्जीवयंत्यः

प्रोद्यद्गोमूलकांख्या क्षितमधुरगिरा भूलताडव(व)रेण ॥ ८ ॥¹⁵

मोची तत्रेदुरोचोचिरचिरतरयशाः कर्मनिर्भाषदक्षः

सौजन्या-

11.

दप्रजन्माऽनुचर इव जसौनामधेयस्य पीतः ।

नानाधर्माभिलाषी गुणनिधिशिवदासाऽभिधानस्य पुत्रः

श्रीमन्मारायणस्य स्मरणविमलधी राजते

12.

देवपालः ॥ ९ ॥

नारायणस्थायतनं स्वशक्त्या भक्त्या महत्या सह मंडपेन ।

निर्भाषितं तेन परत्र चात्र तस्मै हरिर्यच्छतु वांछि(छि)तार्थं ॥ १० ॥¹⁶

हरिचरणसरोजध्यान-

13.

पीयूषसिंधुप्रसरदलघुवेलास्फालकेलीरसेन ।

सरसकविजनानां निर्भीतेयं प्रशस्तिर्भनसि रसविधात्री मिश्रदामोदरेण ॥ ११ ॥

वहति जगति गंगा याव-

14.

दादित्यपुत्रा स्फुरति वियति तारामंडलाऽखंडलेन¹⁷ ।

तरश्चिरमरसस्रग्ध्वना तावदेवा जयतु जयतु मोचीदेवपालस्य कीर्तिः ॥ १२ ॥

श्रीवास्तव्यान्वयेनैवा

15.

प्रशस्तिरमलाचरा ।

लिखिता रामदासेन पंडिताधीश्वरेण च ॥ १३ ॥¹⁸क्षस्ति श्रीसंवत् १४७० वर्षे साके १३३४ वज्र्याब्दयोर्भीष्मे¹⁹ प्रवनामसंवत्सरे माघसुदि ८

16. शनिवासरे रोहिणीनक्षत्रे [॥*॥] शुभमस्तु सर्व्वजगतः ॥ सूत्रधाररत्नदेवेन [॥*॥]

¹³ Metre, Bragdhara.¹⁴ Metre, Upajāti.¹⁵ Metre of verses 8 and 9, Bragdhara.¹⁶ Metre, Indravajrā.¹⁷ Metre of verses 11 and 12, Malini.¹⁸ The word *akhanḍala* is perhaps (wrongly) used here in the sense of 'rain-bow'.¹⁹ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).²⁰ Read वज्र्याब्दयोर्भीष्मे 'in the sixty-years' cycle.'

XVIII.—DUBKUND STONE INSCRIPTION OF THE KACHCHHAPAGHATA
VIKRAMASIMHA.

THE [VIKRAMA] YEAR 1145.

By PROFESSOR F. KIELHORN, PH.D., C.I.E.; GÖTTINGEN.

This inscription was discovered, in 1866, by Captain W. R. Melville,¹ in the ruins of a temple at 'Dubkund,' *Indian Atlas*, Quarter-sheet 51, S. E., Long. 77° 5½' E., Lat. 25° 43½' N. The place is in a dense forest on the left bank of the Kunu river, 76 miles to the south-west of Gwalior; and the temple is situated in the middle of an enclosure on a peninsula, the neck of which is defended by a fortified wall, and all around it are a mass of ruined houses and the remains of several smaller temples. Captain Melville sent two copies of the inscription to the Asiatic Society of Bengal, but they were probably too imperfect to be used for editing the inscription. The ruins of Dubkund were examined again, in 1882-83, by Sir A. Cunningham's native draughtsman, and from his account a description of them is given in *Archæol. Survey of India*, vol. XX, p. 99, and Preface, p. v, together with a small photo-lithograph of the inscription, *ib.*, plates xxi and xxii. I now edit the inscription from one of his rubbings, apparently the same from which the photo-lithograph was prepared.

The inscription contains 61 lines of writing which cover a space of 1' 3½" broad by 3' 2" high. With the exception of about twenty *aksharas* in lines 1 and 2 which have become damaged by the peeling off of the surface of the stone, and perhaps a few other *aksharas* which are illegible in the rubbing, the writing is well preserved, and everything of importance may be read with certainty. The size of the letters is between ⅛" and ¼". The characters are Nāgarī of the period to which the inscription belongs, the 11th century A.D. The language is Samskrit, and, excepting the introductory *om om namo vitarāgāya* and the greater portion of lines 54-61, the inscription is in verse. It is called a *praśasti* (lines 47 and 60), and was composed by the Jaina Vijayakīrti (line 46), written on the stone by Udayarāja (line 60), and engraved by the stone-mason Tīlhaṇa (line 61). Both the writer and the engraver have done their work with great care, and in respect of orthography I have only to note that the letter *ḍ* is throughout written by the sign for *ṣ*, and that the dental sibilant is occasionally employed instead of the palatal.²

The object of the inscription is, to record the foundation of a Jaina temple—clearly the temple at which the inscription was discovered—by some private persons (lines 52-53), and certain donations made in favour of it by the *Mahārājādhirāja* Vikramasimha (lines 54-58) who ruled the country around Dubkund when the inscription was composed, in the Vikrama year 1145 (line 61). And the inscription clearly divides itself into two parts, the first of which (lines 10-32) gives an account of the prince Vikramasimha and his ancestors, while the other (lines 32-61) treats of the founders of the temple and certain Jaina sages connected with them. In six introductory verses (lines 1-10) the poet invokes the blessings of, or glorifies, the Jaina Tīrthakāras Rishabhasvāmin, Śāntinātha, Chandraprabha and the Jina (Mahāvīra), the sage Gotama, and the goddess of eloquence (*śruta-devatā*) 'famous in the world under the name of Paṅkajavāsini.'

¹ See *Journal, As. Soc. Bengal*, vol. XXXV, part I, p. 168.

² In the rubbing before me it is sometimes very difficult to distinguish between the palatal and dental sibilants.

The poet's account of the *Mahārājādhirāja* Vikramasimha (lines 10-32) is as follows:—

“There was, an ornament of the Kachchhapaghāta family, and a son of the illustrious Yuvarāja who was white with fame that spread abroad in the three worlds, the illustrious prince Arjuna, a leader of a formidable army of unparalleled splendour, a prince whom even the ocean did not equal in depth, and a bowman who by his skill in archery had completely vanquished the earth.³

Having, anxious to serve the illustrious Vidyādhara-deva, fiercely slain in a great battle the illustrious Rājyapāla, with many showers of arrows that pierced his neck-bones, he unceasingly filled all the three worlds with his imperishable fame, brilliant like pearl-strings and like the orb of the moon and the foam of the sea.

The notes of his musical instruments which rose, when he marched out, resembling the roaring of the sea, and the sounds of the bells of his countless troops of mountain-like elephants, eagerly marching forth, vied with each other in spreading on all sides, and never left off filling the hollow of heaven and earth, joined by the loud echo which rose from mountain caves.

From him was born Abhimanyu, who, always possessed of many unblemished qualities which supported crowds of suppliants who (but for him) would have wandered round the circuit of the quarters,⁴ and which in splendour rivalled the moon's crescent, having powerfully vanquished in battle even the victorious, valued other princes as lightly as a straw.

Since the highly intelligent king, the illustrious Bhoja, has widely celebrated the skill which he showed in his marvellous management of horses and chariots, and in the use of powerful weapons, what sage in the three worlds would be able to describe the qualities of this prince, who put to flight haughty adversaries by the fear inspired by the mere sight of his umbrella?

When he marched forth, the thick clouds of dust which rose from the earth, pounded by the sharp hoofs of his chargers, covering the sun's orb, predicted as it were with certainty that soon indeed would be dimmed the lustre of all other illustrious beings.

From that illustrious prince was born the prince Vijayapāla, who filled the circuit of the quarters with his boundless glory which spread about, bright like the quivering rays of the autumnal moon, and who allayed all suffering whatever on the whole orb of the earth.

Moreover, this prince in a high degree roused the feeling of wonder in the minds of the learned, because in the battles which were viewed by the damsels of heaven he imparted fear to all his enemies one after another, although he had not got it himself, while he never gave to them a portion of the earth, which he did possess.

From him was born the illustrious prince Vikramasimha, ‘the lion of valour,’ rightly so named, because in the display of his mighty valour, which performed valorous deeds, he cleft asunder the projecting fleshy frontal globes of the arrayed elephants of

³ Arjuna being the name of one of the Pāṇḍava princes, the poet manages to bring into the verse the words *Pāṇḍu Bhīma-sena* (the second son of Pāṇḍu and elder brother of Arjuna), and *Dhanrin* (an epithet of Arjuna).

⁴ The words of the original would also mean ‘possessed of many uncut bow-strings which supported crowds of arrows able to conquer the circuit of the quarters’.

all his enemies, and because his brilliant fame, as if it were his big shining mane, spread at once in all quarters.

Having perceived that his club-like right arm, even while he was a child, was taken possession of by the Fortune of heroism, who had given up all idea of occupying any other resting-place, the Fortune of royalty, proudly desirous of asserting her superiority, when he was older, determined on embracing his whole body, turning away with disdain from all other men.

Useless indeed is yonder sun, so long as this sun of a king disperses the thick darkness of exceedingly haughty adversaries, and eclipses the stars of the badly-conducted, and illumines all round the wide expanse of this whole earth, and uninterruptedly touches with his fierce rays of supremacy, which spread to the confines of the regions, mountain-like princes.

At his conquest of the quarters, the dust which rose from the orb of the earth, crumbling to pieces under the sharp hoofs of his choice chargers, spread over the chief towns of his adversaries, and, enveloping all things, foretold as it were the time of the universal destruction.

To this noble prince belongs the town named Chāḍobha, the lustre of which spreads on all sides, the excellent markets and the thriving trade of which are celebrated by the people that come to it from all quarters to traffic in things which they have got or wish to acquire."

The historical information contained in these verses is this:—

In the Kachchhapaghâta family there was—

1. Yuvarāja. His son was—
2. Arjuna, who, as an ally or feudatory of Vidyādhara-deva, slew in battle Rājyapāla. His son was—
3. Abhimanyu, whose valorous bearing was eulogized by the king Bhoja. His son was—
4. Vijayapāla; and his son again—
5. Vikramasimha, for whom the inscription (in line 61) furnishes the date Monday, the third of the bright half of the month Bhādrapada of the year 1145, corresponding, as I have shewn in *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XIX, p. 361 (No. 170), to the Northern Vikrama year 1145 expired, or to Monday, the 21st August, A. D. 1088.⁶

Of the Kachchhapaghâta family we possess two other inscriptions of about the same time and from the same part of India. One is the large Gwālior Sāsabhū temple inscription⁶ of the Vikrama year 1150, which gives us the line of princes Lakshmaṇa, Vajradāman, Maṅgalarāja, Kirtirāja, Mūladeva, Devapāla, Padmapāla, and Mahipāla. And the other is the Narwar copper-plate inscription⁷ of Vīrasimhadēva of the Vikrama year 1177, which mentions, as the immediate predecessor of Virasimhadēva, Śaradasimhadēva, and as his predecessor, Gaṇasimhadēva. As these two inscriptions contain totally different names, and as none of the names occurring in either agree with the names furnished by the present inscription, it is clear that the three

⁶ The date is one of those in which the *tīthi* is joined with the week-day on which it commenced.

⁶ See *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XV, p. 35.

⁷ See *Journal, Am. Or. Soc.*, vol. VI, p. 543, and Sir A. Cunningham's *Archæol. Survey of India*, vol. II, p. 313.

inscriptions belong to three different branches of the Kachchhapaghâta family, which must have been in possession of the country around Gwâlior in the 11th century A. D. We know that the prince Vajradâman of the Gwâlior inscription, for whom we have the date Vikrama 1034,⁸ defeated a ruler of Kanauj and conquered the fort of Gwâlior which before belonged to Kanauj. And I shall try to show that one of the princes mentioned in the present inscription, too, had some share, perhaps an important one, in the wars which must have been waged against the rulers of Kanauj during the first quarter of the 11th century A. D.

About Vikramasimha and his predecessor Vijayapâla the author of our inscription has nothing of importance to report. I myself believe Vijayapâla to be identical with the *nripati* Vijayâdhirâja, who is mentioned as a ruling prince in an inscription of the Vikrama year 1100 at Byânâ, which is about 80 miles north of Dubkund, and whose name is preserved in the local traditions as Vijayapâla⁹; and I may mention that, like the inscription of Vikramasimha, here published, the Byânâ inscription of Vijayâdhirâja also is a Jaina inscription. Of Vijayapâla's father Abhimanyu our inscription merely says that his valour and skill were highly eulogized by the intelligent king Bhoja. Considering that for Vikramasimha we have the date Vikrama 1145, and for Vijayapâla (Vijayâdhirâja) the date Vikrama 1100, Abhimanyu must have ruled shortly before Vikrama 1100, and there can therefore be no doubt that the king Bhoja, here spoken of, is the Paramâra Bhojadeva of Mâlava, for whom we possess the two dates Vikrama 1078 and Śaka 964=Vikrama 1099.¹⁰

Abhimanyu's father Arjuna, whose rule may be placed some time between Vikrama 1060 and 1090, is said to have slain, in the cause of the illustrious Vidyâdharadeva, the illustrious Râjyapâla; and this, in my opinion, is really a valuable piece of information. As regards Vidyâdharadeva, he can be no other than the Chandella king of that name, the successor of Gaṇḍadeva and predecessor of Vijayapâladeva.¹¹ Gaṇḍadeva was preceded by Dhāṅgadeva, who ruled from about Vikrama 1011 to about 1055,¹² and Vijayapâladeva was succeeded by Devavarmadeva, for whom we have the date Vikrama 1107.¹³ Gaṇḍadeva therefore must be placed approximately about Vikrama 1060-1080,¹⁴ which shows that his successor Vidyâdharadeva may well indeed have been a contemporary of the Kachchhapaghâta Arjuna. As to Râjyapâla, I think it highly probable that he is the Râjyapâla who is mentioned as the immediate predecessor of Trilochanapâla in the Jhûsi (or Allahâbâd) copper-plate of this king of the Vikrama year 1084, published by me in *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVIII, p. 34; and I now believe that the three kings Vijayapâladeva, Râjyapâladeva and Trilochanapâladeva of that inscription, about whom I could say nothing at the time, were really rulers of Kanauj. For we know that the Chandella Vidyâdhara brought about the destruction of a ruler of Kanyâkubja (or Kanauj)¹⁵, and it appears very probable that he allied himself for that purpose with his neighbours, the Kachchhapaghâta chiefs, and that the prince of

⁸ See *Journal, As. Soc. Bengal*, vol. XXXI, p. 393.

⁹ See Dr. Fleet in *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XIV, p. 8.

There can, in my opinion, be little doubt that the Mangalâraja, mentioned in another fragmentary inscription at Byânâ (*ib.* p. 9), is the Kachchhapaghâta Mangalâraja of the Gwâlior inscription, the successor of Vajradâman.

¹⁰ See *ante*, vol. I, p. 232.

¹¹ *Ib.*, p. 196.

¹² *Ib.*, pp. 124 and 139.

¹³ See *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVI, p. 201.

¹⁴ See also *ante*, vol. I, p. 219.

Kanauj destroyed by him was the very Rājyapāla, mentioned in the present inscription and in the Jhūsī copper-plate. As indicated by Dr. Hultsch, *ante*, vol. I, p. 219, we have hitherto known nothing certain of the kings of Kanauj between A. D. 948 and 1097. If my suggestions are approved of, we have now at least the names of three kings of Kanauj, who together must have ruled approximately from about A. D. 980 to about A. D. 1040.—About Arjuna's father Yuvarāja the author of our inscription has nothing to say.

Of the second part of the inscription it will, I think, be sufficient to give an abstract of the contents. The last verse translated above told us that to Vikramasimha belonged the town of Chaḍobha, evidently the place now called Dubkund which at the time must have been noted for its trade; and in continuation of it the verses in lines 32-39 give the genealogy of the two Jaina traders Rishi and Dāhaḍa, on whom Vikramasimha had conferred the rank of *śreṣṭhins*¹⁵ in that town, and one of whom is mentioned afterwards among the founders of the temple. Both were sons of Jayadeva and his wife Yaśomatī, and grandsons of the *śreṣṭhin* Jāsūka, who is described as the head of a family or guild of merchants which had come from Jāyasapur, a town which I am unable to identify.

Lines 39-48 then contain an account of some Jaina sages, the last of whom, Vijayakīrti, not only composed this inscription, but also by his religious teaching incited the people to build the temple at which the inscription was afterwards engraved. The first sage spoken of, an ornament of the Lāṭavāgaṭa *gaṇa*, is the Guru Devasena. His son was Kulabhūṣhaṇa; and his son again was Durlabhasenasūri. From him sprang the Guru Śāntiśheṇa who, in a *saṃhā* held before the king Bhojadeva, defeated hundreds of disputants who had assailed Ambarasena and other learned men. And his son was Vijayakīrti.

Of the founders of the temple lines 48-51 mention by name the Śādhu Dāhaḍa whose genealogy has already been given, Kūkeka, Sūrpata, Devadhara, Mahichandra, and Lakshmaṇa; but others also, who are not named, are said to have rendered assistance.

The prose passage, commencing in line 54, records that the *Mahārājādhirāja* Vikramasimha, for the building of the temple and for keeping it in good repair, as well as for purposes of worship, assigned (a tax of) one *vinśopaka* on each *goṇī* (of grain?), and gave a piece of land in the village of Mahāchakra, capable of being sown with four *goṇīs* of wheat, and a garden with a well to the east of Rajakadrāha; and that he moreover provided a certain amount of oil¹⁶ for lamps and for anointing the bodies of holy men.—I can find no names like Mahāchakra and Rajakadrāha anywhere near Dubkund on the maps at my disposal.

After the usual appeal to future rulers to keep the above donations intact, lines 60-61 give the names of the writer and of the engraver, already mentioned; and the inscription closes with a date, the European equivalent of which I have given above.

¹⁵ See Jacobi's edition of Bhadrabāhu's *Kalpasūtra*, p. 107: *śreṣṭhināḥ śrīdevatādhyāsita-sauvarnapaṭṭa-bhūṣi-tuttamāṅgāḥ*.

¹⁶ The original has the compound *kara-gḥaṣikā-devuṣam*, the first word of which I am unable to explain properly.

TEXT.¹⁷

- L. 1. श्री¹⁸ ॥ [श्री] न[मो] वीतरागाय ॥ श्री¹⁹ — — द्रि — ट — उ — टना[द्यत्वा]दपोठं सुठम्भं-
[दा]रस्रगमं[द]गुंज[द]लि[म]त्रिष्टुतमाराविणम् । [त]-
2. [त्वा] — उ — वड[च]: उरु — — — [तां] सं — ि — हो[ग]मिवाकरोत् सृषभस्वामी
त्रिये स्तात्सता[म्] ॥ वि(वि)भ्रा-
3. [णो] गुण[सं]ह[ति] हततमस्तापो निजज्योतिषा [यु]क्तात्मापि जगति संगतजय[च]क्रै सरा-
गाणि यः । उन्माद्यन्म-
4. कर[ध्व]जोर्जितगजप्रासोत्तसत्केसरी संसारोपगदच्छिदेस्तु स मम श्रीमां(शां)तिनाथो जिनः ॥
जा[द्य] सखदखंडित-²⁰
5. क्षयमपि क्षीणाखिलोपक्ष[यं] साक्षादीक्षितमक्षिभिर्दधदपि प्रौढं कलंकं तथा । चिह्नत्वाद्यदु-
पांतमाप्य मततं [जात]-
6. [स्तथा?]नंदकचंद्रः सर्वजनस्य पातु विपदचंद्रप्रभोर्हन्स नः ॥ सी(शो)कानोकहसंकुलं रतितृण-
श्रेणि प्रणश्य[द्भ्रम]-
7. — — [त्वा]ध्वगपूगमुद्गतमहामिथ्यात्ववातध्वनि । यो रागादिमृगोपघातकृतधीध्याननिना
भक्षसाद्भावं कर्म-
8. वनं निनाय जयतात्त्रोयं जिनः सन्नतिः ॥ ²¹प्रसाधितार्थगुर्भक्ष्यपंकजाकर[भा]स्करः । अंतस्तमो-
पक्षो वोस्तु गो-
9. तमो मुनिसत्तमः ॥ ²²श्रीमज्जिनाधिपतिसद्वदनारविंदमुद्गच्छदच्छतरवी(वी)धसमृद्धगंधम् ।
अध्यास्य या जगति पंकजवासिनी-
10. ति स्था[ति] जगाम जयतु स्र(शु)तदेवता सा ॥ ²³आसीत्कच्छपघातवंशतिलकस्त्रैलोक्यनि-
र्यद्यशःपांडुश्रीयुवराजसूनुर-
11. समद्युद्धीमसंनानुगः । श्रीमा[न]जुनभूपतिः²⁴ पतिरपामप्याप यस्तुल्यतां नो गांभीर्यगुणेन
निर्जितजग[ह]न्वो धनु-
12. र्विद्यया ॥ श्रीविद्याधरदेवकार्यनिरतः श्रीराज्यपालं हठात्कंठास्थिच्छिदनेकवाणनिवहैर्हत्वा
महत्त्याह्वे ।
13. ²⁵[डिंडीरा]वल्लिचंद्रमंडल[मि]लम्बुक्ताकलापोज्ज(ज्ज)लैस्त्रैलोक्यं सकलं यशोभिरचलैर्याजस्रमा-
पूरयन् ॥ ²⁶यस्य
14. प्रस्थानकालोत्थितजलधिरवाकारवादित्रशब्दा(ब्दा) वेगाव्रिर्गच्छदद्रिप्रतिमगजत्रटाकोटिघंटाग-
वाश्च । मंस-
15. र्पतः समंतादहमहमिकया पूरयंतो विरेमुर्नो रोदोरंभभागं गिरिविवरगुरूद्यत्प्रातिध्वानमिश्राः ॥
²⁷दिक्च-
16. क्राक्रमयो[ग्य]मार्गणगणाधाराननेकान् गुणानच्छिन्नाननिशं दधद्भिधुकलासंस्पर्धमानद्यतोन् ।
[स]नु-

¹⁷ From Sir A. Cunningham's rubbing, supplied to me by Dr Burgess.

¹⁸ Expressed by a symbol.

¹⁹ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīḍita; and of the next three verses.

²⁰ Read वड^०.

²¹ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh)

²² Metre, Vasantatilakā.

²³ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīḍita; and of the next verse.

²⁴ The *akṣara* in brackets was originally omitted, and is engraved below the line.

²⁵ The *akṣaras* in these brackets have been partly painted over in the rubbing.

²⁶ Metre, Bragdhara.

²⁷ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīḍita; and of the next verse.

- L. 17. [च्छ]बधनुर्गुण²⁸ विजयिनोप्याजी विजितो[र्जि]तं जातोऽस्मादभिमन्युरन्यमृपतीनामन्यमान-
स्तुम् ॥ यस्यात्त्व[स्तु]-
18. बाह्वाहनमहाशस्त्रप्रयोगादिषु प्रावीक्ष्यं प्रविकसितं पृथुमतिश्रीभोज²⁹श्चीभुजा । च्छत्रालो³⁰-
कनमात्रजात-
19. भयतो दृष्टारिभंगप्रदस्यास्य स्यात्क्षणवर्णने त्रिभुव[नि] को लब्ध[व्येवप] ॥ ³⁰तुरगखरचुरा-
योत्स्नात[धात्री]-
20. समुत्थं स्वगयदङ्गिमरस्त्रे(श्मे)र्मंडलं यत्प्रयाणे । प्रचुरतररजोन्याशेषतेजस्वितेजोहृतिमचिरत
21. एवा[शं]सतीवानिवारम् ॥ शरदमृतमयूखप्रैच्छदंशुप्रकाशप्रसरदमितकीर्त्तिव्याप्तदिवचक्रवालः ।
अजनि विजय-
22. पालः श्रीमतोऽस्मान्महीशः शमितसकलधात्रीमंडलक्षेत्रलस(शः) ॥ ³¹भयं यच्छत्रूणां त्रिदशतक्षणी-
वीक्षितरणे
23. क्रमेणाशेषाणां व्यतरदसदध्यात्मान सदा । सतीप्यंशकादादव[नि]वलयस्याधिकमतो वु(वु)धा-
नामाश्चर्यं व्यतनुत
24. नरेन्द्रो हृदि च यः ॥ ³²तस्माद्विक्रमकारिविक्रमभरप्रारंभनिर्भेदितप्रोसुंगाखिलवैरिवारणघटो-
द्यन्मा[स]कुं-
25. भव्यलः । श्रीमान्विक्रमसिंहभूपतिरभूदन्यनामा समं सर्वासा(शा)प्रसरद्विभासुरयशःस्फारस्तुर-
त्केसरः ॥
26. वा(बा)लस्यापि विलोक्य यस्य परिघाकारं भुजं दक्षिणं क्षीणाशेषपराश्रयस्थितिधिया वीरत्रिया
संश्रितम् । सर्वांगेष्व-
27. वगृह्णायहमहंकारादहंपूर्विका³³राज्यश्रीरक्त[ता]धिगस्य³⁴विमुखी सर्वाङ्गपुंवर्गनः ॥ ³⁵भत्वंतो-
दृप्तविद्विद्वत्तिमि-
28. रभरभिदि च्छादितानी[ति]ताराचक्रे विष्ववप्रकाशं सकलजगदमंदावकाशं दधानं । निःपर्याय³⁶
दिगास्यप्रसरदुष्-
29. क[राक्रां]तधात्रीधरेन्द्रे यस्मिन्नाजांसु(शु)मालिन्यहह सति हृष्यैषकोर्न्यांशमाली ॥ ³⁷यद्दिग्जये
वरतुरंगखुरप्रसं-
30. गच्छुष्णावनीवलयजन्मरजोभिसर्पत् । विहेषिणां पुरवर्षेषु तिरोहितान्यवस्तुत्करं प्रलयकालमि-
वादिदे-
31. य ॥ तस्य क्षितीश्वरवरस्य पुरं समस्तं विस्तीर्णशोभमभितोपि चडोभसंज्ञम् । प्राप्तेप्सितक्रय-
समप्रदिगागतांगि-
32. व्यावरण्यमानविपणिव्यवहारसारम् ॥ ॐ ॥ ³⁸भासीक्यायसंपूर्किर्निर्मितवणिग्शंवाव(ब)राभीशु-
मान्³⁹जासूकः प्रक[टाक्षता]-
33. येनिकरः श्रेष्ठी⁴⁰ प्रभाधिष्ठितः । सम्यग्दृष्टिरभोजेन[च]रणहंहाचने यो ददौ पात्रौघाय [चतु]-
र्विधं [त्रि]विवु(वु)-

²⁸ The above appears to be what was originally engraved, but the *akshara* च may have been altered.

²⁹ Read क्षात्रि.

³⁰ Metre, *Malini*; and of the next verse.

³¹ Metre, *Śikharinī*.

³² Metre, *Śārdūlavikrīṭa*; and of the next verse.

³³ Originally ³³पूर्विका was engraved.

³⁴ Read ³⁴धिवस्य.

³⁵ Metre, *Sragdharā*.

³⁶ Read निःपर्यायं.

³⁷ Metre, *Vasantatilakā*; and of the next verse.

³⁸ Metre, *Śārdūlavikrīṭa*.

³⁹ Read ³⁹भासापूकः.

⁴⁰ Perhaps altered to श्रेष्ठिप्रभा.

- L. 34. धो दानं युतः अहया ॥ ⁴¹ श्रीमज्जिने[श्वर]पदांशु(बु)रुहर्दरेपो विस्तरकोर्त्ति[ध]वलीकृतदिग्वि-
भागः । पुत्रीस्य वैभव-
35. पदं जयदेवनामा सीमायमानचरितोजनि सज्जनानाम् ॥ ⁴² रूपेण सी(शी)लिन कुलिन सर्वस्त्रीणां
गुणैरप्यपरेः
36. शिरस्सु । पदं दधानास्य व(ब)भूव भार्या यशोमतीति प्रथिता पृथिव्याम् ॥ ⁴³ तस्यामजीजनदसा-
वृषिदाहडाख्यौ पुत्रौ पवि-
37. त्रवसुराजितचारुमूर्त्ति । प्राञ्चामिवाकस(श)शिनौ समयः समस्तसंपन्नसाधकजनव्यवहारहे-
[तू] ॥ ⁴⁴ प्रोक्षाद्यस्तकला-
38. रिक्तुंजरशिरोनिर्हारणोद्ययशोमुक्ताभूषितभूरभूरपि भियान्नोन्मागामी च यः । सोदादिक्रम-
सिंहभूप-
39. तिरतिप्रोतो यकाभ्यां युगश्रेष्ठः श्रेष्ठिपदं पुरेत्त परमे⁴⁵ प्राकारसौधापणे ॥ ॐ ॥ ⁴⁶ आसीद्विशुद्ध-
तरवी(बो)धचरित्रदृ-
40. ष्टिनिःशेषशू(स्)रिनतमस्तकधारि[ता]ज्ञः । श्रीलाटवागटगणोन्नतरोहणाद्रिमाणिक्यभूतच-
रितो गुरुदेवसे-
41. नः ॥ ⁴⁷ मिडांतो दिविधोप्यवाधितधिया येन प्रमाणध्व[नि]घंथेषु प्रभवः श्रियामवगतो हस्तस्थ-
सुक्तोपमः ।
42. जातः श्रीकुलभूषणोखिलवियहासोगणग्रामणीः सम्यग्दर्शनशुद्धवी(बो)धचरणालंकारधारी
ततः ॥ ⁴⁸ रत्नत्रया[भ]रण-
43. धारणजातशोभस्तस्मादजायत स दुर्लभसेनसूरिः । सर्वं श्रुतं समधिगम्य सहैव सम्यगात्मस्वरू-
पनिरतोभवद्विद्व-
44. [धी]र्यः ॥ ⁴⁹ आस्थानाधिपती वु(बु)धा[दवि]गुणे श्रीभोजदेवे नृपे सभ्येष्वव(ब)रसेनपंडितशिरो-
रत्नादिषूद्यन्मदान् । योने-
45. कान्⁵⁰ शतसौ व्यजष्ट पटुताभीष्टोद्यमो वादिनः शास्त्रांभोनिधिपारगोभवदतः श्रीशान्तिषेणो
गुरुः ॥ ⁵¹ गुरुचर-
46. णसरोजाराधनावाप्तपुष्पप्रभवदभलवु(बु)र्द्धः शुद्धरत्नत्रयोन्मात् । अजनि विजयकोर्त्तिः सूक्तरत्नाव-
47. कीर्णो ज[लधि]भुवमिवतां यः प्रस(श)स्ति व्यधत् ॥ ⁵² तस्मादवाप्य परमागमसारभूतं धर्मोपदे-
शमधिकाधिगत-
48. प्रवो(बो)धाः । लक्ष्मणाश्च वं(बं)धुसुहृदा च समागमस्य रात्र्यायुषश्च वपुषश्च विनश्वरत्वं ॥ ⁵³ प्रार-
व्या(व्या)धर्मकांतारविदाहः
49. साधुदाहडः । सहिवेकश्च [कु]केकः सूर्यटः सुकृते पटुः ॥ तथा देवधरः शुभः⁵⁴ धर्मकर्मधुरंधरः ।
च[द्रा]लिखि-
50. तनाकश्च महीचंद्रः शुभार्जनात् ॥ गुणिनः क्षणनाशिः श्रीकलादानविचक्षणाः । अन्येपि आवकाः
केचिद-

⁴¹ Metre, Vasantatilakā.⁴² Metre, Upajāti.⁴³ Metre, Vasantatilakā.⁴⁴ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīḍita.⁴⁵ Read परमप्राकार°.⁴⁶ Metre, Vasantatilakā.⁴⁷ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīḍita.⁴⁸ Metre, Vasantatilakā.⁴⁹ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīḍita.⁵⁰ Read °काव्यतपो.⁵¹ Metre, Mālinī.⁵² Metre, Vasantatilakā.⁵³ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh); and of the next three verses.⁵⁴ Read शुची.

- L. 51. कर्त[धन]पावकाः ॥ किंच लक्षणसंज्ञोभू—हृदेवस्य मातुलः । गोष्ठिकी जिम्भक्तश्च सर्वशास्त्र-
 52. विचक्षणः ॥ ⁶⁰शृंगाग्रोऽङ्गिखितांव(ब)रं वरसुधासांद्रद्रवापांडुरं सार्धं श्रीजिनमंदिरं त्रिजगदानं-
 दप्रदं सुं-
 53. दरम् । संभूयेदमकारयन्गुहशिरःमंचारिकेत्वं(ब)रप्रान्तेनोच्छलतेव वायुविहृतेर्यामादिश[त्पश्य-]
 54. ताम् ॥ ॐ ॥ अथैतस्य जिनेश्वरमंदिरस्य निष्पादनपूजनसंस्काराय कालान्तरस्फुटिततुटित-
 प्रतीका-
 55. रार्थं च महाराजाधिराजश्रीविक्रमसिंहः स्वपुण्यरासे(शे)रप्रतिहतप्रसरं परमोपचयं चेतसि
 [नि]धाय
 56. गोष्ठीं पति विशोपकं गोधूमगोणीचतुष्टयवापयोग्यक्षेत्रं च महा[चक्र]ग्रामभूमौ रजकद्रहपू-
 57. र्वदिग्भागवाटिकां वापीसमन्वितं । ⁶⁰प्रदीपसुनिज्जनशरीराभ्यंजनार्थं करघटिकादयं च दत्त-
 वान् । तच्चाचं-
 58. द्राकं महाराजाधिराजश्रीविक्रमसिंहोपरोधेन ॥ ⁶⁶व(ब)हुभिर्वसुधा⁶⁷ भुक्ता राजभिः सगरा-
 दिभिः । यस्य य-
 59. स्य यदा भूमिस्तस्य तस्य तदा फलमिति स्मृतिवचनान्निजमपि श्रेयः प्रयोजनं मन्यमानैः
 सकलैरपि
 60. भाविभिर्भूमिपालैः प्रातिपालनायमिति ॥ ॐ ॥ ⁶⁸ललिखीदयराजो यां प्रस(श)स्तिं शुद्धधीरि-
 माम् । उत्कीर्णवा-
 61. न् ⁶⁹शिलाकूटस्त्रील्लङ्घनस्तां सदक्षराम् ॥ संवत् ११४५ भाद्रपदसुदि ३ सोमदिने ॥ मंगलं महाश्रीः ॥

XIX.—PABHOSĀ INSCRIPTIONS.

By A. FÜHRER, Ph.D.

The small modern village of Pabhosā stands on a cliff about 30 feet high, overlooking the northern bank of the Jamnā, in tahsil Manjhānpur, 32 miles south-west of Allahābād, and represents the ancient site of the once famous Prabhāsa.¹ The classical hill of Prabhāsa, which is the only rock in the Antarvedi, or Doab between the Ganges and Jamnā rivers, is 5 miles to the north-west of the great fort of Kosām Khirāj, the ancient Kauśāmbī, and not more than 2 miles from the present villages of Kosam Inām and Pāli,² which formed the old city outside the walls of the fort. High up, in the face of this hill, there is a typical rock-hewn cave, in an inaccessible position. This lofty stone cavern is, no doubt, the stone dwelling of the venomous Nāga described thus by Hsien Tsiang³ in his account of Kauśāmbī:—"To the south-west of the city, 8 or 9 li (about 1½ miles), is a stone dwelling of a venomous Nāga. Having subdued

⁶⁰ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

⁶⁶ These signs of punctuation are superfluous.

⁶⁷ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

⁶⁸ Metre, Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

⁶⁹ Read 'वास्ति'.

¹ The Prabhāsa, mentioned in the Mau Chandella inscription of Madanavarman, *op. cit.* vol. I, p. 197 & 204, most probably is the modern Pabhosā on the Jamnā, and not the distant place of pilgrimage in Sorath.

² A copperplate grant, measuring 7 inches by 6 inches, of Mahārāja Laskshman, dated (Gupta)-Samvat 156, was found in this village in May 1891.

³ Beal, *Si-yu-ki*, vol. I, p. 237.

this dragon, Tatbhāgata left here his shadow ; but, though this is a tradition of the people there is no vestige of the shadow visible."⁴ Hiuen Tsiang's statement that the cave is 8 or 9 *li* to the south-west of Kauśāmbī, is erroneous, as the hill bears north-west from the fort of Kosām. According to the popular belief of the villagers there is a Nāga inside the cave, of which everybody has heard, but which no one has seen. The serpent is believed to have his head in the Jamnā while his tail remains in the cave, which is more than a quarter of a mile from the river. The Nāga is said to be seen once a year at the time of the Divāli festival.⁵ Not a little surprised were the people, therefore, to find that no Nāga was encountered when I entered and examined the cave during the night of the 25th March 1887.

At the back of the village a flight of about one hundred and ten steps leads up to a platform, formed of a mere mass of débris, the refuse of former quarries, on which stands a small modern Jaina temple. Close by are three small standing figures of naked Jinas cut in the rock. About 150 feet from the north-east corner of the temple rises the rock perpendicularly about 47 feet in height, in the highest scarp of which the cave is situated. Above the solid rock, in which the cavern is hewn, several large boulders of hard grey quartzite are lying, one upon another, in a sloping position. No doubt, the access to this cave from below was removed by the quarrymen, as it would seem, shortly after the eighth century A.D. In order to effect an entrance into the cave and to copy its inscription outside (Facsimile No. I), which is visible to the naked eye from below, I intended first to erect a staging from the temple below up to the cave and inscription; but finding this to be extremely dangerous on account of the locality and its surroundings, I had a wooden crib made to let down by means of strong ropes from above the cave. As, however, the neighbourhood of the cavern was infested by numerous swarms of wild bees, the cave had to be entered by night and the inscriptions to be copied by the light of a lantern, which added much to the difficulty of the undertaking.

The cave is entirely hewn in the solid rock, the marks of the chisel being apparent throughout ; the left side is occupied by a stone couch and pillow, or *sej*, for the hermit's use. The roof is of very curious formation, being cut into vaulted shelves or cupboards, on each side of the centre ; these shelves occupy about half of the roof, and the remainder is plain. The main entrance, a door measuring 2' 2" by 1' 9", has a stone lintel and plain pilaster of red-coloured sandstone on each side, with square holes above and below, seemingly to bar up the entrance. The lintel of the door is 10 feet from the upper edge of the precipice. To the left of the door, at a distance of 2' 3" are two small windows of irregular shape, one with a diameter of 1' 5" and the other of 1' 7". The thickness of this wall is only 9 inches. About 1' 3" above the left top corner of the entrance door, there is an inscription (Facsimile I) of eight lines, in characters of the second or first century B.C., carved on the rough surface of the natural rock. Inside, the cave measures 9 feet on the left and 8' 6" on the right in length, by 7' 4" in width and 3' 3" in height. The stone bed, or *sej*, is 9 feet in length, 1' 8" in

⁴ Compare, however, Sung-Yun's account of Buddha's Shadow Cave, or the Cave of Gopāla, *Beal, l.c.*, vol. I, page cvii.

⁵ Cunningham, *Archæological Survey Reports*, vol. XXI, p. 2. Sir A. Cunningham, although speaking of the cave, does not mention its rock-cut inscription.

breadth, and 1' 2" in height. On it are ten short pilgrims' records: five of the early Gupta period, four of the fifth or sixth, and one of the eighth century A. D. On the west wall of the cave, opposite to the entrance door, there are three inscriptions: one of the second or first century B. C. (Facsimile II) and two short records of visitors in early Gupta characters.

No. I.

On the rock outside the cave

The inscribed surface of the rock measures 14" by 10½". Each letter is on an average 1·3" long and 3·6 inches deep. With the exception of four *aksharas* in the sixth and nearly the whole of the eighth line, the record is in surprisingly perfect preservation, considering its great age and its long exposure to the vicissitudes of the weather. This inscription was first brought to notice by Mr. S. J. Cockburn⁶ of the Opium Department who made a (not very accurate) eye-copy of it by means of an astronomical telescope, a tentative reading of which was given by Dr. Hörnle in the *Proceedings, Asiatic Society of Bengal*, for March 1887, page 105. In January 1887, during a short visit to Kauśāmbi, the inscription was independently discovered by me, and I now edit it according to impressions taken from the rock.

TEXT.

- L. 1. राज्ञो गोपालीपत्रम
2. बहसतिमित्रम
3. मातुलिन गोपालीया
4. वैहिदरीपुत्रेन [आसा]
5. आसादसेनेन लिनं
6. कारितं [उदाकम]¹⁰ दम-
7. मे सवक्त्रं कश्यपीयानं अरहं-
8. [त]नं — — — — — [॥]

TRANSLATION.

"By Āsādhāsena, the son of Gopālī Vaihiḍarī (*i.e. the Vaihiḍara-princess*), and maternal uncle of king Bahasatimitra (*Bṛihaspatimitra*), son of Gopālī, a cave was caused to be made in the tenth year of of the Kāśāpīya Arhats (*i.e. either the Buddhists of the Kāśāpīya school, or the pupils of Vardhamāna who was a Kāśāpīya by gotra*¹¹)"

No. II.

Inside the cave.

The inscription is incised on the rough surface of the west wall of the cave and measures 32" by 12". On an average each letter is 1·6" long and 3·6 inches deep; the record is in perfect preservation.

⁶ See *Journal As. Soc. of Bengal*, vol. LVI, part I, pages 31 to 35.

⁷ See Facsimile No. I.

⁸ Possibly गोपालीया. The genitive गोपालीया is construed with the crude form वैहिदरी which stands in the sense


of a genitive, compare, *e.g.* अष्टवक्त्रं सवक्त्रं (Āpastamba, न लोपः प्रातिपदिकान्तस्य (Pāṇini).

⁹ Afterwards erased.

¹⁰ All letters doubtful.

¹¹ I am indebted to Dr. Bühler for the interpretation of this passage.


PABHOSA INSCRIPTION NO. I,—ON THE ROCK OUTSIDE THE CAVE.



A Nubian Ph. D. impress

Scale: 3-4ths.

PABHOSA INSCRIPTION NO. II.—INSIDE THE CAVE, ON WEST WALL.




'TEXT.'¹²

- L. 1. अधिष्ठाया राजो शोनकायनपत्रस्य वंग¹³पालस्य
2. पुत्रस्य राजो¹⁴ तैवणीपुत्रस्य भागवतस्य पुत्रेण
3. वैश्वदरीपुत्रेण आषाढसंनेन कारितं [॥]

TRANSLATION.

“Caused to be made by Āshādhāsena, son of the Vaihidari (*i.e.* *Vaihidara—princess, and*) son of king Bhāgavata, son of the Tevaṇī (*i.e.* *Traivarna—princess, and*) son of king Vamgaṇā, son of Śonakāyana (Śaunakāyana) of Adhichhatrā.”

On account of the resemblance to the letters of the Śunga times, the two inscriptions may be assigned with confidence to the second or first century B.C. Of special historical value is the pedigree of the early kings of Adichhatrá,¹⁶ the capital of the once mighty kingdom of Northern Pāñchāla, here furnished to us :—



Where king **Bahasatimitra** ruled, and who his father was, is not stated; but we may safely conclude that he was king of **Kauśāmbī**, as the latter place is close to **Prabhāsa**, and as many of his coins ¹⁹ have been found at **Kauśāmbī**.

No. III.

In the modern Dharmśāla.

This inscription is incised on a tablet of red sandstone and is now fixed into the wall of the modern Dharmasālā in the village of Pabhosā. It records the consecration

¹⁷ See Facsimile No. 11.

¹¹ Possibly वेंग^१ or वांग^०

¹⁴ Possibly रञ्जी.

¹⁵ The modern Rāmnagar in Rohilkhand, see Cunningham, *Archaeological Survey Reports*, vol. I, pp. 255—265, fuhree, *Monumental Antiquities and Inscriptions in the North-Western Provinces and Oudh*, pp. 26—29. The same form Adhikhatrā, and not the usual Ahikshetra, Ahikshatra, or Ahichchhatta of the *Mahābhārata Harwaṃśa*, and *Pāṇini*, occurs also in several inscriptions of the first century B.C., lately excavated by me at Rāmnagar.

¹⁶ Compare the Ἀδισαδρά of Ptolemy, *Geog.* vii, 1, 53.

¹⁷ The epithets *Trailokyā* and *Vaiśāṇavī* are no doubt derivatives from the names of nations or countries although not found in the *Pāṇinīyā* lists. Compare e. g. केशिकी "the queen of the Kekaya-race," कौशिकी "the queen of the Kosala-family." Compare also the epithet *Tevanika*, or *Trailavarnika* occurring in one of the *Mathurā* inscriptions, *ante*, vol. I, p. 394 and 397.

See Sir A. Cunningham, *Coins of Ancient India*, Pt. I, p. 73, where the king's name is wrongly read Bahasa-mitra. The Lucknow Museum Coin cabinet possesses fifteen coins of Bahasatimitra, nine of which were excavated by me at Kaurāmbi in 1887, and six at Adichhatrā (Rāmnagar) in 1891.

of an image of the glorious Jina (Pārśvanātha) on Friday, the 6th day of the dark fortnight of the month Mārgaśīrṣa, in Samvat 1881, by Sādhu¹⁹ Śrī Hīrālāl of Allahābād on the top of the hill of Prabhāsa, outside the city of Kauśāmbī. The document is also interesting in another respect, as it clearly shows that the modern villages of Kosām and Pabhosā were identified already in A.D. 1824-25 by the people of the country with Kauśāmbī and Prabhāsa.

TEXT.²⁰

- L. 1. संवत् १८८१ मिते मार्गशीर्षशुक्लपक्ष्यां शुक्रवास-
2. रे काष्ठामंघे माथुरगच्छ पुष्करगण लोहाचार्यान्वय
3. भट्टारकश्रीजगत्कीर्त्तिस्तत्पट्टे भट्टारकश्रीललितकी-
4. र्त्तिजित्ताम्नाय अश्रोतकान्वये गीयलगोत्रे प्रयागन-
5. गरवास्तव्यसाधुश्रीरायजीमल्लस्तदनुजफेरम-
6. ल्लस्तपुत्रसाधुश्रीमहेश्वरचंदस्तद्भातासुमरचंद-
7. स्तदनुजसाधुश्रीमाणिक्यचंदस्तपुत्रसाधुश्रीही-
8. रालालन कौशांबीनगरवाह्य प्रभासपर्वतोपरि श्री-
9. पद्मप्रभजिनदीक्षाह्वानकल्याणकवेचे श्रीजिन-
10. विंवप्रतिष्ठा कारिता अंगरेजवहादुरराज्ये सु[शु]भं [॥]

TRANSLATION.

“(This) image of the glorious Jina (Pārśvanātha) has been consecrated under the rule of the noble English, on a site made auspicious by the consecration and invocation of the glorious Jina Padmaprabha, on the upper side of the hill of Prabhāsa, outside the town of Kauśāmbī, by Sādhu Śrī Hīrālāl, son of Sādhu Śrī Mānikya Chand, younger brother of Sumeru Chand, brother of Sādhu Śrī Mehar (i.e., Mihir) Chand, son of Pheru Mall, younger brother of Sādhu Śrī Rāyaji Mall, inhabitant of the town of Prayāga (Allahābād), belonging to the Goyala *gotra*, the Agrotaka²¹ family, and being (spiritual) client of *bhaṭṭāraka* the illustrious Lalitakīrttijit, in the line of *bhaṭṭāraka* the illustrious Jagatkīrtti, the descendant of Lohachārya, in the Pushkara *gaṇa*, the Mathurā *gachchha*, and the Kāshīhasaṃgha, on Friday, the 6th day of the dark fortnight of the month Mārgaśīrṣa, in Samvat 1881. May it be propitious!”

The modern Jaina temple possesses a small white marble image of Neminātha, dated Samvat 1881, and a large undated copper statue of Pārśvanātha, the setting up of which in this temple our inscription undoubtedly records.

¹⁹ i.e. banker and merchant.

²⁰ See facsimile No. III.

²¹ The modern *Agarwāl Baniyds*, see *ante*, vol. I, p. 94.

संवत् १८८१ मिते माघ मीर्षं तुल्यं प्रशास्तु कवास
 रेकाद्यां संघे माधुरगधेषु कदगंति को हावायां भ्लाये
 नद्याकषी जगती त्रिसप्तद्वे नद्या कषी वलितकी
 त्रिजिन्नद्यान्वाये अग्रोत कान्दये गोदुल्लगे त्रै प्रद्वगन
 गरवास्तव्यसाधकषी रावजी मल्लसरतुजफैरम
 ल्लस्तुनसाधकषी भद्रचंद्रस्तुजाता सुभेरुचंद
 सदनुजसां कषी माणिक्यचंद्रस्तुनसाधकषी दो
 एलातेन के शंवीनगरवाह्य प्रभासपर्वतोपरि श्री
 पद्मप्रभजिनदी लात्तानकल्याणकक्षेत्रे श्रीजिन
 विवस्वति व्याकारिता अगरेजवराडरस्यै सुभ

XX.—THE PILLAR EDICTS OF AŚOKA.

BY G. BÜHLER, PH.D., LL.D., C.I.E.

For the subjoined edition of the Pillar edicts of Aśoka the following materials have been used :—

I. *Dehli-Sivalik*,—(1) Dr. Fleet's facsimiles, published in the *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XIII, p. 306ff. (2) A paper-rubbing of the second half of edict vii (the so-called circular edict), kindly supplied by Sir A. Cunningham.

II. *Dehli-Mirat*,—Dr. Fleet's facsimiles, published in the *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XIX, p. 122ff., and the paper-impressions, according to which the excellent facsimiles have been prepared.¹

III. *Allahabad*,—Dr. Fleet's facsimile, published in the *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XIII, p. 306.

IV. *Radhia (Lauria-Ararāj)*, *Mathia (Lauria-Navandgarh)* and *Rāmpūrva*,—Mr. Garriek's facsimiles, published with this paper.

None of the older reproductions have been consulted, because they are of no value whatever. They have been made either according to eye-copies or according to rubbings,—not impressions—where the outlines of the letters have been afterwards marked in with pencil. The preservation of the Pillar edicts is in general so excellent that, except in the Dehli-Mirat and Allahabad versions, hardly a vowel-stroke is doubtful. For these two versions, especially for the first, a comparison of the original impressions is highly desirable. Unfortunately the originals of the Allahabad version were not accessible to me, and it is therefore not impossible that on a comparison of the squeezes some small corrections may become necessary. Such corrections will not, however, affect the interpretation in any way. Compared with the readings of other scholars, the present ones show very few differences in the Dehli-Sivalik and Allahabad versions, for which accurate facsimiles have been accessible during the last eight years.

The changes in the Radhia and Mathia texts are more numerous and, thanks to the new materials, decidedly for the better. The fragments of the Rāmpūrva version have been printed once before with my German papers on the Pillar edicts in the *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft*, vol. XLV, pp. 144ff, and vol. XLVI, pp. 54ff. According to the account² of Mr. Garriek, the Rāmpūrva Pillar lies half buried in the ground. Hence only a portion of the inscription is accessible. As the Rāmpūrva version agrees exactly with those of Radhia and Mathia, it is of no great importance for the interpretation of these documents. These three eastern versions have either been engraved according to the same MS., or, at least, according to three MSS. written out by the same Karkun. Their verbal discrepancies are so exceedingly slight that they cannot possibly be ascribed to different draughtsmen. The two Dehli versions are also closely allied to each other. This agreement extends in both cases also to the grouping of the words, which the present edition indicates by hyphens put between those written continuously. I believe that it is advisable to attend to this point, because evidently only those words have been placed close together, which may

¹ These impressions, which Dr. Fleet kindly presented to me, have been made over to the Oriental Institute of the Vienna University.

² See Cunningham's *Arch. Survey Reports*, vol. XVI, p. 110f.

be joined according to the construction. There is not a single instance in which words written continuously belong to different sentences. It would seem that the clerks connected those words, which in reading the documents they pronounced together. Hence the breaks are equivalent to signs of interpunction, commas, semi-colons and full stops, and they, as well as the combined groups, must be taken into account in the interpretation. And it follows, *e.g.*, that in the second edict the division of the group *chakhudānēpi* into *cha khu dāne pi* is impossible, and again that the attempt to combine the separated words *isyā kālanena*, in the third edict, cannot be upheld.

As regards the translation and interpretation of the Pillar edicts, the majority of the numerous problems, which Lassen and Burnouf left behind, have been solved by Professor Kern in his *Jaartelling der zuidelijke Buddhisten*, and in his *Buddhismus und seine Geschichte in Indien*, or by M. Senart in his *Inscriptions de Piyadasi*, tome ii, and in his articles in the *Indian Antiquary*, vols. XVII and XVIII, which Mr. Grierson has translated in excellent style. Hence there was not very much remaining for me, especially as M. Senart in his latest publication has adopted my suggestion (*Indian Antiquary*, vol. XIII, p. 306) that the so-called eighth circular edict on the Dehli-Sivalik pillar is only the end of the seventh.⁹ Nevertheless, I hope that my translation and notes will not be superfluous.

I differ from my predecessors, especially from M. Senart, in several essential points. First, as I hold on principle all conjectural emendations, which alter the texts of several versions, to be inadmissible, I have tried to show that in all cases, where such changes have been proposed in the Pillar edicts, the original readings admit of explanation. Secondly, I have attempted to further substantiate my view that a full explanation of Aśoka's edicts can only be given with the help of the Brahmanical literature and by a careful utilisation of the actualities of Hindu life. I have called attention to this point in the introductory remarks to my German articles on the Rock edicts, and in explaining the latter, I have shown how a certain proportion of the institutions in Aśoka's empire agrees with those prescribed by the Brahmanical *Rājanīti*, as well as, that certain other details become easily intelligible, when one consults other *Śāstras* or pays attention to still existing Indian customs. This principle of interpretation is, it seems to me, particularly important for the fourth and fifth Pillar edicts, and hence the remarks on these two pieces have become rather extensive.

Thirdly, I believe it to be certain that Piyadasi-Aśoka had not yet joined the Buddhists, when the Pillar edicts were completed. His conversion to Buddhism fell, as I shall show in a new discussion of the Sahasrām and Rūpnāth edicts, in the twenty-ninth year of his reign. Up to the end of his twenty-seventh year the king continued to preach and otherwise to work for the spread of that general morality which all Indian religions, based on the *Jñānamārga* or Path of Knowledge, prescribe for the people at large and which is common to the Brahmins, Jainas and Buddhists. This conviction, of course, has forced me to demur against a specially Buddhistic interpretation of various words and terms.

Finally, there are some passages, *e.g.*, in the second, third, fourth and seventh edicts where I have tried, by new divisions of the continuously written syllables or new trans

⁹In justice to Professor Kern I must point out that he has recognised this very obvious fact quite independently *Der Buddhismus*, vol. II, p. 334.

literations of the Prakrit words into Sanskrit, to elicit a more satisfactory sense than that obtained through the older interpretations. Most philologists will have felt that the hitherto current explanations of *chakhudāne-pi-me bahuvīdhe dimne*, *kālanena-va-hakaṃ mā palibhasayisanti*, *nātikā-va-kāni nijhapayisanti*, etc., do not carry conviction, and could only be regarded as make-shifts which might be excused as long as nothing more plausible offered.

In the fifth edict I have left many names of animals unexplained. Every one of them will probably be traceable, if the medical *Saṃhitas* and *Nighaṇṭus* are thoroughly examined, of which for the moment only a small proportion is accessible to me. A special examination of the older Buddhist and Jaina literature, which I have begun, but been unable to complete, will probably furnish the means for the further explanation of some other words, which have still to be translated conjecturally or stand in need of further elucidation. In his contributions to the *Academy* of 1891, Dr. Morris has shown that something may still be done in this way, and some of my notes will show that the Jaina literature also furnishes contributions for the Aśoka inscriptions. Eventually, and, I believe, in a not very distant future, every word and form of these precious documents will admit of as certain an interpretation as those of the ordinary classical texts.

EDICT I.

Dehli-Sivalik.

Dehli-Mirat.

Allahabad.

Devānaṃ-piye Piyadasi lāja-	Devānaṃ piye Piyadasi lāja
hevaṃ-āhā [.] saḍuvisati ⁴ [1]	hevaṃ āhā [.] saḍuvisativasābhi-
vasa abhisitena-me iyaṃ-dham-	sitena me iyaṃ dhammalipi likhā-
malipi likhāpitā[.] [2] hidatapā-	pitā[.] hidatapālate dusaṃpatipā-
late dusaṃpatipādāye amnata-	(a)y(e) [1] amnata agāya dham-
agāyā-dhammakāmātāyā [3] agā-	makāmātāya agāya palikhāya
ya-palikhāyā agāya-susūāyā age-	agāya susūāyā agena bhayena
na-bhayenā [4] agena-usāhenā[.]	[age] na usāhena[.] Esa chu kho
Esa-chu-kho-mama anusathiyā [5]	mama anusathiyā [2] dhammā-
dhammāpekḥā dhammakāmātā-	pekḥā dhammakāmātā cha suve
chā suve-suve-vaḍhitā vaḍhisati-	suve vaḍhitā vaḍhisati chevā[.]
chevā [6] Pulisā-pi-cha-me ukasā-	Pulisā pi me ukasā cha gevayā
chā gevayā-chā majhimā-chā anu-	cha majhimā cha anuvidhiyaṃti
vidhiyaṃti [7] saṃpatipādayaṃ-	saṃpatipādayaṃti cha [3] alaṃ
ti-chā alaṃ-chapalaṃ-samādapay-	chapaḷaṃ samādapayitave[.] heme-
itave [.] hemevā-amta [8] mahā-	va amtamahāmātā pi [.] Esā hi
mātā-pi [.] Esā-pi ⁵ -vidhi yā-	vidhi yā iyaṃ dhammena pālanā
iyaṃ-dhammena-pālanā dham-	dhammena vidhāue dhammena [a]
mena-vidhāue [9] dhammena-	[1]	vidhāue dha[m]m								sukhiyaṃ dhammena guti ti chuo
sukhiyaṃ dhammena-gotī-ti [.]	khi[ya] [2] [.]									[4] [.]

⁴ The sign, read here *du*, appears exactly in the same shape in the Sānchi inscriptions, e.g., in *Pāḍukulikā*, i.e., *Pāḍu kulikā*. With the form *saḍuvisati* compare *dure*, *duvāla*, *kuḍumala*.

⁵ Possibly the stone may have *hi* instead of *pi*, which former appears to be the correct reading.

⁶ I give the words *ti-chu* on the strength of Dr. Fleet's note, *Ind. Ant.*, vol. XIII, p. 310. The vowel sign of *ti* stands irregularly to the left of the consonant, just as in the Kāśi version of the Rock Ed. XIII, 2 l. 12, in the last syllables of *anuvidhiyaṃti* and *anuvidhiyaṃti*.

EDICT I.

Radhia.

Devānam-piye Piyadasi-lāja
 hevarn-āha [:] saḍvīsativasābbhi-
 sitena-me iyaṃ-dhammalipi [1]
 likhāpita [:] hidatapālāte⁷ dusaṃ-
 paṭipādaye amnata-agāya-dham-
 makāmatāy[a] agāya-palikhāya
 [2] agāya-susūsāya agena-bhaye-
 na agena-usāhena [:] Esa-chu-
 kho-mama - anusathiya dhamma-
 pekha [3] dhammakāmatā-cha
 suve-suve-vadhita vadhisati cheva
 [:] Pulisā-pi-me ukasā-cha geva-
 yā-cha majhimā-cha anuvidhi-
 yanti [4] sampatipādayanti-cha
 alam-chapalam samādapayitave
 hemeva-aṃtamahāmātā-pi[:]. Esā-
 hi-vidhi yā-iyam dhammena-pāla-
 na[5] dhammena-vidhāne dham-
 mena-sukhiyana dhammena goti-
 ti [6][:].

Mathia.

Devānam - piye Piyadasi - lāja
 hevarn-āha[:] saḍvīsativasābbhi-
 lena me iyaṃ [2] dhammalipi
 likhāpita [:] bidatapālāte dusaṃ-
 paṭipādaye amnata-agāya dham-
 makāmatāya [2] agāya-palikhāya
 agāya-susūsāya agena-bhaye-
 na agena-usāhena[:]. Esa-chu- kho-
 mama [3] anusathiya dhammā-
 pekha dhammakāmatā-cha suve-
 suve-vadhita vadhisati-cheva [:]
 Pulisā-pi-me[4] ukasā-cha geva-
 yā-cha majhimā-cha anuvidhiyaṃ-
 ti sampatipādayanti-cha alam-
 chapalam-samādapayitave [5] he-
 meva-aṃtamahāmātā-pi[:]. Esā-
 hi-vidhi yā iyaṃ dhammena-pāla-
 na dhammena-vidhāne dhammena-
 sukhīyana [6] dhammena-gotī-ti
 [7.]

Rāmpārva.

Devānam in-piye Piyadasi-lājaja .
 hevarn-āha[:] sa[du] . . .

 . . . [1] dusaṃpaṭipādaye
 amnata-agāya dhammakāmatāya

 [5.]
 [2] Esa-chu-
 kho-mama anusathiya dhammā-
 pekha dhamma

 . . . [3] geva-yā-cha majhi-
 mā-cha anuvidhiyaṃti sampatī-
 pādayanti

 . . . [4] yā iyaṃ dhammena
 pālana dhammena vidhāne dham-
 men s[u]

TRANSLATION.

King Piyadasi, beloved of the gods, speaks thus:—"After I had been anointed twenty-six years, I ordered this religious edict to be written. Happiness in this world and in the next is difficult to gain except by the greatest love of the sacred law, the greatest circumspection, the greatest obedience, the greatest fear, the greatest energy." But, through my instructions, these have, indeed, increased day by day, and will increase still more (*viz.*) the longing for the sacred law and the love of the sacred law. And my servants, the great ones, the lowly ones⁹ and those of middle rank, being able to lead sinners¹⁰ back to their duty, obey and carry out (*my orders*), likewise also the wardens of the marches.¹¹ Now the order (*for them*) is to protect according to the sacred law,¹² to govern according to the sacred law, to give happiness in accordance with the sacred law, to guard according to the sacred law."

⁷ Burnouf's explanation of *hidata* and *pālata*, which are found also below IV, (I. 7 (D. S.), I. 18 (D. S.), VII, 2, I. 10 as inflected forms of the adverbs in *tra*, is no doubt correct. In popular Sanskrit, too, *paratram* is used in the sense of *paralokah* or *pāralaukikam*, see *Pañchatantra*, iii, p. 54, l. 17 (Bo. edition).

⁸ *Circumspection*, i.e., a careful examination, whether one's actions are lawful or not; *obedience*, i.e., towards Piyadasi's sacred law; *fear*, i.e., of sin.

⁹ *Gevayā*, 'the lowly ones' or 'subalterns' is derived from the Sanskrit root *gep* or *glep*, which the *Dhātupāṭha* explains by *daiṇye*. The corresponding Sanskrit word was, no doubt, **gepya*-**glepya*, literally 'the poor' or 'wretched.'

¹⁰ *Chapala*, literally 'fickle', means both in Pali and in Sanskrit *durvinita*, 'ill-behaved', 'a sinner.'

¹¹ The *aṃtamahāmātā*, 'the wardens of the marches,' are the *antapālāḥ* of the Sanskrit writers, see, e.g., *Mālavikāgnimitra*, p. 16, l. 7 (Bo. S. Scr., 2nd ed.).

¹² It will be best to take *hi* here *aradhāraṇe*, i.e., in the sense of 'indeed', 'even', which it has not rarely in Sanskrit prose.

EDICT II.

Dehli-Sivalik.

Devānaṃ-piye Piyadasi lājā
[10] hevaṃ-āhā[:] dhamme-
sādhū[:] Kiyam-chu-dhamme-
ti[?] Apāsinave bahu-kayāne
[11] dayā-dāne sache-sochaye[:]
Chakhudāne-pi-me bahuvidhe-
dimne dupada-[12] chatupadesu
pakhivālichesu vividhe-me-anu-
gahe-kate ā-pāna-[13] dākhināye
amṇāni-pi-cha-me bahūni kayānā-
ni-kaṭāni[:] Etāye-me [14]
aṭhāye iyaṃ-dhammalipi-likhā-
pitā[:] hevaṃ-anupaṭipajamtu
chilam-[15] thitikā-cha-hotū-ti-
ti[:] Ye-cha-hevaṃ-sam-paṭipajisati
se-sukaṭam-kachhati-ti[.16]

Dehli-Mirat.

Devānaṃ-piye Piyadasi-lājā-
hev . . .[:] dhamme-sādh[u]¹³[:]
Kiyam[?] 3] Apāsinave
bahu-kayāne dayā dāne sache[so]-
chaye[:] [Chakhudā]nam-
pi-me [4] bahuvidhe-dimne dupa-
dachatupadesu pakhivālichale. [vi-
vidhe-me-anu] [5] gahe-kate ā-pā-
nadākhināye amṇāni-pi-cha-me
bahū[ui . yānāni] [6] kaṭāni[:]
Etāye-me-aṭhāye iyaṃ-dhamma-
lipi-li[khāpitā] . . [7] anupaṭipa-
jamtu obilu[mṭhi]tikā¹⁴ - cha-
hotū-[ti][:] Ye-cha-he
[8] sati se-sukaṭam-ka[chha]ti-
ti[.9]

Allahabad.

Devānaṃ piye Piyadasi lājā
hevaṃ-āhā[:] dhamme sādh[u[:]
Kiyam chu dhamme ti[?] Apāsin-
ave bahu kayāne dayā dāne
sache sochaye[:] Chakhudāne pi
me[5] bahuvidhe dimne dupada-
chatupadesu¹⁵ pakhivālichesu
vividhe me anugahe kate ā pā-
nadakhināye¹⁶ amṇāni pi cha me
bahūni kayānāni kaṭāni[.6] Etāye
me aṭhāye iyaṃ dhammalipi
likhāpitā[:] hevaṃ anupaṭipa-
jamtu chilathitika cha hotū ti[:]
Ye cha hevaṃ sam-paṭipajisati se
sukaṭam kachhati-ti[.7]

EDICT II.

Radhia.

Devānaṃ piye Piyadasi lāja
hevaṃ-āhā[:] dhamme-sādh[u[:]
Kiyam-chu-dhamme-ti[?] Apā-
sinave bahu-kayāne daya dāne
sache[7] socheye-ti[:] Chakhu-
dāne-pi-me-bahuvidhe-dimne du-
padachatupadesu pakhivālichesu
vividhe-me-anugahe-kate[8] ā-pā-
nadakhināye amṇāni-pi-cha-me
bahūni-kayānāni-kaṭāni[:] Etāye-
me-aṭhāye iyaṃ-dhammalipi likhā-
pita[:] hevaṃ[9] anupaṭipajamtu
chilamthitikā-cha-hotū-ti[:] Ye-
cha-hevaṃ-sam-paṭipajisati se-su-
kaṭam-kachhati-ti[.10]

Mathia.

Devānaṃ-piye Piyadasi-lāja
hevaṃ-āhā[:] dhamme-sādh[u[:]
Kiyam-chu-dhamme-ti[:] Apāsi-
nave bahu-kayāne[8] daya dāne
sache socheye-ti[:] Chakhudāne-
pi-me bahuvidhe-dimne dupada-
chatupadesupakhi-[9] vālichesu
vividhe-me-anugahe-kate ā-pā-
nadakhināye amṇāni-pi-cha-me
bahūni-kayānāni[10] kaṭāni[:]
Etāye-m[e]-aṭhāye iyaṃ-dham-
malipi likhāpita[:] hevaṃ-anu-
paṭipajamtu chilamthitikā-cha-
hotū-ti[.11] Ye-cha-hevaṃ-sam-
paṭipajisati se-sukaṭam-kachhati
[.12]

Rāmpūrcā.

Devānaṃ-piye Piyadasi-lāja
hevaṃ-āhā[:] dhamme-sādh[u[:]
Kiyam

[6] bahuvidhe-dimne
dupadachatupadesu pakhivālicha-
lesu vivi

[7].
Etāye-me-aṭhāye iyaṃ-dhamma-
lipi-likhāpita[:] hevaṃ a

[8]

TRANSLATION.

King Piyadasi, beloved of the gods, speaks thus:—"(*To fulfill*) the law is meritorious. But what does (*the fulfilment of*) the law include? (*It includes*) sinlessness, many good works, compassion, liberality, truthfulness, purity. The gift of spiritual insight¹ I have given (*to men*) in various ways; on two-footed and four-footed beings, on birds and aquatic animals I have conferred benefits of many kinds, even the boon of life, and in other ways have I done much good. It is for this purpose that I

¹³ The quantity of the second vowel of *sādhū* is not certain.

¹⁴ *Chilam*¹⁵ looks like *child*¹⁶; possibly *thitika* to be read.

¹⁵ Possibly *dupadamchatupadesu*. But the dot after *da* is probably accidental.

¹⁶ *Pānadakhinā* is the Sanskrit *prāṇadakhinā*, a less commonly used variant for *abhyadakhinā* 'the boon of safety.' The smaller *Petersburg Dictionary*, however, gives it in this sense.

have caused this religious edict to be written, (*viz.*) that men may thus act accordingly, and that it may endure for a long time. And he who will act thus will perform a deed of merit.

REMARKS.

a. I explain *apāsīnare* by *apāsṛavam*, used in the sense of *apāsṛavatvam*. It may be noted that the Jainas possess a term *aṇhaya*, which exactly corresponds to *āsinava*, and is derived, like the latter, from *āśnu* (see Weber: *Indische Studien*, vol. XVI, p. 326, note 7). Piyadasi's theory of the *āsinava*, which is more fully developed in the next edict, does not agree with the Buddhist doctrine of the threefold or fourfold *āsava*, but comes closer to that of the Jaina *aṇhaya*, which includes injury to living beings, lying, stealing, unchastity, and attachment to worldly possessions.

b. The usual interpretation of *chakhudāne* by *cha khu dāne* cannot stand, because the enclitic words *cha* and *khu* cannot begin a sentence, and because the continuousness of the syllables in the text does not permit their being taken as parts of two sentences. The metaphorical use of *chakhu*, in Sanskrit *chakshus*, 'eye,' for 'spiritual insight or knowledge,' is common with all Hindu sects. Piyadasi alludes here to the *dharmasāvanāni* and *dharmasūtrāṇi*, 'sermons on, and instruction in, the sacred law,' of which he speaks more fully below (VII, 2, l. 1); compare also *dharmadāne* (Rock Edicts XI and the note to the latter passage).

EDICT III.

Dehli-Sivalik.

Devānam-piye Piyadasi-lāja
hevaṃ-āha[.] kayānam-eva-de-
khati[.] iyaṃ-me[17] kayāne-ka-
te-ti[.] No-miṇa-pāpam-dekhati
[.] iyaṃ-me-pāpe-kate-ti iyaṃ-
va-āsinave[18] nāmā-ti[.] Dupa-
ṭivekhe-chu-kho-esa[.] Hevaṃ-
chu-kho-esa-dekhiye[.] imāni[19]
āsinavagāmiṇ-nāna atha-chari-
ḍiye niṭhūliye kodhe māne isyā
[20] kālanena-va-bhakaṃ mā-pa-
bhasayisam[.] Esa-bādhā-de-
khiye[.] iyaṃ-me[21] bidatikāye
iyaṃ-mana-me pālatikāye[.] [21]

Dehli-Mirat.

Devānam-piye Piyadasi-lāja
hevaṃ-āha[.] kayānam-eva-
d[ekha].(:) . . m.[10] kayāne-
kate-ti[.] No-miṇa-pāpam-de-
khati[.] [i]yaṃ-me-pāpam-ka[te-
ti iyaṃ v.][11] āsinave-nā[mā]-ti
[.]¹⁷ Dupaṭivekhe-chu-kho-esa
[.] Hevaṃ-chu . . [sā d]ekhiye
[.] [i]māni-āsinavag[āmiṇi]-
nāna atha¹⁸ chariḍiye niṭhū-
liye-kodhe[18] māne isyā kālane-
na-v[ā]¹⁹ bhakaṃ mā-palibha[sa]-
yisa[.] . bādhā[14] dekhiye[.]
iyaṃ . e [hidati]kāye iyaṃ-me-
pālatikāye[.] [15]

Allahabad.

Devānam piye Piyadasi lāja
hevaṃ āhā[.] kayānam-eva de-
khati[.] iyaṃ me kayāne kate ti
[.] No miṇa pāpakam dekhati[.]
iyaṃ me pāpake kate ti iyaṃ vā
āsinave nāmā ti[8]
[.]
[.]
[.]
[.]
[.]
[.]
[.]
[.]
[.]
[.]

Radhia.

Devānam-piye Piyadasi-lāja
hevaṃ-āha[.] kayānam-eva-de-
khati[.] iyaṃ-me-kayāne-kate-ti
[.] No-miṇa-pāpam-dekhati[.]
iyaṃ-me-pāpe-kate-ti[11] iyaṃ-
va-āsinave-nāmā-ti[.] Dupaṭive-
khe-chu-kho-esa[.] Hevaṃ-chu-
kho-esa-dekhiye[.] imāni-āsinava-

Mathia.

Devānam-piye Piyadasi-lāja he-
vaṃ-āha[.] kayānam-eva-dekha-
rnti[.] iyaṃ-me-kayāne-kate-ti[.]
No-miṇa-pāpam[13]d[e]khamti-
[.] iyaṃ-me-āpe-kate-ti iyaṃ-va-
āsinave-nāmā-ti[.] Dupaṭivekhe-
chu-kho-esa[.] Hevaṃ-chu-kho-
esa-dekhiye[.] [14] imāni-āsinave-

Rāmpūrvā.

Devānam-piye Piyadasi-lāja
hevaṃ-āha[.] kayānam-e . .
[.]
[.]
[.]
[.]
[.]
[.]
[.]
[.]
[.]
[.]
[.]

¹⁷ Possibly *nāma-ti* is to be read.

¹⁸ The apparent vowel-stroke to the left of *atha* is probably an accidental scratch.

¹⁹ Possible *vā* is to be read

EDICT III—*contd.*

Rudhia.

gāmīni-nāmā-ti atha-chaṇḍīye
[12] uñhūliye kodhe-māne isya
kālanena-va-bhakam mā-palibba-
sayisam-ti[.] Esa-bāḍham-de-
khiye [:] iyam-me-hidatikāye
iyam-mana-me pālatikāye-ti[13]

Mathia

gāmīnī*-nāmā-ti atha-cha[m]-
 dīya nīthūliye kodhe māne iyya
 kālanena-va-lakam[15] mā-pa-
 līhasayisam-ti[.] Esa badham-
 dekhiye [:] iyam-me- hīdatikāye
 iyam-mana-me-pālatikāye-ti[.15]

Rampurri.

. . . [10] kodne mănē i-va
kālānena-va-hakam mā-pahhāsa-
ya.
.
. [11]

TRANSLATION.

King Piyadasi, beloved of the gods, speaks thus:—"Man only sees his good deeds, (*and says unto himself*) 'This good deed I have done.' But he sees in no wise²¹ his evil deeds (*and does not say unto himself*) 'This evil deed I have done; this is what is called sin.' But difficult indeed is this self-examination. Nevertheless man ought to pay regard to the following (*and say unto himself*): 'Such (*passions*) as rage, cruelty, anger, pride, jealousy (*are those*) called sinful; even through these I shall bring about **my** fall.'²² But man ought to mark most the following (*and say unto himself*): 'This conduces to my welfare in this world, that at least to my welfare in the next world.'

EDICT IV.

Dehli-Sivalik.

Devânām-piye Piyadasi-lāja-
hevaṃ-āhā[.] sadhvi-tivasa-[1]
abhis̥tena-me tyam-dharmānāḥ-
hiknāpitā[.] Lajūkā-me[2] ba-
hūsu-pānasasahasasu janasi-
āyātā tesam-ye-abhihāle-vā[3]
dārdre-vā atapatiye-me-kāte[.]
kīm-ti-[?] lajūkā asvatha abhīta
[4] kaṇṇiṃni-pavatyavēṇa janasa-
jānapada-ā hitasukham-upadāt-
evū[5] anugahinevu-chā[.] Su-
khiyau dukhiyanam-jānisanti
dhammayutena-cha[6] viyova-
disanti janam-jānapadam[.] kīn-
ti-[?] hidatam-cha pālatam-cha
[7] ālādhayevū-ti[.] Lajūkā-pi-
laghamti paṭichalāṭave-nam[.]
pulisāni-pi-me[8] chhamdamoṇi-
paṭichalisanti te-pi-cha-kāni-vi-
yovudisanti yena-ma-lajūkā
[9] chughamti-ālādhayite-lāḥ
Athā-hi-pajam viyatāye-dhāṭiye

Dehli-Mirat.

[la]ñ-
kâ] cha;ṛham:1-[â]lâ[dhayi-
tave] [.2] tu asva[the-ho]

Allahabad.

A 10x10 grid of dots, with dots located at the intersections of the grid lines, forming a 9x9 square pattern.

²⁰ The e-stroke of *asinavegāmini* is too distinct in the new facsimile to be considered as accidental. But it is probably only due to a mistake of the mason.

²¹ *Mina, mind* (D. M.) and *mana* represent in my opinion the Sanskrit *mandā*, Pali *maṇā*. *Na mandā* or *no mandā* 'not in the least, in no wise,' which fits here excellently, is very common in Sanskrit.

²² Or 'may I not cause my fall through them.' The Sanskrit translation of the phrase is [*teṣāṃ*] *kāronenaidham mā paribhramsayishyāmi*, and *mā* may be taken in this case to stand for *mām*, or as the negative particle. M. Stuart's attempt to connect *isyā-kālanena* is barred by the fact that all versions have breaks between the two words.

EDICT IV--*contd.*

Dehli-Sivalik.

nisijitu [10] asvathe-hoti[:] Vi-
 yata-dhāti chaghati-me-pajam su-
 kham-palihaṭave[.11] hevaṃ-
 māuṃ-lajūkā-kaṭā jānapadasa-
 hitasukkhāye[:] yena-ete-abhiṭṭā
 [12] asvatha-samitān, avimanā-
 karimāuṃ-pavatajevū-1[:] Ete-
 na-me-lajūkānam[13] abhihāle-
 va-damīde-vā atapatiye-kaṭ[:]
 Ichhāvatīye-bi-esā-[:] kiṃ-ti[14]
 vīyohālasamatā-cha-siya damḍa-
 smatā-chā[.] Ava-ite-pi-cha-me-
 āvuti[:15] bariddhavalādhānari-
 munisānam tīlītadamḍānam pa-
 tavadhānam tinnū-divasāni-me
 [16] yote-dimne[.] Nātikā-va-
 kāntiṃ nijhapayasanti jīvātāye-tā-
 nam[17] nāsamtām-vā-nijhapa-
 yitā dānaṃ-dāhanti-pālatikam
 upavāsam-va-kachhamti[.18]
 Ichhā-bi-me[:] hevaṃ-niludhasi-
 pi-kālasi pālutaṃ-ālādhayevū-ti
 janasa-cha[19] vadhati-viv' lhe-
 dhammachulane samyame dāna-
 vibhāge-ti[.20]

Dehli-Mirat.

ti [3] [viya]. li[ha] tave[,]
h[e] vām-mamā[4] lajūk[ā]
 ye[,]
yena-ete-abhītā[5] asvattha-sam
[na] vatayevū-ti[.] Etena-me
[6] . [j] ūkānam
 atapātiye-[kaṭe] [.7]
Ichhitavi
 hālasamatā-el[a]-
siyā²³ [8] daridraśa[ma] .
 [me]-āvuti[.]
baridhanaba[dhā] nam[9] mu-
niśāna[ni]
 vadhānam tirni-divasāni-
[m]c[10] yote dirne[.] . . .
payisaṃ[t]i jivitāye-tānam [11]
nāsaṃtaiṃ-vā-ni
 ti pālātikam[12] u[pa]
vāsaṃ-vā-ka-
hevaṃ-niludhasi-pi-[k]āṃsi[13]
[p]ālatam-ālādhay
vadhāti vividhe-dharmachalanē
samyame dā[na] [15]

Allahabad.

bâlasamatâ chā
siyâ damḍasamatâ cha[] Ava²⁴
ite pi cha me āvuti[:] barindhana-
badbhānāni munisānaṇi tīlītadam-
dānaṇi patavadhānaṇi tuṇi di-
vasāni yote dīme[:17] . . va
kāni nījhapayisaṁi jīvūaye tā-
nam nūsantari vā nijhapayitā
dānaṇi dāharanti pālaticam upavā-
saṇi vā kachhuranti[:18] . . .
me[:] bevura niludhasi pi kālasi
pātaraṇi Alādhayevu janasa cha
vaḍhati vividhe dharmmachalane
sayame dānasavibhāge [19]

Radhia.

Devānam-piye Piyadasi-lāja
bevaṃ-āha[.] saduvisa-civasāhhi-
sitena-me iyaṃ-dharmmalipi-li-
khāpita[.] Lajjūka-me-bahūsu-
pānasatasahasasu[14] janasi-āya-
ta tesuṃ-ye-abhihāle-va-damde-va
atapatiye-me-kaṭe[.] kim-ti-[?]
lajjūka-asvatha abhita kammāni
pavatavevū-ti janasa-jānapadasa
[15] hitasukkari-upadāhevu
anugahievu-cha[.] Sukkhīyana-
dukkhīyanam jānisarnti dharmma-
yutena-cha-viyovadisarnti janam-
jānapadam[.] kim-ti-[?] hida-
tam-cha[16] pālatam-cha ālādha-
yevu[.] Lajjūka-pi-laghamti-pa-
ṭichalitave-mam[.] pulisāni-pi
me-chhamdamānāni paṭichulisernti
ti ye-pi-cha-kāni viyovadisarnti
yena-padam[17] lajūka-cha-
ghamti-ālādhayāve[.] Athā-
hi-pajam-viyatāye-dhātiye-nisjji-

Mathia.

Devānam-piye Piyadasi-lāja
 hevaṃ-āha[;] saḍvūsativa-[ā]-
 bhisitena-me iyaṃ-dhammalipi-
 likhāpita[.] Lajjūka-me[17] ba-
 hūsu-pānasatasahasasu janasi-
 āyata tesam-ye-abhihāle-va-dam-
 de-va atapatiye-me-kaṭe[;] kim-
 ti-?[] lajjūka-asvatha [18] abhita-
 karimāni-,avatayevū-ti janasa-
 jānapada-cha-hitasukham – upadhi-
 evū anugahineva-cha[.] Sukhi-
 yauadukhīyaṃ[19] jānisamti
 dhammayutena-cha-viyovadisam-
 ti janam-jānapadam[;] kim-ti-
 [?] hidatam-cha pālatam-cha
 ālādhayevū-ti[.] Lajjūka-pi-la-
 ghanti[20] paṭichalitave-mam
 [;] pulisāni-pi-me cchamāmaṇi
 paṭichalisamti[;] te-pi-cha-kāni-
 viyovadisamti-yena-mam-lajjūka
 cbaghamti ālādhayitave.[21]
 Athā-hi-pajam vivatāve-dhātīve-

Rāmpūrvā.

Devānam-piye Piyadasi-lāja
 hevaṃ-āhu[.] saṇḍuṇi-atī . . .
 . . .
 . . . [12] jana-si-āyanta tesari-
 ye-abhihale-va daṇḍe-va atapati .
 . . .
 . . . [13]
 hitasukhaṃ-upadahevu anugahi-
 nevu-cha[.] Sukhiyanadu . . .
 . . .
 . . . [14] ālādhayevū-ti[.]
 Lajūka-pi-laghamti paṭichal-
 itave-muṃ . . .
 . . .
 . . . [15] chaghamti ālādhayitave[.]
 Athā-hi-pajam viyatāye-dhātiye

²³ Possibly *chd-siyd* is to be read.

²⁰ Possibly *ara-ite* is to be read

EDICT IV—*contd.**Radhia.*

tu asvathe-hoti[.] viyata-dhâti-
chaghuti-me-pajam-sukham-pali-
haṭave-ti[.18] hevaṁ-mama-la-
jûka-kaṭa²⁵ jānapadasa-hitasu-
khāye[.] yena-ete-abhîta-asvathâ-
saṁtāṁ-avimāva-kāṁmāni-pava-
tayevû-ti[.] Etena-me lajûkâ-
naṁ abhihâle-va[19] dāṁde-va-
atapatiye-kate[.] Ichhitaviye-hi-
esa[.] kîṁ-ti[?] viyohâlasamatâ-
cha-īya dāṁdasamatâ-cha[.]
Āvâ-ite-pi-cha-me âvuti[.] bāṁ-
dhanabhadhānaṁ[20] muṁsâ-
naṁ-tīlitadāṁdānaṁ patavadhâ-
naṁ tîṁni-divasāṁ-me-yote-
dirne[.] Nâtikâ-va-kāni-nijha-
payisaṁti jivitāye-tānaṁ nâsaṁ-
tāni-va[21] nijhapayitave dānaṁ-
dāhamti-pālatikāṁ upavāsāṁ-
va-kachharṁti[.] Ichhâ-hi-me[.]
bevaṁ-niludhasi-pi-kâlasi pālat-
aṁ-âlâdhayevû-ti[22] janasa-cha-
vadhati vividhe-dharmmachalane
sayame dānasāṁvibhāge-ti[23]

Mathia.

nisijitu navathe-hoti[.] viyata-
dhâti-chaghuti-me-pajam su-
kham-palihaṭave-ti[.22] hevaṁ-
mama-lajûka-kaṭa jānapada-sa-
hitasukhāye[.] y[e]na-ete-abhîta-
asvathâ-saṁtāṁ avimāna-kāṁ-
māni-pavatayevû-ti[.23] Etena-
me-lajûkānaṁ-abhihâle-va-dāṁ-
de-va atapatiye-kate[.] Ichhita-
viye-hi-esa[.] kîṁ-ti[?] viyohâla-
samatâ-cha-siya dāṁdasamatâ-
cha[24] Āvâ-ite-pi-cha-me-âvu-
ti[.] bāṁdhanabhadhānaṁ-mu-
nisāna[m]tīlitadāṁdānaṁ patava-
dhānaṁ tîṁni-divasāṁ-me-yote-
dirne[.] Nâtikâ-va-kāni[25] ni-
jhapayisaṁti jivitāye-tānaṁ
nâsaṁtāni-va-nijhapayitave dāṁ-
aṁ-dāhamti-pālatikāṁ upavāsāṁ-
va-kachharṁti[.] Ichhâ-hi-me[.]
bevaṁ[26] niludhasi-pi-kâlasi pā-
latāṁ-âlâdhayevû-ti janasa-cha
vadhati vividhe-dharmmachalane
sayame dānasāṁvibhāge-ti[27]

Rāmpūrvā.

ni

 [16]
 jānapadasa-hitasukhāye yena-ete-
abhîta-asvathâ

 [17]
 Ichhitaviye-hi-esa[.] kî ti[?] vi-
yohâlasamatâ-cha-siya . . .

 [18] tîṁni-
divasāṁ-me y[te-dirne[.] Nâ-
tikâ-va-kāni nijha-

 [19] Ichhâ-hi-me-hevaṁ
niludhasi-pi-kâlasi pālatam . .

 [20]

TRANSLATION.

King Piyadasi, beloved of the gods, speaks thus:—"After I had been anointed twenty-six years, I ordered this religious edict to be written. My *Lajûkas*^a are established (*as rulers*) among the people, among many hundred thousand souls; I have made them independent in (*awarding*) both honours and punishments^b—Why? In order that the *Lajûkas* may do their work tranquilly and fearlessly, that they may give welfare and happiness to the people of the provinces and may confer benefits (*on them*). They will know what gives happiness and what inflicts pain, and they will exhort the provincials in accordance with the principles of the sacred law,^c—How?—That they may gain for themselves happiness in this world and in the next. But the *Lajûkas* are eager to serve me^d. My (*other*) servants also, who know my will, will serve (*me*), and they, too will exhort some (*men*), in order that the *Lajûkas* may strive to gain my favour.^e For, as (*a man*) feels tranquil after making over his child to a clever nurse,—saying unto himself, 'The clever nurse strives to bring up my child well,'—even so I have acted with my *Lajûkas* for the welfare and happiness of the provincials, intending that, being fearless and feeling tranquil, they may do their work without perplexity. For this reason I have made the *Lajûkas* independent in (*awarding*) both honours and punishments. For the following is desirable:—What? That there may be equity in official business^f and

²⁵ It is possible to read *lajûka-kaṭe*. But the second e-stroke is much shorter than the real one, and, hence, is probably due to an accidental flaw in the stone.

²⁶ Though *vīyohâla*, in Sanskrit *vīyavahāra*, frequently means 'legal business,' 'judicial proceedings,' there is no objection to taking it here in the not less common wider sense 'business,' 'official business,' as the translation of *abhihâra* by "awarding honours" makes advisable.

equity in the award of punishments.' And even so far goes my order, "I have granted a respite of three days to prisoners on whom judgment has been passed and who have been condemned to death."²⁷ Their relatives will make some (*of them*) meditate deeply (*and*) in order to save the lives of those (*men*) or in order to make (*the condemned*) who is to be executed, meditate deeply, they will give gifts with a view to the next world or will perform fasts'. For my wish is that they (*the condemned*) even during their imprisonment²⁸ may thus gain bliss in the next world; and various religious practices, self-restraint and liberality will grow among the people."

REMARKS ON THE TRANSLATION.

a. In note 1 to my German translation of Rock Edict III, I have pointed out that Professor Jacobi has found the Jaina Prakrit representative of *lajūka* or *rājūka* (Gir-nār) in the *Kalpasūtra*, where *rajjū* means "a writer, a clerk." I have added that *lajūka*, i.e. *lajjūka*, was an old name of the writer caste, which is later called *Divira* (*Dabir*) or *Kāyastha*, and that Aśoka calls his great administrative officials simply "the writers," because they were chiefly taken from that caste. Though I do not see any reason to change this view, I now leave the word untranslated, because the rendering "writers" might mislead. Regarding my explanation of *āyatā*, which I take to be equivalent to *pratiśkṛtūh*, note 2, on Sep. Ed. I, in the *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft*, vol. XLI.

b. Professor Kern has without doubt correctly explained the general meaning of this sentence. Piyadasi declares that he has made his *Lajūkas* independent in the discharge of their duties and has abolished the appeals to higher authorities. This follows from the further statement (see below) that he has confided his subjects to them, just as a man confides his child to an intelligent nurse. I may add that there is a weighty objection to M. Senart's view, according to which Piyadasi says that he will keep legal proceedings against, and punishments of, the *Lajūkas* in his own hands. For, in all Native States of India a high official, who is "placed over many hundred thousand souls," is solely answerable to the king for his actions, and it is a matter of course that the same was the case in ancient India, as indeed in all despotic countries. An order enjoining that such men were to be punished by the king alone would have been quite superfluous. As regards the construction, I explain the sentence in Sanskrit as follows:—*tesāṃ yo' bhihāro vā dayālo vā [tatra] mayā [tesāṃ] svatantratā kṛtā*, and I take *āmapatyam* [*svatantratā*] as a substantive, formed according to the analogy of *ādhipatyam* and so forth. The term *abhihāra* occurs in Pali in the sense of "honour, honorarium," see *Jātakas*, vol. V, p. 58, verse 143, and *ibid* p. 59, l. 28f. In the former passage the commentary explains *abhihāram* by *pūjam*. It is obvious that this meaning fits here excellently.

c. I interpret *dharmmayutena* with Professor Kern by *dharmayuktena*, i. e. *dharmayuktyā*. M. Senart's attempt to translate it by 'together with the Faithful,' i.e.

²⁷ In the interpretation of *ava ite* (Dh. A.) and *āv ite* (M. R.) I follow Professor Kern and M. Senart, who explain it by *yāvad itaḥ*, and I take *āvūti* with M. Senart as equivalent to *dyuktiḥ*. *Tīlita* or *līlita* has here the same sense as *tīrita* Manu, IX, 233.

²⁸ *Niruddhasi pi kālasi*, literally *niruddhe pi kāle*, may be taken in the sense of *niruddhakāle* pi. Similar phrases occur in Pali, e.g., *mithyāchāram* and *anāchāram chinnudivaso* for *anāchāracharanādivaso*, *Jāt.* i, p. 310.

the Buddhists, is not tenable. The passage, Pillar Edict VII, 2, 2, which he connects with this, has nothing to do with it. For, *dharmayutam janam* means there 'the loyal people,' and denotes Piyadasi's subjects, as distinguished from the *amā*, 'the free borderers.'

(d) M. Senart's conjectural emendation *chaghamti* for *laghamti* is barred by the fact that the four complete versions all offer *laghamti*, and that the supposed *varia lectio* '*chaghamti*' does not exist. *Laghamti* seems to be the representative of Sanskrit *raṅghamte* 'they hasten', i.e. 'are eager.' I fully agree with the same scholar's remark that *pañichal* must be taken in the sense of Sanskrit *parichar*, because in Pali the preposition *pañi* is frequently used for *pari*. Its correctness is demonstrated by the fact that in the Jaina *Mahārāshtrī*, *pañiyar*, i.e. literally *pratichar*, means 'to serve,' see Jacobi, *Ausgewählte Erzählungen in Mahārāshtrī*, glossary *sub voce* '*pañiyariya*.'

(e) As there are no other instances in which the neuter terminations are added to feminines in *a*, I cannot explain *chhamdamnāni* with M. Senart as a Dvandva compound, formed of *cūhanda* and *ājñā*. I take it with Burnouf, as a Tatpurusha compound, equivalent to *chhamdam janantīti chhamdajñādh*, 'knowing the will,' and construe it with *pulisāni*, 'the servants.' This explanation seems also advisable, because one naturally expects that *man* must be understood and is the object of *pañichalīsanti*, as of the preceding *pañichalīlave*. The 'servants', whom Piyadasi mentions here, are most probably the *pañiredakas*, the spies, whom the great Maurya employed according to Rock Edict VI, in order to watch the actions of his great officials, of the Pañchs and other bodies in accordance with the precepts of the Indian *Rajonīti*. The further statement that these servants will exhort *kāni*, "some" (as must be read with M. Senart), in order that the Lajūkas may strive to gain the favour of the king, probably means that these "servants" will exhort remiss Lajūkas, and that they will also, by exhorting or spreading the Dharma among the provincials at large, rouse the zeal of the Lajūkas. *Kāni* thus refers both to the Lajūkas and the provincials. With the phrase *man-ālādhyatave* compare *lajaladhi*, --Sep. Ed. I, 15 (Dhaulī). To Professor Kern's excellent explanation of the verb *chagh* by the Hindi *chāh-nā*, I would add that *chāh* occurs in all Indian vernaculars and without doubt belongs to the ancient stock of Aryan speech.

'Regarding this passage I can only agree with one of my predecessors in a single point. I believe that M. Senart is right in deriving *nijhapayisanti* and *nijhapayitā* from the Prakrit causative of *nidhyāi* and not from *nikshapayati*. This derivation is perfectly justified by the word *nijhati*, below, VII, 2, 8, which in its general sense is certainly equivalent to Sanskrit *nididhyāsanā* 'profound meditation.' In all other respects I differ. I am unable to accept the assertion of Professor Lassen, approved of by Professor Kern and M. Senart, that *nātikāvakāni* is a compound, meaning 'neither more nor less.' The six syllables certainly must be separated into the three words *nātikā-va-kāni*, i.e. *jñātaya eva kāmāchit*. That is the only explanation which is grammatically possible, and gives, as will be shown presently, an excellent sense. I am further unable to disregard the well authenticated reading *nijhapayitave*, which R. and M. offer, instead of *nijhapayitā*. *Nijhapayitave* is clearly an infinitive in *tave*, such as occurs frequently in Piyadasi's inscriptions. This form must in my opinion be used in order to explain the more difficult *nijhapayitā*. The latter may be, too, an infinitive, on the supposition that it stands for *nijhapayitāya*. And the contraction of the syllable *dya* does occur in Pali, e. g., in

EDICT V—*contd.**Dehli-Sivalik.*

kā-chā sūkali-chā gabhinī-va-pā-
yamīnā-va-avadh[i]y. p. take³² [8]
pi-cha-kāni āsammāsike[.] Vadhi-
kukūṭe no-kaṭaviye[.] tuse-sajī-
ve[9] no-jhāpetaviye[.] dāve-
anathāye-vā vihisāye-vā no-jhā-
petaviye[.]10 jīvena-jīve no-pu-
sitaviye[.] Tīsu-chātummāsīsu
tisāyaṁ-puṁnamāsīyaṁ[11] tim-
ni-divasāni chāvudasaṁ paṁna-
dasāṁ paṭipadāye dhuvāye-chā
[12] anuposathaṁ-machhe-ava-
dhiye no-pi-viketaviye[.] Etā-
ni-yeva-divasāni[13] nāgava-
nasi kevaṭabbhogasi yāni-ānīmāni-
pi jīvanikāyāni[14] no-hamitaviy-
āni[.] Aṭhamāpakhāye chāvū-
dasāye paṁnadāsāye
tisāye [15] punāvasune tīsu-
chātummāsīsu sudivasāye gone-
no-nīlakhitaviye [16] ajake
eḍake sūkale e-vā-pi-ānne nīla-
khiyati no-nīlakhitaviye [17]
Tisāye punāvasune chātummā-
siye chātummāsīpakhāye asvasā
gonasā[18] lakhaṇeno-kataviye[.]
Yāva-saduvīsativasa abhisitena-
me etāye[19] a[m]tākhāye paṁ-
navīsati baṁdhanamokhāni kaṭā-
ni [20]

Dehli-Mirat.

[a] v. dh. y. p. t. k. pi cha-kā-
ni [1] . . . ke [.] [Vadhi]ku-
kūṭe no-kaṭaviye[.] Tuse-sa[jī-
ve] [2] . . . [taviye] [.] dāve
ana[ṭh]āye-vā³³ vihisāye-vā no[3]
[jhāpetaviye] [.] jīvena jīve no-
pusitaviye[.] Tīsu chātummāsī-
su³⁴ [4] tisāya[m] puṁnamā[si]
yaṁ timni-divasāni chāvudasaṁ
paṁnadasaṁ [5] paṭipadā. dhu-
vāye-cha anuposathaṁ mach-
he-avadhiye no-pi [6] viket-
aviye [.] Etāni-yeva-divasāni nā-
gavanasi kevaṭabbhogasi [7] [yā].
[a]. [ni]-pi-jīvanikāyāni³⁵ no-[ha]
mtaviyāni³⁶ [8] Aṭham[ā]³⁷ . . . ye
chāvudāsāye paṁnadāsāye
tisāye [9] punāvasune tīsu
chātummāsīsu sudivasāye g[o]ne
[10] [no-nī] la[khi]taviye ajake
eḍake sūkale e-vā-pi[11]am[ne
nīlakhi]yati [no]-nīlakhitaviye
[.] Tisāye punāvasune[12] chā-
tummāsiye chātum[mā] sipakhā-
ye asvasā gonasā lakhaṇe[13] no-
viye[.] Yāva-saduvīsati[va]sa
abhisitena-me etāye[14] amthākhā-
ye paṁnavi[sa]ti baṁdhanamokh-
āni kaṭāni[15]

Allahabad.

.
[a] v. dh. y. p. t. k. pi cha-kā-
ni [1] . . . ke [.] [Vadhi]ku-
kūṭe no-kaṭaviye[.] Tuse-sa[jī-
ve] [2] . . . [taviye] [.] dāve
ana[ṭh]āye-vā³³ vihisāye-vā no[3]
[jhāpetaviye] [.] jīvena jīve no-
pusitaviye[.] Tīsu chātummāsī-
su³⁴ [4] tisāya[m] puṁnamā[si]
yaṁ timni-divasāni chāvudasaṁ
paṁnadasaṁ [5] paṭipadā. dhu-
vāye-cha anuposathaṁ mach-
he-avadhiye no-pi [6] viket-
aviye [.] Etāni-yeva-divasāni nā-
gavanasi kevaṭabbhogasi [7] [yā].
[a]. [ni]-pi-jīvanikāyāni³⁵ no-[ha]
mtaviyāni³⁶ [8] Aṭham[ā]³⁷ . . . ye
chāvudāsāye paṁnadāsāye
tisāye [9] punāvasune tīsu
chātummāsīsu sudivasāye g[o]ne
[10] [no-nī] la[khi]taviye ajake
eḍake sūkale e-vā-pi[11]am[ne
nīlakhi]yati [no]-nīlakhitaviye
[.] Tisāye punāvasune[12] chā-
tummāsiye chātum[mā] sipakhā-
ye asvasā gonasā lakhaṇe[13] no-
viye[.] Yāva-saduvīsati[va]sa
abhisitena-me etāye[14] amthākhā-
ye paṁnavi[sa]ti baṁdhanamokh-
āni kaṭāni[15]

Radhia, North Side.

Devānam-piye Piyadasi-lāja-
hevaṁ-āha[.] saduvīsativasābhi-
sitasa-me imāni-pi-jātāni avadhiy-
āni [1] katāni [.] se-yatha suke
sālika alune chakavāke haṁse
namdīmukhe gelāṭe jatūka [2]
ambākapilika duḍi anathikama-
chhe vedaveyake gaṁgāpupūṭake
saṁkujamachhe kaphaṭaseyake
[3] paṁnasase simale saṁḍake
okapiṁḍe palasate setakapote gā-

Mathia.

Devānam-piye Piyadasi-lāja-
hevaṁ-āha[.] saduvīsativasābhi-
sitasa-me imāni-pi [1] jātāni ava-
dhiyāni-katāni [.] se-yathā³⁸ [16]
suke sālika alune chakavāke haṁ-
se [2] namdīmukhe gelāṭe jatūka
ambākapilika duḍi anathikama-
chhe vedaveyake [3] gaṁgāpu-
pūṭake saṁkujamachhe kaphaṭa-
seyake paṁnasase simale saṁḍake
okapiṁḍe [4] palasate setaka-

³² It is, of course, possible to read *avadhdy*. But the right side of the vowel is mutilated, and the real reading was no doubt *avadhiy*, as the sense and the variants of the other versions require.

³³ Possibly *anathāye*

³⁴ Read *chātummāsīsu*.

³⁵ This might be read *nikāyāni*, but the double stroke above *na* is probably due to a flaw.

³⁶ This looks like *hamāniyāni*, but the double stroke above *na* is probably due to a flaw in the stone.

³⁷ The quantity of the third vowel of *aṭhami* is not certain.

³⁸ The *ā*-stroke of *yathā* is not certain.

makapote save chatupade[4] ye-
paṭipogaṃ-no-eti³⁰ na-cha-khādi-
yati[.] Ajakā-nāni edakā-cha
sūkali-cha gabhinī-va pāyaminā-
va[5] avadhya potake-cha-kāni
āsaṃmāsike[.] Vadhikukūṭe no
kaṭaviye[.] tuse-sajīve no jhā-
payitaviye[.] dāve[6] anathāye-
va vibisāye-va no jhāpayitaviye
[.] jīvena-jīve no-pusitaviye[.]
Tisu-chāturmāsīsu tisiyaṃ[7]
purnamāsīyaṃ tīnni divasāni
chāvudasaṃ paṃnadasaṃ paṭi-
padaṃ dhuvāye-cha anuposatham
machhe avadhye no pi[8] viketa-
viye[.] Etāni-yeva-divasāni nā-
gavanasi kevaṭabhogasi yāni-am-
nāni-pi-jīvanikāyāni[9] no-haṃ-
taviyāni[.] Athamipakhāye chā-
vudasāye paṃnadāsāye
tisāye punāvasune tisū-chā-
turmāsīsu[10] sudivasāye gone-
no-nīlakhitaviye ajake edake sū-
kale e-vā-pi-amne nīlakhiyati no-
nīlakhitaviye[12] Tisāye punā-
vasune chāturmāsīye chaturmā-
sipakhāye asvasa gonasa lakhane-
no-kataviye[12] Yāva-saḍvīsū-
tivasūbhisitasa-me etāye amṭali-
kāye paṃnavāsati bāmdhana-
mokhāni kātāni[13]

pote gāmakapote save-chatupade
ye-paṭibhogam-no-eti na-cha khā-
diyati[5] Ajakā-nāni edakā-cha
sūkali-cha gabhinī-va pāyaminā-
va avadhya potake-cha-kāni[6]
āsaṃmāsike[.] Vadhikukūṭe no
kaṭaviye[.] tuse-sajīve-no-jhā-
payitaviye[.] Dāve-anathāye-va
[7] vihisāye-va no-jhāpayitavi-
ye[.] jīvena-jīve no-pusitaviye[.]
Tisu-chāturmāsīsu tisiyaṃ[8]
purnamāsīyaṃ tīnni—divasāni
chāvudasaṃ paṃnadasaṃ paṭi-
padaṃ dhuvāye-cha-anuposatham
machhe avadhye[9] no-pi-vike-
taviye[.] Etāni-yeva divasāni
nāgavanasi kevaṭabhogasi yāni-
amnāni-pi[10] jīvanikāyāni no-
hantaviyāni[.] Athamipakhāye
chāvudasāye paṃnadāsāye
tisāye punāvasune[11] tisū-chā-
turmāsīsu sudivasāye gone-
no-nīlakhitaviye ajake edake sū-
kale e-vā-pi-amne[2] nīlakhiyati
no-nīlakhitaviye[.] Tisāye-pu-
nāvasune chāturmāsīye chaturmā-
sipakhāye asvasa gonasa[13]
lakhane-no-kataviye[.] Yāva-sa-
ḍvīsativasābhisitasa-me etāye
amṭalikāye paṃnavāsati[14]
bāmdhanamokhāni kātāni[15]

EDICT V.

King Piyadasi, beloved of the gods, speaks thus:—"After I had been anointed twenty-six years, I forbade the slaughter of the following creatures," viz. parrots, starlings,^b *alunas*, Brāhmanī ducks, swans,^c *nandimukhas*,^d *gelāṭas*, *jatukas*,^e *ambāka-pilikās*,^f terrapins,^g boneless fish,^h *vedareyakas*, *gaṃgāpuputukas*, *sankuja*-fish,ⁱ tortoises, porcupines,^j *paṃnasasas*,^k *spimaras*,^l bulls set free, (?) *okapiṇḍas*,^m rhinoceros,ⁿ grey doves,^o village-pigeons,⁴² and all quadrupeds, which are not used^p nor are eaten. She-goats, ewes and sows, (*i.e.*) those which are with young or in milk, must not be slaughtered, nor their young ones, (*i.e.*) some (*of them*) which are less than six months old^q. Caponing cocks is forbidden; husks, containing living animals, must not be burned;^r forests must not be burnt out of mischief or in order to injure (*living beings*);^s living creatures must not be fed with living creatures^t. At the (*full moon of each*) of the three seasons and at the full

³⁰ Read *paṭibhagam*.

⁴⁰ According to the smaller *Petersburg Dictionary* the *Nandimukha* is mentioned as an aquatic bird in the *Bhāva-prakāśa*.

⁴¹ *Jatuka*, no doubt, means 'a bat'. But I must confess that I do not understand, how bats come on this list, as in general they are neither eaten nor used in any way. Possibly the flying foxes which otherwise have the separate name *manthāla* or *vāgrada*, may be meant. These are eaten at least by low-caste people, like the Kāṭkaris. Their flesh is forbidden in some of the law-books, *e.g.*, Gautama, xvii, 34.

⁴² *Gāmakanote* is, of course, the blue rock pigeon, found in most villages and towns, especially in the wells.

moon of Taisha fish shall neither be killed nor be sold during three days, (*viz.*) the fourteenth, the fifteenth (*and*) the first (*of the following fortnight*), nor constantly, on each fast day." On the same days no other animals, found in the elephant-preserves and in the preserves of the fishermen, must be killed.⁴ On the eighth of (*each*) fortnight,⁵ on the fourteenth, the fifteenth, on the Tishya and Punarvasu days,⁶ on the full-moon days of the three seasons, and on festivals⁷ bulls shall not be castrated,⁸ nor he-goats, rams, and boars; nor shall any other animal be castrated which is (*commonly*) castrated. On the Tishya and Punarvasu days, on the full-moon days of the seasons, and during the fortnights connected with the full-moons of the seasons,⁹ the marking of horses and oxen is forbidden.⁷ Up to the twenty-sixth (*anniversary*) of my coronation I have decreed twenty-five (*times*) the liberation of (*all*) prisoners⁵.

REMARKS ON THE TRANSLATION.

(a) According to the general maxim, prevalent in Sanskrit and the Prakrits, which permits the neuter of the perfect participle past to be used in the sense of the noun of action in *ti*, *jātam* might be interpreted with M. Senart by *jāti* and be translated by "animals of the following kinds." But, as the neuter *jātu* means also "creature," I prefer this simpler interpretation. The eastern versions offer: "Of even the following creatures."

(b) M. Senart's latest translation gives correctly the modern Indian expression "*maina*." I prefer to put the English word. All the European dictionaries translate *sārikā* wrongly or omit the translation. Molesworth gives the right meaning in the *Marāṭhi Dictionary*. The scientific name of the bird is *Acridotheres tristis*, Linn., Murray, *Avifauna of British India*, No. 912.

(c) This term probably includes wild geese and large ducks, see Molesworth, *Marāṭhi Dictionary* *sub voce*. The birds, which were pointed out to me as *humsas* in the palace at Kolhāpur and elsewhere, looked very much like Turkish ducks.

(d) M. Senart connects the first part of *ambākapilikā* or *ambākapilika* with *ambu*, 'water,' and translates "water-ants(?)"¹⁰. As far as I am aware, no such animal is known in natural history. The explanation 'mother-ant,' or 'queen-ant,' is at least possible. For the queens of the white-ants are eagerly sought after and eaten, because they are considered to act as a strong aphrodisiac. The only time when I obtained a queen-ant, it was at once stolen by my servants. But, as three versions read *kapilikā*, it may be doubted if the word is the Pali representative of *pipilikā*.

(e) As *duḍḍi* is said to be a small tortoise, it is no doubt the terrapin, which is found in many Indian gardens. Tortoises belong to the five-toed animals that may be eaten, —see remark (h).

(f) M. Senart's conjecture that prawns are meant by the expression 'boneless fish' is not improbable.

(g) M. Senart's suggestion that *samkujja* is identical with Sanskrit *saṅkuchi* 'a skate,' seems very probable.

¹⁰ The translation of *sudivasa* by 'festival' is merely conjectural, but may be defended by its etymological meaning *śubhāna divasa*, which would suit a festival. I think that popular festivals, like the modern Divāli, Dasara, and the like may be meant.

(h) *Sayaka* and *seyaka* (R. M.), of course, represent Sanskrit *śalyaka*. The *śalyaka* is one of the five-toed animals that may be eaten by Aryans, see—e.g., Āpastamba, *Dh. Śā.* i, 17, 37, Baudhāyana, *Dh. Śā.* i, 12, 5, Gautama, *Dh. Śā.* xvii, 27, Vasishṭha, *Dh. Śā.* xiv, 39. It is associated with the *kaphaṭa*, i.e., according to M. Senart's excellent conjecture, *kamaṭha*, 'the tortoise,' because in the law-books *śalyaka* and *kachchhapa* are always closely put together among the eatable five-toed animals.

(i) According to the analogy of *parṇamṛiga*, 'an animal living in the leaves of trees,' *pamnasase* i.e. *parṇasāsah* may mean 'a hare, i.e. a hare-like animal living in the leaves of trees,' and denote the large white-bellied, red squirrel, which is found in the forests of the Western Ghāṭs. It is quite as large as a hare, and its skinned body looks exactly like that of a hare. I have shot it near Yellapur in Kanara. Its meat tasted very much like that of a hare.

(j) *Simale* corresponds exactly to the Sanskrit *śṛimara*. Vāgbhaṭa, *Ashṭāṅgahṛīdaya Śā.* I, 49, names this animal, among the ten *mahāmṛiga* or large wild animals, next to the *chamara* or yak-ox and the rhinoceros. It stands also next to the yak in the enumeration of wild animals, which occurs in the *Jīlakamālā* xxvi, 7, see the smaller *Petersburg Dictionary*, sub voce *śṛimara*). Perhaps it may be the large Bārāsing stag.

(k) M. Senart has called attention to the passage of the *Mahāvagga*, vi, 17, where the *ukkapiṇḍā* are said to eat the provisions of the monks. According to the note to the translation, *Sacred Books of the East*, vol. XVII, p. 10, Buddhaghosha explains the term by *bilālamūsikagodhūmunṅusā*, 'cats, mice, iguanas and mongooses'. He takes it evidently in its etymological sense, *ukke* i.e. *oke pṇṇo yeshāṃ te* 'animals which find their food in the houses,' i.e. 'vermin.' This general explanation does not suit here, because cats, mice and mongooses are not eaten, and because a particular kind of animal must be meant. I would suggest that Piyadasi forbids the slaughter of one of the animals named by Buddhaghosha, viz. of the *godhā*, the large lizard, which, according to the law-books, quoted in note (h) belongs to the eatable five-toed animals.

(l) M. Senart's correction *palapate* for *palasate* is inadmissible, because all the three versions agree, and because the turtle-dove is denoted by the next term. Mr. Trenckner's explanation of the corresponding Pali *parasato* by 'rhinoceros' (*Pāli Miscellany*, I, 50) fits excellently. For the rhinoceros is also one of the five-toed animals which may be eaten,—see the passages from the law-books, quoted in note (h). Moreover, the rules regarding the Śrāddhas show clearly that the meat of the rhinoceros was highly esteemed. According to Manu, iii, 272, and the parallel passages, quoted in the Synopsis, rhinoceros meat satisfies the manes "for an endless time."

(m) *Setakapote*, no doubt, denotes the whitish-grey doves, which are found in large numbers in many parts of India, and which are usually included in the general term *hollo* or *kullā*. White pigeons, as indeed all tame pigeons, are only kept by Musalmans, not by Hindus.

(n) M. Senart has stated that *paṭibhoga*, literally 'enjoyment,' does not refer *exclusively* to nourishment. I should say that on account of the following clause "nor are eaten" it must mean "other use than for food" and that Piyadasi means to forbid the slaughter of all animals whose skins, fur, feathers, etc., are not required, as well as of those which are not eaten.

(o) *Ajakānāni* must be separated into *ajakā nāni*. *Nāni* is the neuter plural of the pronoun *na*, which is associated with a feminine in utter disregard of the rules of concord, as happens more frequently in these inscriptions. The proper form of the sentence would be in Sanskrit *ajā ēdikāścha sūkaryāścha tō garbhīṇyo dhayanītyo vā avadhyaḥ*. M. Senart's conjectural emendation *piyamānā* for *pāyamānā* is inadmissible, because all the versions agree in giving the latter word and because *piyamānā* is against the Pali idiom. *Pāyati*, not *piyati*, means in Pali 'to suckle', see Childers Dictionary, *sub voce pibati*. Nor is it necessary to change the vowel of the affix. The affix *minamina* occurs more frequently for *māna* in the inscriptions, and it is evidently an interesting relic of ancient times, as the Zend *manu-mna*, the Greek *menos* and the Latin *mini-mina* show. The additional clause may be translated and explained thus:—

Potakāś cha [*api cha*, D. S., D. M.] *kechit* [*te cha kathambhūtaḥ*] *āśaṇmāsikāḥ* [*avadhyaḥ iti śeṣaḥ*]. The plural *kāni* is joined with the singular *potake*, because the latter is taken in a collective sense. M. Senart's derivation of *āsaṇmāsika* from *āśaṇmāsam* 'up to six months,' may be defended by the analogy of *ākālīka*, the adjective belonging to *ākālam*, 'up to the same hour on the next day'. Piyadasi's prohibition agrees with the sentiments of the Brahmanical teachers, who all forbid to sacrifice animals which have not changed their teeth, and who sometimes forbid their flesh to be eaten, see, e.g., Gautama, *Dh. Śā.*, xviii, 31.

(p) This prohibition probably refers to the practice, which here and there still survives, of burning the last remnants of the husks remaining on the threshing-floor of the village, in order to destroy the vermin.

(q) *Vihisaye*, 'to injure living beings' very probably refers, as M. Senart suggests, to the custom of setting the jungles on fire in order to drive out the game and of thus destroying it.

(r) I here again follow M. Senart, whose explanation appears to me the most natural. The prohibition probably refers to cases where sacred crocodiles or snakes, tame tigers and other carnivorous animals were fed with living fowls, sheep or goats.

(s) *Chātumāsī*, which corresponds to the Sanskrit *chāturmāsī*, is the full moon of each term or season of four months, which according to one reckoning is considered the last day of that period, and according to another as falling in its beginning. The formation of the Sanskrit *chāturmāsī* is taught in Vārttika 7 on Pāṇini, v. 1, 94:—*saṃjñāyām ay*. "To *chaturmāsa*," "a period of four months", (is added) the affix *a*, which causes Vṛddhi of the first syllable, in a (word of) technical import." Patañjali adds: "*chaturshu māseshu bhavā chāturmāsī paurṇamāsī*, 'the full-moon day which falls after a period of four months is called *chāturmāsī*,' and the *Kāśikā*, which repeats Patañjali's explanation, says further that the three full-moon days of Āshāḍha, Kārttika and Phālguna are meant.⁴⁵ More explicit are the statements, which the *Sumaṅgala Vilāsini* makes on *Dīgha Nikāya*, ii, 1 (p. 139), while explaining the words *Komudiyā chātumāsiniyā* "on the full-moon (night or tithi) of Kārttika (which is) *chātumāsini*." It says:—*chātumāsiniyā ti chātumāsiniyā sā hi chaturṇaṃ māsānaṃ pariyosānabhūtā chātumāsī idha pana chātumāsiniṭi vuchchati*. "*Chātumāsiniyā* is equivalent to *chātumāsiniyā*. For that (full-

⁴⁵ This must be understood from Vārttika 6: *chaturmāsān nyo gajñe tatra bhare*. See the *Mahābhāṣya*, Vol. II, 361 (ed. Kielhorn).

⁴⁶ Compare also Haradatta on Āpastamba. *Dharma Sūtra*, I, 10, 1.

moon of *Kārttika*) is the end of four months (and is) hence (called) *chātumāsī*, but here *chātumāsini*.⁴⁶ It thus appears that the real meaning of *chātumāsī-chātumāsini* is "that which falls at the end of a period of four months." And this explanation presupposes a division of the year into three periods of four months each, the months ending, according to what is called the *pūrṇimānta* scheme of Northern India, with the full-moon days.

The division of the year into three seasons of four months certainly was in India the most popular in the prehistorical period and in the earlier part of the historical period.⁴⁷ Each of them was called a *Chaturmāsam* or *Chāturmāsyam*.⁴⁸ Both the *Brāhmaṇas* and the Brahmanical *Sūtras*, as well as the canonical books of the Buddhists, frequently refer to the division of the year into three seasons, which is at the bottom of various religious institutions. With the Brahmins it was the cause of the celebration of the *Chāturmāsyā*⁴⁹ sacrifices which were offered according to some 'at the end,' according to others 'at the beginning' of each season.⁵⁰ With the Buddhist monks it was the reason for extending the retreat during the rainy season, the *vassa*, over four months, see *Mahāvagga*, iii, 2, 2 and iii, 14, 11. The same period was kept by the Jaina Nirgranthas and the ancient Brahmanical ascetics. It further was used in official documents, and influenced the manner of dating them in most parts of India. The second Separate Edict prescribes the reading of the document 'on the Tishya day at each *Chātummāsa*.' The large majority of the ancient dated inscriptions, those from Mathurā incised during the rule of the Indo-Scythic kings Kanishka, Huvishka and Vāsudeva (*Epigraphia Indica*, vol. I, p. 371, ff, and vol. II, p. 195 ff.; Cunningham, *Arch. Surv. Rep.* vol. III, plates xiii-xv), two Gupta inscriptions from the same town (*Epigraphia Indica*, vol. II, p. 210), the early dated inscription of the Mathurā Satrap Śodāsa, the edicts of the Andhras and Abhīras (Burgess, *Rep. Arch. Surv. IV. I.*, vol. IV, p. 103ff. and vol. V, p. 73ff), the old Pallava land grant (*Epigraphia Indica*, vol. I, p. 1ff.) and the Sanskrit Kadamba inscription (*Indian Antiquary*, vol. VII, p. 37), invariably mention the season in which the documents were issued. And only three seasons occur, viz. *grīshmāh-gimhā*, 'summer,' *varshāh-vasā*, 'the rains,' *hemantāh-hemantā* 'winter,' the names of which are frequently abbreviated to *gr* or *gri*, *va* and *he*. In the inscriptions from Mathurā and the neighbourhood each season is divided into four months, and we actually find, Mathurā inscriptions, 2nd series (*Epigraphia Indica*, vol. II, No. 4; Cunningham, *Arch. Rep.*, vol. III, No. 12) *gri*, (i.e. *grīshmamāse*)

⁴⁶ The Sanskrit equivalent *chāturmāsin* likewise exists, but has a different meaning, see Vārttika 5 on Pāṇini, v. 1, 94.

⁴⁷ Other divisions, especially that into six seasons, are no doubt equally ancient, see Prof. Weber, *Die Nachrichten von den vedischen Nakshatra*, ii, p. 327ff. But they do not seem to have been used in popular life to an equal extent.

⁴⁸ *Chāturmāsyam* is formed according to the analogy of *trailokyam*, *traimāsyam*, *chāturvarṇyam*, *chāturdśramyam*, and so forth, see Vārttika 1 on Pāṇini, v. 1, 124, and the explanation of the passage in the *Kāśikā*. I point this out in order to meet the doubt which M. Senart, see *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVIII, p. 76, feels regarding my explanation of the compound *anuchāturmāsam* in the second Separate Edict. He thinks that, if *anuchāturmāsam* meant 'at the commencement of every term or season of four months,' it must be *anuchāturmāsam* with a short *a* in the third syllable. In stating this he has overlooked that *chāturmāsyam*, in Pali *chātummāsam*, is a perfectly correct synonym of *chaturmāsam*.

⁴⁹ The word *chāturmāsyā* is according to Vārttika 6 on Pāṇini v. 1, 95, derived from *chaturmāsam* by the affix *ya*, causing Vpidhi, and meaning *tatra bhava*, falling into that.

⁵⁰ See Manu, iv, 26, and the parallel passages in the synopsis to my translation. Manu says that they are to be offered *pitṛante*, and thus reckons the three full-moon days as the last of the season. Similarly he uses IV, 119, *pitṛantāsu vātrishu* for *chāturmāsiḥ*. Handhāyana has *pitṛmukhe*, and other passages from the Śrauta Sūtras and Brāhmaṇas are quoted by Professor Weber in his *Nachrichten v.d. Nakshatra*, ii, 329ff. The discrepancy has no doubt been caused by a difference in the reckoning of the commencement of the seasons.

4; Mathurâ inscriptions, 1st series, No. 3, and second series, No. 20, *va*, (i.e., *varshamāse*) 4, Cunningham, No. 20, *varshamāse* 4; Mathurâ inscription, 1st series, No. 21, *hemantamāse chaturthi* 4, ibidem No. 8, *hemantamāse* 4, and Cunningham, No. 20, *he* 4. In the inscriptions from Southern India each season is divided into eight fortnights, and the latest, actually mentioned, are the fifth (Nāsik inscriptions Nos. 16 and 17, Kanheri inscriptions Nos. 4 and 16), the sixth (Pallava land grant of Śivaskandavarman) and the eighth (Kadamba inscription of Mṛigeśavarman). The period during which this method of dating was in general use, embraces the first century B.C. and the first two centuries A.D. But, in Mathurâ it survived until the fifth century A.D., as No. 39 of the second series was incised during the reign of Kumāragupta and in the Gupta year 113 or A.D. 431—2 Ka[rṭtikahema]ntamās[e] divase 20, “on the 20th day of the winter month of Kārttika. In Southern India it presumably lasted at least until the same period, to which the Kadamba land grants probably belong.

Finally, it may be noted that the old division into three seasons is the only one used by the common people in the districts known to me. The Gujarātī villagers and all persons not affected by the learning of the *Śāstras* speak only of the *uṣṇā* (*uṣṇā-kāla*) *varsā* *na dehādī* or *chomasu* and *shiyālo*. The Marāṭhas know only *uṣṇā*, *pāvāsī* (*prārṣi* and *kāla*) and *himra* (*himakāla*). Further north and east I have frequently heard the terms mentioned in the *Ain-i-Akbarī*,⁵¹ *dhūpkāl*, *barkhakāl* and *sītka* or equivalents thereof. With respect to the beginning of each season the authorities differ. The oldest, the *Brāhmaṇas*, as well as some *Śrāuta Sūtras*, begin the hot season with the month of Phālguna, other *Śrāuta Sūtras* with the month of Chaitra.⁵² The two schemes of the seasons, therefore, are :—

	I	II
Varṣmāh .	{ Phālguna. Chaitra. Vaiśākha. Jyāishṭha.	{ Chaitra. Vaiśākha Jyāishṭha Āshādī.
Varṣāh .	{ Āshādī. Śrāvana. Bhādrapada or Praushṭhapada. Āsvina or Āsvayuja.	{ Śrāvana Bhādrapada or Praushṭhapada. Āsvina. Kārttika.
Hemantāh .	{ Kārttika. Mārgaśīrsha Pauṣa or Taiṣa. Māgha.	{ Mārgaśīrsha. Pauṣa. Māgha. Phālguna.

The statements of the Buddhists show the same discrepancies. Huen Tsiang, *Sī yūki*, vol. I, p. 72 (Beal), alleges that “according to the holy doctrine of Tathāgata the year is divided into three seasons,” the hot, the wet and the cold, the scheme of which agrees with the second given above. But the canonical books of the southern Buddhists show clearly that their authors knew also the scheme according to which the hot season began with Phālguna. For they prescribe for the *vassa*, the retreat during the rainy season, an “earlier” period, beginning with “the day after the full moon in Āshādī,”

⁵¹ Gladwin ed., vol. I, p. 266; see Sir A. Cunningham, *Book of Indian Eras*, p. 3; Grierson, *Bihar Peasant Life*, § 1083; and Hillebrandt, *Die Sonnenwend feste*, p. 26f.

⁵² See A. Weber : *op cit.*, p. 329f.

and a later one, commencing "a month later than the full-moon of Āshāḍha," i.e. with the day after the full-moon of Śrāvaṇa. And it is further stated that the "later" term closed with *Komudi chātumāsini*⁴³ "the full moon of Kārttika with which the season of four months ends"⁴⁴ Both these passages presuppose, just like that from the *Digha Nikāya* (above, p. 261). the use of the *pūrṇimānta* reckoning for the months.

In the inscriptions of the Indo-Scythic period there is no indication showing when the seasons began. But, if the restoration of the Gupta inscription No. 39 is correct, it follows that in the fifth century A.D. the winter began with Kārttika, and that the scheme of the seasons was the older one, given under No. I.

Under these circumstances it is not possible to decide with certainty which full moons are meant by "the three Chātummāsīs." They may be either those of Phālguna, Āshāḍha and Kārttika, or those of Chaitra, Śrāvaṇa and Mārgaśīrsha. It is further not certain if these full-moon days were considered respectively as the last days of winter, summer and the rains, or as falling in the beginning of summer, the rains and winter. But the *consensus* of the three passages from the Buddhist scriptures and of Manu's passages induces me to assume that the former view was that held in Piyadasi's times, as these works were probably composed not very long before and after Piyadasi.

The fourth full moon, which our passage mentions, is that of Taiśha or Pausha, December—January. The forms *tisyaṃ* (R) and *tisiyaṃ* (M) are derived from the feminine adjective *tisi*, which has been formed from *tishya* without Vriddhi in the first syllable. With the form *tisyaṃ* (D. S., D. M.), the locative of *tisā*, i.e. *tissā* (*tishyā*), compare the first part of the Vedic *tishyā-pūrṇamāsa* (see Prof. Weber, *op. cit.*, II, p. 326). The word *dhruvāye* which stands before *anuposatham* must no doubt be taken in the sense of the Sanskrit *dhruvāya*, "constantly" (see the Petersburg Dict., *sub voce*) i.e. "in all months". *Anuposatham* consists of *anu* and *posatha*, and it is worthy of note that the form *posatha*, with which Piyadasi denotes the fast days or Parvan days, stands midway between the Pali *uposatha* and the Jaina Prakrit *posaha*.

The total number of days on which Piyadasi prohibited the killing and sale of fish, amounts to fifty-six in the year, viz. :—

(1) Six in each of the months in which a new season began and in Taiśha or Pausha, the eighth of each fortnight, the full-moon days with those immediately preceding and following them and the new-moon day, or 24 in all; (2) four in the remaining eight months, the full and new-moon days, and the eighth of each fortnight, or 32 in all.

As regards the reasons which induced Piyadasi to apply his prohibition to the particular days mentioned, the selection of the Posatha days as well as of those at the end and beginning of the seasons is easily intelligible. The four days of the changes of the moon, which the Brahmans designate by the astronomical term Parvan, were the ancient Sabbath days of the orthodox Hindus. The Brahmanical Sūtras prescribe on the two chief Parvans, the full and new-moon days, for every householder, the performance of sacrifices, which are preceded by fasting. Moreover, there are in the *Dharma-sūtras* and *Dharmaśāstras*⁴⁵ a number of rules which make the Parvan days times of

⁴³ See *Sacred Books of the East*, vol. XIII. p. 299 f. and p. 324. The note on the second passage explains the word *Chātumāsini* erroneously. I translate it according to the explanation given in the *Sumaṅgala Vilāsini*, see above, p. 261.

⁴⁴ See Manu iii, 45, and iv, 128, as well as iv, 150 and 153, and the passages quoted in the Synopsis to my Translation.

continence, worship, prayer, and abstention from doing injury even to plants.⁵⁶ According to the commentaries most of these rules refer to the two chief Parvans alone. But the texts, one and all, state explicitly that the injunction of continence applies also to the eighth days of each fortnight, and it is not improbable that the other rules in reality were meant to apply likewise to these two days. All the four Parvan days were kept as holidays in the Brahmanical schools. The *Dharmasūtras* and metrical *Smpitis* prescribe that the Veda-study is to be interrupted on these occasions for a whole day and night⁵⁶ and a verse is adduced which describes the bad results of a breach of this rule. It would thus appear that the Buddhists and the Jainas, in giving their rules for the Uposatha or Posaha days, did not much more than take over and perpetuate the ancient rules of the orthodox Hindus, and it would further appear that, by ordering a partial *amāra*,⁵⁷ Piyadasi acted in accordance with the sentiments not only of the heterodox sects, but also of his orthodox subjects. It is equally easy to understand why Piyadasi's rule applies to the end and the beginning of each season. The three *Chāturmāsī* full-moons were also great holidays. The orthodox Brahmans, who kept three sacred fires, performed on these occasions the Chāturmāsya sacrifices, those who kept a single fire celebrated corresponding rites, and the Brahmanical schools kept them as holidays⁵⁸ on which studying was forbidden. According to the Buddhistic *Samāṅgala Vīlāsini*, p. 140 (explanation of the words *upariprasādayaragata*) at least one of these days, the full moon of Kārttika was kept as a popular festival. The *Nakshatra*, i.e. the conjunction, was publicly proclaimed, the houses were gaily decorated, and the citizens gave themselves up to celebrating the Nakshatra by amusements of various kinds. From the *Diparansa*, XV, 19, it appears that the Buddhists worshipped the sacred relics on that day. Similar popular and sectarian festivals were probably held on the other two days, and it is very likely that the modern Śivite festival on Kārttika sudi 15, the Holi on Phālguna sudi 15, and the practice of making presents to Brahmans on Kārttika and Āshāḍha sudi 15, may go back to the earliest times or be connected with the more ancient popular holidays at the end and the beginning of the three seasons.

More difficult is the answer to the question why Piyadasi included in his prohibition the three days at full moon of Taisha or Pausha. But it may be suggested that the day may have been regarded as the conventional beginning of the Uttarāyana, the sun's progress towards the north. This is still a great festival, now called *Mukarasamkranti*, and celebrated not on the actual day of the entrance of the sun into the zodiacal sign, but later in January.

(f) The term *nāgavana*, "elephant-preserve," is found both in Pali and in Sanskrit. It occurs repeatedly in the *Chūlahatthipadopamasutta* of the *Majjhima Nikāya*. Thus we read, p. 178 (ed. Trenckner):—*Seyyathā pi brāhmaṇa nāgavaniko nāgavanam paviseyya, so passeva nāgavane mahantam hatthipadaṃ dighato cha āyatam tiriyaṇ cha vitthataṃ, etc.* "Just as when, O Brāhmaṇa, the keeper of an elephant-preserve, enters

⁵⁶ Vishnu, lxxi. 87, says: "Let him not cut even a blade of grass."

⁵⁶ Manu, iv, 113—114, and the parallel passages, quoted in the Synopsis.

⁵⁷ Partial and temporary prohibitions to kill were issued occasionally even in much later times. Thus the Satruñjaya inscriptions, *Epigraphia Indica*, vol. II, p. 37, state that the emperor Akbar stopped for a year the killing of aquatic animals at the instance of Jīnabānśā Sūri, and that the same prince forbade the slaughter of all animals during six months in accordance with the prayer of Hīnavijaya Sūri.

⁵⁸ Manu, iv, 119, and the parallel passage, in the Synopsis.

the elephant-preserve, he may see in the elephant-preserve large footmarks of elephants, both long in length and broad in breadth, etc.” In Sanskrit it occurs in Bāṇa's *Harsha-charita*.⁵⁹ The taming of elephants was in India a royal prerogative and the sale of elephants a royal monopoly, see Medhātithi on Manu, viii, 399. Particular forests, belonging to the Crown, were no doubt reserved in Piyadasi's days, as at present, for the breeding of elephants, and these are meant here.

The word *kevaṭabhog* has not been traced in literary works. But its meaning is not doubtful both on account of its co-ordination with *nāgarana* and on account of its etymological meaning, ‘that which is enjoyed or used by fishermen.’ Probably particular lakes or portions of the rivers are meant, which were set apart for the fishermen of the various towns and villages, and were consequently their *bhog*, as the modern phrase is.

(u) M. Senart's explanation of *alhamipakkhāye* by “*pakshasyāṣṭamyaṁ* on the eighth of (each) fortnight” is no doubt correct. In the Jaina *Achārāṅga*, ii, 15, 17, p. 125, Jacobi we have an exactly analogous compound *dasamīpakkheya* “on the tenth of the fortnight.” The position of the parts of the compounds is inverted in accordance with a license or slovenliness, frequently observable in all Prakrits, compare, *e. g.* the Deśī *valayabāhu* ‘armlet’ (Hemachandra, *Deśīkosha*, VII, 52) for *bāhuvalaya*. Some formations of this kind like *vārabāṇa*, ‘a protection against arrows, *i. e.* ‘a coat-of-mail,’ have even crept into Sanskrit in addition to those words where the grammar or classical usage sanctions the irregular position of the parts of the compound.

(v) The days of the month were commonly named according to the Nakshatras, with which the moon was supposed to be in conjunction, see my note 11 on the Sep. Ed. I, *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Ges.* vol. XLI. As no specification is added, Piyadasi's prohibition probably applies to the Tishya and Punarvasu days of each month. Both are among the *puṇya nakshatra* and Tishya or Pushya is occasionally cited as an example of an auspicious constellation. It is probable that, as the holier one, it has been placed before Punarvasu, which in the list of the Nakshatras stands earlier, being the fifth, while Tishya is the sixth. Brihaspati is the guardian deity of the latter; Aditi of the former.

(w) M. Senart has no doubt correctly explained *nilakhiyati* by *nirlakshyate*, and correctly assigned to it the meaning ‘is castrated.’ I may add that the Deśī word⁶⁰ *nelachchho* or *nelachchho*, ‘a eunuch,’ furnishes the proof for his assertion.

(x) According to the analogies, found in the *Śrauta Sūtras*, *chātummāsīpakha*, the fortnight connected with the full moons of the seasons, may mean either the dark fortnight following the *Chaturmāsī pūrṇimā*, as Mr. Senart assumes, or the bright fortnight preceding it. For Katyāyana uses *māghāpaksha* for the dark half of Māgha, and Lātyāyana *phalgunīpaksha* for the bright half of Phālguna.⁶¹ If, however, as I believe, Piyadasi used the *pūrṇimantī* reckoning, the second explanation is the more natural one.

(y) According to a passage, quoted by Professor Weber (*Die vedischen Nachrichten von den Nakshatra*, II, p. 330, Note 2), the Brāhmins considered the new-moon day of Phālguna to be the proper time for marking cows (see also *Śāṅkhāyana Gṛhya Sūtra*, III, 10.)

⁵⁹ See also passage quoted in the smaller *Petersburg Dictionary*.

⁶⁰ See Hemachandra *Deśīkosha*, iv, 44, and *Pāyulachohhi*, verse 235, as well as the note in the glossary to the latter.

⁶¹ See the *Petersburg Dictionary* under the two words, and the commentaries on the passages quoted there and in Professor Weber's *Nachrichten von den Nakshatra*, Bd. II, p. 327.

(2) It is not necessary to depart with M. Senart from the natural sense of the passage and to assume that Piyadasi restricted his order to certain classes of criminals. For, it must not be forgotten that long terms of imprisonment were not in favour with the authors of the ancient criminal codes of India. For serious crimes they mostly prescribe capital punishment, mutilation and banishment; for lighter offences fines and whipping. In Piyadasi's times the prisons, therefore, did probably not contain many prisoners condemned to long terms for serious crimes. I may add that the liberation of all prisoners, as an act of royal clemency, seems quite natural to Hindus. Only a few years ago I remember reading a rather sarcastic paragraph in the *Bombay Gazette Summary* on a princeling in Bengal, I think, who on the birth of a son decreed a general jail delivery in his fortunately not very extensive dominions; compare also *Jâtakas*, vol. V, p. 285, l. 25.

EDICT VI.

Dehli-Sivalik.

Devānam-piye Piyadasi-lāja-he-
vam-ahā[;] duvādasa[1] vasa-
abhisitena-me dhammalipi-likhā-
pitā lokasā[2] hitasukkhāye[;] se-
tain-apahatā tam-tam-dhamma-
vaḍhi-pāpovā[;3] Il-vam-lokasā
hitasukhe-ti-pativedhāmi attha-
iyam[4] mātsiye hevam-patiyāsa-mi-
nesu hevam-apakathesu[;5] ki-
mam-kāmi sukham avahamī-ti
tatha-cha-vidhāmi[;] Hemevā
[6] savanik yesu pativedhāmi[;]
Savapāsamā-pi-me-pūṭitā[7] vi-
vidhāya-pūjāvā[;] E-chu-iyam-
atunā pachāpagamane[8] se-me-
mukhāyamate[;] Sudavāsativasa-
abhisitena-me[9] iyam-dhamma-
lipi likhāpitā[;10]

Radhia.

Devānam-piye Piyadasi-laja
 hevaṃ-āha[.] duvāsāsuvasābhi-
 sitena-me dhammalipi lkhāpita
 lokasa[14] hitasukhaya[.] se-
 tam-apahita tam-tam dhamma-
 vadhi-pāpova[.]⁶⁴ Hevaṃ-lokasa-
 sutasukhe-ti paṭivekhāmi[15]
 atthā-iyam nātiṣu hevaṃ-patyā-
 sarnnesu hevaṃ-apakathesu[.]
 kummaṃ-kāni -sukham-āvaḥāmi
 ti tatthā-cha-vidabhāmi[.16] Mem-
 eva-savanikāyesu-paṭivekhāmi[.]
 Savaṣaṃmāḍa pi-me-pūjita vivi-
 dhāya-pūjāya[.] E-cha-iyam-
 atana-pachūpagamane 17 se-me
 mukhiyamate[.] Saḍḍvīsati va-
 sābhisitena-me iyaṃ-dhammalipi
 khāpita[.18]

Dehli-Mirat.

Missing.

Allahabad.

[27] Piyadasi lā-
.
.
.
. i pā-
[.] Hevaṃ [28]
hitasashe-ti-paṭivekhāmi atha
[.] tisu
. Asamne[su] bevaṃ apaka-
[.] kimam
da[hā]ni[.] Hevaṃveva sava-
. yesa paṭivekhāmi [29] Su-
vapasaṃdā pi-me-pūṭā vividhā-
pa pūjāyā[.] Echiyamatanā
pachupagumane se me mukhya-
mūte[.]
. līpi likhāpita-ti [30]

Mathia.

Devānam-piye Piyadasi-lāja
 hevaṃ-āha [.] duvādasavasābhi-
 sitena-ae dhammalipi-likhāpita
 lokasi [16(1)] hitasukkhāye [:]
 se-tam-apahata pari-taṇi-dham-
 mavaṭṭhi-pāpova [.] Hevaṃ lo-
 kasi [17(2)] hitasukhe-ti paṭive-
 khāmi athā iyaṃ-nātisu hevaṃ-
 paṭiyāsinnesu hevaṃ-apakāṭhesu
 [18(3)] kimmam-kāni-sukham
 āvahaṃti-ti tathā-cha-vidahaṃti
 [.] Hem-eva savaṇikāyesu pa-
 ṭivekhāmi [19(4)] Savapasaṃ-
 dā-pi-me-pūjita viddhāya-pūjāya
 [.] E-chu-iyam atana pachū-
 pagamaṇe [20(5)] se-me-mo-
 khāyamate [.] Suduvisati vasa-
 bhisitena-m iyaṃ-dhammalipi
 likhāpita [21(6)]

²² The stroke which makes *pápoua* look like *pipoua* is clearly due to an accidental scratch.

TRANSLATION.

King Piyadasi, beloved of the gods, speaks thus :—After I had been anointed twelve years,* I ordered religious edicts to be written for the welfare and happiness of the people, (*in order that the people*), giving up that (*unrighteousness which they practised*), might obtain a growth of the sacred law (*in*) this or that (*respect*).^b (*Saying unto myself*) “the welfare and happiness of the people (*is concerned*),” I thus direct my attention not only to my relatives, but also to those who are near and far ;—why so ? “In order that I may lead some of them^c to happiness.” In like manner I direct my attention to all bodies corporate.^c I have also honoured men of all creeds with various honours.⁶³ But I consider that to be most essential, what (*I call*) ‘the approach through one’s own free will.’ After I had been anointed twenty-six years, I ordered this religious edict to be written.

REMARKS ON THE TRANSLATION.

(a) *Dhammalipi*, being a generic term, may refer to more than one edict. The edicts referred to are the third and fourth Rock Edicts. The former says, “when I had been anointed twelve years, I issued the following order,” and the latter states with equal clearness at the end, “king Piyadasi, beloved of the gods, ordered this to be written, when he had been anointed twelve years.” The earlier part of Piyadasi’s spiritual course is fixed by his own statements, as follows :—

- (1) After the conquest of Kalinga in his ninth year he repented of his cruelty, and resolved to make no further conquests by the sword (Rock Edict XIII).
- (2) In his eleventh year he began to hold an annual progress through his dominions in search of religious truth (Rock Edict VIII).
- (3) In his thirteenth year he solemnly adopted the *Dhamma* or Sacred Law, *i.e.* those principles of morality which he enumerates so frequently (Rock Edict IV), and ordered it to be spread by his officials and his vassals on their annual tours through their districts (Rock Edict III).
- (4) In his fourteenth year he appointed the *Dhammamahāmātas* or Superintendents of the Sacred Law. All this long precedes his conversion to Buddhism, which happened in or immediately after the twenty-ninth year of his reign.

(b) *Apakaṭā* (D. S.) or *apakaṭa* is undoubtedly, as M. Senart suggests, equivalent to *apahritya* or *apahritvā*. In my opinion it corresponds to the second form, just as *paṭiladdha*⁶⁴ (*Jātaka*, iv, 46, 23) stands for *pratilabdho*. With respect to the meaning I differ from M. Senart, as I take *apahrī* in the sense of ‘to avoid, to give up,’ which it has not rarely in Sanskrit. *Tam*, the object of *apakaṭa*, stands for *tad*, and denotes ‘that which the people used to do, before they were taught better.’ The whole sentence down to *pāporā* gives briefly the contents of Rock Edict IV, and it would have been clearer if *tā* had been added at the end. I transliterate and explain the passage in Sans-

⁶³ Compare with this sentence the statements in the twelfth Rock Edict.

⁶⁴ The verse runs as follows :—*Samkappam etaṃ paṭiladdha pāpaṃ aśohkhīvaṃ kamma karosi luddam.*

krit as follows :—*Saḥ[loka ityarthah] tad [kriyamāṇam adharmam ityarthah] apahritya [parihṛityeyarthah] tām tām dharmavṛiddhiṃ prāpnuyāt.*

(c) The explanation of the statement that the king directs his attention to his relatives is given by the remarks in the fourth Rock Edict and the seventh Pillar Edict, according to which the gifts of his children, brothers and sisters were supervised by the Dhammamahāmātas. By “those who are near,” Piyadasi probably means his Lājukas and other officials, who are supervised and taught their duties by him, see the fourth Pillar Edict and the Separate Edicts. “Those who are far,” *apakaṭṭha*, may be the wardens of the marches or perhaps the free borderers and the subjects of his friend the Yavana king Antiyoka, on whom Piyadasi conferred benefits according to the second Rock Edict, and whom he tried to convert to the Dhamma by ambassadors, as the thirteenth Rock Edict (Part ii) alleges.

(d) M. Senart has recognised that *kimam* is equivalent to the very common phrase *kimti*. As R. M. read plainly *kimmam*, I would suggest that *kimam* too stands for *kimmam*, and is a contraction of *kimva*, i.e. *kimiva*, with the not uncommon nasalisation of the last vowel.

(e) *Nikāya*, ‘body corporate,’ refers probably not only, as M. Senart suggests, to the classes of officials which are called *nikāyā* in the twelfth Rock Edict, but also to the castes and sects called *nikāyā* in the thirteenth Rock Edict.

(f) *Pachchūpagamana*, in Sanscrit *pratyupagamana*, might mean ‘the return to,’ but may also be taken as ‘the approach towards.’ The latter sense suits here best, and what is meant is the voluntary approach which one sect is to make towards the other in accordance with Piyadasi’s recommendation in the twelfth Rock Edict :—“They shall hear each other’s law and love to hear it. For it is the desire of the Beloved of the gods—what?—that men of all creeds shall have heard much and possess holy doctrines.”

Dehli-Sivalik Pillar

EDICT VII, 1.

Devānam-piye Piyadasi lājā hevaṃ āhā[:]ye-atikamtaṃ [11]
am̐talaṃ lājāne husu[.]hevaṃ ichhisu[:]katham-jane[12]
dhammavaḍḍhiyā vaḍḍheyā[?] No-chu-jane anulupāyā dhammavaḍḍhiyā[13]
vaḍḍhihā[.] Etam devānam-piye Piyadasi lājā hevaṃ-āhā[:] esa-me[14]
huthā[.] atikamtaṃ-cha am̐talaṃ hevaṃ ichhisu lājāne katham-jane[15]
anulupāyā dhammavaḍḍhiyā vaḍḍheyā-ti[:] no-cha-jane anulupāyā[16]
dhammavaḍḍhiyā vaḍḍhihā[:] se-kina-su jane anupaṭipajeyā⁶⁶[.17]
kina-su jane anulupāyā dhammavaḍḍhiyā vaḍḍheyā-ti[:] kina-su-kāni [18]
abhyumnāmayehaṃ dhammavaḍḍhiyā-ti[?] Etam-devānam-piye Piyadasi lājā hevaṃ [19]
āhā[:]esa-me huthā[.] dhammasāvanāni sāvāpayāmi dhammanusathini[20]
anus[ā]sāmi⁶⁶[:] etam-jane sutu anupaṭipajisati abhyumnamisati[.21]

⁶⁶ The second *pa* of *anupaṭipajeyā* stands above the line.

⁶⁶ This might be read *anusāsāmi*, but I believe the blotched line above the *d*-stroke to be accidental. *Anusāsāmi* is also used by Asoka elsewhere, e.g. Sep. Ed., II, 6, (8), etc.]

EDICT VII, 2.

dhammavaḍḍhiyā-cha bādham vaḍḍhisati[.] Etāye-me aṭṭhāye dhammasavanāni sāvāpitāni
dhammānusathini vividhāni ānapitāni yath[ā me pul]isā-pi⁶⁷ bahune⁶⁸ janasi āyatā etc
paliyovadisanti-pi pavithalisanti-pi[.] La[ḥ]kā-pi⁶⁹ bahukesu pānasatasahasesu āyatā
tepi-me ānapitā[.] hevaṃ-cha hevaṃ-cha paliyovadātha[1]
janam dhammayu[ta]m⁷⁰[.] [D]e[v]ānam-piye Piyadasi hevaṃ-āhā[.] etam-eva-me anu-
vekkhamāne dhammathambhāni-kāṇi[.] dhammanahāmātā-kāṭā[.] dhamma[s]ā[van]e⁷¹-
kāṭe[.] Devānam-piye Piyadasi lājā-hevaṃ āhā[.] magesu-pi-me nigohāni lopāpitāni[.]
chhāyopagāni hosanti pasumunisānam[.] ambāvadikyā lopāpitā[.] adbhak[o]s[i]kyāni-
pi-me-udupānāni[2]
khanāpāpitāni[.] nimsidhiyā-cha⁷² kālāpitā[.] āpānāni-me bahukāni tata-tata kālāpitāni
paṭibhogāye pasumunisānam[.] La[h]uke chu⁷³ esa paṭibhoge nāma⁷⁴[.] Vividhāyā-
hi sukhāyanāyā pulimchi-pi lājīhi mamayā-cha sukhayite loke[.] imam-chu dhammā-
nupaṭipati anupaṭipajamtu-ti[.] etadathā-me[3]
esa-kāṭe[.] Devānam-piye Piyadasi hevaṃ āhā[.] dhammamahāmātā-pi-me t[e]-bahuvi-
dhesu⁷⁵ aṭṭhesu ānugahikesu viyāpātā-se pavajitanam-cheva gihithānam-cha[.] sava-
[pāsam]ḍesu-pi-cha⁷⁶ viyāpātā-sc[.] Saṃghaṭṭhasi-pi-me kāṭe ime viyāpātā hohanti-ti
[.] hemeva bābhanesu Ājivikesu-pi-me kāṭe[4]
ime viyāpātā hohanti-ti[.] Nigamṭhesu-pi-me kāṭe ime viyāpātā hohanti[.] nānā-
pāsamḍesu-pi-me kāṭe ime viyāpātā hohanti-ti[.] Paṭivissittham paṭivissittham tesu-tesu
te-[t]e [ma]hāmātā[.] Dhammamahāmātā-chu-me etesu-cheva viyā[pā]tā savesu-cha
amnesu pāsamḍesu[.] Devānam-piye Piyadasi lājā-hevaṃ āhā[.]
ete-cha amne-cha bahukā mukhā dānavisagasi viyāpātā-se mama-cheva devinam-cha[.]
savasi-cha-me olodhanasi te-bahuviddhena ā[kā]lena⁷⁵ tāni tāni ‘uṭṭhāyatan[ā]ni paṭi-
[pādayanti] hida-cheva disāsu-cha[.] Dālakānam-pi-cha-me kāṭe amnānam-cha deviku-
mālānam ime dānavisagesu viyāpātā hohanti-ti[5]
dhammāpadānāṭṭhāye dhammānupaṭipatiye[.] Esa-hi dhammāpadāne dhammapaṭipati-cha
vā-iyam dayā dāne sache sochavo madave sādha[ve]-cha lokasa hevaṃ vaḍḍhisati-ti[.]

⁶⁷ Faintly visible are, both on Dr. Fleet's facsimile and on Sir A. Cunningham's rubbing, the following letters—*ya tha* . . . *i* and the left-hand curve of *ad*, while the right half of *ad* is quite plain. The restoration is not doubtful, because there is exactly room for three lost consonants and because the phrase *pulid-pi-me* "my servants" occurs above Pillar Edict, I, l. 7 (D. S.), l. 3 (A), and elsewhere. From Professor Kern's analysis of this edict, *Der Buddhismus*, vol. II, p. 385, I infer that he would restore the passage in the same manner.

⁶⁸ *Bahune* is possibly a clerical mistake for *bahuke*. But it may be defended by the locative *punāvāsuni* above,—v. 16, 18 (D. S.).

⁶⁹ The quantity of the second vowel of *lajjā* is not certain.

⁷⁰ The last syllable of *dhammayutam* has been placed more than an inch from the rest, because there is a flaw in the stone.

⁷¹ The restoration has been made correctly by M. Senart. The rubbing shows the *d*-stroke distinctly, and the facsimile has the final *e*.

⁷² The continuation of the same flaw in the stone, which caused the separation of the syllables *dhammayu* and *ta* in l. 2, has here made necessary the division *nimsi dhiyā* (not *dhiyā*). Of course, nothing has been lost.

⁷³ The initial *la* (not *sa*) is perfectly plain, both on the facsimile and on the rubbing. Between *la* and *esa*, three, or possibly four, syllables have been lost. The phrase was, therefore, either *lahuke chu esa* or *lahuko chu kho esa*, compare Rock Edict, XIII, 2, l. 14 (Kāls), *lahukā vu kho sū piti*, and below, l. 9, *tata chu lahu-se dhammaniyame*.

⁷⁴ The *nd* of *ndma* stands above the line, and has been added afterwards as a correction.

⁷⁵ Though the *ta* is damaged at the top, it seems probable, both according to the rubbing and the facsimiles, that the reading was *te*. The *se* after *vīyāpātā* is, of course, not required. Compare also the first clause in l. 5, where another redundant *se* occurs.

⁷⁶ The restoration has been given correctly by M. Senart.

Devanam-piye [Piyada]s[i] lājā hevām-āhā[:] yāni-hi-kāni-chi mamiyā sādhaṇāni kaṭāni
 tam-loke anūpaṭipamne tam-cha anuvīdhiyānti[:]tena vadhitā-cha[7]
 vadhisamti-cha mātāpītisu sususayā gulusu sususāyā vāyomahālakānam anupaṭipatiyā
 bābhanasamanesu kapaṇavalākesu āva dāsabhaṭakesu sampaṭipatiyā[:]. Devānam-piy[e
 Pi]yadasi lājā hevām-āhā[:] munisānam-chu yā-iyam dhammavādhi vadhitā duvehi-
 yeva ākālehi dhammaniyamena-cha nijhatiya-cha[.8]
 Tata-chu lahu-se dhammaniyame[.] nijhatiya-va bhuye[.] Dhammaniyame-chu-kho
 esa ye-me iyaṃ-kaṭe imāni-cha imāni⁷⁷ jātāni avadhiyāni[.] amāni-pi-chu bahu[kāni]
 dhammaniyamāni yāni-me kaṭāni[.] Nijhatiya-va-chu bhuye munisānam dhammavādhi
 vadhitā avihimsāye bhutānam[9]

anānambhāye pānānam [.] Se-etaye aṭhāye iyaṃ kaṭe [,] putāpapotike chaṇḍa-
 masuliyike hotu-ti [,] tathā-cha anupaṭipajamtu-ti [.] Hevaṃ-hi anupaṭipajamtaṃ
 hidata[pāla]te āladhe hoti [.] Satavisativasābhisitena me iyaṃ dhammalibhi likhāpā-
 pitā-ti [.] Etam devānam-piye āhā :] iyaṃ [10]

dhammalibhi aṭṭhi silāthambhāni-vā silāphalakāni-vā tata kataviyā ena esa chila-
 ṭhitike siyā [11].

TRANSLATION.

King Piyadasi, beloved of the gods, speaks thus :—

“Those kings who lived during the past ages conceived this wish, ‘Might mankind in some way grow the growth of the sacred law,’ But mankind did not grow a befitting growth of the sacred law.”

Concerning this^b (*matter*) king Piyadasi, beloved of the gods, speaks thus :—

“This (thought) came to me, ‘On the one hand kings conceived during the past ages this wish, Might mankind in some way grow a befitting growth of the sacred law !’ On the other hand mankind did not grow a befitting growth of the sacred law. By what means then would mankind (*be moved*) to obey ? By what means would mankind grow a befitting growth of the sacred law ? By what means may I raise up^c some among them to (*grow*) the growth of the sacred law ?”

Concerning this (*matter*) king Piyadasi, beloved of the gods, speaks thus :—

“This (*thought*) came to me “Sermons on the sacred law I will order to be preached ; instruction in the sacred law I will order to be given. When men hear it, they will obey, they will raise themselves,’ and mightily they will grow the growth of the sacred law.” For this purpose I have ordered sermons on the sacred law to be preached, and have directed various instructions on the sacred law to be given, so that [*even my servants*] who dwell (*as rulers*) among many men, shall give instruction and expand it. Even the *lajjikas* who dwell (*as rulers*) among many hundred thousands of souls, even they have been ordered by me, “Instruct (*my*) loyal people^d in this way and in that.”

Piyadasi, beloved of the gods, speaks thus :—

“Having regard to this same matter,⁷⁸ I have erected pillars of the sacred law, I have appointed superintendents of the sacred law, I have ordered to be preached [*sermons on*] the sacred law.”

⁷⁷ Read *imāni cha*, as the sense requires.

⁷⁸ *Etam-eva-me anuvekhamāmi* (i.e., *anuvekshyamānam*) may be explained as a nominative absolute, analogous to *arijitāṃ hi vijjāmaṇe*, Rock Edict XIII (l. 36, k.). But it is not impossible that *anuvekhamāmi* (i.e. *anuvekshyamāmi*) was what Aśoka really wrote.

King Piyadasi, beloved of the gods, speaks thus :—

“I have also planted banian-trees on the high-roads, (*intending that*) they shall give shade to men and beasts. I have planted mango-gardens.⁷⁹ I have also ordered wells to be dug at every half *kos* and I have ordered rest-houses⁸ to be built; and I have ordered many watering stations¹ to be made here and there for the enjoyment of men and beasts. [*But something small indeed is*] this so-called enjoyment. Both former kings and myself have blessed the world with various blessings. But I have done (*all*) this (*in order*) that men may render their obedience to the sacred law.”

Piyadasi, beloved of the gods, speaks thus :—

“My superintendents of the sacred law are also occupied with the various matters of grace, affecting both ascetics and householders; and they are likewise occupied with men of all creeds. I have arranged it that they will be occupied with the affairs of the Saṃgha; likewise I have arranged it that they will be occupied with the Brahmanical Ājivikas; I have arranged it that they will be occupied with the Nigamthas; I have arranged it that they will be occupied with (*all*) the manifold creeds. Various officials (*have been appointed*) for various (*classes of men and purposes*) in accordance with the several requirements. But my superintendents of the sacred law are occupied both with those (*mentioned*) and with the men of all creeds.”

King Piyadasi, beloved of the gods, speaks thus :—

Both these and many other chief officials⁸⁰ are occupied with the distribution of gifts both my own and those of the queens; and in my whole harem they [*point out*] various ways the manifold sources of contentment^k both here (*in Pāṭaliputra*) and in the distance. And I have arranged it that they will be occupied with the distribution of the gifts both of my sons and of the other princes¹ in order (*to promote*) noble deeds in accordance with the sacred law and obedience to the sacred law. For, these are noble deeds in accordance with the sacred law and this is action in accordance with the sacred law, whereby compassion, liberality, truthfulness, purity, gentleness and holiness will thus⁸¹ grow among men.”

King Piyadasi, beloved of the gods, speaks thus :—

“Whatever works of holiness I have worked, these men have followed, and these they will imitate in future; and thereby they have grown and will grow in future in obedience towards parents, in obedience towards venerable men, in reverence towards the aged, in proper conduct towards Brahmins and ascetics, towards the poor and the wretched, even towards slaves and servants.”

King Piyadasi, beloved of the gods, speaks thus :—

“But men have grown this growth of the sacred law in two ways, (*viz.*) through restrictive religious rules and through deep meditation⁸². But, among these two⁸² the restrictive religious rules are something small, more (*is worked*) by deep meditation.

⁷⁹ Regarding the short *a* of *ṛadikya* see note 17 on the Queen's Edict, *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XIX, p. 123, where it has been pointed out that the corresponding *ambāradikā* may stand for *ambāradikā*. Regarding the long *a* of *ambā*, see below, note 20.

⁸⁰ *Mukhā* has either been used in the sense of *mukha*, m, a leader (see the smaller *Pet. Dict.*, sub voce), or it stands for *mukhāḥ* and corresponds to *mukhādī* ‘chiefs,’ i.e. ‘officials of high rank.’

⁸¹ Thus i.e. in the manner desired by the king.

⁸² *Tata*, i.e. *tata*, has here, as often in Sanskrit, a distributive sense, and *tata-chu* is equivalent to *tayastu*.

But the restrictive religious rules, indeed, are these, that I have ordered various kinds of creatures to be exempt from slaughter;⁸³ but there are also numerous other restrictive religious rules which I have imposed. But by deep meditation the growth of the sacred law has been increased more among men, so that they do not injure created beings, so that they do not slaughter living creatures."

For the following purpose these (*orders*) have been issued, (*viz.*) that they may last as long as my sons and great-grandsons reign", as long as moon and sun endure, and that men may act accordingly. If man obeys them in this manner, happiness has been gained both in this world and the next. I have caused this religious edict to be written after I had been anointed twenty-seven years.

Concerning this the Beloved of the gods speaks (*thus*):—

"This religious edict must be incised there, where either stone pillars or stone tablets are found, in order that it may endure for a long time."

REMARKS ON THE TRANSLATION

(a) As the preceding clause states that the kings "conceived a wish," it is not possible to take *katham* as an interrogative adverb. It must have the sense of an indefinite pronoun, just as *kāni* has more frequently.

(b) Though it is perhaps not absolutely impossible to take *etam* with M. Senart as equivalent to *itra* or *atra*, I prefer to explain it by *etad*, and to assume that we have here the "accusative of relation," which, as Professor Delbrück has shown (*Altindische Syntax*, p. 165f.), appears not rarely with verbs of speaking, wishing, considering and the like.

(c) *Abhyumnāmayeham* is the future with the meaning of the subjunctive (see Speijer, *Sanskrit Syntax*, p. 266f., and especially the quotation from the *Rāmāyaṇa* under b). *E* for *i* appears similarly in *paridahessati*, etc. (see E. Müller: *Simplified Grammar of the Pali Language*, p. 118).

(d) *Abhyumnamisati* may be either the future of the active *abhyunnamati*, or, equivalent to *abhyumnamissati*, the future of the passive of the causative *abhyunnamayati*. The use of the active *unnamati*, *abhyunnamati*, *pronnamati*, and so forth, in the sense of 'to rise up,' is the usual one both in Sanskrit and in the Prakrits.

(e) *Lajūka* means literally 'a writer, clerk,' and denotes here probably a high official, taken from the writer caste (see note 1 to my German translation of Rock Edict III). Regarding the meaning of *āyatā* note 2 to my German translation of the Sep. Ed. I, *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft*, vol. XLI.

(f) *Paliyodātha* is one of the few instances of the Vedic subjunctive, preserved in the old Prakrits of India (see Professor Pischel in Kuhn's *Zeitschrift*, vol. XXIII, p. 424, and Professor E. Müller, *Simplified Grammar of the Pali Language*, p. 108).

(g) *Adhakosikyāni* corresponds to a Sanskrit *ārdhakrośikīyāni*. The *krośa* or *kos* meant here, must be that equal to 8,000 Hastas, or half a *gavyūti*, which thus corresponds to the so-called *Sultānā kos* of 3 English miles. The ordinary *kos*, equal to one and a half or one and three-quarter miles, cannot be meant, as the wells would come to close to each other.

⁸³ See above, Pillar Edict V.

(h) *Nimsiḍhiyā* no doubt stands for *nisiḍhiyā*, just like the Pali *mahiṃsa* for *mahisa*, and is an equivalent of *nishidiyā*, 'dwelling,' which occurs in the Nāgārjunī Hill Cave inscriptions, *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XX, p. 364f., in the compound *vāśanishidiyāye*. Both *nimsiḍhiyā* and *nishidiyā* are Pali representatives of the Sanskrit *nishadyā*, "a couch, a market-hall." They have, however, been derived not from the root *nishad*, but from the stem of the present tense *nishid*. The lingual *ḍha* of *nimsiḍhiyā* is due to the influence of the original *sha* of *nishidyā*. The Jainas use closely allied words *nīśidhi*, *nishidhi* and *nisiḍhi* for the last resting-place, the ornamental tombs, of their saints, see *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XII, p. 99. *Nimsiḍhiyā* denotes here, of course, the public rest-houses or serais, which are found at certain distances on most Indian high-roads and which are frequently built *dharmārtham* by benevolent and rich men. Ushavadāta, the pious son-in-law of the Satrap and king Nahapāna boasts in the Nasik inscriptions, *Rep. Arch. Surv. West Ind.* vol. IV, p. 99, that he erected many such buildings.

(i) *Āpāna* cannot have here its usual meaning "tavern, liquor-shop." As Professor Kern, *Der Buddhismus*, vol. II, p. 385, assumes, it must denote a watering station. Probably the huts on the roads are meant, where water is distributed to travellers and their beasts gratis or against payment. The usual Sanskrit name is *prapā*.

(j) *Samgha*, of course, denotes the order of Buddhist monks. The Ājivikas are probably, as Professor Kern assumes, orthodox Vaiṣṇava ascetics, see my discussion of the question in the *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XX, p. 362. The Nigamṭhas are the Jaina ascetics or Nirgranthas. I take *paṭivisiṭham paṭivisiṭham*, "according to the several requirements" in the sense of *prativīśesham*, (see the smaller *Petersburg Diot*, sub voce). *Tesu-tesu*, literally "for these and those," probably refers not only to various classes of men, but also to various purposes, for which the unnamed officials were appointed. Compare the end of Rock Edict XII, where the Superintendents of women, the Vachabhūmikas and other bodies of officials are mentioned.

(k) I here follow Professor Kern, *Der Buddhismus*, vol. II, p. 386, who takes *tutḥāyatanāni*, i.e. *tusṭhāyatanāni*, "sources of contentment," in the sense of "opportunities for charity." Such opportunities are to be pointed out to all the inmates of the king's harem. With this interpretation the necessity of the restoration *paṭi* [*pādayamti*] is self-evident.

(l) *Devikumāla* 'prince' means literally 'son of a queen,' i.e. of such a lady who has the title *devī*. As the sons of the king have been mentioned separately, it follows that 'the princes' are the sons of the wives of Piyadasi's predecessors, i.e. his brothers and possibly his paternal uncles. In the parallel passage, Rock Edict V, Piyadasi names 'his brothers, sisters and other relatives.'

(m) M. Senart has no doubt correctly explained *nijhali* as a derivative from the causative of *nidhyāi* and appropriately translated it by "reflexion." It is equivalent to Sanskrit *nididhyāsana* "reflexion, deep meditation," which is derived by other affixes from the same verb.

(n) In *puṭāpapotike* the nominative of the plural *puṭā* takes the place of the stem just as in *ambā-vuḍḍikā*, above l. 2. Similarly the nominative singular frequently takes the place of the stem in the inscriptions from Mathurā, *ante*, vol. I, p. 371 ff. Rare instances occur also in Pali. Thus we have, *Jāt.* iv, 181, 18, *sakhābhariyam*, 'the wife of a friend.'

XXI.—THE MAHĀBAN PRAŚASTĪ OF SAMVAT 1207.

BY G. BÜHLER, PH.D., LL.D., C.I.E.

The subjoined inscription is identical with that which I have published, *ante*, vol. I, p. 287, under the title *Mathurā Praśasti of the Reign of Vijayapāla*, according to an impression, furnished to me by Dr. A. Führer and described as an 'Inscription on black basalt recovered from Keśava mound at Mathurā, 10th February 1889.'

Shortly after the publication of my article Dr. Führer wrote to me that he did not agree with my restoration of the king's name as Vijayapāla, because he had obtained lately from Mahāban another small inscription of the same period, which showed a different reading. His remarks induced me to look over Sir A. Cunningham's notices of Mahāban in the *Archæological Survey Reports*, where I found the facsimile¹ of a much more complete version of this supposed *Mathurā Praśasti*, and the statement that the document had been obtained at Mahāban in 1882-83; my friend, Professor Kielhorn, likewise pointed it out to me. For some time I thought that Dr. Führer's inscription, in which the central portion has been rubbed out by the stone being used for grinding spices or *qāl* and a large piece at the right-hand corner has been broken off, might be a duplicate of Sir A. Cunningham's. But this theory became doubtful, when in answer to my enquiries Dr. Führer stated that Sir A. Cunningham's stone was not traceable at Mahāban. And it became absolutely untenable, when some time ago Dr. J. Burgess found Sir A. Cunningham's impression from which his lithograph was prepared. A comparison with Dr. Führer's impression shows that the latter has, in the portions preserved, all the minor abrasions which are found on Sir A. Cunningham's slab, whereby the identity of the two originals is established. It would now seem that, after Sir A. Cunningham's impression was taken in 1882-83, the stone was taken away from Mahāban, used as a grinding stone by somebody, and finally brought as a find from the Keśava mound to Dr. Führer, who in 1889 received quite a number of fragments² from the railway-workmen at Mathurā.

I now re-edit the inscription according to Sir A. Cunningham's impression, which is reproduced in the accompanying plate and permits me to give a much better version.

As regards the contents of the inscription, it is now plain that it refers to the erection of a temple at Mahāban. The paramount king, mentioned in the colophon, is probably, as Sir A. Cunningham has stated, *op. cit.*, vol. XX, p. 42, Ajayapāla, not Vijayapāla. And he may belong to the Yaduvamśi dynasty of Bayānā-Śrīpāthā (see the pedigree *op. cit.*, p. 7). This view, which Sir A. Cunningham has put forward, is confirmed by the fact that Dr. Führer's above mentioned new inscription from

¹ Cunningham's *Archæological Survey Reports*, vol. XX, pl. 2.

² The use of the slab for grinding condiments upon is perfectly evident from the impression, where a round piece in the centre is blank, and at the sides the deeper strokes of the letters are visible, while the shallower ones have disappeared. Dr. Führer has also sent me squeezes of several other fragments, which bear in pencil the dates when they came into his hands. One which is unfortunately very small shows the name of *paramesvara-śrī-Siddhīyadeva* and may belong to the seventh century.

```

graph TD
    A[Sirōharāja.] --> B[Tejarāja.]
    B --> C[Asika, married to daughter of Harikanṭha.]
    C --> D[Jajja, married to Dharmā,  
daughter of Rissika.]
    C --> E[Pajja, married to daughter  
of king of Avanta.]
    D --> F[Four sons.]
    E --> G[One or several sons (verse 17).]

```

L. 1. श्री ॥ श्री [ग]णपतेये नमः ।
दिश्यात्स वः कैरवकु — — —
— यांसि' दंतो हिरदाननस्य [1]
विजित्य विघ्नानिव — १ — शंक (?)
मुखे समाधातुमुपाहितो यः [॥१]

L. 2. [श्री]रः कज्जलकांतिरस्त्वसिलता भृत्ये ि — — —^६ करा-
त्कालिंदीव समुद्रता सरभसं या खर्दुनीर्म्यया ।
यत्रा[न्त]र्जलमानुषीव कमला डिण्डीरपिण्डो-

L. 3. पमः
कम्बुर्ध्विभ्वितमम्बरं क[मलिनी]किंजल्कपुंजायत' ॥ {२}
स बोवतु ज — — — सःकलदैत्यदर्पाईनः
महानर[क]मई[नः] प्रचुरचौरसंतई-

L. 4. नः [1]
समस्तभुवनांतरः कमलचक्रभूषाकारः
हृतामरमहाभरः तृ[ण]वदुद्धृतप्राधरः ॥ [१]
इति प्रथममेव ॥
शाखा[स]न्ततिसन्निरुद्ध-

L. 5. कलप्रोहामदिग्मण्डलः
आधरः पा[त्र]समान्ययो द्विजकुलीः संसिध्यमानोधिक ।

¹ Read नक्षपतये. On Dr. Führer's impression the c-stroke above the ta has almost disappeared.

* Restoro कैरवकुशलाभः श्रेयांसि.

‘ Restore चिरं वः..

* Read किञ्चत्कथं जायते ।.

⁷ Read 'दश ईनी ;—भूषावरी ;—'महाभरत' ;—Restore जनाईन :

क्षीणीमण्डलमण्डनं बहुतरप्र[ख्यात]पर्वक्रमः
श्रीमानसि ससु-

- L. 6. अतः क्षितितले राजन्यवंशो महान् ॥ [४]
सौजन्यामृतवारिधिर्गुरुगुणग्रामप्रकामावधिः
श्रीमाध्वर्गनिधिर्नयैकानिपुणचक्षुष्याध्वचक्रप्रधिः [1]
L. 7. तत्राभूत्कलिकालकल्मषमयीप्रक्षालनैकश्रमः
मान्यः क्षत्रियवर्गमौलितिलकः श्रीसिंहराजाद्वयः ॥ [५]⁹
दानेन लक्ष्मीर्विजयेन विद्या
भावेन भ-
L. 8. क्षिः क्षमया च शक्तिः [1]
सत्येन सृष्टि — — तेन मूर्ति-
रलंकृतालं कृतिना च येन [॥६॥]⁹
तस्याभूत्तनयो नयोऽतमतिः श्रीतेजराजाद्वयः
सर्वार्थकरश्चिः
L. 9. शुचिर्गुरुगुणग्राहो विवेकाकरः ।
यच्चित्रं पुरुषोत्तमोपि विदितो धत्ते न वैकुण्ठता-
मैश्वर्यंभुजङ्गमो न तरलो यो नायकोपि स्फुटं ॥ [७]¹
L. 10. तस्मान्नूरजायतोऽवलतरप्रख्यातकीर्त्युच्चयः
श्रीमानासिक इत्युदारमहिमा देवहिजाश्चरितः [1]
विद्वद्वाञ्छितपूर्त्तिकल्पविटपी न्यायैकनिष्ठः परं ॥
L. 11. — — — — रोमणिर्विमलधीर्धैरः सतां संमतः ॥¹¹ [८]
शंभुः शैलसुतामिव त्रियमिव श्रीशाङ्गपात्रिः प्रभुः
पौलो[मोमिव] वृत्तहाम्बुधिरिव स्रोतस्विनीं जाङ्गवीं ।
श्रीतांशुः किल
L. 12. रोहिणीमिव मुनिर्मान्यामिवावस्थतीं
स श्रीमान्हरिकण्ठजामुदवहस्रकृतिभ — — तां ॥ [९]¹²
तस्यां तेन सुतो जज्ञे जज्जः सज्जनभूषणं ।
रामो दश-
L. 13. रथेनेव कौ[स]स्थायामुदारधीः ॥ [१०]
कुम्भैरभः कक्षयितुमर्हं वा[रि]धेः कस्य शक्तिः
को वा गङ्गापुलिनसिकतास्ताः परिच्छेत्सुमीशः [1]
नक्षत्रा-

⁹ According to Cunningham's rubbing one might read श्रीमाध्वर्ग⁹, but Führes's gives plainly 'वर्ग'

⁹ Restore सृष्टिः सङ्कृतेन सृष्टि⁹.

¹⁰ The second नवी⁹ and the second क of विवेकाकरः stand below the line.

¹¹ The last Pāda stands in the margin, and there is a mark after परं in order to indicate the lacuna. Restore श्रीश्रीपादशिरोमणि⁹.

¹² Read श्रीशाङ्गपात्रिः.—Restore वृत्तिभारानता.

- L. 14. लो दिवि गणयितुं कोऽङ्गुलीभिः समर्थः
तस्य स्तोतुं सकल[म]थ वा कः प्रगल्भः गुणौघं ॥११॥
चंद्रः कथंचित्समवाप्य वृद्धिं
पक्षं किलैकं विम-
- L. 15. लं करोति [1]
जज्जः पुनर्भूतलपार्थ्वं — —
द्वावेव पक्षौ विमलौ विधत्ते ॥ [१२]¹³
सङ्गः साधुभिरेव कोपशमने शक्तिश्च धर्मो मतिः
दानेभ्यासविधिः प-
- L. 16. रोपकृतये कार्यं गुरुणा न[तिः 1]
— — श्रीगुरुवोत्तमाङ्गियुगले गोष्ठी समं पंडितैः
जज्जस्यासिकमभवस्य सुमतेः किं किं न लोकोत्तरं ॥ [१३]¹⁴
का-
- L. 17. यः परोपकृतिभिः सुकृतो ७ — ७
— हिर्मरारिचरणक्षरणेन चेतः [1]
लक्ष्मीरपि प्रणयिवाञ्छितपूरणेन
सत्येन वागपि च येन कृता कृता-
- L. 18. र्था ॥ [१४]¹⁵
श्रीमान्निष्किकनामधेयविदितो राजन्यवंशोद्भूतः
तस्यासावुपयेमिवानुद्भूतं धर्माभिधानां सती ।
श्रीलाचारविभूषणां शुभगुणां भर्तृव्र-
- L. 19. तां सम्प्रतां
तस्यां [पुत्र]चतुष्टयं समभवद्वर्मापपन्नं ततः ॥ [१५]
तस्यानुजो गुणनिधिर्गुणिनां वरिष्ठः
ख्यातः सुरद्विजगुरुचितभक्तियुक्तः [1]
गांभीर्य-
- L. 20. सत्यनयदान[विवे]कबुद्धिः
श्रीआसिकात्मजमुनिर्मलपञ्जनामा ॥ × [१६]
अवन्तराजतनयां भार्यामुदवहत्सती ।
तस्यां स जनयामास × × × × ७ — ७ ७ ॥ × [१७]¹⁶
संसारं हरिभक्तिसारमखिलं विज्ञाय गोष्ठीजनैः
सार्धं धर्मधुरां चिरा-
- L. 21. य वज्रता जज्जेन निर्मापितं ।
विष्णोर्हर्म्यमनस्यशिरस्परचमयैचित्रपत्रं वज्र-

¹³ The reading of the stone seems to have been पार्थ्वेन्दुः.

¹⁴ Restore मतिः श्रीगुरुवो.

¹⁵ Restore सुकृतोऽथेन बुद्धिर्ल.

¹⁶ Verse 17 stands in the margin, and is preceded by the figure 20, which refers to the line where it is to be inserted.

सुर्भगानिललोलितध्वजपटं शुभ्राभमभ्रंलिङ्गं ॥ [१८]
सौवःसैन्ध-

- L. 22. वमन्धकान्तकजटाजूटाटवीनाटक-
क्रोडादुर्ललितस्थितिस्थिरतरं यावत्पवित्रं पयः [1]
सधोचो सुचिरं फणीश्वरफणारवाङ्कुराणां क्षि-

- L. 23. f-
— — — त्वदिहास्तु मन्दिरमिदं लक्ष्मीपतेः शाश्वतं ॥ [१९]
अत्र गोष्ठीकृतानामानि ॥
मुक्तिकात्मजधम्नीह रामस्तिन्हस्य नन्दनः ।
पेशचित्तक-

- L. 24. — — — × × णो वसिकात्मजः ॥ [२०]
मंगपुत्रो नारदश्च जामिको माहवाङ्गजः ।
ढोलसुनर्वसंतश्च कलस्यात्मजधन्तुकः ॥ [२१]
सोभरात्मा महीपालः

- L. 25. × × × × — — नः ।
फुल्लोरी सडसंभृतः देधरो माधवात्मजः ॥ [२२]
सोढलो रामपालस्य सेन्हणो राजिकोद्भवः ।
एते गोष्ठीं समागम्य पुत्रपौत्रादि-

- L. 26. — [रि]काः ॥ [२३]
[अया]तो लिख्यते वृत्तिर्देवदेवस्य चक्रिणः ।
मंप्रदत्ता नरैन्द्रेण पुरवासिजन[न च] ॥ [२४]
हं गृहे वीथयः षट् वाटिका देवहेतवे ।
गोष्ठी-

- L. 27. [प्र]सृतिरन्नस्य मानोश्मानरसादिका ॥ [२५]
यत्ने यत्ने पत्नी [याद्या] चा[तुश] पुष्पमालिकात् ।
मापकाश्च चतुर्थसि यः क[श्चि]न्मापको भवेत् [२६]
यः कश्चित् ह-

- L. 28. रते वृत्तिं न ददाति च मानवः ।
स गच्छेन्नर[क]ं घोरं यावदाभूतसंग्रहः ॥ [२७]¹
अस्याः [प्र]शस्तेः कर्त्तारौ बुधौ पालकुलचरौ ।
[क्ष]तव्यं सर्वविबुधैर्नृनान्यनं विचार-

- L. 29. तः ॥ [२८]
मवत् १२०७ कार्— — पीरुमास्यां महाराजाधिराज — —
जयपाददेवविजयरान्ये उत्कीर्णा सोमलसूत्र[धार]ण ॥

¹ Restore चित्तिर्वावसावदि.

² चातुश can, of course, not be correct. Read चतुर्थौ श्री.

³ The last word is probably meant for यावदाभूतसंग्रह.

XXII.—MUHAMMADAN INSCRIPTIONS FROM BENGAL.

BY PAUL HORN, PH. D., STRASSBURG.

As in my previous paper on Muhammadan inscriptions from the *ṣubā* of Dihlī, I have reproduced the texts of the inscriptions as they are found on the stone, adding no wanting dots, *tashdīds*, etc., except in the first six inscriptions.

1.—BHĀGALPŪR.

The history of Bhāgalpūr during the first four centuries of Muhammadan rule offers nothing very remarkable. The first inscription below of the time of Maḥmūd Shāh ibn Ibrāhīm Shāh of Jaunpūr, is of value, because it shows that Bhāgalpūr in the ninth Hijrat century belonged to the Sharqī kingdom, as did also Bihār (*vide* below). In A. H. 982 it came into the possession of Akbar (*Akbarnāma*, III, 108). Further information regarding the place has been collected by C. J. O'Donnell in W. W. Hunter's *Statistical Account of Bengal*, vol. XIV, p. 82.

The inscriptions Nos. 2, 3 and 4 are of little historical value; they bear the dates of the years 1032 (reign of Jahāngīr), 1130 (reign of Farrukh Siyar) and 1793 A.D. The last falls in the period of English rule.

The first inscription¹ is engraved on a black basalt slab, fixed on a tomb in a garden belonging to Rānt Bībī in Mānda Roga Maḥalla, Bhāgalpūr; it is no longer *in situ*; the stone measures 36 inches by 18 inches; the size of the inscribed part is 30 by 13 inches.

قال النبي عليه السلام من بني مسجدًا في الدنيا بني الله له قصرًا في الجنة في زمن ملك العادل محمود
شاه السلطان بنا كرهه ابن مسجد خانم معظم خورشيد خان سر نوبت غير محليان في العاشر من جماد الاول سنة
خمس مائة وثمانماية

TRANSLATION.

'The Prophet—may God's blessing be upon him!—says 'He who builds a mosque in this world, God will build for him a castle in Paradise'. During the time of the just king Maḥmūd Shāh the honoured Khān Khurshēd Khān, head of the guardians outside the palace, has built this mosque on the tenth of Jumādī'l awwal, year 850 H. (3rd August 1446).'


The arrangement of the words on the stone is not quite regular, and the deciphering is therefore more difficult than it seems at first sight. I have found no information relative to Khurshēd Khān, whose title *sar-i naubat-i ghair-maḥallātān* I have translated according to Blochmann (*Journal Asiatic Society, Bengal*, vol. XLI, p. 106, vol. XLII., p. 273, note).

2. The second inscription has already been published by Blochmann in the *Proceedings of the Asiatic Society of Bengal*, December 1873, p. 200. It is on a *Dargāh* called the Maskan-i Barāri or Makhdūm Shāh's Dargāh in Champānāgar near Bhāgal-

¹ See facsimile No. 1.

² The same form occurs, e.g., in the inscriptions, *Journal Asiatic Society, Bengal*, vol. XLI, p. 109, *Proceedings for* March 1874, p. 72.

NO. 1. BHAGALPUR INSCRIPTION OF A.H. 850.



NO. 2. MAHDIPUR INSCRIPTION OF A.H. 891.



From J. D. Beglar's impressions

Scale 1-5/8 of original.

pâr; the name of the saint buried there is not known. The basalt slab measures 27 by 16 inches, the inscribed part 20 by 13 inches; it contains four lines.—

لا اله الا الله محمد رسول الله
 ابوبكر صديق | عمر فاروق | عثمان رضي | علي كرم الله
 رضي الله عليه | رضي الله عليه | الله عليه | وجهه

جن اضعف عباد الله خواجه احمد سمرقندي حسب الحكم نواب قدسي القاب شاهزاده عالميان شاه پرور
 جهانگیر بخدمت فرجداري سرکار منکیر امدہ برد بہ بنای عمارت این روضہ منورہ توفیق یافت سنہ ۱۰۳۲ ہزار
 سی و نہ

TRANSLATION.

‘There is no god but God and Muhammad is the Apostle of God.

Abû Bakr, the veracious—may God be pleased with him! ‘Omar, the distinguisher between right and wrong—may God be pleased with him! ‘Osmân—may God be pleased with him! ‘Alî—may God dignify his face!³.

When the humblest of the slaves of God, Khwāja Ahmad of Samarqand, according to the order of the Nawâb, bearing holy titles, the prince of the people of the world, Shâh Parwêz, son of Jahângîr, had entered the service as Faujdâr of Sarkâr Mungir, he found grace to build this splendid tomb in the year 1032 H. [began the 5th November 1622].’

Shâh Parwêz was the second son of the emperor Shâh Jahângîr. He died of *delirium tremens* on the 6th Şafar 1035 (7th November 1625). In the year 1032 H. he gave Bengal in *jâgîr* to Mahâbat Khân, after having defeated in battle Shâh Jahân (*Iqbâl-nâma-i Jahângîrî*, p. 239), whereas Bihâr, to which sarkâr Mungir belonged (*Aîn-i-Akbarî*, vol. I, p. 419), remained his own *tiyûl*.

In the *Statistical Account of Bengal*, vol. XV, p. 63, is a long account of the town of Mungir, compiled by Blochmann

3. This inscription was found near Cleveland’s public garden; the rectangular black basalt stone on which it is engraved measures about 36 by 13 inches, the inscription covers 16 by 10 inches.

ار بسی افسوس بملکِ نقا
 رختِ سفر بست زفانی سراى
 از سر اندوه بگو سالِ فوت
 روح مجسم زجهان رفت رای

Metre,—*Sarî*’:

With much sorrow he tied up the dress of journey from the perishable house towards the country of eternity. From the head of (*the word*) grief say the year of the death, “The soul clothed with a body went from the world, alas!”

The last line gives, according to *abjad* reckoning, 1129. As the poet seems to mean that the head of *andûh*, i.e. the letter *alif*, is to be added to the *târikh*, we get 1129+1=1130 H. [which began the 5th December 1717].

³ The four caliphs.

⁴ In Elliot-Dowson’s *History*, vol. VI, p. 417, l. 4, for Bihâr read Bengal.

The person referred to is not named.

4. The following inscription is from the same place as No. 3; the engraved area on the pillar is 12 by 5 inches.

از ضیاء ملک این تالاب خوش تحفیر یافت	بر لبش این دیر هم از سعی او تعمیر یافت
خواستم تاریخ هجر (؟) عسوی (sic) سازم بیان	تا بُرد بر لُح سنگ از سال تعمیرش نشان
ناکهان هاتف ز زوری شادمانی لفظ بُرد	بعد لفظ دیده اندر مصرع هشتم فزود
آنکهان در کوش خاطرزد سر زشی بس عجب	دیده کم اندر جهان آدم چنین جای غریب

TRANSLATION.

Metre,—*Ramāl* :

By (order of) *Ziyā-i-Mulk* this fair tank has been dug, on the bank of it this place of worship has also been erected by his exertion. I wanted to render manifest the date of the Christian era, so that there may exist a sign of the year of its erection on the face of the stone. Suddenly an invisible speaker by way of pleasure increased the word '*Būd*' after the word '*Didah*' in the eighth hemistich; then in the ear of the mind he uttered a mysterious sound, indeed, strange: "Man has scarcely seen in the world such a rare place."

The letters of the *tārīkh* which runs therefore '*Didah būd kam*' etc., give 1793 A.D.—the last hemistich=1781 + 12 (*būd*)—i.e.—1208-9H.

Ziyā-i-Mulk must have been the title of some English officer whose European name I am not able to make out here.

On a hill to the west of Bhāgalpūr Station is a Muhammadan shrine, the tomb of Shāhjangī (Shāhbāz), to which belongs a tank. That tank which had gone out of use was cleaned and fitted with stone steps by order of Mr. Edward Latore, Magistrate, Zila' Bhāgalpūr, in 1843 A.D., corresponding with 1250 Faṣlī. As a memorial of that fact a Hindūstānī inscription has been engraved in a circle on the side wall of the ghāt, and the names of the personages who furthered the work with money have been inscribed in the margin. The total sum amounts to R2,677, if I have added rightly.*

II.—HAZBAT PAṆDUAH.

The following inscriptions from Paṇduah belong to the *Ādīna* (i.e. Friday) Mosque of which large ruins still remain. These have been described at length by the late J. H. Ravenshaw in his *Gaur, its Ruins and Inscriptions*, pp. 60 ff., where also a ground plan of the masjid is given.

The mosque was built by Abu'l Mujāhid Sikandar Shāh, son of Shams-addin Abu'l Muzaffar Ilyās Shāh, king of Bengal, who adorned his capital Paṇduah with that magnificent edifice. Except one, the inscriptions inside and outside the mosque are of no historical value, containing only verses from the *Qorān*. The rubbings transmitted to the Asiatic Society of Bengal by General Cunningham and Mr. W. L. Heeley are very fine and worthy of the beautiful penmanship which can hardly be surpassed in other Indian Muhammadan inscriptions.

* There is another rubbing of a Hindūstānī inscription of the month of August of 1845 which has been engraved on a large basalt pillar near the public garden on the bank of a tank opposite Cleveland's house, but the inscription seems to be much defaced, so that it is not possible to decipher it from the rubbing. It relates also to a *talāw* and a *sa'id* *ṣayyār*.

5. On the outer wall of the central nave near the doorway, which is very small in proportion to the size of the edifice, the inscription has been cut, which mentions the builder of the mosque and the year of its erection. The size of the stone is 58 by 11 inches, that of the inscribed part is 57 by 9 inches; it runs—

امريبناء العمارة هذا المسجد الجامع في ايام [م] الدولة السلطان الاعظم اعلم اعدل اكرم اكمل السلاطين
العرب والعجم الواصلين بتأييد الرحمن ابرالمجاهد سكندر شاه سلطان بن الياس شاه السلطان خلد خلافته الى
يوم الموعود كنبه في التاريخ رجب سنة ست [ر] سبعين ربيعماية

TRANSLATION.

‘The edifice of this Jāmi’ Masjid was ordered to be built during the days of the reign of the great king, the wisest, the justest, the most liberal, the most perfect of the kings of Arabia and Persia, who trusts in the assistance of the Merciful, A bu’l Mujāhid Sikandar Shāh, the king, son of Ilyās Shāh, the king—May his reign be perpetuated till the day of promise! He wrote it during Rajab of the year 776 (began the 6th December 1374).’

There are some mistakes in Blochmann’s readings of this inscription (*Journal Asiatic Society of Bengal*, vol. XLII, p. 257, and repeated in Ravenshaw’s *Gaur*, p. 62). Regarding the accuracy of my conjecture that the eighth word is to be read *ayyām*, there can hardly be any doubt. The statement of the date at the end of the inscription is quite ungrammatical, if, with Blochmann, we read *rajab sitt*; besides, the succession of the words should be *sitt rajab*. Grammatical mistakes are very numerous in Bengal inscriptions, but the construction *rajab sitt* instead of *sādis* would be too faulty even for them. I therefore prefer to supply a *wa* (and) before *sab’in* or to read the *wa* standing before *sab’miat* twice, a case that occurs not at all unfrequently.

We do not know the year in which the Ādina mosque was finished; the *Riṣāṣ* mentions only that the beginning fell in the year 766 H. It is very probable that the building required a space of ten years, e.g. the Jāmi’ Masjid at Kotila in Eastern Rajputana was erected within eight years, and ten are therefore not too much to allow for the erection of that ‘gigantic barn,’ as General Cunningham calls the Ādina mosque (*Archæological Survey of India*, vol. XV, p. 90).⁶

6. Over the doorway of the king’s platform (Ravenshaw calls it *Bādshāh ka takht*) we find the words of the *Kalima*. The size of the inscription is 8 by 7 inches.

Over the central prayer niche (*mihrāb*) are inscribed on two stones the verses of *Qorān*, Sūr. xxxiii, 56, and Sūr. xxii, 76, the latter being quoted with the omission of some words in Major W. Francklin’s *Journal of a Route from Rājmahāl to Gaur*, A. D. 1810, see Ravenshaw, p. 64.

Round the three other prayer niches are also engraved passages from the *Qorān*, on the northern, the combined verses’ Sūr. ii, 63, iii, 31, ii, 121 and Sūr. ix, 20, 21.

⁶ I see, however, that nothing can be too faulty for the Indian Muhammadan inscriptions, for I have found once again at least the above construction, with the number *sitt* (inscr. of the *raṣṣa* of Muhammad Sharif of Balkh in Dihli,—*Proceedings of As. Soc. Beng.*, for 1876, p. 212.

⁷ The exordial phrase is— قَالَ اللَّهُ تَعَالَى عَنْ تَأْتِلَ رَجُلٌ مِنْ مُتَكَلِّمٍ (‘God has said, who is raised above another speaker and more glorious than another orator’).

Round the middle prayer niche runs Sûr. xlviii, 27 28, 29 (size: 153 inches by 12 inches, the measure of the whole stone is 160 by 15 inches); round the southern (Ravenshaw, photograph, plate xlv) Sûr. ii, 256, 257 has been inscribed. The intervals between the single letters and words are always copiously ornamented with flower arabesques.

The inscription over the prayer niche in the transept (Ravenshaw, photograph plate xli) has been mentioned by Blochmann in the *Journal Asiatic Society Bengal*, vol. XLII, p. 256. A facsimile is given in Ravenshaw's work, plate xlv; it contains *Qorân*, Sûr. ix 18, 19. The top line is in Kufik, a character of writing the artist was evidently not very conversant with, and contains the *Fātiḥa*.

A stone (20 by 14 inches) once fixed in the wall of the nave, now lost, bore round a square which contains arabesques and the name of Muḥammad twice, besides the *Kalima*, the text of Sûra cxii.

III.—GAUR.

For the city of Gaur, the reader may be referred to Ravenshaw's work already cited, the photographs of which give a picture of the former grandeur and magnificence of the town. It also gives a historical sketch of Gaur from the Muhammadan conquest to the end of its independence (pp. 95ff). Some of the following inscriptions have been already published either in this work or by Blochmann.

7. Over the entrance to the court of the Qadam-Rasûl mosque (Ravenshaw, photograph, plate 13, and facsimile, plate 48, No. 6) is an inscription, 2' 1" by 1' 2" in two lines—

قال النبي عليه السلام من بنى مسجدا لله بنى الله تعالى له سبعين قصرا فى الجنة بنى هذا المسجد
فى عهد السلطان ابن السلطان بن السلطان شمس الدنيا والدين ابوالمظفر
يوسف شاه السلطان ابن باركشاه السلطان بن محمود شاه السلطان بنى هذا المسجد خان اعظم و خاقان اعظم
مرجاد خان انابك ذات اعلى بتاريخ ٩ هردهم ماه مبارك رمضان سنة خمس و ثمانين و ثمانمائة

TRANSLATION.

'The Prophet (on whom be peace!) has said: 'He who builds a mosque for God, will have seventy castles built for him by God in Paradise.' This mosque was built in the time of the king, the son of a king, who was the son of a king,⁹ Shamsaddunyâ waddîn Abu'l Muzaffar Yûsuf Shâh, the king, son of Bârbak Shâh, the king, son of Maḥmûd Shâh, the king. This mosque was built by the great Khân, the exalted Khâqân Marjâd Khân, the *atabeg* of the high person. On the 13th day of the blessed month Ramazân 885 (17th November 1480).'

In Ravenshaw's book the mistakes of Mr. Blochmann are reproduced. Blochmann has also published three other inscriptions of Yûsuf Shâh, of the years 882 (*Journal As. Soc. Beng.* vol. XLII, p. 275), 884 (*ib.* p. 276), and 885 (*ib.* vol. XLIV, p. 293); they are from Paṇḍuah or the neighbourhood of Dhâka. The information about Yûsuf Shâh which the histories furnish is very meagre.

⁹ i.e. هردهم.

⁹ This reiteration occurs also on coins.

8. Over the central door of the small golden mosque in Gaur (Ravenshaw, plate xxii) is an inscription. One corner of the stone which contained the date has been broken away in three lines (dimensions 9 feet by 1' 8")—

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم قال الله تعالى انما يعمر مساجد الله من امن بالله واليوم الآخر واقام الصلوة
واتى الزكوة ولم يخش الا الله فعسى اولئك ان يكونوا من المهتدين وقال النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم من بني
مسجداً لله بني الله له بيتاً في الجنة مثله وعمارة هذا المسجد الجامع
فى عهد سلطان السلاطين سيد السادات منبع السعادت ارحم المسلمين والمسلمات معلى كلمات الحق
والحسنات المويده بتاييد الديان المجاهد فى سبيل الرحمن خليفة الله بالحق والبرهان غوث الاسلام والمسلمين
علاء الدنيا والدين
ابوالمظفر حسين شاه السلطان الحسينى خلد الله ملكه و سلطانه بني هذا المسجد الجامع خالصاً مخلصاً
متوكلاً على الله الولي محمد بن علي المخاطب بخطاب مجلس المجالس مجلس منصور نصره الله تعالى
فى الدنيا والاخرة وتاريخه الميمون فى الرابع عشر من شهر الله المبارك رجب قدره سن [٥]

TRANSLATION.

'In the name, etc. God Almighty has said: "He only shall repair," etc. (*Qorán*, Sûr. ix, 18). And the Prophet (may God's blessing rest upon him!) has said "He who builds," etc.

The erection of this Jâmi' masjid took place in the time of the king of kings, the Sayyid of the Sayyids, the fountain of auspiciousness, the most merciful of the faithful men and women, who exalts the words of truth and good deeds, who is assisted by the assistance of the Supreme Judge, who strives on the road of the merciful, the viceregent of God, with proof and testimony, the defender of Islâm and the Muslims, 'Alâ addunyâ waddîn Abu'l Muzaffar Husain Shâh, the king, the descendant of Husain—may God perpetuate his kingdom and his rule! This Jâmi' masjid was built, from pure and sincere motives, and from trust in God, by the Wali Muḥammad, son of 'Alî, who has the title of *majlis al majâlis majlis-i mansûr*—may God render him victorious in this world and the next! Its auspicious date is the 14th of God's blessed month of Rajab—may its value increase! of the year

Husain Shâh reigned from 899 till 929 A. H., we possess many inscriptions of his reign. I have noted 29. This one has been published in Ravenshaw's work, p. 38.

9. From Shâh N'imat Allâh's *âsitâna* near the Jâmi' masjid in the Fîrôzpur suburb of Gaur. Two lines (dimensions 3 feet by 1' 4")—

بنى هذا الباب الحصن فى عهد السلطان المعظم المكرم علاء الدنيا والدين ابوالمظفر حسين شاه
السلطان بن سيد اشرف الحسيني خلد الله ملكه و سلطانه فى سنة ثمان وعشر وتسعمائة

TRANSLATION.

'This gate of the fort was built in the time of the exalted and liberal king, 'Alâ-addunyâ waddîn Abu'l Muzaffar Husain Shâh the king, son of Sayyid Ashraf,

the descendant of Husain—may God perpetuate his kingdom and his rule! In the year 918 (*began the 19th March 1512*).¹⁰

10. At the same place two other inscriptions have been found containing only passages from the *Qorán*. Both are richly ornamented with varied patterns of flowers and arabesques. The first measures 6' 5" by 9 in.; on four lines, *Qorán*, Sur. vi, 59; viii, 19; xxxv, 2; xlviii, 1, 2, 3, etc., and in three circles the words *Yá rahmán, yá Alláh, yá rahím* are inscribed; the second measures 2' 4" by 8 in., and contains *Qorán*, Sûr. lxii, 9, 10, 11.

11. Over the centre arch of the Qadam-Rasûl masjid outside, in three lines (dimensions 2' 3" by 1' 6").—

قال الله تعالى من جاء بالحسنة فله عشر امثالها بنى هذه الصفة المطهرة التي فيها حجر النبوة اترك قدم رسول
صلى الله عليه وسلم السلطان

المعظم المكرم السلطان بن السلطان ناصر الدنيا والدين ابرالمظفر نصرتشاه السلطان بن حسين شاه السلطان
بن سيد اشرف الحسيني خلد الله ملكه و سلطانه واعلي امره و شانه في سنة سبع و ثلثين و تسعمائة

TRANSLATION.

'God Almighty has said: "He who brings a good work shall have ten like it" (*Qorán*, Sûr. vi, 161). This pure dais on which is a stone with the foot-print of the Prophet—may God's blessing be upon him!—was erected by the great, generous king, the king, the son of a king, Nâsiraddunyâ waddîn Abu'l Muzaffar Nuşrat Shâh, the king, son of Husain Shâh, the king, son of Sayyid Ashraf, the descendant of Husain—may God perpetuate his kingdom and his rule and elevate his condition and his dignity! In the year 937 (*began the 25th August 1530*).'

Mr. Blochmann has remarked that these inscriptions rectify the *Riyâz* and Stewart, who give as the date of the completion of the *Qadam-Rasûl* mosque A.H. 939.

12. From Shâh Ni'mat Allâh's *âsilâna*, not *in situ*, in one line (dimensions 2' 1" by 7 in.)—

قال الله تعالى ان المساجد لله بانى هذا الباب خانجهان في التاريخ غرة من ذوالحجة سنة سبعين و تسعمائة

TRANSLATION.

'God Almighty has said "surely the mosques belong to God" (*Qorán*, Sûr. lxxii, 18). The builder of this gateway is Khânjahân. In the beginning of Zu'l Hijja, 970' (*22nd July 1563*).¹¹

The inscription falls in the reign of Ghiyâs addîn Abu'l Muzaffar Jalâl Shâh of Bengal, 14 years before the conquest of Bengal by Akbar. Khânjahân was in 982 governor of Orîsâ (*Akbarnâma*, vol. I, p. 161 var.); he was therefore the successor of Lûdî Khân, *amîr al umarâ* of Sulaimân, king of Bengal, who was put to death by his sovereign the year before (*Badaunî*, vol. II, p. 174). In the Moghul empire the title of Khânjahân was the next to that of Khânkhânân (*Ma'âsir*, I, 649).

¹⁰ This was published in *Jour. As. Soc. Beng.*, vol. XLII, p. 295, and repeated in Ravenshaw's *Gaur*, p. 88, No. 19.

¹¹ There is no reason for Blochmann's *sic*, who has read faultily.

¹² Pub. shed in Ravenshaw's *Gaur*, p. 86. The number *tis* is not legible on my rubbing.

13. From the Jâmi' masjid in the Firôzpûr suburb, evidently a fragmentary inscription (size 1' 4" by 2 in.), which contains *Qorân*, Sûr. lxii, 5 (it begins in the middle of the verse with the words [*kamis*]lâ' l *himâri*).

IV.—MAHDÎPÛR (VILLAGE).¹³

14. The following inscription is now fixed in the modern mosque at Mahdîpûr, it is arranged in four squares and measures 4' 4" by 10 in.—

قال الله تعالى انما يعمر مساجد الله من امن بالله و اليوم الاخر اقام الصلوة راتى الزكوة ولم يخش الا الله
 فعسى اولئك ان يكونوا من المهتدين
 وقال الله تعالى وان المساجد لله فلا تدعوا مع الله احدا و قال النبى صلى الله عليه وسلم من بنى
 مسجدا لله تعالى بنى الله تعالى له بيتا فى الجنة
 بنى هذا المسجد فى عهد السلطان ابن السلطان جلال الدنيا والدين ابرالمظفر فتحشاه السلطان ابن
 محمود شاه السلطان
 وقد بنا السيد الاعظم سيد دستور بن سيد راحت بالحسنة ثمر جعله سر كنبذ مولانا برخوردار ابن خانمعظم
 داسر حكان فى شهر المبارك رمضان سنة احدى و تسعين و ثمانمائة

TRANSLATION.

'God Almighty has said "He only shall repair," etc. (*Qorân* Sûr ix, 18).

God Almighty has also said "verily, the mosques," etc. (*Qorân*, Sûr. lxxii, 18).
 The Prophet—on whom may rest God's blessing! has said "He who builds a mosque" etc.

This mosque was built in the time of the king, son of a king, Jalâl addunyâ waddin Abu'l Muzaffar Path Shâh, the king, son of Mahmûd Shâh, the king. Its builder was the exalted Sayyid, Sayyid Dastûr, son of Sayyid Râhat—through good deeds he has collected fruits for himself—at the head of the vault of Maulânâ Barkhurdâr, son of the exalted Khân Taj Khân. In the blessed month of Ramazân of the year 891' (*began the 31st August 1486*).

The letters being very closely written it is not easy to decipher the names. This is the sixth inscription of Fath Shâh, who reigned from 886 till 893; the others fall in the years 886 from Bandar near Dhâkâ, (*Jour. As. Soc. Beng.*, vol. XLII, p. 282), 887 from Dhâmraî, north of Dhâkâ, (*ib.*, vol. XLI, p. 109); 888 from Bikrampûr, Dhâkâ District (*ib.*, vol. XLII, p. 284), 889 from Sunârgaon, (*ib.*, vol. XLII, p. 285), and 892 from Sâtganw, (*ib.*, vol. XXXIX, p. 293).

15. The following inscription of the same king has lost the date; it is from the Challa masjid (size 5' 7" by 4 in.), one line

جلال الدنيا والدين ابرالمظفر فتحشاه سلطان ابن محمود شاه السلطان خلد الله ملكه و سلطانه واعلى امره
 رشانه بسعي خان اعظم و خاقان المعظم الوائى بالملك المنان خانمعظم دولتخان وزير لشكر تقبل الله منه
 فى سنة اربع

¹³ See facsimile No 2.

TRANSLATION.

'Jalâl addunyâ waddîn Abu'l Muzaffar Fath Shâh, the king, son of Mah-mûd Shâh, the king—may God perpetuate his kingdom and his rule and elevate his condition and his rule! Through the exertion of the exalted Khân and the high Khâqân, who trusts upon the beneficent Lord, the exalted Khân Daulat Khân, the wazir of the army—may God accept from him (*his prayers*)!'¹⁴ In the year'

16. From the modern mosque, as No. 14. One line (size 1' 5" by 7 in.), a fragment—

الدنيا والدين ابرالمظفر حسين شاه السلطان بنى هذا المسجد ملك يزيد معظم طغر خان بن ملك ...

... سر دوت ... در شهر ربيع الحر [ف] می الداربع سه ...

TRANSLATION.

[ʿAlâ-]addunyâ waddîn Abu'l Muzaffar Husain Shâh. This mosque was built by Malik Yazîd Mu'azzam Zafar Khân, son of Malik head of the guardians in the month of Rabî' al âkhir, in the year'

As the upper part of the left side is broken away, it is not possible to read correctly the name of the builder. Nothing is known about the original place of the stone which bears the inscription.

V.—KHANDKARTOLA (SHÉRPÛR).

17. In the jungal in the midst of the deserted village of Khandkartaola, about two miles south of the Shérpûr municipality, are the ruins of the Khema masjid. Two slabs of equal size (4' 7" by 2' 4" by 3") bear the inscriptions, the middle portions of the stone being carved in a vase pattern in one and in a square in the other. The right slab is cracked in two or three places; the cracks seem to be very old. As Mr. H. Lee has remarked on the inscription form, these slabs were Hindu gods originally, but the gods have been turned round and the inscriptions cut on their backs. The holes were probably made to destroy the sanctity of the gods. About 500 yards distant are the remains of the Bâdishâhî masjid; both are in bad repair and will soon go to ruins.

The inscription on the left side runs—

با مطهر انصاف

مناده زور دوسنده ۲۴ ماه ذوالحج سنه ۹۸۹

با سعادت نواب مرزا مراد خان مسجد اعظم کرد دوم زور

سه سنه ۲۶ بهر حال فرار مسجد مناد بعدر عدد الصمد در کدوبر

سبروام از هوا فرود آمدند و سلام کردند و بعد از مبارکبادی عرص

کردند که از مکه مبارکه نام رس وفلا دارم برای ما و اصحاب ما درین

مسجد اسبابه حکم خواهند فرمود بعدر کعب حرا نه اما مسجد

زور منادا از مردم زمانه هر حق برد کعبند هرکه دند

¹⁴ In the *Qorân*, *qabala* occurs in the same manner without the addition of an object.

و دانسته خواهد رساند	در خواهد رسید رناده ارس
از راهب العنانات معلوم	کردن العرص سلام
کردند و در هوا شدند	حنواں عنربا طفر اعممان
بعد از انعام مسجد را همام	عرص که به نحاره کدود
.....

از جویز : بیکار فافسان

مراد حال

از مسجد بد کردن عتلسال زوع

TRANSLATION.

‘O (*Thou*) who manifestest spiritual wonders! On Monday, the 24th of month Zu’l Hijja A. 989, (19th January 1582), the Nawab Mirzâ Murad Khan with sacred observances made the beginning of this mosque. The second day—, on Tuesday the 26th (*sic.*), the *faqir*’ Abdassamad comes for the sake of the mosque. Two milk-white pigeons came down from the air and saluted him, and after the benediction¹⁵ they said ‘we are from the blessed Makka; will you command for us and our friends a place of rest in this mosque?’ The *faqir* said, ‘Why not? But the mosque lest oppression . . . should come from the men of the world.’ They said ‘every one who purposely—brings it on him it will come. More than that will be known from the bestower of bounties.’ They saluted and disappeared in the air After the completion of the mosque the petition which the pigeons

This mosque has been built by the noble exalted Murād Khân, son of Jauhar 'Alî Khân Qâqshâl.'

Murâd Qâqshal in 988 became commander of 1,000 and received the title of Khân (*Akbarnāma*, vol. III, pp. 304, 305). In 991 he fought against Ma'sûm Khân Kabuli (p. 417).

It is well known that the mosque at Makka abounds in flocks of wild pigeons which are considered as inviolable and are called *kabûtar* (*hamâm*)-i *haram*, 'pigeons of the sacred boundary.' Such were those Makka pigeons which begged an asylum in Murâd Khân's mosque. Besides the impossibility of uniting the numbers 24 and 26 to each other without altering, a chronological difficulty seems to occur in this inscription. The 24th Zu'l Hijja A.H. 989 namely was not Monday but a Friday, and the 26th of the same month was not Tuesday, but a Sunday. I cannot make out these contradictions. In A.H. 988 the 24th Zu'l Hijja fell on a Monday, but the number 989 is quite clear on the rubbing.

¹⁵ This form is not so rare as Rückert indicates. *Grammatik, Poetik und Rhetorik der Perser*, 2nd edition, by Pertsch, p. 249, note 1. Confer also 'bimbūrakbad isht'ghāldūsh',—*Ma'āwar ul Umārā*, vol. 1, p. 121.

The inscription on the right side seems to be of no historical value, and as I could not decipher the whole from the rubbing, I have omitted it.

18. From the Bâdishâhî masjid, 18 lines (size 2' 3" by 1' 5").--

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم ر بالخير
 قال صدر جهان بن میان جنر بن یازید بن دارید
 بنی مسجدا فی بلد شیرپور بمناح التوکل علی الله الغفور
 لعین شاه جهان جهانگیر مشهور سنه الف وانی و اربعون بالشکور
 نال الله تعالى و من یتوکل علی الله بنی مسجد فی دور شاه جهان
 مهر حسبہ ان الله بالغ امره و سربہ (sic) بنکالہ معظم خان
 ند جعل الله لكل شی قدر
 قال النبی صلی الله علیہ وسلم من بنی سنه الف و اثنی و اربعون
 مسجدا فی الدنیا بنی له الله تعالى سبعین
 تصرا فی الآخره سنه الف و اثنی و اربعین الله تآمی فی کل مسلمین

TRANSLATION.

'In the name of the merciful and compassionate God !

Şadr Jahân, son of Miyân Jayû, son of Yâzîd, son of Dâûd, says—

The mosque in the town of Shêrpûr has been built through the benefit of confidence in the forgiving God in the time of Shâhjahân, the famous conqueror of the world, in the year 1042 (*began the 19th July 1632*). God Almighty has said "And whosoever relies on God," *etc.* (*Qorân*, Sûr. lxxv, 3, 4). The Prophet (on whom may rest God's blessing!) has said "He who builds a mosque in the world," *etc.* A. 1042.

This mosque has been built in the age of Shâhjahân in the Şûba of Bengal by Mu'azzam Khân in the year 1042. Allâh is a sufficiency for all the faithful.'

Şadr Jahân's poetry is not of the best. He was not Chief Şadr (*Şadr-î Jahân*) as Şadr Jahân was who filled that office under Akbar and under Jahângîr and whose name coincided with the title of his dignity. If we may be allowed to judge of his poetical abilities from the verses of the above inscription—which to be sure are not worse than thousands of others—it is to be hoped that he imitated the example of his name-sake above mentioned who also composed poems at first, but later gave up poetry (*ma'âsir al Umarâ*, vol. III, pp. 350, 351).

Mu'azzam Khân or, as his name properly was, 'Azam Khân Mîr Muḥammad Bâqir Irâdat Khân became Governor of Bengal after Qâsim Khân in 1041. In the *Riyâz* (pp. 207, 208) it is related that he could not keep the province in order as it ought to be. The inhabitants of Assam (*Ashâm*), neighbours of Bengal, plundered the frontiers unpunished (cf. also *Munt. allubâb*, vol. II, p. 132); they defeated 'Abd al Islâm with a great army of infantry and thousands of horse and made him prisoner with a large booty. After that, A.H. 1044, 'Azam Khân was dismissed and his post bestowed upon Islâm Khân, who undertook successful campaigns against the Râja of Assam. 'Azam Khân was appointed Governor of Allâhâbâd. Shêrpûr which is mentioned in the last inscription

is the so-called Shêrpûr Mârcha (in Elliot-Dowson: Shêrpûr Mîraja). The *Ain-i Akbarî*, vol. I, p. 405, identifies it with Mihmânshâhi, stating its revenues at 2,207,715 *dâms*. Its fort Salîmnagar was built by Râja Mân Singh, Governor of Bengal, in the 40th year of Akbar's reign *Akbarnâma*, vol. III, p. 697; it was so named in honour of Prince Salîm, afterwards the emperor Jahângîr. Shêrpûr was always an important place and a base of operations for the imperial troops.

VI.—BIHÂR.

The following six Bihâr inscriptions belong to four different portions of the history of that province. If we follow Blochmann's division (*Journal of As. Soc. Beng.*, vol. XLII, p. 245), the two first inscriptions fall into the 'Initial period,' or the reigns of the Governors of Lak'hnautî appointed by the Dihlî sovereigns, from the conquest of Bengal by Muḥammad Bakhtiyâr Khiljî, *viz.*, from A. H. 600 to 739, when Fakhr addîn Abu'l Muzaffar Mubârak Shâh, armour-bearer to Bahrâm Khân, the Dihlî Governor of Sunârgâon, on his master's death, proclaimed himself ruler and established an independent dynasty in Bengal. But Bihâr was detached from Bengal and remained to the Dihlî empire till the foundation of the Jaunpûr kingdom, A. H. 796. This is the second period of the history of Bihâr. The third under the Sharqî rulers lasted till 881, when Jaunpûr again became subject to Dihlî. To this fourth period belongs one inscription (No. 22) while Nos. 21 and 24 are of the second, and No. 23 of the third period. Inscription Nos. 19, 20, 21, and 23 have been published by Blochmann.

19. On a fine slab of basalt leaning against the wall of the Choṭâ Dargâh, measuring 3 feet by 8 in.; it contains two lines—

بنی ہد المسجد فی بڑہ السلطان الاعظم سمس الدنا والدن ابی المظفر مہرور شاہ السلطان رانام امارہ
خاندان الروان المخاطب لجامحان
ادام اللہ ظلالہما العدد الرابع دالہ لکرمہ الراہی احقر الخلائف دہرام بن حاجی باب اللہ علیہ رحمۃ
الوالدہ فی العرہ من رحب سنہ خمس و عسره سنعمادہ

TRANSLATION.

'This mosque was built in the reign of the great Sultân Shams addunyâ waddîn Abu'l Muzaffar Fîrôz Shâh, the king, and during the governorship of the Khâqân of the age, known as Hâtîm Khân—may God cause their shadows to last!—by the slave, who trusts in God and hopes for His mercy, the meanest of creatures, Bahrâm, son of Hâjî—may God forgive him and may He pardon his parents!—In the beginning of the month of Rajab of the year 715' (*1st October 1315*).

Hâtîm Khân was a son of Fîrôz Shâh, as we see from the inscription published by Blochmann (*ut sup.*). He must have been governor of Bihâr during the years 709—715.

20. Over the gateway of the *Sukânât* or residency of the Imperial governor in Bihâr, was a beautiful inscription¹⁶ (now in the Bayley Sarai) of three lines, measuring 4 feet by 1' 10"—

بسم اللہ الرحمن الرحیم
شد بتحدید عمارہ اس دروازہ عالی عالم ارای راین طاب ربیع ملک ساسی
درام خلافت حلیفہ چہاندہ اسمان بازگاہ خدائگان سلاطین

¹⁶ See facsimile No. 3.

کهنان فرمان فرمای عالمیان ذی الامس والامال الاهل الامن وارث ملک سلیمان ابوالعجادی محمد بن
 الملكشاه السلطان خلدت خلافة وسلطانه فی الغرة من السهر المبارک رمضا[ن سنه] ابدی و بلس رسدعانه

TRANSLATION.

‘In the name of the merciful and compassionate God.

This high and world-adorning gate, and this lofty, heaven-touching portico were renewed in the reign of the Khalifa, the asylum of the world, whose court is the heaven, the lord of the kings of the universe, the ruler of mankind, who gives security and safety to the people of the Faith, the heir of the kingdom of Salomon, Abu'l Mujâhid Muḥammad, son of Tughluq Shâh, the king—May his kingdom and rule be perpetuated! In the beginning of the blessed month of Ramazân, 732' (27th May, 1332).

Muhammad Shâh's inscriptions, which have been published up till now, are of the years 726 (*Proc. of the As. Soc. Beng.* for 1873, p. 199), 732 (*Proceedings* for 1874, p. 72), and 737 (*Journal of the As. Soc. Beng.*, vol. XLII, p. 299),—the last also being from Bihâr.

21. On the Dargâh of Ibrâhîm Abû Bakr Malik Bayyû's shrine, which lies on a hill to the north-west of the town, dimensions 5' 1" by 1' 2" two lines—

بعده دولت شاه جهان کبر	۱۷ کی بادا در بهار ملک نوروز
شهنشاه جهان دروز سلطان	کی بر شاهان کیبی دشت فیروز
ملک سرت ملک ببر ابراهیم	کی بد دردن جو ابراهیم بدن توز
نامه ذی الحجہ یکشنبه از دهر	بدست خون سیرده از مهر دردن سوز
بجرت هفصد و پنجه سه تاریخ	مسافر شد ملک در جنب بدن روز
خداوند فضل خویش بر وی	کلی اسان حساب اخرین روز

TRANSLATION.

Metre: *Hazaj*—

‘In the time of the reign of the world-conquering Shâh (may the power of the new-year's day be in Bihâr!), the king of the world, Fîrôz, the king who was victorious over the kings of the universe. The king of virtue Malik Bayyû Ibrâhîm, who in the faith was as zealous as Abraham, in the month of Zi'l Hijja, on a Sunday, of the time when thirteen sun-burnt (*days were passed*) (?), in the year 753 A. H. (20th January 1353), travelled on that day to Paradise. O Lord, in Thy kindness, make the account of the last day light for him!’


Respecting Malik Bayyû, who is, *par excellence*, the saint of Bihâr, see *Journal As. Soc. Beng.*, vol. XI-II, p. 300.

22. From the Bayley Sarâi at Bihâr. Two lines (size 1' 5" by 8 in.)—

بتارخ نازدهم ماه رجب قدره سنه ستون تسعمایه نازان شهید پسر حاجی اسحاق موسیجل [؟]
 درین مقام حقه ارباع و حوض بناد اینجا برسد بروج حقه و آنچه کان اذا لنا [س] [؟]

¹⁷ This archaic spelling, instead of *kib*, occurs several times in the inscriptions.

NO. 3. BIHAR INSCRIPTION OF A.H. 732.



J. Burgess, impress.

Scale: 1/5th of original.

TRANSLATION.

'On the date of the 11th of month Rajab—may its honour increase!—of the year 960 (*the 23rd January 1553*) Nārān Shāhid, son of Hājī Ishāq . .

On this place¹⁸

23. From a mosque which stood opposite to the Choṭā Takya on the other bank of the Adyānadi, in Bihār. The mosque has disappeared; only a large square stone platform is left, where the slab was found. The inscription is in two parts, each measuring 3' 5" by 1' 2" and bearing two lines—

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم. قال عليه السلام من بنى	مسجدا لله بنا الله له ندا في الحدا
شد ندرتقى الهى راز طفيل مصطفى	مسجد جمعه بعد شاه دن برور بنا
شاه محمود ابن ابراهيم شاه عادل الملك	كشور از شاهان ستاند باج بحشد برکدا
بانی اس مسجد ان مسند شریعت هسب کو	ذات ناکش قرآلعن نبی و مرضا
سرور و صدر جهان ان سند احمل که شد	ملک و ملت و دین و دولت را در از النجا
کرده فرمایش بناء خیر ملک الشرف کان	مقطع دارد درین خطه نصیر ابن بها
ان بنا شد استوار از طاب کسری در بهار	کعبه در عطمت برعت نبت معمر علا
عمره ماه رجب بد هیصد و چهل و هفت سال	کادرین مسجد امامت شد بتایید خدا

TRANSLATION.

Metre: *Ramal*—

'In the name, *etc.* He upon whom be peace (*the Prophet*) says "He who builds *etc.*"

By divine grace and for the sake of Muṣṭafā (*Muḥammad*), the Jum'a mosque was built in the reign of the faith-nourishing king Shāh Maḥmūd, son of Ibrāhīm, the just king who takes realms from kings, (*and*) gives beggars crowns. The builder of this mosque is the throne of law, who is pure in nature, the beloved of the Prophet and of Murtazā ('*Alī*'), the chief and the lord of the world, the perfect Sayyid, with whom realm and faith, religion and empire, take refuge. The *muqti*, the David¹⁹ in this district, Naṣīr, son of Bahā, ordered this building (*to be erected*), the best in the Eastern kingdom (*Jaunpūr*). This building in Bihār is stronger than the portico of Kistrā; it is a Ka'ba in grandeur, and in loftiness the edifice of sublimity. It was in the beginning of Rajab, of the year 847²⁰ (*25th October 1443*), when, with the assistance of God, the (*first*) *iqāma* took place in this mosque.'

The metrical Bihār inscriptions are all remarkable for their very bad poetry. This is the fourth known inscription of Maḥmūd Shāh of Jaunpūr, the others being dated in the years A. H. 847 (from Bihār), 850 (above No. 1), and 859 (also from Bihār, published by Blochmann).²¹

¹⁸ I have not succeeded in making out the meaning of the second line.

¹⁹ In this inscription the letters *re* and *ddl* often cannot be separated. Blochmann has read *dāwar*.

²⁰ The form *hiṣad*, instead of *hashtpad*, is not at all, seldom in Indian inscriptions.

²¹ The Maḥmūd Shāh inscription on a stone in the Hindu temple at Bediban is very dubious, see General Sir A. Cunningham's *Archæological Survey Reports*, vol. XVI, p. 25, Plate iv.

24. Also from the Bayley Sarāi. Two lines (size 3 feet by 1' 3"); the right side is partly broken—

..... مدار رب خاراده سلعل
 افشار اعل سیف و کار هجا اعدار [؟] کردگار
 دفرار تاریخ هجرت هفصد و ناسب و هفت باز از ماه محرم فی شحرم شمار

TRANSLATION.

Metre: *Bamal*—

'..... In the time of the Khānzāda.....

Who is the glory of the men of the world and an esteemed satirist (?).....

The account of the Hijra-date is 767 (*begin the 18th September 1365*); backwards from the month of Muḥarram reckon.....²²

VII.—MUNÊR.

Munêr, Māner or Munair, is a very old place on the right bank of the Son at its confluence with the Ganges. Elliot-Dowson erroneously confounds it sometimes with Mungir. Firishṭa ascribes its foundation to the mythical times of Firôz Râi, son of Keshû Râj, a contemporary of the hero Rustam (*lithogr. ed.*, vol. I, p. 18, *muqaddima*). General Cunningham, in his *Archæological Survey Reports*, vol. VIII, pp. 22, 23, offers a theory of his own about the establishment of Munêr immediately after the Muham-madañ conquest. He supposes that the Son through some unknown cause at that time had abandoned its original bed and taken its present course, thus forming new waste lands which had no name before, not having been in existence. The town was almost half a *krôh* distant from the river. Bâbar in his *Memoirs* (p. 478) describes its situation in the following words: 'Down the stream from the place where I was I saw a large number of trees; they said to me that it was Munêr.'

In the *Āin* (vol. I, p. 419) Munêr is registered with 89,039 *bighas*, 15 *biswas*, 7,049,179 *dāms*, (*say*) 325,380 *dāms*. Bâbar and Sikandar Shāh Lōdī are related to have made pilgrimages to the shrine of Shaikh Yahyā, father of Shaikh Sharaf addīn (*Tārīkh-i Dāūdī* in Elliot-Dowson's *History*, vol. IV, p. 462, Bâbar's *Memoirs*, p. 478), from whom the *pargana* occasionally is named *Munêr-i Shaikh Yahyā*. Shaikh Sharaf addīn was also a renowned saint; in his honour his disciple Ibrâhīm Qiẓām Farûqī has called his dictionary *Sharafnāma* (*Journal of As. Soc. Beng.*, vol. XXXVII, p. 7). Munêr now belongs to the district of Patna, subdivision Danāpūr.²³

25. Two lines (dimensions 1' 9" by 8 in.)—

محمد الله در عهد شه انجب شه محمود سلطان مہذب
 بہین مسجد کہ بد بانی اول جلیل الحق زقطاب مقرب
 جو حماد خطیرار عمارت کرد باز از سر مرتب
 زہجرت ہفصد و ہشت و نود بود بعصمت دار بنیادش تو ای رب

²² The first and the third hemistich have been almost ruined; besides the letters of the inscription are very rude, so that I could decipher it only fragmentarily.

²³ No information is given about the places where the following three Munêr inscriptions have been found.

TRANSLATION.

Metre: Hazaj—

'By God's grace (*it was*) in the time of the most noble king Maḥmūd, the sincere monarch, when this fine mosque, whose first builder was Jalīl al Haqq, one of the confidential chiefs, was rebuilt and newly adorned by Hammād Khāṭir

It was in 798 A. H. (*began the 16th October 1395*). Preserve its foundation in security. O God.'

This and the Maḥmūd Shāh inscription of A. H. 799 (*Journ. of As. Soc. Beng.* vol. XLII, p. 304), are valuable, because they show that Nuṣrat Khān, the opposing king, who was advanced as a claimant to the throne by Sa'adat Khān A.H. 797, was not acknowledged in Bihār. It is not easy to form a correct picture of the complicated conditions then existing in the empire. Khwāja-i Jahān (*Malik ashsharq Khwāja-i Sarāī*), the founder of the Jaunpūr kingdom, had got into his possession the provinces of Qanauj, Kaṛah, Audh, Sandāla, Dalamau, Bahrāich, Bihār, and Jaunpūr; the emperor Maḥmūd was confined for some years only to old Dihlī, the fortress of Sīrī, and the Jāhānpanāh, while the districts of the Doāb, of Sambhal, Pānipat, Jhajhar and Rohtak were occupied by Nuṣrat Khān, *viz.*, Sultān Naṣṭraddīn, who had his residence at Firōz-ābād. Other provinces perpetually changed their masters, ambitious *amīrs* and *maliks*, who combatted each other angrily. The harvest was fully ripe for the bloody reaper Timūr.

26. Three lines (size 5' 9" by 1' 4")—

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ لَا إِلَهَ إِلَّا اللَّهُ مُحَمَّدٌ رَسُولُ اللَّهِ
وَسَبَقَ الَّذِينَ اتَّقَوْا رَبَّهُمْ إِلَى الْحَيَّةِ زَمَرًا حَتَّى إِذَا جَاؤَهَا وَصَعَتِ أَدْوَانَهَا وَقَالَ لَهُمْ خَزَائِنُهَا سَلَامٌ عَلَيْكُمْ طِبْتُمْ
وَدَخَلُوهَا خَالِدِينَ

كُنْتُ مِي فِكْرِ سَنِّ هَذَا الدَّابِ بَأَنَّ قَلْبِي بِحَوْلِهِ سَاكِنًا
قَالَ عَقْلِي عَلَي طَرِيقِ الْأَمْرِ قُلْ مَنْ دَخَلَهُ كَانَ أَمِينًا
چون در روضه مقدس شاه روی رفعت نهاد [بر اتمام]
سال انعامش از خرد جسمم خردم بهر این خُسنه مقام
دعا لب کشوده و گفتا در دولت کشا[ده باد درام]

TRANSLATION.

'There is no God, *etc.* But those who fear their Lord, *etc.* (*Qorān*, Sūr. xxxix, 73).

Metre: Ramal—

I was thinking to make out the chronogram of this gate, my heart was quiet by His (*God's*) power. My mind said by way of command "say, who entered it was safe."

Metre: Khafīf—

When the *gate* of the holy sepulchre of the king raised its face towards completion, I asked the mind the year of its finishing. For this auspicious place the mind opened the lip of blessing, and said, "may the door of power remain open for ever."

Both *tārikhs* give the year 1022 (*began the 21st February 1613*).

27. Three lines (10' 9" by 1' 3").—

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم لا اله الا الله محمد رسول الله اللهم صلى على محمد وعلى آل محمد وبارك
 سلم اشهد ان لا اله الا الله وحده لا شريك له واشهد ان محمد عبده ورسوله قال الله تعالى ان
 ازل بيت وضع للناس للذى ببكة مباركا وهدى للعالمين فيه ايات بينات مقام ابراهيم ر من دخله كان
 منا والله على الناس حتم البيت من استطاع اليه سبيلا ومن كفر فان الله غنى عن العالمين
 چو این عالی سراى کعبه تمثال جهان ارا بفيض صانع قادر تمامی اقتضا کرده
 دل عاصی همی جست از خرد سال بنای او خرد کفنا جر ابراهیم بیت الله بنا کرده

TRANSLATION.

'In the name, *etc.* There is no God, *etc.* O God, have mercy on Muhammad and on the descendants of Muhammad. I testify that there is no God, but God who is alone and has no partner. I testify also that Muhammad is His slave and His Apostle. God Almighty has said, "Verily, the first house, *etc.*" (*Qorān*, Sūr. iii, 90-91).

(Metro: *Hazaj*)—

When this high, Ka'ba-like, world-adorning edifice, through the benevolence of the powerful Creator, was completely erected, the heart of 'Âṣī was consulting the mind for the year of its erection. The mind said, "just as Abraham has built the house of God."

According to the *abjad* reckoning the *tārikh* gives 1028 A.H. (*began the 19th, December 1618*).

The *tārikh* betrays the name of the builder, *viz.* Ibrāhīm Khān Fath Jang, son of Ghiyās Beg of Tahrān. This combination relies upon the very similar *tārikh* in the '*Haft Qulzum*' (Rückert-Pertsch, p. 223): *banā-yi Ka'ba-ya sāni nihād Ibrāhīm*, 'the erection of the second Ka'ba has been made by Ibrāhīm,' where Ibrāhīm Khān Fath Jang is expressly named as builder. He became governor of Bihār A.H. 1024, and afterwards of Bengal A.H. 1028, and stayed there till 1032, when he was killed in the battle with Prince Shāhjahān. The *tārikh* of the *Haft Qulzum* gives the date 1040, but as Ibrāhīm Khān had already died in 1032, this date cannot be correct. Perhaps the *izāfat* after *banā* should not be reckoned, so that the year would be 1030

XXIII.—BENARES COPPER-PLATE INSCRIPTION OF KARNADEVA.

THE [CHEDI] YEAR 793.

BY PROFESSOR F. KIELHORN, PH.D., C.I.E.; GÖTTINGEN

This inscription was first brought to public notice, about eighty-five years ago, by Captain Wilford, who, in the *Asiatic Researches*, vol. IX, page 108, gave the following account of it :—

“ A few years ago (in 1801) this grant was found, at the bottom of an old well filled with rubbish, in the old fort of Benares. It is engraven upon two brass plates, joined by a ring, to which is affixed the Imperial seal. It is of the same size nearly, and in the same shape, with that found at Mongir. The writing is also the same, or at least without any material deviation. The Imperial seal is about 3 inches broad : on it, in *bas-relievo*, is Pārvatī with four arms, sitting, with her legs crossed : two elephants are represented, one on each side of her, with their trunks uplifted. Below is the bull, Nandi, in a reclining posture, and before him is a basket. Between Pārvatī, and the bull, is written *Śrī-Karṇa-deva*.¹ The grant is dated the second year of his new Era, and also of his reign, answering to the Christian year 192 The ancestors of Śrī-Karṇa-deva, mentioned in the grant, were, first, his father Gāṅgeya-deva, with the title of *Vijaya-kantaka* : he died in a loathsome dungeon. He was the son of Kokalla-deva, whose father was Lakshmaṇa-rāja-deva.”

The plates, thus described by Captain Wilford, were lost for a long time ; but they were rediscovered about 1862, when, through the kindness of Mr. Griffith, then Principal of the Benares College, Sir A. Cunningham received an impression of the inscription, together with a transcript which had been prepared by one of the students of the College.² Both were made over to Dr. F. E. Hall, who now at my request has placed them at my disposal,³ and has thus enabled me to publish the text of this inscription the original of which has again been lost sight of. Fortunately the impression, which has thus come into my hands, has been prepared with great care, so that the loss of the original plates will be less felt than would have been the case otherwise.

The inscription is on two plates which appear to measure about 1'4" broad by 11 $\frac{1}{4}$ " high each, and each of which is inscribed on one side only. Each plate contains a round hole, about $\frac{5}{8}$ " in diameter, for a ring to which was affixed the seal, described by Wilford. The plates probably are thick and substantial, for, to judge from the impression, the letters must have been deeply engraved. The first plate contains twenty-eight lines of very close writing, the second only twenty lines. The writing is well preserved almost throughout, so that, with the exception of about half a dozen *aksharas*, the actual reading of the text is hardly at all doubtful. The size of the letters is between $\frac{5}{16}$ " and $\frac{3}{8}$ ". The characters are Nāgarī of the period to which the inscription belongs, the 11th century A.D. The language is Samskrit, excepting a verse in line 13, which is in

¹ I give the names which occur in this extract as we should spell them now.

² See Sir A. Cunningham's *Archæological Survey of India*, vol. IX, page 82. In justice to myself I must say here that the transcript, mentioned above, has not been of any use to me.

³ I have to thank my friend Professor Lauman for having personally communicated to Dr. Hall my request, which has been granted most readily.

Māhārāshtrī Prākṛit. Excepting the introductory *om om namaḥ Śitāya* and the words *kim-vāpareṇa* in line 13, lines 1-32, which mainly contain the genealogy of the grantor, are in verse; the rest of the inscription, being the formal part of the grant, is in prose, but includes six of the usual benedictive and imprecatory verses, in lines 44-48.—As regards orthography, *ḍ* is throughout denoted by the sign for *ṣ*; the dental sibilant is used instead of the palatal everywhere, except in the word *śrī* which ordinarily is spelt correctly; *kṣh* is employed instead of *khy* in *saukṣha*, line 7, and *kṣhātām*, line 27, and *ky* instead of *kṣh* in *kaukyeyako*, line 26; *j* and *y* are confounded, e.g., in *parjjanaishit* (for *paryyanaishit*), line 10, *durjjasaḥ* (for *duryyasaḥ*), line 27, and *anuya* (for *anuja*), in lines 16 and 22; and the dental nasal is employed instead of the lingual in *āṇṛinya*, line 8, and *kārunya*, line 20, and instead of *anustāra* in *vansa*, in lines 6 and 45. In addition to these, the inscription contains many other errors, due to the carelessness of the writer or engraver. Thus, the signs of *anustāra* and *visarga* and whole *aksharas* (or even groups of *aksharas*) are often omitted, short vowels are used instead of long ones, and medial *e* and *o* are employed instead of *ai* and *au*. Such and other mistakes, too numerous to enumerate here, occur especially frequently in the bottom lines of the first plate; and they have sometimes rendered it impossible for me to restore the correct wording of the original text. Nevertheless, there is no doubt whatever as to what historical facts are intended to be recorded in the poetical part of the inscription; and I am particularly glad to be able to state that the date of this document is so clearly written and engraved as to remove any doubts which may have been entertained in this regard.

The inscription is one of the *Paramabhāṭṭāraka Mahārājādhirāja* and *Paramesvara*, the devout worshipper of Maheśvara (Śiva), the lord over Trikaṇḍa, the illustrious Karnaḍa, who meditated on the feet of the *Paramabhāṭṭāraka Mahārājādhirāja* and *Parameśvara*, the illustrious Vāmadeva (lines 33-34). The epithets which are here officially applied to Karnaḍa are the same which are used with reference to Narasiṃhadeva in the Lāl-Pahāḍ rock inscription ⁴ of the Chedi year 909, to his younger brother Jayasiṃhadeva in the Rewah copper-plate grant ⁵ of the *Mahārāṇoka* Kirtivarman of the Chedi year 926, and to Jayasiṃhadeva's son Vijayasiṃhadeva in the Kumbhī copper-plate grant ⁶ of the Chedi year 932, and which apparently were applied also to Karnaḍa's immediate successor Yaśaḥkarnaḍa in the Jabalpur copper-plate grant ⁷ [of the Chedi year 874]. As regards the meaning of these epithets, I have already had occasion to state ⁸ that I agree with Sir A. Cunningham in considering the term Trikaṇḍa to denote, or to be an older name of, the province of Telīṅga; but that I am unable to explain the exact significance of the phrase 'who meditated on the feet of the *Paramabhāṭṭāraka Mahārājādhirāja* and *Parameśvara*, the illustrious Vāmadeva,' which we thus find employed with reference to five different kings. In accordance with ordinary usage, that phrase ought to mean that Vāmadeva was one of the ancestors of the princes who are said to have meditated on his feet; but none of the inscriptions of the Chedi

See *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVIII, page 212. The inscriptions of Narasiṃhadeva, Jayasiṃhadeva and Vijayasiṃhadeva add *nijabhujopārjii-āsvapati-gajapati-narapati-rājatrayādhipati*, after *Trikaṇḍādhipati*.

⁴ See *ib.*, vol. XVII, page 226.

⁵ See *Journal As. Soc. Bengal*, vol. XXXI, page 119.

⁷ See above, page 5; *ib.*, page 7, line 16, the translation should have been: 'And this . . . who [meditates on] the feet of the *Paramabhāṭṭāraka*, *Mahārājādhirāja*, and *Parameśvara*, the illustrious Vāmadeva'

⁸ See *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVII, page 225.

rulers of Tripurī or Ratnapur mention a prince Vāmadeva in the genealogical lists which they contain.

To proceed first with the prose part of the inscription, the king Karṇadeva from his camp of victory,⁹ located at a place the name of which looks like Svasāga in the rubbing, (in lines 34-41) informs his queen, his son¹⁰ (or sons), his chief officials (among whom may be specially pointed out the *mahāpramātāra*¹¹) and others, that, having bathed in the river Venī, worshipped the god Trilochana (Śiva), and performed the proper rites at the annual funeral ceremony in honour of his father Gāṅgeyadeva, on Saturday, the second lunar day of the dark half of Phālguna, he has granted the village of Susī¹² (or Susī) to the learned Viśvarūpa,—a son of Nārāyaṇa, grandson of Vāmana, and great-grandson of Maha,—a student of the Vājasaneyā *śākhā*, who belonged to the Kauśika *gotra*, and whose three *pravaras* were Audala, Devarāta and Vaiśvāmītra, and who or whose ancestors had come from the village of Vesāla. Karṇadeva further (in lines 41-43) directs the inhabitants of Susī to make over to the donee all taxes and whatever else may be due to him by this donation; and he also, in six of the customary benedictive and imprecatory verses (in lines 44-48), appeals to future rulers to keep the grant intact, and warns them not to resume it. The date of the deed with which the inscription ends is Monday, the 9th of the dark half of Phālguna of the year 793.

As regards the localities mentioned in the preceding paragraph, the only thing certain is, that the river Venī, near which Karṇadeva was encamped when making the grant, and on the banks of which Svasāga (?) should be looked for, is the Wen-Gangā of the Central Provinces, which rises about 40 miles south of Jabalpur and flows in a southern direction, about 30 miles east of Nāgpur.¹³ The villages of Susī and Vesāla¹⁴ I am unable to identify.

The date,—Monday, the 9th of the dark half of Phālguna of the year 793—must of course be referred to the Chedi or Kalachuri era, and I feel confident that its European equivalent is Monday, the 18th January¹⁵ A.D. 1042, as I have given it in *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVII, p. 216, and *ante*, vol. I, p. 33. Since I wrote my paper on the epoch of the Chedi era four years ago, I have ascertained from the best materials the exact reading of eleven out of the twelve Chedi dates which contain sufficient *data* for verification; and there can be no doubt that all these dates, without exception, work out satisfactorily, as dates in current years with the 26th August (= Āśvina-śudi 1¹⁶) A.D. 249, or as dates in expired years with the 5th September (also = Āśvina-śudi 1) A.D. 248 as the initial day of the era, and with the *pūrṇimānta*

⁹ The Sanskrit for 'camp of victory' is *vijaya-kaṣṭaka*, for which Captain Wilford put *vijaya-kaṇṭaka*, and which he took to be a title of the king Gāṅgeyadeva. Wilford's *vijaya-kaṇṭaka* has found its way even into the St. Petersburg Dictionary.

¹⁰ Compare the Kumbhī copper-plate, where, instead of *mahādevīm mahārājaputram*, we have *mahārājāsūtrī(?) mahā-kumārāsūtrī-Ājayaśiṃhadeva*.

¹¹ See *ante*, vol. I, page 73, and *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XXI, page 254.

¹² The original also gives the name of the district in which this village was situated, but I am not able to make out its proper reading.

¹³ See Plate i in Sir A. Cunningham's *Archæol. Survey of India*, vol. XVII.

¹⁴ I hardly think that Vesāla could be the ancient Vaisālī, the position of which is shown by Sir A. Cunningham in his *Ancient Geography of India*, vol. I, page 443, and Plate xi.

¹⁵ On this day the 9th *tithi* of the dark half of the *pūrṇimānta* Phālguna ended 17h. 9m. after mean sunrise.

¹⁶ Writing at Nāgpur (which once must have belonged to the Chedi kings), on the 30th October 1799, Colebrooke, whose words may certainly be relied upon, says: 'The new year begins here with the light fortnight of Āśvina; but, opening in the midst of Durgā's festival, New Year's Day is only celebrated on the 10th lunar day.' See *Life of H. T. Colebrooke*, page 163.

scheme of the lunar months. The complete agreement of all the twelve dates proves that the date given in the last line of this inscription,—*sumvat 793 Phālguna-vadi 9 Some*,—is correct; but it also shows that the statement in line 40, according to which Karnadeva performed the funeral rites in honour of his father on Saturday, the second lunar day of the dark half of Phālguna, is incorrect. For the second *tithi* of the same lunar fortnight in which the inscription is dated commenced on Sunday, the 10th January A.D. 1042, 4 h. 15 m. after mean sunrise, and ended 5 h. 49 m. after mean sunrise of Monday, the 11th January, and cannot therefore in any way be connected with a Saturday.¹⁷ I suspect that the day on which the funeral ceremonies were really performed was Saturday, the 12th December A.D. 1041, when the second *tithi* of the dark half of the *pūrṇimānta* Māgha ended about 11 hours after mean sunrise, and that the writer of the grant, who cannot be absolved of carelessness in other respects, wrongly put down in line 40 the month in which he was writing the grant. However this may be, there can be no doubt that Monday, the 18th January A.D. 1042, is really the date of this inscription, and that this is as trustworthy a date for the reign of the king Karnadeva as we could wish to have.

Having thus disposed of the formal part of the grant, I have still to give an account of the thirty-one verses with which the inscription opens. As is the case with most copper-plate inscriptions, these introductory verses contain little more than the genealogy of the grantor, but they furnish some names which have not become known yet from other inscriptions of the same dynasty.

After the words '*om om*, adoration to Śiva,' the inscription opens with a verse in honour of the god Śiva, who is identified here with the supreme Brahma.¹⁸ It then records, in verses 2-4, the origin of the powerful prince Kārtavīrya, the vanquisher of the demon Rāvaṇa;¹⁹ and further relates, in verses 5 and 6, that, sprung from Kārtavīrya's family, there were the famous Haihaya princes, the clan of whom was rendered illustrious by the valorous and pious prince Kokkalla.²⁰

According to verse 7, the hand of this prince Kokkalla granted freedom from fear to Bhoja, Vallabharāja, the illustrious Harsha who is described as the sovereign of Chitrakūṭa, and to the king Śaṁkaragaṇa. It does not seem difficult to identify these four contemporaries of Kokkalla. From verse 17 of the Bilhari inscription we know that Kokkalla, having conquered the whole earth, 'set up two unprecedented columns of his fame,'—in the south the well-known Kṛishṇarāja, and in the north Bhojadeva; and, in commenting on that passage,²¹ I have already adopted Sir A. Cunningham's suggestion that the former of these sovereigns can only have been the Rāshtrakūṭa Kṛishṇa II., who married a daughter of Kokkalla, the king of Chedi, and who reigned from about A.D. 875 to about A.D. 911, and the latter Bhojadeva of Kanauj, for whom we have the dates A.D. 862, 876, and 882. Now Kṛishṇa II. also bore the name Kṛishṇa-vallabha,²² and it is therefore clear that the Bhoja and

¹⁷ On Saturday, the 9th January 1142, the full moon *tithi* ended 3½. 7 m. after mean sunrise.

¹⁸ The same verse occurs at the commencement of the Rewah copper-plate grant of the *Mahārājaka* Salakhapavarmadeva; *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVII, page 228. Compare also the first verse of the Tewar inscription of Jayasimhadēva, above, page 19.

¹⁹ See verse 9 of the Bilhari inscription, *ante*, vol. I, page 263.

²⁰ This name is spelt both *Kokkalla* and *Kokalla*.

²¹ See *ante*, vol. I, page 263.

²² See Fleet, *Dynasties of the Kanarese Districts*, page 36. Since the above was written, Prof. Bhāṇḍārkar has published an inscription in which Kṛishṇarāja's father Amoghavarsha is named Śrī-vallabha; and it is just possible that he may be the Vallabharāja of this copper-plate inscription.

Vallabharāja of the present inscription are the Bhojadeva and Kṛishnarāja of the Bilhari inscription. The proper identification of the illustrious Harsha is suggested both by the circumstance that he is called the sovereign of Chitrakūṭa, and by the fact, to be mentioned below, that Kokkalla's wife was a Chandella princess; for, as Chitrakūṭa is a well-known locality of Bandelkhand²³ which was ruled over by the Chandellas, Harsha must be the Chandella Harshadeva, the successor of Rāhila and predecessor of Yaśovarman. It is true, we have no absolutely certain date for the reign of Harshadeva, but, since he apparently had dealings with Kshitipāladeva of Kansuj²⁴ for whom we have the date A.D. 917, and as his grandson Dhaṅgadeva²⁵ was on the throne in A.D. 954, he undoubtedly must have ruled about A.D. 900, and must certainly have been a contemporary of Kṛishṇa-vallabha. Lastly, the Śaṅkaragaṇa of our inscription I believe to be Kokkalla's own son (also called Ranavigraha), whose daughter Lakshmi was married²⁶ by Kṛishṇa-vallabha's son Jagattuṅga. One of the Ratnapur inscriptions tells us²⁷ that the Chedi ruler Kokkalla had eighteen sons, of whom the first-born was ruler of Tripuri, while the others became lords of *maṇḍalas*; and it does not seem at all improbable that Kokkalla already during his life-time may have assigned part of his dominions to Śaṅkaragaṇa, one of his younger sons, to be governed by him independently — With all these coincidences, I can only endorse the conclusion, arrived at by Sir A. Cunningham,²⁸ that the reign of Kokkalla, who, as we shall see below, was Kokkalla I., 'may be fixed with certainty to the period between 860 and 900 A.D.'

Our inscription further tells us that Kokkalla married a lady, named Natṭā or Natṭadevi, who was born in the Chandella family (verse 8), and who bore to him a son, named Prasiddhadhavalā (verse 10); and that this prince had two sons, who reigned one after another, Bālaharsha (verse 13), and his younger brother Yuvarājadeva (verse 15).

According to the Bilhari inscription,²⁹ Kokkalla was succeeded by his son Mugdhatuṅga, and his son again was Keyūrarvarsha-Yuvarājadeva, who married Nohalā, a daughter of the Chaulukya Avanivarman.

Comparing these two accounts with each other, it is clear that Prasiddhadhavalā and Mugdhatuṅga are names of one and the same prince, and that the Yuvarājadeva of the copper-plate is the Keyūrarvarsha-Yuvarājadeva of the Bilhari inscription, which omits to mention his elder brother Bālaharsha. In the copper-plate grant all these princes are eulogized in general terms which mean very little. In the Bilhari inscription the only thing definite recorded of them is, that Mugdhatuṅga (Prasiddhadhavalā) conquered the lines of country by the shore of the eastern sea and took Pāli from the lord of Kosala. Of Keyūrarvarsha-Yuvarājadeva it is intimated in the same inscription that he was engaged in many successful wars with different nations; but, on the other hand, an inscription of the Chandella Yaśovarman, who probably was a contemporary of Yuvarājadeva, informs us³⁰ that that Chandella king defeated the Chedi king, and 'brought distress on the shameful Chedis.'

²³ See Sir A. Cunningham's *Archæol. Survey of India*, vol. XXI, page 10.

²⁴ See *ante*, vol. I, page 171.

²⁵ See *ib.*, page 124.

²⁶ See Fleet, *Dynasties*, page 36.

²⁷ See *ante*, vol. I, page 33.

²⁸ *Archæol. Survey of India*, vol. IX, page 103.

²⁹ See *ante*, vol. I, pages 264—266.

³⁰ See *ante*, vol. I, page 132.

The next three princes mentioned in the copper-plate, again without any remark of the slightest value, are Yuvarājadeva's son Lakshmanarājadeva (verse 17), and his two sons Śaṃkaragaṇadeva (verse 19) and his younger brother Yuvarājadeva II. (verse 21), who are all described as ruling sovereigns. The Kāritālāi inscription³¹ has preserved the name of Lakshmanarāja's wife, Rāhaḍā; and the Bilhari inscription records³² that Lakshmanarāja defeated the lord of Kosala, and undertook, difficult to be obstructed in his progress, an expedition to 'the very pleasant western region,' in the course of which he bathed in the sea and worshipped the god Someśvara in Gujarāt. Almost the same story is told of Yuvarājadeva II. in the Karanbel inscription of Jayasinhadeva.³³ As regards the times of these kings, it may be mentioned that Lakshmanarāja's daughter Bonthādevī was the mother of Tailapa³⁴ who restored the Western Chālukya dynasty and ascended the throne in A.D. 973-74, and that, according to the Udaypur *prasaṣti*,³⁵ Yuvarāja was defeated and his capital Tripurī conquered by Vākpati-Muṇja of Mālava, for whom we have the dates A.D. 974, 979, and 993.³⁶

According to the copper-plate grant, Yuvarājadeva II. was succeeded by his son Kokkalladeva II. (verse 23), he by his son Gāṅgeyadeva (verse 25), and he again by his son Karṇa (verse 28) who issued the grant; and this agrees with the information furnished by other Chedi inscriptions. Of Kokkalladeva II. we know nothing beyond his name. Gāṅgeyadeva, according to the Jabalpur copper-plate of Yaśaḥkarṇadeva,³⁷ also bore the name Vikramāditya; and the same inscription records that, 'fond of residing at the foot of the holy fig-tree of Prayāga, he found salvation there together with his hundred wives.'³⁸ Other Chedi inscriptions also eulogize both his valour and piety, and even in a Chandella inscription³⁹ he is styled the conqueror of the universe. Gāṅgeya is mentioned as the ruler of Dāhāla (or Chedi) by Alberūni,⁴⁰ in A.D. 1030. We also possess a short inscription of his reign which, according to Sir A. Cunningham,⁴¹ is dated in the (Chedi) year 789 = A.D. 1037-38; and we know him to have been a contemporary of the Chandella Vijayapāla,⁴² who had ceased to rule⁴³ before A.D. 1051. In all probability Gāṅgeyadeva's reign ended about A.D. 1040, not more than a year or two before the date of Karṇa's copper-plate.

Of Karṇadeva, 'the lord of the Kalachuris,' the Jabalpur copper-plate,⁴⁴ besides eulogizing his valour, records that he founded the town of Karṇavatī, and that at Kāśī or Benares he built a splendid temple, called Karṇa's *Meru*. The Bhera-Ghāt inscription of Alhanadevi⁴⁵ represents him as having subdued or held in check the Pāṇḍyas, Muralas, Kuṅgas, Vaṅgas, Kālīṅgas, Kīras and Hūṇas; and similarly the Karanbel inscription of Jayasinhadeva⁴⁶ makes him be waited upon by the Choḍa, Kuṅga, Hūṇa, Gaḍa, Gūrjara and Kīra princes. As we possess an inscription of his son which is dated

³¹ See above, p. 175.

³² See *ante*, vol. I, page 268.

³³ See *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVIII, page 215.

³⁴ See Fleet's *Dynasties*, page 41.

³⁵ See *ante*, vol. I, page 237, verse 15.

³⁶ See *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XX, page 403.

³⁷ See above, page 6.

³⁸ I believe, Captain Wilford got the 'loathsome dungeon, in which he lets Gāṅgeyadeva die, out of the words [*Seṣāḍ*]-*ga-samādhāṣṭa* (cf. l. 33 of the copper-plate).

³⁹ See *ante*, vol. I, page 219, and page 222, l. 14.

⁴⁰ See Sachau's Translation of Alberūni's *India*, vol. I, page 202.

⁴¹ See *Archaeol. Survey of India*, vol. XXI, page 113.

⁴² The copper-plate of Vijayapāla's successor Devavarmadeva is dated in Vikrama 1107; see *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XIX, page 364, No. 178.

⁴³ See above, page 6.

⁴⁴ See above, page 15.

⁴⁵ See *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVIII, page 215.

eighty-one years later than his own copper-plate,⁴⁶ it is clear that Karna's reign was a long one; and it is certain that he was engaged in many wars and that his power, for a time, was severely felt by his neighbours. According to the Nāgpur *prāśasti*⁴⁷ Udayāditya of Mālava, whom we know to have ruled in A.D. 1080, freed the land from the dominion of Karna, who, joined by the Karṇāṭas, had swept over the earth like a mighty ocean; and the great achievement which the Chandella inscriptions⁴⁸ report of Kirtivarman, and which has even been recorded in a well-known Sanskrit play, is, that that prince defeated Karna, the favourite of Fortune, and by doing so restored the independence of the Chandella kingdom. The grammarian Hemachandra⁴⁹ eulogizes Bhimadeva I. of Anhilvād (A.D. 1021-63) for having conquered Karna in battle; and, similarly, the poet Bilhana,⁵⁰ who elsewhere describes Karna as the god of death to the lord of the Kālānjara mountain, meaning to the Chandella king, records his defeat by the Western Chālukya Someśvara I. (about A.D. 1042-68).

Here I might well close my account of Karna's copper-plate grant; but, having given the genealogy of the rulers of Chedi so far, I may perhaps be permitted to give also the names of the remaining known members of the same dynasty, together with the few facts recorded of them in their inscriptions.

Karna married the Hūṇa princess Āvalladevī⁵¹ and was succeeded by the son whom she bore to him, Yaśaḥkarna, whose Jabalpur copper-plate grant must have been issued in A.D. 1122, shortly before the close of his reign. Yaśaḥkarna's name also occurs⁵² in a copper-plate inscription of Govindachandradeva of Kanauj of the Vikrama year 1177 = A.D. 1120, by which the latter sanctions the transfer of some land which had been originally granted by the former, and which apparently proves that during the reign of Yaśaḥkarna part of the Chedi dominions had passed into the possession of the kings of Kanauj. A successful expedition against Tripuri by Lakshmadeva of Mālava, the son and successor of Udayāditya, which probably took place during Yaśaḥkarna's reign, is mentioned in the Nāgpur *prāśasti*.⁵³ The only exploit which Yaśaḥkarna's own inscription has to record of him, is, that he 'extirpated with ease' the ruler of Andhra near the river Godāvari;⁵⁴ and the same feat is probably alluded to in the Bhera-Ghāt inscription of Alhaṇadevī, where that inscription speaks of the devastation by Yaśaḥkarna of Champāranya.⁵⁵

Yaśaḥkarna was succeeded by his son Gayākarna, of whose reign we possess an inscription⁵⁶ dated in the Chedi year 902 = A.D. 1151, when his son Narasimha had already been appointed *Yuvarāja*. Gayākarna (or Gayakarna) married⁵⁷ Alhaṇadevī,

⁴⁶ See above, page 2.

⁴⁷ See above, page 192.

⁴⁸ See *ante*, vol. I, pages 220 and 326. The Deogadh rock inscription of Kirtivarman is dated in Vikrama 1154-A.D. 1098; see *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XIX, page 36, No. 61.

⁴⁹ See Bühler, *Ueber das Leben des Jaina Mönche Hemachandra*, page 69.

⁵⁰ See *Vikramāṅkadevacharita*, I, 102-103, and XVIII, 93.

⁵¹ See above, page 2.

⁵² See *Journal As. Soc. Bengal*, vol. XX XI, page 124.

⁵³ See above, page 193, verse 39.

⁵⁴ See above, page 7, verse 23.

⁵⁵ See above, page 15, verse 14. If the above is correct, Champāranya ought to denote a tract of country near the Godāvari river.

⁵⁶ See *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVIII, page 210.

⁵⁷ See above, page 9, and *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVIII, page 215, and vol. XVI, page 34.

a daughter of the king Vijayasimha,—a son of Vairisimha, who was a son of Hamsapāla of the Guhila family of Mewād,—and his wife Śyāmaladevī, a daughter of Udayāditya of Mālava. And Alhanadevī bore to him two sons, Narasimhadeva and Jayasimhadeva, who succeeded their father one after the other. Of Narasimhadeva's reign we possess three inscriptions,⁶⁴ dated in the Chedi years 907 and 909 = A.D. 1155 and 1158, and in the Vikrama year 1216 = A.D. 1159; and of Jayasimhadeva's reign three inscriptions⁶⁵ have been edited, two of which are dated in the Chedi years 926 and 928 = A.D. 1175 and 1177. Jayasimhadeva married⁶⁶ Gosaladevī, and was succeeded by their son Vijayasimhadeva, of whose reign we have two copper-plate inscriptions,⁶⁷ of the Chedi year 932 = A.D. 1180, and the Vikrama year 1253 = A.D. 1196, the first of which gives us the name of Vijayasimha's son, the prince Ajayasimhadeva. Of all these princes the inscriptions mention not a single fact which would be worth noticing here.

According to the preceding account the list of succession of the Kalachuri rulers of Chedi or Pāhāla, beginning with Kokkalladeva I., would be as follows:—

1. Kokkalladeva I.; contemporary of Bhojadeva of Kanauj (A.D. 862, 876, 882), of the Rāshtrakūṭa Kṛishṇa II. (Kṛishṇa-vallabha, about A.D. 875-911) who married a daughter of his; of the Chandella Harshadeva; and of (his own son) Śamkaragaṇa. He married the Chandella princess Natṭā.
2. His son Mugdhatuṅga-Prasiddhadhavalā.
3. His son Bālaharsha.
4. His younger brother Keyūraravarsa-Yuvarājadeva I.; married Nohalā, a daughter of the Chaulukya Avanivarman.
5. His son Lakshmanarājadeva; married Rāhaḍā. His daughter Bonthādevī was the mother of the Western Chālukya Tailapa (A.D. 973-74).
6. His son Śamkaragaṇadeva.
7. His younger brother Yuvarājadeva II.; contemporary of Vākpati-Muñja of Mālava (A.D. 974, 979, 993).
8. His son Kokkalladeva II.
9. His son Gāṅgeyadeva-Vikramāditya. An inscription of his is dated in A.D. 1037-38(?). Contemporary of Alberūnī (A.D. 1030), and of the Chandella Vijayapāla.
10. His son Karnadeva. His copper-plate grant is dated in A.D. 1042. Contemporary of Bhimadeva I. of Aṇhilvād (A.D. 1021-63), of the Western Chālukya Someśvara I. (A.D. 1042-68), of Udayāditya of Mālava (A.D. 1080), and of the Chandella Kirtivarman (A.D. 1098). He married the Hūṇa princess Āvalladevī.
11. His son Yaśaḥkarnadeva. A copper-plate grant of his is dated in A.D. 1122.
12. His son Gayākarnadeva. An inscription of his is dated in A.D. 1151. He married Alhanadevī, a daughter of Vijayasimha of Mewād and grand-daughter of Udayāditya of Mālava.
13. His son Narasimhadeva. Inscriptions of his are dated in A.D. 1155, 1158 and 1159.

⁶⁴ See above, page 10, and *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVIII, pages 212 and 214.

⁶⁵ See above, page 18, and *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVII, page 226, and vol. XVIII, page 216.

⁶⁶ See *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVIII, page 219.

⁶⁷ See *Journal As. Soc. Bengal*, vol. XXXI, page 120, and *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVII, page 228, and vol. XIX, page 171, No. 104.

14. His younger brother Jayasimhadeva. Inscriptions of his are dated in A.D. 1175 and 1177. His wife's name was Gosaladevi.
 15. His son Vijayasimhadeva. Inscriptions of his are dated in A.D. 1180 and 1196; one of them mentions his son, the prince Ajayasimhadeva.

These fifteen princes, who may be taken to have ruled from about A.D. 875 to about A.D. 1200, represent twelve successive generations, which gives to each generation an average of about twenty-seven years.

TEXT.⁶⁵

First Plate.

- L. 1. ओ⁶⁵ [॥^x] ओ नमः सि(शि)वाय ॥
 निर्गुणं व्यापकं नित्यं सि(शि)वं परमकारण(णं) ।
 भावप्राप्तं परं ज्योतिस्तस्मै सद्(द्)गुणे नमः ॥⁶⁶—[1].
 यद्देवस्थितमव्य[यं]⁶⁶ प-
 2. रमपि जो(ज्यो)तिसि(शि)दंनु(श्च)प्रभं ।⁶⁶
 सूर्याख्यस्य च [भा]स्वरप्रभृतयो⁶⁷ यस्य स्फुरत्यर्भयः [॥^x]
 सर्वज्ञान[म^x]यो व(व)भूव भगवांस्तस्मान्मनुर्मानसो
 यस्मात्पृष्टिरभूदि[यं] [गु]-
 3. णवतो स्त्रीपुत्रिमित्ता ततः ।(॥)⁶⁸ —[2].
 देवः श्रीकार्त्तवीर्यः क्षितिपतिरभवद्गुण(णं) भूतधात्रा
 हेलोत्तिष्ठाद्रिवि(वि)भ्यस्तुहिनगिरिसुतास्त्रे(स्त्रे)वसन्तोषितेस(श्च)म. [॥^x]
 दोर्दण्डा-
 4. काण्डसेतुप्रतिगमितमहापूररेवाप्रवाह-
 व्याधौतद्वत्पूजागुरुजनितरुषं रावणं यो ववम्ब⁶⁹ ।(॥)⁷⁰ —[3].
 यस्य भ्रम[ङ्ग^x]भीता ददति नृपतयः क्षि[ष्ट]-
 5. मावे प्रतिपं⁷¹
 आ के(कै)लास(सा)त्सहेलं हरवृषभसमुत्खातसं(श्च)गाग्रभिसेः ।
 आ चः⁷² प्राचः समुद्रात्पुरसरिदतुलस्थूलमुक्तावलीका-
 दाश्वोर्ध्वेर्दक्षिणाच्च [स्फु]-
 6. रदतुलमणेः सेतुसीमन्तभाजः ।(॥) —[4].
 तद्वत्प्रभवा⁷³ नरेन्द्रपतयः ख्याता[ः^x] क्षितौ हैहया-
 स्तेषामनृ(न्व)यभूषण(णं) रिपुमनोविन्द्यस्ततापानलः ।
 धर्मध्यानध-

⁶⁵ From an impression, received in 1862 by Sir A. Cunningham from Mr. Griffith, then Principal of the Benares College, and now given to me by Dr. F. E. Hall.

⁶⁶ Expressed by a symbol.

⁶⁷ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh). The verse also occurs at the commencement of the Rewah copper-plate grant of the *Mahārāṇaka* Saṅkhaṇavarmanadeva; *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVII, page 228

⁶⁸ This is what is offered by the rubbing, but, as I do not quite understand the first two lines of the verse, I am

not sure that the reading is correct. Perhaps the right reading may be यद्देवा स्थितं.

⁶⁹ This sign of punctuation is superfluous.

⁷⁰ The *akṣhara* in brackets looks in the impression like ओ.

⁷¹ Metre: Śārdūlavikrīṭita.

⁷² Read ववम्ब.—Compare *ante*, vol. I, page 263, verse 9.

⁷³ Metre of verses 3 and 4: Śrngādhara.

⁷⁴ Read दक्षिण पतयः क्षिप्तमारे प्रतिष्ठाम् (०)

⁷⁵ Read च.

⁷⁶ Read तद्वत्.

- L. 7. नानू(नु)संधितमुखः सखत्स[तां]⁷⁴ सौख(ख)क्त-
 त्रेयास(न्म)र्व्वगुणाङ्कितप्रभुतया श्रीमानभूकोक्तः⁷⁵ ॥(१)⁷⁶ —[5].
 सम्यक्ता(क्ता)स्तविचारणा प्रतिदि[नं] धर्माय योगाय च
 इष्टा-
8. पूर्वपरोपकारकृतये यस्वार्थसक्तो(क्ता) मतिः ॥(1)
 भानून्वा(ष्वा)धिगमाय दाननिरतिः सहस(श)पुष्टेस्तथा
 ज्ञानाभ्यासवसान्मसुष्टपदवीमन्ते⁷⁷ च यः प्राप्तवान् ॥(१) —[6].
 भोजे व-
9. [ज्ञभ]राजे⁷⁸ श्रीहर्ष(र्षे) चित्तकूटभु(भू)पाले ।
 स(श)ङ्करगणे च रा[ज^x]नि यस्यासीदभयदः पाणिः ॥(१)⁷⁹ —[7].
 सचिमिवेन्द्र[ः^x]⁸⁰ कमलामुपेन्द्रो नगेन्द्रकन्यामिव चन्द्रमौलिः ।
 चन्द्रेक्षवसप्रभवा⁸¹
10. सुसिता नद्याख्यदेवी स तु पर्जनैपितृ⁸² ॥⁸³ —[8].
 उहामदर्पद्विषतो जयन्तं क(कं)दर्पमापि(पी)नघनस्तनीनाम् ।
 भोजीजनस्त स्थितये जनस्य तस्यां महास(श)क्तिध[रं] कु-
11. मारम् ॥(१) —[9]
 नाम्ना प्रमिहो भुध(व)नत्रयेपि प्रसिद्धपूर्वो(र्व्वो) धवलः स राजा ।
 वोढु⁸⁴ धुरं यो गुरुहारहा योऽन्यानृपेन्द्रान्क[हा]र चकार ॥ —[10].
 एकैक(कं) ददता भुजङ्गमनि-
12. सं(शं) स्व(स्वं) जीवित(तं) रक्षता
 भक्तार्थ(र्थे) गरुडाय नागपतिना न्यक्कार उन्मीलितः ।
 चारित्राय ददो(दौ) जलं सुरपतिर्गच्छन्[ह^x]त्यामृता
 वन्द्यासा(या) भुवनत्रयेष्वयमभू[दौ]-
13. चित्त(त्य)वृत्तेः पदं ॥⁸⁵ —[11].
 किम्वापरिण⁸⁶ .
 होहिन्ति एष्य व(वं)से पुरिसा एहृदयगारवमहग्घा [1^x]
 इष हाविऊण जेणं पाखीण परिग(ग)हो गहिणो ॥⁸⁷ —[12].
 तत्सु(त्सु)नुः ख्यात-

⁷⁴ Read शय्यकतां.

⁷⁵ Read श्रीमानभूकोक्ती°. —The word कोक्तः offends against the metre; but the first line of verse 23 shows that the spelling with double l is correct.

⁷⁶ Metre of verses 5 and 6: Śārdūlavikrīḍita. The hiatus between lines 1 and 2 of verse 6 offends against the metre.

⁷⁷ Read "वसान्मसुष्टपदवी°.

⁷⁸ Originally ज्ञराजे was engraved at the commencement of line 9; but afterwards a letter seems to have been inserted between the akṣharas ज्ञ and रा.

⁷⁹ Metre: Āryā.

⁸⁰ Read सचिमिवेन्द्रः.

⁸¹ Read "वक्षप्रभवा सुकीर्तां.

⁸² Read "देवी स तु पर्यंसेवीत्.

⁸³ Metre of verses 8-10: Upajāti.

⁸⁴ I give this line exactly as it is in the impression. वोढु probably should be वोढुं, and the fourth Pāda may be श्रीऽन्यानृपेन्द्राकरदीचकार; but the proper reading of the whole line I am unable to restore.

⁸⁵ Metre: Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

⁸⁶ Read किं वापरिण.

⁸⁷ Metre: Āryā. 'In this family there will be men highly precious on account of their great dignity; thus considering, he took possession of the regions.' Professor Pischel informs me that पाखी is given as a synonym of दिग् in Hemachandra's *Deśināmāṇī*, VI, 37.

[illegible]

1 4 7 10 13 16 19 22 25 28

L. 14.

कस्मां दिगिभकरनिभाजानुवा(वा)हुर्महात्मा

भूमेभ(भं)र्त्ता व(ब)भूव क्षतरिपुनृपतिर्वा(व्वा)लहर्षः सु[ज^{*}]या ।

यं सहत्तानुरागानुक्ततय(यु)गाचारमास्र(श्चि)त्य जात-

स्य-

15. क्षान्थोन्थोपमर्ह स्थिरवसतिरपास्तारिवर्गति(स्त्रि)वर्गः ।(॥)⁸⁸ -[13].

धन्वोत्र दास(श)रथिरेव रिपुर्हसा(शा)स्यो यस्याभवत्किमपरं समरोत्सवाय ।

भूभङ्ग[भ^{*}]ग्नसकलद्विषतो16. धिगस्मानात्मानमाहवरसादिति यः सुसोच⁸⁹ ॥⁹⁰ -[14].

सत्यव्रतैकनिरतस्य युधिष्ठिरस्य तस्यानुय(ज): प्रथितवा(वा)हुव(ब)लो व(ब)भूव ।

दुर्योधनारिव(ब)लवि(वी)रवधैकध-

17. न्वो पार्थोपरः कलियुगे युवराजदेवः ।(॥) -[15].

भु(भू)भारक्षमदृक्क्ष(क्शु)तिप्र[ण^{*}]यिनीमालस्व(स्व)मानस्तन(तं)कुर्वाणः समरेपि नाग(क)पथगानागच्छतो विहि[व^{*}]: ॥(॥)

विख्या-

18. तां भुवि भूरिमार्गगमनामुच्चैर्हृद्वाहिनीं

यः साक्षात्परमेस्व(श्च)र[ः^{*}] समभवत्सम्यक्स्त्रि(क्विश्व)वाराधनात् ॥⁹¹ -[16].

तस्माद्भूक्ष्णराजदेवः पुण्यौ(स्त्र्यै)र्जनाना(नां)

19. जनिताव्यवस्थः ।

आ(अ)वाप्य यं धर्ममिव क्षितीसं(शं) चिराय क्षेमे जनता सुखानि ॥⁹² -[17].यः सत्यस्य निधिः क्षि(श्चि)यां च ढरणिः सान्ना च धाम्ना [च^{*}] यो

यो दाता च दयालु-

20. रेव च पदं कीर्त्तिसं(स) नीतेसं(स) यः ।

तस्यामीत्यरमेष्ट दूषणकण[ः^{*}] कारुण्य(ण्य)पुण्यात्मनःपात्रापात्रविवेचनं न यदभूत्सव्यस्वदानेष्वपि ॥⁹³ -[18].

श्रीस(श)ङ्करगणदेव-

21. स्ततोभवत्सकलभुवनतलतिलकः ।

सा(शा)सति वमघां यस्मिन्पलायित(तं) क्वापि कलिनापि ॥⁹⁴ -[19].असौ निस्तुंसता⁹⁵यत्र वक्रत्वं पलितागमं [ः^{*}]रथचक्रेषु चारि-⁹⁶22. त्वं वायो[यौ] स्वच्छन्दचारिता [॥^{*}]⁹⁷ -[20].

तस्यानुयो(जो)भु(भू)युवराजदेवः पतिः क्षितेः क्ष[त्र]कुलप्रसू(सू)तिः ।

यस्यासिधाराजलघौतसु(सू)र्त्तिसि(चि)रं क्षिरासि(सो)च(च)पलापि लक्ष्मि⁹⁸[॥^{*}]⁹⁹ -[21].

अर्थि-

⁸⁸ Metre : Bragdhārā.⁸⁹ Read सुसोच.⁹⁰ Metre of verses 14 and 15 : Vasantatilakā.⁹¹ Metre : Śārdūlavikrīḍita.⁹² Metre : Upajāti.⁹³ Metre : Śārdūlavikrīḍita.⁹⁴ Metre : Āryā.⁹⁵ Read निस्तुंसता.⁹⁶ *Aritva*, derived from either *aris* 'a wheel,' or *ari* 'an enemy.'⁹⁷ Metre : Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).⁹⁸ Read लक्ष्मीः.⁹⁹ Metre : Upajāti.

- L. 23. सा[र्था]वधिरुवागः सेसं सूरजयावधि.¹⁰⁰ ,
यस(ग्र)सा(सां) धर्मरासे(ये)स्व(श्च) नावधिर्यस्य भूपतेः ।(॥)¹—[22].
सीसास्ततोयायत² यातकीर्तुः काकलदेवी वस्त्रधिकनाथः ।
ज(य)अण्ड-
24. लायो रिपुमण्डलानि पुरो दधातीति यथार्थस(ग्र)ब्द(ब्द): ।(॥)³ —[23].
मन्त्रं कामुकवत् कृत नियमित तूणीरवत्पृष्ठतः ।
काष्ठासु चणमात्र[ह]स्त्रविहितः [भ्रा]न्तरादादण्डवत् ।(॥)⁴
25. कृत्वा सा[त्र]परिच्छेदेन रहित सम्यक्[रि] स्थापित
सत्रणामखिल कुल नरपति[यिधेभ] येनासिवत् ।(॥)⁵—[24].
काकलनृपादवास्तसकल[का]णी[व]पद⁶
ची-
26. रक्षालितहारगो(गौ)रगुणभूर्गावदेवाभत् ।
यस्याजायत केवलं रणमुखे कौक्वे(क्षे)यकोपेसरः ।⁷
स्त्रात्रेव प्रतिविवि[द्य] पुनरभूदालाव[रं] स[न्मुखं?] [॥⁸]⁹—[25].
27. अगुनेज्जोजलोलिति¹⁰ क्षातं यदिह दुर्जसः ।
लक्ष्म्या तदधुना धी[तं] दिव्यमादाय तद्वपुः ॥¹¹ —[26].
ख्यात[:^x] सत्यतया स धर्मतनयः¹² त्यागेन वि(वै)रोच-
नि:
28. सोयेनेद्रसुर¹³ न चैतदभवत्स[त्वं] गता[चे]तसि ।
एकस्मिंस्त्रितयं कलो¹⁴ समनिक गांगेयदेवे नृपे
[दृ]स्ता रिस्त्रित[मि]व तेर-¹⁵

¹⁰⁰ Read श्रीयै सरजयावधि.

¹ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

² Read सीसास्ततोयायत जातकीर्तुः काकलदेवी वसुधेकनाथः.

³ Metre: Upajāti.

⁴ I am not, perhaps, able to restore the exact reading of this verse, but would suggest the following:—

मन्त्रं कामुकवत्कृतं नियमितं तूणीरवत्पृष्ठतः
काष्ठासु चणमात्रदृष्टविहितमालं च दीदृष्टवत् ।
कृत्वा साधु परिच्छेदेन रहितं सम्यक्करे स्थापितं
सत्रणामखिलं कुलं नरपतित्रेष्ठं येनासिवत् ॥

⁵ Metre: Śārdūlavikrīṭita.

⁶ For the commencement of this line I would suggest कौकल्लाख्यनृपादवास्तसकलचीचीविपद^o; the end of the line I am unable to restore.

⁷ Read °नक्षेत्र्यदेवोभवत्.

⁸ Read °सरसदेव प्रतिविवितं पुनरवृद्ध^o; for the end of the verse I cannot suggest a suitable reading.

⁹ Metre: Śārdūlavikrīṭita.

¹⁰ Read चणुषितीयक्षीरिति ख्यातं यदिह दुर्जसः ।

¹¹ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

¹² Read °तनयस्यानेन.

¹³ I would suggest here श्रीयैचेन्द्रसुती न चैतदभवत्सत्वं मतं श्रीहभिः, but am not sure about the last word of the line.

¹⁴ Read कलो समनिक.

¹⁵ I would suggest reading this line दृष्टा निश्चितमेव तैरवितथ पूर्वं यधीना नृपाः. I take the meaning of the verse to be that, while formerly people did not believe in the truthfulness of Yudhishtira, etc., they now no longer entertain any such doubts, since they have seen that, even in this Kali age, Gāṅgeyadeva possesses all the virtues ascribed to those ancient kings, in a higher degree even than they did.

Second Plate.

I 29.

वितथं पूज्ये येयोक्ता भृपाः ॥¹⁶—[27].

तस्यात्मजः कर्ण इवावतीर्णः कर्णः पृथिव्या(व्यां) प्रथे(थि)तपृ(प्र)भावः ।

यस्याभिसे(वे)कस(अ)वणा[हि]ष-

30.

द्विर्नष्टं प्रहृष्टं हिजमितवर्गैः ॥¹⁷—[28].

यत्कीर्तिलतया दूरं प्रसरन्त्या दिने दिने ॥(1)

त्र(त्रि)ह्याण्डमण्डपाभोगः स्वल्पतामुपनीयते ॥¹⁸—[29].

स्वयं समु-

31.

सृजन्मर्थानर्थिसार्थेष्वचिन्तितान् ।

कोपे(प्ये)ष भूषण(णं) भूमेजङ्गमः कल्म(स्य)पादपः ॥ —[30].

स(श)क्तितमै(ये)कने(नि)लयस्य गुणाकरस्य धर्मात्मनः

32.

स्तुतिपदं किमिहास्ति कि(किं)चित्

आसा(शा)स्यते परमिदं कृतिभिः सदे(दे)व राजन्वती वसुमती भवते(तै)व भूयात् ॥¹⁹—[31]

तवे(दे)वं गुणगणालङ्कत-

33.

स(श)रीरः [स्वसा]ग²⁰समावासितश्चैमहिजयकथ²¹त्यरमभट्टारकमहाराजाधिराजपरमेस्व-
(श्व)रश्रीवाम[दे]वपादानुधान(त)परमभट्टा-

34.

रकमहाराजाधिराजपरमेस्व(श्व)रपरममाहेस्व(श्व)रतृ(त्रि)कलिङ्गा(गा)धिपतिश्चैमत्कर्ण
देव[ः]कुस(श)ली मह्यदेवी महाराजपु[त्रं]²² महामं-

35.

त्रि[णो] महामाया²³महासन्धिविशिष्टिका महाधर्माधिकरणिक्का महाक्षपटलिका महाकर-
णिका स(म)हाप्रतीहारो महासामन्तो

36.

महाप्रमातारो महास्व(श्व)साधनिको महा[भा^x]ण्डागारिको महाध्यक्ष²⁴एतानन्यांसु(स)
कीर्त्तितकीर्त्तितसु²⁵ यथाहै मानयति वो(बो)धयति समाप्तापयति विदित-

37.

म[स्तु] भवता(तां) यथा [हृपाथा]²⁶कासि[भूम्य]²⁶न्त[गो]त[मु]सियामः सात्र(स्त्र)मधूकः
सगर्तस्थलजलोपरः सर्वाकरख[नि^x]प्रभृतिसमुत्प-

38.

त्तिमर्तसु(स)तुराघ(घा)टसीमापर्यन्तः ।²⁷वेसालग्रामविनिर्गताय कोसिकगोत्राय²⁸ओद
लदेवरात्रविस्वामित्रवि²⁹

39.


प्रवराय वाजसनेयसा(शा)स्त्राय ।²⁷महप्रनम्ने वा[म]ननम्ने नारायणपुत्राय पण्डितश्रीविस्व-
(श्व)रूपाय ।²⁷इहैव पितुः श्री-¹⁶ Metre: Śārdūlavikrīḍita.¹⁷ Metre: Indravajrā.¹⁸ Metre of verses 29 and 30: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).¹⁹ Metre: Vasantatilakā.²⁰ Of the word preceding समावासित only the third *akshara* (स) is quite clear; the first *akshara* might perhaps be read श, and the second घा.²¹ Read 'विजयकटकापरम'.²² The *akshara* in brackets may have been altered to वा.²³ What was meant to be engraved here and in the following, was perhaps महामाया । महासन्धिविशिष्टिका, etc. Com-pare, e.g., the Mungir copper-plate grant of Devapāladeva, *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XXI, page 268, line 31.²⁴ I suspect that one or more *aksharas* are omitted in this word.²⁵ Read 'कीर्त्तिवांश'.²⁶ The *aksharas* in these two brackets are very indistinct and doubtful, and I cannot suggest the exact name of the district in which the village of Susi was situated.²⁷ These signs of punctuation are superfluous.²⁸ Read 'कोसिक'.²⁹ Read 'ओदलदेवरात्रविस्वामित्रवि'.

- L. 40. महाङ्गेयदेवस्य संवत्सर³⁰स्मादे फाल्गुनव(ब)हुलपक्षद्वितीयायां स(श)नेम्(ख)रवासरे वेण्यां
 खात्वा भगवंतं देवं देवं³¹तिलोचन-
 41. मम(श)नम(सं)भारप्रकल्पितपंचोपच(चा)र[प्र]पंचेन परया भक्त्या समभ्यर्च्योसमस(श)-
 द्भु(ङ्)या सा(या)ङं विधायोभयभोगेन³²सामत्वेन³³यप्रदत्तः । अतः श्रीमत्क-
 42. र्णदेवपादा[ः] मुनीग्रामनिवासिनः समस्तजनपदान्ममादिस(शं)ति विदितमस्तु भवता-
 (तां) यथा ग्रामीयं³⁴स्वाभिः सा(शा)सनत्वेन प्रदत्त इति मत्वा
 43. भागभोगकर्त्तरिहरण्यदण्डादायकामोत्पन्ति³⁵प्रभृतिसमस्तराजप्रत्यादाय(या)अस्थीपनव्या³⁶इति
 तदपचिकीर्षया मध्ये वातेनापि न
 44. गन्तव्यमिति ॥ अभ्यर्थना ॥³⁷
 सर्वानेतामा(न्भा)विनी राजपुत्राभू(न्भू)यो भूयो याचते रामभद्रः ।
 सामान्योय(यं) धर्मसेतुनृ(र्तु)पाणा(णां) कालि का-
 45. लि पालनीयो भवद्भिः ।(॥)³⁸—[32].
 व(ब)हुभिर्व(र्व)मुधा भुक्ता राजभिः सगरादिभिः ।
 यस्य यस्य यदा भूमिस्तस्य तस्य तदा फलम् ।(॥)³⁹—[33].
 अस्मिन्वन्मे⁴⁰द्वि[ग्नो?]
 46. पि यमुा(द्या)न्यो नृपतिभवेत् ।
 तस्यापि हस्तलग्नोहं सा(शा)सनं न व्यतिक्रमेत् ॥ —[34].
 यानीह दत्तानि पुरा नरेन्द्रेर्दानानि धर्मार्थयम(श)स्कराणि ।
 47. नृमाख्यवास्तप्रतिमानि⁴¹तानि को न(ना)म साधुः पुनराददौत ॥⁴²—[35].
 अस्त्र(स्त्र)मेव(ध)सहस्रेण राजसु(स्त्र)यसहतेन⁴³च [१^४]
 गवां का(को)टिप्रदानेन भूमिह-
 48. त्तां न सु(श)ध्यति ।(॥)⁴⁴—[36].
 सुवर्णमैक(कं) गामे[कां] भूमेरप्येकमङ्गुल(लं) ।
 हरत्र[रक]माप्नोति यावदाहुतसङ्गवं⁴⁵॥—[37].
 संवत् ७८३ फाल्गुनवदि ८ सोमे [॥⁴⁶]

³⁰ Read संवत्सरस्मादे.³¹ Read देवदेव.³² This is what was originally engraved; but some of the *aksharas*, especially म and य, seem to have been altered, and I believe the intended reading to be °योदकसर्वेच.³³ Read प्रासनत्वेन संभ्रमः.³⁴ Read °यमस्वाभिः.³⁵ I would suggest reading °दायाकरीपति°.³⁶ Read °नेतव्या.³⁷ Compare the Kumbh copper-plate, *Jour. As. Soc. Beng.*, vol. XXXI, page 120, —चक्राभ्यर्थना दातुमर्हति यथा.³⁸ Metre: Śālinī.³⁹ Metre of verses 33 and 34: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).⁴⁰ Read अस्मिन्वन्मे द्वितीयो (१).⁴¹ Read निर्मात्य.⁴² Metre: Indravajrā.⁴³ Read °यज्ञतेन.⁴⁴ Metre of verses 36 and 37: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).⁴⁵ Read °हवसंभवम्.

MATHURA SCULPTURES.

Plate 1.



AN AYAGAPATA (b) AND CENTRAL FIGURE OF ANOTHER (a).

XXIV.—SPECIMENS OF JAINA SCULPTURES FROM MATHURA.

BY G. BÜLLER, PH.D., LL.D., C.I.E.

In the several articles on Dr. Führer's discoveries in the Kankālī Tilā at Mathurā (*ante*, vol. I, pp. 371ff., 393ff.; vol. II, pp. 193ff.) it has been stated repeatedly that large numbers of statues, relievos, and other sculptures have been found. As the inscriptions incontestably prove the great age of the temples which are buried under the mound, and as hitherto very little has become known regarding the earlier Indian art except what the Buddhist Stūpas furnish, it seemed to me desirable that some specimens of the sculptures found should be published at once without waiting for the comprehensive work which Dr. Führer eventually intends to give us. On my application Dr. Führer in 1890 kindly forwarded to me ten photographs, taken from the most remarkable and best preserved pieces, a selection from which is given in the four accompanying plates.

The first plate gives under figure *B* a specimen of the most common form of an *Āyāgapāṭa*, and under figure *A* the central portion of another sculpture of the same class. The addition of *A* was made advisable by the mutilation of the central figure of *B*. The slab, from which *A* has been taken, is apparently in a state of perfect preservation, and it, moreover, bears an easily legible inscription (No. xxx of the second Series of Mathurā inscriptions, published, *ante*, vol. II, pp. 195ff.), in which it is clearly stated that the object dedicated is an *Āyāgapāṭa*. But the photograph is pitted all over with small white spots,¹ which in parts make it difficult to recognise the details.

The slab, represented under *B*, is oblong and measures 3 feet by 2' 8". At the lower end a space (some inches in breadth) has been cut off, the middle of which was intended for a dedicatory inscription, faint traces of which are still visible. On both sides there are sacred symbols, among which a *Svastika* and one or two *Trīśūlas* are recognisable. The remaining nearly square portion of the slab is divided by four concentric circles into (1) a central disc, (2) three bands of unequal breadth, and (3) four triangles at the corners, each with a circular basis. The central disc is occupied by a cross-legged seated Jina, with the hands in his lap. The posture is the usual one, in which all seated Jinās are represented even in the present day. Above his head there is a canopy, from which on either side very thick garlands hang down. Their shape is very peculiar and differs somewhat from that used on Buddhist sculptures (see, *e.g.*, Fergusson, *Tree and Serpent Worship*, plates xxiv, 2; xxvi, 1—2). It is found on all the Jaina sculptures from Mathurā (see, *e.g.*, Dr. Bhagvānlāl's ancient slab,² where these sausage-like garlands are visible at the top of the Stūpa), and it may possibly be a characteristic mark of the early Jaina customs. On the side of the Jina appear three or four marks which at first sight look like representations of leaves. On examining the photograph with a magnifying glass, it seems to me that they are intended for snakeheads with expanded hoods. If my interpretation is correct, the Jina is Pārśva, whose mark is Śeṣha. In an article in the *Vienna Or. Jour.*, vol. IV, p. 327, I have

¹ This is due to the nature of the slab, which is of speckled sandstone.—*A. F.*

² *Actes du sixième Congrès int. des Orientalistes*, tome III, 2, p. 142

pointed out that on another slab Śeṣha's hoods appear behind the head of a Jina. Here the addition of the canopy prevented their being placed in the proper position.

The first circular band is rather narrow and bears representations of four highly ornamental *Triśūlas*, which, no doubt, with the ancient Jainas, just as with the Bauddhas, were considered as emblems of "the three Jewels" which with the Jainas are right faith, right cognition, and right conduct.

They differ somewhat from those found on the Buddhist buildings (see, e.g., Cunningham's *Bharhut Stūpa*, plates vi and vii), as the lotus, which the Bauddhas usually³ place below them, is missing and the central point is longer and feathery at the top, being formed by the ends of two semicircles, held together by a band. The latter peculiarity re-appears in a *Triśūla* on a pillar in the Indrasabhā at Elura, (Burgess, *Arch. Reports West. Ind.*, vol. V, plate xxxviii, 3). The *Triśūla* was, no doubt, in ancient India a symbol, used by all sects for various purposes and with various interpretations. It was also commonly used for personal ornaments and appears in earrings (Cunningham's *Bharhut*, plate xlix), in necklaces (*op. cit.*, plate I), and in women's girdles (Cunningham's *Arch. Surv. Rep.*, vol. III, plate xi, A). In such ornaments it has frequently the very same shape as on our slab.

The second much broader band of the slab is occupied by four curvilinear ornaments, ending each on the left side in two horns and enclosing in the centre a sacred symbol. Just below the spot where the two horns separate are four bands or garlands, to which rosettes or small lotuses are tied, and from the interstices between the horns issue the stalks of half-opened larger lotuses, which rest with their open faces on the second circle. A comparison of the four large ornaments with the *Triśūlas* in the first circular band leaves no doubt that they represent halves of these sacred symbols. The smaller emblems enclosed by the larger ones are,—(1) at the top, a *Svastika*, which is commonly used as a lucky or sacred mark by all Indian sects, (2) below, two fish, which are likewise considered very generally as marks of good omen, and are found as such over the doors of the great Jaina caves at Junāgaḍh, called Bāwā Pyārā's Maṭh (Burgess, *Arch. Surv. Rep. West. Ind.*, vol. II, plate xviii, 3), and on Brahmanical temples, e.g., on those of the ruined city of Ghumlī⁴ (Burgess, *op. cit.*, plate xliii), (3) on the left, another variety of the *Triśūla*, common on Buddhist monuments, and (4) on the right, a mark which looks like a monogram, formed of the syllables *na* and *vo*, and is not uncommon on Buddhist sculptures, and is also used for ornaments (Fergusson, *op. cit.*, plate iii, fig. 4).

The third circular band, which is again very narrow, shows,—(1) below a seated Jina; (2) above a Stūpa, resting with its base on the fourth or outermost circle, and hence looking as if it were turned topsy-turvy; (3) on the right and on the left two sacred trees, rising out of a square enclosure. The interstices between these four emblems are filled up by pairs of half recumbent male and female figures, probably worshipping deities (*Vidyādhara*s). Each compartment contains two pairs, one facing one way, and the other turning in the opposite direction.

³ An exception is found in Cunningham's *Bhilsa Topes*, plate xxxii, Fig. 4.

⁴ Compare also Dr. Bhagvānlāl, *Actes du sixième Congrès int. des Or.* tome III, part 2, p. 137. The *Viśṇu Smṛiti* xliii, 33 (Jolly's edition) enumerates the fish among the Maṅgulas, to which Brahmins must pay reverence. In his notes to the passage Nandapāṇḍita most absurdly adds that the rule refers to 'boiled or fried' (*pakva*) fish. On Buddhist sculptures the two fish appear as parts of personal ornaments; (see Fergusson *Tree and Serpent Worship*, plate iii, fig. 4).

With respect to the Stûpa, which we shall meet again more than once on the other plates, I repeat that it is a form of the funeral monuments, once used and worshipped by all Indian sects that followed the *Jñāna* and *Bhakti Mārgas*, and I refer for some of the reasons for this theory to my article *Vienna Or. Jour.*, vol. IV, pp. 328f. I may add, however, that Brahmanical Chaityas are occasionally mentioned in the *Mahābhārata*. Thus we read *Mah. I*, 109, 13—14.

भोजेण धर्मतो राजन्मवतः परिरक्षिते[तः] ।

बभूव रमणीयस्य चैत्ययूपशतान्नतः ॥

स देशः

“That country, O king, protected on all sides by Bhishma in accordance with the sacred law, became lovely, being adorned with hundreds of *Chaityas* and sacrificial posts.”

The juxtaposition of the Chaityas and Yûpas shows that Brahmanical sacred buildings, probably Stûpas, are meant.

The worship of sacred trees by the Jainas has been discussed by Dr. Bhagrânâlâl (*op. cit.*, p. 142), and he has given the names of the Bodhi-trees of three Tîrthamkars.⁵ The worship of trees in India is older than Jainism and Buddhism. Quite irrespective of its traces, found in the Vedic ritual, all the Brahmanical Sûtras bear witness to its existence, as they mention Chaitya trees, which Brahmins and all Âryans must treat with reverence, and it is common to all the sects following the *Bhakti Mārga*, which assign a sacred tree to each deity. Like a great many other practices, it has been taken over by the Jainas and Bauddhas from the Brahmins, when their prophets became deities, a new meaning being given to the ancient rite.

The four triangles in the corners are each occupied by a female figure holding up her arms and supporting the part of the outer fourth circle just above it. On both sides of the heads of these figures there are small projections, which look like rudimentary horns, but appear to be the ears with ornaments hanging down from them. The lower extremities of the four figures have been turned into spirally rolled snake-tails with split or fin-like ends, which fill the right and left corners of the triangles. Figures, supporting sculptures or statues, are so common in ancient Indian art, that it is unnecessary to cite particular examples.

Among the other *Āyāgapāṭas*, of which Dr. Führer has forwarded photographs, there are two more with Jinas in the central medallion or disc, *viz.*, that a portion of which has been given in fig. A of plate i, and another bearing the inscription No. xxxi of my second Series.⁶ A third, which bears the archaic inscription No. viii of the same Series, has in the centre a wheel with sixteen spokes, apparently a *Dharmachakra*, regarding the worship of which more will be said in connection with plate iv, and a fourth, which was dedicated by the wife of a dancer (see Inscr. No. v of the second Series) offers the representation of a Stûpa, in front of which two naked women dance on the lower

⁵ The fact that each Tîrthamkara has his *Chaityavriksha* is also stated by Hemachandra, *Abhidhānachintāmaṇi*, verse 62 (Böhtlingk and Rieu). The commentary to the passage is, however, mutilated or corrupt. A complete list of the sacred trees is given in the *Ratnasāra*, vol. II, p. 708ff.

⁶ *Ante*, pp. 195f.

rail, exactly in the same indecent posture as those on Dr. Bhagvánlál's Mathurá slab. The latter seems therefore to belong likewise to the class of the *Ayágapaṭas*.⁷

From all these specimens it appears that an *Ayágapaṭa* is an ornamental slab, bearing the representation of a Jina or of some other object of worship, and the term may be appropriately rendered by "tablet of homage or of worship," since such slabs were put up in temples, as the numerous inscriptions on them say, "for the worship of the Arhats." The *Ayágapaṭas* seem to be a distinctive feature of the ancient Jaina art, as neither the Buddhists⁸ nor the orthodox sects mention them. The Bauddhas have, however, the term *udhapata*, i.e., *úrdhvapaṭa*, (see, e.g., Burgess, *Arch. Rep. South. Ind.*, vol. I, pp. 90f). Even among the Jainas they probably went out of fashion at an early period, as the inscriptions on them invariably show archaic characters, and are in no case known to me dated. In the more modern Jainá temples we find instead of them slabs, called *pañchaparameshṭhipaṭṭa* (Satruñjaya inscriptions, Nos. 58, 66, *ante*, pp. 34f.), *chaturvimsatīrthamkarapaṭṭa* (*ibidem*, Nos. 57, 67), and so forth.

The sculptures of the second plate are the most interesting of the whole collection. Figure *A* bears an inscription, legible even on the photo-lithograph, which I read originally as *bhagavā Nemiso*, the "divine lord Nemi," as I believed that the sculpture represented some scene from the life of Neminátha. But a careful investigation of various lives of Neminátha, in which Professors Jacobi and Leumann have kindly assisted me, failed to bring to light any legend that could be turned to use. Finally, Professor Jacobi suggested to me that it might be possible to read *bhagavā Nemeso* "divine Nemesa," to refer these words to the figure with a goat's head just above them, and to identify the goat-headed Nemesa with Indra's divine commander of the foot troops Hariṇegameśi, who is represented in mediæval pictures as a man with the head of an antelope.⁹ The reading *Nemeso* is no doubt as good as *Nemiso*. The vowel-stroke of the second consonant lies in the proper position of an *e*, though the *i* frequently looks exactly alike on these inscriptions. More difficult was the identification of the two names; but, on looking through the *Nemináthacharita* I found a passage, where *Naigameshin* appears instead of the longer word.

It stands in the beginning of the seventh Sarga, where it is narrated how Kṛishṇa tried to obtain for Satyabhāmā a son equal to Pradyumna in luck and good qualities. The text¹⁰ runs as follows:—

प्रद्युम्नस्य महाकृद्वया ताम्यन्ती ज्ञाधयापि च ।

भामा कोपयत् ह गत्वा शिष्ये जर्जरमश्वके ॥ ८ ॥

तथायातश्च कंसारिर्व्याजहार ससंभ्रमम् ।

⁷ Possibly the word *dyaga*, which occurs in l. 4 of the inscription, may be meant for *dyāga*. Regarding the performances of dancing girls at Jaina festivals, see below the remarks on plate iii.

⁸ A Buddhist *dyágapaṭa* was excavated by me in January 1862 at the ancient site of Adhichhatrá (Rāmnagar in Rohilkhand) from the ruins of a Buddhist Vihāra. The slab shows a full-blown lotus in the middle surrounded by four highly ornamented Trisūlas. An inscription in archaic characters records its dedication and object.—A.F.


⁹ See *Sacred Books of the East*, vol. XXII, page 227, note. Professor Jacobi has kindly furnished me with a sketch of Hariṇegameśi, which is inserted in his ancient illustrated copy of the *Kalpasūtra*.

¹⁰ The MS., from which the subjoined verses have been taken, is No. 250 of the Vienna University Library collection, which was purchased in 1882 from Mr. Bhagvánlál Kevalāś's store of MSS., rejected as useless by the Bombay officials in charge of the Search for Sanskrit MSS. (see my paper: *Ueber eine kürzlich für die Wiener Universität erworbene Sammlung von Sanskrit und Prakrit Handschriften*, *Sitzungsberichte der kais. Akademie der Wissenschaften*, vol. XCIX, p. 563ff).

MATHURA SCULPTURES.



a.



b) Obverse of doorstep.



c) Reverse of doorstep.

केनापमानितासि त्वं येनैव[व] शु[सु]भ्रु ताम्यसि ॥ ८ ॥
 भाम्यूचे नास्त्व[प]मानो मे किंतु प्रद्युम्नसंनिभः ।
 न चेन्मे भविता सूनुर्मरिष्यामि तदा धु[धु]वम् ॥ १० ॥
 कृष्णत[णस्त]दायहं ज्ञात्वा त्रिदि[द]शं नैगमेषिणम् ।
 उद्दिष्टाष्टमन[भ]क्तेन पौषधं प्रत्यपद्यत ॥ ११ ॥
 अविर्भूय नैगमेषी न[त]मूचे किं करोमि ते ।
 कृष्णोप्यवाच भामार्यै देहि प्रद्युम्नवत्सुतम् ॥ १२ ॥
 नैगमेष्यवदय[य]स्यां पुत्रेच्छा ते भजस्व ताम् ।
 त्वमसुं हारमामोच्य ततो भावीप्सितः सुतः ॥ १३ ॥
 अर्पयित्वा वृ[ष्ट]तं हारं नैगमेषी तिरोदधे ।
 वासुकं वासुदेवोपि सत्यायै मुचि[दि]तो ददौ ॥ १४ ॥

(8) "Annoyed at the great luck of Pradyumna and his fame, Bhâmâ went into her *boudoir* and lay down on a broken cot.

(9) The foe of Kamsa visited her there and spoke agitatedly : 'Who has shown disrespect to thee, whereby, O fair-browed one, thou art thus afflicted ?'

(10) Bhâmî answered : 'No disrespect has been shown to me; but, if I do not obtain a son equal to Pradyumna, I shall certainly die.'

(11) Knowing her tenacity of purpose, Kṛishṇa undertook a fast in honour of the god Naigameshin, partaking only of every eighth meal.

(12) Naigameshin appeared and spoke to him : 'What can I do for thee ? Kṛishṇa answered : 'Give to Bhâmâ a son who resembles Pradyumna.'

(13) Naigameshin replied : 'Make her, whom thou desirest to have a son, put on this necklace, and then have intercourse with her; thereby she will obtain the desired son.'

(14) Handing over the necklace, which he wore, Naigameshin disappeared. But Vâsudeva joyfully gave the precious ornament to Satyâ."

The legend shows that the Jainas worshipped a divine being, called Naigameshin, and considered him to preside over the procreation of children. And Professor Leumann informs me that there are passages in older Jaina works from which the same conclusion may be drawn. Now, Hariṇegamesî, the deer-headed general of Indra, is, according to the *Kalpasûtra*, likewise closely connected with the same delicate matter, since at his master's command he transferred the embryo of Mahāvîra from the body of the Brâhmaṇi Devanandâ to the womb of the Kshatriyâni Trisālâ. The last four syllables of his name exactly correspond with the Sanskrit Naigameshin, and the whole compound Hariṇegamesî means in all probability, as the note to the *Kalpasûtra* suggests, "the Negamesî of Hari," i.e., "Negamesî, the servant of Indra."

The close resemblance of the name Negamesî-Naigameshî and of Nemeso, and the fact that both deities are represented with the heads of similar animals, again tempt one to assume that both the names and the personages are identical. The difficulty, caused by the slight difference in the terminations of the names, may be removed by assuming that the word had originally, like many others, two forms, one ending in *a* and one

ending in *in*. And the correctness of this view is proved by the occurrence of the Sanskrit words *Naigamesha* and *Nejamesha*,¹¹ which in the *Grihya Sūtras* and the medical *Saṃhitās* are the names of a deity with a ram's head,¹² particularly dangerous to children. Our word *Nemeso* corresponds exactly with *Naigamesha* according to the analogy of the Pali *emeva* for *evameva*, *ajjhena* for *adhyayana*, *leṇa* for *layana*, and so forth (see E Müller's *Simplified Grammar*, pp. 41, 43), the intermediate form being *Neyameso*.

There can be no doubt that the *Naigamesha* or *Nejamesha* of the Brahmans, who seizes children and sorely afflicts them with disease¹³ and the son-granting and embryo-exchanging *Naigamesha-Naigameshin* of the Jains are in reality identical. They represent two different aspects of the same deity, which was conceived both as beneficent and as hurtful or destructive. Their identity comes out still more fully, if one takes into account another Brahmanical deity, *Naigameya*, whose name, as the larger Petersburg Dictionary points out *sub voce*, is certainly only a variant of *Naigamesha*. This *Naigameya* is declared to be another form, or a son and companion, of the war-god *Skanda*, which latter likewise is represented as dangerous to children. A passage of the *Mahābhārata*, quoted in the Dictionary, *sub voce naigamesha*, asserts that he is *chhāgavakra* or 'goat-faced,' just like the *Nemeso* of our sculpture. The goat's head excellently suits a deity, who has to do with the procreation of children, as the strong sexual instincts of the goat did not escape the notice of the ancient Hindus.¹⁴ Hence, it may be inferred that the goat's head was the original attribute of *Naigamesha-Naigameshin*, the Brahmans substituting later a ram's head on account of the seeming connection of the name with *mesha*, 'a ram,'¹⁵ and the Jains a deer's head on account of the compound *Harinegamesi*, seemingly connected with *hariṇa*, 'a gazelle.' I may add that *Naigameshin*'s position as *Indra*'s general offers another point of contact with *Naigameya*, who is either considered as identical or as closely connected with *Skanda*, the field marshal of the gods.

If we now return to our sculpture, *Nemesa-Naigameshin*'s divine rank is indicated—(1) by his ornaments, bracelets on the upper arm and a very broad necklace (see above the story of *Kṛishṇa*), (2) by the elaborately carved throne on which he sits, (3) by the female attendant to his proper left, who apparently fans him with a *Chauri*, and (4) by the fragment of a canopy or *chhattra*, which, I think, is visible above his head. *Nemesa*'s face is turned to the right, and he lifts his hand apparently addressing somebody who was represented on the lost right half of the slab. At his left knee stands a small naked male, characterised by the cloth in his left hand as an ascetic,¹⁶ and with uplifted right hand. Below this figure is a fragment of an inscription, showing on Dr. Führer's rubbings very plainly the syllables *bhaga*. The first word was therefore *bhaga*—

¹¹ See the two Petersburg *Dictionaries* under these words. The identity of *Harinegamesi* with *Naigamesha* has already been hinted by Böhtlingk, *sub voce Harinaigameshin*. The latter word is a faulty form, given by Mr. Colebrooke in his *Essay on the Jains*, probably in accordance with a bad MS. of one of the commentaries of the *Kalpasūtra*.

¹² For a representation of *Naigamesha*, as represented in the Elura sculptures, see *Trans. R. As. Soc.*, vol. II, p. 326, 1st plate—J. B.

¹³ See, in addition to the passages quoted in the *Dictionaries* from the *Sūtrata Saṃhitā*, and the *Ashṭāṅgahṛdaya*, *Uttaraśādhana*, ii, 63, and iii, 12-14.

¹⁴ *Āpastamba, Dh. Śū.* II, 14, 13, quotes a Vedic passage to this effect: "Therefore, a he-goat and a learned Brāhmana crine the strongest sexual desires."

¹⁵ I am not able to offer any etymology for *naigamesha* and *naigameya*.

¹⁶ Compare the figures of monks on Dr. Bhāgvanlāl's slab from Mathurā.

वद "divine," and a proper name no doubt followed. This epithet makes it probable that the ascetic is meant for a Tirthamkara, because, as far as my observation goes, *bhagavā* is not applied in the inscriptions to ordinary monks. Next, on the left comes the female fan-bearer already mentioned. To the left of her stands another female, who raises her right arm in astonishment and looks apparently at the ascetic. She wears earrings, a necklace, armlets, anklets and the usual dress of married ladies, while a scarf, or *sārī*, hangs over her shoulders. At some little distance further to the left, apparently in a separate section of the slab, which is indicated by remnants of architectural ornaments, is found the upper half of a mutilated female figure, who wears the usual ornaments. She likewise raises her right arm and supports with her left on a dish or cushion the body of a motionless infant with the hands folded on its breast.

A Jaina sculpture representing Naigamesha, a small Tirthamkara and a female with a small infant can only be taken to refer to the most famous legend, in which the deity plays a part, *viz.*, the exchange of the embryos of Devanandā and Trisalā. And it seems to me that the various figures on our slab may be explained on this supposition. The story, as related in the *Kalpasūtra*,¹⁷ is briefly this,—“When Indra became aware that Mahāvira had taken the form of an embryo in the Brāhmaṇī Devanandā's body, he paid his reverence to the Arhat that was to be born. It then occurred to him that an Arhat ought not to be born in a low Brahmanical family, but only in a noble royal race, and that it was and always had been the duty of the reigning Indra to transfer the embryo, in case through the influence of his Karman an Arhat had descended into the body of a female of the Brahman caste. In order to fulfil this duty, Indra directed Harinegamesī, the divine commander of infantry, to transfer Mahāvira from the body of Devanandā to Trisalā, a lady of the Jñātrī family of Kshatriyas who was also with child. Harinegamesī then repaired first to the Brahmanical quarter of Kuṇḍagrāma, took Mahāvira from Devanandā, cleansing him from all impurity, and carried him carefully in his folded hands to the Kshatriya quarter of the same town. There he took Trisalā's embryo from her, likewise duly cleansing it, and put Mahāvira in its place. Next, he returned to Devanandā and placed Trisalā's child in her body. During these operations the two ladies and their attendants lay in a deep magic sleep. Finally, the deity returned to Indra's abode and reported to him that his orders had been carried out.”

As our slab represents Naigameshi-Nemeso, seated in state on his throne, the scene must be laid in Indra's heaven, and it can only refer either to the moment when Indra gave his orders, or to the period when Nemeso had returned from his journey and made his report. The position of the deity, who is apparently speaking to somebody,—probably Indra,—who was represented on the lost right half of the slab, speaks in favour of the second alternative. The small ascetic at Nemesa's left knee, called in the inscription “divine” . . . , is no doubt meant for Mahāvira, who is introduced by the artist with the attributes of a monk, in order to show the subject to which the conversation refers, and he is represented so small, because in reality he is not yet born and has not yet reached the position of an Arhat. The female, with the small motionless infant in the separate section, is probably Trisalā, represented in an apartment of her palace, having just received her new precious burden.

¹⁷ *Sacred Books of the East*, vol. XXII, p. 223 ff.

In confirmation of this interpretation, I may point to four mutilated statues now in the Museum at Mathurā, lithographed by Sir A. Cunningham in the *Arch. Surv. Reports*, vol. XX, plate iv, 2-5. Two of them represent seated females. "Each of them has," as Sir A. Cunningham says, *op. cit.*, p. 36, "a small child lying in a dish on her lap. The left hand supports the dish, but the right is raised up to the shoulder. Both females appear to be naked." The other two figures are males with the heads of animals. "Both," to use Sir A. Cunningham's words, "are represented in the same action. The larger figure carries a pair of children, male and female, in his left hand, each being grasped by one arm at full stretch. The right hand of the figure is raised to the shoulder in the same position as the right hands of the females. On each shoulder a small child is seated facing the head of the figure. The smaller figure is exactly the same as the larger one, excepting that it carries only one child by its outstretched arm." Sir A. Cunningham then goes on to say that he has been unable to find a clue to these curious 'ox-headed' figures, and that he abandoned his first idea that they might be Yakshas and Yakshinis of gigantic size preparing to eat the children, because the small figures, seated on the shoulders of the two males, seemed to point to a more friendly connection between the two parties.

With respect to Sir A. Cunningham's description I must state that I differ from him in one important particular. In my opinion the two males are not 'ox-headed,' but 'goat-headed;' for, between the much mutilated bodies of the children and the likewise somewhat disfigured heads, pendent goats' ears are recognisable, especially in the smaller figure. This granted, it is evident that both males are representations of Naigamesha. With this identification the other details admit of explanation. The motionless infants, represented in connection with the males, are the two embryos, which Naigamesha had to exchange. If the artist represented the larger Naigamesha with two children on his shoulders and two in his hands, and the smaller one with two on his shoulders and one in his hand, he probably meant to indicate two different steps in the transaction, *viz.*, that the deity first took the children out of their mother's bodies and 'cleansed them of all impurity,' as the *Kalpasūtra* says, and later conveyed them to their new destination on his shoulders. The two female figures are, of course, No. 2 Trisalā and No. 3 Devanandā, who are represented, the former with a male child on her lap and the other with a female one, in order to show what each received. And it must be noted that the higher rank of Trisalā seems to be indicated by the more costly necklace which she wears. The story, which the sculptures tell according to this explanation, may have differed in one detail from that of the *Kalpasūtra*, where Negamesi is said to have taken Mahāvira in his joined palms, not on his shoulder. But it is also possible that the change is an invention of the sculptor, who wished to represent the deity as carrying the two infants, for both of which there would not have been any room in his hands.

If one compares these figures with our slab, the very close resemblance of the position of the infant, and of the attitude of the female holding it, is at once apparent. And this point, taken together with the unmistakable figure of Naigamesha-Nemeso, irresistibly leads to the conclusion that the legend referred to must be the same in both sculptures.

The other two relieves on plate II, B and C, are found on a fragment of the doorstep

from one of the two temples, buried under the Kankālī mound. Though they bear no inscription, they cannot be later than the first century B.C.; for one of the two temples existed on the evidence of the very ancient No. I of my second Series of Mathurā inscriptions already in the middle of the second century B.C., while the likewise archaic inscription No. IV of the same Series which records the erection of the second temple, cannot be later than about the middle of the first century. The obverse, A, represents the worship of a Stūpa by two Suparṇas, half birds and half men, and by five centaurs or Kirmnaras. One of the former offers a garland and the other, as well as three of the centaurs, two on the right and one on the left, bring jars or boxes filled with flower-bunches (?). The last two centaurs on the left seem to carry brooms or fans, made of branches. On both sides of the Stūpa stand trees, and the two Suparṇas seem to be seated on, or hovering above, the bending branches of those nearest to the Stūpa. All the five figures wear turbans, such as many of the males of rank represented on Buddhist sculptures wear.

A somewhat similar scene, where Suparṇas worship a Stūpa, occurs on a relieve at Sanchi (Fergusson, *Tree and Serpent Worship*, plate xxviii, fig. 1).¹⁸ But it must be noted that the Sanchi figures are much more like Greek harpies, while those on our slab are done in a more conventional manner like the winged figures on the Assyrian and Persian sculptures. Among Brahmanical representations, those of Garuḍa, the king of the Suparṇas, on the Gupta seals¹⁹ are worthy of comparison. Centaurs have been discovered on the Buddhist monuments in Gayā and elsewhere, and, in all probability, they go back to Greek models. What is particularly remarkable in those on our slab is the branch which hides the place where the human body is united with the rump of the horse. As far as I have been able to ascertain from my colleagues, versed in classical archæology, there are no Greek sculptures showing this particular.

The reverse of our doorstep contains a fragment of a procession, apparently about to visit some sacred place. On the extreme right we have two horsemen, each preceded by a 'syce,' or groom. Next follows a covered cart, drawn by two enormous bullocks, as big as those of the Pālanpur breed, and filled with males and females. The cart closely resembles a modern shighram, and the driver, who lifts his goad, is seated, as is still the custom, on the pole. The tails of the animals are tied to strings connected with the yoke, just as is the case with those of the horses on the Sanchi relieves.²¹ Behind the cart comes again a horseman and finally an elephant with two riders. The elephant is very badly done. The trappings of the several animals are exactly like those represented on the Sanchi sculptures. But similar carts are not traceable on the latter, where very Greek-looking chariots drawn by horses appear instead.

The two sculptures on plate III are found on the two sides of a fragment of a *Toraṇa*, and the scenes represented on them correspond to each other very closely. On both we have triangular pieces in the upper corners and three rows with figures, separated by semi-circular rails, or *Vedikās*, with flowers, each row of figures ending with an

¹⁸ Compare also *ibidem*, plates xxiv, 2; xxv, 1; xxvi, 1; xxvii, 1, where Suparṇas are represented as worshipping the Bodhi tree.

¹⁹ See Fleet, *Corpus. Inscr. Ind.*, vol. III, plate xxxvii, and Dr. Hørnle's new Gupta Seal in the *Journ. As. Soc. Beng.*, vol. LVIII, Pt. I, p. 86ff.

²¹ Fergusson, *op. cit.*, Plate xxiv, Fig. 1, etc.


open-mouthed *Makara*, which—in five cases—a man teases by taking hold of its tongue and upper jaw. The *Makara*, filling the corner of a row of figures, is common also on all Buddhist monuments.²² In the cornerpiece on the obverse (*A*) the chief group is placed on the left side and consists of a male with a peaked ornament on his head (a crest-jewel?), holding a garland and four females in the attitude of worship; just below them appears a portion of an empty covered carriage. Further to the right stand five other smaller males (?), four in the attitude of worship, and carrying a large tray with offerings, the nature of which is not distinguishable. The extreme left is filled by five vessels of various shapes and sizes, from one of which rise the leaves of a plant. In the corresponding portion of the reverse (*B*) there is again in the back-ground a group consisting of one male with the peaked head-ornament and three or four females, one of whom holds a garland, further in front also a servant with offerings and a small male figure in the attitude of worship. Below the group appears likewise a portion of a carriage. The place, which on the other side is occupied by jars, is here filled up by a Stûpa and by two platforms of stone (*pt̥hikā*), which in the centre seem to have borne representations of sacred marks (*Pādukās*?), and at the upper ends are decorated, each with two lotuses. Two of the semi-circular rows of figures on the obverse, the first and the third, contain each two covered carts (shighram) very similar to that on plate *II*, *C*., which are apparently each occupied by several passengers and attended by servants. In front of the cart in the first row walk three dancing girls, who may be easily recognised by the manner in which they expose their persons. It is possible, but not certain, that two of them support with their left hands trays or dishes with offerings. In the third row we have in the place of the dancing girls portions of a clothed male figure seated on a throne and attended by a female fan-bearer. The second row of the obverse shows running male figures with flying upper garments, holding bunches of flowers (lotuses?) in their hands.

On the reverse only the second, or central, row contains the representation of an uncovered bullock or horse-cart, preceded by dancing girls. Behind this cart comes a male figure riding on a marine monster, and in front there is a mutilated male figure on a throne. The riders on sea-lions and *Makaras* reappear in the two other rows. In the first there is also a mutilated male figure on a throne, attended by a female fan-bearer, at whose dress a *Hamṣa* nibbles. The back-ground behind the *Hamṣa* is occupied by a monument, possibly a temple, enclosed by a wall. In the third row two male figures with upturned faces and uplifted hands are visible in front of the riders, as well as a piece of the garment of a third.


The general character of the scenes represented is, of course, not doubtful. They refer to the worship of one or several Jaina sanctuaries and to processions or pilgrimages undertaken for this purpose. Many of the details must be likewise familiar to every student of Indian archæology, and they agree in part at least with the descriptions of such scenes occurring in Jaina works. The jars of various shapes, even those with plants, appear frequently on the Buddhist Stûpas, and the Jaina descriptions of the pilgrimage of the deity *Suriyābha* to the *Ambasālavāṇa Chaitya* mention them:

²² On the *Amarāvati Stûpa*, Burgess, *Arch. Rep. South India*, vol. I, plate xxviii, 6, there is a similar scene in which a female takes hold of a *Makara's* tongue.

KATHUPA SCULPTURES.



a) Obverse of Torana.



b) Reverse of Torana.

NATTURA JAINA SCULPTURES



distinctly as requisites of worship.²³ Again, the stone platforms, with lotuses and other sacred marks, occur on the Bharhut sculptures.²⁴ Nor are the riders on monsters and the running figures with flying garments unknown on the Buddhist Stûpas,²⁵ where the latter are easily recognisable as Vidyâdharas, moving through the air. Similarly, the dancing girls, who, even in modern times, are engaged to perform in honour of the Tirthamkaras, are frequently represented on the Buddhist monuments as exhibiting their art at festivals. But other points, like the introduction of the half visible clothed males, seated on thrones, and the representations of carts along with the riders on marine monsters and Vidyâdharas, are puzzling, and it is difficult to decide whether the artist intended to lay his scene in heaven or on earth, and whether all the figures on each of the two sides of the Torana must be taken as a whole or if each row represents a scene complete in itself. The most probable view is perhaps that the artist did not intend to give two compositions only, based on particular texts or illustrating particular legends, but merely wished to show how gods and men are eager to pay homage to the Tirthamkaras, to their Stûpas and temples.

Plate IV reproduces the mutilated slab, which bears No. xxi of my second Series of Mathurâ inscriptions :²⁶—

“The year 79, fourth month of the rainy season, day 20,—on that (*date, specified as above*, Aya-Vṛidhahastî, a preacher in the Koṭṭiya *gana* and the Vairâ śākhâ gave the advice to make an image of the Arhat Nandîâvarta (*Ara*) the image, the gift of the female lay disciple Dīnâ (*Dullâ*), wife of was set up at the Vodva Stûpa, built by the gods.”

The central piece on the slab is a *Dharmachakra* supported by a *Trisûla*, which itself rests on a lotus.

It belongs to the class of the *Chakras*, made conventionally and with a great number of spokes, which are not uncommon on the Amarâvati Stûpa (see Burgess, *op. cit.*, plates xxvi, 6, xxxii, 2), and may be a later development of the carefully done wheels.

It differs from those on the Buddhist and other Jaina sculptures by the two ear-like projections at the top, as well as by the addition of two *Śaṅkhas*,²⁷ which lean against the basis. On the right of the *Dharmachakra* there is the mutilated figure of a naked ascetic, who, as usual, has a piece of cloth hanging over his right arm. This is probably the Arhat mentioned in the inscription. To the left of the *Chakra* stand four clothed females, wearing the usual ornaments of married women and holding garlands in their hands with which they evidently intend to worship the sacred symbol. The faces of these females look like portraits. Three of them seem to be matrons of mature years; the fourth is smaller and apparently much younger. The last two are half hidden by the figure of a large crouching lion, facing the left.

The most noticeable point is the *Dharmachakra*, which, as the Mathurâ sculptures prove, was used and worshipped as much by the Jainas as by the Bauddhas. The fact is not very astonishing, as the wheel is the emblem of rule and government with all

²³ See Professor E. Leumann's translations from the *Râyapaseṇijja Sutta*, *Acte du Vième Cong. Int. Orientalistes*, tome III, 2, p. 500 and *passim*.

²⁴ See Cunningham, *Bharhut*, plates xxi, 2-4, xxx, 3, etc.

²⁵ *Arch. Reports South India*, vol. I, plates xxxiii, 2, xxxiv, 1, xxxvi, 2, and *Cave Temples*, plate¹, Fig. 1-2.

²⁶ *Ante*, vol. II, pp. 195f.

²⁷ The *Śaṅkhas* have probably been added *maṅgalârtham*.

Hindus, and the Sanskrit *Koshas* give *rāshṭra* as one of the meanings of *chakra*. The epithet *apratihatachakra*, 'he whose wheel, *i.e.*, rule, is unopposed,' is commonly given to kings in the inscriptions, and there are hundreds of passages in epic and classical poetry in which the *chakra* of kings is mentioned. Nor are the compound *dharmachakra*, 'the rule of the sacred law,' and the familiar phrase *dharmachakram pravartayati*, 'he sets in motion the wheel of the law,' *i.e.*, 'he causes the rule of the law to spread,' wanting in Brahmanical literature. The larger St. Petersburg Dictionary quotes several passages from the *Mahābhārata* where they occur. It is said of Bhīshma, *Mah. I.*, 109, 14 :—

भीमेष विहितं राष्ट्रे धर्मचक्रमवर्तत ।

"The rule of the law continued, which Bhīshma had established in the kingdom;" and *Mah. XII.*, 356, 2 :—

यच्च पूर्वाभिर्भगो वै धर्मचक्रं प्रवर्तितम् ।

नैमिषे गोमतीतीरे तच्च नागाह्वयं पुरम् ॥

"A town, called Nāga, lies on the bank of the Gomatī in the Naimisha forest, where in a former creation the rule of the law was caused to spread."

Considering that the metaphorical meaning of *chakra* is very common with the Brahmanical poets and easily intelligible as an outgrowth of the idea that the unopposed progress of a king's chariot shows the wide extent of his power, it may be safely assumed that the application of the expression to the triumphant progress of the sacred law is likewise of Brahmanical origin, as the passages from the *Mahābhārata* indicate. And it naturally follows that the Jainas and Buddhists, who both worship the *Dharmachakra* as the emblem of the rule of their respective creeds, borrowed it from the Brahmans, and that the commonly prevalent idea is erroneous, according to which the *Dharmachakra* is a distinctive mark of the Buddhists and their particular invention.

These new sculptures from the Kaikālī Tila teach the same lesson as Dr. Bhagvānlāl's Mathurā slab published in the *Transactions of the Leyden Congress*, and prove that the ancient art of the Jainas did not differ materially from that of the Buddhists. Both sects used the same ornaments, the same artistic *motives* and the same sacred symbols, differences occurring chiefly in minor points only. The cause of this agreement is in all probability, not that the adherents of one sect imitated those of the other, but that both drew on the national art of India and employed the same artists. Full proof of this assumption, which modifies the statements in some standard works on Indian archæology regarding the development of ancient Indian art, can only be obtained by the excavation of really old Brahmanical temples. And it is to this task that the Archæological Survey in India ought to direct its attention, as a thorough exploration even of a few Śaiva and Vaiṣṇava temples, which date from the second or first century before our era, will do more for our knowledge of the history of the Indian religions than the excavation of a hundred Stūpas or Vihāras.

But even at present various pieces of collateral evidence are available which support the view that all the several Indian sectarians took their sacred symbols and the ornaments of their temples from one common storehouse. Chief among these is the now generally acknowledged fact that the Brahmanists, the Jainas and the Buddhists, all and at the same time, contributed to the development of the cave temple architecture

which formerly was considered to be a speciality of the Buddhists. It is now conceded that the oldest known caves at Barābar and Nāgarjunī belonged to the Vaishṇava Ājīvikas, and those near Katak to the Jaina worshippers of the Arhats. The undoubtedly Buddhist Lenas date from somewhat later times. It is, therefore, not in the least doubtful that all the old Indian sects used rock-excavations for sheltering their ascetics who wished to live in retirement, and sometimes also their idols, and it is highly probable that this usage goes back to times antecedent to the rise of Buddhism and Jainism. In the face of such facts one can only say that it would be surprising if the worship of Stūpas, of sacred trees, of the Wheel of the Law, and so forth, more or less distinct traces of which are found with all sects, as well as their representation in sculptures, were due to one sect alone instead of being heirlooms handed down from remote times before the beginning of the historical period of India.

XXV.—THE BHATTIPROLU INSCRIPTIONS.

By G. BÜHLER, PH.D., LL.D., C.I.E.

The subjoined ten inscriptions, which were discovered by Mr. A. Rea in the Bhaṭṭi-prolu Stūpa, are published here in full according to impressions, and in the case of No. X, also according to a photograph furnished to the editor by Mr. Rea.¹

Nos. I—IX are incised on three relic caskets, partly on the circular tops and partly on the rims of the lower stones. Their preservation is good except in parts of No. III and in No. IV, which latter is effaced. No. X is scratched on a small hexagonal piece of crystal and difficult to read on account of the shallowness of the strokes. The great interest and value of the first nine documents lie in their characters, which mostly resemble those of Aśoka's inscriptions, but show also peculiarities met with nowhere else. The details are as follows:—

(1) Twenty-three letters, *viz.*, the initial vowels *ā, á, u, o* and the consonants, *k, kh, chh, ñ, t, th, v, d, n, p, ph, b, y, r, v, s* and *h* agree exactly with those of the Southern Maurya alphabet.

(2) The letter *g* has both the usual angular Maurya form and that with the rounded top, which occurs occasionally in Aśoka's Edicts, *e.g.*, in *matesu* Pillar Edicts, VII. 2, 2, and is used invariably in the later inscriptions. The first palatal, *ch*, has a tail caused by a prolongation of the vertical. The third lingual, *ç*, shows slanting strokes instead of straight ones, which peculiarity is also repeatedly observable in the word *pāsāṇḍa* (Kālsī version of the Rock Edict XII., 1, ll. 33—34) and in *ambivāḍikā*, Allahabad, Queen's Edict, l. 3. The dental media, *d*, exactly resembles the Maurya letter, but is turned round like the Andhra *da* and that of the modern Devanāgarī. The same remarks apply to the fourth labial, *bh*.

(3) Five letters are entirely abnormal, *viz.*:—

(a) *gh*, which is expressed by the sign for *g* with a curve to the right denoting the aspiration (compare the Maurya *chha, dha* and *pha*, which have been formed in a

¹ See the plates. Preliminary notices, treating chiefly of the palaeographic peculiarities of the first nine, have been printed in the *Academy*, 1892, p. 521, and in the *Vienna Oriental Journal*, vol. VI. p. 148.

similar manner out of the signs for *cha*, *ḍa* and *pa*). It occurs in the names *Vaghavá*, i.e., *Vyághrapád* (II. 2), *Satughlo*, i.e., *Śatrughna* (II. 8), *Chaghāṇa*, i.e., *Jaghanya* (VII), *Chagho*, i.e., *Chaṅga* (? VIII. 2), *Akhagho*, i.e., *Akshaghna* or *Rikshaghna* (VIII. 5).

(b) *j* has the angular form, which is rare in Aśoka's inscriptions, (but see, e.g., *rājā*, Girnār Rock Edict, IX. 1) and the usual one in later documents, *minus* the central horizontal bar. It occurs repeatedly (e.g., I, A, B) in the word *majusa* or *majúsa*, i.e., *mañjúśā*.

(c) *m* is turned topsy-turvy. It is found in the last-mentioned word and frequently in well-known names like *Samana* (III. 5), i.e., *Śramaṇa*, *Máho* (VIII. 5), i.e., *Mágha*, etc.

(d) *l* shows instead of the short horizontal bar on the left a long slanting line attached at an acute angle to the right of the vertical stroke. It occurs only in names, e.g., *Pigalako* (III. 9), i.e., *Piṅgalaka*, *Oḍalo* (III. 11), i.e., *Oḍāla* or *Audāra*, *Gilāno* (VII. 13), i.e., *Glāne*, *Gosálakānam* (III. 16), i.e., *Gośálakānām*, and so forth.

(e) The lingual sibilant looks almost exactly like the *kra* of the later inscriptions, and seems to be developed from the *sha* of the Kālsī version of the Rock Edicts by turning the latter sign completely round and converting its upper curve into a crossbar. It occurs regularly for *sa* in the termination of the genitive of vowel-stems, e.g., *Kurasha* (I, A, B), in the genitive plural *tesham* (VI), i.e., *teshām*, in the word *shamuga* (I, A), i.e., *samudga* and in many proper names.

(4) There is further the lingual *l*, which does not occur in Aśoka's Edicts. It looks like a *pa* with a short horizontal bar, attached to the right of the vertical stroke; it is more archaic than the corresponding Andhra letter and very similar to the *la* of a Sanchī inscription. It occurs in the word *phāḷiga*^o (I, A), i.e., *sphāṭika* and in various proper names.

(5) The notation of the medial and final vowels shows two very remarkable peculiarities:—

(a) The short *a* is invariably marked by the horizontal stroke to the right of the consonant, which denotes long *ā* in the Maurya alphabet, except when an Anusvāra follows. The latter limitation is probably due to the circumstance that the Anusvāra was considered equivalent to *aṁ*, in which form it is invariably given in the native lists of *mātrikās*, or the alphabets.

(b) The long *ā* is usually marked by a horizontal stroke and a vertical hanging down from its end. In *negamā*, VIII. 1, a cursive form, consisting of a long notched horizontal stroke, appears instead.

(6) In other respects the notation of the medial vowels mainly agrees with that used in Aśoka's inscriptions. The diphthong *o* is however more commonly expressed by a bar projecting to the right and to the left of the consonant than by two separate strokes. The former *o*, though rare in the Edicts, does occur occasionally, see, e.g., *nigohāni*, Pillar Edict, VII, 2, 2. Finally, in the syllables *ni* and *ni* the vowel is attached to the middle of the vertical stroke of *n*, according to the analogy of *na* and *nā*.

(7) The initial vowels *i*, *ī*, *u*, *e* and the consonants *jh* and *ḍh* do not occur, and there are at least no certain traces of the palatal sibilant *ś*, though there is a sign somewhat like it in a difficult name towards the end of III, 10.

BHATTIPROLU CASKET INSCRIPTIONS.

ON THE EDGE OF THE BOX OF THE SECOND CASKET.




A. Rec. front.

ON THE LID OF THE SECOND CASKET.



Scale. 9-10/16.



ON THE LOWER STONE OF THE FIRST CA-SET.

Scale: 2-1000.

ON THE CRYSTAL.

ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय
 नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय
 नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय
 नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय
 नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय

Full size.

A. B. S. S. S. S.

BHATTIPROLU CASKET INSCRIPTIONS.

ON THE LID OF THE THIRD CASKET.



ON THE EDGE OF THE BOX
OF THE LOWER OR THIRD CASKET.



A. Rea, fecit.

Scale: 5-20ths.

ALPHABET OF THE BHATTIPROLU INSCRIPTIONS.

[illegible]

ON THE CRYSTAL.

The inscription, No. X, which is incised on the piece of crystal, shares only two of the peculiarities, just described. Its *cha* (l. 2) has a tail and its *da* in °*deśānam* (l. 3) and in *dānam* (l. 6) opens towards the left. In other respects its letters, as well as its vowel notation, fully agree with those of Aśoka's Edicts. It may be noted that it twice offers in *Śamanudeśānam* (l. 2) with the palatal sibilant exactly in the places where it would stand in Sanskrit. This agreement of its characters with those of the Maurya inscriptions leads to the supposition that it belongs to the same time as the latter.

But the first nine inscriptions are also probably only a few decades later than Aśoka's Edicts. They unfortunately contain no historical statements which might be used to absolutely prove the correctness of this estimate. They mention, it is true, the names of a king *Kubirako* or *Khubirako*, i.e., *Kuberaka*, of various families, of *gothīs* or committees and Buddhist saints. But none of them is traceable in any other historical source. Under these circumstances, all that remains is to fall back on arguments deduced from a comparison of other datable inscriptions, which, of course, may be deceptive. If one does this and places on the one side the alphabet of the Aśoka Edicts and on the other those of the Nānāghāt, Hathigumphā and Bharhut-Torana inscriptions, which belong to about the middle of the 2nd century B.C., one can only come to the conclusion that the Bhattiprolu inscriptions hold an intermediate position between the two sets, but are much more closely allied to the first than to the second. On this evidence, which, I repeat, *may* mislead, they cannot be placed later than 200 B.C., but may be somewhat earlier.

If this estimate is correct, their characters prove (what, indeed, is also made probable by facts connected with Aśoka's Edicts) that during the 3rd century B.C. several well-marked varieties of the Southern Maurya alphabet existed; for they contain a system which cannot have sprung up in a short time, but must have had a longer history. The importance of this result lies therein, that it removes one of the arguments of those scholars who believe the introduction of writing to have happened during the rule of the Maurya dynasty. It has been stated repeatedly that one of the facts proving the Aśoka Edicts to belong to the first attempts of the Hindus in the art of writing, is the absence of local varieties among the letters of versions, incised at places which lie at distances from each other of more than a thousand miles. This argument is based, as I have pointed out more than once, on imperfect observation, and it may be met also by the obvious objection, that Aśoka's Edicts were all issued from the same office, and that the importance naturally attributed to the writing of the royal clerks at Pāṭaliputra might be expected to influence the copyists in the provinces and to induce them to imitate as much as possible the shape of the letters used at head-quarters. Nevertheless, if the Bhattiprolu inscriptions now show a system of writing, which in some respects is radically different and which may be reasonably supposed to have arisen in Aśoka's times or even earlier, they furnish a very great help to those who, like myself, believe the art of writing to have been practised in India for many centuries before the accession of Chandragupta to the throne of Pāṭaliputra.

This is, as far as I can judge at present, the chief value of the new alphabet. I do not think that it teaches us much regarding the history of the Southern Maurya characters and regarding the manner in which they were derived from their Semitic prototypes. There is only one form among them which, I think, may be considered for

good reasons as more ancient than the corresponding Maurya character. This is the *m*, whose shape comes so close to the full form of the Northern *m* that I should not wonder if the two little strokes below the circle had really stood originally below the circle or semicircle and the letter had been turned topsy-turvy only later. Further, it is possible that the *gh* of the Bhāṭṭiprolu alphabet, which, as stated already, has been formed by the extension of a principle underlying the formation of *chha*, *dha* and *pha*, proves the Semitic prototype of the Southern Maurya characters to have been destitute of a sign for the guttural *media aspirata*. Though the common Southern *gha* looks like an independent character, it may have been formed out of a *ga* with a round top by the addition of a curve to the upper right corner and by then turning the whole letter round. If this view is correct, the Bhāṭṭiprolu *gh* probably dates from very ancient times and is quite as old as the sign of Aśoka's Edicts. On the other hand, two other letters, the *j* with two bars and the lingual sibilant *sh* are in all probability younger than the corresponding Maurya characters. With respect to *sh* this is self-evident. As regards the *j*, the central bar probably has to be regarded as an essential part of the letter on account of the shape of *jha*, which has been fashioned out of a *ja*, consisting of a vertical and a short horizontal stroke by the addition of a small upward stroke on the right. Nor is it possible to assume that the more elaborate system of vowel-notation in the Bhāṭṭiprolu inscriptions is more ancient than the simpler one, found in all other Indian alphabets; for the Semitic original of the Southern alphabet in all probability had no vowel-marks. Hence, a system of notation, requiring eight instead of seven signs for the purpose must be regarded *a priori* as the later one. With respect to the remaining anomalies, I am not able to say anything definite. But I would point out that, with the exception of the position of the letter *da*, not one of the peculiarities of the Bhāṭṭiprolu alphabet has left any trace in the later Indian alphabets.

The language of the Bhāṭṭiprolu inscriptions is of the type of the Pali, from which it differs only by the occurrence of the lingual sibilant in Nos. I—IX, and of the palatal one in No. X. Though the two signs differ, I believe that the sound for which they served was the same. There is, as far as I am aware, neither any modern nor ancient Prakrit dialect, which has or had more than two sibilants, the dental one and that which comes near to, but is a little thicker than, the Sanskrit palatal *śa*.

With regard to the contents of the inscriptions, I may confine myself to the remark that two of the caskets are said (see Nos. I and V) to be intended for relics of Buddha. This point, I think, speaks too in favour of the antiquity of the inscriptions.

TRANSCRIPTS AND TRANSLATIONS.

I.²

(A.) Kurapituno cha Kuramā[t]u cha Kurasha Siva[sha]³ majusam-panati phāligashamugam⁴ cha Budhasarirānam nikhetu [II].

(B.) Banavaputasha Kurasha shapitukasha majusa [II].

² Nos. I and II are incised on the rim of the lower stone of the first casket.

³ Looks like *Sivaka* as the lower curve of the *sha* has not been formed properly.

⁴ Possibly *phāliqam namugam*.

TRANSLATION.

"By the father of Kura, the mother of Kura, Kura (*himself*) and Siva (*Siva*), (*has been ordered*) the preparation of a casket and (*has been given*) a box of crystal in order to deposit some relics of Budha (*Buddha*⁵)

"By Kura, the son of Banava, associated with his father (*has been given*), the casket.

II.

Utarō Pigahaputo kânîṭho⁶ [ṇ]

TRANSLATION.

"Utarā (*Uttara*), the youngest son of Pigaha (*Vigraha*⁷).

III.

- L. 1 Goṭhi
 2 Hirañavaghavā
 3 V[u]gāḷako K[ā]ḷaho
 4 Visako Thorasisi
 5 Samaṇo Odalo
 6 Apaka . Shamudo
 7 Anuga[h]o Kuro
 8 Satugho Potako [P]oto Āḷinakā
 9 V[a]ruṇo Piga[la]ko Koshako
 10 Suto Pāpo Kabherakh[o] [Gāḷe]ko
 11 Samaṇa[d]āśho Bharado
 12 Odalo Thoratiso Tiso
 13 Gilāṇo Jambho
 14 Puḍara (?) [B]ūbo
 15 Gālavata . . . (?) Janako
 16 Gosālakānam Kūro
 17 Uposhathaputo Utaro
 18 Kārahaputo [ṇ]

TRANSLATION.

"The Committee (*consists of*):—

Hirañavaghavā (*Hiranyavyāghrapād*) Vugāḷaka (*Udgāraka*), Kāḷaha, Visaka (*Viścaka*), Thorasisi (*Sthaulaśirshi*), Samaṇa (*Śramaṇa*), Odala, Apaka, Shamuda (*Samudra*), Anugaha (*Anugraha*), Kura, Satugha (*Śatrughna*), Potaka, Pota, Āḷinaka (*Ālinaka*?), Varuṇa, Pigaḷaka (*Piṅgalaka*), Koshaka (*Kauśika*?), Suta, Pāpa, (Kabherakha?) (*Kuberaka*?), Gāleka (?), Samaṇa[d]āśha (*Śramaṇadāśa*), Bharada (*Bharata*) (L. 12), Odala (*Audāra*?) Thoratisa (*Sthaulatishya*), Tisa (*Tishya*), Gilāṇa (*Glāna*), Jambha, Būba Janaka, of the Gosālakas (*Gosālaka*), Kūra, the son of Uposhatha, (*Uposhatha*), Utara (*Uttara*), the son of Kāraha.

⁵ The genitive *śarīrānam* has to be taken as *genitivus partitivus*.

⁶ This seems to be meant for *kaniṭho*.

⁷ For the change of *va* to *pa*, compare words like Pali *pajāpatī*, Sanskrit *prajāvatī* and the inscriptional *bhagapato* for *bhagavato*, *pjite* for *vijite*, and the like. Probably Uttara was the stone-cutter who made the casket.

⁸ Nos. III-V are incised on the top stone of the second casket, and No. III in a central disc, lines 1-11 running lengthwise, lines 12-13 breadthwise on the left, and lines 14-18 breadthwise on the right.

IV.⁹

Sama[ṇadā]sha[to hita] . a . . Budhasa sarirāni mahiyānukammā

Remark.

Nothing can be said regarding the contents of this inscription, except that it mentions relics of Buddha.

V.¹⁰

- L. 1 Goṭhisamaṇo Kubo [I]
 2 Hiraṇakāragāmaṇiputo Būbo [II]

TRANSLATION.

"Kuba (*Kumbha*), the ascetic of the Committee (?)."

"Būba, the son of the village-headman Hiraṇakāra (*Hiranyakāra*)."

VI.¹¹

Shaga[th]inigamaṇaputānam rājapāmukhā¹²[I] Sha . i[sha] puto Khubirako rājā
 Shihagoṭhiyā pāmukho [I] tesham ammaṇi maj [ū-]s[am] phāligashamugo cha
 pāsānashamugo cha [II].

TRANSLATION.

"By the sons of the Shāgaṭhi *nigama* (*guild or town*), chief among whom is the king—king Khubiraka (*Kuberaka*), the son of Sha.-i, is the chief of the Shīha (*Simha*) Committee—by these (*has been given*) another casket, a box of crystal and a box of stone."

VII.¹³

Samaṇo Chagha[ṇa]puto Utaro Āramutara . . [II]

TRANSLATION.

"Samaṇa (*Śramaṇa*), the son of Chaghaṇa (? *Jagbanya* ?). Utara (*Uttara*)

VIII.¹⁴

- L. 1 Negamā
 2 Vachho Chagho
 3 Jeto Jambho Tiso
 4 Reto Achino Shabhiko
 5 Akhagho Kelo Keso Māho
 6 Seḷo Chhadiko Okhabūlo
 7 Soṇutaro Samaṇo
 8 Samaṇadāsho Sāmako
 9 Kāmuko Chitako [II]

⁹ Incised on the rim to the left and below the inscription on the central disc.

¹⁰ Incised on the outer rim, to the right of the inscription on the central disc. Possibly *Kūbo* is to be read.

¹¹ Incised on the rim of the lower stone of the second casket.

¹² Read *pāmukhānam*.

¹³ Incised on the rim of the lower stone of the second casket, outside the No. VI, the letters being turned the other way.

¹⁴ Incised on the upper stone of the third casket.

TRANSLATION.

“The members of the guild (*are*):—

Vachha (*Vatsa*), Chagha (Chāṅga ?), Jeta (*Jayanta*), Jambha, Tisa (*Tishya*), Reta (*Rairata*) Achina (*Achirṇa* ?), Shabhika (*Sabhika*), Akhagha (*Akshaghna*), Kela, Kesa (*Kēśa*), Māha (*Māgha*), Seṭa (*Śnaitra* ?), Chhadika (Chhandika ?), Okhabūla, Soṇutara (*Suranottara*), Samaṇa (*Śramaṇa*), Samaṇadāsha (*Śramaṇadāśa*), Sāmaka (*Śyāmaka*), Kāmuka, Chitaka (*Chitraka*).

IX.¹⁵

Arahadinānam goṭhiyā majūsa cha sha[m]ugo cha [I] tena kama yena Kubirako rājā am[k]i [II]

TRANSLATION.

“By the Committee of the venerable Arahadina (*Arhaddatta*, was given) a casket and a box. The work (*is*) by him, by whom King Kubiraka (*Kuberaka*) caused the carving to be done.”

X.¹⁶

- L. 1 Mātugāmasa [Nam]dapurāhi¹⁷
 2 Suvāṇamāhā
 3 Śamaṇudeśānam cha¹⁸
 4 Gilāṇakerasa¹⁹ ayasaka
 5 [Sa]ṭhiya²⁰
 6 gohiyā a-ga dānam²¹ [II]

TRANSLATION.

“An *A-ga*,—gift by the women from Nandapura (?) and by the Śrāmaneras from Suvāṇamāhā, in the *Ayasakasaṭhi gohi* of *Gilāṇakera* (?).”

The arrangement of the lines of this inscription seems to be fixed, first by the *cha* after *Śamaṇudeśānam* and secondly by *dānam*. The latter word in all probability concludes the inscription and the former shows, that line 3 is preceded by something else. Nevertheless the exact meaning remains obscure, as the word immediately preceding *dānam* is mutilated and those from *gilāṇakerasa* down to *gohiyā*, though plain enough, are for me at least, inexplicable with any certainty.

¹⁵ Incised on the rim of the lower stone of the third casket.

¹⁶ Incised on the sides of a hexagonal piece of crystal, found inside one of the boxes.

¹⁷ The first syllable is abnormal and the reading uncertain. The last syllable is visible only on the tracings, not on the photograph.

¹⁸ The *cha* has a tail, like in the other nine inscriptions.

¹⁹ There is also a short stroke to the left of the top of *ra*, and it is possible to read **keresa*, which however would be perfectly inexplicable.

²⁰ The left limb of *sa* is abnormal.

²¹ There is on the photograph a letter between *a* and *ga*, which I am not able to make out. Possibly the word may have been *dyāga*. The *da* of *dānam* opens to the right, just as in the other nine inscriptions.

XXVI.—GOVINDPUR STONE INSCRIPTION OF THE POET GANGADHARA.

THE ŚAKA YEAR 1059.

BY PROFESSOR F. KIELHORN, PH.D., C.I.E.; GÖTTINGEN.

About five years ago Dr. Fleet sent me a rubbing, received by him from Sir A. Cunningham in October 1883, of an inscription, described as being on a slab of stone in Narsingh Māli's house at Govindpur, in the Nawādā sub-division of the Gayā district of the Province of Bengal. And some time afterwards I received another rubbing of the same inscription from Dr. Burgess, to whom it had been made over by Mr. Beglar.¹ Both rubbings are very faint, and I know that, with a good impression, the wording of the text of this inscription which I now publish may be improved upon; but I feel confident that everything of importance has been made out correctly, and that a renewed examination of the original inscription will not add materially to what I now have to report regarding the contents of it.

The inscription contains thirty-five lines of writing which cover a space of about 1' 8½" broad by 1' 3½" high. At the upper proper left corner a small piece of the inscribed surface is broken away, causing the complete loss of altogether a dozen *aksharas* at the end of lines 1-6. Of the rest of the inscription nothing is actually lost, but, to judge from the rubbings, the proper right half of the writing, from about line 11 to 24, has suffered either from exposure to the weather or from careless treatment; and a few *aksharas* are more or less illegible on the left side. The size of the letters is between ⅙" and ⅞". The characters are the peculiar kind of Nāgarī which was used in parts of Eastern India during the 11th and 12th centuries A.D., and the most characteristic feature of which is, that *r*, preceding another consonant, is written by a short line, sideways attached to the right side of the *akshara* of which *r* forms part, not by the ordinary superscript sign. Essentially the same alphabet is used, *e.g.*, in the Dinājpur plate of Mahipāla and in the Āmgāchhī plate of Vīgrahapāla III., and the closest possible resemblance to the writing of the present inscription is shown by the writing of the Cambridge palm-leaf MS. Add., 1693,² which was written in A.D. 1165, and by that of the Hodgson³ palm-leaf MS. 1 of the Royal Asiatic Society, written in the fourth year of the reign of Govindapāla.⁴ In the inscription here edited the sign for *r*, described above, is so small and so shallow that often it can hardly be recognized in the rubbings; and this, as well as the fact that the sign of *anusvāra* and the superscript strokes which turn *e* and *o* into *ai* and *au* are equally faint, and the close resemblance of the signs for *p* and *y*, *t* and *bh*, *m* and *s*,

¹ I saw at once that the inscription, of which these two rubbings had thus been sent to me, was of some value, but have long hesitated to attempt a full decipherment of the text, because the imperfect state of my rubbings suggested the advisability of waiting for a proper impression. To secure one, I applied in April 1892 to Mr. Grierson, and he again, having then left the Gayā District, kindly communicated my request to Mr. D. J. Macpherson, of the Bengal Civil Service. Mr. Macpherson most readily at once sent two of his men to Narsingh Māli's house at Govindpur, but they were told there that two or three years ago a Sahib had come and examined the stone which contains the inscription, and that next day the same Sahib had returned on a camel, and taken the stone away. If this story is really true, I need hardly say that even now I should be grateful to the present owner of the stone for an impression.

² See Bendall's *Catalogue*, plate ii, 2.

³ See Cowell and Eggeling's *Catalogue* in the *Journal Royal As. Soc.*, N.S., vol. VIII, plate i, 2.

⁴ For Govindupāla we have the date Vikrama 1232 = A.D. 1175; see *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XIX, p. 358.

v and *dh*, and of the subscript *u* and *r*, have caused me no slight difficulty. The inscription never employs the signs of the *jihvāmūliya* and *upadhmanīya*, and the sign of the *avagraha* is used only once, in *Gaṅgādharo 'bhūt* in line 24.

The language of the inscription is Samskrit, and, with the exception of the introductory *om om namaḥ Sarasvatyai* and the date *Śāka 1059* at the end, the whole is in verse. The writer and engraver have done their work with great care, so that, in respect of orthography, my remarks may be brief. As was to be expected, the letter *b* is throughout written by the sign for *v*. The dental sibilant is used instead of the palatal in *Kasyapād*, line 6, and *prasrayaiḥ*, line 7; and the palatal instead of the dental in *kūribhir*, line 29. Instead of *anusvāra* we find the guttural nasal in the word *vaṇṣa*, in lines 2 and 5 (but not in line 4), and the dental nasal in *avatansa*, line 4. Before *r*, *t* has been doubled in *mittra*, lines 7 and 24, *amittra*, line 21, *maittrī*, line 27, and *ātapattra*, line 31; and *bh* is similarly doubled in *avbhriyam* (for *abbhriyam*), line 30. As regards the rules of euphony, *t* is left unchanged before *ś* in *śrīmatśaṅkara*, line 17; and *m* before *y* and *v* in *samyattau*, line 18, *samvāsāya*, line 9, and *sarvasvam-vitatāra*, line 11; and the dental sibilant is wrongly employed instead of the lingual in *nisprabhārdham* (assuming this to be the right reading) in line 13, and *duskare* (for *dushkaro*) in line 30. Of words which according to von Böhtlingk's Dictionary have been hitherto found only in lexicographical works our inscription offers *girā* 'speech, song,' in line 5, *mahallaka* 'eunuch,' in line 10, and *ātman* in the sense of 'the sun,' in line 13. Besides we find *śivirī* for the neuter *śivira*, in line 9, and the word *rama* ('husband and wife') apparently employed in the sense of 'parents,' in line 19. To a few other points, having reference to the grammar and to the construction of some of the verses, attention will be drawn below.

The inscription is dated, in lines 34-35, both in words and in figures, in the Śāka year 1059, corresponding to A.D. 1137-38. It was engraved by the artisan Śūlapāṇi, the son of Rudra and grandson of Uddharaṇa (verse 39). And its immediate object is, to record that a man named Gaṅgādharma, who has himself composed this poem, for the spiritual benefit of his parents, built a tank near which the inscription must have been put up (verses 34-38). But what is of more importance is, that the author has furnished a *prāśasti*,⁵ or eulogistic account, of himself and his family which enables us to fix the time of no less than six men who were known to us as poets from other sources, and some of whose verses have been preserved; and that he has given us the names of the rulers of Magadha, hitherto unknown, under whom he and some of his relatives lived and whose patronage they enjoyed. It may also be a matter of some interest to learn that the author's family belonged to the clan of the Maga or Śākadvīpiya Brāhmins.

Opening with a verse which invokes the blessing of Viśvambhara (Vishṇu), the inscription, in verse 2, glorifies both Aruṇa (*i.e.* the dawn personified as the charioteer of the sun) 'whose presence sanctifies the milk-ocean-encircled Śākadvīpa where the Brāhmins are named Magas,' and the Magas themselves who here, as elsewhere,⁶ are said to have sprung from the sun's own body and to have been brought to India by

⁵ According to verse 37 of the text Gaṅgādharma composed two *prāśastis* which both must have been engraved and put up close to each other.

⁶ See Professor Weber's most interesting essay on the *Magavyakti* of Kṛishṇadāsa.

Śāmba (the son of Kṛṣṇa and Jāmbavati). According to our author the first of these Maga Brāhmins was Bhāradvāja (verse 3), whose family had a hundred branches (verse 4). In one of these was born, as a son of a certain Dāmodara, Chakrapāṇi, who, compared as he is to Vālmiki, must have been considered a poet of some eminence (verse 5). He had two sons, Manoratha and Daśaratha (verse 7), who were induced to come to the court of the ruler of Magadha (verse 15), the prince Varṇamāna of the Māna family (verse 10), where one of them was appointed to the office of *pratihāra*, while the other was made superintendent of the eunuchs (verse 11). Daśaratha again had two sons, Harihara and Purushottama (verse 22). Of Manoratha, to the praise of whose liberality,⁷ piety, shrewdness, learning, etc., our author has devoted no less than six verses (12-17) and who is spoken of as a modern Kālidāsa (verse 15), we learn that he married a daughter of Devaśarma, a counsellor of the prince of the [Ghaṇḍī⁸] country (verse 18); and that she bore to him also two sons, Gaṅgādhara, the author of the inscription, and Mahīdhara (verses 21-22). All these six men, Manoratha and Daśaratha and their four sons, are especially eulogized for their learning and proficiency in vedic studies (verse 23). The rest of the inscription treats of Gaṅgādhara himself. Here it will be sufficient to say that he represents himself to have been a counsellor and friend of the Māna prince, the king Rudramāna (verse 24); that he married Pāsāladevi, a daughter of Jayapāṇi, an official of the king of Gauḍa, and his wife Subhagā (verse 29), and finally, that, according to his own account, he was the author of a poem entitled *Advaitaśūla* and had shown his skill as a poet also in the composition of other poems (verse 33).

The princes of the Māna family, mentioned in the above, have not, so far as I am aware, become known yet from other inscriptions, and it may therefore suffice here to state that Varṇamāna and Rudramāna must have ruled over Magadha (or part of it) towards the end of the 11th and at the beginning of the 12th century A.D.

As regards Gaṅgādhara and his relatives, the inscription tells us distinctly that, like Gaṅgādhara himself, Chakrapāṇi and Manoratha were poets, and it may reasonably be assumed that some of the other members of the family, learned men as they were, also were in the habit of writing poetry. Now it happens that the *Saduktikarṇāmrīta*,⁹ an anthology compiled by Śrīdhara-dāsa in A.D. 1205, contains verses of six poets bearing the same names as six of the Maga Brāhmins mentioned in this inscription, and, considering that these Brāhmins lived in Eastern India and that the *Saduktikarṇāmrīta* also was compiled there,¹⁰ I have little doubt indeed as to the identity of the six poets mentioned by Śrīdhara-dāsa with Gaṅgādhara, the author of this inscription, and five of his relatives, viz. his great-grandfather Dāmodara, his grandfather Chakrapāṇi, his father's brother Daśaratha, his own brother Mahīdhara, and his cousin Purushottama.

Of Gaṅgādhara himself the *Saduktikarṇāmrīta* has two verses which were first published by Professor Aufrecht in *Zeitschrift d. Deutschen Morg. Ges.*, vol. xxxvi, p. 511,

⁷ See verse 12, according to which Manoratha on the occasion of a lunar eclipse went to the sacred place *Purushottama*, which was situated near the sea.

⁸ The reading of this name is doubtful; see below.

⁹ See Dr. Rājendralāl Mitra's *Notices*, vol. III, p. 134.

¹⁰ Professor Aufrecht states the *Saduktikarṇāmrīta* to be an anthology, culled chiefly from Bengal poets. The compiler's father, Baṭadāsa, lived under Lakshmanasena.

and have afterwards been reprinted by Professor Peterson in his edition of Vallabhadeva's *Subhāshitāvalī*, Introduction, p. 32. Of Dāmodara the *Saduktikarṇāmṛita* contains two verses,¹¹ of Chakrapāṇi four, of Daśaratha also four, and of Mahādharma one verse. As regards Gangādharma's cousin, the author of the anthology assigns six verses to Śrīmat-Purushottamadeva, one verse to Purushottamapādāḥ, and one to Purushottama and it seems probable that only the last is the Purushottama of our inscription. All these verses have been kindly copied out for me by Professor Aufrecht and will be published elsewhere. The inscription being dated in Śaka 1059, the six poets may be assumed to have composed their verses—

Dāmodara between about A.D. 1050 and 1075;

Chakrapāṇi between about A.D. 1075 and 1100;

Daśaratha between about A.D. 1100 and 1125;

Gangādharma, Mahādharma and Purushottama between about A.D. 1125 and 1150.

TEXT.¹²

1. 1. श्री¹³[॥*] श्रीं नमः सरस्वत्यै ॥

एकत्रोन्नतगात्रगौरवभरात् प्राप्ते तथा नम्रता-
मन्यत्र श्रियमुदहृत्यतिलघुं तुङ्गे भुजङ्गेश्वरं ।
वत्तःसम्मुखमभृतस्तनतटीमङ्गापसर्पसुखं
निद्रा[श्री] ॐ¹⁴

2. द[यां] दधातु दयितामाश्लिष्य विश्वम्भरः ॥¹⁵—[1].

देवो जीयात्तिलोकीमणिरयमरुणो यन्निवासेन पुण्यः
शाकदीपस्य दुग्धासु(सु)निधिवर्णयितो यत्र विप्रं मगाश्या ।
वङ्गस्तत्र¹⁶ द्विजानां भ्रमिलिखिततनोर्भा(र्भा)स्वतः स्वाङ्ग — ¹⁷

3. शास्त्री¹⁸यानानिनाय स्वयमिह महितास्ते जगत्यां जयन्ति ॥¹⁹—[2].

तथां स प्रथमः समस्तनिगमज्ञानात्मविद्यापदं
व(वु)डा व्यापृत एव नित्ययजनव्यापारपारीण्या ।
भारद्वाजमुनिर्व(र्व)भूव भुवनोद्वाराभिपार्ता²⁰तपः

1. यस्य मुखे मगद्विजमहावंशावतन्त्रोपमः²¹ ॥ ²²—[3].

गोत्रञ्च तस्य शतशाखमभूदभूतपूर्वस्तपोभिरथ सुप्रसरंर्यशोभिः ।
यत्रापरं प[र]मतच्चविदो नवद्यविद्यावदातमतयः पतयो द्विजानां ॥²³—[4].
कालिना ॐ ॐ —

¹¹ The first words of these verses and of those mentioned below are : *Kailāsa re paśupati*; *Silam śālayati*, *Tasyā nāma mayā*; *Yat kāmāṇaṁ gaganadrūmasya*; *Ārūḥāntarāyaṇavanasya*; *Agre vitatya charanam*, *Āchchhīdya Lakṣmīm*; *Iyam sū Kāḍīndī*; *Nākaṁ janma tavaiva*; *Vandyaṣau vidhir eoa*; *Lilottānaśayopī*; and *Kāntāreshu Kārdvālambi*.

¹² From Sir A. Cunningham's pencil-rubbings.

¹³ Expressed by a symbol.

¹⁴ The *akṣhara*, here broken away, was probably न.

¹⁵ Metre: Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

¹⁶ Read वङ्गस्तत्र.

¹⁷ The *akṣharas*, here broken away, were probably सुगः.

¹⁸ Read शास्त्री for साश्वी.

¹⁹ Metre: Śārdhara.

²⁰ This is what was originally engraved; but the vowel of the *akṣhara* भि is clearly struck out, and I believe the intended reading to be ०रावपार्ता.

²¹ Read ०तसोपमः.

²² Metre: Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

²³ Metre: Vasantatilakā.

L. 5.

विलुप्तविलसद्द्विधाधने धन्विनां

वीराणां धुरि चक्रपाणिरभवहामोदरस्यात्मजः ।

यो वाल्मीकिरिवावतारितगिराधारः स विश्वस्थि[ते]-

²⁴व्यङ्ग्यस्या ॐ ॐ — चतुर्मुख इव ख्यातो गुणियामणीः ॥²⁵—[5].अतिस्विरा दृथु . . . ²⁶

6. स्कीर्त्तिर्गिरि[मास्य]दं ।

दिङ्मन्त्रं यदि नारुढा तद्भूमत्यन्यथा कथं ॥²⁷—[6].

जातौ वासवकोशवाविव मुतौ तस्मात्प्रसन्नामरौ

मारीचादिव कस्य(श्च)पादुपचितां धर्तुं कुले सत्क्रियां ।

ज्यायांस्तत्र मनोरथो दशरथस्तस्यानुजन्मा [ययो]-

7. विंश्या[चा]रशुचित्वशीलविलसत्कीर्त्त्या पवित्रं जगत् ॥²⁸—[7]

सुख्यत्वेन सतां यशोभिरखिलोद्गीतैः स्वकर्णन्युतैः

सन्धिप्रोपगमेन तैरतिभूतैर्भोगैरयन्त्रोप[गैः] ।

आत्रोरत्र ययोर्नरेन्द्रनिहितैः सप्रेमभिः प्रस्र(त्र)ये-

8.

श्यामानि द्विषदाननानि विदधे शुभ्रोप्यदभ्रो गुणः ॥ —[8].

तौ भातरावतितरां सङ्गजोदितेन प्रेम्णा परस्परमनोहरणाभिरामौ ।

सौहार्दद्वयचरितेषु ययोरधीरः कालोपि न खलितमाप कलिः कदाचित् ॥²⁹—[9].

9. आनीतौ निजराज्यमुज्ज्वलयितुं यत्नात् प्रतीतात्मना

सम्वासाय³⁰नरेश्वरेण शिविरो³¹श्रीवर्णमानेन तौ ।

तस्यान्नामवलम्ब्य(स्वा)तत्कुलमिदं ताभ्यामपि प्रापितं

काञ्चित् कोटिमनुत्तरां गुणभुवः कीर्त्तिर्विभूतेरपि ॥³²—[10].

आ

10. सि[श्रीर्मा]णनीयगौरवगुणैर्नकेन [से]व्येनयो-

स्तस्मिन्मानपतेर्महीयसि गृहे प्रापि प्रतीहारता ।

अन्येनापि पुनर्मह[त्त]कधुरा³³व्यस्तेति³⁴विस्तारिणा-

वेतौ सन्नयैर्व्य(र्व)भूवतुरिह प्रज्ञैकविज्ञानिकौ ॥ —[11].

गत्वा श्री-

11. पुरुषोत्तमं [भग]वयोद्वयः प्रतिष्ठापदं

पारावारतटे पटीयसि लसच्चन्द्रग्रहानेहसि ।

सर्वस्वस्विततार³⁵तर्पितपितृस्सोमः करोन्नासितै-

स्तोयैर्यः पिहितस्व पर्वणि विधोः साहाय्यमाप क्षणं ॥ —[12].

सात[त्वा]-

²⁴ Read व्यङ्ग्य. The next three *akṣaras* are quite blurred in the rubbings, and it is impossible to say confidently what they were.

²⁵ Metre: Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

²⁶ The *akṣaras*, here broken away, were probably तरा व-

²⁷ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

²⁸ Metre of verses 7 and 8: Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

²⁹ Metre: Vasantatilakā.

³⁰ Read संवासाय.

³¹ One would have expected the neuter शिविरं.

³² Metre of verses 10—12: Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

³³ The *akṣaras* in brackets is doubtful, and looks rather like व.

³⁴ This is clearly engraved, but I believe the intended reading to be "पुरावासेति."

³⁵ Read सर्वस्वं वितसार.

- L. 12. चित्यक्त्या[डु]तिभिरपचितौ चन्द्रमौलिस्त्रिकालं
 न्यस्ताभिर्यस्य यैवागममहितमहामन्त्रपूतान्तरस्य ।
 एनः स्वेनोज्ज्वलं द्विजगतिं विदितादाश्रया[न्य]त्वदोषा-
 दिहं धूमच्छलेनोज्ज्वलचरचिराविहृतं हो-
13. मवह्निः ॥³⁶—[13].
 स्नेताहं तं श्रयति [पितृभो]त्यात्मनो [निष्प्र(पु)भार्धे]³⁷
 धत्तेनन्तप्रमि[तिरमि]तां शक्तिमुन्मुक्ततर्कम् ।
 यस्यैश्वर्यं प्रथयति विभोः कर्तुरित्यद्भुतश्री-
 भ्रान्तिं लोकस्थितिषु भजते भूयसीन्धर्मकीर्तिः ॥³⁸—[14].
 यस्य श्रीमग-
14. धेम्भरो [नयवशा]स्तीतिप्रयोगार्ति[ख]ल-
 प्राग्भा[रा]नुभवैरनुस्वि(स्व)तमतिर्व्यासाभिधानं व्यधात् ।
 राजास्थानसरःसरोरुहमिति स्त्रैरं पुरः स्मामृतां
 गोतो नूतनकालिदास इति यः कालेषु वैतालिकैः ॥³⁹—[15].
 यः सम्बन्धिषु चा-
15. तुरीपरि[च]यैर्वाचस्यतिः प्रस्तुत-
 प्रज्ञासर्गविरिञ्चिश्चरि[ते]रौचित्यचिन्तामणिः ।
 सङ्गावप्रभवो गभीरिमण्डं र[त्न]त्रयीताञ्चिको⁴⁰
 भाषासु प्रतिभाप्रसुः कविकलासन्दर्भगम्भश्चरः⁴¹ ॥—[16].
 श्लेरापारपरोपका-⁴²
16. रपरमः प्रेमोपचारोत्तर-
 व्याहारैर्जनतानुराग[र]चनाचातुर्यचर्यागुरुः ।
 धौरेयः सुधियां सुधानिधिकास्त्रामौलिः सदाराधन-
 ध्याने जन्म निजं निनाय सुजनः स्वान्तेन शान्तेन यः ॥ —[17].
 पद्मी तस्य मनोरथस्य क-
17. तिनश्चारित्र्यसु[द्रा]पदं
 [चौ]डीदेशनरेशसुहृदसचिवश्रीदेवशर्मात्मजा⁴³ ।
 मू[र्त्ता] स[त्त्व]महत्सवीव जग[तां] वन्द्या सतीनां धुरि⁴⁴
 श्रीमत्पद्म⁴⁵[प्रा?]वि[रं]कुर[यि]तुं सत्पुण्यबीजा[न्य]भूत् ॥ —[18].
 [ना]पत्न्यं चिरमापतुयदुचितं तेनैव तौ द-

³⁶ Metre: Śragdhara.³⁷ This whole line and part of the following line are extremely indistinct in the rubbing.³⁸ Metre: Mandākrāntā.³⁹ Metre of verses 15—19: Śārdūlavikrāntā.⁴⁰ The *akṣhara* in brackets, in the original, is न्य rather than व.⁴¹ Read "द्वर्धनार्धे".⁴² The reading is clear here, but I would alter it to श्रीरापा.⁴³ The first *akṣhara* of this line, according to the rubbing, can only be either श्री or श्री. Originally सुचय was engraved, but it has been altered to सचिव.⁴⁴ I am not satisfied with the text of this line and of the next, which are quite blurred in the rubbings; but, with the exception of the bracketed letters the above seems to me to be the reading offered by the rubbings. In the place of the *akṣhara* प्रा another letter was originally engraved, but it has been altered subsequently.⁴⁵ Read श्रीमत्पद्म.

L. 18.

पती

सम्यक्तावपि¹⁶ नूनमन्वभवतां सन्तापमन्तस्ततः ।

मामाराधयतन्मधेयमरतिर्भावी सुतस्तेन वां

गत्वति स्वयमादिदेश गिरिशः स्वप्ने समीपं ययौः ॥ —[19].

मुप्रीतयोर्धर्म(र्भ)गवतो मम नामधेयमाधेयमस्य पुन-

19. रित्यनुशासनेन ।

स्वाराधितस्मरहरस्वरमानुरूपो रूपानुमेयसुनयस्तनयोजनिष्ट ॥⁴⁷—[20]

गङ्गाधराख्यः स ततो जितात्मा यः शैशवादिहृज्जनो नवृत्तः ।

विवर्द्धमानः परलोकभीत्या सदात्मनीनं नयमातता-

20. न ॥⁴⁸—[21].

अभवदनुजो मञ्जीधर इति पुत्रौ श्रीमनोरथादुदितौ ।

आशीर्वराभिनन्दौ हरिहरपुरुषोत्तमौ दशरथात्तु ॥⁴⁹—[22].

सत्कल्पप्रवणः श्रुतिप्रणयि[नः] शिष्यमिरुक्तासिताः

सज्ज्योतिर्गतयो निरुक्तविशदाश्चन्दोवि-

21. धी साधवः ।

[ख्या]ता व्याकरणक्रमेण विदुषाम[त्युच्च]धीशील[ना]-⁵⁰हेदाङ्गप्रतिमाः षडेव भुवने ते वि(वि)भ्रात भ्रातरः ॥⁵¹—[23].

तदन्तरं माननरेन्द्रचन्द्रमाः स रुद्रमानोजनि येन भूभुजा

स्वमेदिनीमण्डलमादिकोलवद्द(व)लादमिक्षा-

22. स्तु(स्तु)निधेः समुद्धृतं ॥⁵²—[24].

पाणि[र्दानचणः प्रभौघ]लह[री] वक्त्रञ्च यस्य स्वयं

मर्यादास्थितिमान् एव जगतां जीवातवस्त्वृताः ।

तत्किं कल्पलताद्यहीन्द्रकमठौ सा चित्रभानुद्वयी

पद्मेन्द्र⁵³निधयोभसामिति विधेर्द्विक् प्रक्रि-23. यागौरवं ॥⁵⁴—[25].

सूक्ष्मं दिक्किरिदन्तकोटिमटितुं क्रा[न्ती ?] गि[री]णां ल[घु]

व्याप्तं व्योम पृथुस्थिताविह दिशि प्रोतं वशि भ्रान्तिषु ।

क्षीराब्धी[न्दु]मुधादिषु⁵⁵ प्रभवति ब्र(ब्र)ह्माण्डगवर्भा(र्भ)ह[हि]-त्रिर्यात्वास्त यथेत्य[मी]श्वरगुणैरित्युद्धृतं⁵⁶ यद्यश-

24. : ॥ —[26].

युष्टे व(व)होत्सवरिपुभटश्रेणि[सिद्ध]ः सदा यो

व(व)स्तुः शुद्धो विपदि विसरत्कार्यनिर्याससीमा ।

श्रेयान् सभ्यः सदसि विशदे विश्वविश्वासपात्रं

पातुं मित्रं हृदयमितरत्तस्य गङ्गाधरो ऽभूत् ॥⁵⁷—[27].

आचाराभ-

¹⁶ Read संयत्तावपि.⁴⁷ Metre: Vasantatilakā.⁴⁸ Metre: Upajāti.⁴⁹ Metre: Giti.⁵⁰ Originally वि was engraved, instead of धी.⁵¹ Metre: Śārdūlavikrīḍita.⁵² Metre: Varnasastha.⁵³ Read पद्मेन्द्रौ.⁵⁴ Metre of verses 25 and 26: Śārdūlavikrīḍita.⁵⁵ Read क्षीराब्धी°.⁵⁶ Read यथेत्य°(P).⁵⁷ Metre: Mandākrāntā.

L. 25. रथः सुभाषितचणः सत्रीतिरजापणः

प्रागल्भीरमणः प्रशान्तकरणः कारुण्यपारायणः ।

यः सौजन्यनिधिः स्थितावनुपधिः सख्यस्य मुख्यो विधि-

र्हीरत्नेनवधिर्विधूतवितथव्याधिर्विधियां सेवधिः ॥⁶⁸—[28].

26. गौ-

उराजसुहृदो जयपाणेरधिकारिकपदोपपदस्य ।

आत्मजासुदवहृत्सुभगायाः पेशलां स किञ्च पासलदेवोम् ॥⁶⁹—[29].

आक्रान्तो न वृषः कदापि गतये यस्मिन् हीनाङ्गना

रीद्री नाद्रियते स्थितिर्न गणितास्ता

27.

गोव्रभित्सङ्ख्याः ।

अन्योन्यास्यविलासवञ्चितदृशोरेकं वपुर्वि(र्वि)भ्रतो-

स्तत्रायः शिवयोरपीदमनयोर्हाम्यत्यमत्यादृतम् ॥⁶⁹—[30].

सन्तोषार्जवधैर्यसंयमदमानुक्रोशशान्तिक्षमा-

मैत्रीसत्यसमाधिमग्नमन-

28.

सो नारायणैकात्मनः ।

दम्भद्रोहविमोहलोभममतामाख्यमायामद-

हेषेर्थादिनिसुदनस्य चरितं यस्यात्र साक्षी जनः ॥ —[31].

तेनात्र दुःशकमसीम सहस्रकृत्वः कृत्यं स्वभर्तृरुचितोन्नतये समाप्य ।

29. आवा(वा)[ख्य]यीवन[मसु]प्रतिरोधि व(व)भुलोकस्य चेतसि चमत्कृतिराचितैव ॥⁷¹—[32][य]स्याद्वैतशते⁷²स्वयं विरचितं किञ्चित्कवित्वयमः

स त्र(त्र)होपनिषत्कथास्वधिगमः शुद्धो विरुद्धोयवा ।

भाव्यः शू(सू)रिभिरेव⁷³चित्रकवि-

30.

तायास स्तुतो दुस्करे⁷⁴भारत्याः कुरुतेपरान्निजगुणप्रस्तावनां केन सः ॥⁷⁵—[33]धा[त्वा ?]वर्त्तवशाद्विस्तृतरतरुप्रासादसद्भादिक-⁷⁶

व्यक्ताकारकदम्ब(म्ब)मम्ब(म्ब)रमनु स्वेनोद्भवत्यम्ब्र(म्ब्र)यं ।

स्थित्वा तत्त्वणतो विपन्नमपुनर्भा(म्भा)वा-

31.

व्ययेदं तथा

मत्वेव त्रिजगन्ति येन जनितः सत्कर्म्मधर्मादरः ॥ —[34]

पुण्योत्पत्तिनिमित्तमत्र निजयोः पित्रोः पवित्रात्मना

कीर्त्या तेन तयोश्चिरं रचयता शुभातपन्नं जगत् ।

कासारीयमकारि पारदर-

⁶⁸ Metre : Śārdūlavikṛīḍita.⁶⁹ Metre : Svāgātā.⁷⁰ Metre of verses 30 and 31 : Śārdūlavikṛīḍita. At the beginning of verse 30 I would suggest reading आक्रान्तेन इति⁷¹ Metre : Vasantatilakā.⁷² Originally सप्त⁷² was engraved, but the initial स may have been altered to व.⁷³ Originally वूर⁷³ was engraved, but the sign for i has been added afterwards.⁷⁴ Read दुस्करि.⁷⁵ Metre of verses 33-35 : Śārdūlavikṛīḍita.⁷⁶ The second akṣara of this line originally was clearly ता, but it seems to have been altered to त्वा.

L. 32.

सञ्ज्ञायामृतमभसां

यस्मिन्मिमिषाद्यस्तदमलं मूर्त्तन्वरीनृत्यते ॥ —[35].

स्वकीर्त्या सरमस्तस्य प्रतिष्ठासमयोत्सवे ।

शुभाश्व(स्व)रपरीधानं जगत्तेनात्र कारितं ॥⁶⁷—[36].

आकाशः पवनः क्षयानुरदकं धात्रीति लोकात्र-

33.

यी-

मूर्त्या व(स्व)ह्य विवर्त्तमानमयते यावद्विचित्राङ्गतिम् ।

नेत्रश्रोत्रमनःप्रसादसदनं तावत् सतामादरा-

द्विद्रां मुदमान्तरिषु⁶⁸ कुरुतां कीर्त्तिप्रशस्ती इमे ॥⁶⁹—[37]

क्व शक्तिव्युत्पत्तिव्यतिकरविरोधेन सुलभाः

कवी-

34.

नां पथ्यानस्तदिह ननु केषामनुगमः ।

स्वपूर्त्तं त्वेत्स्मिन् मुजनजनितोनुग्रहगुणः

प्रशस्ती प्राशस्त्यं वितरति स गङ्गाधरगिराम् ॥⁷⁰—[38].

नन्देन्द्रियाभ्रेन्दुसमं शकाब्दे(ब्दे) रुद्रात्मजश्रीहरणस्य नमः ।

इमां शि-

35.

लाशिल्पिवरः प्रशस्तिं स शूलपाणिः स्वयमुच्चखान ॥⁷¹—[39].शाक १०५८ [॥^x]

TRANSLATION.

Om !

Om ! Adoration to Sarasvatī !

(Verse 1.) May the supporter⁷² of the universe bestow [on us] his compassion !— who, embracing his beloved and thrilled with delight by the close contact of his breast with her bosom, is sleeping on the lord of serpents, on one side bent low by the weight of the god's lofty body, while on the other he remains upright under the very light burden of the goddess of fortune !

(2.) Hail to that gem of the three worlds, the divine Aruṇa,⁷³ whose presence sanctifies the milk-ocean-encircled Śākadvīpa where the Brāhmanas are named Magas ! There a race of twice-born [sprang] from the sun's own body, grazed by the lathe,⁷⁴ whom Śāmba himself brought hither. Glorious are they, honoured in the world !

(3.) The first of them was an abode of all vedic lore and of the knowledge of the supreme soul, and wholly occupied in thoughts⁷⁵ familiar with every sacrificial rite, that

⁶⁷ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).⁶⁸ Read "नन्तरि".⁶⁹ Metre: Śārdūlavikṛīṭa.⁷⁰ i.e., the dawn, personified as the charioteer of the sun.

⁷¹ The story told in the *Purāṇas* is, that, to diminish the sun's intensity, Viśvakarman placed the luminary on his lathe, to grind off some of his effulgence (*Vishnu-purāṇa* III, 2); and the above verse appears to intimate that the Magas were produced from some of the particles of the sun's body, which were taken away by this process of grinding it.

⁷² In connection with *vyāpṛiṣṭu* one would have expected the Loc., not the Instr. case.⁷³ Metre: Śikharinī.⁷⁴ Metre: Upajāti.⁷⁵ i.e., Vishnu.

sage Bhāradvāja whose penance could both deliver and destroy the world, and in whose face there was . . . like a garland of the great race of the Māga twice-born.

(V. 4.) His family had a hundred branches, distinguished for unprecedented penances and endowed with far-spreading fame; and in it there were other lords of the twice-born, conversant with the highest truth, whose minds were purified by faultless knowledge.

(5.) In the course of time the heroes who lift their bows to conquer the brilliant treasure of knowledge, robbed by . . . , were headed by Chakrapāṇi, the son of Dāmōdara. Like Vālmiki in having made the streams of song to descend, he, a leader of the virtuous, became famous . . . of his family, as Brahman is of the continuance of the universe.

(6.) If his everlasting, widely spread and weighty renown had not mounted the wheel of the quarters,⁷⁶ how would that wheel turn round now?

(7.) As Indra and Viṣṇu have sprung from Kaśyapa, the descendant of Marichi so to Chakrapāṇi were born, to sustain the homage heaped upon their family, two sons favoured by the immortals, an elder son named Manoratha and his younger brother Daśaratha, the bright renown of whose learning, purity of conduct and good disposition has purified the world.

(8.) Because these two brothers were the foremost of the good and had their fame sung by all people within their hearing, and by the facts that they made excellent friends and that plentiful enjoyment came to them unsought, and because princes treated them with loving kindness, their abundant bright excellence (*which caused all this*) rendered their enemies' faces dark (*with envy*).

(9.) These brothers gave extreme delight by captivating one another's hearts with their innate love; and even this capricious Kali age never saw anything to blame in their dealings (*with one another*) that were pleasing on account of their affection.

(10.) In order that they might render his reign illustrious, the wise prince Varmāṇa besought them both to come to his royal residence, to dwell with him; and they, abiding by his commands, raised this family of his to the highest pitch of excellence and renown and prosperity.

(11.) In that magnificent home of the Māna lord, which they then served, one of them, whose respectability was an object of esteem as far as the sea, received the office of *pratihāra*,⁷⁷ while the other was appointed superintendent of the eunuchs; and in these positions these learned and intelligent men became widely known for both their goodness and good management.

(12.) Pleasing with his good fortune and youth, and a person of good renown, Manoratha⁷⁸ went to the sacred Purushottama, and on the noisy shore of the sea gave away his wealth in charity at the time of an eclipse of the bright moon; (*and*) gladdening his ancestors with the water thrown from his hands, he for a moment obtained the fellowship of the moon,⁷⁹ eclipsed at full-noon time.

(13.) The sacrificial fire of this man whose mind was purified by the most sacred texts of the Śaiva doctrine, in consequence of the oblations duly thrown into it three

⁷⁶ The meaning of the verse is simply, that Chakrapāṇi's fame filled all the quarters of the compass.

⁷⁷ Literally 'door-keeper.'

⁷⁸ The verses 12-17 refer to Manoratha; see verse 18.

⁷⁹ The exact meaning of this is not clear to me. Altogether the construction is objectionable, because the two verbs *vatādra* and *dpa* are in no way connected with each other.

times a day at the worship of the moon-crested god, perpetually threw up of its own accord, under the guise of smoke, the sin kindled from the blindness of the organs which is the bane of the three worlds; but quickly hid it again with its bright lustre.

(V. 14.) In the bright fortnight the lustreless half of the sun resorts to him from fear of the manes. He, without doubt, possessed of infinite true knowledge, has unmeasured power. The fame of his piety, wonderfully glorious because it proclaims the might of the omnipresent creator, spreads far and wide in the abodes of men.⁸⁰

(15.) To him the illustrious ruler of Magadha gave the name of Vyâsa, because in consequence of his prudent management his own mind had not to attend to any matters whatever relating to the proper conduct of affairs. He also, even in the presence of princes, was at the appointed seasons freely sung of by the bards as the lotus in the lake of the king's audience hall, and as a modern Kâlidâsa.

(16.) He was a Vâchaspati among good ministers on account of his familiarity with shrewd schemes, a very Brahman in creating due knowledge for whatever was propounded, in consequence of his noble conduct a gem fulfilling all desires in regard to propriety, a source of goodness, and a home of depth. He possessed the true knowledge of the jewel-triad,⁸¹ was quick in languages, and a master by birth of all the arts of poetry.

(17.) With a smiling face intent on doing endless good to others, most proficient in winning the affection of mankind by loving and civil speeches, and a leader of the wise, this excellent man with a mind free from passion always spent his life in worshipping and meditating on the god whose crest is the digit of the moon.

(18.) This fortunate Manoratha married the daughter of Devasarman, the stainless counsellor of the prince of the [Ghaudî] country, a lady on whom propriety of conduct had set its seal. Considering that she verily was Arundhati in mortal frame, to be revered by the worlds as the foremost of good wives, the holy Śamkara manifested himself to cause the seeds of piety to sprout.

(19.) As for a long time they did not obtain the desired-for offspring, both husband and wife, though not to blame, were naturally distressed in mind. Then Śiva himself came to them in a dream, and told them that their anxiety was groundless; they should worship him, and then they would have a son.

(20.) To them, well pleased by this and by the god's further command that they should give his own name to their son, a son was born who was like his parents⁸² who had well worshipped the destroyer⁸³ of Smara, and whose good conduct was proportionate to his beauty.

(21.) Him then they called Gaṅgâdhara; and he, self-subdued, from childhood pleased every one by his behaviour, and growing up he always, from fear of the world to come, gave ample proof of his wisdom.

⁸⁰ Here again, assuming my reading of the text to be correct, the construction is unsatisfactory. In the first line of the verse we should have expected *gam* instead of *tam*, and in the second line we miss the relative *gaḥ*. The meaning of the first line of the verse, the reading of which is more or less conjectural appears to me to be this that, when the moon is waxing, the sun at night resorts to Manoratha to share in his lustre, because it is afraid of being invaded by the manes whom it supposes to be anxious to get away from the bright moon.

⁸¹ I am not certain what *ratnatrayî* the author is referring to. With Buddhists the term would denote *buddha*, *dharma* and *samgha*, with Jâinas *sumyagdaršana*, *samyagjñâna* and *samyakchâritra*.

⁸² The original has *rama*, which should mean 'husband and wife,' not 'parents.'

⁸³ i.e., Śiva, the destroyer of the god of love.

(V. 22.) After him came another son, named Mahādhara. These were the two sons of Manoratha. To Daśaratha, on the other hand, were born Harihara and Puruṣhottama, blessing and fulfilment of desire.

(23.) Fond of the Vedas, devoted to the proper ceremonial, illustrious for their knowledge of the *Śikshās*, well acquainted with the *Jyotiṣa*, accurately understanding the *Nirukta*, proficient in the rules of metrics, famous for their progress in grammar, these six brothers, deeply engaged in the lofty course of study of the learned, well represent the *Vedāṅgas* in the world.

(24.) Meanwhile there was born that moon of the Māna princes, the king Hrudramāna, who, like the primeval boar, powerfully recovered his realm from the sea of adversaries.

(25.) As his hand is famed for its liberality and his face a wave of the flood of light, and since he keeps himself within the bounds of propriety, and provides means of subsistence for the people,⁸⁴ of what account are the creeping-plant of paradise, the great serpent and the tortoise, those two bright luminaries yonder, and the lord of elephants and the seas? Fie on such cumbrous insignia of high rank of the Creator!

(26.) Marvellous indeed is his fame, because it shares the properties of the supreme lord. So subtle as to pervade the edges of the teeth of the elephants of the quarters, so light as to reach the heavens in its ascent of the mountains, it extends everywhere here in the broad regions and roams freely about at will; it predominates in the sea of milk as well as in the white splendour of the moon, and it goes out of the mundane egg, and stays in it as it pleases.

(27.) Of this (*king*) Gaṅgādhara was (*as it were*) a second heart to protect friends, (*Gaṅgādhara*), who always was in battle a magician (*in scattering*) the lines of exulting hostile warriors, in misfortune an honest friend, a check when affairs were getting beyond control, an excellent associate in a spotless assembly, and worthy to be trusted in everything.

(28.) (*Gaṅgādhara*), who was embellished by good behaviour, famed for his cleverness of speech, a market of the gems of prudent counsel, a lover of resoluteness, tranquil-minded, full of compassion, a store-house of benevolence, fraudless in obligations, a prime promoter of friendship, boundless in steadfastness, free from the failing of untruth, and a treasure of intelligence.

(29.) He married the charming Pāsāladevī, a daughter of Jayapāni who bore the title of *ādhikārika*,⁸⁵ a friend of the king of Gauḍa, and of (*his wife*) Subhagā.

(30.) And their matrimonial union was universally esteemed even as that of Siva and his consort, who are prevented from seeing the charm of each other's faces, because they have only one body; a union where the wife is never left behind when (*the husband*) mounts the bull to go abroad, and where (*the wife*) never notices the (*husband's*) fearful form, nor minds being addressed with the name of a rival.

(31.) The people here have witnessed the conduct of (*Gaṅgādhara*) whose mind is brimful of contentment, honesty, firmness, forbearance, self-restraint, tenderness, calmness, patience, friendship, truth and contemplation, whose only thought is Nārāyaṇa,

⁸⁴ Here, again, the two first lines of the original verse do not admit of a proper construction.

⁸⁵ i.e., 'a superintendent of affairs.'

and who destroys deceit, malice, folly, covetousness, selfishness, envy, fraud, infatuation, hatred, jealousy and other evil qualities.

(V. 32.) Having accomplished here a thousand times, ever since he was a boy and a youth, and even to the endangerment of his life, most difficult deeds without end for the due advancement of his master, he has indeed filled the minds of his kinsmen with wonder.

(33.) A man who in his *Advaitakāta* has striven to prove that he has some poetic gift, whose knowledge, be it faultless or perhaps otherwise, of the treatises on the supreme soul is well known, and whose attempts in the way of artificial poetry, hard even for the goddess of eloquence and to be ventured on by scholars only, have met with applause, need he say more in praise of his merits?

(34.) The revolution of elementary matter gives rise to the diffused mass of trees, palaces, houses, and the rest of visible objects; the rain-cloud forms in the sky of its own accord; all this stays for a moment only and vanishes again, never to return.⁸⁶ Considering such too to be the case with the three worlds, (*Gaṅgādhara*) has devoted himself to virtuous and pious deeds.

(35.) To secure for his parents religious merit, that pure-minded man has founded here,—covering the world for ever with their fame as with a radiant umbrella,—this tank of water shining like quick-silver, in which their spotless renown in the guise of the waves dances about in visible form.

(36.) And at the festive inauguration of this lake he has made his own fame here envelop the world like a radiant garment.

(37.) As long as the supreme spirit in the shape of the three worlds, as ether, air, fire, water and earth, passing through a succession of existences, pursues its varied course, so long may these two eulogies of fame, pleasing the eyes and ears and the mind, vigorously cause lively joy in the hearts of the good!

(38.) How can the ways of poets be easily found in the absence of innate ability as well as of culture? And, accordingly, is it likely that the author of this should have discovered them? Yet, as this treats of himself, the favour shown to him by good men will find in this eulogy also something to applaud in *Gaṅgādhara's* words.⁸⁷

(39.) In the Śaka year equal to the Nandas (9), the organs of sense (5), the sky (0) and the moon (1), the excellent stone-mason Śūlapāṇi, the son of Rudra and grandson of Uddharana, himself engraved this eulogy.

THE ŚAKA YEAR 1059.

⁸⁶ Instead of the Abl. case *apannarbhārdt* I should have expected the Dat. case.

⁸⁷ Literally 'will bestow on this eulogy also the excellence of *Gaṅgādhara's* words.'

XXVII.—DUDHPANI ROCK INSCRIPTION OF UDAYAMANA.

BY PROFESSOR F. KIELHORN, PH.D., C.I.E.; GÖTTINGEN.

The preceding inscription induces me to publish here, also from a rubbing of Sir A. Cunningham's, the text of another inscription which on the back of the rubbing is described as 'Rock inscription from Dudhpani Ghat near Dumduma,' with the note that 'Dudhpani' is 'in the Hazáribágh district' (of Chutiá Nágpur in the Lieutenant-Governorship of Bengal, south of the districts of Gayá and Monghyr).

This inscription contains thirteen lines of writing which cover a space of about 6' broad by 1' 2½" high. With the exception of about half a dozen *aksharas* which are broken away, but can be easily supplied, the writing is well preserved and may be read with certainty throughout. The size of the letters is about ¾". The characters are essentially the same as, but somewhat more modern than, those of the Aphaṣṭ inscription of Ādityasena,¹ and may be assigned to about the 8th century A.D. In describing the writing of the Aphaṣṭ inscription,² Dr. Fleet has stated that in that inscription *r*, in combination with a following consonant, is formed throughout on the line of writing, instead of above it, and that 'in the *ry* of *śaurya*, in line 7, we have an exact reproduction of the same letter as it was written nearly two centuries before' (the seventh century). In the present inscription the conjunct *ry* occurs three times (in *parákkramair-yaḥ*, line 1, *aparyantam*, line 3, and *śauryeṇa*, line 4), and is each time written exactly as in the Aphaṣṭ inscription. In combination with other (following) consonants *r* occurs thirty-five times and is 21 times written on, and 14 times above the line. The sign of the *upadhmāntya* (which however is really like the sign for *sh*) is employed seven times, but is not used in four cases where it might also have been employed; and the sign of the *jihvāmūliya* seems to be used once, before the word *khaṇḍita* in line 11. At the end of the writing there is a good engraving of a flower; and altogether the letters throughout have been drawn and engraved very well indeed, and it is much to be regretted that the rubbing is not suitable for photographing.

The language of the inscription is Samskrit, and the whole is in verse. As regards orthography, the letter *b* is written by the sign for *o*; instead of *anusvāra* the guttural nasal is used in *siṅha*, lines 1, 6 and 8, *aṅśuka*, line 5, and *nistrinśa*, line 6, and the dental nasal in *kasminśchit*, line 2, *°bhānsi* and *yaśānsi*, line 5, and even in *°prttin=surendrā°*, line 10; and the consonants *k* and *t* are everywhere doubled before *r* (e.g., in *°parákkramakkramapadálákkkrántatrilokí°*, in line 5). Besides, attention may be drawn to the hiatus in *śrīĀdisiṅha*, in lines 1 and 8, and to the fact that a final *m* before a word beginning with a consonant is generally changed, not to *anusvāra*, but to the nasal of the class to which the following consonant belongs.—Wrong grammatical forms which the inscription contains are *dadata* for *datta*, in line 7, and *chakhanuḥ* for *chakhnuḥ*, in line 12; and the causal is improperly employed instead of the primitive verb in *achikarat*, in line 11. Wrong constructions we find in verses 15, 16 and 24; and the rules of metrics are violated in verses 1, 14, 21 and 23. Words not found in the dictionaries, or employed in unusual significations, are *prárabdhá*, in line 6, *avalagaka* and *avalagana*, in

¹ See Fleet's *Gupta Inscriptions*, plate XXVIII² See *ib.*, p. 202

line 7, *vyutthānika* (derived from *vyutthāna* as *vijñānika* is from *vijñāna*), in line 12, and *rechaka* (unless this is an error for *mechaka*) in the same line.

The inscription is not dated, but, as intimated above, it may, on palæographical grounds, be assigned to about the 8th century A.D. Opening with a verse which invokes the blessing of the goddess of fortune, it tells us (in verses 2 and 3) that in former days there was a king of Magadha, named Âdisimha, to whose dominions belonged the three villages (*palli*) of which the inscription treats and near one of which it must have been engraved,—Bhramaraśālmali, Chhīngalā, and Nabhūtishanḍaka.³ Now, once upon a time three brothers—Udayamāna, Śridhautamāna, and Ajitamāna⁴—merchants, went on business from Ayodhyā to Tāmalipti; and having made plenty of money and being on their way home, they for some reason or other tarried at one of the three villages, apparently Bhramaraśālmali (verses 4—5). While they were there, the king Âdisimha came to the forest near the villages on a hunting expedition,⁵ and ordered the inhabitants to give him an *avalagna* (or *avalagana*). The villagers, on receipt of the king's orders, went to the eldest brother, Udayamāna, and entreated him to do the king's behest. He thereupon did send an *avalagana* and quickly became a favourite of the king, who not only bestowed a diadem (*śrīpaṭṭa*) on him, but also, at Udayamāna's solicitation, assured the people of Bhramaraśālmali of his royal favour (verses 12—16). When Udayamāna returned to the village, the inhabitants in their delight, and with the king's approval, requested him to become their *rājā*, and he complied with the request and long ruled the village happily and vigorously (verses 17—19). He also, at the request of the inhabitants of the two other villages, sent his brothers Śridhautamāna and Ajitamāna to rule, subordinately to himself, over Nabhūtishanḍaka and Chhīngalā (verses 20—23). Verse 24 (if I understand it rightly) adds that people of former days had this eulogy engraved⁶ to record that the family (ruling at Bhramaraśālmali) had been founded and owed its greatness to Udayamāna, and to warn (the chiefs of) the two other villages not to act in opposition to, or to separate themselves from, the (main branch of the) family; and the inscription ends with a prayer for the uninterrupted continuance of the family of the prince Udayamānadeva.

I am not at present able to state whether any or what historical importance should be attached to this inscription;⁷ nor can I identify the three villages of which the inscription treats. The place Tāmalipti (Tāmralipti), to which the three brothers are said to have gone from Ayodhyā, is the modern Tamluk, the head-quarters of the sub-division

³ So the name is given in verse 3. In verses 21 and 23 originally *Bhūtishanḍaka* was engraved, but both times this appears to have been altered to *Nabhūtishanḍaka*.

⁴ These names are given below.

⁵ The verses 6-11 only glorify the wealth, liberality, valour, etc., of the three brothers in the customary manner.

⁶ This appears to be the general sense of the original text, but I am unable to give the exact meaning of the word *prārābhā-khelana*, and of the following words *avalagaka* and *avalagana*. According to the dictionaries *prārābhā* is 'the post to which an elephant is fastened,' which would suggest that the king was out elephant-hunting. For *avalagana* (as a neuter noun) von Böhtlingk's *Dictionary* only gives the meaning 'the winning of somebody'; and *avalagaka* I find in Professor Jacobi's edition of Bhadrabāhu's *Kalpasūtra*, p. 107, note 61, employed in the explanation of the word *kaufumbika* where also its meaning seems to be uncertain.

⁷ See Varāhamihira's *Bṛhat-saṃhitā*, Chapter XLIX. In the note on the *Kalpasūtra*, quoted above, the word *śrīpāṭṭi* is explained by *Śrīdevatādhyārita-sauvarṇapāṭṭa-bhāṣitottamāṅgaḥ*; what the king gave to Udayamāna was apparently such a *śrīpāṭṭa*.

⁸ Accordingly, the present inscription is recording events which had happened generations before this inscription was engraved.

⁹ The names of Udayamāna and his brothers will of course remind the reader of the occurrence of the names Varnamāna and Rudramāna in the preceding inscription.

of the same name of the Midnapur district of Bengal, on the Rāpnārāyan river, a town which is well known from Buddhist records, and is even now one of the principal seats of commerce in the district.¹⁰

TEXT.¹¹

L. 1.

[श्री¹²]श्रीरात्मदेहप्रतिमासहस्रमालोक्य भोगीन्द्रफणामणिस्य¹³ ।ई[र्याकु]ला कर[तलि]न हरे७प्रहा[र]मुक्ता[सय]न्ती भवतु [अ]ये वः ॥¹⁴—[1].व(ब)भूव पूर्वमगधाधिराजः श्रीमादिसिद्धोरिगजै[क]सिद्धः¹⁵ ।

पराक्रमैर्य७प्रथितोद्वितीयः

2.

श्रीमज्ज[राम]स्य इव द्वितीयः ॥¹⁶—[2].अस्याम्भ[म^x]रशान्मस्याञ्जिङ्गलाय[ान्त]थैव च ।नभूतोष[ण्ड]के चापि व(ब)भूवाधिपति७पुरा ॥¹⁷—[3].अथ कस्मिन्स्य[त्स]मये¹⁸ वणिजो भ्रातरस्त्रयः ।तामलिसि[म]योध्याया ययुः पूर्वम्वणिज्या¹⁹ ॥ —[4].

भूयः प्रतिनिवृत्तास्ते स्वमावासं यियासवः ॥(1)

3. प्रयोजनेन केनापि चिरञ्चक्रुरिह स्थितिं ॥ —[5].

सुवर्णमणिमाणिक्यमुक्ताप्रभृति र्यैर्दनं ।

वित्तपस्यर्हयवासोदपर्यन्तमुपार्जितं ॥ —[6].

सर्वभूताश्रयाभत्यदयादाक्षिण्यशालिनः ।

स्रष्टा(ष्टा)र इव लोकस्य क्षयः क्षितिमुपागताः ॥ —[7].

कलासः कठिनः कलङ्क-

4.

मलिनश्चन्द्रमुषाराचलः

प्रायस्तीक्ष्णकरप्रहारविवशो नित्यङ्गलत्येव हि ।

तारन्त्यच्च समाश्रिता गुणवतो हारा इति प्रायशो

येषामस्य व(ब)भूव निर्मलगुणैः शीलस्य नैवोपमा ॥²⁰—[8]

दातृत्वेन समो व(ब)भूव न पुरा कर्णोपि येषामसौ

शौर्येणापि जगज्जिगीषुरगमत्²¹

5.

नैवाज्जुनस्तुल्यतां

य चासङ्गपराक्रमक्रमपदाक्रान्तचिलोकीतला

वीरानाहवमूर्धसु प्रव(ब)लिन७पङ्कनिबोद्धिस्त्रिपुः ॥ —[9].

दिक्षाम(मि)नीतनुविलिपनचन्दनानि [रा]ज्यश्रिय स्तनतटीविकटाङ्गकानि²² ।अथार्पि चन्द्रकरहारतुषारभान्नि²³ सर्वा दिशो धवलयन्ति यशा[न्ति] येषां ॥²⁴—[10].

विद्यासमुन्नतगुणा

¹⁰ See the *Imperial Gazetteer of India*, vol. XIII, p. 171.¹¹ From Sir A. Cunningham's rubbing.¹² Expressed by a symbol.¹³ The two *aksharas* in brackets are almost entirely broken away.¹⁴ Metre: Indravajrā; but the third Pāda of the verse is as if the metre were Vasantatilakā.—Compare Vallabhadeva's *Subhāshitāvalī*, verso 41.¹⁵ Observe the hiatus in श्रीमादि°; and read °सिद्धो and °सिद्धः.¹⁶ Metre: Upajāti.¹⁷ Metre of verses 3-7: Śloka (Anushtubh).¹⁸ Read कस्मिन्स्य°.¹⁹ Read पूर्वव°.²⁰ Metre of verses 8 and 9: Śārdūlavikrīṭa.²¹ Read °गमसौषा°.²² Read °विकटाङ्गकानि.²³ Read °भानि and यशादि.²⁴ Metre of verses 10 and 11: Vasantatilakā.

- L. 6. अपि न [स्त्रयान्वा] नम्राः सुदूरमथ चोन्नतिमूर्तिभाजः²⁵।
 निस्त्रिङ्गनिष्ठुरकराः²⁶ [क्]पयाकुलाश्च ये चार्थिकल्पतरवः शिवशौरिभक्ताः ॥ —[11].
 स श्रीमानादिसिङ्गो²⁷ कदाचिदटवीमिमां ।
 प्रारब्धो (ब्धो) खिलनोद्युक्तः²⁸ प्रविवेशावनीश्वरः²⁹ ॥³⁰—[12].
 आदिदेश
7. समाह्वय स पक्षीक्षितयाजनं ।
 यूयमद्यावलगकमस्त्रभ्यं³¹ ददताश्विति ॥ —[13].
 अन्नत्यस्तस्माकण्य³² [तूण]स्व(स्व)लपरिग्रहः³³ ॥(1)
 वणिजां भ्रातरं ज्येष्ठसुदयमानसुपागमत् ॥ —[14].
 राज्ञोवलगनार्थाय तमभ्यर्थ्य प्रयत्नतः ।
 प्रेषयामास तन्क्षोपि³⁴ वल्लभोभून्महीपतेः ॥ —[15].
8. अक्षरहोभिरनुभूय नृपप्रसादं श्रीपट्टव(व)न्धमधिगम्य ततः क्रमेण ।
 श्रीआदिसिङ्गनृपतिम्वरिवो(वो)ध्य³⁵[ति]न [स]न्मानितो³⁶ भ्रमरशाल्मलिपक्षिलोकः³⁷[16].
 तुष्टास्ततो भ्रमरशाल्मलिवासिनस्ते भूयस्तमागतसुपेत्य जनाः³⁸प्रणम्य ।
 राजा भव त्वमिह पालय पक्षिमेतामित्या-
9. दि तत्परधियोभिदधुस्तमुच्चैः ॥ —[17].
 एवञ्चाम भवत्विति प्रतिवचस्तस्मात्समाकण्य³⁹ ते
 तस्मै राज्यपदन्दुः क्षितिधृताप्याप्तापि[ता]स्तत्कृते ।
 सोक्षिन्विक्रमखण्डितारिसुभटश्रेणिः श्रुतार्थश्चिरं
 राज्यवर्जितसर्वपक्षिरकरोन्नाम्नोदयाख्यो नृपः ॥⁴⁰—[18].
 यस्य त्यागनयान्वयोक्षतिगुणग्रामाधिपत्यार्जितां
10. कीर्त्तिश्चन्द्रकरावदातसुभगामद्यापि सोत्कण्ठिताः ।
 गेहासीनसुराङ्गनाज्जनकतप्रीतिन्मुनेन्द्रालयः⁴¹
 प्रान्तप्रोत्थितकल्पपादपतले गायन्ति सिंहस्त्रियः ॥ —[19].
 आगत्योदयमानस्तु तैरेवाभ्यर्थितस्तदा ।
 पक्षी ह्येयपि राज्यार्थं भ्रातरौ विससर्ज सः ॥⁴²—[20].
 श्रीमाञ्छीक्षीतमानाख्यः⁴³ प्रख्यातो [न]भूति-⁴⁴

²⁵ Read श्रीपट्टवम्.

²⁶ Read निस्त्रिङ्ग.

²⁷ Read °सिङ्गीय.

²⁸ Metre of verses 12-15; Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

²⁹ Originally °क्षत्री was engraved, but it has been altered to °क्षत्र्य.

³⁰ Read °क्षत्र्या.

³¹ Of the akṣharas in brackets the upper portion is broken away.

³² Read वं क्षीय ° तक्षीपि.

³³ Observe again the hiatus in श्रीवाहि°; and read °सिङ्ग°.

³⁴ Read संमानितो.

³⁵ Metre of verses 16 and 17: Vasantatilakā.

³⁶ Metre of verses 18 and 19: Śārdhālavikrīḍita.

³⁷ Read °प्रीतिं सुरे°.

³⁸ Metre of verses 20-23: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

³⁹ Read श्रीमाञ्छी°.

⁴⁰ The akṣhara in brackets was originally omitted, and is engraved above the line.

L. 11.

षण्डके ।

वश्यालोदयमानस्य चिरं राज्यमवीकरत् ॥ —[21].

प्रचण्डसुभटाटोपकुण्डितामिस्रमण्डलः ।

अभूदजितमानोपि षष्ठ्यलायां महीपतिः ॥ —[22].

पत्युर्भ्रमरशालमन्याम्बुला[न?]भूतिषण्डकौ¹¹ ।

वश्यौ व(ब)भूवतुः पूर्वमेवमेतौ यथाक्रमं ॥ —[23].

आरभ्योदयमानमादिपुरुषं गोत्र-

12.

क्रमीयमहा-

नस्याकम्परिवर्धमानमहिमेत्यालोक्ष्य ते पूर्वजाः ।

अस्यज्ञोन्नभवात्कदाचिदनाहुत्यानिकं¹² स्यादिदंसत्पत्नीद्वयमित्यवेत्य चखनु७प्राशस्त्यवर्णानिमान् ॥¹³—[24].यावत्प्रान्तमरीचिरेचकचयव्याप्तिलोकोच्छ्रयं¹⁴

चक्रं चक्रधरो वि(ब)भर्त्सि गिरिशो यावद्भवानीपतिः ।

13. यावत्लोकसिद्धययाकुलमिलञ्चिन्तालसद्यात्मभू-

र्गोन्नत्योदयमानदेवनृपतेस्तावत्स्थितिर्दृश्यताम् ॥ —[25].

XXVIII.—COPPER-PLATE GRANT OF VAIDYADEVA, KING OF KĀMARŪPA.

BY ARTHUR VENIS, M.A., PRINCIPAL, SANSKRIT COLLEGE, BENARES.

Twenty-five copper-plate inscriptions were found in October 1892 in the village of Kamauli, near the confluence of the Barnā and the Ganges at Benares. The story at the time was that a villager while ploughing a field had turned up one of the plates with his plough and so discovered the others; but I do not know whether this simple and (in India) not unusual story has been subsequently confirmed by official inquiry into the locality and circumstances of the find. Mr. R. H. Brereton, Magistrate of Benares, sent me the plates, which are the subject of this and the next paper, *viz.* the grant of Vaidyadeva and two grants of Govindachandra of Kanauj, for immediate examination and report. On receiving from me a few rough notes as to their general contents and approximate dates, he kindly permitted a more careful and leisurely revision of these grants.¹

The Vaidyadeva grant is engraved on three plates. A spoon-like arrangement loosely holds the plates together, its narrower, hooked end being passed through a hole in the middle of their right-hand margins. The broader, hollow end of the spoon contains a figure of Gaṇeśa. The plates measure 9½" broad by 7" high, and weigh together

¹¹ Here again the *akṣara* in brackets seems to be engraved above the line.

¹² This was originally engraved, but appears to have been altered to "विद्वन् व्यु"; and I believe the intended reading to be "विद्वन् व्यु".

¹³ Metre of verses 24 and 25, Śārdūlavikrīṭita.

¹⁴ I am not sure whether the word रेषक of this line should be altered to शेषक.²

¹ All the plates were deposited in the Provincial Museum at Lucknow in July 1893.

2lbs. 13oz.; their margins are slightly thickened outwards. The first plate is inscribed on the inner side only. Each side contains sixteen lines, except the outer side of the third plate, on which there are nine lines only. The height of the letters is about a quarter inch. On the whole, the writing is well preserved, being nowhere completely obliterated. The characters resemble those of the Deopara inscription of Vijayasena (*ante*, vol. I, p. 305), but approach more closely to the modern Bengali character. They may also be compared, in some respects, with the character of the Kṛṣṇadvârikâ Temple inscription at Gayâ (*Arch. Survey Reports*, vol. III, plate xxxvii), which Dr. Kielhorn describes as "a kind of Devanâgarî which appears to have been current in the 12th century A.D." (*Ind. Antiq.*, vol. XVI, p. 63.)

Peculiarities, epigraphical and orthographical, are always better seen than described; but the purpose of this notice will have been sufficiently served, it is hoped, by the corrigenda in the foot-notes to the printed text. Lines 6-13 of plate iii, A, have not been corrected, because they are mostly filled with names of villages, tanks, their exact boundaries, etc., in Prakrit forms. It may be remarked in passing that the engraver of the plates (or his prompter) has fallen an easy victim to the shibboleth of ञ, ञ, ञ. The language is Sanskrit, prose and verse.

The inscription records the grant of two villages named Śāntipātaka and Mandarâ situated in the *viśaya* of Bādâ, in the *bhukti* of Prâggyotisha, in the *maṇḍala* of Kâmarûpa. The donee is a Brahman named Śrīdhara, the son of Yudhishṭhira and Pâi his wife, and the grandson of Bharata, who was born of the *gotra* of Viśvâmitra, in the village of Bhâva in Vârendrî. Vaidyadeva, the donor, is the son of Bodhideva and Pratâpadevî his wife, and the grandson of Yogadeva. His caste is not mentioned; but there can be little doubt that he was a Brahman. He was a devotee of both Śiva and Viṣṇu. His father and grandfather, in their time, had been the ministers of Râmapâla and Vighrapâla, who were the father and grandfather respectively of Kumârapâla. The royal and the ministerial lines stand thus:—

Sâryavamsî Pâlas.

- I. Vighrapâla.
- II. Râmapâla (son of I).
- III. Kumârapâla (son of II).

Mantrins.

1. Yogadeva.
2. Bodhideva (son of 1).
3. Vaidyadeva (son of 2).

From the inscription we directly learn but little more about the Pâlas than is given in the above table. Vighrapâla is dismissed in a single verse as "perfect in every accomplishment"—a brief but appropriate intimation, perhaps, that his reign was brief. Râmapâla, a warrior, is compared to his great namesake. If the dark conceit of verse 4 has not escaped me, it means in bald prose that Râmapâla conquered (or regained?) Mithilâ, and killed a certain raja Bhîma, whom I am unable to identify. Kumârapâla is styled Lord of Gaṇḍa. He loved Vaidyadeva "dearer even than life," to whose generalship he entrusted the fortunes of the empire. Vaidyadeva was a stern minister and a victorious general. If verse 11 and verses 13—17 do not refer to the same event, he won two glorious victories for his master; the one in the southern part of Vaṅga (but see note 81); the other "in the East," when he killed a rebellious vassal whose name I read hesitatingly as Timgyadeva.

In the kingdom "in the East" was included Kāmarūpa; for it was here that the two villages conveyed by Vaidyadeva as Mahārājādhirāja, etc., were situated. He dates the charter from his victorious camp at Hamsākcoñchī, which again I cannot identify. His younger brother (? nephew), Budhadeva, is introduced without any apparent reason, unless indeed it be to mark him as the heir. The *prāśasti* was written by Manoratha, the son of the *raja-guru* Murāri and Padmā his wife. The *śāsana* was delivered by Gonandana, Vaidyadeva's *dharmādhikārin*. It was engraved by Karna-bhadra.

The date of the Vaidyadeva grant remains to be discussed. I have failed to work it out directly from the text. The statement in plate iii, A, l. 5, appears to be only a prose repetition of the statement in verse 28, with the addition of the word *Sam* and the numerical sign 4. The syllable *ni*, with which it concludes, I take as a contraction of *nibaddham* (to qualify *śāsanam*). Verse 28 will be considered below. The other statement in ll. 3, 4 of plate iii, A, baffles me. The last word *Guggulī* is very doubtful. Read thus, it may be explained as an *upanāman* of Śrīdhara, the donee, in reference to the story of the *Guggulu* in verse 26. But the forms of conjunct *ggu* are entirely different in the two words. I am still inclined to think that the letters read *Guggulī* are somehow connected with the date indication.

Failing to fix Vaidyadeva's date from the inscription itself, I have been forced to enter on the much debated chronology of the Pāla and Sena dynasties. Now, in regard to the Pāla dynasty, the reign of Mahipāla from 1066 to 1060 A.D., and the accession of Govindapāla in 1161 A.D., are practically the only dates unanimously accepted by the latest modern authorities. The intervening names, the order of their succession, and their dates are still disputed. Dr. Kielhorn's list of Pāla kings from Gopāla I. to Vīgrahapāla III., based on the Āṅgāchhī plate (*Ind. Ant.* vol. XXI, p. 99), is, in part at least, confirmed and extended by the statements of the Vaidyadeva plates: for, assuming the historical accuracy of the Āṅgāchhī and Vaidyadeva grants (which indeed there seems to be no reason for doubting), we see from the latter grant that the kings Vīgrahapāla, Rāmapāla and Kumārapāla must be placed together in this unbroken order; and from the former grant that no place in the Pāla line can be found for this trio previous to Mahipāla. Further, the dates discussed in the next paragraph make it almost certain that Vīgrahapāla of the Vaidyadeva grant is identical with Vīgrahapāla III. of Dr. Kielhorn's list.² Nayapāla's position is not so easy to decide. Dr. Hoernle identifies him with Devapāla, 956—991 A.D., (*Ind. Ant.* vol. XIV, p. 164). Of course there may have been two or more of his name in the Pāla dynasty. But I may observe that the exact position of Nayapāla is not material to the present inquiry.

I return to verse 28, and premising the succession given by Dr. Kielhorn, I would thus state the problem widely:—Between the years 1060 and 1161 A.D., to find the year in which the *Meshasamkrānti* fell (1) on *ekādaśī* and (2) on *dvādaśī* in Vaiśākha (*kṛishṇa-paksha*)? The following years result:—(1) on *ekādaśī* 1077, 1096, 1123, 1142, 1161 A.D.; (2) on *dvādaśī* 1115, 1134 A.D. Now, the years 1077, 1096, 1115 and 1123 may at once be rejected as altogether too early for Kumārapāla, by whom Vaidyadeva was made a king. The year 1134 may also be rejected; for, since we do not know

² Dr. Kielhorn confines himself to proving that the Āṅgāchhī plate of Vīgrahapāla III. was issued after 1053 A.D.

whether Kumârapâla was the immediate predecessor of Govindapâla or not, we are not at liberty to count the years of Kumârapâla backwards directly from 1161 A.D. Then, turning to the other end of the line, even if Nayapâla's name be omitted from that part of the royal succession which is now under review, it is safer to place Kumârapâla nearer the end than the beginning of the period 1060—1161 A.D. Thus 1142 and 1161 remain. And of the two 1142 A.D. seems the more probable date for the grant of Vaidyadeva. The 4th year mentioned in Plate III, A., ll. 3, 4, 5 may be the regnal year of Vaidyadeva, whose rule in Assam would then date from 1138 A.D.

Next, as to the history of the Sena dynasty, it is generally acknowledged that some time during the second half of the 11th century A.D. the Pâlas lost Eastern and Northern Bengal (including Assam) to the Senas under Vijayasena. "Vijayasena's reign (Dr. Kielhorn writes, *ante*, vol. I, 307) may therefore be reasonably supposed to have begun about the beginning of the last quarter of the 11th century." I have no wish to indulge in further speculation. Briefly, this is what I suppose the drift of events to have been. At first, *i.e.* roughly from 1060—1130 A.D. the Pâlas were driven westwards by the Senas. Then the Senas began to lose ground rapidly and were driven back by the Pâlas into a small corner of Bengal, where they were finally demolished by the Muhamadans at the beginning of the 13th century A.D. Thus, the conquest of Janakabhûmi (Mithilâ) by Râmapâla, recounted in verse 4 of the Vaidyadeva grant, may have been an historical fact, marking the return of power to the Pâla line. And thus, too, the Pâla culmination under Kumârapâla about 1142 A.D. would synchronise with the Sena decadence under Keśavasena or his successor.

To recapitulate: Vaidyadeva's grant furnishes some new historical material, *viz.* a trio of the royal line of Pâlas arranged in order of descent; it throws some more light on the relations existing between the rival Pâla and Sena dynasties in Bengal during the 12th century A.D.; and its data, as confirmed by the history of the period, seem to point to 1142 A.D. as its probable date.

TEXT.

Plate I. (Inner side only.)

- Line [1.] ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ स्वस्ति ॥ अम्बरमानस्तम्भः कुम्भः संसारबीजरक्षायाः । ह-
रिदन्तर-
- [2.] मितमूर्तिः क्रीडापोत्री हरिजयति^१ ॥^२ (Verse 1) एतस्य दक्षिणदशो वंशे मिहिरस्य
जातवान् पूर्व^३ । विग्रहपा-
- [3.] लो नृपतिः सञ्जाकारद्विसिद्धः^४ ॥ (2) यस्य वंशक्रमेणाभूत्सचिवः शास्त्रचित्तमः । योग-
देव इति ख्यातः
- [4.] स्फुरद्दोर्हृष्टविक्रमः ॥^५ (3). तस्योज्ज्वलपौत्रस्य^६ नृपतेः श्रीरामपालोऽभवत्पुत्रः पालकुला-
व्ययी-
- [5.] तकिरणः साम्राज्यविख्यातिभाक् । तेने येन जगज्जये जनकभूलाभाद्यथावश्यः श्रीसीमा-
यकभीम-

^१ Read 'मूर्तिः' and 'हरिजयति'

^२ Metre of verses 1 and 2: PathyArjA.

^३ Read जातवान् पूर्वम्.

^४ Read सञ्जाकारद्वि

^५ Metre: Śloka. (PathyAvaktra.)

^६ Read तस्योज्ज्वलम्

- L. [6.] रावणवधाद्युद्धारणवोद्धननात्⁹ ॥¹⁰ (4) यस्य शुद्धसचिवः पुराभवबोधिवे इति तत्त्वबोधभूः।
विश्वरीव वि-
- [7.] दितोऽङ्गुतैर्गुणैरुज्जितात्मसदृशः क्षितावयं¹¹ ॥¹² (5). अस्य प्रतापदेवी पद्मी धर्माद्विकीर्त्तिवि-
श्रान्तिः
- [8.] विश्रान्तिः¹³ । आसीदसीमकान्तिः सन्तोषस्याकान्तिः पत्युः ॥¹⁴ (6). अभूदसुस्थान्तनयोऽस्य
विश्रुतः श्रीवे-
- [9.] श्रीवैद्यदेवः¹⁵ परया श्रिया युतः । यदुच्छलत्कीर्त्तिशरीवरोद¹⁶ पद्माङ्गुराभः शिवभूधरो-
भवत् ॥¹⁷ (7). दैवज्ञेषु च तर्कुकेषु च जनुद्विष्टस्य दिष्टिभूतेरन्नस्यप्रधृतीर्भटित्यरिभटैरुन्म-
[11.] च संमूर्च्छितं । किञ्चित्त्रिजवन्मुवृन्दनयनप्रोद्धतहर्षास्त्रुभिः पारस्वप्रसरप्रतापदहनस्याभू-
द्विनि-
- [12.] वर्पाणं¹⁸ ॥¹⁹ (8). सोऽयं रामनरन्दजस्य सचिवः साम्राज्यलक्ष्मीजुषः प्रख्यातस्य कुमारपाल-
नृपते-
- [13.] धित्तानुरूपोऽभवत् । यस्यारातिकिरीटहाटककृतप्रासादकण्ठीरवग्रासत्रासवशादपैथ्यति
[14.] विधोर्विस्वाङ्गरूपी²⁰ मृगः ॥ (9). सचिवसमाजशरीजतिग्मभानुः²¹ प्रसरयशोऽम्बुधिरेष वैद्य-
देवः । स-
- [15.] हजवदान्यतयैव चम्पकेशः सुजनमनःकुमुदेषु शीतरश्मिः²² ॥²³ (10). यस्यानुत्तरवङ्गमङ्गरजये
नीवाट-
- [16.] हीहीरववस्तद्विकरिभिर्य²⁴ यत्र चलितं चेन्नास्ति तद्व्यभूः । किञ्चित्पातुककेनिपातपत-
नप्रोत्सर्पितः

Plate II, A.

- [17.] शीकरराकाशे स्थिरता कृता यदि भवेत्स्यान्निष्कलङ्कः शशी ॥²⁵ (11). गौडेशस्य कुमारपाल-
नृपते-
- [18.] होर्वीर्यतेजस्यतेः²⁶ त्रैलोक्योदरपूरिभूरियशसः प्रज्ञानवाचस्पतेः । सप्ताङ्गस्यतिपाधिपत्व-
मभितः
- [19.] संचिन्त्यन्नुग्रहीः प्राणैभ्योप्यतिबन्धुरस्य सचिवः सोऽभूदुष्णिग्रामणीः ॥ (12). एतादृशे²⁷ हरि-
हरिङ्गवि स-
- [20.] त्कृतस्य श्रीतिम्यदेव²⁸ नृपतेर्विकृतिं निशम्य । गौडेश्वरेण भुवि तस्य नरेश्वरत्वे श्रीवैद्यदेव
उरुकीर्त्ति-
- [21.] रयं नियुक्तः ॥²⁹ (13). सजमिव शिरस्यादायाज्ञां प्रभोरुतेजसः । कतिपयदिनैहत्वा³⁰ जिष्णुः
प्रयाणमसौ

⁹ Read भाक्. °कृच्छनात्

¹⁰ Metre: Śārdūlavikrīṭita.

¹¹ Read तस्य° विषयं तावयम्

¹² Metre: Rādhoddhātā.

¹³ Read धर्माद्विकीर्त्तिविश्रान्तिः.

¹⁴ Metre: Paṭh: āryā.

¹⁵ Read श्रीवैद्यदेवः

¹⁶ Read 'शरीवरोदरे. The रे is supplied from a *truti*, which is found at the bottom of the plate and is prefixed by the numeral sign fo. 9.

¹⁷ Metre: Upajāti of Vamānastha and Indravamśa.

¹⁸ Read जनुद्विष्टस्य, i.e. "of the birth time (of Vaidyadeva) संमूर्च्छितम् °निर्वापयम्

¹⁹ Metre of verses 8 and 9: Śārdūlavikrīṭita.

²⁰ Read विधोर्विस्वा°

²¹ Read °सरीज°

²² Read शीतरश्मिः

²³ Metre: P

²⁴ Read °वस्तद्वि°

²⁵ Metre of verses 11 and 12: Śārdūlavikrīṭita.

²⁶ Read °नृपतेर्द्विर्वीर्य°

²⁷ Read एतादृशी.

²⁸ This might be read विश्व.

²⁹ Metre: Vasantatilakā.

³⁰ Read °दिनैहत्वा and द्रुवम्. One would expect प्रयाणं कृत्वा

- L. [22.] द्रुतं । तमवनिपतिं जित्वा युद्धे बभूव महीपतिर्बिजभुजपारषण्डः³¹ साक्षाद्विस्मृतिवि-
क्रमः ॥ (14).³² ए-
- [23.] तस्य प्रवरप्रयाणसमये पांशुत्करैः स्थण्डिलप्राये व्योमतले कंसमिकगणै-
- [24.] लब्धोऽङ्घ्रियानश्रमः । किञ्चाच्छिद्यगोपनेन करयोरन्यक्रियास्वक्षमः सुचामा नय-
- [25.] नानिमोलनकरं कर्म स्वकं निन्दति ॥³³ (15) दोहण्डारणिजे³⁴ हविर्भुजि भटव्रातेभ्यनैरघिते
- [26.] संग्रामाध्वरपूजिते रिपुशिरःश्रेणीलसत्श्रीफलेः ।³⁵ कृत्वा होमविधिं परचितिभु-
- [27.] जा दत्वाय³⁶ पूर्णाहुतिं लब्धोदयशो³⁷ महत्फलमसौ श्रीवैद्यदेवो बभौ ॥ (16). यदुदसमर-
मध्यात³⁸ खड्गघातो-
- [28.] त्पतद्भिः परसुभटशिरोभिर्व्योम कीर्णं निरीक्ष्य । भटिति विसरराहुव्यहधीबिभ्यदर्कः स्व-
- [29.] द्धर्मपि रजोभिः प्रीच्छयन्³⁹ स्वं सुगोप ॥⁴⁰ (17). चन्द्रस्योद्भवभूमहोद्भवसरणं सत्वप्रधानाशयः
पा-
- [30.] चश्रीमहितः स्फुरद्रसमयः सोयं गभीरः परः । रत्नानां निलयः श्रियः कुलगृहं स्वान्तस्थित-
- [31.] श्रीपतिः स्यादेवं सदृशोऽस्वधैर्यादं जलाधारोऽथवा लंघितः⁴¹ ॥⁴² (18). ज्ञानैर्गोप्यतिरुजितै-
द्दिनपतिः
- [32.] सत्यौद्वेः श्रीपतिर्द्वैर्यरम्भुपतिर्द्वेद्वनपतिर्दानैः⁴³ स चम्पापतिः । किञ्चित्पि गिरोपमान-
विषयाः

Plate II, B.

- [33.] प्रायः प्रसिद्धेर्बलाद् भुम किन्तु वयं स्वयं स्वसदृशः सर्वैर्गुणानां⁴⁴ गणैः ॥0॥ (19) यस्य श्रीबुध-
देव इत्यनुजम्भः
- [34.] श्रीरामभद्रान्नजप्रायस्ततदसीमनिर्मलगुणैर्हर्मिद्विशालहभूः⁴⁵ । दानैः शोभनपद्मवैद्विज⁴⁶-
- [35.] कुलप्रीतिप्रदानैरपि । ख्यातः कल्पमरुहप्रतिज्जतिहोर्वीर्य्यजृम्भायसाः⁴⁷ ॥ (20). अथाभ-
- [36.] वत कौषिकसञ्ज्ञको मुनिसुनोन्द्रसुख्यो⁴⁸ निजगोत्रपूरुषः । पयोजज्ज्वालयचयभमशमात्
- [37.] यदास्यपद्मेतु सुखं गिरा स्थितं⁴⁹ ॥⁵⁰ (21). एतद्वसे महति भरतः प्रादुरासीतद्विजाति-
र्भावाग्रामे
- [38.] प्रविसरयसाः शासनोद्ये वरेन्द्रां अस्तामन्यहुणगणसमाख्यानमाख्यानमाचादास्त्राजोऽ-
- [39.] पि स्फुटति निखिलः किर्णवानां प्रपञ्चः⁵¹ ॥⁵² (22). अस्य विप्रतिलको युधिष्ठिरः पुत्र इ-
- [40.] त्यभवत्सुधीश्वरः⁵³ । शास्त्रवेदपरिशुद्धबोधभूः श्रीचित्रत्वविलसद्यशोनिधिः⁵⁴ (23). पार्श्व-
- [41.] ति धर्मपत्नी धीरवरस्यास्य चित्तविश्रान्तिः । असिदसीमकात्सिः शीलौदायश्रीयां⁵⁵

³¹ Read महीपतिर्बिजं.

³² Metre: Hariṇi.

³³ Metre of verses 15 and 16: Śārdūlavikṛīṭita.

³⁴ Read दीहण्डा.

³⁵ Read °ससङ्गीफलेः.

³⁶ Read दत्वाय.

³⁷ Read लब्धोदयशो.

³⁸ Read °मध्यात्.

³⁹ Read °जिरोभिर्व्योम °प्रीच्छयन्.

⁴⁰ Metre: Mālini.

⁴¹ Read महीप्रवरं सत्वं लङ्घितः.

⁴² Metre of verses 18, 19, and 20: Śārdūlavikṛīṭita.

⁴³ Read °रुजितैर्द्वैर्यरम्भुपतिर्द्वेद्वनपतिर्दानैः.

⁴⁴ Read भूमः and सर्वैर्गुणैः.

⁴⁵ Read °सचरसीम °पार्श्वोदायश्रीयां.

⁴⁶ The var. lect. सरफलपद्मवैः (with the numerical sign 2 affixed) is given in the upper margin of the plate; and शोभन° is out out in the text.

⁴⁷ Read कल्पमरुहप्रतिज्जतिहोर्वीर्य्यजृम्भायसाः । जृम्भा° is cut out in the body of the plate, and सञ्ज्ञाय (with the sign 3 affixed) is supplied in the lower margin.

⁴⁸ Read °भरत् कौषिकं °मुनिसुं.

⁴⁹ Read °समशमात् and स्थितम्.

⁵⁰ Metre: Vamśastha.

⁵¹ Read एतद्वसे . . प्रादुरासीद् . . प्रविसरयसाः . . वरेन्द्रान् । आलानम् . . खिलिवावां.

⁵² Metre: Mandākrantā.


⁵³ °भवत्सुधीश्वरः is faulty metre.

⁵⁴ Metre: Rathoddhātā.

⁵⁵ Read चारीद् . . श्रीवीरार्चनवां.

GRANT OF VAIDYADEVA OF KAMARUPA.

THE SKAL.



Scale : 8-64ths of original.

[illegible]

- L. [42.] वसतिः ॥⁵⁶ (21). पूर्वपूर्वजनुजन्मकर्मपाकदभूत्सुतस्तस्यैतस्यां हिजाधीसपूज्यः⁵⁷ श्रीश्रीध-
 [43.] रः परः ॥⁵⁸ (25). तीर्थेषु भ्रमणात् श्रुताध्ययनतो दानात्तथाध्यापनाप्यज्ञानां⁵⁹ करणादुत्ते-
 कचरणात् सख्यो-
 [44.] त्तः शोचियः ॥ प्रातःसक्तमयाचितोपवसनैर्येन स्वयं गुम्फलोराकर्षाद्वरदः कृतोच हि कलौ
 शो-
 [15.] सोमनाथः⁶⁰ प्रभुः ॥⁶¹ (26). कर्मब्रह्मविदां मुख्यः सर्वाकारतपोनिधिः श्रौतस्मात्तरहस्येषु⁶²
 वागीश इव वि-
 [16.] श्रुतः ॥⁶³ (27). एतस्मै शासनं प्रादाद्देवदेवस्त्रीतीश्वरः । वैशाखे विश्वत्याञ्च⁶⁴ स्वर्गार्थं हरि-
 वासरे ॥0॥ (28).
 [17.] स्वस्ति हंसाकोञ्चीसमावासितश्रीमण्यकन्धवारारत⁶⁵ । परममार्हेश्वरः परमवैष्णवः महा-
 राजाधि-
 [48.] राजः । परमेश्वरः परमभट्टारकः । श्रीमान⁶⁶ वैद्यदेवदेवः कुशली । श्रीप्रागज्योतिषभुक्तौ।
 कामरू-

Plate III, A.

- [49.] पमण्डले । वाडाविसये भट्टगङ्गाधरभुक्तक । शान्तिवडामन्दराग्रामीय । यथाप्रधानप्रति-
 वासि । चट्टभट्टविस-
 [50.] यिहकादिजनपदान कर्षकाश्च यथात्याग मानयति । बोधयति समादिशति वः मतमस्तु
 भवतां । एतत् इयं
 [51.] चतुःश्रीमावच्छिन्नं । परिबोधयन् अचट्टभट्टप्रवेशं सजलस्थलं । भूच्छिद्रश्च अकिञ्चित्कर-
 याञ्च⁶⁷ । चतुर्थ्यान्
 [52.] सं वैशाखप्रथमादिना गुम्फली श्रीशृङ्गधरशर्मणे⁶⁸ चतुःशतिकां शासनीकृत्य प्रदत्तमस्माभिः
 तदेतस्मिन्⁶⁹
 [53.] विधेया भवनेति । सं ४ सूर्यगत्या वैशाखदिने १ नि ॥0॥ सन्तिवडामन्दराग्रामयोरे-
 कीभूय अष्टसीमा-
 [54.] स्मिनयुक्तः ॥ पूर्वदिशस्तावत् दिग्दाण्डधरमादाय यावत् पश्चिमकूलशीमा ॥ ऐशा-
 नदिशः शिङ्गिन्धाध-
 [55.] रशीमालिङ्गवडाभोग्ये कंसपलभू १ ॥ उत्तरदिशः कोण्टवाडोङ्गीनडजोलोनवधराशीमा ॥
 [56.] शिरवडागिलगुडिभोग्यं किञ्चिदतिक्रम्य जयरातिपोला उणैपोलाविरामादाय वाय-
 [57.] व्यदिस पिपामुण्डा अम्बल्यशीमा अम्भडाचौवोल । वुडिपोखिरिपूर्वधरकुलाचापडि अ-
 [58.] टवलपुराणधर्मालि पश्चिमायावत् पश्चिमदिशःशीमा किञ्चिद्वरक्रित्वा नैर्ऋत्यदिशो ध-

⁵⁶ Metro: Pathyāryā.⁵⁷ Read पूर्वपूर्वजनुजन्मकर्मपाकदभूत्सुतः । हिजाधीसपूज्यः⁵⁸ Metro: Pathyāvakra.⁵⁹ Read तत्तथाध्यापनाप्यज्ञानां⁶⁰ Read सर्वाकारतः श्रीश्रीधः . . प्रातर्गो . . श्रीसोमनाथः⁶¹ Metro: Śārūlāvikrīḍita.⁶² Read °निधिः । श्रौतस्मात्तरः⁶³ Metro of verses 27, 28, 29, 30: Pathyāvakra.⁶⁴ Read °चिन्ता° विचित्रताञ्च.⁶⁵ Read °श्रीमन्मन्त्राचार्यान्.⁶⁶ Read श्रीमान्.⁶⁷ Read thus, omitting the unnecessary pauses in the plate:—वाडाविसये भट्टगङ्गाधरभुक्तकशान्तिवडामन्दराग्रामीयया-
 प्रधानप्रतिवासिचट्टभट्टविसयिहकादिजनपदान् कर्षकाश्च यथात्यागं
 मानयति बोधयति समादिशति वः मतमस्तु भवताम् । एतद्वचं
 चतुःश्रीमावच्छिन्नं परिबोधयन् अचट्टभट्टप्रवेशं सजलस्थलं भूच्छिद्रश्च
 अकिञ्चित्करयाञ्च.⁶⁸ Read श्रीशृङ्गधर° With the परिबोध of the text (l. 3)
 compare the Pali form पाखिबोध = hindrance.⁶⁹ Read तदेतस्मिन्.

- L. [59.] कालिमादाय नैपोष्ठहारयो विवादभूमिर्वायर्द्धमादाय लक्ष्मुवडास्थितेकवाटीसमेतघाट-
चम्यकः श्रीमा वे-
[60.] जवणीपटानवपन । दक्षिणदिशः कुम्भकारभोग्यवहिः श्रीमा कोण्टोहाडादध्रवोलयावत
हेलावणामुण्डमा-
[61.] दाय दिघ्दाण्डियावत । अग्निदिशः सीमा । एव अष्टसीमा ॥ ॥ द्वितीयपटकस्य चतुश्च-
पङ्क्ताः ॥ सन्तिपाट-
[62.] कसन्नन्तु मन्दराग्रामसंयुत । वडाविसयसम्बद्धं भूच्छिद्रेणेति निश्चयात् ॥⁷⁰ (29). सव्वायोपाय-
संयुक्तं करोप-
[63.] स्करवज्जितं । यावचन्द्राकसभोग्यं यावदिच्छाक्रियाफलं । जलस्थलखिलारण्यवाट-
गोवाटसंयुत⁷¹ ॥ (30). कोष्ठ य-
[64.] च करिष्यति स्वयमिदं यः कारयिष्यत्यसौ पुत्रादिस्तयमभ्युदीक्ष्य निरये कल्याणं स्थास्यति ।
यः स्नाघः परिपा-

Plate III, B.

- [65.] स्यति सुतैस्त्वितैः स वद्विष्यते स्वस्त्रोक्तं परिभुज्य यास्यति चिराद्विष्णोर्वरेण्यं पदं⁷² (31).
यावद्गास्करहिमकर-
[66.] ताराभूधरपधिवसुधाद्याः । तावद्विलशतु नृपतेः कीर्तिः⁷³ श्रीवैद्यदेवस्य⁷⁴ ॥ (32). इमां
राजगुरोः पुत्रः श्रीमुरारेर्द्धि-
[67.] जन्मनः पद्मागर्भाद्वयस्यक्रे प्रसस्तिं श्रीमनोरथः⁷⁵ ॥ (33). देवोयं रिपुचक्रविक्रमकथाप्रत्यर्थि-
दोव्विभ्रमः शश्वद्विश्व-
[68.] परिभ्रमन्नवनवोष्मिलयशः श्रीधरः । एतस्मै सुदितो द्विजातिपतये धर्माधिकारार्पितश्रो-
गोनन्दनकोवि-
[69.] दैकवचसा प्रादादिदं साशनं⁷⁶ ॥ (34). कर्णभद्रेण भद्रेण शिल्पिनानल्पबुद्धिना । ताम्रं
विनयनस्त्रेण निर्मितं
[70.] साधुकर्मणा⁷⁷ ॥ (35). एतादृशे मुनिवचनानि भवन्ति । स्वदत्तां परदत्ताम्वा यो हरेत वसु-
धरां । स विष्ठायां क्षमिर्भूत्वा
[71.] पण्यते पितृभिरह ॥ गामिका स्वर्णमैकस्वा भूमिरप्यर्धमङ्गुलं हरन्नरकमायाति यावदाङ्ग-
[72.] तसङ्गवं ॥ बहुभिर्वसुधा दत्ता राजभिः सगरादिभिः यस्य यस्य यदा भूमिस्तस्य तस्य तदा फ-
[73.] लं⁷⁸ ॥

TRANSLATION.

Om! Salutation to the holy Vāsudeva! Hail!

Verse. (1.) Glorious is Hari; the pillar for measuring the sky; the receptacle in which are preserved the germs of the transmigratory world; the boar whose form, assumed by him in sport, terminates with space, (i.e. is all-pervading).

(2.) In the race of the Sun, who is the right eye of Hari, was born of yore the king Vighrahapāla, perfect in every accomplishment.

⁷⁰ Read °संयुतम् °विषयं निश्चयात्.

⁷¹ Read सव्वायो°वर्णितम् वावचन्द्राकसंभोग्यं °कर्मन् °संयुतम्

⁷² Read कीर्तिं करिष्यति कारयिष्यति परिपाठयिष्यति
सुतेर्भि° वद्विष्यते स्वस्त्रोक्तं पदम् । Metro: Śārdūlavikrīṭita.

⁷³ Read °सूधरपदीषि° जसतु कीर्तिः

⁷⁴ Metro: Pathyāryā.

⁷⁵ Read प्रसस्तिं. Metro: Pathyāvakra.

⁷⁶ Read °दोन्नि° वसुधाः साशनम्. Metro: Śārdūlavikrīṭita.

⁷⁷ Metro: Pathyāvakra.

⁷⁸ Read परदत्तां वा वसुधरान् नामिदां कर्षनेभं वा °नृपचक्रं ।
सङ्गवं सचम् ।

[illegible]

五

(3.) His minister was Yogadeva, who was versed in the Śāstras and the prowess of whose arm was manifest.

(4.) Of this king of mighty power the son was Rāmapāla, who was the moon (*produced*) from the ocean-like Pāla family and who wielded the glory of empire. As befitted one of his name (*yathāvat*), Rāmapāla (*yena*) spread glory through the three worlds, by gaining the country of Janaka,⁷⁹ (as Rāma gained Janakabhū, the child of Janaka, *i.e.* Sītā); and by killing king Bhīma,⁸⁰ as Rāma killed Rāvaṇa; and by crossing the ocean of war, as Rāma crossed the ocean.

(5.) Bodhideva was Rāmapāla's faultless minister, the abode of truth. By his wondrous qualities he was known throughout the world as one without an equal.

(6.) His wife was Pratāpadevī, the resting-place of virtue, good fortune and good report, whose beauty was boundless, and who was the embodiment of her husband's joy.

(7.) To him was born of her the renowned Vaidyadeva, who was endowed with the highest happiness, and in whose lake of leaping glory the mountain of Śiva (*i.e.* Kailāsa) appeared as it were but a lotus-sprout.

(8.) Hearing from astrologers and mendicants of the auspicious juncture of Vaidyadeva's birth-time, his enemies' soldiers forthwith gave up food and sleep and courage, and were stupefied. And, further, by the waters of joy that sprang from the eyes of his gathered friends was extinguished the fire-like splendour of the hostile multitude.

(9.) This Vaidyadeva was the minister after his own heart of the famous king Kumārapāla, who was the son of the king Rāma, and who delighted in the glory of empire. The deer which is formed in the orb of the moon will run away through fear of being swallowed by the lions represented on the palace, which is made of gold from diadems of the enemies of this (*Vaidyadeva*).

(10.) This *Vaidyadeva* was the sun in the lotus-like assembly of ministers; the ocean of spreading fame; by reason of his natural generosity he was the Lord of Cham-pakā (*i.e.* Karmā); he was the moon among the lily-like minds of good men.

(11.) And on the occasion of Vaidyadeva's (*yasya*) victory at the battle in Southern Vaṅga,⁸¹ if the elephants of the eight quarters did not run away terrified by the shouts from the enclosure of boats, it was because they had no place to run to (*i.e.* the shouts of the rowers pervaded all space!) Moreover, if the spray, thrown up by the downward strokes of the upraised rudder-oars, had remained fixed in the sky, then the moon would have become spotless (being washed clean by the spray).

(12.) He (*Vaidyadeva*) chief among the virtuous, sternly keeping in mind the kingdom in all its parts, was minister, dearer even than life, to king Kumārapāla the Lord of Gauḍa, who was like the sun in the might of his arm, with his great glory, filling the three worlds, and like Vṛihaspati in his wisdom.

(13.) The aforesaid renowned Vaidyadeva was appointed ruler by the Lord of Gauḍa in the room of Timgyadeva, who had been treated with honour in the East, and of whose disaffection the Lord of Gauḍa had heard.

⁷⁹ *i.e.* Mithilā. To take *janaka* = "father" would spoil the play upon the word.

⁸⁰ I cannot identify the name.

⁸¹ *Anuttara* = "complete" may qualify "victory." For *Nauvāṇa* see Dr. Hultzsch, — *Ind. Antiq.* vol. XV, p. 309.

(14.) This victorious Vaidyadeva having placed upon his head, garland-wise, the command of his glorious master, marched speedily in a few days, and having defeated that king (*viz. Timgyadeva*) by the energy of his own arm, became king and appeared like the valiant Indra himself.

(15.) When during the glorious march of this Vaidyadeva the sky became like the surface of the earth on account of the heaps of dust, the horses of the sun found it difficult to move their feet. - Further, Indra, while closing both his eyes (*against the dust*), is unable to use his hands for any other action, (*and therefore*) reviles his fate,⁸² to which is due the never-closing condition of his eyes.

(16.) This *Vaidyadeva* performed the *Homa* in the fire of his own splendour, which, produced from his arm as fire from the *araṇi*, was fed like fire by the fuel of his enemies' hosts, and was worshipped at the fight, as fire at the sacrifice, (*and he used as material for his sacrifice*) many heads of his enemies in the place of bright *vilva* fruits; next, he offered the *pūrṇāhuti* oblation with the (*body of the*) hostile king, and having acquired vast glory as the result (*of his sacrifice*) he shone resplendent.

(17.) The sun, beholding the sky covered with the enemies' heads, as at the stroke of the sword they fly upwards from the great fight of Vaidyadeva (*yad*), suddenly became alarmed at the thought of these heads being so many Rāhus and protected himself by smearing out his own lustre with dust.

(18.) This Vaidyadeva might be exactly compared with the ocean, if he were (*but he is not*) a *jalādhāra*, *i.e.* protector of fools (*jala = jaḍa*), as the ocean is a *jalādhāra*, *i.e.* receptacle of water; or if he were conquerable (*laṅghita*) as the ocean was crossed (*by Rāma*)—(*for in other points the comparison holds, thus—*) the ocean is the birth-place of the moon, and he is the source of delight (*chandra*); the ocean is the refuge of mountains (*e.g. Maināka*) and he is the refuge of kings (*mahādhra*); the ocean is the home of living things, and he is the home of a disposition in which the *saṁtva* element of goodness predominates; the ocean is adorned by the beauty of its bed, and he is adorned by the beauty of his ministers (*pātra*); the ocean is filled with sparkling water, and he is filled with *rasa* (*love, etc.*); the ocean is very deep, he is profound; the ocean is the abode of gems, and he the abode of what is most precious; the ocean is the chief home of Lakshmi, and he of wealth (*śrī*); Vishṇu dwells within the ocean and within his heart.

(19.) He was Vṛihaspati as regards his knowledge, and the Sun as regards his energies, and Vishṇu as regards his good actions, and Varuṇa as regards his stability, and Kuvera as regards his wealth, and the king of Champā (*Karṇa*) as regards his liberality. Further, even these names (*sc. Vṛihaspati etc.*) are here employed because of their notoriety as the common objects of comparison in poetry (*gīṛā*); but we for our part declare that in respect to all his numerous qualities Vaidyadeva was like himself alone.

(20.) His younger brother⁸³ was Budhadēva, who, resembling the younger brother of Rāma (*viz. Lakshmaṇa*) in possessing his well-known (*tattat*) boundless and spotless qualities, (*and himself*) the abode of perfect *dharma* and perfect character, with glory leaping in the might of his arm, was famed to be like the Kalpataru in his gifts and also in his donations to Brahmans, which are the good fruits and fresh sprouts.

⁸² It is his *karman* = (*prārabdha*) that makes him Indra with never-closing eyes.

⁸³ *Anujubhūḥ* is ambiguous. I explain thus:—*anujā bhūḥ (utpattiḥ) ganya so anujabhūḥ*.

(21.) Now (turning to the receiver of this grant), there was that chief of munis, named Kauśika, the first of his *gotra*, in whose mouth Sarasvatī rested after her fatigue in wandering through the (*four*) mouths of Brāhṇā.

(22.) In his great *vaṁśa*, in the strictly-disciplined village of Bhāva,⁸⁴ in Varendri appeared Bharata, a Brāhmaṇa, glorious, by the bare mention of whose name,—to say nothing of his many other good qualities—are destroyed all sins.

(23.) His son was Yudhishṭhira, chief of Brāhmaṇas and intelligent men, the repository of knowledge purified by (*study of*) the Vedas and Śāstras, the abode of glory showing itself through his mastery of the sacred learning.

(24.) The wife of this learned man was Pāi of boundless beauty, the repose of her husband's heart, and the dwelling-place of virtue, nobleness and prosperity.

(25.) As the result of his merit, acquired in many previous states of existence, there was born to him of her that great son Śrīdhara, honoured among Brāhmaṇas.

(26.) By reason of his pilgrimages to *tīrthas*, and study of Veda, and generosity, as well as by his teaching and by his performance of sacrifices and strict observance of vows, Śrīdhara was chief among learned Brāhmaṇas. Through Śrīdhara's penances of eating once only either by day or by night, and living on alms without begging, and fastings, the Lord Śiva was propitiated here, in the Kaliyuga, by being drawn out of a Guggulu tree.

(27.) Foremost among those who know *karman* and *brahman* (*i.e.* the *Karma-kāṇḍa* and the *Jñāna-kāṇḍa* of the Veda), Śrīdhara, the store-house of every kind of religious observances, was famed to be like Vṛihaspati in (his mastery of) the hidden things of *Śruti* and *Smṛiti*.

(28.) To this Śrīdhara, at the Vishuvatī (*samkrānti*) in Vaiśākha on Ekādaśī, the king Vaidyadeva gave this charter, to gain heaven.

[To follow line 14 of the second Plate].⁸⁵

(29.) As determined by the *bhūmichchhidra* rule, the village Santipātaka⁸⁶ is joined to the village Mandarā and belongs to the Baḍā-vishaya.

(30.) The villages conveyed are provided with all sources of revenue, and are exempt from royal charges and payments in kind. They are to be enjoyed as long as the sun and moon shall endure, and the profits (*phala*) of cultivation (*kriyā*) shall be arranged for as (*the owner may*) wish. They are provided with water and dry land, forest tracts, gardens and enclosures for cows.

(31.) Whoever may himself appropriate this or cause it to be appropriated, shall see his sons and property perish before him and shall remain in Naraka for the period of a Kalpa. But the honourable man who respects it shall be blessed with sons and wealth; and having long enjoyed Svarga he shall go to the supreme abode of Viṣṇu.

(32.) May the glory of king Vaidyadeva continue to shine as long as sun, moon, stars, mountains, sea and earth endure!

⁸⁴ *Śānoge* I take equal to *Ugrasane*, the commoner *bahuvrīhi*.

⁸⁵ The sign of omission is found in the second plate (side B) after *harivāsare*, the last word of l. 14. The translation is therefore made to follow the order intended by the words *dvitīyapaṭakasya chaturdaśapaṅktyāḥ*, to which I supply "*agre*" to complete the construction.

⁸⁶ Translation doubtful. Dr. Kielhorn explains *pātaka* by "outlying hamlet" (*Ind. Antiq.* vol. XVIII, p. 135), which I follow above. *Santi* would thus be the name of the *pātaka* adjoining the village Mandarā and falling within the *vishaya* Baḍā; and the corrector form of the name would appear to be *Sānti*; [cf. III, A, line 1, where, however, the final syllable of *Sānti* is read only doubtfully owing to the bad state of the plate.] The grammar of verse 29 seems to make *santipātakasamjñam* an adjective to *śāsanam* in verse 28: (observe also *idam* in verse 31).

(33.) Manoratha, son of the brâhmana *rajaguru* Murâri, and Padmâ, his wife, composed this praśasti.

(34.) This is that king whose active arm destroyed the famed prowess of his many foes. And this is that Śrīdhara, whose glory ever spreading through the world extends anew. [*The king*] being pleased delivered this śāsana to that brâhmana through the words of his *dharmâdhikârin*, the very learned Gonandana.

[Plate II, B, l. 15.] Hail ! From the victorious camp at Hamsâkoñchi, his Majesty Vaidyadeva, a most devout worshipper of Śiva and of Vishnu, the *Mahârājâdhirâja*, the *Paramêśvara*, the *Paramabhaktâraka*, being in good health [Plate III, A, l. 1.] greets, informs and commands the people of the villages of Śânti-Baḍâ and Mandarâ possessed by Gaṅgâdhara Bhaṭṭa, in the *vishaya* of Baḍâ, in the *maṇḍala* of Kâmarûpa in the *bhukti* of Prâggyotisha, beginning with the principal residents . . . (?) and peasants. Be it known unto you that these two villages, having their boundaries fixed and subject to no reduction, with their water and dry land, not to be entered by regular or irregular troops, and their uncultivated land free of taxes, with a revenue of 400, we have given by charter to Śrīdhara Śarmâ (surnamed) Guggulî in ? ? . . . Therefore be obedient to this charter. Written in the fourth year on the first day of Vaiśâkha by the movement of the sun.⁶⁷

[Plate III, B, l. 5.] (35) This copper was engraved by the artist Karpabhadra, an intelligent, courteous and accurate workman.

To such a plate are added the words of the Munis⁶⁸ :—

XXIX.—BENARES COPPER-PLATE GRANTS OF GOVINDACHANDRA OF KANAUJ

BY ARTHUR VENIS, M.A., PRINCIPAL, SANSKRIT COLLEGE, BENARES.

No. I.¹—Dated Samvat 1162.

This grant is on a single plate, and closely resembles the Basâhi grant of Samvat 1161, both in its outward form and text.² The plate is 1' 6" long by 11" broad, and weighs 8lbs. 12oz. Like the Basâhi plate (see Dr. Fleet's description *in loco*), it has a *śaṅkha* engraved on its left margin with a barbed arrow below it. At its top end is rivetted a turned over copper band, through which the ring of a seal was evidently intended to run ; but the seal is missing.

The inscription is in Nâgari characters and is well preserved, but the technical execution is on the whole imperfect. As regards orthography, *b* and *v* are denoted by the same sign, except once in line 1, where *b* (*babhûva*) is represented by the sign used in seven inscriptions of Jayachchandra (*Ind. Antiq.*, vol. XVIII, pp. 129 *et seq.*) The sibilants are used promiscuously. The letters *r* and *ś* have two forms. In line 22 I

⁶⁷ See above, p. 349.

⁶⁸ Here follow the boundaries of the villages.

⁶⁹ The usual imprecations which follow are left untranslated.

¹ As to the finding of these plates see *ante*, p. 347.

² See Râjendraâlân Mitra, *Journal As. Soc. Beng.*, vol. XLII (1873), and Fleet, *Ind. Antiq.*, vol. XIV (1885) for the Basâhi plate

have failed to read the two letters immediately preceding the word *purandara*. In line 23, initial *e* appears in the old form of a triangle, with the apex downwards.

The opening verses, 1—3, correspond with those of the Basāhi plate. Line 2 reads *Mahīyalasutah*:—conf. *Mahiala-sutah* or *Mahīāla-sutah* of the Bas. pl. according to Drs. Fleet and Mitra. Verse 4 of the Bas. pl. is not found in our text: verse 6 of our text is not found in the Basāhi plate: but these omissions are unimportant. Line 6 records the date in words and figures,³ viz. Tuesday, full moon (bright half) of Kārttika, 1162 Samvat, date corresponding to 24th October, 1105 A.D.

Line 7. Govindachandra, the donor, was then encamped in Vishṇupura and made the grant after having bathed in the Ganges, etc. This, and the succeeding names of places, I cannot identify.

Line 8. He gives the village of Usithā (?), in the Jīāvatī-pattalā in the Pañchāla country, with the usual appurtenances.

Ll. 12—13. The donee, Vilhākāya Dikshita, who came originally from Sāvithadeśa (?), was the son of Puravāsa and grandson of Nāgānanda (?). These belonged to the Vandhula gotra with the three *pravaras* of Vandhula, Aghamarshaṇa and Viśvāmītra, and were followers of the *Yajurveda*.

Ll. 15—16 contain the well-known crux मत्वा यद्दीयमान . . . दशव (ब) धविशतिर्यथाकरे तुल्यकदण्डप्रभृति . . .

Ll. 23—24. The composer of the inscription was Vijayadāsa, who also composed the Basāhi document. The following consented to the grant:—the *pratihara* Gautama, the *prohita* Jāgūka, the *mahattaka* Vālhana (all three of whom are mentioned in the Basāhi plate) and the Queen-mother Rālhadevī.

TEXT.

Front.

- Line [1.] ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ तमायं सर्वदेवानां दामोदरमुपासमहे । त्रैलोक्यं यस्य वक्तीव
क्रोडान्तःस्थं वलिचर्यो ॥ (1).¹ वंसं गाहडवालाख्ये बभूव विजयी नृपः ॥²
[2.] महीयलसतः श्रीमान्नलनाभागसन्निभः ॥ (2).³ याते श्रीभोजभूपे विबुधवरवधूनेत्रसीमाति-
थित्वं श्रीकर्णे कीर्त्तिशेषं गतवति च नृपे क्षान्त्यये जायमाने ।
[3.] भर्त्तारं यं धरित्री त्रिदिवविभुनिभं प्रीतियोगादुपेता जाता विश्वस्य पूर्वं समभवदिह स
क्षापतिचंद्रदेवः⁴ (3).⁵ ॥ तस्मादभूद्रिषदिलापतिदन्तिसिंहः क्षोणीपति-
[4.] र्मदनपाल इति प्रसिद्धः । येनाक्रियन्त वभुशः समरप्रवन्धाः सन्नर्तितप्रहृतशत्रुकवन्धवन्धाः⁶ ॥
(4).⁷ तस्मादजायत नरेश्वरवृंदवंध्यादारविंदयुगलो ज्व-
[5.] लितप्रतापः । क्षोणीपतीन्द्रतिलको रिपुरगभंगी गोविंदचंद्र⁸ इति विश्रुतनामकीर्त्तिः (5).⁹ ॥
तिष्ठती यस्य दोःस्तम्भे मत्तसौर्यैकदन्तिनः । धनुर्गुणकिणश्रेणी म-

¹ Dr. Kielhorn kindly provides the following note:—

² For V. 1162 expired:—Tuesday, the 24th October, A.D. 1105; the full moon *śuklā* commenced 2h. 29m. after mean sunrise and ended 0h. 9m. after mean sunrise of the following day."

³ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

⁴ Read वंसे . . . वपः

⁵ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

⁷ Read विबुध.

⁸ Read चन्द्रदेवः

⁹ Metre: Śragdharā.

¹⁰ Read वभुशः . . . वधवन्धाः

¹¹ Metre: Vasantatilakā.

¹² Read "इन्द्रवन्ध्यादारविन्द" रिपुरगभंगी गोविन्दचन्द्र

¹³ Metre: Vasantatilakā.

- L. [6.] दराजीव लख्यते ॥ (6).¹⁴ संवत्सहस्रके द्विषष्टुत्तरशताभ्यधिके कार्तिकेयर्णमास्ये भौमे दिने ऽह्नि संवत् ११६२ सुदि ५ भौमे¹⁵ अद्येह श्रीमद्विष्णुपुरावासितश्री-
- [7.] विजयकटके सकलकलमपचयकारिण्यां गंगायां स्नात्वा ॥ यथाविधानं मन्त्रदेवकृष्णमनुष्य-
भूतपितृस्तर्पयित्वा । सूर्ये भट्टारकं संपूज्य । भगवन्तं महेश्व-
- [8.] रं समभ्यर्च्य । विष्वाधारं वासुदेवं ममाराध्य । मंत्रपूतं भुतवह्नं हुत्वा । पंचानदेशे जीष्वा-
वतीपत्तलायां उमियागामं समस्तविषयसार्धवर्त्तिसमस्त-
- [9.] महत्तमजनपदान्मन्त्रोपधायति समाज्ञापयति ॥¹⁶ यथा ग्रामीयं मया स्वसीमातृण्यतिगोचर-
पर्यन्तः सजलस्थलः साम्रमधूकः मगर्त्ताधरपाषाणः स-
- [10.] नदीवनलोहलवणाकरः सदशापराधदण्डः माकाशपातालः स्वसीमाचतुराघाटविशुद्धान्तः
पूर्वदत्तदेवब्राह्मणवर्त्तितः । नलिनीदलगतजल ।
- [11.] लवतरलतरं प्राणिना जीवितं¹⁷ विज्ञाय । करिकलभकर्णायविलसितवपलां लक्ष्मीं विलोक्य ।
जलबुद्धाकारं यौवनं परिज्ञाय । कदलीदण्डव-
- [12.] त् संसारमसारतरं¹⁸ समाकलय्य ॥ साविथदेशनिर्गताय वाजरुनयसाखीमृगाय वंधुलगोत्राय
वंधुल अघमर्षणविश्वामित्रप्रवराय¹⁹ ॥
- [13.] दीक्षितनागानदपौत्राय दीक्षितपुरवासपुत्राय यंजुर्वेदविद्यानलिनीविकासनप्रत्यक्षभास्क-
राय²⁰ दीक्षितवील्हाकाय । श्रद्धाविशुद्धमनसा
- [14.] महाराजपुत्रश्रीमन्नोविदचंद्रदेवेन कुशपूतहस्तोदकेन कार्तिक्या निमित्ते सम्यगाज्यायस्त्री
ब्राह्मणार्या मातापित्रोरार्त्तनश्च यशःपुण्यवि-
- [15.] वृद्धये चंद्रार्केर्दिधर्क्षितपवनाम्बराणि यावत् शासनीकृत्य प्रदत्तः²¹ ॥ मत्वा यद्दीयमान-
भागभागकूटकदशवधविशतकवधाकरं तुरु-
- [16.] ष्कदण्डप्रभृतिसर्वादायान तत् सर्वमर्घ्यं निमंदनीयं²² ॥ ये यास्यन्ति महीभृतो मम कुलं
किं वा परस्मिन्पुरस्तोषामेष मयाजलिर्विरचित्ता नादिय-
- [17.] मत् कियत् दूर्वामात्रमपि स्वधम्भनिरता दत्तं सदा पाष्यता वायुर्वास्यति तस्थ्यति प्रतपन
श्रुत्व सुनीना वचः²³ ॥ (7).²⁴ अत्राय पौराणिकाः
- [18.] श्लोकाः ॥ भूमि²⁵ यः प्रतिगृह्णाति यश्च भूमिं प्रयच्छति । उभौ तौ पुण्यकर्माणौ नियतं
स्वर्गगामिनौ ॥ (8).²⁶ योर्क्षितं प्रतिगृह्णाति ददात्यर्क्ष-
- [19.] तमेव वा । तावुभौ गच्छतः स्वर्गं नरकं तु विपर्यये ॥ (9). बहुर्दिवसुधा भुक्ता राजभिः सग-
रादिभिः । यस्य सत्यं यदा भुगिस्तस्य तस्य वदा
- [20.] फलं²⁷ ॥ (10). यानोह दत्तानि पुरा नरन्दैर्दानानि धर्मार्थयशस्कराणि । निर्मात्यवत्तप्यति
मानि²⁸ तानि को नाम साधुः पुनराददीत ॥ (11).²⁹ अस्मत्कुलं पर-

¹⁴ Metre : Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

¹⁵ Read 'वीर्षमास्यं' and १५ instead of ५.

¹⁶ Read 'नद्यां मनदीयकृष्णमनुष्यभूतपितृस्तर्पयित्वा . . . महीभृते . . . सकलपूतं इतवह्नें पञ्चालं' 'सामं पात्रवर्त्तितं पदान् सम्भोष' ; remove the unnecessary signs of punctuation.

¹⁷ Strike out the unnecessary punctuation after लव्य ; and read प्राणिना जीवितं.

¹⁸ Read बुद्धदां 'सारतरं'.

¹⁹ Read 'ब्राह्मणानां वसुधावर्षं'

²⁰ Read 'मानावन्' वसुर्वहं

²¹ Read 'नीमदीयविन्दुचन्द्रदेवेन . . . सम्यगाज्ञयां . . . मातापित्रोः . . . 'रात्रमनं' . . . चन्द्रार्केर्दिधर्क्षितपव-

नाम्बराणि . . . शासनीकृत्य.

²² Read 'सर्वादायान्' 'निमंदनीयम्'

²³ Read परस्मिन्पुरस्तोषामेष मयाजलिर्विरचितं नादियमन्नात् कियत् । . . लवर्षं 'हृत्' . . . पाष्यतां तस्थति . . . सुता सुनीनां

²⁴ Metre : Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

²⁵ Read भूमिं

²⁶ Metre : Śloka (Anuṣṭubh), and in the two following verses.

²⁷ Read बहुभिर्हं . . . यश्च यत्नं यदा भूमिं लब्धं तदा फलम् ॥

²⁸ Read निर्मात्यवत्तप्यतिमानि वाणि

²⁹ Metre : Indravajrā.

- L. [21.] सुदारमुदाहरिर्नैव दानमिदमच । सुमादनीय । लब्ध्वास्तडिल्लिलवुद्वुदचंचलाया
दानं फलं परयशःपरिपालनं च ज³⁰ (12).³¹ वंशभेदासनं
[22.] इव वराणां वरवारणाः । भूमिदानस्य चिह्नानि मसं * * पुरंदर³² ॥ (13).³³ स्वदत्तां पर-
दत्तां वा यो दरीत वमंधरा । स विष्ठायां कृमिर्दूला³⁴ पितृभिः स-
[23.] च मज्जति ॥ (14). प्रतीहारगौनमश्क³⁵ जागृकश्च पुरोहितः । जननी राल्लदेवी च वाल्ल-
चश्च मज्जतकः ॥ (15). एतेषां संमत्तिं प्राप्य सम्यग्विहित-

Reverse.

- [24.] वानिदम् । नाम्ना विजयदासाख्यः शासनं राजसंमतम् (16).

No. II.—Dated Samvat 1196.

This inscription is engraved on a single plate, which measures 1' 3½" in length by 1' ¼" in breadth, and weighs 5lbs. 15oz. The plate is perforated at the top margin to take the ring of a seal; and it is likely that one of the loose seals now in the Provincial Museum, Lucknow, belongs to this plate. The orthography calls for no special remark. Verses 1-7 correspond with the opening verses of the Chandradeva and Madanapâla grant (see *Ind. Antiq.*, vol. XVIII, p. 11): verses 8 and 9 are found again in a grant of Jayachchandra (*ibid.*, p. 130).

L. 13.—Govindachandra while at Benares conveys the village of Janakadevi-pura in the Râna pattalâ (the latter name I read doubtfully owing to the plate being injured).

Ll. 16, 17.—Contain the date, and mention a lunar eclipse on that date, viz. Monday, the 15th day in the bright half of Âśvina, 1196 Samvat, corresponding to 9th October 1139 A.D.³⁶

19, 20. The donee was the *purohita* Jâgû Śarman, the son of the donee in the preceding grant.

TEXT.

- L. [1.] स्वस्ति । अकुण्ठकण्ठवैकुण्ठकण्ठपोठलुठत्करः । संरम्भ³⁷ सुरतारम्भे स श्रियः
त्रेयसेस्तु वः ॥ (1).³⁸ आसादसातयुतिवसजातस्त्रापालमाला-
[2.] सु³⁹ दिवङ्गतासु । साक्षादिवस्त्रानिद⁴⁰ भूरिधान्ना नाम्ना यशोविप्रश्च इत्युदारः ॥ (2).⁴¹
तत्सुतो भूषाहीचन्द्रचन्द्रधामनिभञ्जि । यनापारम-
[3.] कूपारपार⁴² व्यापारितं ययः ॥ (3).⁴³ तस्याभूतनयो नयैकरसिकः क्रान्तदिधनश्चल्लो
विध्वस्तीक्ष्णतधीरबोधतिमिरः श्रीचन्द्रदेवी गृधः ॥ येनो-

³⁰ Read 'सुदाहरिर्नैव दानमिदमभ्यनुदीदनीयम् । लब्ध्वास्त-
डिल्लिलवुद्वुदचंचलाया . . . 'परिपालनं

³¹ Metre: Vasantatilakā.

³² Read 'इव वराणां वरवारणाः । फलं सदाः
पुरंदर ॥

³³ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh), and in the three following
verses

³⁴ Read 'सुरेयः वसुधाम्ना । स विष्ठायां कृमिर्दूला.

³⁵ Read 'प्रतीहारगौनमश्क

³⁶ Dr. Kielhörn again kindly notes,— "The year is the

northern expired year; the lunar eclipse occurred 13 h 21 m.
after mean sunrise."

³⁷ Read 'सुरतारम्भे' संरम्भः

³⁸ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh)

³⁹ Read 'आसीदधीत' 'अश्नो'.

⁴⁰ Read 'साक्षाद्वि'

⁴¹ Metre: Indravajrā.

⁴² Read 'पारं

⁴³ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

- L. [4.] दारतरप्रतापसमिताशेषप्रजोपद्रवं श्रीमन्नाधिपुराधिरायमसमन्दोर्विक्रमणाजितं⁴⁴ ॥ (4).⁴⁵
तीर्थानि काशिकुशिकोत्तरकोशलन्दस्थानी-
- [5.] यकानि परिपालयताभिगम्य । हेमात्मतुल्यमनिसन्ददा हिर्जभ्यो येनाङ्किता वसुमती
सतशसुलाभिः⁴⁶ ॥ (5).⁴⁷ तस्यात्मजो मदनपाल इति क्षितीन्द्र-
[6.] डामणिर्विजयते निजगोत्रचन्द्रः । यस्याभिषेककलसोल्लसितैः पयोभिः प्रक्षालितं कलिरजः
पटलं धरित्राः ॥ (6) यस्यासीद्विजयप्रयाणस-
- [7.] मये तुङ्गाचलोच्चैश्चलन्माद्यत्कुम्भपदक्रमासमभरभ्रस्यन्महीमण्डले । चडारत्नविभ्रतालु-
गलितः स्थानासृगुद्भासितः⁴⁸ शेषः पेषवभा-
- [8.] दिव⁴⁹ क्षणमसौ क्रोडे निलीनाननः ॥ (7).⁵⁰ तस्मादजायत निजायतवाहुवस्त्रिवधावरुदनव-
रायगजो नरेन्द्रः । मान्द्रासृतद्रवमुचाम्यभवो ग-
- [9.] वां यो गोविन्दचन्द्र इति चन्द्र इवाम्बुरासेः⁵¹ ॥ (8).⁵² न कथमप्यलभन्त रणक्षरगन्धिसृषु
दिक्षु गजाननय वज्रिणः । ककुभि वभ्रसुरभ्रमुवन्नभप्रति-
- [10.] भटा⁵³ इव यस्य घटागजाः ॥ (9).⁵⁴ सोयं समस्तराजचक्रसंसेवितचरणः स च परमभट्टारक-
महाराजाधिराजपरमेश्वरपरममाहेश्वरनिजभुजो-
- [11.] पार्जितश्रीकान्यकुभाधिपत्यश्रीचन्द्रदेवपादानुध्यातपरमभट्टारकमहाराजाधिराजपरमेश्वर-
परममाहेश्वर श्रीमदनपालदेवपादानुध्यात-
- [12.] परमभट्टारकमहाराजाधिराजपरमेश्वरपरममाहेश्वराश्वपतिगजपतिनरपतिराजत्रयाधिप-
तिविविधविद्याविचारवाचस्पतिश्रीमद्भो-
- [13.] विन्दुवन्द्येवो विजयी ॥ रानपत्तलायां⁵⁵ । जनकदेविपुरग्रामनिवासिनो निखिलजनपदा-
नुपगतानपि च राजराज्ञीयवराजमन्त्रिपुरोहि-
- [14.] तप्रतीहारसेनापतिभण्डागारिकाक्षपटलिकभिषग्नैर्मित्तिकान्तःपुरिकदूतकारितुरगपत्तनाक-
रस्थानगोकुलाधिकारिपुरुषान् समा-
- [15.] ज्ञापयति बोधयत्यादिशति च यथा विदितमस्तु भवतां यथोपरिलिखितग्रामः सजलस्थलः
सलोहलवणाकरः समत्स्याकरः सगर्ता-
- [16.] घरः समभूकाम्रवनवाटिकाविटपतृण्यतिगोचरपर्यन्तः सोर्द्धाधश्चतुराघाटविसुहः स्वमी-
मापर्यन्तः संवत् ११८६ आस्विनसुदि १५ सो-
- [17.] मदिने⁵⁶ श्रीमहाराणस्यां राहुग्रस्तचन्द्रमसि गङ्गायां स्नात्वा विधिवन्मन्त्रदेवमुनिमनुजभूत-
पितृगणां स्तर्पयित्वा तिमिरपटलपाटनपटुमहस-
- [18.] सुष्णरोचिषमुपस्थायौषधिपतिसकलसेपरं ममभ्यर्थं त्रिभुवनत्रातुर्व्यासुदेवस्य पूजास्त्रिधाय⁵⁷
प्रचुरपायसेन हविषा हविर्भुजं हुत्वा माता-
- [19.] पिचोरात्मनश् पुण्यशोभिवृक्षयेऽस्माभिर्गोकर्णकुशलतापूतकरतलोदकपूर्वम् वधुलगोचाय
वधुल । अद्यमर्षण । विश्वामित्रचिप्रवराय दीक्षितपु-

⁴⁴ Read नृपः । 'प्रतापसमिता' नाधिपुराधिराज्यसमं⁰ तम्

⁴⁵ Metre: Śārdūlavikṛīṭa.

⁴⁶ Read 'तुल्यमनिश' . . प्रतशं

⁴⁷ Metre: Vasantatilakā, and in the next verse.

⁴⁸ Read 'नक्षितका'

⁴⁹ Read according to Kielhorn शेषः शेषवशादिष and see Ind. Antig., vol. XV., p. 12, note 97.

⁵⁰ Metre: Śārdūlavikṛīṭa.

⁵¹ This verse is found in Ben. Coll. grant of Jayachelohan-

dia : see Kielhorn, Ind. Antig., vol. XVIII., p. 129; and read
'वाहु' . . 'वशा' . . नवराज्यं⁰ प्रमवां⁰ राक्षः

⁵² Metre: Vasantatilakā.

⁵³ Read 'वशा' ति⁰ वभसु⁰

⁵⁴ Metre: Drutavilambita.

⁵⁵ Read 'दोन्त'.

⁵⁶ Read 'शोर्द्धा' 'विशुद्धः वाशि'.

⁵⁷ Read 'शिव' . . पूजां विधा

- L. [20.] रासपौत्राय दीक्षितवीरहापुत्राय दीक्षितपुरोहितश्रीजागूसर्मणे ब्राह्मणाय आदन्द्रार्कं यावत्
शासनीकृत्य प्रदत्त⁵⁸ मत्वा यथादीयमानभागभो-
- [21.] गकरप्रवणिकरतुण्डदण्डप्रभृतिसमस्तादायान आन्नाविधेयीभूय दास्यथेति ॥ *⁵⁹ ॥
भवन्ति चात्र । श्लोकाः । भूमिं यः प्रतिगृह्णाति यच्च भूमिं
- [22.] प्रयच्छति । उभौ तौ पुण्यकर्माणौ नियतौ स्वर्गगामिनी ॥ (10).⁶⁰ संखं भद्रासनं च्छ्व
वरास्त्रा⁶¹ वरवारणाः । भूमिदानस्य चिह्नानि फलमेतत्परन्दर ॥ (11). सर्वानेता-
- [23.] न् भाविनः पार्थिवेन्द्रान् भूयो भूयो याचते रामभद्रः । सामान्ययं धर्मसेतुनृपाणा⁶² काले
काले पालनीयो भवद्भिः ॥ (12).⁶³ बहुभिर्वसुधा भुक्ता राज-
- [24.] भिः सगरादिभिः यस्य यस्य यदा भूमिस्तस्य तस्य तदा फलम् ॥ (13).⁶⁴ सुवर्णमेकं गामेकां
भूमेरप्येकमङ्गलं हरहरकमाप्नोति यावदाङ्गतसंज्ञ-
- [25.] व⁶⁵ ॥ (14) तडागानां सहस्रेण अस्त्रमेधयतेन च । गवां कोटिप्रदानेन भूमिहर्त्ता न
सुध्यति⁶⁶ ॥ (15). स्वदत्ताम्परदत्तास्वा यो हरेत वसुधरा⁶⁷ । स विष्टार्या कृमिर्भूत्वा
पितृ-
- [26.] भिः सह मज्जति ॥ (16).

XXX.—PALI COPPER-PLATE GRANT OF MAHARAJA LAKSHMANA.

DATED SAMVAT 158.

BY A. FÜHRER, PH.D.

The original plate containing the inscription now published was found, in May 1891, buried underground in the house of a goldsmith at the village of Pālī,¹ close to Kosām, the ancient Kauśāmbī, the chief town of the Karārī pargana in the Mānjhanpur tahsil of the Allahābād district. It was obtained by me from the Magistrate of Allahābād, and is now in the Lucknow Provincial Museum.

The plate, which is inscribed on both sides, measures about 7½" by 6½". It is quite smooth, the edges having been neither fashioned thicker nor raised into rims to protect the writing. The average size of the letters is about ¼"; they are cut neatly and deeply, and the inscription is in a state of perfect preservation throughout. The characters belong to the northern class of alphabets, and are of the peculiar "nail-headed" type current in the 5th and 6th centuries A.D. The language is Samskrit, and with the exception of the benediction and imprecatory verses in lines 9

⁵⁸ Read °तसोदकपूर्वम् . . . °पुरवासपौत्राय °ब्रह्मणे . चाचन्द्रार्कं . प्रदत्तः । . and remove unnecessary signs of punctuation.

⁵⁹ Read °दावानाञ्च°—The asterisk is represented in the plate by a character like ॠ. (cf. *Ind. Antig.*, vol. XVIII., p. 12).

⁶⁰ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh), and in the following verse.

⁶¹ Read वज्रं . . . वज्र वराणा ।

⁶² Read °नृपाणां

⁶³ Metre: Śālinī.

⁶⁴ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh), and in the three following verses.

⁶⁵ Read °वसुधम् । °संज्ञवम्

⁶⁶ Read चव° . . . वृष्यधि

⁶⁷ Read स्वदत्तां परदत्तां वा . . . वसुधराम्

¹ See *ante*, vol. II, page 240

to 14, the inscription itself is in prose throughout. In respect of orthography we have to notice: (1) the doubling of *dh* in conjunction with a following *y* in *māddhyandināya*, line 5; (2) the doubling of *t* in conjunction with a following *r* in *pitr̥ror*, line 4, and in *gottrāya*, line 5; (3) the doubling of *ṇ*, *g*, *th*, *bh*, *v*, and *sh* in conjunction with a preceding *r* in *paur̥ṇamāsyām*, line 15; in *svargge*, line 13; in *art̥the*, line 8; in *vidheyair̥ bhbhavitanyam*, line 7, and *kṛimir̥ bhbhutvā*, line 10; in *parvatikā*, line 2, and *bahubhir̥ vvasudhā*, line 11; in *var̥shsha**, line 12; (4) the doubling of *v* after the *anusvāra* in *samvatsara*, line 15; (5) the use of *v* for *b* in *kuṣumvinah*, line 3.

Towards the proper right side of the plate, about the centre, a circular copper ring is fastened through a hole to an oval brass seal, about 2½" by 2". In the upper part it bears a rather good figure of a lion, squatting on its haunches and brandishing its tail, facing to the right; below it, separated by two lines, is in relief the legend *śrī-mahārāja-lakṣmaṇasya*, "of the illustrious Mahārāja Lakṣmaṇa." The seal itself rests on two low leg-fashioned supports. The weight of the plate is 37½ *tolas*, and of the ring and seal 27½ *tolas*; total 65 *tolas*.

The inscription is one of the Mahārāja Lakṣmaṇa, and the charter recorded in it is issued from the city Jayapura. The date of the writing of the charter is given in words as the year one hundred and fifty-eight, and the full-moon day of the month Jyais̥th̥a. There is no indication as to the era; but in all probability it refers to the Gupta era. The corresponding European date can, however, not be calculated as the week-day, *nakṣatra*, or other astronomical details are not given, which could be utilized in checking the calculation. The object of the charter is to record the grant, by the Mahārāja Lakṣmaṇa, of an *agrāhāra* in the village Phelā-parvvatikā,—undoubtedly the ancient name of the modern village Pālī,—to the Brāhmaṇa Revatisvāmin of the Kautsagotra. The *dūtaka* is the Mahārāja Naravāhanadatta. Neither the capital Jayapura nor the names of the two feudatory princes are known to us from earlier or contemporaneous inscriptions.

TEXT.*

Obverse.

- L. [1.] श्रीं क्षास्ति जयपुरात्परममाहेस्वरः श्रीमहाराज-
 [2.] लक्ष्मणः कुयली फेलापर्वतिकाग्रामे ब्राह्मणादी-
 [3.] गतिवासिकुटुम्बिनः समान्नापयति विदितं वोस्तु य-
 [4.] येष ग्रामो मया मातापित्रीरत्ननक्ष पुण्याभिहृद्ये
 [5.] कौत्सगोत्राय वाजसनेयिसब्राह्मणारिषे माह्वान्दिनाय
 [6.] ब्राह्मणैर्वतिस्त्रामिनेषाहारीतिष्ठत्स्तुष्टुस्त्रामिर-
 [7.] स्त्राब्राह्मणवणविधेयैर्भूवितथ्यं समुचिताश्च प्रत्यायाः
 [8.] मेयहिरस्त्रादयो देवाः[॥] अपि चास्मिन्नर्थे व्यासकृताः
 [9.] श्लोका भवन्ति[॥] स्वदत्तां परदत्तां वा यो हरेत् वसुधरां [॥]
 [10.] च विद्यायां क्षमिर्भुत्वा पितृभिः सह मज्जति [॥]

* From the original plate.

† Read *r̥bbhavitanyam.

* Metre: Anuṣṭubh, and the following two verses.

† Read *r̥bbhūta.

Reverse.

- L. [11.] बहुभिर्बहुधा दत्ता राजमिक्षगपादिभिः [1] यस्य यस्य
 [12.] यदा भूमिक्षस्व तस्य तदा फलं [11] षष्टिं वर्षसह-
 [13.] क्षाधि स्वर्गे मोदति भूमिदिः [1] चाच्छेत्ता चानुमत्ता च तान्येव
 [14.] नरके वसेत् [11] दूतकक्षाच श्रीमहाराजनरवाहनदत्तः
 [15.] संवत्सरयतिष्ठपंचायदुत्तरे ज्येष्ठमासि पौर्ण-
 [16.] मास्यां लिखितं बलदेवेनेति १५८ [11]

TRANSLATION.

Om! Hail from Jayapura! A most devout worshipper of the god Māheśvara, the illustrious Mahārāja Lakshmana, being in good health, issues a command to the residents, beginning with the Brāhmaṇas, and to the cultivators, at the village of Phelā-parvatikā:—"Be it known to you that, for the purpose of increasing the religious merit of my parents and of myself, this village is granted by me as an *agrāhāra* to the Brāhmaṇa Revatisvāmin, of the Kautsagotra, a student of the Vājasaneyā-Māddhyandina (*śākha*). You shall be obedient to his commands and you shall render to him the customary taxes, that which is to be measured out, gold, etc." And on the same subject there are also the following verses by Vyāsa:—"He who confiscates land that has been given, whether by himself or by another, becomes a worm in ordure, and sinks into hell together with his ancestors. The earth has been enjoyed by many kings, commencing with Sagara; whosoever at any time possesses the earth, to him belongs, at that time, the reward (*of this grant that is now made, if he continue it*)! The giver of land enjoys happiness in heaven for sixty thousand years; (*but*) the confiscator (*of a grant*) and he who assents (*to an act of confiscation*), shall dwell for the same number of years in hell!" The *dūtaka* (*is*) the illustrious Mahārāja Naravāhanadatta. This charter has been written by Baladeva in a century of years, increased by fifty-eight, on the full-moon day of the month Jyāishṭha, 158.

XXXI.—FURTHER INSCRIPTIONS FROM SĀNCĪ.¹

BY G. BÜHLER, PH.D., LL.D., C.L.E.

In the course of a tour through Eastern Rajputāna and the Central India Agency in March 1893, Dr. A. Führer again visited the famous Stūpas of Sāncī, *rectē* in Sanskrit Kākāṇāda or in Prakrit Kākāṇāva (see I, No. 378) and took impressions of those inscriptions which had not been found on his former visit. He also used the opportunity to clear away the rubbish round the two Stūpas and to make a few excavations. As in all former cases, his operations have yielded highly satisfactory results. The fragment of the Aśoka inscription has been recovered;² the number of the small donative inscriptions has risen, if all the fragments and almost effaced pieces are counted, to nearly 500, against Sir A. Cunningham's 240. Finally, a valuable Indo-Skythian inscription has turned up on the base of a statue of Buddha, and in a similar position have been found two inscriptions in later characters,³ the latest of which shows the Nāgarī of the 9th or 10th century A.D. These new finds prove that the Stūpas were visited by Buddhist pilgrims both during the interval between the times of the early Andhras and of the Guptas, and long after the latter had passed away. Moreover, the late Nāgarī inscription may also be considered to furnish additional evidence for the fact that Buddhism survived in India until very late times, long after the period when the stupid legends allege it to have been drowned in a deluge of blood. The recovery of the fragment of the Aśoka edict does not quite fulfil the expectations which I thought might be reasonably entertained. I am not able to decipher the whole or even to suggest a complete plausible restoration of the portion with which I declined to meddle in my former article. But I am able to settle two points. First, the two signs indistinctly visible in the beginning cannot have formed part of the word *devānam*, nor can the word *piya* have followed. The first sign of the line, which, counting from below, I number as line 7, has been lost, the second is illegible, and the third is either *yaṁ* or *yām*. The *ya* is plain and certain, and so is the Anusvāra, but the vowel is doubtful. The next consonant is certainly *bha*, and the syllable probably was *bhe*. This is sufficient to prove that line 7 does not contain the beginning of the edict, but that a probably not inconsiderable piece has been lost at the top.

Secondly, at the end of line 7 stand not only, as Sir A. Cunningham has stated (*Bhilsa Topes*, p. 260), the letters *mag*, but *mage*, and after it quite distinctly *kaṭe*. This new reading removes the possibility that the Saṁgha of Magadha can be mentioned or addressed. The words mean, without a doubt, "a road has been made." The next line 6 tells us for whom this road was intended. The first sign has again been lost. Next follows a fairly distinct *khu*, and after two indistinct signs the syllable *bhi*. The two following consonants have been lost in a large abrasion, to the right of which the vowel *i* appears and immediately after it *nam*. Then comes an indistinct sign and next *ti*. Thus, we obtain—*.khu . . bhi . . inam . ti*. It is almost certain that the reading was *bhikkhunam vā bhikkhunīnam vā ti*, and that the road was prepared for the monks and the nuns of the Buddhist order. A comparison of the phrase at the end

¹ Continued from *ante*, p. 87.

² In his letter Dr. Führer states that it is now lying in the jungle. It is on a frustum of a *stambha* or pillar which probably stood near the Southern gate, and might be placed in

some museum in order to keep it safe. See place of facsimiles.

³ About thirty very small fragments and illegible inscriptions have not been transcribed.

of the edict (ll. 2-3) "for my wish is,—what?—that the road of the Saṃgha may last a long time" removes even the possibility of a doubt regarding the correctness of the reading adopted, with which the shape of the remnants of the third, fourth and ninth signs of the line agrees. Though at the end of line 6 the letters *ta pa* are quite plain and again in line 5 towards the end the letters *ka* or *ke*, *ye*, as well as the word *saṃgham*, I do not dare to propose a restoration. I must content myself with putting down the few signs I believe to recognise. The better preserved remainder of the inscription, as I have stated formerly, indeed corresponds in part with the middle of the Kosambi edict on the Allahābād Pillar, and there is very little to alter in the readings which I have given in my former article.

TRANSCRIPT.

<i>Allahābād.</i>	<i>Saucki.</i>
. saṅgham	. . . y[am] bh[e?] . . . mage kaṭe [7]
bhokhati bhikhu-va bhikhu-ni vā .	khu[nam vā] bhi . . inam [vā] ti [.]. ta pa [6]
[pi chā]o—(2) dātā—ni [d]-usāni . nam dhāpa	. [. ikhi(?)tar] . m. . ri (?) . [ke ?] ye
yitu anāpe-	saṅgham [5] bhokhati bhiku vā bhikhuni vā odātā-
sa . v . s . y . y .	ni du[s .] . i sanam . . yitu anā . [3]
	sasi v[i]s . petaviy . [.] Ichhā hi me kim- [2]
	ti saṅghasa mage chilathitike siyā ti [1]

'TRANSLATION.

“A road was made both for the monks and the nuns⁴ the community⁵ will dine, both monk and nun, (*and*), causing white cloth to be put down (*for them*), you will order it to be spread; for my wish is,—what?—that the road of the community (*of the Buddhist monks*) may endure for a long time.”

It is now evident that the road (*maje*) is something material. Possibly the expression refers to the procession path or "terrace for circumambulation" which surrounds the Stûpa (see *Bhilsa Topes*, p. 184). But the road may also be one which made the Stûpas more conveniently accessible.

The characters of the small donative inscriptions offer various points of interest. Among those the alphabet of which in general agrees with that of Aśoka's Edicts we find—

(1) Some like I, Nos. 172, 174, 185, 203, the letters of which are very small, with short vertical strokes, giving one the impression of an attempt at reproducing the Maurya characters, used for literary purposes;

(2) Two,—II, No. 61 and another,—illegible with the exception of the word *dānam*, in which throughout thin double lines are employed instead of single ones;

(3) Many, which present cursive forms for one or two consonants, or even only for some medial vowel marks. Thus, *da* has frequently (see, *e.g.*, I, Nos. 129, 177, 186 and 188) a very shallow curve open to the left and otherwise abnormally bent strokes. Here and there *ja*, too, is irregular. In *Ujeniyá* (No. 159) it has the angular shape, which is the usual one in the Andhra inscriptions, but occurs once in the Gīrnār version of Aśoka's Rock-Edicts. In *Rājuka* (I, No. 177), and sometimes in the word *pajāvatī*, *ja* looks

⁴ The word *ti* indicates that the sentence is at an end.

⁶ I am inclined to take *samghāṃ* as a neuter nominative with the *liṅgavyatya-ya*, so common in the Aśoka inscriptions, and as the subject of the sentence. As long as the text

has not been restored completely, this is, of course, merely tentative.

* Usually called *Pradakṣiṇ* by the Brahmins and *Bhīṛṭī* (*Bhramantī*) by the Jains.

almost like *ṭā*. In *Yakkhādāsiyā* (I, No. 194) the letter *sa* has been made with a single stroke, the left-hand limb being formed by drawing from the right hand upstroke a continuous line across the letter. Among the vowels *ā* and *e* often slant upwards, as in the Kālsī version of the Rock-Edicts and in the later inscriptions. The vowel *i* very commonly consists, as in the Gīrnār version, of a small semi-circle and occasionally (see, e.g., I, Nos. 141, 285) of a long stroke, rising straight upwards. I may add that the vowel *a* is attached against the usual practice to the inner side of the left prong of *ma* in the word *Māhisatiya*, I, Nos. 313-14, and that the vowel *i* occupies a similar position in *Sirimītāyā* (I, No. 355).

The unusual *cha*, with a little tail, known from Mr. Rea's Bhaṭṭiprolu Stūpa inscriptions, appears in I, Nos. 269 and 284. A *ḷa*, intermediate between the form of the Bhaṭṭiprolu Stūpa and that of the Andhra cave inscriptions, is used in the name *Vāḷḷavahana*, a vicarious form for *Vaḍḍivahana* in I, No. 199. This is one of the few certain instances of the occurrence of *ḷa* north of the Narmadā before the times of the Guptas.

There are also, just as in Sir A. Cunningham's collection, a certain number of inscriptions, showing later characters than those of the Aśoka Edicts. To these belong—

(1) the long imprecatory document, I, No. 377, which shows the dagger-like *ka*, the angular *gha* and a peculiar short *da*, with a shallow curve, but has no *serifs* or nail-heads;

(2) a few inscriptions with short vertical strokes and nail-heads, but otherwise with archaic forms, like I, Nos. 288, 334, 377;

(3) likewise a small number of inscriptions with still more advanced forms, like I, No. 237; II, Nos. 25, 59, 66, among which the first shows curves to the left attached to *ka* and *ra*, while the third offers an almost circular *ba* and the looped *ta*, common in the southern inscriptions of the second century A.D. and of later times. It seems to me that these facts confirm the remarks made in my former article regarding the age of the Stūpas, and show that the bulk of the work belongs to the 3rd century B.C. and to the beginning of the 2nd, as well as that some additions and repairs were made in later times.

With respect to the language I have not to add anything to the notes given in my former article. The type is that of the Pāli of the Aśoka inscriptions and of the Buddhist scriptures. Anomalous forms of the kinds noticed before are also numerous. If my reading of the second word of I, No. 263, is right, there is one instance of the use of a double consonant.

As regards the contents I may state that the new inscriptions mention also a number of the collective donations.

Thus, I, No. 214 registers a gift of the village of Asvavattī; No. 124 one by the nuns of Vāḍḍivahana; Nos. 147 and 231 those of the female lay worshippers of Nava-gāma and of Ejāvattī; No. 276 one by the family of Dhamu [tara] and No. 366 one by the family of Ajitiguta. The number of single monks making donations now amounts to more than eighty. Among them there is (II, No. 59) another *sūtatika* or teacher of the Sūtras, a *thera* (I, No. 266), and; it would seem, a *tāpasa* or ascetic (I, No. 260). This designation is usually not adopted by Buddhists, who despise the performance of austerities. Possibly this person may have belonged to some other sect. One of the most prominent personages among the monks apparently was Gotiputa Bhaṇḍuka, who occurs twice (I, Nos. 16, 256) and had four pupils . . . ka, Budharakhita, Aya-Kana and Dhamadata. The names of nuns making donations

SANCHI INSCRIPTIONS.

ON MEDIEVAL IMAGES. p. 369.



Scale: 3-5ths.

p. 370.



Scale: 1-3rd.

Str. I, 377. - p. 396

Str. I, 301. - p. 389




Scale: 1-5th.

Str. I, 358.



Scale: 1-5th.



Scale: 1-4th.

Str. I, 378. - p. 396.



Scale: 1-6th.

A Führerimp

STUPA I, 21.—p. 99.



J. Burgess, impress

Scale: 1-5th.

ST. I, 230.—p. 281.



Scale: 1-4th.

ST. I, 50.—p. 102.



A. Führer, impress

Scale: 1-5th.

ST. I, 256.—p. 384



Scale: 1-5th.

ST. II, 1.—p. 110.



Scale: 1-4th.

ASOKA FRAGMENT

p. 397



A. Führer, impress

Scale: 1-4th.

ST. I, 257.



Scale: 1-5th.

ST. I, 285.—p. 387.



Scale: 1-5th.

ST. I, 238.



Scale: 1-5th.

now rise from thirty-seven to nearly seventy. One or two among them mention their children, and must have been married before they entered the Śaṃgha, see, *e.g.*, I, No. 234 and II, No. 29.

Among the lay donors the Sheths and their relatives now take a still more prominent position than in the smaller collection formerly accessible, and it may be pointed out that in several instances the Sheth of a village is mentioned without the addition of his proper name. Thus, I, No. 140, records the gift of "Nāgā, wife of the Sheth of Kamḍa-digāma," and No. 167 that "of the mother of the Sheth." Among the other professions of the donors there are some new ones. Thus, we have a *sotika*, *i.e.*, *sautrika*, "weaver," in I, No. 195; *vaḍaki*, *i.e.*, probably *vardhakin*, "carpenter" in No. 311, and a *rajuka* in No. 229. The term *rajuka* or *rājuka* is known from the Aśoka edicts and from the *Kalpasūtra* of the Jainas, where, as Professor Jacobi has shown, it occurs in the form *rajjuya*, and is explained to mean 'a clerk or accountant,' what is now called in India a *karkun*.⁷ The word is an abbreviation of *rajjugāhaka*, literally, a ropeholder and is an ancient name for a Revenue Settlement officer. *Rājālipikara* "a royal scribe" (I, Nos. 48, 49), apparently differs in meaning from *lekhaka* (I, No. 143), which latter means "a writer who copies manuscripts or copyist." Among the proper names there are various new ones, pointing to the worship of the *Nakshatras*, and a few like Ajarānī, *i.e.*, Ajirā or Durgā, indicating the existence of Paurāṇik worship.

There are fifteen new names of towns or villages mentioned as the homes of donors, but none of them occurs more than a few times. It would seem that the inhabitants of Arāpāna, Bhogavaḍhana, Kuraghara, Kurara, Madhuvana, Nandinagara Navagāma, Pokhara, Tumbavana, Ujjain and Vedisa defrayed nearly the whole expense of the ornaments of the two Stūpas, the lion's share falling to Ujjain, which is mentioned thirty-five times.

If we now turn to the three later inscriptions incised on the bases of statues which Dr. Führer has discovered, the first records the dedication of a statue of Buddha by Madhurikā, daughter of Khara or Vera in the Vihāra of Dharmadeva during the reign of the [mahārāja] rājātīrāja [deva]putra Shāhi Vāsushka. The name Vāsushka is new. But it looks as if it were formed of the first part of Vāsudeva and the last syllable of the names Kanishka and Huvishka, and one feels tempted to consider it as another name of the third Kushana king. If the first sign of the date is read, as Sir A. Cunningham, I think, correctly proposes, as 70, the identification of Vāsushka with Vāsudeva becomes quite unobjectionable; for the year 78 certainly falls within Vāsudeva's reign and the characters of the document fully agree with those of the inscriptions which bear his name. The inscription is unfortunately mutilated in the beginning of each line and the third line has almost entirely disappeared. What remains may be read as follows:—

L. 1. syā⁸ rājātīrājasya . . putrasya⁹ Shāh[i] Vāsushkasya¹⁰
saṃ [70]¹¹ 8 he l [di 5] [e]tasy[ām] [p]u[rv]v[āyām] bhagava—

⁷ See my notes on the Pillar Edict IV, and the Rock Edict III, *infra*, and my article in the *Deutschen Morgenländischen Zeitschrift*, vol. XLVII, p. 466.

⁸ Restore, as Sir A. Cunningham suggests, *Mahārāja*, or perhaps *Siddham mahārājasya*.

⁹ Restore *devaputrasya*.

¹⁰ I read this sign first as 20; Sir A. Cunningham, whom I consulted, suggested that it is a looped sign for 70. I agree to this, as the Mathurā Inscr. No. XX (*Epigr. Ind.*, vol. II, p. 214), which belongs to the same period, has a very similar sign. (See facsimile on the plate.)

- L. 2. *sya*¹² jambuchhâyâsailâgra . *sya* Dharmadevavihâre
pratishtâpitâ⁹ Kharasya¹³ dhitarâ¹⁴ Madhurikâ.
L. 3. [na]m deyadharmâ . . i.

The second novelty, which shows letters of the 8th or 9th century need not be transcribed, as it contains nothing but the Buddhist creed. The third inscription, which, as already stated, is in Nâgarî characters of the 9th or 10th century, originally consisted of a Sragdharâ verse, of which only two Pâdas are at present completely legible :—

- L. 1. Om Prâ—. âyushy ◡ — — ◡ ◡ ◡ ◡ ◡ ◡ — — ◡ —
— ◡ — — [Ta]syâkhyâyâḥ kilânte Sugatagunavritah samsthito
bhadra.
L. 2. śavdah [1]
o rā o ◡ — — ◡ ◡ ◡ ◡ ◡ — — — sam
sam— — dedharmoyam¹⁵ k[ri]tasya pravarasukhakarajñānasam—
L. 3. prâptaye sah [11]

DONATIVE INSCRIPTIONS FROM SANCHI.

TOPE I.

No. 124 = C. 2.

[वाडि*]वज्जना भिक्षुनिना दानं [॥*]¹⁶

The gift of the nuns from [Vâḍi]vahana.

No. 125 = C. 6.

वज्रिगुप्तस दानं [॥*]¹⁷

The gift of Vajiguta (*Vajrigupta*).

No. 126 = C. 7.

देवभागाय [म]धुबनिक[रि य] भिक्षुनिय दानं [॥*]

The gift of the nun Devabhâgâ, inhabitant of Madhuvana.

No. 127 = C. 8.

वाकलाये देविये अहिमितम[तु* ॥*]¹⁸

(The gift) of the Vâkalâ queen, the mother of Ahimita (*Ahimitra*).

¹¹ Probably to *sâkyamuniya* to be restored.

¹² Read *pratishtâpitâ*.

¹³ Or *Varasya*.

¹⁴ Read *dhitarâ*.

¹⁵ This seems to be a contraction of *deyadharmo*, made for the sake of the metre.

¹⁶ Sir A. Cunningham has only *Hand bhikkhuniya*. Dr.

Führer's impression shows a faint *va* before *hand*. The restoration is not doubtful, as *Vâḍivahana* is mentioned in Nos. 101, 116, and so forth.

¹⁷ The letters are beautifully carved and about half a foot high. *Vajrin* may be,—Indra, a Buddha, or one of the *Vidve Devas*.

¹⁸ Possibly वाकलाये.

No. 128 = C. 10.

नगदिनस भिक्षुनो दानं [॥*]

The gift of Nagadina (*Nagadatta* or *Nāgadatta*), the monk.

No. 129 = C. 17.

सोणदेवाय [प]रिजय ञ्गिदेवा[य च दा]नं [॥*]

The gift of Sonadevā (*Suvarṇadevā*),¹⁹ Parijā (?), and Agidevā (*Agnidevā*).

No. 130 = C. 18.

सुभगाय सभगिनिकाय दानं [॥*]

The gift of Subhagā and her sister.

No. 131 = C. 31 (?).

पुसगिरिनो नाव[गा]मकस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Pusagiri (*Pushyagiri*), inhabitant of Navagāma (*Navagrāma*).

No. 132 = C. 26.

ओद[र]तिकाये भिक्षुनि वेदिसिकया²⁰ दानं [॥*]

The gift of Odâtikā (*Avadâtikā*), a nun of Vedisa.

No. 133 = C. 27.

यसोपालस दान भद[त]कड़ि[य स] (?) [॥*]

(The gift) of Yasopāla (*Yasohpāla*), pupil of the venerable Kāḍa (?).

No. 134 = C. 28.

माहम[ी]रगन्हा सीहगिरिनो दान [॥*]

The gift of Sihagiri (*Simhagiri*) from Māhamoragi.²¹

No. 135 = C. 29.

पुसस चहटियस भिक्षुनो दानं [॥*]

The gift of Pusa (*Pushya*) the Chahaṭiya²² monk.

No. 136 = C. 32.

[ग]हपतिनो बुधिलस दा[नं* ॥*]

The gift of the *gahapati* Buddhila (*Buddhila*).

No. 137 = C. 37.

अय[र]हिलस साफिनेयकस²³ मातु दानं [॥*]

The gift of the mother of the venerable Rahila (?), the Sāphineyaka.

¹⁹ *Suvarṇa*, i.e. the Gandharva of that name.

²⁰ Compare the note to II, No. 33.

²¹ See *ante*, No. 77.

²² Possibly "inhabitant of Chahaṭa."

²³ This might be read साहनेयकस, but below (in No. 161), the *फि* is distinct.

No. 138 = C. 42.

L. 1. नवगामका दिसारखि-

L. 2. तस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Disārakhita²⁴ (*Disārakhita*) from Navagāmaka (*Navagrāma*).

No. 139 = C. 44.

पोठदेवाय दानं [॥*]

The gift of Poṭhadevā (*Prosthadevā*).No. 140 = C. 45 (?)²⁵.

L. 1. कंदडिगामियस सेथिनी

L. 2. पञावतिया नागाय दानं [॥*]

The gift of Nāgā, the wife of the Sheth of Kamdaḍigāma (*grāma*).

No. 141 = C. 46.

L. 1. कंदडिगामियस सेथिनी

L. 2. पञावतिय पुसाय दानं [॥]

The gift of Pusā (*Pushyā*), wife of the Sheth of Kamdaḍigāma.

No. 142 = C. 47.

कंदडिगामा वढस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Vadhā (*Vṛiddha*) from Kamdaḍigrāma.

No. 143 = C. 48.

सुलगिरिनी दानं लेखकस [॥*]

The gift of Mulagiri (*Mūlagiri*), the copyist.

No. 144 = C. 49.

उजेनिय — — — —

From Ujjain

No. 145 = C. 50.

यखदिनस भिखुनी दानं [॥*]

The gift of Yakhadina (*Yakshadatta*), the monk.

No. 146 = C. 51.

उजेनिया उपासिकाय दानं [॥*]

The gift of a female lay worshipper from Ujjain.

No. 147 = C. 53.

नावगामिकाना उपासिकाना दानं [॥*]

The gift of the female lay worshippers of Navagāma (*grāma*).²⁴ The deities meant here are the *dīsāḥ*, the nymphs of the quarters of the horizon²⁵ Sir A. Cunningham's identical inscription is in one line. Hence this may be a different one.

No. 148 = C. 55.

उज्जेनिया रो[ह]षिय दानं [॥*]²⁶The gift of Rohaṇī (*Rohinī*) from Ujjain.

No. 149 = C. 56.

उज्जेनिया धम्मगिरिणी दानं [॥*]

The gift of Dhamagiri (*Dharma*²⁷) from Ujjain.

No. 150 = C. 57.

उज्जेनिया सोनस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Sona (*Suvarṇa*) from Ujjain.

No. 151 = C. 58.

उज्जेनिया तापसियानं [हृ]सानजाय²⁷ दानं [॥]

The gift of Najā, the daughter-in-law of the Tāpasīyas, from Ujjain.

No. 152 = C. 59.

उज्जेया तापसियना इसिमितस दानं [॥*]²⁸The gift of Isimita (*Ṛishimitra*) of the Tāpasīyas from Ujjain.

No. 153 = C. 60.

उज्जेनिया मुलदतये दानं [॥*]

The gift of Muladatā (*Mūladattā*) from Ujjain.

No. 154 = C. 61.

उज्जेनिय बलकय दानं [॥*]

The gift of Balakā from Ujjain.

No. 155 = C. 62.

[उज्जे]निया ओपेददतस पजावतिय वयुदतय दानं [॥]

The gift of Vayudatā (*Vāyudattā*), wife of Opedadata (*Upendradatta*), from Ujjain.

No. 156 = C. 63.

उज्जेनिय उपेददतस भगिनिय हिमदताये दानं [॥*]

The gift of Himadatā (*Himadattā*), sister of Upedadata (*Upendradatta*), from Ujjain.

No. 157 = C. 64.

[उज्जे]निया उपेददतस भगिनिय बुधायै दानं [॥*]²⁹The gift of Budhā (*Buddhā*), sister of Upedadata (*Upendradatta*), from Ujjain.²⁶ The reverse shows clearly that the apparent w-stroke under ॡ is due to an accidental scratch.²⁷ The little horizontal stroke, denoting the ॡ is placed rather high up and detached from the vertical line.

Read उज्जेनिया.

Possibly बुधायै to be read.

No. 158 = C. 65.

उजैनिया काडिये भिडुनिये दानं [॥*]

The gift of the nun Kāḍi (*Kāṇḍī*), from Ujjain.

No. 159 = C. 66.

उजैनिया छेतमातु दानं [॥*]

The gift of the mother of Chheta (*Kshetra*), from Ujjain.

No. 160 = C. 67.

उजैनिया तापसियना सिंङ्गतये दानं [॥*]

The gift of Simhadatā³⁰ (*ḍattā*) of the Tāpasiyas from Ujjain.

No. 161 = 68.

उजैनिया सफिनियकाना इसिकस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Isika (*Ṛishika*) of the Saphineyakas from Ujjain.

No. 162 = C. 69.

कुरघर इसिमितय दानं [॥*]

The gift of Isimita (*Ṛishimitrā*) from Kuraghara.

No. 163 = C. 70.

उजैनिया वा[सु]लाया दानं [॥*]³¹

The gift of Vāsulā (³²), from Ujjain.

No. 164 = C. 71.

कुरघरा नरय दानं [॥*]

The gift of Narā³³ from Kuraghara.

No. 165 = C. 72.

कुरघरा नगमितया दानं [॥*]

The gift of Nagamitā (*Naga*³⁴ or *Nāgamitrā*), from Kuraghara.

No. 166 = C. 83.

अस्रदेवाय समिकस मातु दानं [॥*]

The gift of Aśvadevā³⁵ (*Aśvadevā*), mother of Samika (*Svāmika*).

No. 167 = C. 85.

शेथिनो मतु कनिय[सि]ये³⁶ —

(*The gift*) of Kaniyasi (*Kanīyast*), the mother of the Sheth.

³⁰ In this and similar compounds *Simha* may possibly stand for भरसिंह, shortened *bhāmsiṃh*.

³¹ Possibly वसुलाय to be read.

³² i.e. probably *Naradattā*.

³³ In this and similar compounds अस्र probably stands *bhīmasvat* for अस्रयुज-

³⁴ The vowel of सिंह is indistinct.

No. 168 = C. 88.

वसुलाय दानं [॥*]

The gift of Vasulā.

No. 169 = C. 89.

इददतम पाविडकस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Idadata (*Indradatta*), inhabitant of Paviḍa.

No. 170 = C. 94.

कुजरस सेथिभातु दानं [॥*]

The gift of Kujara (*Kuñjara*), the brother of the Sheth.

No. 171 = C. 96.

L. 1. —[सि]दताय सकदिन पजाव—

L. 2. —य दानं [॥*]³⁵

The gift of Isidata (*Rishidattā*), wife of Sakadina (*Sakradatta*).

No. 172 = C. 97.

भदगुतम सानुकगामीनस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Bhadaguta (*Bhadragupta*), inhabitant of Sānukagāma (*°grāma*).

No. 173 = C. 98.

धरकिना सानिलस दानं [॥*³⁶]

The gift of Sātīla (*Sāntīla* or *Seātīla*)³⁷ from Dharakinā (*Dharkina* or *Erān*).

No. 174 = C. 106.

स[ग्रा]य दानं [॥*]

The gift of Saghā (*Sangha*).

No. 175 = C. 115.³⁸

वाघुमता काचा—

(The gift of) Kāchā from Vāghumatu.

No. 176 = C. 119–21.

L. 1. समिकस दानिकस

L. 2. पुतस चस सिदिपालस

L. 3. दानं ३ [॥*]

Three (*rails*)³⁹, the gift of Samik a (*Sāmika*), the trader, and of his son Siripāla (*Śrīpāla*).

³⁵ Restore इसिदताय and पजावतिय.

³⁶ Probably धरकिना to be read.

³⁷ Diminutive from *Sāntideva*, *Sāntivarma*, or *Sāntidatta*.

³⁸ As the inscription is mutilated, its identity with C. 118 is not absolutely certain.

³⁹ As Sir A. Cunningham (*The Dhūsa Topes*, p. 262) states, the three lines are carved on three different rails, which probably were given by the two persons named.

No. 177 = C. 122.

भादतराजुकस [दा]ना [॥*]

The gift of Bhâdata-Râjuka (the venerable *Râjuka*)

No. 178 = C. 123.

विसाखस भिक्षुनो दानं [॥*]

The gift of the monk Visâkha (*Viśākha*).

No. 179 = C. 130.

नंदस कुररती— —

(The gift) of Namda from Kurara.

No. 180 = C. 132.

मधुव[ना] इ[सि]दताये भिक्षुनिये दानं [॥*]

The gift of the nun Isidatâ (*Rishidattâ*) from Madhuvana

No. 181 = C. 133.

[इ]सिदताये भिक्षुनिये कुररिये दानं [॥*]

The gift of the nun Isidatâ (*Rishidattâ*), inhabitant of Kurara.

No. 182 = C. 134.

धमपालस कीयुकपदियस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Dhamapâla (*Dharmapâla*), inhabitant of Kuthukapada.

No. 183 = C. 147.

नदिनागारिकय इसिदिनाये भिक्षुनिये [॥*]⁴¹(The gift) of the nun Isidinâ (*Rishidattâ*), inhabitant of Nandinagara.

No. 184 = C. 151

अयधनकस भिक्षुनो दानं [॥*]

The gift of the monk, the venerable Dhanaka.

No. 185 = C. 157.

धनगिरिनो दानं [॥*]

The gift of Dhanagiri.

No. 186 = C. 159.

L. 1. बलिकाये भिक्षुनिया मडलाहिक-

L. 2. टिकाय दानं [॥*]

The gift of the nun Balikâ, inhabitant of Maḍalachhikata.

⁴⁰ Or *Kothukapada*, i.e. either *Kunthuka* or *Kośhṭukapada* or *padra*.⁴¹ Read नदिनागरिक^०

No. 187 = C. 163.⁴²

L. 1. भडिकियस

संघिलस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Saṃghila, a pupil of Bhadika.⁴³

No. 188 = C. 164.

अरहतपालितस भि— — — — [॥*]

(The gift) of the monk Arahatapālita (*Arhatpālita*).

No. 189 = C. 165.⁴⁴

L. 1. अरहकस परि-

L. 2. पनकस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Arahaka (*Arhaka*), the Parippanaka.⁴⁵

No. 190 = C. 166.

धमगिरिकमातु दानं [॥*]

The gift of the mother of Dhamagiri (*Dharmagiri*).

No. 191 = C. 168.

सिधथस वि— — —

. . . . of Sidhatha (*Siddhārtha*)

No. 192 = C. 169.

इसिदासिये नादिनागरिकायं भिक्कुनिये दानं [॥*]

The gift of the nun Isidāsī (*Īśhidāsī*), inhabitant of Nāḍḍinagara.

No. 193 = C. 170.

नंदिनगरा दुपसहभिक्कुनिये दानं [॥*]

The gift of the nun Dupasahā (*Dushprasahā*?) from Nāḍḍinagara.

No. 194 = C. 171.

यखदासिया दानं [भिक्कुनिया] [॥*]

The gift of Yakhadāsī (*Yakshadāsī*), the nun.

No. 195 = C. 172.

दतकलिवतस दानं [॥*]⁴⁶

The gift of Datakalivata (?)

⁴² Sir A. Cunningham's identical inscription has only one line and may be different.

⁴³ Compare No. 306.

⁴⁴ Sir A. Cunningham's identical inscription is given in one line and may be different.

⁴⁵ This possibly means an inhabitant of *Paripana*, i.e., *Pariparna* or *Paripāna*?

⁴⁶ The inscription may be mutilated in the beginning.

No. 196 = C. 174.⁴⁷

L. 1. दमकस सोति-

L. 2. कस कुसुकपितु

L. 3. दान [॥*]

The gift of the weaver Damaka, father of Kusuka.

No. 197 = C. 179.⁴⁸

L. 1. इषिपालीतस च

L. 2. समप्रस च दान [॥*]

The gift of Isipālita (*Rishipālita*) and of Samana (*Śramaṇa*).

No. 198 = C. 186.

॥ वीरस भिखुनो दान [॥*]

The gift of the monk Vīra.

No. 199 = C. 187=88.

L. 1. यक्षिय भिखुनिया वाळीव =

L. 2. ॥ हनिकाया दान ॥

The gift of the nun Yakhī (*Yakshi*), inhabitant of Vāḷivahana.

No. 200 = C. 189.

वेदिसकेहि दंतकारेहि रुपकमं कतं [॥*]

The workers in ivory of Vedisa have done the carving.

No. 201 = C. 192.

कुरराय नागपायस षष्ठावडे सेधिस पुतस च संघस [दा]नं [॥*]⁴⁹The gift of Nāgapiya (*°priya*) in Kurara, Sheth in Achhāvāḍa, and of (*his*) son Saṁgha.No. 202.⁵⁰

षष्ठावाटा चिरातिमासु दानं [॥*]

The gift of Chirātī (*Kirdī*) from Achhāvāṭa (? *Ma*°).

No. 203.

अज[रा]निय दानं [॥*]

The gift of Ajarānī (*Ajird*).

No. 204.

[च]ठकनगरस गमं[द]तस भिखुनो दानं [॥*]

The gift of Gagamdata (*Gangadatta*), the monk, inhabitant of Athakanagara.⁴⁷ There are four impressions bearing these letters. Though perfectly identical, they may yet belong to two different inscriptions.⁴⁸ Sir A. Cunningham's identical inscription is given in one line and may be different.⁴⁹ Read कुररिय नागपियस.⁵⁰ As there are four impressions with these letters, it is possible that they refer to two separate inscriptions.

No. 205.¹¹

अप — यह दान [॥*]
The gift of Apa-yaha (?)

No. 206.

अपा[का]निया दानं [॥*]
The gift of Apākāni.

No. 207.

अयकनस भिक्षुनी दानं अयभंडुकियस [॥*]
The gift of the venerable Kana, pupil of the venerable Bhaṇḍuka.¹²

No. 208.

अयजे[त]स भिक्षुनी दानं [॥*]
The gift of the monk, the venerable Jeta (*Jayānta*).

No. 209.

L. 1. अयफगुनस साधिविहारिनी

L. 2. खेमकस भिक्षुनी दानं [॥*]

The gift of the monk Khemaka (*Kṣhemaka*), the fellow-wanderer of the venerable Phaguṇa (*Phalguna*).

No. 210.

अरपना असाडस दा[न]व — —
The gift of Asāḍa (*Aśhādha*) . . . from Arapāna.

No. 211.

अरपना पदा — — —
From Arapana

No. 212.

[अ]रपानिया सिहय दानं [॥*]
The gift of Sīhā (*Simhā*), inhabitant of Arapāna.

No. 213.

असगुतस दानं [॥*]
The gift of Asaguta (*Asvagupta*).

No. 214.

असभये उजेनिकाये भिक्षुनिये दानं [॥*]
The gift of Asabhā, a nun of Ujjain.

No. 215.

[अ]सवतिय गामस — —
(The gift) of the village of Asvavati (*Asvavatt*).

¹¹ Incised on the procession path.

¹² See *ante*, p. 98, No. 16, and below No. 256.

No. 216.

L. 1. इसिदसिये नादिनागरिकाये भिक्षु[निये]

L. 2. दानं [॥*]³³The gift of Isidasi (*Rishiddasi*), a nun of Nandinagara.

No. 217.

L. 1. इसिनदनस दानं [॥*]

L. 2. [पु]अवढनियस [॥*]

The gift of Isinadana (*Rishinandana*), inhabitant of Puṇavaḍhana (*Punyavar-dhana*).

No. 218.

इसिरखितस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Isirakhita (*Rishirakshita*).

No. 219.

उजेनिये अस्वरखिताये दानं [॥*]

The gift of Asvarakhitā (*Āśvarakshitā*) in Ujjain.

No. 220.

उजेनाया उपसिकाये सिरिकाये दानं [॥*]³⁴The gift of the lay worshipper Sirikā (*Śrikā*) from Ujjain.No. 221.³⁵

उजेनिया ओपेददतस पजावतिय वा[यु]दताय दानं [॥*]

The gift of Vāyudatā, wife of Opedadata (*Upendradatta*) from Ujjain.

No. 222.

L. 1. [*उ]जेनिय कलुरप-

L. 2. तस बुमुस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Bumū, son of Kalura, from Ujjain.*

No. 223.

L. 1. डजेनिया तापसिया-

L. 2. नं — — — धम

L. 3. [दता]य दानं [॥*]

The gift of Dhamadatā (*Dharmadattā*) . . . of the Tāpasiyas from Ujjain.

No. 224.

[उ*]जेनिया धमयसाया मत्तु भिक्षुनिया दा[न* ॥*]

The gift of the mother of the nun Dhamayasā (*Dharmayaśas*) from Ujjain.³³ This is identical in words with No. 192 (C. 169), which however is in one line.³⁴ Read उजेनिया.³⁵ This is identical in words, but not in spelling, with No. 155 (C. 62).

No. 225.

L. 1. उजेनिया बलिकाया

L. 2. मातु दानं [॥*]

The gift of the mother of Balikâ from Ujjain.

No. 226.

उजेनिये मितये भिक्षु — — — —

(*The gift*) of the nun Mitâ (*Mitrâ*) in Ujjain.

No. 227.

उजेनिया वसुलय दानं [॥*]

The gift of Vasulâ from Ujjain.

No. 228.

उजेनिया संघदत्तस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Saṃghadatta (°*datta*) from Ujjain.

No. 229.

उजेनिया सुलासस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Sulâsa from Ujjain.

No. 230.

उतरस रजुकस दानं [॥*]⁵⁰

The gift of Utara (*Uttara*), the *Rajuka*.

No. 231.

एजावतिय उ[पा]सिकाना — —

(*The gift*) of the female lay worshippers from Ejâvati.

No. 232.

एजावतिया वाहिलस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Vâhila from Ejâvati.

No. 233.

कटकजु[य]कस [ई*]ददेवस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Îdadeva (*Indradeva*), inhabitant of Katakāñu.

No. 234.

कटकजुया अरहस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Araha (*Arhat*) from Katakāñu.

No. 235.

कटकजुया ध — — —

From Katakāñu

⁵⁰ See facsimile on the plate.

No. 236.

कंदडिगामा सेथि — —

From Kamdadigāma (*grāma*), of the Sheth

No. 237.

L. 1. कंदडिगामियस सेठिनो

L. 2. पञ्जावतिया देवभागाय दानं [॥*]

The gift of Devabhāgā, wife of the Sheth of Kamdadigāma (*grāma*).

No. 238.

L. 1. काणस भिक्षुनो

L. 2. दानं [॥*]

The gift of the monk Kāṇa.

No. 239.⁵⁷

कुरघरा घोसकस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Ghosaka (*Ghoshaka*) from Kuraghara.

No. 240.

कुरघरा नगमिताय दानं [॥*]

The gift of Nagamitā (*Naga* or *Nāgamitrā*) from Kuraghara.

No. 241.

L. 1. [कु]र चरिय स[रि ति]सरिय

L. 2. — सुनि — — —⁵⁸(The gift) of the nun Sātisiri (*Sāntisiri* or *Soātisiri*), inhabitant of Kuraghara.

No. 242.

L. 1. कुररातो अरहगुतस

L. 2. दानं [॥*]

The gift of Arahaguta (*Arhadgupta*) from Kurara.No. 243.⁵⁹

L. 1. कुररातो अरह[गु]तस

L. 2. दानं [॥*]

No. 244.

L. 1. कुरराय अह्मावतिय

L. 2. भिक्षुनिय दानं [॥*]⁶⁰The gift of the nun Achhāvati (*Bikshavati*) in Kurara.⁵⁷ There are two illegible lines above that given here.⁵⁸ Restore भिक्षुनिय दानं.⁵⁹ The inscription is identical in words with the preceding, but the last three letters of line 1 are almost destroyed and the spelling differs.⁶⁰ It is not impossible that the कुरराय in this inscription and in Nos. 241 and 244 is meant for कुररिय.

No. 245.

कुरराय णागादिनाय दानं [॥*]⁶¹

The gift of Nāgādina (Nāgadattā) in Kurara.

No. 246.

कुरराय धमकास दानं [॥*]

The gift of Dhamaka (Dharmaka) in Kurara.

No. 247.

कुरराय वल — — — —

No. 248.

L. 1. कुरराय सघारखिताया⁶²

L. 2. भिच्छुनिय दानं [॥*]

The gift of the nun Saghārahita (Samgharakshita) in Kurara.

No. 249.

कुररिय अरहगुता [य दा]—

The gift of Arahagutā (Arhadguptā), inhabitant of Kurara.

No. 250.

कुर[रि]य [अर]हदिनाय दानं [॥*]

The gift of Arahadina (Arhaddattā), inhabitant of Kurara.

No. 251.

[कु]ररियस सघा — — — —

No. 252.

L. 1. गडाय भिच्छुन-

L. 2. य दानं [॥*]

The gift of the nun Gaḍā (Gaṇḍā?).

No. 253.

गड[र]या भिच्छुनिया वेदिसिकाया दा[नं* ॥*]

The gift of the nun Gaḍā (Gaṇḍā?), inhabitant of Vedisa.

No. 254.

. . गिरिकस पजावतिया—सिया दानं [॥*]

The gift of . . ti, wife of Girika.

No. 255.

गीतमिये इसिनिका — — — —⁶³

(The gift) of Isinikā (Rishikā), the Gotami (Gautamī).

⁶¹ Read णान.

⁶² Read सघर.

⁶³ There are four impressions, which seem to refer to the same inscription.

No. 256.

गोतिपुतस भडुकस भिक्षुनो दानं [॥*]⁶⁴The gift of the monk Bhaduka, son of the Goti (*Gauṇṇī* mother).No. 257.⁶⁵

जितमितय दनं [॥]

The gift of Jitamitā (*Jitāmitra*).No. 258.⁶⁶

जोङ्ककस भिक्षुनो दानं [॥*]

The gift of the monk Jonhaka (*Jyotsnakā*).

No. 259.

ताकारापदा संघरखितस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Saṃgharakhita (*°rakshita*) from Tākārūpada.

No. 260.

तापसस गोन्दकस दानं [॥*]

The gift of the ascetic Gonamdaka.

No. 261.

तिरिडपदा नागाया उपासिकाय [द]नं [॥*]

The gift of the female lay worshipper Nāgā from Tiridapada.

No. 262.

तिसस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Tisa (*Tishya*).

No. 263.

तुडस फुजाकप[क्षि]यस दानं [॥*]⁶⁷The gift of Tuda (*Tuṇḍa*), inhabitant of Phujākapaḷḷi (?).No. 264.⁶⁸

तुं[ब]वना गहपतिनो पतिठियस दानं [॥*]

The gift of *Gahapati* Patithiya (*Pratishṭhita*) from Tumbavana.⁶⁹

No. 265.

तुंभवना गहपतिनो पतिठियस भातु [जा]याय ध[जा]य दानं [॥*]

The gift of Dhañā (*Dhanyā*), wife of the brother of the *gahapati* Patithiya (*Pratishṭhita*) from Tumbavana.⁶⁹⁶⁴ This is identical in words with the inscription No. 16 (*ante*, p. 98), which however has three lines, see facsimile.⁶⁵ See facsimile.⁶⁶ Identical in words (but not in the size of the letters) with No. 75, *ante*, p. 105.⁶⁷ The consonant of the bracketed syllable is very doubtful.⁶⁸ Identical in words with No. 23, *ante*, p. 99.⁶⁹ *Tumbavana* occurs in Hemachandra's *Parīśiṣṭa Parvan*. (see Professor Jacobi's Introduction, p. 71).

No. 266.

थेरस अयनागस भिक्कुनो उज्जेनिकस दानं [॥*]

The gift of the *Thera*, the venerable Nāga, a monk of Ujjain.

No. 267.

L. 1. दताये भिक्कुनिया म — — किटिकाये⁷⁰

L. 2. दानं [॥*]

The gift of the nun Datā (*Dattā*), inhabitant of [Maḍalachh]ikaṭa.

No. 268.

देवरखितस मोरजहकटियस भिक्कुनो दानं [॥*]

The gift of the monk Devarakhita (*°rakshita*), inhabitant of Morajahakaṭa.

No. 269.

धनगिरिनो [चडि]पियस⁷¹ च भिक्कुनं दानं [॥*]The gift of the monks Dhanagiri and Chaḍīpiya (*Chaḍīpriya*?).

No. 270.

L. 1. धमकस वेजजक-

L. 2. स दन [॥*]

The gift of Dhamaka (*Dharmaka*), inhabitant of Vejaja.

No. 271.

धमगिरिनो च धमसेनस च दा[नं] [॥*]

The gift both of Dhamagiri (*Dharma°*) and of Dhamasena (*Dharma*).

No. 272.

धमदिनायें दान [॥*]

The gift of Dhamadinā (*Dharmadattā*).

No. 273.

L. 1. धम्मपालस

L. 2. म[हि]पालस⁷² दान [॥*]The gift of Dhammapāla (*Dharma° and*) of Mahipāla.

No. 274.

L. 1. धमरखितस

L. 2. — रकरकस दानं [॥*]

The Dhamarakhita (*Dharmarakshita*), inhabitant of —rakara(°).

No. 275.

धमरखिताय मधुवनिकाये दानं [॥*]

The gift of Dhamarakhitā (*Dharmarakshitā*), inhabitant of Madhuvana.⁷⁰ Restore मडलकिटिकाये⁷¹ This may also be intended for चडिपियस or चडि⁷² The second vowel has been obliterated.

No. 276.

L. 1. धमु — — —

L. 2. कुलम द[नं ॥*]⁷³The gift of the family of Dhamu[tara] (*Dharmottara*).

No. 277.

L. 1. नदिनगर अचल—

L. 2. भिक्षुनिय दा[नं ॥*]

The gift of the nun Achalâ from Nadinagara (*Nandî*°).

No. 278.

L. 1. नदिनगरा अम[ग य]

L. 2. दानं [॥*]

The gift of Amagâ (*Amatâ*, i.e., *Amṛitâ* ?) from Nandinagara.

No. 279.

L. 1. नदिनगर[र] उत्तरदत्तय

L. 2. दानं [॥*]⁷⁴The gift of Utaradatâ (*Uttaradattâ*) from Nandinagara.

No. 280.

नदिनगर [उत्तर]मितय दानं [॥*]

The gift of Utaramitâ (*Uttaramitrâ*) from Nandinagara.

No. 281.

L. 1. [नं]दिनगर उपास-

L. 2. [कम] यमदम दन [॥*]⁷⁵The gift of the lay worshipper Yamada[ta] (*°datta*) from Nandinagara.

No. 282.

L. 1. नदिनगर रोहणदेव-

L. 2. य [दा]नं [॥*]

The gift of Rohanadevâ (*Rohinidevâ*) from Nadinagara (*Nandinagara*).

No. 283.

नं[दु]तरय दनं वे[दि]सिकय भिक्षुनिया

The gift of Naindutarâ (? *Nandottarâ*), a nun of Vedisa.

No. 284.

L. 1. नागदत्तम सघरखितम च कोरघरानं

L. 2. दानं [॥*]⁷⁶The gift of Nâgadatta (*°datta*) and Sagharakhita (*Samgharakshita*), inhabitants of Kuraghara.⁷³ Probably धमुतरस to be restored.⁷⁴ Above the first syllable stands another न.⁷⁵ Read यमदत्तम⁷⁶ This inscription has to be read from below, see *ante*, p. 107, No. 93.

No. 285.⁷⁷

ना[गिल]स सेठिनो दानं [॥*]

The gift of *Sheth Nâgila*.

No. 286.

नाटिय भिक्षुनिय [को]रघरिय द[नं ॥*]

The gift of the nun *Nâtî*, inhabitant of *Kuraghara*.

No. 287

नादिनगर काबीज -

स भिक्षुनो दानं [॥*]

The gift of the monk *Kâboja* (*Kâmbuja*) from *Nâdinagara* (*Nandî*°).No. 288.⁷⁸

L. 1. पंथकस भिक्षुनो उ[भं]यका — —

L. 2. ब्रधपालीनस भिक्षुनो दानं [॥*]

The gift of the monk *Panthaka* (*Panthaka*) (and) of the monk, *Bâdhapālita* (*Budhappālita*).

No. 289.

[पा]तिठानस दानं [॥*]

The gift of *Patithāna*.

No. 290.

पुरुविडा ढिसागिरिपुतानं द[नं ॥*]

The gift of the sons of *Disâgiri*⁷⁹ (*Disâgiri*) from *Puruviḍa*.

No. 291.

पुसकस दन [॥*]

The gift of *Pusaka* (*Pushyaka*).

No. 292.

पुसदतस नवगमकियस दन [॥]

The gift of *Pusadata* (*Pushyadatta*), inhabitant of *Navagāma* (*grāma*).

No. 293.

पेमुतिकाय सुपठामाये भिक्षुनिय दानं [॥*]

The gift of the nun *Supathāmā* (*Suprasthāmā* ?), inhabitant of *Pemuta*.

No. 294.

[पो*]खरातो इसिदताय लेवस पजार्वतिया दानं [॥*]

The gift of *Isidatā* (*Rishidatta*), the wife of *Leva* from *Pokhara* (*Pushkara*).⁷⁷ See facsimile.⁷⁸ Given among the facsimiles.⁷⁹ See above, note 24 to No. 138.

No. 295.

पोखरातो इसिदताय दानं — — — — —

The gift of Isidatā from Pokhara

No. 296.

[*पी]खरातो तुडाया तुडस च दानं ले — — — — —

The gift of Tuḍā and Tuḍa (*Tuṇḍa*) . . . from Pokhara.

No. 297.

पोखरा संघ[खि]स^{१०} दानं [॥*]

The gift of Saṃgha[ra]khi[ta] from Pokhara.

No. 298.

पोडविभक्तस इसिदिनस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Isidina (*Rishidatta*), inhabitant of Poḍavijha.¹¹

No. 299.

बधकस भिक्कुना को[डि]जिलकस — —

(The gift) of the monk Badhaka (*Daddhaka*),¹² inhabitant of Koḍijila.

No. 300.

L. 1. बलदताया चुड[फ]

L. 2. लगरियाय दानं [॥*]

The gift of Baladatā (*ḍatta*), inhabitant of Chudaphalagiri (*Kshudra*).

No. 301.

[को]हु मूलपितु¹³ दनं [॥*]The gift of Bohu (*Bhodhri* ?), the father of Mula (*Mula*).

No. 302.

बुधरखितस [दानं] [॥*]

The gift of Budharakhita (*Buddharakshita*).

No. 303.

बुधरखितस [भिखुना ऋ]य भंडुकियस दानं [॥*]

The gift of the monk Budharakhita (*pupil*) of the venerable Bhaṇḍuka

No. 304.

L. 1. बुधरखताय भिखु —

L. 2. यदानं [॥*]

The gift of the nun Budharakhatā (*Buddharakshita*).¹⁰ Read संघरखितस.¹¹ Professor Jacobi suggests that this may be derived from a Sanskrit compound पुराडविन्या.¹² This may be a misspelling for दीधक or बधक.¹³ Perhaps meant for बुहुमूलपितु. See the facsimile.

No. 305.

बोधिया दान [॥*]

The gift of Bodhi.

No. 306.

L. 1. भडिकस भिक्षुनी

L. 2. कुरघरा-

L. 3. स दानं [॥*]⁸⁴

The gift of the monk Bhaḍika, inhabitant of Kuraghara.

No. 307.

भड — — — खुनी कौरघरस दन [॥*]⁸⁵

The gift of [the monk] Bhaḍ[ika], inhabitant of Kuraghara.

No. 308.

भडुनी पजावतिय दानं [॥*]

The gift of the wife of Bhaḍu (*Bhaṇḍu*).

No. 309.

[भो]गवटना धजिकाय — —

(The gift) of Dhaṇḍikā (*Dhanyakā*) from Bhogavaḍhana (**vardhana*).

No. 310.

मच्छवटा नादिनिया दानं [॥*]

The gift of Nāḍinī (*Nandini*) from Machhavata (*Matsyavarta*).

No. 311.

मनीरमस वडकिनी श्री — — — —

(The gift) of the carpenter⁸⁶ Manōrama

No. 312.

महानामस

Of Mahānāman.

No. 313.

माहसतिय अरिहदताये दानं [॥*]⁸⁷

The gift of Arihadatā (*Arhaddattā*) from Māhasati (*Māhishmatī*).

No. 314.

माहिसतिय जि — — — स दानं [॥*]

The gift of Ji . . . from Māhishmatī (*Māhishmatī*).

⁸⁴ Probably कुरघरियस to be read.

⁸⁵ Restore भडिकस भिक्षुनी.

⁸⁶ I take *vaḍḍakino* to stand for *vaḍḍhakino*, just as we have in No. 210 *Aśḍasa* for *Aśḍha*.

⁸⁷ Meant for माहिसतिय; the blurred letters of the inscription might be taken to represent माहिसतिय.

No. 315.

मितसिरिया दानं भिक्षुनिया काररिया [॥*]

The gift of Mitasiri (*Mitrasiri*), a nun of Kurara.

No. 316.

यखिय भिक्षुनिये वेदिमा दानं [॥*]

The gift of the nun Yakhi (*Yakshi*) from Vedisa.

No. 317.

रतिनय⁸⁸ माहिमतिय दानं [॥*]The gift of Ratina from Māhisati (*Māhishmatī*).

No. 318.

L. 1. रेबिलस नंदिनगारकस⁸⁹

L. 2. दानं [॥*]

The gift of Rebila,⁹⁰ inhabitant of Namdinagara.

No. 319.

L. 1. रेवतिमिताय बलक-

L. 2. स पजावति[या दानं] [॥*]

The gift of Revatimitā (*Revatimitrā*), wife of Balaka.

No. 320.

L. 1. वजिनिय भिक्षुनिया

L. 2. दानं [॥*]

The gift of the nun Vajinī (*Vajirini*).

Nos. 321-22.

L. 1. वरदतस दानं

L. 2. भगिनिया वरसेनाय

L. 3. दानं

The gift of Varadata (*datta*); the gift of (*his*) sister Varasenā.

No. 323.

L. 1. वरदतस पजावतिय

L. 2. इसलय⁹¹ दानं [॥*]The gift of Isalā (*Rishilā*), wife of Varadata (*Varadatta*).⁸⁸ Meant for रतिनिय, diminutive from रति or रत्न.⁸⁹ Probably meant for नंदिनगारकस:⁹⁰ This probably stands for *Rebhila*, like *Asāda* (No. 210) for *Asāda*.⁹¹ Meant for इसिनाय.

No. 324.

L. 1. वरदतस पञ्चवतया

L. 2. रोहाय दानं [॥*]

The gift of Rohā, wife of Varadata (^o*datta*).

No. 325.

वरुणस दनं [॥*]

The gift of Varuṇa.

No. 326.

L. 1. [व]सुमिताय भि-

L. 2. कुनिय [दानं]

L. 3. उज्जेनिकय

The gift of Vasumitā (^o*mitrā*), a nun of Ujjain.

No. 327.

वसुलाय दनं [॥*]

The gift of Vasulā.

No. 328.

वाडीवहनाती ओडकम दानं [॥*]

The gift of Oḍaka (*Ārdraka*) from Vāḍīvahana.

No. 329.

वासवय नंदिनगरा भिकुनिय दानं [॥*]

The gift of Vāsavā, a nun from Nandīnagara.

No. 330.

L. 1. [वि]तिरिञ्जय भुत-

L. 2. रखितस दनं [॥*]

The gift of Bhutarakhita (*Bhūtārakshita*) from Vitirīṇahā(?).

No. 331.

L. 1. वितिरिञ्जय महि-

L. 2. रखितस दनं [॥*]

The gift of Mahirakhita (*Mahīrakshita*) from Vitirinahi(?).

No. 332.

L. 1. विपुलाय कापासिगा—

L. 2. मत्तु भिखुनिया दानं [॥*]

The gift of Vipulā, a nun from Kāpāsīgāma (^o*grāma*).

No. 333.

विरोहकट घरिनिये [सि]भाये दानं [॥*]

The gift of Sijhâ (*Śaikhsâ*), a house-wife from Virohakaṭa.

No. 334.

विसाखरखितस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Visākharakhita (*Viśākharakshita*).

No. 335.

विसखरखितस भिङ्गुनो दानं [॥*]

The gift of the monk Visakharakhita (*Viśākharakshita*).

No. 336.

वीरसेनाये दानं [॥*]

The gift of Virasenâ.

No. 337.

वी[राये] भिङ्गुनिया तौबवनिकाय दानं

The gift of Vîrâ(?), a nun of Tumbavana.

No. 338.

वेदिसा अरहतरखित — दानं [॥*]

The gift of Arahatarakhita (*Arhadrakshita*) from Vedisa.

No. 339.

वेदस^{२२} दतस कलवडस दानं [॥*]

No. 340.

वेदिस दतस कलवडस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Data (*Datta*) Kalavaḍa from Vedisa.

No. 341.

वेदिसा मोहिकाये भिङ्गुनिये दानं [॥*]

The gift of the nun Mohikâ from Vedisa.

No. 342.

सकरखितस दनं [॥*]

The gift of Sakarakhita (*Śakrarakshita*).

No. 343.

संघरखिताय कोरमिकाय भिङ्गुनिया [दने] [॥*]

The gift of Saṃgharakhitâ (*ṛakshitâ*), a nun of Kurama.^{२२} Meant for वेदिसा.

No. 344.

संघायि — — — —

Of Saṃghā.

No. 345.

संघायदासकमातु दानं [॥*]

The gift of Saṃghā, mother of Dāsaka.

No. 346.

सतिगुप्तस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Satiguta (*Śaktigupta* or *Svātigupta*).

No. 347.

L. 1. समणस भिक्कुनो अयुतरस अतेवसिना

L. 2. दानं [॥*]

The gift of the monk Samaṇa (*Sramaṇa*), pupil of the venerable Utara (*Uttara*).

No. 348.

समिकस अयनगस अतेवसिना दानं [॥*]

The gift of Samika (*Svāmika*), pupil of the venerable Naga (*Nāga*).

No. 349.

L. 1. समिकस वनि[क]स

L. 2. पुतस च सी[हदे]व-

L. 3. स [दा]नं [॥*]

The gift of the trader Samika (*Svāmika*) and of (his) son Sīhadeva (*Śimha°*)

No. 350.

समिकया भिक्खुनि-

L. 2. य दानं [॥*]

The gift of the nun Samikā (*Svāmikā*).

No. 351.

सामिकाय भिक्खुनिया दानं

The gift of the nun Sāmikā (*Svāmikā*).

No. 352.

[सा*]मिदतस भिक्कुनो दानं [॥*]

The gift of the monk [SĀ]midata (*Svāmidatta*).

No. 353.

सिरिदिनाय भिक्खुनया नादिनागरिकाय दानं [॥*]

The gift of the nun Siridinā (*Śrīdattā*) of Nandinagara.

No. 354.

सिरिभागस संबु — — —

Of Siribhāga (*Śribhāga*)

No. 355.

सिरिमिताया नादिना[ग]रिकाय भिक्कुनिय दानं [॥*]

The gift of the nun Sirimitā (*Śrimitrā*) of Nandinagara.

No. 356.

सरिया भिक्कुनिया दानं [॥*]

The gift of the nun Siri (*Śrī*).

No. 357.

सिवतिये

Of Sivati.

No. 358.

सिहय⁸³Of Sihā (*Simhā*).

No. 359.

L. 1. सिहाय देवदताय

L. 2. च दानं [कु]रघरा भिक्कु-

L. 3. निनं [॥*]

The gift of Sihā (*Simhā*) and Devadatā (*°dattā*), nuns from Kuraghara.

No. 360.

सिहाय समातिकय वजिनिकय दानं [॥*]

The gift of Sihā (*Simhā*), *Samātikā*⁸⁴ (*Samāptikā* ?) (and) Vajinikā (*Vajrinikā*).

No. 361.

सुबाहितस पजाव[तिय]⁸⁵ — — —

Of the wife of Subāhita

No. 362.

L. 1. सुरियय बुधदेवय पेमतिक-

L. 2. य दानं [॥*]

The gift of Suriya (*Sūryā* and) Budhadevā (*Buddha°*) of Pemata.

⁸² Incised on the procession path and surrounded by four sacred symbols, among which that on the right hand looks like a large म. See facsimile on the plate.

⁸⁴ Possibly *samālikaya* may stand for *samātrikāya* and be intended to indicate that *Vajinika* was the mother of *Sihā*. If so, the construction is of course ungrammatical.

⁸⁵ Compare No. 48, *ante*, p. 102.

No. 363.

L. 1. सुरियाय भिक्षु-

L. 2. निया दानं [॥*]

The gift of the nun Suriya (*Sūryā*).

No. 364.

L. 1. सेतपथियस

L. 2. योनस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Yona (*Yavana*), inhabitant of Setapatha (*Śveta*⁹⁶).

No. 365.

L. 1. हालाय दक्षिणाजि-

L. 2. य दानं [॥*]

The gift of Hālā, the Southern (?).⁹⁶

No. 366.

— — — कस अजितिगुतकुलस — —⁹⁷

No. 367.

[स]ककक अस्सदे[वाय*] — —

No. 368.

लेवस [भि ?] — — —

No. 369.

— — — [सतिनो] [?] कुररस दानं [॥*]

No. 370.

— — — नागिलस दानं [॥*]

No. 371.

L. 1. — — — तय एजावति[य]

L. 2. पू — न

No. 372.

— — डस दान सपजावतिकस [॥*]

No. 373.

— — — स कुडुपदकस [दानं]? [॥*]

No. 374.

L. 1. — — — रा संघपालि[ताय*]

L. 2. भिक्षुनिय दा[नं] [॥*]

⁹⁶ The explanation of दक्षिणाजी by दक्षिणाया is, of course, merely tentative.

⁹⁷ On the analogy of Gujarāṭī *Vikramdjit* for *Vikramāditya*, *Ajītigula* may stand for *Alitigupā*.

No. 375.

L. 2. — — — य सुभगाय पुसाय नागदतस सघरखितस कोरघ[रका][ना?]]

L. 1. दानं [॥*]⁹⁸

The gift of . . . Subhagâ, Pusâ (*Pushyâ*) Nâgadata (*datta*) Sagharakhita (*Sangharakshita*), inhabitants of Kuraghara.

No. 376.

— — — — उत्[राय] ट — — —

No. 377.⁹⁹

L. 1. [यो] इतो काकणा — तो तोरण वा वेदिक वा

L. 2. उपादेया उपादा — — या वा चानं वा (vâ) चाचरियकु —

L. 3. संकामिय सो म — — — तिन पितिघा — न

L. 4. अरहंतघातिन — — — — — [म सो]

L. 5. [कम] उपायकान — — — — — [स पापा]

L. 6. [कर] न संघ — — — — —

He who takes away or causes to be taken away from this Kâkanâ[va] an ornamental arch or rail, or causes it to be transferred¹ to another temple of the teacher [shall incur the guilt] of murderers of mothers, of murderers of fathers, of murderers of Arhats

No. 378.²

यभो ऋ प[चा]नगरियकारकान [ग]त गच्छेय यो इतो काकणायातो से[ल]कमे उपादे]य*

[उपा]दापिय वा

चनं वा चाचरियकुलं संकामेया तसं ते पातका भवेयु [॥]

A pillar (*the gift*) of the workmen, inhabitants of Pañchânagara (?) on him who takes away or causes to be taken away from this Kâkanâva the stonework or causes it to be transferred to another temple of the teacher, shall fall (*the guilt*) of those mortal sins.

TOPE II.

No. 22 = C. 1.

नागिलस दानं अयस अंतेवासिनी [॥*]

The gift of Nâgila, the pupil of Aya (*Arya*).

No. 23 = C. 2

धमरखितस सेभस कु — —

. . . of Dhamarakhita (*Dharmarakshita*), the pupil.

⁹⁸ The inscriptions must be read from below.

⁹⁹ Identical with Sir A. Cunningham's No. 183. There is a large abrasion in the centre, and the right sides of lines 1-4 have been somewhat defaced by a large diagram which has been carved over them. Restore l. 1 काकणायातो; l. 2 उपदापयेवा चाचरियकुलं, l. 3 नागाचारित्त पितिघातिन. All the bracketed signs are indistinct and their vowels doubtful. See facsimile on the plate.

¹ This explanation, which requires संकामेया to be taken in the sense of संकामदेवा, has been suggested to me by Professor Jacobi. With चाचरियकुलं in the sense of 'temple of the teacher,' compare दीपकुल.

² This inscription, which may be identical with Sir A. Cunningham's No. 195, is incised on a long band. See facsimile.

No. 24 = C. 7.

सघमितस भिखुनो दानं [॥*]

The gift of the monk Saghāmīta (*Samghamitra*)

No. 25 = C. 8.

बुधपालितस सेठिनो पडकुलिकियस दनं [॥*]

The gift of Sheth Budhapālita (*Buddha*³), inhabitant of Paḍukulikā (*Paṇḍu-kulikā*).⁴

No. 26 = C. 15.

L. 1. बलाय कोररिये भिखु-

L. 2. निये दानं [॥*]

The gift of the nun Valā, inhabitant of Kurara.

No. 27 = C. 19.

L. 1. बलकस अयस अरहगुतस सासा-

दकस अतेवासिनो दानं [॥*]

The gift of Balaka, the pupil of the venerable Arāhaguta (*Arhadgupta*), inhabitant of Sāsāda.

No. 28 = C. 21.

मुलाया दान यमो गडाय अतेवासिनिया [॥*]

A pillar, the gift of Mulā (*Mūlā*), the pupil of Gaḍā.

No. 29 = C. 22.

L. 1. सघरखिताया मातु — कडिकाया⁵

L. 2. इसिदासिया भिखुनिया दानं [॥*]

The gift of the nun Isidāsī (*Ṛishidāsi*), inhabitant of — — kaḍa, mother of Saghārakhitā (*Samgharakshitā*).

No. 30 = C. 23.

अयस बुधरखितस पोखरियकस दानं [॥*]

The gift of the venerable Budharakhita (*Buddharakhita*), inhabitant of Pokhara (*Pushkara*)

No. 31 = C. 26.

सिदकाडा टिकिसस दानं [॥*]⁶

The gift of Tikisa (P) from Sidakāḍa.

No. 32 = C. 27.

— य सिदकाडिया दानं [॥*]

The gift of . . . i, inhabitant of Sidakāḍa.

³ See ante, p. 110, No. 1.⁴ The syllable *yā* stands in l. 2 after दान.⁵ The letters *ḍa* and *ka* look rather modern, the *ḍa* is of the Andhra type.

No. 33 = C. 28.

बुधपालिता सिदकडियाय दानं [॥*]^oThe gift of Budhapālītā (*Buddha*^o), inhabitant of Sidakada.

No. 34 = C. 29

सदकडियाय गोलाय दानं [॥*]

The gift of Golā (*Godāvari*), inhabitant of Sadakaḍa (*Sidakaḍa*).

No. 35 = C. 31.

बुधगुताय सेदकडिय दानं [॥*]

The gift of Budhagutā (*Buddhagutā*), inhabitant of Sidakaḍa.

No. 36 = C. 34.

अरहकस भिक्कुनो भाणकस दानं [॥*]

The gift of the monk Arāhaka (*Arhat*), a preacher.

No. 37 = C. 35.

बहुलस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Bahula.

No. 38 = C. 39.

नागरखितस भिक्कुनो पोखरेयकस दानं [॥*]

The gift of the monk Nāgarakhita (*ṛakshita*), inhabitant of Pokhara (*Pushkara*).

No. 39 = C. 40.

L. 1. सघरखितस भिक्कुनो दानं कीरर-

L. 2. स [॥*]

The gift of the monk Sagharakhita (*Samgharakshita*), an inhabitant of Kurara.

No. 40 = C. 43.

ओडिय भिक्खुनिय धम्मो दानं [॥*]

A pillar, the gift of the nun Oḍi.

No. 41.

अयस पोखरेयकस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Aya (*Ārya*), inhabitant of Pokhara (*Pushkara*).

No. 42.

असदेवाय भिक्खुनिय द[र]नं [॥*]

The gift of the nun Asadevā (*Āśvadevā*).

^o This seems to be one of the cases where only one of two words standing in the same case is inflected—see also above.
I, No. 132.

No. 43.

L. 1. आजनावा आवासि-

L. 2. कस दानं [॥*]

The gift of Āvāsika from Ājanāva.

No. 44.

इसिदतय भिखुनिय दन [॥*]

The gift of the nun Isidata (Rishidatta).

No. 45.

ईददतस उपसकस दन [॥*]⁷

The gift of the layman Īdadata (Indradatta).

No. 46.

गंधारस भिखुनो दानं [॥*]⁸

The gift of the monk Gandhāra.

No. 47.

गोतमिया भिखुनिया दनां [॥*]⁹

The gift of the nun Gotamī (Gautamī).

No. 48.

चिरतिय भिखुनिय दयं [॥*]¹⁰

The gift of the nun Chiratī (Kīratī).

No. 49.

चु[व]मोरगिरिनो गामस द[॥]न [॥*]¹¹

The gift of the village of Chumvamoragiri (?)

No. 50.

दानं मोरयहिकटियस [॥*]

The gift of the inhabitant of Morayahikata.

No. 51.

L. 1. धमरसरिय उपसिक-

L. 2. य दन [॥*]

The gift of Dhamarasiri (Dharmaśrī ?),¹² a lay worshipper.⁷ The letters are somewhat blurred.⁸ The letters are blurred and only just recognisable.⁹ The *u*-stroke of *khu* is very faint, and there is also an *ā*-stroke at the top, which seems to have been afterwards obliterated.¹⁰ Read दानं¹¹ The second sign is abnormal. I suspect that चुवमोरगिरिनी is intended.¹² This is probably intended for धर्मसरिय; compare धर्मपत्ति in the Mathurā inscriptions, *-ante*, p. 210, No. 37.

No. 52.

[ध]मसेनस भिक्षुनो दन [॥*]¹³The gift of the monk *Dhamusena* (*Dharma*°)

No. 53.

L. 1. नदिनगरा

L. 2. असदवय भिक्षुय दन [॥*]¹⁴The gift of the nun *Asadava* (*Āśvadevā*), from *Nadinagara* (*Nandinagara*).

No. 54.

L. 1. नदिनगरा

L. 2. — िमितय भिक्षुनय दन [॥*]¹⁵The gift of the nun . . imitā from *Nadinagara* (*Nandinagara*).

No. 55.

न[दु]कस भिक्षुनो दन [॥*]¹⁶The gift of the monk *Nanduka*.

No. 56.

पलस भिक्षुनो दन [॥*]¹⁷The gift of the monk *Pala* (*Pāla*).

No. 57.

L. 1. ब[ध]कस कुररस भिक्षु—

L. 2. दन [॥*]¹⁸The gift of the *Badhaka* (?) (*Baddhaka*), a monk of *Kurara*.

No. 58.

बु[ध]गु[त]स उदुवरघरियस [दा]न [॥*]

The gift of *Budhaguta* (*Buddhagupṭa*), inhabitant of *Udubaraghara* (*Udumbaragriha*).

No. 59.

बुधरखितकस सुतातिकस अर[पा]नकस दान [॥*]

The gift of *Budharakhitaka* (*Buddharakshitaka*), the *Sutātika* (*Sautrāntika*) inhabitant of *Arapāna*.¹³ All the letters are much blurred, and the first is not certain.¹⁴ Meant for असदेवाय भिक्षुनिय.¹⁵ Restore िमितय.¹⁶ Possibly नंदकस, but compare above, No. 16.¹⁷ All the letters are much blurred, and the first may have been व.¹⁸ The second letter is not closed at the top and not certain. The name may be intended for *Balaka*,—see above,

No. 60.

बुधरखित [कु]— —¹⁹

No. 61.

L. 1. बुधरखितय भिक्षुनि[य]

L. 2. दनं [॥*]

The gift of the nun Budharakhitâ (*Buddharakshitâ*).

No. 62.

बुधरखितस भिक्षुनो दन [॥*]

The gift of the monk Budharakhita (*Buddharakshitâ*).

No. 63.

बोधिया भ— —

(The gift) of Bodhi

No. 64.

भ[र]णभूतिनो भिक्षुनो दानं [॥*]

The gift of the monk Bharanabhûti (?) (*Bharanibhuti*).

No. 65.

भिक्षुनिकाय दानं [॥*]²⁰The gift of Bhichhunikâ (*the little nun* ?)

No. 66.

रोहणिकस उदुबरघरियस दनं [॥*]

The gift of Rohanika, inhabitant of Udubaraghara (*Udumbaragriha*).

No. 67.

विपुलस भि[खु]नो [दानं] [॥*]

The gift of the monk Vipula.

No. 68.

L. 1. विसकस रोहणिपदियस

L. 2. दनं [॥*]

The gift of Visaka (*Visvaka*), inhabitant of Rohanipada.

No. 69.

सघय मातु सप्पिनेयिकाय[॥*]

(The gift) of the Sappineyikâ, mother of Saghâ (*Samghâ*).¹⁹ The व is made circular, the त has the looped form of some Andhra and Mathurâ inscriptions. The last letter is uncertain.²⁰ All the lines of the letters are double.

No. 70.

सोणसिरिय भिखुनिय दानं [॥*]

The gift of the nun Soṇasiri (*Suvarṇasīri*,

No. 71.

— — — तय सगिरियिकाय धम्म — — [सक]सय दानं [॥*]

No. 72.

L. 1. — — — रस भिखुनो मातु कोडुय

L. 2. — — — या दानं [॥*][^१

The gift of Koḍu, mother of the monk ra,

No. 73.

L. 1. — [म]र[खि]ताय^२ — — — —

L. 2. दा[नं] कोर[मि का]य [भते]वासिनिया [॥]

The gift of Dhamarakhitā (?), pupil of Koramikā.

No. 74.

— — — — णस भिखुनो दानं [॥*]

The gift of the monk ṇa.

No. 75.

— — — — कुनय स — — —

No. 76.

L. 1. — — — य भिखुनिय

L. 2. — — [को]र रिय [॥*]

(The gift) of the nun inhabitant of Kurara.

No. 77.

— — — — भिखुनो दानं [॥*]

No. 78

— — — तया धवदेवय दन [॥*]

The gift of tî, Dhavadevâ (*Dharmadevâ*).^२ Restore धम्मरखिताय.

INDEX OF NAMES.²²I.—*Monks.*

- | | |
|---|--|
| <p>Arahadina I, 88, 80.
 Arahaguta I, 18; (Aya) II, 27.
 Arahaka II, 36.
 Arahatapālita I, 188; (Aya) II, 22, 41.
 Badhaka (?) I, 299; II, 57
 Balaka II, 27.
 Balamitra I, 88.
 Bhadika I, 306, 307.
 Bhādikiya I, 187.
 Bhamduka I, 16, 256.
 Bhamdukiya I, 103, 122, 207, 303.
 Bharadiya I, 74.
 Bharanabhūti (?) II, 64.
 Budhapālita I, 288.
 Budharakhita I, 71; (Aya) I, 303; II, 31, 59, 62.
 Chadika I, 116.
 Chadipiya (?) I, 269.
 Chahatiya I, 135.
 Chuda I, 68; (Aya) I, 88.
 Devagiri I, 66; (Aya) I, 112.
 Devarakhita I, 268.
 Dhamadata I, 103.
 Dhamagiri I, 140, I, C. 178.
 Dhamarakhita I, 9, 53, 68; II, 23.
 Dhamasena II, 52.
 Dhana, I, C. 31.
 Dhanagiri I, 269.
 Dhanaka (Aya) I, 154.
 Gagamdata I, 204.
 Garudhāra II, 46.
 Gotiputa,—see Bhamduka.
 Hāṭiya, I, 12.
 Isika I, 92, 93.
 Isila II, 4.
 Jeta (Aya) I, 208.
 Jonaka I, C. 152.
 Jonhaka I, 75, 258.
 Kāboja I, 7, 287.
 Kāda I, 4.
 Kadiya (bhadata-) I, 133.
 Kana (Aya) I, 207.
 Kāna I, 238.
 Khema I, 209.</p> | <p>Mahāgiri I, 62.
 Nadiguta I, 58.
 Nāduka (Aya-) II, 16.
 Naga (Aya) I, 343.
 Nāga (Aya-, thera) I, 266.
 Nagadina I, 128.
 Nāgarakhita II, 38.
 Nāgila (bhadata-) I, 84; II, 23.
 Nanduka II, 55.
 Pala II, 56.
 Pamthaka I, 288.
 Pasanaka (Aya-) I, 5.
 Patuḍa (?) I, 97.
 Phaguna (Aya-) I, 209.
 Poṭhaka I, 87.
 Prātiṭhāna I, 12, 70.
 Pusa I, 135.
 Pusagiri I, 131.
 Pusaka I, 67.
 Pusarakhita II, 17.
 Rahila (Aya-) I, 137.
 Rājuka (bhadata-) I, 177.
 Sadhana I, 29.
 Sagbamiṭa II, 24.
 Sagharakhita II, 36.
 Samana I, 347.
 Sariḍhāna I, 54.
 Saṁghila I, 187.
 Samika I, 348.
 [Sā]midata I, 352.
 Sumana II, 19.
 Tisaka (Aya-) I, 70.
 Upasijha I, 63.
 Utara (ayntara) I, 347.
 Vijha II, 5.
 Vipula II, 67.
 Visakha I, 178.
 Visakharakhita I, 335.
 Vira I, 198.
 Yakhadina I, 145.
 Yakhila I, 112; II, 6.
 Yasogiri II, 14.
 Yasopāla I, 183.</p> |
|---|--|

II.—*Nuns.*

- | | |
|--|--|
| <p>Achalā I, 6, 277.
 Achhāvati I, 244.
 Arahadāsī. I, C. 175.</p> | <p>Asabhā I, 214.
 Asadevā II, 42, 53.
 Avisinā I, 79, 80.</p> |
|--|--|

²² This index includes also the names given on that appended to the former paper. The new impressions made it necessary to alter a number of words and to exclude some.

Balikā I, 186.
 Bodhi I, 101; II, 63.
 Budhapālita I, 86.
 Budharakhitā I, 110, 304; II, 61.
 Chirātī I, 28.
 Chirātī II, 48.
 Data I, 267.
 Devadātā I, 359.
 Devabhaḡa I, 126.
 Dhamadevā II, 3.
 Dhamarakhitā I, 52; II, 73.
 Dhamasenā II, 9.
 Dhamasiri I, 78.
 Dhamayasā I, 224.
 Dupasahā I, 193.
 Gaḡā I, 252, 253; II, 15 (?), 28.
 Girigutā I, 100.
 Gotamī II, 47.
 Isidāsī I, 192, 216; II, 29.
 Isidatā I, 181; II, 44.
 Isidiuā I, 183.
 Ismitā (?) II, 54.
 Jitamitā I, 101.
 Kāḡi I, 158.
 Koramikā I, 343; II, 73.
 Mitasiri I, 315; II, 3.
 Mitā I, 226.
 Mohikā I, 341.
 Mulā II, 28.
 Namdutarā I, 283.
 Nāṭi I, 286.

Od[ā]tikā I, 132.
 Oḡi (I, 827); II, 11, 40.
 Phagulā, II, C. 18.
 Piyadhamā I, 104.
 Pusā I, 105.
 Saghadinā I, 37.
 Sagharakhitā I, 248.
 Samghapālita I, 374.
 Samgharakhitā I, 33, 343.
 Samikā I, 350.
 Sapakī²³ II, 8.
 Sāmikā I, 357.
 Sātisiri I, 241.
 Sihā I, 359.
 Siri I, 356.
 Siridinā I, 119, 353.
 Sirimitā I, 356.
 Sonasiri II, 70.
 Supathāmā I, 293.
 Suriya I, 363.
 Vajinī I, 320.
 Valā II, 26.
 Vāsavā I, 329.
 Vasumitā I, 326.
 Vipulā I, 332.
 Virā I, 337.
 Yakhadā²⁴ I, 194.
 Yakhi I, 38, 199, 316.
 Yamarakhitā, II, C. 20.
 Yasilā I, 33.

III.—*Males not marked as clerical.*

Agilā II, 13.
 Abimita I, 127.
 Ajitigutā I, 43, 366.
 Apa-yaba (?) I, 205.
 Apathaka I, 42.
 Araha I, 40, 234.
 Arahadina I, 44, 90.
 Arahagutā I, 242-43.
 Arabaka (paripanaka) I, 189.
 Arahadāsa I, C. 100.
 Arabarakhitā I, 338.
 Asāḡa I, 72, 210.
 Asagutā I, 213.
 Aṭha (kamika) I, 10.
 Āvāsika II, 43.
 Bahadata I, 30.
 Bahula II, 37.
 Balaka I, 319.
 Bhadagutā I, 172.

Bhadaka I, 41.
 Bhaḡu I, 308.
 Bhuchhuka I, 56.
 Bhutarakhitā I, 330.
 Bohu (?) I, 301.
 Budhagutā II, 58.
 Budhapālita (sethi) II, 25.
 Budharakhitā I, 302; II, 60.
 Budhila II, 2; (gahapati) I, 136.
 Bulika I, 114.
 Bumu I, 222.
 Chbeta I, 159.
 Damaka (sotika) I, 196.
 Dāsaka I, 345.
 Data (-kalivata I, 195); (kalavaḡa 339-40).
 Devabhaga I, 111.
 Devaka I, 89.
 Dhamadata I, C. 173.
 Dhamagiri I, 149, 271.

²³ This is the distinct reading of Dr. Führer's new impression.

Dhamagiri I, 2, 190.
 Dhamaka I, 246, 270.
 Dhamaguta II, 20.
 Dhamapāla I, 182, 273.
 Dhamarakhita I, 274.
 Dhamasena I, 271.
 Dhamasiva I, 1, 107.
 Dhamagiri I, 185.
 Dhamu[tara] I, 276.
 Disāgiri I, 290.
 Disārakhita I, 138.
 Ghosaka I, 239.
 Girika I, 254.
 Gohila I, C. 77.
 Gonāmdaka (tāpasa) I, 260.
 Gopāla I, C. 12.
 Gotiputa, see Subāhita.
 Himagiri I, 106.
 Idadāta I, 169; II, 45.
 Idadeva (?) I, 239.
 Isidāta (?) I, C. 52.
 Isidina I, 298.
 Isiguta (vānija) I, 91.
 Isika I, 92, 93, 161.
 Isipālita I, 197.
 Isimita I, 152.
 Isinadana I, 217.
 Isirakhita I, 14, 218.
 Kalavaḍa I, 339-40.
 Kalivata I, 195.
 Kalura I, 222.
 Kekaṭeyaka I, 1, 3.
 Kujara I, 60,²¹ 170.
 Kusuka I, 196.
 Leva I, 294, 368.
 Mahānāma I, 312.
 Mahida I, 109.
 Mah[i]pāla I, 273.
 Mahirakhita I, 3231.
 Manorama (vaḍaki) I, 311.
 Mula I, 301.
 Mulagiri (lekhaka) I, 143.
 Nadāvu I, 24.
 Nādivirohi I, 24.
 Nāgadāta I, 284, 375.
 Nāgadina (sethi) I, 115.
 Nāgapāya,—see °piya.
 Nāgapiya (sethi) I, 85, 201; II, 7.
 Nāgila I, 390; (sethi) I, 285.
 Nagadina I, C. 74.
 Nāmda I, 179.

Nāmdigiri I, 108.
 Nigāḍi I, 113.
 Oḍaka I, 328.
 Opadadāta I, 155, 221.
 Patthiya (gahapati) I, 22, 23, 264-65.
 Pātthāna I, 289,—see L. I, Prā°).
 Phaguna I, 63.
 Pusadāta I, 292.
 Pusagiri I, 55, 131.
 Pusaka I, 291.
 Pusarakhita²⁰ I, 117.
 Rebila I, 318.
 Rohaṇika II, 66.
 Sachamita I, 96.
 Saghadeva I, 81.
 Saghamita II, 12.
 Sagharakhita I, 284, 375.
 Sakadina I, 171.
 Sakarakhita I, 312.
 Samana I, 196.
 Saṃgha I, 201.
 Saṃghadāta I, 228.
 Saṃgharakhita I, 259, 297.
 Samika I, 166; (vānika) I, 176, 349.
 Saṃvalita I, 98.
 Saphineyaka,—see Sā°.
 Satiguta I, 316.
 Sāmanera I, 11, 57.
 Sāphineyaka (race) I, 137, 161; II, 69.
 Sātala I, 173.
 Sidatha I, 191.
 Sihagiri I, 77.
 Siribhāga I, 351.
 Siriguta (vānija) I, 47.
 Siripāla I, 176.
 Sivanadi I, 45.
 Siha (sethi) I, 99.
 Sihadeva I, 349.
 Sihagiri I, 134.
 Siharakhita I, 13.
 Sona I, 150.
 Soyasa²⁰ I, 120.
 Subāhita (-Gotiputa-rājapikara) I, 48, 49, 361.
 Subhaga I, 19.
 Sulāsa I, 229.
 Svatiguta I, 31.
 Tāpasīya (race) I, 73, 151-52, 160, 223.
 Tikisa (?) II, 31.
 Tisa I, 262.
 Tuḍa I, 263, 296.
 Upadadāta I, 156-57.
 Upidadāta I, 36.

²¹ This is the reading of Dr. Führer's new impression, —compare *ante* (Note).

²⁰ This is the reading of Dr. Führer's new impression, instead of *Hamasa* (P).

²⁰ According to Dr. Führer's new impression it is possible to read *Soyasa*.

Uṭara (rajuka) I, 230.
 Vaḍha I, 142.
 Vahila I, 232.
 Vākihya (race) I, 271.
 Vajiguta I, 125.
 Varadāta I, 321, 323-24.
 Varuṇa I, 325.
 Vijita I, 3.

Vimala, I, C. 138.
 Visa I, C. 77.
 Visaka II, 68.
 Viśākha II, 21.
 Viśākharakhita I, 334.
 Visvadeva I, 95.
 Yamada[ta] I, 281.
 Yona I, 384.

IV.—Females not marked as nuns.

Agidevī I, 129.
 Agis[i]mā I, 69.
 Aja[rā]nī I, 203.
 Ama[ga] (?) I, 278.
 Apā[kā]nī I, 206.
 Arāhadinā I, 250.
 Arāhagutā I, 32, 249.
 Arihadatā I, 313.
 Asvadevā I, 30, 166, 36.
 Asvarakhita I, 219.
 Baladātā I, 300.
 Balakā I, 154.
 Balika I, 225.
 Bhadrābhūtikā II, 65.
 Bodhī I, 305.
 Budhākhyā I, 362.
 Budhagutā II, 35.
 Budhapālītā II, 33.
 Budhā I, 157.
 Chitrātī I, 202.
 Devabhāgā I, 237.
 Dharmadātā I, 223.
 Dharmadinā I, 272.
 Dharmarakhitā I, 15, 45, 76, 275.
 Dharmasirī II, 56.
 Dharmatā[datā] I, 102.
 Dha[ṣṭā] I, 265.
 Dharmikā I, 309.
 Dhavadevā II, 78.
 Gaḍā II, 15.
 Golā II, 34.
 Gotamī I, 255.
 Hālā I, 365.
 Himadātā I, 156.
 Isalā I, 328.
 Isidātā I, 171, 294-95.
 Isimitā I, 162.
 Isinikā I, 255.
 Isirakhitā I, 64.
 Jitamitā I, 257.
 Kā[kā]nā (?) I, 21.
 Kaniyasī I, 167.
 Koṇu II, 72.
 Kuratī I, 39.

Majhimā I, 48.
 Mitā I, 73.
 Muladātā I, 153.
 Mūlā I, 95.
 Nādinī I, 310.
 Nāgā I, 50, 140, 261.
 Nāgadātā I, 117.
 Nagamitā I, 165, 240.
 Nāgapālītā II, 10.
 Najā I, 151.
 Narā I, 164.
 Nāgādīnā [°ga°] I, 245.
 Oḍḍī I, 82.
 Parijā (?) I, 129.
 Patolā (?) II, C. 28.
 Poṭhadevā I, 139.
 Pusā I, 141, 375.
 Pusinī II, 20.
 Ratinā[°nī] I, 317.
 Revatimitā I, 319.
 Revā I, 121.
 Rohā I, 324.
 Rohaṇadevā I, 282.
 Rohaṇī I, 148.
 Saghā I, 174; II, 69.
 Sagharakhitā II, 29.
 Samātikā (?) I, 360.
 Sanighā I, 344.
 Sāmidarā[tā] I, C. 176.
 Samikā I, 46, 118.
 Semākā (?) I, C.
 Sihā I, 212, 358, 360; II, 18.
 Sijhā I, 333.
 Simhadātā I, 160.
 Sirikā I, 220.
 Sivati I, 357.
 Sonadevā I, 8, 129.
 Subhagā I, 130, 375.
 Suriyā I, 362.
 Tāpasī I, 39.
 Tuḍā I, 296.
 Utarā I, 376.
 Utaradātā I, 279.
 Utaramitā I, 280.

Vayudatā I, 155,—*see* Vāyu.
 Vajinikā I, 360.
 Vākalādevī I, 127.
 Varasena I, 322.
 Vasulā I, 168, 227, 327.
 Vāsulā I, 163.

Vāyudatā I, 226,—*see* Vayu.^o
 Vesamanadatā I, 22.
 Vinhukā II, C. 21.
 Vīrasena I, 336.
 Vudinā I, 20.
 Yasilā I, 34.

I.—Geographical Names.

Abheyaka (adj.) I, 11, 57
 Achhāvada (adj.) II, 7.
 Achhāvada I, 85, 201.
 Achhāvata (?) I, 202.
 Adhapotika (adj.) II, 13.
 Ajanāva II, 43.
 Ananimitaka (? adj.) II, C. 10.
 Arapana I, 210-11.
 Arapāna I, 59, 72, 89.
 Arapānaka (adj.) II, 59.
 Arapāniya (adj.) I, 212.
 Asvavatī I, 91, 215.
 Athakanagara I, 204.
 Bedakara, I, 108.
 Bhādanakatiya (adj.) I, 120.
 Bhasikaḍa I, C. 156.
 Bhogavadhana I, 45.
 Bhogavadhana, I 64, 309.
 Bhogavadhanaka (adj.) I, 43, 109; II, 2.
 Chuhatiya (adj.) ? I, 135 (see l. I.)
 Chudaphalagiriya (adj.) I, 300.
 Chuhvamoragiri (?) II, 49.
 Dhamavadhanana (vadhana) I, 25-26.
 Dharakina I, 173.
 Ejāvata (adj.) I, 71.
 Ejāvatī I, 231-32.
 Erakina,—*see* Dharakina.
 Gamdbāra (n. pr.) II, 46.
 Kāboja (n. pr.) I, 7, 287.
 Kāchupatha (adj.) I, 52, 53.
 Kākanāva, I, 377 (?), 378.
 Karindadigāma I, 236.
 —gāmiya (adj.) I, 140-41, 237.
 Kāpāsīgāma I, 40, 332.
 Koramikā (adj., n. pr.) I, 343; II, 73.
 Kaṭakaniyuka (adj.) I, 97, 233.
 Kaṭakaniu I, 41, 234-35.
 Kaṭakareyaka I, C. 100.
 Kokateyaka (n. pr.) I, 1, 3.
 Koḍijilaka (adj.) I, 299.
 Koraghara (adj.) I, 19, 99, 104, 234, 286, 307.
 Korara . f . ī (adj.); I, 85, 315; II, 8, 9,
 26, 39 76.
 Kothukapadiya (adj.) I, 182.

Kuraghara I, 162, 161-65, 239-40, 350.
 Kuraghariya (adj.) I, 241.
 Kuraghara I, 375.
 Kurara, or Kurāra I, 98, 179, 242-48.
 Kurara, . f . ī . (adj.) I, 39, 181, 249-50, 369;
 II, 37.
 Kurariya (adj.) I, 201, 2 6.
 Kuthupadaka I, 373.
 Machhavata I, 310.
 Maḍalachhikatika or Maḍachhi^o (adj.) I, 78, 73,
 80, 186, 267 (?).
 Madhuvana I, 61, 180.
 Madhuvanaka . f . ika I, 15, 76, 110, 126,
 275.
 Māhamoragi I, 77, 134.
 Mahisatī I, 111,—*see* Māhī.^o
 Māhisatī I, 313-14, 317.
 Morajuhatiya I, 268, and compare below
Rumorajuhikati . . .
 Morasibhikata I, 44.
 Morayahikatiya (adj.) II, 50.
 Nādinagara I, 7, 287.
 Nadinagara I, 6, 277, 292, II, 53-54.
 Nadinagaraka, Nandi^o, Nādi^o.
 Nādinagarika f.-ikā, (adj.) I, 82, 105, 119,
 183, 192, 216, 318, 353, 355; II, 15.
 Nandi^o I, 143, 278-81, 329.
 Navagāmaka I, 138.
 Navagamaka, gāmaka, or Nāvagamaka, gāma-
 ka f.-ikā (adj.) I, 46, 55, 131, 147.
 Navagamakiya I, 292.
 Pādāniya (adj.) I, 56; II, 21.
 Pādukulikā II, 1.
 Pādukulikiya (adj.) II, 25.
 [Pā]tiṭhāna (adj.) I, 289.
 Pāvīdaka I, 169.
 Pematikā (adj.) I, 362.
 Pemutikā (adj.) I, 293.
 Phujākapalliya, I, 263.
 Poḍavijhaka I, 298.
 Pokhara I, 106, 291-97.
 Pokhareyaka I, 83; II, 30, 38, 41.
 Poravijhaka⁷ I, 107,—*see* Poḍavijhaka.
 Prātiṭhāna (n. pr.) I, 12, 70.

⁷ This is the distinct reading of Dr. Führer's new impression, instead of *Poravikkhaka*. It is confirmed by the form *Poḍavijhaka* in No. 298.

Puñavadhaniya (adj.) I, 102, 217.

Puruṣa I, 290.

Ramoraḥaḥikāḍi . . . (?) I, 90,—*see above*

Morajahikaḍa.

Rohāni nadiya (adj.) I, 93.

Rohanipadiya (adj.) I, 113-15; II, 68.

Sadakaḍaya (adj.) II, 34,—*see Sida°*.

Sagireyikā (adj.) II, 71.

Sakakachha (?) I, 367.

Sanukagāmīna (adj.) I, 172.

Sāsādaka (adj.) I, 18; II, 27.

Sedakaḍa . f . ḍi. (adj.) II, 35.

Setapathiya (adj.) I, 361.

Sidakaḍa, II, 31.

Sidakaḍiya (adj.), II, 33.

Sidakaḍe (adj.) II, 32.

Sonaraka (adj.) II, 12.

Tākārāpāda I, 259.

Tambalamaḍa I, 60.

Tiridapaḍa I, 50, 261.

Tobavanika I, 337.

Tuba- or Tumāvana I, 22, 23, 264-65.

Udubara- or Udumbaraghariya (adj.) I, 13.
96; II, 58, 66.

Ugireyaka (adj.) I, 31.

Ujenī I, 27, 69, 73, 95, 144, 146, 148-61,
163, 219-29.

Ujenika (adj.) I, 121, 214, 266, 326.

Vādi-Vādivahana I, 116, 124, 328.

Vādivahanika (adj.) I, 101.

Vāḍya° (adj.) II, C. 24.

Vāghumata I, 87, 175.

Vāḥivahanikā (adj.) I, 197.

Vediṣa I, 38, 318, 338-41.

Vediṣaka, f. ikā (adj.) I, 117, 132, 200, 253,
283.

Vejaja I, 17.

Vejaja (adj.) I, 270.

Verohahata (adj.) I, 81.

Virohakata I, 338.

Vitirīnahā I, 330.

Vitirīnahī, I, 331.

XXXII.—CHITORGADH STONE INSCRIPTION OF MOKALA OF MEWAD.

THE VIKRAMA YEAR 1485.

BY PROFESSOR F. KIELHORN, PH.D., C.I.E.; GÖTTINGEN.

Dr. Burgess has furnished me with good impressions, by Dr. A. Führer, of three inscriptions of the Guhila princes of Mewād, which are at Chitorgadh in the Udaypur state of Rājputāna. Of the earliest¹ of them—it is dated in the Vikrama year 1331—I have already given an account² in *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XXII, p. 80, from a rubbing of Mr. Garriek's that had been sent to me by the late Sir A. Cunningham. I here publish the text of the second inscription, the only one of the three that is complete, of the Vikrama year 1485.

This inscription is on a slab of black marble, inside a large carved stone temple which according to local information was built by Mokālji.³ It contains fifty-three lines of writing, which cover a space of about 4' 2" broad by 2' 8" high. In the midst of the writing, causing a break in the lines 21-29, is an ornamental device, 5½" square, enclosing a circle which is about 3½" in diameter; and inside this circle again is an open flower with eight leaves. Near the periphery of the circle is a verse in the Anuṣṭubh metre, which apparently invokes the blessing of the God Hara (Śiva), but the letters of which are too faint in the impression to be read with confidence. And in the centre, and on the leaves of the flower and around them, seventeen other *akṣharas* are engraved, three of which clearly give the name *Mokala*. The writing of the inscription is generally

¹ A photo-lithograph of it is given in Sir A. Cunningham's *Archaeol. Survey of India*, vol. XXIII, plate xxv.

² In Dr. Führer's impressions the name of the 14th prince, *Amraprasāda*, is quite distinct. Otherwise, I have nothing to add to my previous account of the inscription,

and I do not think that it would be worth while re-publishing the text of it.

³ See *Archaeol. Survey of India*, vol. XXIII, p. 106, and plate xxxiii.

well preserved, so that, with the exception of about a dozen *aksharas*, the actual wording of the text may be made out with certainty. The average size of the letters is between $\frac{3}{8}$ " and $\frac{7}{16}$ ". The characters are Nāgarī of the period to which the inscription belongs. The language is Samskrit, and, excepting the introductory words *Om Om numah Śivāya* and a date at the end, the whole is in verse. Considering the great length of the inscription, the historical information conveyed by it is very meagre indeed; and the author has tried to make up for the want of substance by lengthy and exaggerated descriptions of the valour, fame, piety and munificence of the princes of whom he is treating. His language is sometimes obscure, and not free from actual mistakes. The orthography does not differ from that of an ordinary Samskrit manuscript.

The object of the inscription is, to record the erection, at Chitrakūṭa (the modern Chitorgadh), of a temple of the god Śiva (Samiddheśa or Samādhīśa⁴) by the prince Mokala of Medapāṭa (or Mewād). After the words "Om, Om, adoration to Śiva," the inscription has four verses invoking the blessings of Gajāśya (Gaṇeśa), Ekalīṅga (Śiva), the daughter of the mountain (*i.e.* Śiva's consort Pārvatī) who dwells on the Vindhya, and Achyuta (Viṣṇu). The poet then (in verses 5-6) glorifies the pious and famous family of the prince Guhila.⁵ In that family was born the lord of Medapāṭa Arisimha (vv. 7-12). His son was the prince Hammīra (*Hammīra-vīra*, *Hammīra-deva*; vv. 13-23). From him sprang the prince Kshetra (*Kshetra-mahīpati*, *Kshetra-kshītīśa*; vv. 24-33). His son was the prince Lakshasimha (*Lakshasimha-nṛpati*, *Laksha-kshītīśa*; vv. 34-43), who according to verses 38 and 41 freed the holy place Gayā from the distress brought upon it by the ruler of the Śakas (*i.e.* the Muhammadans). And Lakshasimha's son was Mokala (*Mokala-kshāmāpati*, *Mokalendra*; vv. 44-60), whom his father appointed his successor, and of whom in verse 51 it is intimated that he defeated Peroja, the king of the Yavanas⁶ (meaning, again, the Muhammadans). Mokala, according to vv. 61-64, had already before built a splendid temple of 'the lord of Dvārakā' (*i.e.* the god Viṣṇu-Kṛishṇa), near which he also had a tank excavated. And it is now recorded by this inscription (vv. 65-73) that on the Mount Chitrakūṭa, situated in the prince's dominion, Mokala also built a temple of the god Śiva (Samiddheśa or Samādhīśa) and provided for its proper maintenance. Verse 74 gives the date on which this temple was inaugurated; and the *Prasasti* closes (in verse 75) with a prayer for the continuance of Mokala's rule. Five additional verses record that the preceding *Prasasti* was written' (*i.e.* composed) by Ekanāṭila, a son of Bhaṭṭa Viṣṇu, of the Daśapura clan;⁷ that the temple was constructed by Mana, the son of Vijala; and that the inscription was written on the stone by Visala, a son of Mana, and engraved by Visa, who also is described as a son of Mana, and is perhaps identical with Visala. And the inscription ends with a date which differs from the date given in verse 74.

⁴ The text, in verse 72, actually has *Samidvāīśa*, which must be altered to either *Samiddheśa* or *Samādhīśa*. *Samādhīśa* occurs, as a name of Śiva, in line 53 of this inscription and in other inscriptions at Chitorgadh and Mount Âbū; and *Samiddheśvara* I find in another Chitorgadh inscription of the Vikrama year 1207. See below, p. 422.

⁵ See *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XVI, p. 346.

⁶ Verse 46 states in a general way that Mokala subdued the Āṅgas, Kāmarūpas, Vāṅgas, Nishāḍas, Chīnas, and Turushkas; but there can be no doubt that that verse has been

put in solely for the sake of poetical ornamentation.

⁷ The original has *alikhāt*, which must here mean 'composed,' because the writer's name is given afterwards, and because the name of the composer would hardly have been omitted.

⁸ *Daśapura-jñātī* occurs again in the Nāgarī inscription of Mokala's grandson Rājamalla; *Journal Beng. As. Soc.* vol. LVI, part I, p. 82, v. 25. On the town Daśapura see Fleet's *Gupta Inscriptions*, p. 79, note 2.

Neither the date in verse 74 nor the one at the end of the inscription works out satisfactorily. According to verse 74 the temple built by Mokala was inaugurated on the day of the preceptor of the gods, *i.e.* on a Thursday, the third lunar day of the dark half of the month Tapasya, *i.e.* Phālguna, of the Vikrama year made up of the arrows (5), eight (8), the Vedas (4) and the earth (1), *i.e.* 1485, under the *nakshatra* of Aryaman, *i.e.* Pūrvā-phalgunī, while the sun was in the sign Makara and Jupiter in the sign Ghata, *i.e.* Kumbha. Here the statements that the sun (on Phālguna-vadi 3) was in the sign Makara, and that Jupiter was in the sign Kumbha, show that the scheme of the lunar month was the *pūrṇimānta* scheme, and that the date must fall between the 25th February A.D. 1428 and the 20th February A.D. 1429 (*i.e.* in the Jovian year Rākshasa, as determined by the northern mean-sign system); and accordingly the true equivalent for Phālguna-vadi 3 of Vikrama 1485 can only be the 23rd January A.D. 1429. On that day the third *tithi* of the dark half ended 18h. 29m. after mean sunrise, the *nakshatra* at sunrise was Pūrvā-phalgunī, and the sun was in Makara and Jupiter in Kumbha, all as required; but the day was a Sunday, not a Thursday, as stated erroneously by the inscription.—The date at the end of the inscription is Thursday, the 3rd of the bright half of Māgha of the year 1485. In the impression the figures for the day is not as clear as one could wish it to be. But, under any circumstances, this date, on which the inscription is said to have been engraved, would precede the date recorded in the body of the inscription; and assuming my reading to be right, the possible equivalents of the date would be Monday, the 19th January A.D. 1428, and Saturday, the 8th January A.D. 1429, which shows that this date too cannot be correct.

Notwithstanding these errors, there appears to be no reason to doubt that the temple which was built by Mokala, was finished by him in A.D. 1428-1429, and that this inscription furnishes a true date for the reign of that prince. Mokala, therefore, could not have been succeeded by his son Kumbhakarna (Koombho) as early as Vikrama 1475 = A.D. 1419, as was stated by Tod in his *Annals and Antiquities of Rājasthān* vol. I, p. 236. And if there be any truth in the statement of our inscription that Mokala defeated the Yavana King Peroja, who could only have been the Sultān Fīrūz Shāh (A.D. 1351—1388), the commencement of his reign, too, must be placed at least ten years before the time assigned to it by Tod. As regards Mokala's predecessors, I can only say that the list furnished of them by this inscription agrees with the one given in the *Annals and Antiquities of Rājasthān*¹⁰ and in Prinsep's *Useful Tables*, p. 257.

TEXT.¹¹

L. 1.

श्री¹² ॥ श्री नमः शिवाय ॥

सिद्धार्थामरसुदरोकरवलत्सिंदूरधाराहण-

श्रीगण्डस्थलमंडलीयुगलसहानांशुपूरोज्ज्वलः ॥(1)

संध्याभ्रच्छ्रुतिताग्रसानुनिपतन्नाकापगौचद्वयः

स्वर्णोर्वीश्रुदिव प्रयच्छतु शिवं देवो गजास्योव्ययं ॥ १ ॥¹³

वेदा वागिति शिष्टतामुपगतो यः कर्मणामीक्षि-

¹⁰ The earliest certain date of Kumbhakarna's reign known to me is of the Vikrama year 1492; see Peterson's *Third Report*, Appendix, p. 203.

¹¹ The names given by Tod are Ursi, Hamir, Khaitsi,

Lakha Rana, and Mokul. *Annals*, vol. I, pp. 267-277.

¹² From an impression, prepared by Dr. Führer.

¹³ Expressed by a symbol.

¹⁴ Metre of verses 1-4: Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

L. 2.

ता

साक्षी तत्प्रतिभूः पुनर्भवति सन्निवार्यसंदर्शनः ।
 जात्यैवेषु¹⁴ विनश्येषु सकलं दाता विविक्तः फलं
 देवः स्वस्तिकरः परः स सततं स्तादेकलिंगाभिधः ॥ २ ॥
 भूमीभृत्स्वयमे[धि]तस्थितिरियं गुर्वी नगा बंधवो
 विंध्योऽगस्त्यचरिततो न चकितः प्रास्थापयद्वाङ्मणान्¹⁵ ।
 कन्या मान्यतमा महोत्सवविधा-

3.

वित्येकमंत्रोक्तितो

यामानीनयदर्चनाय गिरिजा विंध्यालया सावतात् ॥ ३ ॥
 कालिंदीतटकुंजबहवसतिः सेयं प्रिया राधिका
 स्मरन्त्यं ननु रुक्मिणी न भवती हुं चारुहासिन्यसि ।
 युक्तं ना[सि] कलावती सुविदितं त्वं सत्यभामिन्यथा
 नोक्तासोति विनिङ्गुतोक्तमुदितशेषोच्युतः पातु वः ॥ ४ ॥
 स्फारन्यायोनवायो गुहिलनरपतेर-

4.

स्ति जाग्रत्प्रशस्ति-

व्यस्तीभूतांतरायो वसतिरिह युगं धर्म्यकर्मोदयस्य ।
 शश्वद्यागानुरागे(ग) स्थिरविमलनिधौ भूरिभोगोनभागां¹⁶
 भूयो नानां विधत्ते सपदि शतमखी¹⁷ यत्र संभूय शक्रः ॥ ५ ॥¹⁸
 वाक्सेतोरचलश्चार्तिर्दिशि दिशि प्रख्यातमानोन्नति-
 निर्यंत्रिस्त्रनवाहिनीपरिवृतो नानाधनैकाकरः ।
 अत्यक्तार्चितिविश्रब्धो मुनिकथागीतादिगोत्रस्थिति-
 र्विंध्यो व-

5.

धुरवंधतां वितनुते यस्थोपपन्नश्रियः ।[॥] ६ ॥¹⁹

वंशे तत्वारिसिंहः क्षितिपतिरजनि क्षत्रनक्षत्रलक्ष्मी-
 वीक्षादक्षोरुयत्क्ष्माबहुलजरजनिध्वंसभास्वहभस्तिः ।
 विंध्यावध्यप्रदेशस्फुरदमलखनिव्यक्तरत्नाकरत्व-
 स्फारश्रीमेदपाटक्षितिब(व)लयवलङ्घ्यपायोदचंद्रः²⁰ ॥ ७ ॥²¹
 नरपतिररिसिंहः शस्त्रशास्त्रोपदेष्टा वितरणर-

6.

एकपर्णो विश्वविख्यातवर्णः ॥ (1)

स्फुरदमलगुणौघः पुण्यगण्योरुनामा नयविनयविवेकोद्यानपुंस्कोकिलः सन् ॥ ८ ॥²²
 विभ्यत्सिंहपदादमुष्य सकरी नूनं मघीनो यतो
 वाजी सत्र(क्ष)हविस्तताध्वरभुव²³ नोक्षैःश्रवा गच्छति ।
 आहूतः कथमेव²⁴ वाहनसृते देवाग्रणीर्व्युत्तहा

¹⁴ Read जत्यैवेषु.¹⁵ I should have expected प्रस्थापयद्वाङ्.¹⁶ The reading of this line is perfectly clear in the impression, but the end of it yields no suitable sense.¹⁷ Read वसन्तखी.¹⁸ Metre: Śragdharā.¹⁹ Metre: Śārdūlavikrāṇita.²⁰ पायीद 'cloud' is apparently used here in the sense of पायीषि 'ocean.' Originally 'हुन्ता' was engraved.²¹ Metre: Śragdharā.²² Metre: Mālinī.²³ Originally 'सतीध्वर' was engraved.²⁴ Read कथमेव.

मेघं वाहनमातनोदयमतः सधोमधूभोद्व ॥ ८ ॥²⁵
कीर्त्तिः कौतुकिनी दिगंतमगमत्कार्पू-

L. 7.

रपूरोज्ज्व(ज्ज)सा

खेलंती निजवासिताभ्रमवशादालिंगिता दिग्गजैः ।
चोराभोनिधिगाहनं तु विधिना कृत्वादरादुत्थिता
ब्रह्मादीननुयोजुस्तमगुणस्वास्त्य प्रगल्भा दिव्य ॥ १० ॥
विशिष्टजनसंगतौ व्यतरदेकलक्ष्यं²⁶ यतस्ततोधिकतरं यशोलभत भोजभूमीपतिः ।
अयं कथमदःसमः कविभिदृश्यते वाददाद्विशेषविधिनाम्ब²⁷ विविधलक्षभोजानपि²⁸ ॥ ११ ॥
निर्ग्रीडी न महेष्ट-

8.

रोन कठिनो नाचेतनचित्ति

दातानेकगवीश्वरः परिवृढो नो भारती दुर्भंगा ।
सिनामोर्न विपक्षसंगतिरतो मोक्षैः अवा वा हयो
नारामः कतिचित्तदः कथमदः पुर्याः स धुर्या दिवः²⁹ ॥ १२ ॥³¹
शूरः स्रुतवागनूनविभ[वो] वंशावतंसः सुत-
स्तस्य न्यकुतरत्नसानुगरिमा हस्मीरवीरो जयी ।
विख्यातः स्मररूपजित्वरवपुर्लक्ष्मीनिवासाश्रुतो
वाग्देवीचतुरागनो रिपु-

9.

कुलप्रोषोषरूपो महान् ॥ १३ ॥

हस्मीरः किल वेभवोचितविधिर्दिशुः सहस्रं गवा-
मित्याकर्ष्य सहस्रगू रविशचीनाथौ भयं जगमतुः ।
शश्वत्तद्रहसि स्थिताश्रुररिपोः श्रुत्वा सहस्रं पुन-
र्धनूनां समुपागतावतिसुदा तद्धानमेवेचितुं ॥ १४ ॥
कर्णादीनतिशय दिग्जयविधावादाय दिग्मंडली-
दंडं दूरमपास्य कालमसज्जहाता स्त्रयं दक्षिणां ।
इत्याकर्ष्य

10.

जनश्रुतीः परिभवं स्वं शंकमानोतक-

हृष्टं न चमते प्रजा मनुजये यस्मिन्मर्षीं यासति ॥ १५ ॥
प्रासादमासादितयातकुंभकुंभं वसहेवमचोकरयः ।
अपीखनत्सागरकक्षमस्येतरत्सरश्चूतवनीभिरिष्टं ॥ १६ ॥³²
संधामग्रामभूमौ सद्विदमसिलता संगता पंचशाखे
सञ्चयि श्वाभलांगी चतवजलवलत्पुष्टिरिष्टप्रचारा ।
चित्रं सृते विकीर्षा कुसुम-

11.

मतिमहत्कीर्त्तनीयं दिगंते

धा[ष्वा]जाता नितान्तं दक्षयति नियतं वारणां पतंती³³ ॥ १७ ॥³⁴

²⁵ Metre of verses 9 and 10: Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

²⁶ This may have been altered to 'अथ'.

²⁷ Perhaps altered to 'वाददति' ; read कीददति (P).

²⁸ The meaning of this is not clear to me.

²⁹ Metre: Prithvi.

³⁰ Perhaps the original has द्विः. Read पुर्यां दिवः (P).

³¹ Metre of verses 12—15: Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

³² Metre: Upajāti.

³³ Read जाया (P) and पतंती.

³⁴ Metre: Śragdhara.

हृस्मीरवीरो रणरंगधीरो वाक्पाधुरीतर्जितकोकिकोरः ॥(1)
 धराधवालंकरणैकहीरस्तद्वनीभूषितसिंघतीरः ॥१८[॥*]³⁶
 एतत्पाणी कृपाणी द्विषदसुपवनाहारतोषं दधाना
 कालाकारोरगोव स्फुरति सचकितं वीक्षिता भीतिहेतुः ॥(1)
 ना-

- L. 12. धः काये कथंचिद्वशति बहुमता नो बिभीते विपक्षा-
 त्स्वर्गे वासं क्ष[ता]नां वितरति रमते न द्विजिह्वेन चित्रं ॥१९[॥*]³⁶
 पायं पायं सुपीनः परभटवधिरं तन्महोगर्भजाता
 खड्गः कालः कुतोयं कथमियमपरा कीर्तिरत्यज्ज(ज्ज)लास्य ॥(1)
 एकेनास्त्रायि ननं रुददरिवनितानेव्रतोयेंजनाढ्य
 तासासुहृत्सितेयं सुदुभुजव(व)लयस्त्रच्छूर्णैरजस्रं ॥

13. २० [॥*]

उद्यत्प्रौढप्रतापानलमुषितमहाबिंबशेषो विषक्षा-
 न्यश्चादुहामकीर्त्तिच्छुरिततरतलुः शीतरश्मिलमेति ॥(1)
 शकं रूपान्तरं स्वं कलयति स वपुर्भेदभीतो रणक्षा-
 धीरे हृस्मीरवीरे घृति परसुभटान्गरे संसुखस्थान् ॥ २१ [॥*]
 कुर्वन्पद्मे जनुः स्वं विधिरिति विधिदग्दृष्टसृष्टाश्रदिष्टो
 नो पंके जम्ब दोषं व्यजगणदतुलं तस्य रत्नेतरस्य ॥(1)
 भूत्वा ह-

14. स्मीरदेवक्षितिपतियशसः स्वच्छवर्णोपमेयो
 गंता पुण्योपमानं दिशि दिशि सुचिरं सत्कवीनां सुखेषु ॥ २२ [॥*]
 गौरी गौरीशहासादपि रुचिररुचिर्बदनाक्षद्रतो वा
 कांत्या कर्णाटकांतासितदशन[च]तुष्कानुमेया सुगेया ॥(1)
 शेषस्याशेषवेषस्फुरदमृतवचसावसौदर्यधर्या³⁷
 कीर्तिर्यस्येदुस्मूर्त्तः किल चरति दशाशांतविश्रांतयात्रा ॥ २३ [॥*]
 तस्मात्क्ष-

15. त्रमहीपतिः समभवत्स्थितातो गुणांभीनिधिः
 शौर्योदायमहत्त्व(क्ष)सत्त्व(क्ष)महितो³⁸ धर्मो वपुष्मानिव ॥(1)
 शकार्हासनभाजि येन जनके रत्नाकरालंक्रान्ति-
 भूभृक्ता जितपूर्वराजगरिमप्राप्तप्रभाशालिना ॥ २४ [॥*]³⁹
 हृदि विनिहितरामो योस्त्रविद्याभिरामो मदनसदृशमूर्तिर्विस्त्रविख्यातकीर्त्तिः ।
 समरहतविपक्षो लीलया दत्तलक्ष्मी नयनजित-

16. सरोजः प्रक्रियाक्रांतभोजः ॥ २५ [॥*]⁴⁰

संध्यामे दंतिदंतज्वलनकणमुचि प्रोज्जसहीरयोध-
 स्मरारोमुक्ताशुगालीनिविडकवलितशेषकाष्ठांतराले ॥(1)

³⁶ Metro: Upajāti.

³⁷ Metro of verses 19-23: Sragdharā.

³⁸ Read 'वपुष्वा'.

³⁹ Read श्रीर्षोदा°.

⁴⁰ Metro: Śārdūlavikrīṭita.

⁴¹ Metro: Mālinī.

जित्वा दुर्गं समग्रं नरपतिमहितं साधुवादस्य सम्य-
 क्त्वाभं योधावरित्राग्रमरिकलपतगत्रेणचंडप्रदोपः⁴¹ ॥ २६ ॥⁴²
 चाक्षाता हृषपुंगवेन विलसन्नासा चतुर्भिः पदैः
 सम्यग्बोद्धव्यपालिता

- L. 17. नवनवप्राप्तप्रकर्षादया ॥(1)
 प्रासोष्टामरनैचिकीव बहुशो रत्नान्यनघर्षाणि गौः
 शूरे कीर्त्तिपयो धराशतमन्वे यस्मिन्मही शासति ॥ २७ ॥⁴³
 कीर्त्तिचीरोदपूरे बहुविधविहृदप्रोक्तसहीचिमाले
 कृष्णः शेतस्य खड्गः सुखमुत्समरे शेषमासाद्य शत्रोः ।
 दृश्यते राजहंसा दिशि दिशि न ततो मानसे लीयमा-
18. नाः
 सीदत्यक्षा विलक्षाः स्फुरति न कमलोन्नेषितापेक्षितैषां ॥ २८ ॥⁴⁴
 अस्त्रासिः कालरात्रिः स्फुरति किल भवन्मंडले वैरि- —⁴⁵
 — [प्रो]क्तासिवेश्म प्रभवदहिभयं भूतराजोदतापं ॥(1)
 पद्मोद्बोधो न चैषां भवति विघटते चक्रयो[गो] नियोगा-
 ब्रूजिर्जागर्त्ति भोतिः पतति निजपथो
19. नोजिभूतः पंकपातः ॥ २९ ॥
 भ्रातः कल्पतरो किमात्य भगवन्मेमाचल श्रूयतां
 कर्तुं क्षेत्रमहीपतिः प्रयतते दानानि पुण्याश्रयः ।
 वर्त्ते[ह] स्व[?]करे दृष्टांगणभुवि त्वं वर्त्ते नित्यशः
 क्रीडार्थं यदि [वा] ददाति हि तदा वक्तुं क ईष्टे जनः ॥ ३० ॥⁴⁶
 इत्थं दानकथा मिथो विजयते चिंतामणिस्त्वर्गवी-
 सुख्या-
20. नामपि दानशास्त्रविलसन्नाम्नाममुष्य प्रभोः ।
 उन्मोलच्छरदं बु(बु)जामलदलस्त्रच्छायताचिस्फुर-
 त्त्वोणस्यायुकमित्रवैरिपरिषत्संपहिपहर्त्मनः ॥ ३१ ॥
 भावहेतुं चंडध्वनिभरविगलहीरवर्णोद्धेयं
 स्फूर्जत्कोदंडदंडप्रपतदिबुचयच्छवसेन्येप्यनन्ये ।
 जन्ये प्राणैकपण्ये गणयति न गणं विहिषां पु-
21. श्वराशि-
 र्धन्यः क्षेत्रक्षितीयः प्रतिभट्टपतिस्माकराजदृष्टिः⁴⁷ ॥ ३२ ॥⁴⁸
 मूर्च्छालं तु जडोभवच्छ्रुतिपथं संशुद्धिकर्तैकत्वचं
 मोक्षतं च सुहृद्भुङ्क्ते शिथिलितं यातं न[वा]स्तुलितं ॥(1)

⁴¹ Read "हृष", and प्रदीपं.

⁴² Metre : Sragdharā.

⁴³ Metre : Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

⁴⁴ Metre of verses 28 and 29 : Sragdharā.

⁴⁵ Here four aksharas are broken swa.

⁴⁶ Metre of verses 30 and 31 : Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

⁴⁷ Perhaps altered to "हृष्टदृष्टिः".

⁴⁸ Metre : Sragdharā.

दारिद्र्योपहतं विबोधयति यद्दृष्टाद्दृष्टं⁴⁹ यथा

आयं कर्णपथान्नितं सुविमलं यन्नाममन्त्रा-

L. 22.

श्वरं ॥ ३३ ॥⁵⁰

तत्सुनुः किल लक्षसिंहनृपतिः⁵¹ ख्यातो गुणधामणी-⁵²

ब्रह्महानफलामलार्जुनयशोवल्लीमतस्नीतः ।⁵³

यत्सेजःशिखिनो [वि]पञ्चवनितानेत्रांबुजातद्युतेः

काष्ठांताक्रमणं [भ]टित्यनुदिनं नाभूद्विचाराख्यदं ॥ ३४ ॥

रामः किं जितदूषणः सुभरतो रामानु-

23.

रागाख्यदं

शत्रुघ्नः किमु लक्ष्मणोदयभरः सुशोव इवांगदः ।

तारावक्त्रभ उक्तमेन वपुषालंकारमा[या]दतो

यो रामायणनायकैकतनुतां द्रष्टुं विधात्रा कृतः ॥ ३५ ॥

दानादुद्दामसामा शरणगतजनत्राणपाषाणसीमा

भीमासीमैकधामा शतमखपुरतो विहिषा गो-

24.

तनामा ।

अक्षामारामदामा मखमुखविलसद्भूमधूमीक्षसामा⁵⁴

सल्लक्ष्मणशेषरोमा धरणिमुरतरुर्लक्षसिंहः स धीमान् ॥ ३६ ॥⁵⁵

वैरिचोषीद्रमत्तहिरदमदनुदा⁵⁶ सिंहतः शुद्धसारा-

दागदुद्गीतकीर्त्तनमरपुरभिष[क्तांति]निष्णीतमूर्त्तैः ।

दाने माने कृपापे यशसि

25.

महसि [वा] साधुवाण्यां कृपाण्यां

वीराक्षच्चित्तोयज्ञगति न हि परः ख्यातभक्तिः सु[भ]क्तिः ॥ ३७ ॥

नीतिप्रीतिभुजार्जितानि [लक्ष]शो रत्नानि यन्नादयं

दायं दायममायया व्यतनुत ध्वस्तांतरायां गयां ।

तीर्थानां करमाकलय्य विधिनाय्यत्रापि युंक्ते⁵⁷ ध-

26.

नं

प्रौढप्रावनिबद्धतीर्थसरसीजागृद्यशोभीरुहः ॥ ३८ ॥⁵⁸

संधामेषु गतागतानि विद[ध]ल्लक्षं परैर्लक्षितो

दत्त्वा[क्षा] लक्षमपि स्व[यं न] तनुते संतोषमनेक्षणः ।

कुर्वाणः किल कानकीमपि तुलां तत्खंडबिंबच्छला-

[क्ष]लं स्वां तनुमातनोदिति नृपो लक्षप्रथो-

27.

जायन⁵⁹ ॥ ३९ ॥

दाने चेन्नसुलायां मखभुवि बहुधा शुद्धिमापादि[ता*]नां

भास्वज्जांबूनदानां कुतुकिजनभरैस्सर्किता राशयोऽस्य ॥ (i)

⁴⁹ The word यद् before दृष्टा° appears rather superfluous.

⁵⁰ Metre of verses 33—36 : Śāradālavikṛīṭa.

⁵¹ Originally लक्ष्य° was engraved.

⁵² One would expect गुणि°.

⁵³ Originally °तद्वयंसे° was engraved.

⁵⁴ Read °सङ्गमभू°.

⁵⁵ Metre of verses 36 and 37 : Śragdharā.

⁵⁶ Read °गुदः.

⁵⁷ The sign of anusvāra of यं is very faint.

⁵⁸ Metre of verses 38 and 39 : Śāradālavikṛīṭa.

⁵⁹ Read °जायत.

संघा[मि] लुटितानां प्रतिनृपमहसां राशयस्ते किमेते
विंध्यं बंधुं समेतुं किमु समुपगताः साधु हेमाद्रिपादाः ॥४०॥⁶⁰

रुद्धा-

- L. 28. शेषपदां शकाधिपकरव्यग्रीभवज्जीवनां
धीरोमूसुचदनुनीमिव गयां भावाविमुक्ताशयः ।
धर्मशास्त्रस्य समस्तलोकमहितः [का]ष्ठां परामागतो
निः[भत्त्वी ?] कृतधर्मराजवसतेः पद्मालयासङ्गनः ॥४१॥⁶¹
मत्सुस्था [न^६] तु नाभवत्किल तुला पूर्वति गर्व तुला-
सुथ
29. क्षीणपतेर्ध्रुवं कृतवती गर्वासहिष्णोः पुरः ।
तस्यास्तस्य⁶² मुदातुदां विदधता धीरेण दत्तापरा-
सौ मानादधिकाधिकीकृतविनिस्त्रयो वियङ्गाटका⁶³ ॥ ४२ ॥
संख्यातुं कथमीशते कविजना दानानि नानाविधा-
न्यस्याल्लष्टसमस्तराजवसुधावित्तस्य चित्तोन्नतेः ।
30. लब्धा⁶⁴ नोद्विजते वनीपकगणान्दत्त्वा(च्चा) न यत्कीर्त्ये-
त्पात्रं प्राप्य मुदान्वितसृणतुलां⁶⁵ स्वर्णं समारोपयेत् ॥ ४३ ॥
तस्य क्षमावलयं नयेन नयतः संतीषमायु[ष्म]तः
[सं]भृतः स्मरसुन्दरी गुरुनतः पुत्रः सुधीर्मेकलः ।
शक्त्या भृशति दारुणं वितनु[ति] यत्तत्कुमारः पुरः
सर्वज्ञोऽस्ति यतस्ततोचलभुवो नाथ-
- 31 स्तु पित्रा कृतः ॥ ४४ ॥
पासादा दङ्कुशः समुन्नतियुजः क्षीणीभुजा कारिताः
शृङ्गान्धुर्हसु राजमानकनकप्रस्फारकुंभश्रियः ।
नागैर्द्रा तु शिरसु ङ्गाटकघटाना[धा]य लोलत्सुधान्
यातुं नाकमिवोत्थिता मखभुजां पीयूषपानोत्सुकाः ॥ ४५ ॥
अंगाः संप्राप्तभंगाः स्मृतघनविटपाः कामरूपा विरूपा
अंगा
32. गंगैकसंगा गतविरुद्धमदा जातसादा निषादाः ।
चानाः संग्रामदीनाः खलदसिधनुषो भोतिशृङ्गास्तरुष्का
भृमीपृष्ठे गरिष्ठे स्फुरति महिमनि क्षापतेर्मेकलस्य ॥ ४६ ॥⁶⁶
मृद्भूः⁶⁷ सिन्दूररेखाशतमखधनुषा राजमाना गभीरं
कुर्वतः शब्दमुनै रदक्षचिचपलाः स्निग्धतन्वा कचाभाः ।
संग्रामग्रामयाता रि-

⁶⁰ Metre. Śragdhara.

⁶¹ Metre of verses 41-45: Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

⁶² I should have expected here तस्यासि, but am uoubtful about the exact sense of the second half of this verse.

⁶³ This is quite clear in the impression, but the sense of the word is not apparent.

⁶⁴ Here again the exact construction of the line is not clear.

⁶⁵ Read ०सृणतुला.

⁶⁶ Metre of verses 46-51: Śragdhara

⁶⁷ Read मृद्भू.

L. 33.

पुकरिजलदा⁶⁹ प्राप्तकालीपयोगायस्येषुव्रातभिन्नाः खलु रुधिरजलं पूरि⁶⁹ वर्षति सद्यः ॥ ४७ ॥अस्य प्रौढप्रयाणक्षरणरणर[ण^x]कक्षेपमानोरुमान-⁷⁰

स्फूर्जर्ज्जर्ज्व[यं]क्रमणभरभववृत्तिधाराधकारं ।

ना[शं ने?]ता विवस्वानिति तु विरमतु ध्वस्तनेत्रप्रकाशः

स्नानस्नानस्ववर्णान्यदि परिचिनुते तत्स भाग्यं महीयः

34.

॥ ४८ ॥

वासो नाश्यासु भास्वत्कररुचिररुचाभासितास्वस्य वैरात्

पारावारांतरायादपि न हि गमनं दूरमस्मादकक्षात् ।

सेवाहेवाकमेवाचरत बहुमतं दत्त वित्तं नितांतं

[म]त्तोमात्यैरकारि प्रतिविमतसदो भूपतेमोकलस्य⁷¹ ॥ ४९ ॥

पुष्टप्रौढारिवर्णप्रथितपुरब(व)लङ्घूमधूमप्रचारै-

र्ध्वं ब्र(म)ह्मा-

35.

डभांडीदरमतिविपुलं वीक्ष्य दक्षेषु मुख्यः ।

कीर्त्यालिपं सुधीत्यं कलयति बलवान्दिग्वधुकिंकराभि-

⁷²स्तारातहिंदुर्वदंष्ट्रुणबहुरुचा योर्वरेणावृताभिः ॥ ५० ॥

नेता पातोत्तराशां यवननरपतिं लुंठिताशेषसेनं

पेरोजं कीर्त्तिवल्लीकुसुमसुरुमतिर्योकिरोत्संगरस्यः ।

पल्लीशाक्रातिवार्त्ता⁷³

36.

कलयति कलया कीर्त्तिता यस्य हेलीं⁷⁴

पंचास्यस्येव माद्यन्नजदलनरुचेर्लीलया रंकुभंगः ॥ ५१ ॥

भारुढः सविता तुलां कलयति द्राक्षीचतां कन्यया

दूरं मुक्तपरिग्रही बहुरुचा चित्रोल्लसद्वस्तया ।

धीरोयं पदमुत्तमं तु विधिना प्राप्तस्तुलां गाहते

कन्याभिर्ग्रयतेतमां क्षितिभुजां श्रीमोकलस्मापतिः ।(॥) ५२ ॥⁷⁵

37. मानत्राणमना मनागपि मनोरन्यूननीतिव्रती

नो जानाति निजप्रतापमतुलं सिंहो यथा विक्रमं ।

मन्ये भास्वरहेमराशिभिषतो धाता तुलायामधा-

देतस्मादपि सोगमच्च गुरुतामद्यापि जा[ना]ति किं ॥ ५३ ॥

दृष्ट्वा ष्टाककोटिकूटमतुलं दानाय मानाधिकं

सद्यः शोधित[सूत्र]तैकमतयः संशेरते शाब्दिकाः ।

शक्रप्रार्थित-

38.

हेमदे सुरतरौ किं किं नु चिंतामणौ

हेमाद्रौ शकलीकृते किमु तुलाशब्दस्तु संकेतितः ॥ ५४ ॥

⁶⁹ Read °जलदाः.⁶⁹ Read भरि.⁷⁰ Read °वृषसाधो°.⁷¹ Read भूपतेनो°⁷² Here, again, the reading is quite certain, but the sense

is not clear. Perhaps the intended reading may be तारावर्धि°.

⁷³ This is not quite clear in the original.⁷⁴ Perhaps altered to हेला.⁷⁵ Metro of verses 52-54: Śardūlavikrīḍita.

दीव्यस्तदीरतुंगसत्तरुगवरज्जातजातीरुवात-⁷⁶

सुभ्यत्तरस्त्रीत्यरेणुस्तनयनरुजाव्यप्रसूताः खरायोः ।

मंदायंते गतेष्वास्तत इव वनिता वैरिणां तद्दिनानां

यामारजानंति⁷⁷ दीर्घानवितथविरुदे भोक्तेन्द्रे रणस्थे ॥५५॥⁷⁸

को वा नो

L. 39. वेद विद्वांश्चरमयुगकलावेकपादेव धर्मः

खंजन्नष्टावलंबः किल चरतु कथं पीनपंके जनेस्मिन् ।

सौयं सहस्रयष्टिं वह्निर्वह्निरयो ग्रहसारोपपन्नं

प्राप्य श्रीभोक्तेन्द्रं प्रावयति विपुलां मंडलीं पंडितानां ॥५६॥

नूनं श्रुतविधावधाश्चभुजामीशः सुमेरुं पणं

गच्छस्तत्र मनस्विनां व्यजयत श्रीभोक्तेन्द्रमापतिः ॥(1)

तादृ-

40. द्याः क[थं]मन्यथावनितले हेन्नासमी⁷⁹ राशयो

नैषां दानविधावसुख्य च मनःपीडाकलापि क्वचित् ॥५७॥⁸⁰

वज्रावज्जाय सर्पिःपतननवरुचौ भूमधूमायमाने⁸¹

दूनां—स[चि?]पंतौ कथमुपकुर्वते यागभागी मघोनः ।

पुष्पेनास्येव जाने दिनमणिरयते सत्कराणां सहस्रं

बिभ्रत्सद्योस्ततद्रः स्थगयति विधिना योयम-

41. द्यां सहस्रं ॥५८॥⁸²

पारुद्धामलमंडलोक्त तुलां यः पुष्करयोतनं

पुष्पश्रीः स कथं तथा प्रथमती गच्छी न तेजस्विनां ॥ (1)

निःपंका⁸³ करलालिता वसुमती सद्राजहंसा यती

व(बं)धूनामुदयस्ततस्तदुदये स्वात्संपदामौचित्यी ॥५९॥⁸⁴

पारावारस्य वेलातटनिकटमनु प्राप्तशैलाधिवासा

श्रुत्येणी समया नि-

42. वसति सततं भीतभीता नितांतं ।

जेतुं यादवादसीया यदि भवति तदा वाजिराजीश्वराग्र-

द्व्यत्स्नमाधूलिधारा स्थलयति जलधिं पारयानाय तस्य ॥६०॥⁸⁵

आसाद्यातिथिमाश्रयं त्रिजगतां श्रीहृदयकानायकं

प्रासादं रचितोपचारमकरोद्भूमीपतिर्भोक्तेन्द्रः ॥(1)

देवेनांबुजबाधेन चकितं यो योक्षितः शंकया

चिंध्याद्रेर्नि-

⁷⁶ The word तुंगत् is apparently used here in the sense of लंबत्.

⁷⁷ Read वामाद्यां.

⁷⁸ Metre of verses 55 and 56 : Śragdhara.

⁷⁹ Read हेन्नासमी.

⁸⁰ Metre : Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

⁸¹ Read "श्रुतिप(१).

⁸² Metre : Śragdhara.

⁸³ Read निपंका.

⁸⁴ Metre : Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

⁸⁵ Metre : Śragdhara.

- L. 43. रिसत्तमस्त्र नियते⁸⁶ सुत्तस्त्र वाग्बन्धनात् ॥६१॥⁸⁷
 यस्त्र प्रत्युत्तकर्मद्रवदखिलमहाधातुसंभारधारा-⁸⁸
 पातश्चातापशब्दलिविलविलसन्नोललाला⁸⁹ फणीद्रः ।
 व्याचष्टे अष्टमिष्टं ध्रुवमयमधुना भाव्यमाभाष्य शिष्यं ।
 स श्रीभर्तुः पुरस्ताज्जयति⁹⁰ खगपतिर्भोक्तेन्द्रस्य कीर्तिः⁹¹ ॥६२॥⁹²
 सोढुं नेत्रः पर्याधिः क्षणमपि वि-
44. रहं हारकानाथ(य)कस्त्र
 प्रेम्णा पादोपमूलं स्वयमुपगतवान्यत्तडागच्छलेन ।
 नोदन्त्या कुंभयोनेरतिपततितरामंतरेणैवमिथन्
 शापाति⁹³ मे विदध्यादयमिति विनयाद्विध्य⁹⁴ एवानययं ॥६३॥
 विंध्यस्त्रैकैकबन्धुर्निजविततिभरादधुतानीतसिंधु-
 न्नीरक्रीडत्पूरंभिप्रसभकुचतटाघातसीदत्तरंगः ।
 सतुथत्तोयजंतुर्विविधनगन-⁹⁵
45. दीवेगसंरोधतंतुः⁹⁶
 सन्धेतुर्नेतुरस्य स्फुरति वसुमतीसिद्धिहेतुः सुकेतुः ॥६४॥
 असुथ धरणीभृतो विषयमध्यवर्त्ती मद्वादरीवृतवपुष्टया [वि]वृतदूरगंभीरतः ।
 म[हो]दर इवापरः परमनोनगम्यांतरः⁹⁷ पवित्रतरकीर्त्तनी जयति चित्रकूटाचलः ॥६५॥⁹⁸
 जायंतां नाम कामं कुलधरणिभृतः सप्त शृंगौघतुंगा
 वेचित्त्रा चित्रकूटं तुलयि-
46. तुमनलं तीर्थभूतप्रदेशं ।
 मा भूवनिर्भरिस्थो⁹⁹ मदुदितजनुषो नीचगामानशौडः ।¹⁰⁰
 शृंगे यः क्षीरवारांनिधिमधिततरासुखदंभोजवासं ॥६६॥¹
 उद्दामप्रावनिर्यङ्गरभरकणिकाजातसेकातरैक-²
 क्षिप्रश्चालप्रवालप्रभवदुश्तराभोगसूनप्रसृतात् ।
 मध्मासारादपारादुप[हृ]तजनुषो दाववक्त्रेर्निदाघे
 बिम्बगद्गीचो³ वनानि प्रसभपरिभवं ने-
47. ह ग्रैले विदंति ॥६७॥
 एतस्मिन्सरिदस्ति निर्मलजला यस्यां निवापांजला-
 वुम्भीलत्तिलजातपातकवल्लव्याः शफर्यसलाः ।
 क्रीडासंभ्रमविभ्रुताम्बुबहुयी मज्जद्भूनामहो
 नेत्राक्षीव विलोपिकज्जलकणांभेतुं स्फुरंति स्फुटं ॥ ६८ ॥⁴

⁸⁶ Read निवत्.⁸⁷ Metre: Śārdūlavikrīḍita.⁸⁸ Originally प्रत्युत्त⁸⁸ was engraved.⁸⁹ Read "द्वयविष" and "वायः".⁹⁰ Read पुरं.⁹¹ Read कीर्तिः.⁹² Metre of verses 62-64: Śragdharā.⁹³ Read शापाति.⁹⁴ Read विध्य.⁹⁵ Read संतुथं.⁹⁶ Originally "क्षीरविषतंतुः" was engraved.⁹⁷ Read परमनाम^(१).⁹⁸ Metre: Prithvī.⁹⁹ Read भूवनि.¹⁰⁰ This sign of punctuation is superfluous.¹ Metre of verses 66 and 67: Śragdharā.² Read "काविरैक".³ Read बिम्बगद्गीचो.⁴ Metre: Śārdūlavikrīḍita.

लंका किं नाम दुर्गं जलनिधिरविता⁶ यत्र सा काल[काका?]⁶
[प्रा]वृट्का[ले] विवर्गेरपि गलितमदैर्यां त्रियतेति मा-

L. 48. नो ।

यो धत्ते क्षीरवारानिधिसुपरि परै राजहंसैरगम्य-
स्तद्दुर्गं चित्रकूटो जयति वसुमतीमंडनं भूरिभूमिः⁷ ॥ ६८ ॥⁸
सोभायैकमहौषधिर्भगवती यस्मिन्भवानी स्वयं
जागर्त्ति प्रियसन्निधानवसतिः साध्वीजनानां गुरुः ॥(1)
देवः सोपि समस्तनाकरमणीसंतानदामव्रज-
प्रश्नोत्तराकरंदबिंदुसुरभिप्रस्फारनृत्यांगणः ॥ ७० ॥⁹
सेवा-

49. हेवाकदेवस्तुतश्चरितप्रोक्तसद्भावसंप-¹⁰
त्सद्यःरित्यज्ञवानोक्तसुरवसवनस्फारसौरभ्यहारि¹¹।
यद्धारि प्रातिभाष्यं वहति सृगदृशां मञ्जतीनामज्जं
पातिव्रत्ये समंतात्समधिकसुभगभावुकत्वेपि शश्वत् ॥ ७१ ॥¹²
गिरिः कैलासो यद्दशमुखभुजोच्छासनदिनाहलम्बलस्थामा प्रभवति न नाव्यं विषादितुं ॥(1)
50. प्रदेशप्राग्भारप्र[क्त*]तिरमणोये तदधुना समिद्वैशः¹³ श्रीमानिह वसति गौरोसहचरः ॥७२॥¹
एकैकयावतापत्कृतिमुपितमहासर्वकर्मीणमानं
कृत्वा प्रासादमाशामुखसुकुरमतिव्योमसीमानमस्य ।
यस्याशेषोपचारक्षमधनमुदितारी-¹⁵
क्षीरः श्रीमोकलेंद्रो धनपुरमुचितं ग्राममायामिसीमं ॥ ७३ ॥¹⁶
अन्दे बाणाष्टवेदचितिपरिकलिते

51. विक्रमांभोजबंधोः
पुण्ये मासे तपस्ये सवितरि मकरं याति जीवे घटस्थ ॥(1)
पक्षे शुक्रोत्तरस्मिन्पुरगुरुदिवसे चार्यमर्चं तृतीया-
तिथ्यां देवप्रतिष्ठामयमकृततरां मोकलो भूमिपालः ॥ ७४ ॥
उन्मीलद्यागयाद्वीथ्यतसुरतक्षणीगीतसंयामधामा
सुत्रामा यावदीष्टे त्रिदशपरपरीपालनस्यष्टनीतिः ।
पर्यायोपात्तभूनां स्फुरति दशयती शेषमूर्ध्नां च याव-
52. तावप्रस्फारलक्ष्मीरवतु¹⁷ वसुमतीं मोकलेंद्रस्य बाहुः ॥ ७५ ॥
श्रीमद्दशपुरश्चातिर्भट्टविष्णोस्तनूजवः ।
नानैकनाथनामायमलिखत् कृतिमुज्ज्व(ज्ज)लां ॥ १ ॥¹⁸

⁶ Read "रविता.

⁸ The *aksharas* in these brackets are damaged.

⁷ Read भूतिभूमिः(?)

⁹ Metre: Sragdharā.

¹⁰ Metre: Śārdūlavikrīṭita.

¹¹ Read सेवाह्वयिक^o; originally देवाकु^o was engraved.

¹² Read "कृतसुखवसन".

¹³ Metre: Sragdharā.

¹⁴ Read समिद्वैशः or समाधीशः, which are both names of Śiva.

¹⁵ Metre: Śikharinī.

¹⁶ Six *aksharas* of this line are omitted; the last word of the line must have been व्यतारित.

¹⁷ Metre of verses 73-75: Sragdharā.

¹⁸ Read तावकु^o.

¹⁹ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

अनेकासादैः परिवृतमतिप्रांशुकलयं गिरीशप्रासादं व्यरचयदमनैरनुचरैः ॥(1)
मनास्थो विख्यातः सकलगुणवान् वीजलसुतः सुतः शिष्यो जःगो गुणगणयुतो वीसल
इति ॥२॥¹⁹

अतिप्रशस्तेरलिख-

L. 53.

तु प्रशक्तिं²⁰ वर्षेणैरवर्णनं बहिःकृतैः²¹।

श्रीमत्समाधीशमहेश्वरस्य प्रसादतोसौ चिरजीवनीस्तु²² ॥ २(३)॥²³

वो[जलस्य] सुतः शिष्यो मनास्थः सूत्रधारकः ।

तस्यात्मजेन वीसेन प्रशस्तिरियमुत्कृता ॥ ३(४) ॥²⁴

रुचिराक्षरमुत्कीर्णा प्रशस्तिरियमुज्ज्वला ।

ललितैः²⁵ वीसलः शिष्यो शमाधीशप्रसादतः²⁶ ॥ ४(५) ॥

संवत् १४८५ वर्षे माघसुदि [३] गुरुदिने²⁷

XXXIII.—CHITORGADH STONE INSCRIPTION OF THE CHAULUKYA KUMARAPALA.

THE [VIKRAMA] YEAR 1207.

By PROFESSOR F. KIELHORN, PH.D., C.I.E.; GÖTTINGEN.

This inscription is on a slab of black marble which is preserved in the temple of Mokajji¹ at Chitorgadh, in the Udaypur state of Rājputāna. I edit it from Dr. Führer's impressions.

The inscription contains twenty-eight lines of writing which cover a space of about 1' 8" broad by 1' 3" high. Lines 1-14 are generally well preserved; but in the subsequent lines part of the writing is entirely gone, in consequence of the peeling off of the surface of the stone, on the proper right side; and from the same cause a number of *aksharas* has also disappeared on the left side, in lines 24-28. In the midst of the writing causing a break in lines 17-23, is an ornamental drawing, about 3½" square, which encloses a circle about 3½" in diameter. Near the periphery of this circle and across the square, both vertically and horizontally, there is some writing (apparently a verse) the greater part of which is too faint to be made out. The size of the letters is between ⅙" and ⅓". The characters are Nāgarī. The language is Samskr̥it; and nearly the whole inscription is in verso. It is carefully written and engraved, and in respect of orthography it is only necessary to state that *b* is denoted by the sign for *v*, and that the dental sibilant is

¹⁹ Metre: Śikharipi.

²⁰ Read प्रशक्तिं.

²¹ Read बहिःकृतैः.

²² Originally "जीविनीस्तु" was engraved.

²³ Metre: Upajāti.

²⁴ Metre of verses 4 and 5: Śloka (Anushtubh).

²⁵ Read ललितैः.

²⁶ Read समधीः.

²⁷ This word is engraved beneath the preceding वर्षे and

appears to have been followed by three or four other *aksharas*, which are illegible.

¹ See above, p. 408. [I took impressions of the inscriptions at Chitorgadh in January 1873; Mr. H. B. W. Garrick copied several of them in 1884 (*Arch. Sur. Reports*, vol. XXIII, pls. xx, xxi); and again Dr. Führer on a tour in Rājputāna took fresh impressions, one of which is used for this paper.—J. B.]

several times used instead of the palatal and the palatal once instead of the dental sibilant.

The inscription is dated (in line 28) in the year 1207, corresponding, as a year of the Vikrama era, to A.D. 1149-50 or 1150-51; and its object is, to record a visit of the Chaulukya king Kumârapâla to Mount Chitrakûṭa, the modern Chitorgaḍh, and some donations which on that occasion were made by the king in favour of a temple of the god Samiddheśvara (Śiva) on the hill.—After the words “Om! Adoration to the Omniscient,” the inscription has five verses, three of which glorify the god Śiva under the names of Śarva, Mṛḍa, and Samiddheśvara, while the others invoke the assistance of the goddess of eloquence, Sarasvatī, and celebrate the compositions of poets. The author then (in line 5) eulogizes the family of the Chaulukyas. In that family the king Mûlarâja was born (line 6), and when he and many other kings of the same lineage had gone to heaven, there came the king Siddharâja (line 7), who again was succeeded by Kumârapâladeva (line 9). When this king had defeated the ruler of Śākambharī (line 10) and devastated the Sapâdalakṣha country (line 11), he went to a place named Śâlîpura (line 12), and having pitched his great camp there, he came to view the glorious beauty of the Chitrakûṭa mountain; the temples, palaces, lakes or tanks, slopes and woods are extolled in lines 13-19. Kumârapâla was delighted with what he saw there, and having come to a temple of the god Samiddheśvara (line 22) which was on the northern slope of the mountain, he worshipped the god and his consort, and gave to the temple a village the name of which has not been preserved (line 26). Other donations (a *ghânaka* or ‘oil-mill’ for lights, etc.) are spoken of in line 27; and line 28 tells us that this *Prasasti* was composed by the chief of the Digambaras Râmakīrtti, a pupil of Jayakīrtti, and records the date, already given above.

It will be seen from this abstract of the contents that this inscription is not of a very great importance; but it shows at any rate that Kumârapâla’s well-known victory² over (Arnorâja), the ruler of the Sapâdalakṣha country, whose capital was Śākambharī (Sâmbhar) in Râjputâna, must have taken place in the Vikrama year 1207, or very shortly before it.—The place Śâlîpura at which Kumârapâla is said to have been encamped, and which must have been near Chitrakûṭa, I am unable to identify.

Text.³

- L. 1. ओ॑ ॥ नमः सर्व्व[ज्ञा]यः५ ॥ नमो॑ . . . [स]प्तार्चिर्दम्ब(म्ब)संकल्पजम्बने । शर्व्वाय परम
ज्योतिर्[हृ]स्तसंकल्पजम्बने ॥ जयतात्स मृडः श्रीमामृडा . . .⁷
2. दनाम्ब(म्बु)जे । यस्य कण्डच्छवी रजे से(शे)वालखेव वल्लरी ॥ यदीयशिखरस्थितोऽसदन-
स्पदिव्यध्वजं८ समंभपमही मृषामपि विदू॥
3. रतः पश्यतां । अनेकभवसंचितं जयमियत्तिं पापं दुतं स पातु पदपंकजानतहरिः समिधे
श्वरः ॥ यदीससत्यङ्गु तकारिवाचः९ स्फुर[न्ति चि]
4. ने पिदुषां सदा तत् । सारस्वतं ज्योतिरनंतमंतर्विस्फूर्जतां मे ज्ञानजाद्यवृत्ति ॥ जयत्यज
अ(क्ष)पीयूषविंदुनिधंदिनीमसाः१० । कवीनां [सम

² See *ante*, vol. I. p. 295.

³ From impressions prepared by Dr. A. Führer.

⁴ Expressed by a symbol.

⁵ Read ॐ.

⁶ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh) and of the next verse

⁷ The missing *akṣaras* are नीव.

⁸ Metre: Prithvī.

⁹ Metre: Upajāti.

¹⁰ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh); and of the next three verses

- L. 5. कीर्त्ती(र्त्ती)नां वाग्विलासा महीदयाः ॥ न वैरस्यस्थितिः¹¹ श्रीमान्न जलानां¹² समाश्रयः ।
रत्नराशिरपूर्वोऽस्ति चौलुक्यानामिहान्वयः ॥ तत्रो-
6. दपथत श्रीमान्सदृत्तस्तेजसां निधिः । मूलराजा(ज)महीनाथो सुक्तामणिरिवीज्व(ज्व)-
लः ॥ वितन्वति भृशं यत्र चेम(मं) सर्वत्र सर्वथा । प्रजा राजन्वती नून(नं) ज-
7. ज्ञेसौ चिरकालतः ॥ तस्यान्वये¹³ महति भूपतिषु क्रमेण यातेषु भूरिषु सुपर्णपतंर्निवासं ।
प्रोर्ण्यैव वीधयशसा ककुभां सुखानि श्रीसिद्धरा-
8. जनृपतिः प्रथितो व(व)भूव ॥ जयन्त्या¹⁴ समाश्रित्य यं विलोक्य समंततः । भ्रात्वा जगति
यत्कीर्त्तिज(र्त्ति)गा[हे]मरमंदिरम् ॥ तस्मिन्नमरसाध्या-
9. जां(ज्यं) संप्राप्ते नियतेष्वसात्¹⁵ । कुमारपालदेवोभूत्प्रापाक्रांतशाठवः ॥ स्वतेजसाप्रसङ्गेन
न परं येन शाठवः । पदं भूभृच्छिरस्सूचैः कारि-
10. तो वं(वं)धुरप्यलं ॥ आज्ञा यस्य महीनाथैश्चतुरस्रु(स्रु)धिमध्यगैः । प्रियते सूर्ध्वभिर्भस्मे(भै)-
दैवशेषेव सन्ततम् ॥ महीभृन्निकु(कुं)जेषु¹⁶ शाकंभरी-
11. शः प्रियापुत्रलोके न शाकंभरीशः । अपि प्रास्तशतुर्भयात्कंप्रभूतः स्थितौ यस्य मत्तेभवाजि-
प्रभूतः¹⁷ ॥ रापादलक्षमामर्द्य¹⁸ नम्रीक-
12. तभयानकः । [स्व]य[म]थान्महीनाथो ग्रामे शालिपुराभिधं ॥ सन्निवेश¹⁹ सि(शि)विरं पृथु
तत्र तासितासहनभूपतिचक्रम् । चितकू-
13. टगिरिपु[ष्क]लशोभां द्रष्टुमार नृपतिः कुतुकेन ॥ यदुच्चुरसद्भाषोपरिष्ठात्पतन्मदा²⁰
रथं नयत्यलं मंदं मंदं भंगभयाद्रविः ॥ य-
14. त्थौधशिखराकटकामिनीमुखसन्निधौ । वर्त्तमानो निशानाथो लक्ष्यते लक्ष्मिलिखया ॥ प्रफुल्ल-
राजीवमनोहरानना²¹ विवृत्तपाठीनविलोललोच-
15. — ।²² — स[भृं]गावलिरोमराजयो रथांगवच्चोरुहमंडलान्त्रयः ॥ परिभ्रमत्सारसहंसनि-
खनाः सविभ्रमा हारिसृणालवा(वा)हुकाः । वृ(वृ)हन्तिवा(वा)मलवारि-
16. — ।²³ मुदे सतां यत्र सदा सरोज्जनाः ॥ स(सु)रभिकुसुमगंधाकृष्टमत्तालिमालाविहित-
मधुररावो²⁴ यत्र चाधित्यकायां । खलिततरणिभानुः सन्न-
17. — ।²⁵ मयिषति शश्वत्कामिनः कामिनीभिः ॥ शुभे²⁶ यदने शाखिशाखांत
राले प्रियाः क्रीडथा सन्निनीना निकामं । घने [प]-
18. — ।²⁷ [णां] [त]नृगंधसक्तालयः सूव(च)यंति ॥ प्राप²⁸ कदापि न या
हृदये शं सानुनयं समया हृदयेऽं । यदनमेत्य सु[सं?]-
19. — ।²⁹ [र]तरागं ॥ एवमादिगुणे³⁰ दुर्गे स्वर्गे वा भुवि [सं]-
स्थिते । राजा जिष्णुः परप्रीत्या संचरन्निजलोल-

¹¹ Divide, also, वैरस्य स्थितिः.

¹² i.e., also, जलानां.

¹³ Metre: Vasantatilakā.

¹⁴ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh); and of the next three

verses.

¹⁵ Read 'तेजसां'.

¹⁶ Metre: Bhujāṅgaprayāta.

¹⁷ Originally 'सन्निधौ' was engraved.

¹⁸ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

¹⁹ Metre: Svāgatā.

²⁰ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh); and of the next verse.

²¹ Metre: Varīśastha; and of the next verse.

²² The *akṣaras*, here broken away, are probably नाः । प्रम.

²³ The *akṣaras*, here broken away, are probably राशयो.

²⁴ Metre: Mālinī.

²⁵ Metre: Bhujāṅgaprayāta.

²⁶ Metre: Dohaka.

²⁷ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh); and of all the following verses.

- L. 20. या ॥ ति [ता?] चर्यसंकुलम् । ददर्शागाधगंभीरस्त्रच्छं स्वमिव मान-
सम् ॥ निर्मलं सलिलं यत्र पि-
21. हितं प[द्मि] — ७ — । जे नीलाब्ज(ज)राग[भू]त्रियम् ॥ विमुच्य व्योम
पातालरसा यत्र त्रिसार्गगा । लोका-
22. न् पु[नाति] ७ — ७ — ॥ [त]स्योत्तरतटेद्राक्षीन्मामरसमर्चितं । श्रीस-
मिहेश्वरं देवं प्रसिद्धं
23. जगती ७ — ॥ ७ — ७ त । त्वैसंध्य[तू]र्यनादेन कलि(लिं)
निर्भर्त्तयन्निव ॥ य[त्स्त?]वस्याधिपत्येस्थात्परा भ-
24. द्यारिकोत्त[मा ।] . . . [वी] नृपाभ्य[र्चार्ग?] . . . ७ — ७ — ॥ तस्याः शिष्याभवत्साध्वी
सुव्रतव्रातभूषिता । गौरदेवीति वि[ख्या] . . . [ता?]कृतोद्यमा ॥ सु[मनो?] . .
25. संसेव्या [मा ?] . . यविनाशिनी । दुर्गा हि ७ — ७ [ता] ॥
यत्तपः पावनं वीक्ष्य पवित्रीकृतसज्जनं । सस्मरुः पूर्व्वयमि ७ — ७ — ॥
शिवं प्रपूज्य त[त्प] . .
26. . . [म]गमत्पुभुः । प्रणम्य [तावुभौ?] भक्त्या सि(शि)रसा ७ — ७ — ॥
[तस्मा]तः पूजार्थं हरपादयोः । कुमारपालदेवोदाह्वामं श्री . ७ — ७ — ॥ ²⁸ . . . स्यां
दिश्याराम . .
27. . टा दक्षिणपूर्व्वोत्तरपश्चिमतः सरःपाली भृणादित्य राज . . . दीपार्थं घण-
कर्मकं सज्जनोप्यदात् दंडनाथ मेतद्दानम् . . .
28. श्रीज[य]कीर्त्तिशिष्येण²⁹ दिगंव(व)रगणेशना । प्रशस्तिरोदृशी चक्रे . . श्रीरामकीर्त्तिना ॥
मंवत् १२०७ सूत्रधा ³⁰

XXXIV—MUHAMMADAN INSCRIPTIONS FROM THE SĒBA OF DIHLĪ.

No. II.

BY PAUL HORN, PH.D., STRASSBURG.

The following inscriptions are in continuation of, and in addition to, those contained in a previous paper, *ante*, pp. 130 *seq.* I begin with Fathâbâd, which was there represented by the inscription from Firôz Shâh's famous pillar.¹

FATHÂBÂD.

1. To the left of the *mihrâb* of what is now an '*Idgâh* in the fort of Fathâbâd, is a light-coloured rectangular sandstone, with an inscription of ten lines, measuring 2' 8" by

²⁸ In the prose passage, from here up to the end of line 27, it is impossible to say exactly how many *aksharas* are broken away in each place.

²⁹ Metre: Śloka (Anuṣṭubh).

³⁰ Below this line some more *aksharas* have been roughly engraved; but they are quite illegible in the impression.

¹ Mr. H. B. W. Garriok, in General Cunningham's *Archæological Survey Reports*, vol. XXIII, p. 11, remarks that Manlawī Ziyâ uddīn Khān has been successful in deciphering the long inscription on the above-mentioned monolith (see plate II there); but, so far as I know, the translation has not yet been published.

1' 2½", of which Mr. Garrick gives a good copy (*Arch. Sur. India Rep.* vol. XXIII plate iii)—

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم وإن المساجد [لله] فلا يدعوا [sic]
مع الله احدا وإنه لما قام عبد الله يدعوا [أ] ناد [أ] يكونون
عليه ابدا قال عليه السلام [من] بني الله [sic] مسجدا يبتغى به وجه الله بني الله له في
الجنة مثله تمام شد این مسجد در ایام درات شاه اعظم والتخاقان المعظم
ممالك الرقاب طرايف الامم من الهند والترك والعرب والعجم رافع رايات [أ] لمجاهدات
والمغازي محمد همارين بادشاه غازي خلد الله تعالي ملكه في بحار الطف [sic]
فلكه بسع [sic] جناب سعادة فرجام زبدة فضلاء الانام نتيجة الامراء العظام امير رستم بيك بن
جناب المغفور المبرور المختص امير محمد على الله تعالى محام في الثاني: ماه رمضان
سنة خمس [و] اربعين وتسعمائة كافر مناء فقير عبد الكريم درقد برای چراغ بر مرزم [؟] تعين كرده شد
طلاق است اورا هر كه منع كند .

"In the name," etc. (*Qorân*, Sûra lxxii, 18, 19). "The prophet,—upon whom be peace,—says, 'He who builds, etc.' This mosque was finished in the days of the reign of the great king, the exalted prince, the master of the necks of crowds of nations among the Indians, Turks, Arabs, and Persians, who raises the standard of holy strife and war, Muhammad Humâyûn, *Badishah-i-Ghâzi*,—May God Almighty perpetuate his kingdom [and guide] his ship in the seas of His favour!—through the exertion of the auspicious dignitary, the cream of the accomplished among men, the issue of great Amîrs, Amir Rustam Beg, son of his highness the pardoned and purified chosen Amîr Muhammad 'Alî,—God Almighty. on the 2nd of the month Ramazân A. 945 (*the 22nd January, 1539*). The superintendent of the work (*was*) the poor 'Abdul-Karîm."

The verse at the end of the inscription cannot be fully deciphered. The whole has a striking resemblance to the inscription of Amir Muhammad's mosque at Hisâr (*ante*, p. 155).

2. Into the outer wall of the mosque enclosure in the fort of Fathâbâd a rectangular sandstone is let containing a well-known invocation of 'Alî in Arabic, in four lines, size 1' 2¾" by 6¾"—

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم ناد عليا مظهر
العجايب سجده عزالك في الثواب كل
هم ر غم سينجلي بنبوتك يا محمد بولايتك
يا علي يا علي يا علي تم تم تم

"In the name, etc. Invoke 'Alî, the manifestor of miracles; thou wilt find him

for thy help in adversities. Every care and every sorrow will surely vanish through thy prophetship, O Muhammad, through thy saintliness, O 'Alī, O 'Alī, O 'Alī, O 'Alī!"

HISÂR.³

3. Near the Nâgôr-Gate, outside the town, is a sandstone (measuring 5' by 4"), on four sides covered with inscriptions. It belonged to the tomb of Shaikh Muhammad Chishtî, but it is now on a wrong grave, where it was placed a short time ago, on being dug up. The inscription, in one line, on the west upper side, runs—

نقل ابن سلطان المشايخ والارلياء شيخ محمد بن شيخ محمد چشتى في التاسع من شعبان سنة اثنى
وتسعين وثمانماية

"The departure of this king of the Shaikhs and the Saints, Shaikh Muhammad, son of Mahmûd, the Chishtî,⁴ (took place) on the 9th Sha'bân, 892 (31st July, 1487)."

Since the tomb of so highly revered a man as Shaikh Muhammad Chishtî was may claim some interest, I give also the Arabic inscriptions of the lower west side and of the east face—

يا من بدنياه اشتغل قد غرّه طول الامل الموت ياتي بغتة والقبر صندق العمل

Metre: *Rajaz*.

"Ah! He that is occupied with his earthly life and the length of his hope (*i.e.*, his hoped life-time) deceives himself! Death comes unexpectedly, and the tomb is the coffin of his work."

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم لا اله الا الله محمد رسول الله صلى الله عليه
الا انما الدنيا كمنزل راكب اينام عشيا وهو في الصبح راحل

"In the name, *etc.*, there is no God but, *etc.* Is not the world verily like the station of a camel-rider? Will he sleep in the evening when he is to depart in the morning?"

4. Outside the Nâgôr-Gate is also the enclosure known as Shaikh Junaid's tomb. The stone on the west contains the name of the builder twice, who, in spite of the omission of any title, may nevertheless be identical with the Shaikh named, from his also being

³ This formula is very popular for amulets all over the East. It is engraved on bracelets just as on swords (see *Proceedings As. S. Beng.* for November 1872, p. 169). Mr. Blochmann gives it in his *Āin-i Akbarī* Translation, p. 507, with an unmetrical alteration in the second line. But see also *Proceedings As. S. Beng.* (*loc. cit.*)

⁴ Some of the following inscriptions were published by Blochmann, in *Proceedings As. S. Beng.* for April 1877, pp. 94 *seq.*

⁵ The most extensive essay on the whole branch of the Chishtî Saints (see *ante*, p. 145) is in the *Sawdî ul-awâ'id*, a copy of which work is in India Office library in London. The contents of this large volume (481 pages of 17 lines each) have been copiously described by Prof. C. H. Ethé in his Catalogue of the Persian Manuscripts of the India Office Collection (No. 654), for access to the proof sheets of which I am indebted to the kindness of Dr. R. Rost. It is known that biographies of single Chishtîs are likewise given in other works and that there are writings treating of single members as the *Ma'âd ul-iddîn*, which is restricted to the life and deeds of *Nizâm uddîn Auliya*. Compared with such books those of the princess Jahânârâi about Shaikh Mu'in uddîn and of her brother Dârâ Shukôh (*Saffat ul-awliya*) are very dilettante. I have perused the whole of the first and looked over the latter in the manuscripts at the British Museum. This is not the place to speak at large of the value of the literary products of the two princely authors, but I may mention that I was disappointed with them, and the most by Dârâ Shukôh's, which pretends to be more serious than Jahânârâi's unassuming book. They do not furnish us with original information of importance concerning the Chishtîs, as one would be disposed to expect, considering the close relation of both with Shaikh Mu'in uddîn.

born at Ajôdhan,— the name for Dîpâlpûr,— too striking an accord.⁵ But then the date of the latter's death, as given in the *Khazînat ul-asfiyâ*, which Blochmann has extracted, must be too early. The size of the two inscriptions is 7' 6" by 7", and 6' by 4½", respectively.

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم الغرة من ربيع الاول سنة سبع وعشرين وتسع مائة بانيه جنيد بن چندان

"In the name, *etc.* On the first Rabi'ul-awwal, 927 (9th February, 1521). The builder of *it* (*was*) Junaid, son of Chandan."

الغرة من شهر ذي القعدة سنة احدى وثلاثين وتسع مائة بانيه جنيد بن چندان بن محمود ابو دهني

"On the first Zi'l-Qa'da, 931 (20th August, 1525). Its builder (*was*) Junaid, son of Chandan, son of Mahmûd, of Ajôdhan."

There are some other inscriptions from the same Mausoleum, but of no value. One, measuring 2' 1½" by 6", contains, in two lines, the *Bismillâh* and the *Kalîma*; two others from the north and west sides of the N.-W. pillar of the tomb, each measuring 1' 1" square, bear *Qorân*, Sûra cxii, adorned with flowers.⁷

5. Over the western arch inside a tomb, west of the road leading from Hisâr to Dâna Shêr, outside the town half a mile from the Dihlî-Gate, is an inscription in *stucco*; it measures 1' 2" by 1' 1½", and contains eight lines, but very much damaged—

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم الله

در عهد ميمى ردرلت

هماين خلد ملكه ر سلطانه

واعلى امره رشانه اين

عمارت ازلان والبخان

. بن مير بن

سلطانملكبك در سيم [؟] ماه رمضان

. سنة ثلاث واربعين وتسعمائة تمام شد

"In the auspicious time and reign of Humâyûn (*the king, etc.*)—May God perpetuate his kingdom and his rule, and elevate his condition and dignity!—this building of Wâltû Khân, son of Mîr son of Sultân Malik Bêg, was finished on the third [?] of month Ramzân, A. 943 (13th February, 1537)."

Along the right-hand side, almost in the same line with the above, are the following words:—

اين بادشه بيست هزار تنكه سيه [؟] باهتمام شيخ منور بن قاسم [؟] اتمام شد

⁵ Shaikh Farid uddîn Mas'ûd was also born at Ajôdhan,—see W. Pertsch, *Verzeichniss der persischen Handschriften der K niglichen Bibliothek zu Berlin*, No. 590, p. 556.

Mr. Ghul m Husain, who prepared the impressions of all these inscriptions with the same care as those of the former Panj b series, remarks that both have been published by Am n Ch nd in his *Settlement Report*, a work which is not to hand.

In the neighbourhood of this Mausoleum also the pre-Muhammadan pillar stands which, according to Captain W. Brown,—*Journal of A. S. Beng.* vol. VII, (1838), p. 429,—“has the appearance of having been partially worked by Feroz's orders, and probably some inscription was cut upon it by his workmen, but of which there is now no trace owing to the peeling off of the exterior surface.” Cf. Gen. Cunningham's *Archaeological Survey Reports*, vol. V, p. 140 *et seq.*

" 20,000 copper-*tankas* (1,000 *rupees*) was completed under the superintendence of Shaikh Munawwar, son of Qâsim."

Owing to the bad condition of the stone all the words are not certain.

6. The following two inscriptions come from the same place as No. 5. Their sizes are 3' 1½" by 1' 9", and 3' by 1' 6", respectively, each containing seven lines. The tomb itself is in poor condition and greatly neglected, but the inscriptions have been well preserved—

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم در عهد میمون و دولت همایین سلطان
الهند و الخراسان رافع رايات المجاهدات والمغازي محمد همایین
بادشاه غازي خلد خلافته این عمارت بتاریخ ماه رجب قدره سنه
اربع رابعین وتسعمائة تمام شد راين گنبد
بر تردي کرچک بن میر برنطق مغل شده است
راين جوان در لشکر گجرات شهادت یافت
و مبلغ بانزده هزار تنگه سیاه خرچ شده است

"In the propitious time and august reign of the king of India and Khorâsân, who raises the banners of holy strife and war, Muhammad Humâ'yûn, *Bâdishâh-i Ghâzi*,—May (God) perpetuate his khalifate!—this building was completed in the month Rajab—May its dignity be magnified!—of the year 944 (*began the 4th December, 1537*). And this cupola (*was made*) for the sake of Tardî Kuchuk, son of Mîr Baranṭaq, the Moghul; and this youth attained martyrdom in the army of Gujârât. The cost was 15,000 copper-*tankas* (750 *rupees*)."

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم در عهد میمون و دولت همایین
سلطان الهند و الخراسان رافع رايات المجاهدات و المغازي
طهیرالدین محمد همایین بادشاه غازي خلد خلافته بتاریخ ماه
رمضان سنه اربع رابعین و تسعمائة این عمارت از برای [؟]
میر عاشق محمد بن میر شاه علی شد راين
جوان در لشکر گجرات شهادت یافت
و مبلغ دوازده هزار تنگه سیاه خرچ شده

"In the propitious time and august reign of the king of India and Khorâsân, who raises the banners of holy strife and war, *Tahîr** *uddin* Muhammad Humâ'yûn, *Bâdishâh-i Ghâzi*,—May (God) perpetuate his khalifate!—this building was completed during the month Ramazân of the year 944 (*began the 1st February, 1538*). It was made for the sake of Mîr 'Âshiq Muhammad, son of Mîr Shâh 'Alî,* and this youth attained martyrdom in the army of Gujârât. The cost was 12,000 copper-*tankas* (600 *rupees*)."

* Mr. Blochmann has wrongly read Humâ'yûn's common *kunya* *Nasîr uddîn*; but here *Tahîr uddîn* has been engraved. *Zahîr uddîn* was Bâbar's *kunya*.

* Here 'Alâb has been engraved, but this is no name.

Both youths probably served in the Gujarât war that ended with Bahâdur Shâh's victory, A.H. 942. Then, or perhaps in Muhammad Zamân Mirzâ's attempt to overpower the country, their death may have occurred, and they afterwards found a tomb at home, Gujarât being lost to Humâyûn.

7. Over the *mihrâb* of an ' *Idgâh* at Dâna Sher, one mile south of Hisâr on the old Hânsî road, is an inscription of four lines in *stucco*, measuring 1' 9" by 4½". It is in very bad condition, being exposed to wind and weather. I try to read it thus—

این مسجد [؟] بك ابن برلاد سرانی سررا [نی] بن
ادریس سرانی مسند عالی دولتخانه در عهد شیرشاه
سلطان سنه تسع بع [sic] اربعین سبع تمام شد
خرچ شد مبلغ دوازده [؟] هزار

"This mosque of . . . Bêg, son of Pûlâd Sarvânî . . . son of Idris Sarvânî . . . the high *Masnad* of the *Daulatkhâna*, was finished in the reign of Shêr Shâh, the king, in the year 947 (*began the 8th May, 1540*) . . . The cost was 12,000"

With Messrs. Ghulâm Husain and the late E. Rehatsek (who made rough versions of many of these epigraphs) I have supposed that we have here a barbarous construction, contrary to the Arabic usage, the date beginning with the hundreds instead of the units. بع would then be for مائة 'hundred', scarcely for بع; so I read the monarch's name as Shêr Shâh, which is possible. And surely, if بع were only a blunder, perhaps of the stone-cutter, a reiterated *tis*, only with a shortening of the long stroke of the *sin*, and the date in this case were 749, one could not read the king's name as Muhammad, as would then be necessary, or the word after *sab*' as *mi'at*. Besides, there are some words, especially '*in masjid*', that I cannot warrant as certain.¹⁰

HÂNSÎ

Mr. H. B. W. Garrick (*ut sup.*, pp. 15, 16) gives a list of the principal inscriptions found at Hânsî. Some of them have already been published by Blochmann, that of the Dînî mosque, also *ante*, p. 159. There are several others perhaps also deserving notice that are not mentioned in Mr. Garrick's list, but I miss also some inscriptions of his in Mr. Rodgers's collection.¹¹

Because Hânsî was an important place in the times of the early Pathân Sultâns, it is not surprising that numerous old inscriptions of that dynasty are found there. If we do

¹⁰ In the *Ma'âthir ul-Umarâ*, vol. I, p. 583, it is mentioned by the way that the *dârâkhâgi* of the *daulatkhâna* was bestowed only upon trustworthy men. The author of the *Târîkh-i Shêrshâhî*, 'Abbâs Khân, son of Shaikh 'All, was also a Sarvânî, an Afghan tribe tracing its lineage to the mythic Bâtan (see *Âin-i Akbarî*, I, 591), likewise 'Azam Humâyûn (who lived in Sultân Ibrâhîm Lôdî's time, cf. *Bâbar's Memoirs*, pp. 347, 392; *Akbarnâma*, vol. I, p. 108) and therefore also his son Fath Khân Khânjahân (*Bâbar's Memoirs*, pp. 390, 392, *Akbarnâma*, vol. I, pp. 103, 104), and grandson Mahmûd Khân (*Bâbar's Memoirs*, p. 393, *Akbarnâma*, vol. I, p. 104), or Bahâdur Khân, whose tomb Bâbar visited at Sôgandpûr (*Memoirs*, p. 491), and others. For another Shêr Shâh inscription of the same year (at Sakit) see *Proceedings of As. Soc. Beng.* for May 1874, p. 105.

¹¹ e.g. Nos. 1, 3, 4. I leave it to the reader to correct Mr. Garrick's little mistakes concerning the single inscriptions and their readings.

not take into account the Dihlī inscriptions from the years 587 (E. Thomas, *The Chronicles of the Pathān Kings of Dehli*, p. 22 or *Journal Asiatique*, V^me série, vol. XVI (1860), p. 274) and of 592 (E. Thomas, *Chronicles*, p. 21, and *Jour. Asiat.*, p. 240), we have here the oldest dated Muhammadan one in India, viz. of 593 (of the same year is also a Dihlī inscription,—see *Jour. Asiat. u.s.* p. 240). Shaikh Ni'mat Ullāh's tomb has no original inscription relating to this pious man, and is a remnant of even an older period for the saint in 559 A.H. became a martyr in Sultān Shihāb uddīn Ghōrī's attack upon the fort of Hānsī (see Mr. Garrick's *Report*, p. 13). Not a few inscriptions evidently are also very old, but they bear no dates.

8. The rectangular sandstone, with the following inscription of one line, measuring 4' 11" by 6", serves now as a lintel to the doorway of the third enclosure of Shaikh Ni'mat Ullāh's tomb. Formerly it belonged to a mosque built by 'Alī, son of Isfandiyār; it is of the 5th year of Muhammad ibn Sām's reign:—

امر بنا هذا المسجد العبد علي بن اسفنديار في عشر ذي الحجة سنة ثلث وتسعين وخمس مائة

"This mosque was ordered to be built by the slave (of God) 'Alī, son of Isfandiyār on the 10th Zil Hijja, 593 (24th October, 1197)."

9. The *masjid* walls of the second enclosure of Shaikh Ni'mat Ullāh's tomb contain also other inscriptions that originally had nothing to do with it. Into the outer western wall a rectangular light-coloured sandstone has been built horizontally, bearing one line (size 3' by 1'), and mentioning the monarch's name under whose rule the inscription falls. Originally it was the jamb of a door. It is frightfully decayed, but the following is quite legible:—

[ابر]المظفر محمد بن سام ناصر امير المومنين

"[*Abu'l*] *Muzayyar* Muhammad, son of Sām, the helper of the Khalif of the faithful."

The other jamb contains also one line (measuring 3' 7½" by 11"); the lintel has not been found:—

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم من بنا لله مسجداً في الدنيا بنا [الله له] قصر [ا في الجنة]

"In the name of the merciful and compassionate God! Who builds a mosque in this world for God, God will build for him a castle in paradise....."

Other inscriptions from Muhammad ibn Sām's reign, besides the above-mentioned Dihlī ones, have been published by E. Thomas in his *Chronicles of the Pathān Kings*, pp. 24 (A.H. 594) and 25 (A.H. 596).

Of no historical value are the inscriptions over the doorway inside (1' by 11"), only a fragment, in large characters, containing two words, being a part of a king's title [رك والعجم]; over the northern window in the outer wall (2' 5" by 3½"), the first half of *Qorān*, Sūra ii, verse 215—, and in the northern inside wall (1' 7" by 6"), a fragment, [يؤمن بالله واليوم] (Qorān, Sūra ix, verse 9). But there is no reference to the age of all.

10. South-east of the town, about 150 yards from the walls, is the tomb of the four *Qutbs* or Saints (cf. Mr. Garrick's *Report*, p. 14, and plate vii). In the wall of the

enclosure is the following inscription, which originally belonged to the grave of some unnamed noble lady. It consists of two lines and measures 4' 3" by 11"—

تمت القبر المستورة الجليله نور الله قبرها وغفر الله لها في جنة الماري
يا ارحم الرحمين [sic] وذلك بالتاريخ الحادي غرت ذوالقعدة سنة اثني عشرين ستمائة

"Finished was the tomb of the veiled noble lady,—May Allāh illuminate her tomb and may Allāh pardon her in the garden of the asylum (*Paradise*)! O most merciful of the merciful! This took place on the 1st Zil Qa'da, 622 (*4th November, 1225*)."

It is curious that the word *qabr* is used as feminine, of course only from its being occupied by a woman. The year 622 falls in the reign of Shams-uddīn Altamsh, as does that of the following inscription, No. 11.

Entering the courtyard of the tomb one meets an undated fragmentary inscription, which has found a place in the wall, facing south, its original position not being known. It is much decayed, and consists of two lines (1' 10½" by 1' 1½"). A complement of it must be Mr. Garrick's sixth one—

لي [لاني] ريفندوس سلطاني
بندة مسعود محمد صفهاني برد

"[Gī]lānī and to Firdaus Sultānī. He was a slave of Mas'ūd Muhammad of Isfahān."

In the wall of the mosque of the four *Qulbs* is a fragmentary inscription of two lines, measuring 1' 4" by 1"—

بدر بن حضر
كمال [جمال] ابن

"By the grace of his highness Kamāl, son of....."

11. At the mosque of Bū Alī Bakhsh in the Moghulpāra-Quarter of Hānsi is a rectangular sandstone, measuring 2' 6" by 6", with two lines—

هذا عمارة المسجد العبد الضعيف احمد بن محمد اسمندي
في المنتصف ربيع الآخر سنة ثلث والعشرين رستمائة

"This building (*is*) the mosque of the feeble slave (*of God*) Ahmad, son of Muhammad, of Asmand. In the middle of Rabi' II, 623 (*began the 1st April, 1226*)."

Mr. Blochmann remarks that Asmand is a small place near Samargand.

There are also two other inscriptions over the north and south arches of the same mosque, the first (1' ½" by 7½") containing the *Bismillāh*, with the *Kalima*, the second (1' by 7") being a fragment.

12. Half a mile to the west of the town, in the fields, is an 'Idgāh and on the left of its central arch (*mihirāb*) is an old fragmentary inscription of two lines (measuring 2' 10½" by 1'), very much damaged. It runs—

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم قال عيسى ابن مريم اللهم ربنا انزل علينا مائدة
ناصر الدنيا والدين ابراهيم المظفر [?] محمد بن السلطان

"In the name, *etc.* Jesus, the son of Mary, has said, "O God, our Lord, send down to us a table from heaven [*to be to us as a festival, etc.*],—*Qorān*, Sūra v, verse 114.

Because the inscription is so worn there may be doubts about the king's name. I think it was Nûsir uddîn Mahmûd, second son of Altamsh of *that* name, who reigned A.H. 644-664, of whose time only one inscription has yet been published, viz. by Blochmann in the *Jour. As. Soc. B.*, vol. XLII, p. 248, note [A.H. 652, at Aligarh]. In spite of the want of any date we are scarcely allowed to think of his elder brother, owing to Mr. Thomas' remarks in his *Pathân Kings*, p. 84.

In the central arch of the same 'Īdgāh another old inscription of two lines of Altamsh's reign is said to be, size 2' 9½" by 1'.

13. On a loose stone in the courtyard of Bû 'Alî Bakhsh's mosque is a fragment, (the right half not being found) of three lines, size 1' 4" by 1' 2½":—

شاه گیهان معزالدنیا

سلطان خلد ملکه در نوبت

بهلول بن مہرباک الانی بر محرم سنہ سبع وثمانین رستمایہ

"The king of the world Mu'izz uddunyâ,.....the Sultân,—May (God) perpetuate his reign!—in the time of.....Bahlûl, son of Mihrâ (?) Bêg, Alânî... Muharram, 687 (*began the 6th February, 1288*)."

The name of the grandee is not certain. For other inscriptions of Kaiqobâd see *Ep. Ind.*, vol. II, p. 158, and note 42.

14. About a quarter of a mile north of the fort of Hânsî is the tomb of Walâyat Shâh Sultân Shahîd. Here are two inscriptions of no value; one mentioning the date 713, measuring 1' 10½" by 1' 2½", in three lines, the other measuring 1' 10½" by 5½", in one line, being a portion of *Qorân*, Sûra ii, verse 256.

15. At the mosque of the *Qulbs* (see No. 10) a rectangular sandstone bears eight lines in coarse and indistinct characters; size 3' 8" by 11":—

الملك لله

بتوفیق اللہ تعالیٰ بندہ درگاہ سبحانی ابا بکر نام جلوانی کہ یگی

از مریدان پیر دستگیر سلطان المشایخ شیخ ابوالفتح قدس اللہ سرہ العزیز است

در پایان قطب اقطاب عالم شیخ جمال الحق والشرع والدین طاب ثراه رجعل

الجنۃ مثواه رنوراللہ مراقده در وقت جلوس سجادہ بندگی سلطان المشایخ

شیخ فرید مد اللہ عمرہ این مسجد راس کنائید هرکہ درین مسجد نماز بگذارد بدعی

اینان [?] یاد کند کاتب حروف رضی قطب نایب قاضی هانسی

الخامس والعشیرین من ماه رجب قدرہ سنہ ست وتسعين وثمانمئة سنگتراش امین [?] بیړلال [?]

ناگوری

"The Kingdom belongs to God !

'By Almighty God's grace, the slave of the glorious court, Abâ Bakr by name, Jalwânî," one of the disciples of the guiding spiritual chief, the king of Shaikhs, Shaikh

¹⁹ In *Bâbar's Memoirs* occur also *Jalwânîs*, by name Isma'îl (pp. 338, 486, 487) and Mubârak Khân (p. 491), or Râi Hussain, a partisan of Shêr Khân (*rede Akbarnâma*, vol. I, p. 164), and others. I have read *Indn* (first word of the 7th line) instead of *Idn*, as has been engraved on the stone.

Abu'l Fath,—May God sanctify his dear secret!—has laid the foundation of this mosque at the feet of the pole of the poles of the world, Shaikh *Jamál ul-haqq washshar' waddín* (i.e. Shaikh Jamál uddín),—May his grave be fragrant! May (God) make Paradise his mansion, and may God illuminate his resting-place!—at the time when the king of Shaikhs, Shaikh Faríd,—May God lengthen his life!—ascended to the throne of the spiritual rule. Every one who prays in this mosque should remember these men in his prayer.

"The writer of the words (*was*) Razá Qutb, the representative of the judge of Hânsî On the 25th Rajab,—May its power increase!—898 (3rd January, 1491). The stone-cutter (*was*) Amin(?) Bîr Lâl of Nâgôr."

In the first line, to the left of the words "The kingdom belongs to God," in smaller characters, the name of the reigning king is given—

در عهد بادشاه زمان [?] سکندر شاه بن بهلول شاه سلطان خلد الله ملکه و سلطانہ

"In the time of the king of the age, Sikandar Shâh, son of Buhlûl Shâh, the king,—May God perpetuate his kingdom and his rule!"

16. In the north inner wall of the Barsî gate in Hânsî is a rectangular sandstone, measuring 1' 5" by 1' 1", on which are five lines—

بناء عمارت این دروازه منین علی با مرمت حصن حصین علانی

که مرخواست سده اثنی و سبعماية در عهد سلطان السلاطين ابرالمظفر

ابراهيم شاه سلطان خلد الله ملکه و سلطانہ و در عمل مسند عالي حميد خان

[1] مانتخان کمال و در شقنداری خواجه شيخ [?] محمد و بفرمایش موکل بن کمال

. فی الخامس من شهر ذی القعدة سنة ثمان وعشرين وتسعمائة کاتب خانزاده نصر مفتي هانسي

"The building of the edifice of this solid, high gate, together with the repairs of the strong upper fort,¹³ dated 702 A.H. (*began 26th August, 1302*), (*took place*) in the time of the king of kings, Abu'l Muzaffar Ibrâhîm Shâh, the king,—May God perpetuate his kingdom and his reign!—and during the governorship of the high Masnad Hamîd Khân, son of Amânat Khân Kamâl and during the *shiqdârî* of Khwâja Shaikh Muhammad, and by order of Muwakkal, son of Kamâl... , on the 5th Zi'l Qa'da, 928 (26th September, 1522). The writer (*was*) Khânzâda Naşr, the Muftî of Hânsî."

Hamîd Khân is known to have been governor of Hîşâr Fîrôza; he was defeated in battle by Prince Humâyûn A.H. 932 (*Akbarnâma*, vol. I, p. 94, and *Bâbar's*

¹³ As we learn from an inscription on the same gate, to the left of the entrance, published by Blochmann in the *Proceedings of As. Soc. B.*, for May 1877, p. 122, and mentioned by Mr. Garrick (No. 6), the correct year of the first fortification of Hânsî was 703, not 702. The repairing of the fort which most probably Muhammad Shâh had intended be an outpost against the Moghuls, was useless against their final invasion under Akbar.

Memoirs, p. 389, where he is styled *Hamída Khán Khássa Khailí, shiqdár* of Hissar Firôza).

The following inscription refers also to Muhammad Sháh's fortification of the place.¹⁴ Originally it consisted of three parts that are now scattered. The larger portion, given here, is at present doing duty as the headstone of a grave near the Husain Gate; a smaller one has been let into the brick wall of a well, near the same gate, and is fast being destroyed. The inscription, in heavy characters, is a very fine one, and ought to be preserved. Two lines, size 3' 3" by 1' 6"—

بناء عمارت این حصن حصین و دروازه متین در عهد [سلطان السلاطین]

جم نشان سلیمان تمکین سکندر زمان ابرالمظفر محمد شاه السلاطین

"The building of the edifice of this strong fort and solid gate (*was*) in the time of (*the king of kings*) celebrated like Jamshêd, of high dignity like Solomon, the Alexander of the period, *Abu'l Muzaffar Muhammad Sháh*, the king....."¹⁵

17. Inside of the *mihrah* of the mosque of the Makhdûm Şahib Ashraf in the Weavers' Quarter of Hânsî, is a very old inscription in square Kufic characters that must be of the first Pathân period. It measures 1' 1" by 9" and consists of the *Kalima* only. Over the outer gateway of the enclosure of the same mosque is found a poor inscription of three lines (9½" by 6"), giving the date, "Month Şafar 989," (*began 7th March, 1581*). The old mosque therefore was repaired in Akbar's time.

18. Outside the stables of Haidar Bég, high up in a wall is a rectangular sandstone, bearing an inscription of nine lines (size 1' 9½" by 1' 7"). It is frightfully worn and can be deciphered only with the greatest difficulty. But the following is certain:—

بغایت

در عهد دولت سلطان السلاطین

ابرالمظفر شهاب الدین محمد صاحب

¹⁴ Perhaps also to the Barsi Gate (Blochmann No. 3, Garrick No. 6).

¹⁵ Other inscriptions of 'Alâ uddin Muhammad Sháh's reign (A.H. 696-715) have been published in General Cunningham's *Archaeological Survey Reports*, vol. XX, p. 76 (A.H. 705, at Bayâna), by E. Thomas, *Chronicles of the Pathân Kings*, p. 173 (A.H. 710, at Dihli), and by Blochmann, *Proceedings of As. Soc. B.*, for August 1873, p. 156 (A.H. 711, at Râptî), (also No. 22 below). I would here call attention to a work that may be very important for the history of another monarch of the Khilji tribe, viz. of Mahmûd Sháh I. of Mâlwa. The Royal Library at Berlin possesses a MS. that seems to be unique; at least I have found no second copy in the accessible catalogues of manuscripts, and the late Mr. E. Rehatek did not know of one elsewhere. Its title is *Ma'âthir-i Mahmûdshâhi wa siyar-i khilâfat-panâhi*, written in the lifetime of Mahmûd Sháh I. of Mâlwa, as the author 'Alî Ibn Mahmûd ul-Kirmânî states, by Mahmûd's own order. The writer shows himself well acquainted with many private adventures of his sovereign, especially with his dreams before the occupation of the throne, and he describes fully these inviting divine messages as justifying the rebellion of the subject against his former master. Although partly composed in a very bombastic style, it would still be worth publishing, all the more as it is a proof of the taste then reigning at the court of Mâlwa. Mahmûd is related to have been always a favourite of learned men. It is stated in this work that for the engraving of the inscriptions on the splendid *Madrasa Bâm-i Bihisht*, built by Sháh Mahmûd A.H. 845 in his capital, he employed Persian artists because of their higher dexterity, and these applied the *thulth* and *muhaggaq* kinds of writing (Fol. 89). This may be a hint in cases where nothing is related concerning the nationality of the stone-cutters. It is remarkable how many of the 'modern' Indian calligraphists mentioned in the 34th chapter of the first book of the *Âin-i Akbarî* (cf. also Mr. Blochmann's translation, p. 100, *et seq.* and notes) were strangers by birth and only afterwards settled in India. The Kufic style that has flourished in Arabia, Egypt and Spain has produced only some scanty flowers in India, but this is easily explained by the later date of Islâm in India, the Kufic period then already having passed. Some remarks about the calligraphy of the inscriptions are to be found in the *Jour. of As. S. B.*, vol. XL, p. 257-8, and in Ravenshaw's *Gaur*.

قران ثاني شاه جهان بادشاه غازي
 خلد الله ملكه و سلطانه
 اين جاه زنان شد

 بتاريخ هفتم ماه شعبان المعظم
 سنه سبع وخمسين والاف

"By the favour of . . . in the time and reign of the king of kings, *Abu'l Mu-
 saffar Shihâb uddîn* Muhammad, second lord of the conjunction, *Shâhjahân*,
Bâdishâhi Ghâzi—May God perpetuate his kingdom and his rule! . . . of this well
 for women . . . on the 7th of the honoured month *Sha'bân* in the year 1057 (7th
 September, 1647)."

The words *châh-i zanân*—"well for women," seem to be certain, so that there can be no
 uncertainty about the establishment the inscription refers to. In the fourth chapter of the
 third book of the *Âin-i Akbarî*, vol. I, p. 284, it is stated amongst the duties of
 the *Kotwâl*, to reserve separate ferries and wells for men and women.

19. On the east wall of the enclosure of a tomb in the court-yard of *Makhdûm*
Shâhib Ashraf's mosque is an inscription, measuring 1' 2" by 8½", in a very bad con-
 dition; only the date الف در سنه سبع ثمانين 'A.H. 1087' (*began the 16th March, 1676*) and
 the name of the architect بسعي معمار شريف 'by the effort of the architect Sharîf' are
 legible. The reigning monarch was Aurangzêb Âlamgîr.

20. There are also some fragments of inscriptions, found at Hânsî, that for want of
 any characteristic indications, cannot be ascribed to a certain epoch, *e.g.* a portion of the
 so-called throne-verse (*Qorân*, Sura ii, 256) engraved on a sandstone that has found a
 place above the outer doorway of the courtyard of the mosque, a little west of the fort, or
 a part of the well-known *hadîth* about the building of mosques, on the well in the
 Dâk Bangla compound (size 8" by 20", one line).

BHAṬINḌA.

21. Bhaṭinḍa, the Bhatti's city, according to the very probable etymology of Mr.
 Garrick, p. 5, has always had a strong fort.¹⁶ There is preserved a large cannon of
 Aurangzêb's time bearing two inscriptions:—

هر الغالب
 در عهد ابرالظفر محى الدين محمد
 اورنگ زیب بهادر عالم گیر
 بادشاه عازى ترب اورنگ شاهى
 مرتب شد سنه يکهزار و هفتاد و سه هجرى
 باهتمام مرید ندرى باخلاص
 معتمد خان فى سنه ۱۰ جلوس
 مبارک ولا

¹⁶ Bhaṭinḍa is mentioned in the *Âin-i Akbarî* amongst others as a place where Akbar often hunted leopards vol. I,
 207, and near which camels were numerous, vol. I, p. 146.

"He (*God*) is the conqueror! In the time of *Abu'l Zafar Muhyi'ddīn Muḥammad Aurangzēb Bahādur 'Ālamgīr, Bādishāh-i Ghāzī*, the *Aurangshāh*-cannon was set up in A.H. 1073 (*began the 16th August, 1662*). By the efforts of the devoted and sincere subject *Mu'tamid Khān*, in the 5th year of the blessed reign."

در عمل متبر اداس دلارامجی

..... ترب

در قلعه گوالیار مرتب شد

"During the office of *Mathurādās Dilārāmji*..... the cannon has been placed in the fort of *Gwāliār*."

The cannon, consequently, was placed at first at *Gwāliār*, where *Mu'tamid Khān* was commandant from the 24th *Jumādī I*, 1071.¹⁷ Afterwards it was brought to *Bhatīṇḍa*.

Inscriptions from other cannons have been published by Major St. G. D. Showers,—*Shāhjahān's* gun *Jahānkushā* by name, see *Jour. As. Soc. B.*, vol. XVI, (1847), p. 589,—and by Blochmann—a trophy gun formerly belonging to the Bengal Artillery, A.H. 1186 (*began 4th April, 1772*),—see *Proc. As. Soc. B.*, for November 1872, p. 169.¹⁸

ROHTAK.¹⁹

22. Over the *mihrāb* of the *Ādīna* mosque in the town of *Rohtak* are two lines, size 4' 6" by 1' 2"; the letters partly are very much damaged:—

نورمحمد حضرت بردانی در خراب محمدی دالمه مسلمان حاد[ی] حامی

ملک حاکمی علا الدین والدین سلطان حاکم

داعب نحر ابوالطغر محمد ساه السلطان خلد الله ملکه اس مسجد مرمزان و بر. حامی اهل امان

عمار فرمود بدارم عرو ماه رمضان سال هفتصد و هشت از هجری بود

"Through the grace of the sublime God....."

Alā uddunyā waddīn,.....of the kings of the world,.....*Abu'l Muzaḥḥar Muḥammad Shāh*, the king,—May God perpetuate his kingdom!—has deigned to build this mosque of the true believers and.....place of the people of the faith. It was on the 1st *Ramazān* A.H. 708 (*12th February, 1309*)."

23. Over the outer archway of a small mosque in the fort of *Rohtak*, near the *Dihli* Gate of the town is a rectangular sandstone, with an inscription of two lines, size 3' 0½" by 8½", the right end of which is missing:—

بادشاه غازی سلطان السلاطین غیاث الدین سکندر زمان ابوالمظفر تغلق شاه السلطان

[خ] دایگان محمد علی سلطان غری ماه مبارک رمضان عم برکاته سال بر هفتصد و بیست و چهار

"(In the time) of the *Bādishāh-i Ghāzī*, the king of kings, *Ghiyāth uddunyā waddīn*, the Alexander of the period, *Abu'l Muzaḥḥar Tughluq Shāh* (*this mosque has been*

¹⁷ See the particulars concerning this officer given by Blochmann from the *Ma'athir-i 'Ālamgīr* in the *Proceedings of As. Soc. Beng.* for August 1874, p. 179.

¹⁸ I have collected considerable materials respecting the artillery of the Moghuls, for an essay on their army and warfare, now in the press (E. J. Brill, Leiden).

¹⁹ See *E. I.*, vol. II, pp. 143 et seq.

built, through the grace of the Lord, by) Muhammad 'Alī Sultānī. On the first of the blessed month Ramazān,—May its blessings be general!—A. 724 (22nd August, 1324)."

This inscription is the second as yet published of Tughluq Shāh's reign (see Blochmann, *Proc. As. Soc. B.*, for August 1873, p. 157; A.H. 722, at Mahōbā).

Perhaps the mosque was repaired in Bābar's time; there is at least an inscription over the central archway (measuring 1' 10" by 1' 1") outside, that seems to mention such a fact, but it is too much injured to allow a decisive judgment. The first line is nearly altogether gone, also the beginning of the second and the whole third of the line are illegible; almost all dots are missing.

بسم [الله الرحمن الرحيم]

. . . . این مسجد در عهد حضرت ظهیرالدین محمد بابر بادشاه غازی خلد الله ملکه

. رمضان ثلثین وتسعمائة

"This mosque.....in the time of.....His Majesty *Zahīr uddīn* Muhammad Bābar, *Bādīshāh-i Ghāzī*.....Ramazān.....93."

The date, of course, must be between 932 and 937.

XXXV.—ŚRIDHARA'S DEVAPATTANA PRAŚASTI.

BY DR. G. BÜHLER AND VAJESHANKAR G. OZHA.

The subjoined inscription is the same as that noticed by Colonel Tod in his *Travels in Western India*, p. 513 f. and by Mr. Postans in the *Journal Bo. Br. Roy. As. Soc.*, vol. II, p. 16 f. According to both these authors it was found at Devapattana or Somnāthpāṭan near Verāval on a pillar near the Qāzī's house. At present the slab on which it is incised is built into the wall of the fort, to the right of the great gate of the town. Both Colonel Tod and Mr. Postans give what is said to be a translation of the document, prepared, "with the assistance of a learned Jain priest," by Mr. Wathen, according to an eye-copy made by Rāmdatt Krishṇadatt Purāṇī. Mr. Wathen's translation teems with the most extraordinary statements regarding the Chaulukya kings of Anhilvād, which, however, fortunately have received little attention. The present edition has been prepared according to a rubbing by the late Pandit Girjāshankar Sāmājī, which Mr. V. G. Ozha forwarded for publication to the first-named editor, together with a transcript,¹ a Gujarātī translation and some explanatory notes in the same language.

The slab measures according to the rubbing 30 inches in breadth and 27 in length, a space of 5 inches having been left blank at the lower end. At the upper left-hand corner a piece has been broken off. To the right considerable portions of a good many

¹ This transcript seems to have been done according to the original on the stone, as it contains much that is not readable in the rubbing. All this, as well as a number of misreadings, has been given in the footnotes to the edition with the signature V. G. O.—[G. B.]

lines have been half or entirely effaced,² the injured spots becoming larger and larger towards the end of the inscription.

The workmanship is good. In the first line some of the *anusvāras* have been made highly ornamental, being surrounded by three semi-circles, to the uppermost of which a stroke resembling a *mātrā* is attached. At the end there are two signs of good omen, the second of which is a Svastika. The name of the first is not known to me. It consists of an oblong with two small circles at the top and one in the centre and a triangle attached below. The characters are ordinary Devanāgarī of the 13th century. It must be noted that *va* does duty for *ba* and *va*, and that the groups like *jy*, *tva*, *dya* are invariably spelt *jy*, *tva*, and *dya*. In verse 45 we have the curious word *Ġārjarātrāḥ* corresponding to the modern *Gujarāt*. In my opinion it has been coined out of the latter just like *Suratrāṇa* out of *Sultan* and *Garjanaka* out of *Ghaznav*. *Gujarāt* itself is probably a hybrid formation, the Arabic collective affix *āt*, being added to the name of the Gurjara or Gujar clan.

The contents of the inscription, which, with the exception of the first and last words, is throughout metrical, are as follows :—

(1) A *maṅgala*, verses 1-3, the first two being addressed to Śiva, identified with the supreme Brahman.

(2) A eulogy of the temple and town of Somanātha, which the Moon founded in order to escape from the intolerable disease of consumption, verses 4-5.

(3) A eulogy of certain members of the Vastrākula race and of the Chaulukya kings of Anhilvād, verses 6-25.

(4) A eulogy of Śrīdhara, the representative of the Vastrākula family in Vikrama Samvat 1273, who built several temples at Devapattana, verses 26-51.

(5) A eulogy of an ascetic, Vimalaśiva (?), who seems to have been the spiritual head of the Śaivas or priest of the temple, verses 52-57.

(6) A notice of the author of the poem, whose name is lost, a prayer for the duration of the new temples and a notice of their architect (name lost) verses 58-60 and the date.

The eulogy of the Chaulukya kings teaches us nothing new. They are all there from Mūlarāja I. to Bhīmadeva II. with the exception of Bhīmadeva I., whose name has been lost by a break in the first Pāda of verse 16. Their description is almost entirely conventional. The only historical fact mentioned (verse 23) is that Bhīmadeva II. built a *Someśvaramaṇḍapa*, or a hall connected with the temple of Śiva, which bore the name Meghadhvani. The description of the Vastrākula family possesses greater interest. Verse 7 informs us that its Vedic gōtra was that of Śāndilya, and that its home was Nagara, *i.e.* Vāḍnagar in northern Gujarāt. To this race belonged Ūyābhata (verses 7-8) a pious astrologer, through whose benedictions king Mūla, *i.e.* Mūlaraja, I., enjoyed, untroubled by foes, for a long time his kingdom, which might raise envy in Indra's breast. Possibly this may mean that Ūyābhata was Mūlarāja's Joshi or court-astrologer. This man had three sons, Mādhava, Lūla and Bhābha, whom the king charged with the supervision of his charities and commissioned to look after the digging of square and round wells and tanks as well as after the erection of houses of

² Those letters, which are very faint or doubtful, have been enclosed between brackets.—[G. B.]

refuge, colleges, temples and alms-houses, gilt flagstaffs, arches, markets, towns, villages, drinking-fountains and halls (verses 9-10). King Châmuṇḍa continued to show them favour and bestowed "the village of Kanheśvara on the great councillor (*mahāmantrin*) Mādhaḥva," "his father's friend" (verse 12). The line of the Vastrākulas was continued further through the offspring of Ūyābhaṭṭa's second son, Lūla. The latter had a son, called Bhābha or also Lūla(?) (verse 24), who was a friend of King Bhīmadeva I. Bhābha-Lūla begat Śobha, "the dear friend of him who was called Jayasimha" (verse 25). His son Valla became an official (*sachiva*) under Kumārapāla (verse 25) and married Rohiṇī. "She bore to him Śrīdhara, who caused his race to unfold just as the moon makes the night-lotuses open their blossoms" (verse 26), and "was honoured among the officials of illustrious King Bhīma," i.e. of Bhīmadeva II. (verse 27). The poet then goes on to lavish the most extravagant praises on this person with which are mixed a few apparently historical statements. Verse 40 inform us that Śrīdhara was much married and had three wives, Sāvitrī, Lakshmi and Saubhāgyadevi. According to verse 42: "He quickly made again stable by the power of his *mantra* (i.e. his political wisdom or charms) the country, that had been shaken by the multitude of the [impetuous] war elephants of Mālva resembling a forest of dark Tamāla trees, and he protected glorious Devapattana by his own power."

From this statement it would appear that he somehow assisted his sovereign to get over the troubles with King Arjunavarman, who some time before A.D. 1216 effected the *bhaṅga* of Gujarāt, and that he was governor of Devapattana. The latter point seems to be hinted at also in the next following verse, where it is asserted that "Śrīdhara the pride of the fort" made like to much grass the host of heroic Hammīra, that moved like the high rising waves of the ocean which on the dissolution of the world inundate the shores,—that smashed the hills by the impetus of the mere movement of its feet and that split in twain the circle of the earth."

"Heroic Hammīra" is probably one of the Musalman generals, who more than once attacked and temporarily conquered Gujarāt during Bhīmadeva's reign. How great and important these successes in politics and war really were, must remain doubtful, as a little goes a long way with the composers of *Prasastis*. Verse 44 informs us that Śrīdhara built in Somnāthpātan two temples, at Rohiṇīsvāmin, sacred to Vishṇu, in memory of his mother and a temple of Śiva, called after his father Valla. The remaining verses are so badly mutilated that it is impossible to speak with any certainty as to their contents. The date Vikrama Samvat 1273, Vaiśākha sudi 4 Friday corresponds, according to Professor Jacobi's Tables (*ante*, volume I, p. 403, ff.) to Friday, April 22, A.D. 1216.

TRANSCRIPT.

L. 1. — — —: शिवाय ॥

मनीमन्यादिभूम्यंततत्त्वमालावलंबनं ।

उपास्यहे परं तत्त्वं पंचकालीककारणं ॥ १ [H*]'

वियद्वायुर्वर्जिर्जलमवनिर्दिदुर्दिनकर-

चिदाधारश्चेति त्रिभुवनमिदं यन्मयमभूत् ।

स वः श्रेयो देवा-

² Or, possibly, "he whose pride was the fort."

Restore च। नमः शिवाय। Metre, Anushtubh.

- L. 2. — — — रनाथः सुरनर्द
 सङ्कपां विभ्राणः शिरसि गिरिजाक्षेपविषयः ॥ २ ॥⁶
 पुष्पातु स्फुरदभ्रविभ्रमभृतः कण्ठस्य वक्षस्वत-
 प्रेक्ष्यत्कौस्तुभकांतिभिः कवचिता लक्ष्मीकटाक्षावलिः ।
 या संभोगभराक्षसा तनुत-
- „ 3. — — जन्यविन्यासभू-
 दारिद्र्यद्रुमदावपावकशिखाकारानिशं वः त्रियं ॥ ३ ॥⁷
 श्रीसोमनाथायतनस्य रेखा भूमेरिवीर्यागुलिरत्र भाति ।
 अनन्यसाधारणशोभमेतत्पुरं पुरारिरिति सूचयंती ॥ ४ ॥⁸
 महीवदनपंकजं भुवन-
- „ 4. — — भूषाविधि-
 निर्धिः सकलसंपदां त्रिपुरवैरिणः सम्मतं ।
 तदेतदतिदुःसहस्रयविनाशसिद्धौ पुरा
 शशांकरचितं पुरं जयति वारिधिः सन्निधौ ॥ ५ ॥⁹
 अस्ति स्वस्तिमदंबुजासननिभैरध्यासितं यज्वभि-
 र्धूमध्यामलित-
- „ 5. — लांवरतलं स्थानं त्रयोकेलिभूः ।
 अभ्यर्थं द्विजपुंगवाक्षगरमित्यर्धेदुच्छ्रामणिः ।
 प्रादादष्टकुलान्वयापरचतुःषष्ठ्यस्तुष्टै च यत् ॥ ६ ॥¹⁰
 शांडिल्याख्योदयवंशापकेतुर्गोत्रं ख्यातं नाम वस्त्राकुलं यत् ।
 कया-
- „ 6. — हा देवयुस्तत्र जप्ते देवज्ञत्वं यस्य सान्वयमासीत् ॥ ७ ॥¹¹
 यदीयाशीर्वादिरमरपतिकार्ष्ण्यजनकं
 भुनक्ति स्नायतं निहतरीपु राज्ञ्यं चिरतरं ।
 निहत्य स्नापालान्णहिलपुरं मूलनृपतिः
 प्रभुत्वं तत्पुत्रेष्वलत सुकृतार्थव्यवसितं ॥ ८ ॥¹²
 गंगाप्रवाह-
- „ 7. प्रतिभा वभ्रुवुस्तस्यात्मजा माधवलक्षभाभाः ।
 ते मूलराजेन पुरस्कृताश्च भगीरथेनेव यशोऽवतसाः ॥ ९ ॥¹³
 वापीकूपतडागकुट्टिममठप्रासादसत्रालयान्
 सौवर्णध्वजतोरणापण्यपुरधामप्रपामंडपान् ।
 कीर्त्तिश्चैकसक्तप्रदाक्षरप-

⁶ Metre, Sikharinī.— Restore ईवापरमहुर°.—[V. G. O.]

°क्षेप-विषया erroneously.—[V. G. O.]

⁷ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīḍita.— Restore तनुवटे सौमन्य°.—
 [V. G. O.]

⁸ Metre, Upajāti.— Read °कीर्त्तिं वि°.

⁹ Metre, Prithvī.— Restore सुषमवाह°.—[V. G. O.]

¹⁰ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīḍita.— Restore °आनक्षितानक्षा°.—
 °Dole stop after °ब्रूवाक्षः

¹¹ Metre, Śālinī.— Restore कव(मटी);—कवा(ए)य erroneously
 —[V. G. O.]

¹² Metre, Sikharinī

¹³ Metre, Upajāti.— °Dole Avagraha in दक्षीवर्वाः

L. 8.

तः श्रीमूलराजस्त्रिभि-

स्त्रीरयासनिभैर्बधापयदयं चौलुक्खचूडामणिः ॥ १० [॥*]¹⁵

यद्याद्रासु तुरंगमोदुरखुरसुबच्चमामंडल-

चोदच्छब्ददिगंतमंवरमभूदेकातपत्राकृति ।

आशाकुंजरकर्णकोटरतटीरप्य-

,, 9.

अगंडोपला-

न्भिंदानः पटहृध्वनिः क्षितिधरयेणीषु वभ्राम च ॥ ११ [॥*]¹⁶

तस्मिन्भूभुजि नाकनायकसभामध्यासिते भूपतिः

प्रत्यर्थिस्त्रितिपालशैलकुलियशसुंडराजो ऽ भवत्

प्रीत्या ग्रामवरं ददौ निजपितुर्मित्रा-

,, 10.

य कण्ठेश्वरं

यः श्रीमाधवनामधयकृतने तस्मै महामंत्रिणे ॥ १२ [॥*]¹⁶

यस्योत्तुंगतुरंगतांडवभवः पांशूत्कारः सैनिकः

स्वःसीमासु मरुद्गणाभयमहावप्रप्रकारोभवत् ।

शक्रेणासुर —ि— कप्रशमनं दृष्ट्वातिमुष्टा-

,, 11.

तमना

निःशंकं निदधे शचीकुचतटे चेतस्त्रिरेण ध्रुवं ॥ १३ [॥*]¹⁶

तस्यात्मजस्तदनु दुर्लभराजनामा

यस्यारिराजमकरध्वजशंकराख्या ।

पृथ्वीं वभार परिपंथि — — — — —

— — — — — णितभद्रपीठः ॥ १४ [॥*]¹⁷

तदनु तदनु-

,, 12.

जोभूदल्लभो भूर्भुवःस्व-

स्त्रितयपठितकीर्त्तिर्मूर्त्तिर्मदिक्रमयोः ।

यदरिणपपुरेषु स्थलक्ताफलांका

सृगपतिपदपंक्तिर्लक्ष्यते चत्वरेषु ॥ १५ [॥*]¹³

क्षोणीचक्रैकशक्रे — — — — —

— — प्रेक्ष्यतापप्रतिहतनि-

,, 13.

खिलारारिराजन्यसैन्यः ।

तस्मिन् देवांगनानास्त्रिविडतरपरीरंभभाजि क्षितीये

कर्णः क्षीर्षाभियातिर्भुवमधृत भुजे भोगादुत्थसरेण ॥ १६ [॥*]¹⁹

तस्मिन् — — — — —

— — — — — रभूजयसिंहदेवः ।

यस्य चपाक-

¹⁵ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīḍita.—Read °वरपतिः.—[V. G. O.]¹⁶ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīḍita.—Read °ध्रुवः.—[V. G. O.]

क्षीतर° erroneously.—[V. G. O.]

¹⁷ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīḍita.—कण्ठेश्वरे erroneously.—[V. G. O.]

O.]

¹⁸ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīḍita.—शक्रेणासुरकीटिक°.—[V. G. O.]

कीटिक° to be read.

¹⁹ Metre, Vasantatilakā.—परिपंथिपरिःक्षीरीटरजपृतिश्चरित
क्षीरित° [V. G. O.], which is against the metre.¹⁵ Metre, Mālini.—Read स्थलक्ताफलांका.—[V. G. O.]¹⁶ Metre, Śragdhara.—V. G. O. reads after °शक्रे [पुन —
— — — चंद्रशक्ति — — से ।]. Read °यस्यसरेण.—[V. G.
O.]. The same corrects erroneously कर्षोनिना°.

- J. 14. रकप्रतिमल्लमूर्तिः
 कीर्तिर्जगत्सु नरिनर्ति नटांगनेव ॥ १७ [॥*]²⁰
 पाषाणकृत्य जयत्रियं क्षितिभुजामये समयां मही-
 मेकच्छत्रपरिच्छदां विदधता वीरेण वि-रितः ।
 येनारातिनृपा- — — — — — हृदाभिर्भृशं
 संधुष्य क्षुभि-
- „ 15. तीर्वसनिभसमुत्क्षेपः प्रतापानलः ॥ १८ [॥*]²¹
 तस्मिन्पुंद्रत्वमनुप्रवृत्ते त्रैलोक्यरक्षाक्षमविक्रमांकः ॥
 लोकंष्टौरात्मगुणैरलंघयः कुमारपालः प्रवभूव भूपः ॥ १९ [॥*]²²
 यदरि — — — — — अस-त-
 प्रष्टमरपटुकी-
- „ 16. लालीढदिक्कः प्रतापः ।
 कथयति घनफेनस्फारकलोललोलं
 जलनिधिजलमद्याप्युत्पतिष्णु प्रकामं ॥ २० [॥*]²³
 आखंडलप्राङ्गणिके च तस्मिन् भुवं वभाराजयदेव — ।
 — — — — — तदप्रकांडानुवाप यो
- „ 17. नैगमधर्मवृत्तान् ॥ २१ [२१*]²⁴
 यत्स्वङ्गधाराजलमग्ननानानृपेन्द्रविक्रांतियशःप्रशस्तिः ।
 वभ्राज तत्पुष्करमालिकेव श्रीमूलराजस्तदनूदियाय ॥ २२ [॥*]²⁵
 [तस्यानुजम्भा जयति क्षितीशः] श्रीभीमदेवः प्रथितप्रतापः ;
 अ-
- „ 18. कारि सोमेश्वरमंडपोयं येनाऽत्र मेघध्वनिनामधेयः ॥ २३ [॥*]²⁶
 लूलात्मजः समजनिष्ट विशिष्टमान्यो
 भाभाख्यया सुभटभीमनृपस्य मित्रं [।]
 लूला — — — वजीवन — — — —
 — — — — — पतिसभाख्यवपूर्णचंद्रः ॥
- „ 19. २४ [॥*]²⁷
 तस्याभवद्भवनमंडलमंडनाय
 शोभाभिधः प्रियसुहृज्जयमिंहनाक्षः ।
 यस्यात्मजः सचिवतामधिगम्य वल्लः
 स[म्मान]यां सुचिरमास कुमारपालं ॥ २५ [॥*]²⁸
 अथोप — — — — — हिणी-
 सुमामिवेशः कम-

²⁰ Metre, Vasantatilakā. — V. G. O. reads after तस्मिन्-
 [सुखसुवनादि जय — — —]; read चपाकरकर. — [V. G. O.]

²¹ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīḍita. — V. G. O. reads विक्ता(सा)
 रिक्तः. चपा. — — — — र- चि — — —

²² Metre, Upajāti.

²³ Metre, Mālinī. — वररिपुरेण व्याघ्रविनासवात. — [V. G. O.]

²⁴ Metre, Upajāti. — राजवर्धनभूपः । उच्छारयन्सुपवर. —
 [V. G. O.]

²⁵ Metre, Upajāti.

²⁶ Metre, Upajāti. — The first Pāda is very indistinct.

²⁷ Metre, Vasantatilakā. — सूबाण[स]बा नु भवजीवनपूर्वकुम्भः
 श्रीभीमभूप. — [V. G. O.] The same corrects सूबाख्यः to
 सूबात्मजः ।

²⁸ Metre, first three Pādas Vasantatilakā. सुचिर stands at
 the end of the inscription with the figure 19 added.

L. 20.

लामिवाच्यतः ।

अजायतास्यां कुलकैरवाकर-

प्रबोधकः श्रीधरनामचंद्रमा : २६ [॥ *]²⁹

क्षीरोदपूरपरिपांडुरपुष्पकीर्त्ति-

नीरोगमेष पुरु — — घमातनोति ।

— — — — — नमंत्रशक्तिः

श्रीभीमभू-

„ 21.

पतिनियोगिजनैकमान्यः २७ [॥ *]³⁰

आशीःपरंपरा संयमूयाभट्टस्य तायते [1]

क्षीलुक्खवस्त्राकुलयोराकल्पं प्रीतिरक्षता ॥ २८ [॥ *]³¹

कात्या चंद्रति तेजसा — — — — —

— — तानपदात्मजत्वखि-

„ 22.

लसंपत्त्या धनाध्यक्षति ।

[वृत्त्या] सागरति प्रभावविधिना नित्यं विरंचत्यसौ

कीर्त्या रामति रूपसंदरतया कंदर्पति श्रीधरः ॥ २९ [॥ *]³²

निःसीमसं — — — — —

— — — — — गुरुभनिंबद्धः ।

सौजन्यनी-

„ 23.

रनिधिरुन्नतसत्वसीमा

जागर्त्ति चास्य हृदये पुरुषः पुराणः ॥ ३० [॥ *]³³

श्रीधरोपि न वै कुंठः सर्वज्ञोपि न नास्तिवित् ।

ईश्वरोपि न कामारिरि — — — — — [॥ ३१ ॥ *]³⁴

त[त्त्वानि] विबुध[पादप]कामधेनु-

मुख्याःस-

„ 24.

मस्तजनवांछितदा भवंतु ।

किंत्वस्य संत्यभयदानवशंवदत्व-

विस्मैरवक्तावनयप्रमुखा विशेषाः ॥ ३२ [॥ *]³⁵

जंबालस्तुहिनायते [पिकततिः श्रीराजहंसायते]

[कालिंदी] — — दायते हरगलः क्षीरोदवेला-

„ 25.

यते ।

श्रीरिः श्रीरधरायते ऽ जंनगिरिः प्रालेययैलायते

यत्कीर्त्या सुपयस्यते क्षितिगवी राष्ट्रः शशांकायते ॥ ३३ [॥ *]³⁶

निर्माणं [चंद्रदेवी] — — — — —

क्षीरोदः पादश्रीचाम्-

²⁹ Metre, Vamśasthā.—अधीपयेने इयिमां च रीहिणी.—[V. G. O.]

³⁰ Metre, Vasantatilakā.—पुद्गलायुषमां,—भूपालराजपरि-
नतमसंज्ञं.—[V. G. O.]

³¹ Metre, Anushtubh, संयमूयाभट्टरायते (नय्याभूदिव
इत्यने).—[V. G. O.]

³² Metre, Śārdūlavikrīḍita.—सुखीनामपदां.—[V. G. O.]

³³ Metre, Vasantatilakā.—निःसीमसंपदुद्देशेन निषागवैपुला-
कल्पमानजनतामुद्धं.—[V. G. O.]

³⁴ Metre, Anushtubh.—रिंदीपि न च इत्येता.—[V. G. O.]

³⁵ Metre, Vasantatilakā.

³⁶ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīḍita.—कालिंदी जलदायते.—[V. G. O.]

- L. 26. तमचलपतिर्देहसंवाहपंकः ।
 उच्छृष्टं पांचजन्यं सुरसरिदमलखेदतोयोदयश्री-
 रित्थिवं यस्य कीर्त्तं स्वयमकृतं नृतिं सोम — — — — [३४ *]³⁷
 — — — — — सीं त्रिलोकीमालीक्य
- „ 27. संकीर्णनिवासमस्याः [*]
 वेधा विलक्ष्य स्तुतिमाततान तवास्ति नान्या सदृशीति नूनं ॥ ३५ [*]³⁸
 असौ वीरो दान्तः सुचरितपरिखंदमुभगः
 — — — — — परिणवगिरां कोपि सुकृती [१]
 अमं पूर्वं ज-
- „ 28. अन्यखिलगुणविस्तारमधुरं
 नृणां स्वच्छंदं विमलसिखं चारुमीकरसङ्गात् ॥ ३६ [*]³⁹
 यदीयगुणवर्णनश्रवणकौतुकोच्छेदया ।
 — — — — — गमा ।
 मनः किमिव रञ्जते-
- „ 29. नुचितवंदिभिर्वेधस-
 स्तदस्य कविमानिभिरनं च चरितमुद्योतते ॥ ३७ [*]⁴⁰
 दिग्दंतावलकर्मतालविलसत्तत्कंभरंगांगणे
 यत्कीर्त्तिर्निर्दिष्टमत्त — — — — — नृत्यति [१ *]
 रोदःकंदरपूरण-
- „ 30. प्रणयिनो नाशंकमात्मभरि-
 र्भिदंती तमसां कुलं कलिमलप्रध्वंसवहोत्सवा ॥ ३८ [*]⁴¹
 लोकोलीकालवाला जलनिधिसलिलासिक्तमुक्ता वहंती]
 [शंभोर्मही]वलंविन्याखिलगुणभयै-
- „ 31. रंकुरैः कीर्त्तिवर्त्तः
 यस्य प्रालयभानुप्रावकचक्रसुमोदारतारापरामै-
 दिक्ककं रापयती जयति फणिपातमांशमूला जगत्यां ॥ ३९ [*]⁴²
 — — — — — सावित्रीलक्ष्मीसौभाग्यदव्याख्याः [१ *]
- „ 32. इच्छाज्ञानक्रियाख्येया यद्दीपस्य शक्तयः ॥ ४० [*]⁴³
 ताभिर्भुवनवन्दाभिः संध्याभिर्गव वासरः [१]
 [श्रीधरः शोभते शश्वह्नांकव्याप्येकदीपकः ॥ ४१]
 — — — [मालवतमाल]वनायमान-
 सेनागज-
- „ 33. प्रकरभंगुरितां भुवं यः [१ *]
 [भू]यः स्थिरां सपदि मंत्रवलीन कृत्वा

³⁷ Metre, Sragdharā.—चंद्रदेवी रघुपतिरचितः सितुबंधः प्रचाली
 — [V. G. O.]; कीर्त्तः — — — सोमनाथो ऽ तिरुक् — [V. G. O.]

³⁸ Metre, Upajīti.—(यत्कीर्त्तानां) इयसी (सि) त्रिलोकी
 माली.—[V. G. O.] Read विलक्षः—

³⁹ Metre, Śikharinī.

⁴⁰ Metre, Prithvi.— — — कर्मसु — — — वात्री — — — जयता

— — — सिगमान्.—[V. G. O.] Read "सुखीवरी.

⁴¹ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīḍita.—^०कल(वारपनिशातस्य)पदा .—
 [V. G. O.]

⁴² Metre, Sragdharā.

⁴³ Metre, Anushtubh (वज्र पद्यस्य) सावित्री.—[V. G. O.]

श्रीदेवपत्तनमपालयदात्मशक्त्या ॥ ४२ ॥⁴⁴

पलयजलधिवेलोलोलकलोललोलं

— — — — — संपिष्टशीलं [1 ×]

दलितधरणि-

L. 34. चक्रं वीरहंभीरचक्रं

बहुतृणमकरोद्यः श्रीधरो दुर्गदर्पः ॥ ४३ ॥⁴⁵

मातुः कैवल्यहेतोर्भूररिपुभवनं रोहिणीस्वामिनाम्ना

— — — — — केशवाद्यः [1*]

नाम्ना ता-

„ 35. तस्य तद्विष्टवभवनमपि — — — — —

[धाम] श्रीमच्छिवस्य प्रतिहतदुरितं कारितं भूरिशोभं ॥ ४४ ॥⁴⁶

वक्त्रो दीवारिकोभूद — — — — —

— — — गूर्जराद्वा निजनिपुण-

„ 36. गुणैः स्रुता — — — — — [1*]

[यिने] श्रीधरीयो ह]रनगरपदे योजितस्तस्य नाम्ना

प्रासादः श्रीधरेणाप्ययमवनिजयः कारितः — — — [४५ ॥⁴⁷

— — — — — धनस्तोमाश्चमत्कारिणः

„ 37. किञ्चिच्छीनृपनायिकाभिरभित — — — — — [1 ×]

गीर्वाणाधिपचा[पसा]दरमहारत्नस्फुरज्योतिषां -

नैते मेरुमहोदर — — — — — [४६ ॥⁴⁸

— — — मा-द्विजवृद्धिभाजः

„ 38. समानदायाः सगुणाः — — — — — [1*]

— — — — — माहेश्वरव्याकरणोपमानाः ॥ ४७ ॥⁴⁹

— — — — — [1*]

— — — — — वैशेषिका इव ॥

„ 39. चित्तवृत्ति — — — — — [1 *]⁵⁰

— — — — — सुनयी यथा ४७ [1 *]⁵¹

वि — — — — — गीः

सतर्तावहित-

40. धूपोद्धूतधा — — — — — [1 *]

— — — — — देते ॥ ५० ॥⁵²

— — — — — [कथाश्रयाय मठं वि — — — — — [1]

— — — — — चेतः ॥ ५१ ॥⁵³

अथ क-

⁴⁴ Metre, Vasantatilakā.—उत्तानमालवतः.—[V. G. O.]
Probably उत्ताव° to be read. °भंगुरितां दुर्गं य। सूर्यः स्त्रिरा(र)
erroneously.—[V. G. O.]

⁴⁵ Metre, Mālinī.—अरुणधरणापाससंपिष्ट.—[V. G. O.]

⁴⁶ Metre, Bragdhārā.—मृगं — — — — — जयाद्यं
नमिती मंदिर् कै.—[V. G. O.]; °मपि — — — — — जयाद्यं
[V. G. O.]

⁴⁷ Metre, Bragdhārā.—°भूदरिगिरि — — — — —
— — — — — दाऊटा गुणैः.—[V. G. O.]; °गुणैः Om.—[V. G. O.];
सुनयाद्याविजयं कारितः ब्रह्मरत्न.—[V. G. O.]

⁴⁸ Metre, Śārdūlavikrīḍita °पङ्कतभङ्ग; तः—कौयकुञ्जातरा
°महीधरः ब्रह्मिष्ठशामाकार — — — — — [V. G. O.]

⁴⁹ Metre, Upajāti.—विजीतमीश्वरिजं; तारका नाह्वरं
—[V. G. O.]

⁵⁰ Metre, Anuṣṭubh.—Beginning of first line, आशीर्वाद्यः
केपिसुधा वैष्णवैः;—[V. G. O.]

⁵¹ Metre, Anuṣṭubh.

⁵² Metre, Mālinī. विभवा; भवर्गाः चारावः.—[V. G. O.]

⁵³ Metre, Triṣṭubh.—एषां सुविज्ञाय कथाश्रयाय मठं विधाय
स्वपदेन वक्ता ।—[V. G. O.]

- L. 41. यमवि दैवादागतः — — — —
 — — — — — श्रीधरेण [१ *]
 — — — — —
 — — — जलधि — — — — — [॥ ५२ ॥ *]⁵⁴
 — — — — — भूपालकुलसङ्ग-
 „ 42. कः [१ *]
 जीमूतवाहन — — — — — [॥ ५३ ॥ *]⁵⁵
 — — — — —
 — — — — — [१ *]
 — — — — पावनो यतिपति-
 „ 43. र्यस्याङ्गिपूजाविधिः]
 — — — — — ॥ ५४ [१ *]⁵⁶
 श्री — — — — दूरे प्रसरपरिणतं — — — — —
 — — — — — अणिकमतमहाव्याल-
 „ 44. संरभसिंधुः [१ *]
 — — — — —
 — — — — [तदादिर्विमलशिवसुनि]र्माननीयो [नवेदुः] ॥ ५५ [॥ *]⁵⁷
 — — — — —
 — — — — — च पादप-
 „ 45. श्री [१ *]
 संगीकृता — — — — —
 — — — — — [॥ ५६ ॥ *]⁵⁸
 — — — — —
 [निःशेषपाषंडिभृणालपंडः]
 [भक्त्यास्य तुष्टः प्रतिपन्नदर्यः]
 [प्रशस्तिमेतामयसुहृद्वार] ॥ ५७ [॥ *]⁵⁹
 याव-
 „ 46. द्विण्योररसि — — — — —
 — — — — — [१ *]
 [यावद्वाणी विहरतिवि] — — — — —
 ता — — — — — ॥ ५७ [॥ *]⁶⁰
 [एते] — — — — वेन प्रासादाः
 „ 47. सूत्रिताःशुभाः ।
 लिखि — — — — — [॥ ६० ॥ *]
 श्रीमद्विक्रमनृपसंवत् १२७३ वर्षे वैशाख शुदि ४ शुक्ले [निःपा]दितमितिशिवमस्तु ॥ छ ॥
 मंगलं महाश्रीः॥

⁵⁴ गतः (श्रीनिवासी); प्रतिनृपतिगतं यः एङितंनम्—(third pāda); निवजवधि—[V. G. O.] Metro, Mālinī

⁵⁵ Metro, Anushubh.—

⁵⁶ Metro, Śārdhāvikrīḍita. — — — — — दधिपरि

— — — दधिः सुधीः दधिवा — — — कवीजित
 —[V. G. O.]

⁵⁷ Metro, Śragdharā.— दक्षद्रुहरे; अक्षितमत्.—[V. G. O.]

⁵⁸ Metro, Vasantatilakā P.—रीस्यपादपणी—[V. G. O.]

⁵⁹ Metro, Upajāti. At the end of pāda 1 प्रभूत—[V. G. O.]

⁶⁰ Mandākrāntā विहरति विपुर्ब्रह्मपिडांतरालेता — — —
 वलयमणिं गंडयंती यमसु—[V. G. O.]

XXXVI.—ASOKA'S ROCK EDICTS ACCORDING TO THE GIRNAR,
SHĀHBĀZGARHĪ, KĀLSĪ AND MANSEHRA VERSIONS.

By G. BÜHLER, PH.D., LL.D., C.I.E.

For the subjoined edition of the four full versions of the Rock Edicts the following materials have been used :—

(1) *Girnar*, a new most excellent estampage, taken by Dr. Burgess during the working season 1887-1888, from which the accompanying facsimiles have been prepared.

(2) *Shāhbāzgarhī*, a very fine estampage, taken by Dr. Burgess in 1886,¹ and a second estampage (B) of Edicts XIII—XIV, prepared by him in 1888. Both have been collated afresh with the text, published formerly.

(3) *Mansehra*, an estampage, taken by Dr. Burgess in March 1887,² as well as a second one (B) prepared by Ghulam Husain in 1889. The latter has been collated for all those passages where its state promised good results.

(4) *Kālsī*, an excellent estampage, taken by Dr. Burgess in October 1887, according to which the accompanying facsimile has been prepared. With respect to the latter it must be noted that the readings of the transcript occasionally, though rarely, differ. And it must be borne in mind that in difficult passages the reverse of the estampage has been consulted, where the letters appear in high relief and where sometimes those superficially damaged on the obverse are perfectly plain. In the most important cases the discrepancies between the facsimile and the transcript have been pointed out in the notes.

The gain which the new collations yield for the texts is most considerable in the case of the Kālsī version. There a good many alterations had to be made, which mostly however refer to very minute points, such as *ā*-strokes, Anusvāras and the like. In some cases new word-forms have come out, but only very little that affects the sense. The new transcript gives for this version also the grouping of the words, indicating by short strokes between the words, those which have been written continuously by the scribe, and there are some cases where the grouping is important for the translation. Thus, in Edict V, l. 14, the position of the syllables *desam-pi hāpesali*, proves that we have to transliterate *desam api hāpayishyati*, not *deśam apihāpayishyati*. Unfortunately the grouping becomes irregular in the more negligently written lower portions of the inscription where in some lines vertical strokes, sometimes placed wrongly, have been used to show which words belong together. Next, the new estampage B has furnished some valuable new readings for the thirteenth Edict of Shāhbāzgarhī version. Quite a number of new letters, not recognisable in the earlier estampage or not included owing to the shortness of the sheets, are readable on Ghulam Husain's squeeze B. of the Mansehra version. It is now perfectly certain that the Mansehra version is most closely related in its wording to that of Kālsī.

¹ This was used for my German article in the *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft*, vol. XLIII, p. 128ff.

² Also used for my German articles in the *Zeitsch. der D. M. Gesellschaft*, vol. XLIII, p. 274, and XLIV, p. 702ff.

The new facsimiles of the Gīrnār and Kālsī versions, which give the letters in rather larger size than any others published before, are also very instructive for the palæography of the 3rd century B.C. Everybody who pays even slight attention to the alphabet, will now see that the Southern Maurya characters certainly do show a good many various forms, and among them cursive ones or such as are usually considered marks of later periods. Thus, an initial *a*, consisting of two verticals and a crossbar or of a curved line open to the left, and a vertical on the right connected by a crossbar, occurs occasionally in the Kālsī inscription (see, *e.g.*, Ed. XIII. 2, l. 15 *pāpotā me a*). The second form is observable also in the Indo-Skythie documents, and perhaps is the parent of the modern Devanāgarī *a* of Western India. Audhra forms are found in *ka*, which has the dagger-like form, (see, *e.g.*, Kālsī Ed. IV, l. 11, *putā-cha kaṁ*.); in *chha*, which sometimes consists of two circles with a vertical line standing on the point of the junction (*e.g.*, Kālsī Ed. V, l. 14, *kachhatī*) in *ja*, which is angular (*e.g.*, Gīrnār Edict IX, l. 1, *rājā*); in *ḍa*, which is round in *atupāśamḍe*, Kālsī Ed. XII, l. 31, and occasionally shows acute angles in other words of the same line; in *ta*, which very commonly has a rounded left-hand limb, both in the Gīrnār and in the Kālsī inscriptions; in *va*, which is triangular in the superscribed *vijaye* Kālsī Ed. XIII, 2, l. 13. As peculiarities of the vowel-notation commonly observed in the later inscriptions may be noted (1) *ā*-strokes running upwards or downwards (see, *e.g.*, Kālsī Ed. XIV, 2, ll. 21-23 *passim*, (2) *e*-strokes, slanting downwards, much like the modern *mātrās* (see, *e.g.*, Kālsī Ed. XIII 1, l. 39, *śatabhāge*, and Ed. XIV, 2, ll. 21-23 *passim*); and (3) a looped *o* in *no hutapuluvā*, (Kālsī Ed. V, l. 14). Finally, the Anusvāra is occasionally placed above the letter to which it belongs, (see, *e.g.*, the first of *dharmam*, Kālsī Ed. XII, l. 33, *dharmamahāmata*, Kālsī Ed. XII, l. 34), and it seems not improbable that the short strokes which sometimes (*e.g.*, in the third syllable of *sāluvaḍhi*, Kālsī Ed. XII, l. 34, and in the second syllable of *śatabhāge*, Kālsī Ed. XIII, 1, l. 39) are attached to the tops of the consonants, in reality are *serifs*. These points, to which others might be added, in my opinion leave no doubt that in Aśoka's times much more developed forms of the Southern alphabet were used in everyday life, which conclusion has an important bearing on the question whether the art of writing had then been newly introduced into India or had been cultivated for a long period. With respect to the Northern or Kharoṣṭhī alphabet, it may also be safely asserted that its characters show numerous vacillations.³

TRANSCRIPTS.

EDICT I.

Gīrnār.

fyam dharmalipī devānam priyena [1] Priyadasinā
rānā lekḥapitā [:] idha na kim-[2]chi jivam
ārabbhitā prajūhitayvaṁ [3] na cha samājo
katayvo[.] Babukam hi dosam [4] samājamhi pasati

Shāhbāzgarhī.

[A]ya[ḍh] dhramadipi devana priasa
raño likhapitu[:] hida no kichi jive
ara[bhi]t.⁵ prayuhotave⁶ no pi cha samaja
kata[va]ʔ[.] [Ba]huka hi dosam sama. sa

³ For the present I will only point to the introductions of my two articles in the *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft*, vol. XLIII, p. 12 ff, and 276 ff, where some remarks on the Kharoṣṭhī *lips* have been published.

⁴ The Anusvāra is probable according to the reverse, but not certain.

⁵ Read *arabbhitu*; the last vowel has been lost.

⁶ The vowel of the second syllable has been placed too high but to read *ye* is not correct.

⁷ Possibly *krajava*.

[illegible]

Girnār.

devānaṃ priyo Priyadasi iājā[.5] Asti
pi tu ekachā samājā sādhumatā devānaṃ [6] priyasa
Priyadasino rāño[.] Purā mahānasamhi¹⁰[7] devānaṃ
priyasa Priyadasino rāño anudivasam ba-[8]hūni
prānasatasahasāni ārabhisu
sūpāthāya[.9] Se aja yadā ayam
dhammalipi¹⁴ likhitā tī eva prā-[10]uā¹⁵ ārabhare
sūpāthāya dvo morā eko mago[.] So pi [11]
mugo na dhuvo¹⁶[.] Ete pi trī prāṇā pachhā
na ārabhisure¹⁷[.12]

Mansehra.

Ay[i]¹⁸ dhramadipi [de]vana [pri]yena [Pri]yadra-
[śi]ua¹⁹ ra. na. ikhapita[.] hida no kichi jiv[e]
arabhi[t.] pra[yu]-[1] [ho]taviye no pi cha
sama[ja] kataviya[.] Bahuka hi [dosha
samajasa²⁰ deva]naṃ priye Pri[yadrasī]ra[ja]
.kha. [.] Asti pi chu[2] [e]katiya samaja
sa[dhu]ma[ta] devana priyasa [Pri]yadrasī[ue]²⁴
rajine[.] Pura maha[nasa]si [devana] pri. sa
Pri . . śisa ra-[3]jine anudiva . bahuṇi pranaśa-
[tasa]hasani . a[ra].isu supa[th]raye[.] Se[i. ui]²⁵
. . ayi dhrama[dipi] likhita ta[da] ti[ni]
ye. pranani a . bhi. ti du[v]e 2 maju-[4]ra
eke l m[r]ige[.]²⁷ Se[pi] chu[mr]ige²⁸ no dhrumam [.]
[Etani] pi chu [tini] pranani pacha no arabhi . . [.]

Shāhbāzgarhī.

devana priy[o] Priadrasī raya d.khati[.] Asti
pi cha ekatie⁹ samaye srestamati devana priasa
Priadrasīsa rāño[.] Pura mahanasasi devanaṃ
priasa Priadrasīsa rāño anudivaso bahuṇi
pra[naśatasa]has[ra]ni¹¹ a[rabhi]i[ysu] su-
[pāthay]e¹²[.] So ida[n]i yada a[ja]¹³ [2]
dhramadipi likhita tada tra[yo] vo prapa
hamñamti majura duvi 2 mrugo 1 [.] so pi
mrugo no dhrumam[.] Eta pi prapatrayo pacha
na arabhisamti [.]

Kāśī.

Iyaṃ dhammalipi devānaṃ-piyenā Piyada-
sin[ā] lekhi[t]ā [.] hida nā-kichhi²⁰ jive
ālabhi[tu] pajohitaviye [1] no-pi-chā
samāje kataviye [.] Bahuk[ā]-hi²¹ dosā
samājas[ā]²² devānaṃ-piye Piyadasī lājā
dakhati [.] Athi-pi-chā ekatiyā sa[m]āja
sād[h]u[m]atā devānaṃ-piyasā Piyadasis[ā]
lājir [2] Pule-mahāna-sasi devānaṃ-piyasā
Piyadasisā lajine anudivasam bahuṇi
pānasahasāni ālabhiyisu supathāy[e.] Se-idāni
yadā [iya]ṃ dhammalipi lekhitā tadā timm²⁹
yevā pānāni ālabhi[ya]nti [3] duve majulā
eke mige[.] Se-pi-cha mige no-dhuve[.]
Etāni pi-cha²⁹ tīni pānāni no-ālabhiyisamti[.]

EDICT II.

Girnār.

Sarvata vijitāni devānaṃ priyasa Priya-
dasino¹⁰ rāño[1] evamapi pachamtesu yathā
Chodā Pādā Satiyaputo Ketalaputo
ā Tamba- [2] parinī Añtiyako Yonarāja ye vā
pi tasa Añtiyakasa sāmīpani³² [3]

Shāhbāzgarhī.

Savratra vijite devanaṃ priyasa Priyadrasīsa
ye cha [a]nta³¹ yatha [Cho]da [3]
Pamdiya Satiyaputra Keralaputra
Tambapamni Añtiyokon nama Yonaraja ye chu
amñe tasa Añtiyakasa samanta[ra]jano

¹⁰ The top of the letter *da* has been destroyed and it is impossible to say whether the word was *dekhati* or *dakkati*.

⁹ The obverse seems to show *akatie*, while the very thin *e*-stroke is visible on the reverse.

¹⁰ The *sa* has a *serif* which makes it look like *se*. At the end of the line a second *ma* has been scratched in.

¹¹ The second *ra*-stroke is not certain.

¹² Possibly *supathraye*.

¹³ Possibly *ayam*.

¹⁴ The long *i* is probable, but owing to an abrasion not absolutely certain.

¹⁵ Owing to an abrasion the second *d*-stroke is somewhat abnormal.

¹⁶ Possibly *dhuvo* is to be read.

¹⁷ It is possible to read *drabhisamre*, as there are two deep holes after *sa*. But both are probably accidental.

¹⁸ The last vowel is uncertain, as the upper portion of the apparent *i*-stroke is abnormal and less deep than the lower one. Hence the reading may have been *ayo*.

¹⁹ Only the right half of the *sa* of *Priyadrasīna* is visible on the reverse.

²⁰ Possibly *na* or *no* to be read.

²¹ Possibly *bahuka* to be read.

²² *Dosha samajasa* is very indistinct.

²³ *Samājasā* is more probable than *samājasi*.

²⁴ The last syllable of *Priyadrasīne* is not certain; it may have been *sa*.

²⁵ *I. ni*, i.e. *idāni* is uncertain; the reading may be *a. n.* i.e. *adhuna*.

²⁶ The Anuvāsa is at least probable.

²⁷ The *ra*-stroke of *mrige* is not quite certain.

²⁸ The *ma* has besides the *v*-stroke two little horizontal lines to the right and to the left, which, strictly interpreted, would give the nonsensical form *mruige*. The left one has probably been added by mistake.

²⁹ *Etāni-pi-cha* is distinct on the reverse of the impression.

³⁰ Possibly *Piyadasino* is to be read.

³¹ Only the lower part of the first sign of *anta* has been preserved.

³² The reverse of the impression shows distinctly that the last syllable is *pana*.

Girnar.

rājāno sarvatra devānam priyasa Priyadasino
rāño dve chikichha katā [4] manusachikichhā
cha pasuchikichhā cha[.] Osudhāni cha
yāni manusopagāni cha³⁴ [5] pasopagāni cha
yata yata nāsti sarvatra hārāpitāni cha
ropāpitāni cha³⁴ [6] mōlāni cha phalāni cha
yata yata nāsti sarvatra hārāpitāni cha
ropāpitāni cha³⁴ [7] Parithesū kūpā cha
khānāpitā vraohhā cha ropāpitā paribhogāya
pasumanusānam [8]

Mansehra.

Sa.tra jitasi devana priya[sa Pri-
ya]drāsisa rajine ye cha amta a[tha] [5] [Ch.o-
da] Pa[rh]diya³⁹ Satiya[putr.] Keralaputr[e]
. bapañi . tiyo[ke] nama⁴⁰ Yona. .
ye cha . sa samarnta
raja . . vratra priyasa
Priya[dra]śisa rajine [6] duve 2 chikisa
kaṭa manusachi[kisa cha] pasuchikisa cha[.]
Osha[dhi]ni manu. . ka[ni cha] pa . .
ka[ni cha ya]tra yatra na . . vratra
harapi[ta cha] ropapi[ta cha] [.7] [Eva]me-
va mulani cha phalani cha atra atra
nasti . . tra harapita cha ro[papi]ta
cha [.] Ma[geshu] ru[chha] pita-
[ku] tani [pa]tibhogaye
pasum[a]nusana⁴³ [.8]

Skālbāzgarhī.

savratra³³ devanam priyasa Priyadrasisa raño
duvi 2[chiki]sa ki[tra] manuśa[chiki]sa .
paśu[chiki]sa cha[.] [Oshuḍh]ani³⁶
manuśopakani cha pa[so]pakani cha
yatra yatra nāsti savatra har[o]pita³⁶ cha
vuta cha kupa cha khanapita pratibhogaye
paśu-manuśanam [.]

Kālsī.

Savatā³⁷ vijitasi devānam-piyas[ā] Pi-
yadasi[sā] lājine ye-cha-amtā athā Cho-
dā Paṁ[ḍi]yā Sātiyaputo Kelalaputo³⁸
Tambaparni [4] [Am]tiyoge-nāma Yonālājā
ye-chā amne tasā [Am]tiyogasā sāmamtā
lājāno savatā devānam-pi[ya]sā
Piyadasisā lājine duve chikisakā⁴¹
kaṭā manusachikisā-chā pasuchikisā-chā[.]
Osadhān[i] manusopagāni-chā pasopa-
gānichā a[ta]tā nathi [5] savatā
hālāpitā-chā lopāpitā-chā [.B]vam-
vā mulāni-chā phalāni-chā ata[tā]
nathi savatā hālāpitā-chā lopāpitā-
chā [.] Magesu lukhāni lopitāni udu-
pānāni-chā⁴² khānāpitāni paṭibhogāye
pasumunisānam [.]

EDICT III.

Girnar.

Devānam priyo Piyadasi rājā evam āha[:]dbāda-
savāśābhisitena mayā idam ānapitam[:1] sarvata
vijite mama yutā cha rājūke cha prādesike cha
parichasu parichasu vasesu anusan[2]
yānam niyātu etāyeva athāya imāya
dhammanusasatiya yathā añā-[3]ya pi kammāya [:]
sādhu mātari cha pitari cha susrūsā mitāsarnstutāñā-
tinam⁴⁷ lāmhana-[4] samānānam sādhu

Shālbāzgarhī.

Devanam priyo Priyadasi raja aha ti [:] [bada]-
yavashabhisitena⁴⁴ sava[5]
vijite yuta rajuko pradesik[e]
pa[mcha]shu pa[mcha]shu 5 vash[e]shu anusan-
yanam nik[r]amatu⁴⁵ etisa vo karaṇa imasa
dhammanusasti yatha⁴⁶ añāye pi kramaye [:]
sadhū matapitushu susrusa mit[r]asarnst[u]tāñā-
[ti]kanam brahmana[śra]manā[nam] sa. pra. . .

³³ Possibly *sarruthra* to be read, as there is a hook above the *ta*, which, however, looks very abnormal.

³⁴ At the end of each of the lines 5, 6 and 7 the word *cha* has been inserted as a correction.

³⁵ The first and the third signs of *oshuḍhani* are uncertain; likewise the vowel of the second.

³⁶ *Haropita* is the apparent reading, but the *o*-stroke may be accidental. The reading *harapita* has, in any case, to be restored.

³⁷ *Savatā*, not *savata*, is the reading of the new impression.

³⁸ The reverse of the new impression makes the two *la* exceedingly probable. It confirms also the curious nominatives in *o*.

³⁹ The *Anusvāra* is doubtful.

⁴⁰ The first sign of *nama* has erroneously an upstroke to the right of the lower end.

⁴¹ *Chikisakā* is the distinct reading of the new impression (facsimile *ki*), which also shows that no other sign, but a hole, exists between *kā* and *kaṭā*.

⁴² *Chā*, not *cha*, is the plain reading of the reverse of the impression.

⁴³ Possibly *pasumunisana*.

⁴⁴ The first two signs of *badaya*^o are indistinct, but the reading *baraya*^o seems impossible. Possibly the correct form was *badasa*^o.

⁴⁵ The *ra*-stroke of *nikramatu* is not certain.

⁴⁶ The *tha* is somewhat abnormal, the upright line being slightly bent.

⁴⁷ Read *mitra*^o. An *ā*-stroke was probably not intended but in its stead the short upward-turned *ra*-stroke.

Girnār.

dānam prāṇānam sādhu anārambho
apavyayutā apalbhāmdatā sādhu[.5] Parisā pi
yute ānapayisati gānanāyam hetuto cha
vyamjanato cha[.6]

Mansehra.

[De]vana priye [P]riyadrasī raja eva aba [:]
duva[da]śavashabbisitenā⁶¹ me ayaṁ
[a]napayite[:] savatra vijitasi [me]. ta raju.
[pra]desike . chashu parh[chashu] 5 vasheshu [9]
anusamyanam nikrama[m]tu,⁶² etaye⁶³ vam athraye
maye dhramanusastiye ya . am anaye pi
kramane [:] sa . matapi . shu
susru[sha][mi]trasamstuta-[10] natikanam cha⁶⁴
bra[ma]ṇasramananam sadhu dane prāṇana
a . rabhe sadhu apa[va]yuta⁶⁵ apabhada[ta]
sadhu[.] Parishā pi cha yutani gānanasi
[ana]payisati hetu[te] cha viya . [11] nate cha[.]

Shāhbāzgarhī.

[6] apavayata apabha[m]data⁶⁶ sadhu[.] Pari[pi]⁶⁷
yuta[ni ga]nanasi anapeśemti hetuto cha
vaṇanato cha[.]

Kālsī.

Devānam-piye Piyadasi Mājā [he]vam⁶⁸-āhā [: 6]
duvāśasavasābbisitenā-me iyaṁ
ānapayite[:] savatā vijitasi mama yutā lajuko
pādesike . parichasu parichasu vasesu
anus[a]yānam,⁶⁹ nikhamantu etāye-vā athāye
imāy[e],⁶³ dhammanusathiyā⁶⁰ yathā amāye-pi
kammāye [:] sādhu [7] mātapitisu⁶⁷
sususā⁶⁸ mitasamthutanātikyānam-chā
bamhhanasamanānam-chā sādhu dāne⁶⁹ pāṇanam
anālambh[e] sādhu apaviyātā⁶¹ apabbamdatā
sādhu [.] Palisā-pi-cha yutā[ni] gānanasi
anapayisanti hetuvatā-chā viyamjanat[e]-cha[.]

EDICT IV.

Girnār.

Atikātam amtarām bahūni vāsatāni vadhito eva
prāṇārambho vihiṇsā cha bhūtānam nātisu [1] asam-
pratipati brāhmaṇasamanānam asamprati-
pati⁶⁸[.] Ta aja devānam priyasa Priyadasino⁶⁹
raño [2] dharmacharaṇena bherighoso aho
dharmaghoso vimānadasaṇā cha hastidasaṇā cha [3]
agrikamdhāni cha añāni cha diyvāni rūpāni
dasayitpā janam[.] Yārise bahūhi vāsasatehi [4]
na bhūtapuve tārise aja vadhite devānam priyasa
Priyadasino raño dharmānusastiyā anāram-[5]
bho prāṇānam avihiṇsā⁶⁷ bhūtānam nātinaṁ
sampratipati brāhmaṇasamanānam
sampratipati mātari pitari [6] sususā thairu-
susrusā[.] Esa añe cha bahuvidhe dharmā-

Shāhbāzgarhī.

Atikratam amtarām bahūni vashasatani vadhito eva
pranarambho vihiṇsā cha bhutanam natinam [asa]m-
pa[ti]pati⁶⁸ śramaṇab[r]amananam [asamprati]-
pati⁶⁸[.] [So aja devanam] priyasa [Pri]ya[drasisa
raño] [7] dharmacharaṇena bherig[h]osha aho
dharmaghosha vinananam draṇanam [ha]stino
jotikamdhani añani cha divani⁶⁹ rupani
drasayitn⁶⁹janasa[.] Yadiśa[m]⁷⁰bahuli vashasatehi
na bhutaprue tadiśe aja vadhite devanam priyasa
Priyadrasisa raño dharmānusastiya⁷¹ anaram-
[bho] p[ra]ṇanam avihiṇsā bhutanam natinam
sa[m]pratipati bramāṇa[.] [8] śramananam
sampratipati matapitushu [vu]dhanam
susrusha[.] E[ta]añam cha bahuvidha[m]dhrama-

⁶⁸ The Anusvāra is not certain.

⁶⁹ Read *parisā pi*.

⁷⁰ *Āhā*, not *āha*, is the reading of the impression.

⁶¹ The third letter of *duvāśa*⁶⁰ is not certain and may have been *da* or an abnormal *ra*. Perhaps *abhisitena* to be read.

⁶² Possibly *anusamyanam* to be read.

⁶³ Possibly *imāya* to be read.

⁶⁴ The Anusvāra is very probable, but not absolutely certain.

⁶⁵ The second sign is abnormal and might be read *tra*.

⁶⁶ Read *dharmānusastiyā*.

⁶⁷ The last syllable of *mātapitisu* is distinct on the reverse.

⁶⁸ *Susruś* alone is the possible reading to the reverse of the impression.

⁶⁹ *Cha* ought to stand, not before, but after *bramaṇasramananam*.

⁷⁰ *Dāne*, not *dāneḥ*, is the reading of the impression.

⁷¹ The reverse of the impression decidedly speaks in favour of *ōtā*, not of *ōti*.

⁶⁸ The vowel of the third syllable is not certain.

⁶⁹ Only the lower portions of the first two signs are distinct; the fourth looks like *thi*.

⁷⁰ The first four signs are very indistinct. The same remark applies to the following bracketed words and syllables with exception of the vowel of *so*, of the word *aja* and of the last vowel of *raño*.

⁷¹ The syllable *pa* has been inserted afterwards.

⁷² The syllable *da* has been inserted afterwards.

⁷³ The syllable *hi* has been inserted afterwards.

⁷⁴ To the right of the lower end of *da* appears a curve, and the letter is probably the fore-runner of the later *da*, which looks like *tra*.

⁷⁵ The reading *dāmasayitu* is not impossible, but a combination of the *ra*-stroke with the upstroke on the left is more probable.

⁷⁶ The Anusvāra is not certain.

⁷⁷ The form *dharmā*⁶⁰, which occurs also below Ed. X l. 21, seems to be owing to a mixture of the Northern *dharmā*⁶⁰ and the Māgadhi *dharmā*⁶⁰.

Girndr.

charane vadbito vadhayisati cheva devānam
priyo [7] Priyadasi rājā dhamma[cha]raṇam idaṁ [.]
Putrā cha potrā cha prapotrā cha devānam
priyasa Priyadasino rāṇo [8] vadhayisanti idaṁ
dhammacharanam āva samvaṭṭakapā [.] Dhammamhi
silamhi tistamto dhammam anusāsīsanti [.] [9]
Esa hi seṭṭhe kaṁme ya dham-
mānūsāsanam [.] Dhammacharane pi
na bhavati asilasa [.] Ta imamhi athamhi [10] vadhi
cha ahini cha sādhu [.] Etāya athāya idaṁ lekhaṇi-
tam [.] imasa aṭṭasa vadhi yujamtu hini cha⁷² [11]
mā lochetayvā [.] Dhādasivāsābhisitena
devānam priyena Priyadasinā rāṇā idaṁ
lekhaṇitam [12].

Mansehra.

Atikr[a]tam⁷⁷ a[m]ta[raṁ]⁷⁸ bahuni vashaśa-ni
vadbite vaṁ paṇara[m]bh[o] vi[h]i[sa]⁷⁹ cha bhu-
tanam nātina asapa[t]ipati śramanabramāṇanam
asampa[t]ipati [12] Se aja devana priyasa
Priyadasine raṇe dhrama[cha]raṇena bherighoshe
abo dhramagoshe⁸⁰ vimanadrasāna hastine agikam-
dhani aṇi[ni] cha divani rupani draseti
janasa [13] [A]disē bahuhi [va]sha[satehi] na hu[ta]-
pruvo tadise [aja]vadbite [de]vana priyasa
Priyadasine rajine dhramanuśasiya anarabhe
prananam avihisa bhutana nātina [14] sampaṭi-
pati ba[maṇasramāṇana[m]] sampaṭipati matupitushu
susu[sha] vudhrana susruśa [.] Eshe aṇe cha ba-
huvide dhramacharane vadhrate [.] Vadhrayisati yeva
devana priye [15] Priyadasī raja dhramacharana
ima [.] Putra pi cha ku natara cha panatika
devanam priyasa Priyadasine rajine
pavadhayisanti dhramacharana imam āva
kapaṇi dhrame sila [cha] [16]
[t]istitu [dhramam] anusāsīsā[m]ti⁸¹ [.] Eshe hi
sre[th]e aṁ dhramanu[śa]sana [.]
Dhramacharane⁸² pi cha na hoti asilasa [.] Se

Shāhbāzgarhī.

charanam vadhitam vadhisati chayo devanam
priyasa Priyadasīna rāṇo dhramacharano im. [.]
Putra pi cha ku natara cha pranatika cha devanam
priyasa Priyadasīna ra[ṇo] vadhe[santi]
ma[charanam] imam avakapaṇi dhra[me]
si[le] cha⁷³ [9] tistiti dhramam anusāsīsanti [.]
Eta h[i] sre[th]aṇi k[r]am[am] ya[m]⁷⁴ dbra-
[ma]n[u]śāsanam [.] [Dhrama]charanam pi cha
na bhoti asilasa [.] So imisa aṭṭasa vadhi
ahini cha sadhu [.] Etaye aṭṭase ima[m] dipi-
sta [.] imisa aṭṭasa vadhi yujamtu hini cha
ma loche[sh]u [1] Ba[daya]vasha[bhi]sitena⁷⁵
devanam priyena Priyadasīna rāṇa [id]am. nam⁷⁶
dipa[pi]tam [.]

Kālsī.

Atikaritam antalan bahuni vasasatani va[dhu]-
te-vā pānālabhe vihiśa-chā bhutanam
nātinam⁸⁰ asampaṭipati samanabambhanānam
asampaṭipati [.] So-aja devānam piyasa Priyadasine
lājine dhammachalanonā bherighoshe aho dhamma-
ghose vimanadrasānā⁸¹ [9] [ha]thini agikamdhani
amāni-chā divjāni lupāni dasayitu
janasa [.] Adis[e] bahuhi vasa[sa]tehi nā-huta-
puluve tadise aja vadhte devānam-piyasa
Priyadasine lājine dhammanusathye⁸² avālabhe
pānānam avihisā bhutanam nati[su]⁸³ [10] sampat-
ipati bambhana[sa] mānānam sampaṭipati mātipitusa
susuśa [.] Esha-chā⁸⁴ amne-chā bahuvide
dhammachala[n]e vadhte vadhiyisati-cheva
devānam-piye Piyadasi lājā⁸⁵ imam dhammachala-
nam [.] Putā-cha-kam⁸⁶ natāle-chā paṇ[ā]kyā-
chā devānam-piyasā Priyadasine lājine [11]
[pa]vadhayisanti⁸⁷-chev[a]⁸⁸ dhammachalanam
i[m]am āvakapaṇi dhramasi silasi-cha
[chi]thi[tu]⁸⁹ dhammam anusāsīsanti [.] Eše-hi
sethe kaṁmam⁹¹ aṁ-dhammanūsāsanam [.]
Dhammachalane-pi-chā no-hoti asilasa [.] Se-

⁷⁷ It is impossible to read *chā*.

⁷⁸ The bracketed letters at the end of the line are very indistinct.

⁷⁹ The *Anusvāra* of this and of the two preceding words are uncertain.

⁷⁶ The second and third letters are not distinct; the fourth may have been *va*.

⁷⁵ The first omitted sign looks somewhat like *chu*, *no* or *di*, but I am unable to find a suitable word. The Dhauhi version has in the same place two illegible signs.

⁷⁴ Possibly *atikramam*.

⁷³ The two *Anusvāras* are not certain.

⁷² The third sign of *vihiśa* is not quite distinct and the reading *vihiśa* not absolutely impossible.

⁸⁰ Possibly *nātinā* to be read, but the abnormal shape of the apparent *d*-stroke points to its original form, an *Anusvāra* joined with the *na* by an exfoliation of the rock.

⁸¹ Read *vimanadrasānā*.

⁸² The unaspirated *ga* is very distinct.

⁸³ Read *dhammanusathye*.

⁸⁴ The new impression seems to offer *nātinu*, instead of *nātinam*.

⁸⁵ *Esha-chā*, not *eshe-chā*, is the reading of the new impression.

⁸⁶ *Lājā* looks exactly like *lādā*.

⁸⁷ *Kam*, not *ka*..., is the distinct reading of the new impression.

⁸⁸ The additional *pa* is badly mutilated, but certain; compare also the Mansehra version.

⁸⁹ *Cheva*, not *eva*, seems to be the reading of the impression.

⁹⁰ The first badly mutilated syllable may have been *chā*; the second is, according to the reverse of the impression, distinctly *thi*, not *tham*; the third seems to be *tu*. Compare the reading of the Mansehra version.

⁹¹ *Kamam* is now more probable than *ome*.

⁹² The *Anusvāra* is doubtful.

⁹³ The *na* is abnormal, and similar to a *na*.

Mañschra.

imasa athrasa vadh[r]i ahini cha sadhu[.]
 Etaye[17] athraye i[mam] li[khi]te[.] e[ta]sa [a].
 sa vadhra⁹⁴ yujamtu hini cha ma anu[lu]chayisu⁹⁵[.]
 Duvadasavashabhisitena devana priyena
 Priyadrasina rajina iyam⁹⁷ likhapite [.]18]

Kālsī.

ima-sā athasā vadhi ahini-chā sādhu[.]
 Etāye athāye i[ya]m likhite[.] 12] imasā a[th]a-sā
 vadhi yujamtu hini-cha mā-alochayisu[.]
 Duvādasavashābhisitēnā devānam-priyēnā
 Piyadāsina⁹⁶ lājina lekhitam[.]]

EDICT V.

Girnar.

Devānam priyo Piyadasi rājā evam āha[.]
 kalānam dukaram[.] ye⁹⁸ a . . .⁹⁹ kalānesa⁹⁸
 so dukaram karoti [.]1] Ta mayā bahu kalānam
 katham[.] Ta mama putā cha potra cha param
 cha tena ya me apacham āva samivatakapa
 anuvatisare tathā [2] so sukatham
 kāsati[.] Yo tu eta desam pi hāpesati so
 dukatham kāsati[.] Sukaram hi pāpam[.]
 Atikātam antaram [3] na bhūtapurvam⁹ dharma-
 mahāmātā nāma[.] Ta mayā traidasavāsābhisit. na
 dharmamahāmātā katā[.] Te savapāsam-
 desu vyāpatā dhāmadhistānāya¹⁴ [4]
 dhammayutasa
 cha Yona-Kambo . Gamdhārānam Ristika-Petenikā-
 nam ye vā pi amūe aparātā[.] Bhatamayesu va [5] .
 [s] khāva
 dhammayutānam aparigodhiya vyāpatā te[.]
 Bamdhanabhadhasa¹⁷ patavadhanāya [6]
 [pru]jākatā-
 bhikāresu vā thānesu vā vyāpatā te [.] Pāṭalipute
 cha bahuresu cha [7]
 te vā pi²¹ me añe nātikā
 savata vyāpatā te[.] Yo ayan dhammanisito
 ti va [8]
 e
 dhammahāmātā[.] Etāya²² athāya ayan dham-
 malipī likhitā [9]

Shālbāzgarhī.

Devana priyo Piyadasi¹ raya evam āha ti [.]
 ka[lanam] . dukaram[.] Yo a . . [ro] ka[la]nasa
 so dukaram karoti[.] So maya bahu kalānam
 kitram[.] Tam ma[ha] putra cha nataro cha param
 cha t . . a⁶ [ya] me apacha [a]chhanuti avaka-
 pam tatham ye anuvatisanti⁷ te sukhi[r]am
 kashanti[.] Yo chu ato . [kam pi bapesati]⁸ so
 [du]katham kashati[.] Papam hi sukaram[.] So
 atik[ram]tam antaram na [bhu]tapruva dhrama-
 mahamatra nama[.] So [tīdasavasha]bhi-sitena¹¹ [11]
 maya dhramamahamatra kit[r]a¹¹ [.] Te savap[r]-
 ashantideshu¹² vapat[a]¹³ dhramadhitthanaye [cha]
 dhramavadhiy[.] Indasukhaye cha dhramayutasa
 Yona-Kamboya-Gamdhārānam Rastikanam Pitini-
 kanam ye vā pi aparantū [.] Bhatama[ve]shu
 bhāmanibhesinantheshu v[.]a, dhe-hu¹⁴ hitasukhaye
 [dha]may [u]tasa aparig[odhi]e¹⁵ vapatā [te] [.]12]
 Bamdhanabhadhasa patavadhanaye apa[ṭ]v[od]haye
 mo[ṭ]chhu[ṭ]e¹⁶ iyan a[ṭ]v[od]h[am]¹⁶ prajava kiṭa-
 bhikaro va mahalakā²⁰ va viyapatra [.] 1a²¹ bahiresu
 cha nāgareshu savateshu erodhaneshu bhātanam
 cha me spasunam²² cha ye vā pi amūe nātika
 savatra viyaput[a]²³ [.] Y[am]²¹ iyan dhammanisito
 ti va dhramadhitthan[.]²³ ti va danas[a]yute²⁰ ti va
 savatra vijite ma[ha] dhramayutasa viyapata te
 dhramamahamatra [.] Etāye athāye ay[am]
 dhramadipi dipist[a]²² [.] chīnatitika
 bhotu tatha cha praja²⁰ anuvatatū [.]13]

⁹⁴ Read *radhri*.⁹⁵ Read *alochayisu*.⁹⁶ The reading *Piyadasi* is distinct also on the rubbing.⁹⁷ The form of the Anusvara is abnormal.¹ The second *ra*-stroke of *Priyadasi* is abnormal.² Possibly *yo* to be restored, as there is an abrasion at the top of the *ya*.³ The tails and tops of the lost letters are visible, and it is not difficult to see that the first was *di*.⁴ Read *kalānasa*.⁵ Read *kalānam*.⁶ Read *tena*.⁷ Read *anuvatisanti*.⁸ Read *exam*; *kam* and the following bracketed letters are very indistinct.⁹ Read *bhūtapurvam* or *purvam*.¹⁰ The first and second letters are doubtful. The reading *tira* or *toda* is possible.¹¹ The *ra*-stroke is doubtful.¹² The second *ra*-stroke is doubtful.¹³ Possibly *vapatra*.¹⁴ Read *dhāmadhistānāya*. The single *ma* is to be read as double.¹⁵ Possibly *radheshu*.¹⁶ The last sign has apparently also an Anusvara.¹⁷ The *na* has been added later as a correction.¹⁸ The second sign of *moṭchhuṭe* is not quite distinct.¹⁹ The last syllable of *anubadham* stand lower than the others.²⁰ Possibly *mahalakā*.²¹ Possibly *hi*.²² Regarding the first sign of *spasunam*, see the note to the German edition.²³ Restore *ye vā pi*. Before *e* there is only a vertical stroke, not a *na*.²⁴ The vowel is not certain.²⁵ The last vowel is not certain.²⁶ The third vowel is not certain.²⁷ The *ya* has been added later.²⁸ The lower end of the last syllable has been destroyed.²⁹ The *ra*-stroke is abnormal.

Mansehra.

[Devānam] priye Priyadasi raja evam aha [:] kalanam dukaram [:] Ye adikare kayanasa se dukaram karoti [:] Tam maya bahu kayane kate³² [:] [Tam] ma[a]³³ putra [cha] [19] natara³⁴ param cha tena ye apatiye me ava, parin tatham anuva[t]isati³⁵ se sukata kashati [:] Ye chu atra desa pi hapesati se dukata kashati [20] Papehinama supadarev[a]³⁷ [:] Se atikratam antaram na bhutapruva dhranmahamatra nama [:] Se tredasavashabhisitena maya dhramanahamatra kata [:] Te saviapashadeshu [21] vaputa dhramadhihanaye cha dhramavadhiya hidasukhaye cha [dhr]amayuta Yona-Kamboja-Ga[m]dharanam Ratrakra⁴⁰ Pitinikana ye va [p]i aña aparata [:] Bhatamayo- [22] shu bramanibhyeshu anatheshu vudhreshu hidamsu [kha]y[e] dhramayuta apalibodhayeviyaputa te [:] Badhanabhadhasa pativi [dha]na[y]e apalibodhayemochhaye [cha] iyam⁴¹ [23] anubadha pa ja ti va katābhikara ti va mahalake ti va viyapata te [:] Hidam bahreshu cha nageshu savreshu [o]rodhaneshu⁴² bhata cha spasuna cha [24] ye va pi aña natike savratra viyapata [:] E iyam dhramanisi ti va dhramadhihan ti va danasamyute ti va savratra vijitasi maa dhramayutasi vaputa [te] [25] dhramamahamatra [:] Etaye athraye ayi dhramadipi likhita [:] chira hitikal hota tatham cha me praja anuvata [u] [:]

Kāśī.

Devānam-piye Piyadasi lājā ahā³⁰ [:] kayāne dukale [:] E-ādik[al]e³¹ ka[yā]nasā se-dukalan kaleti [:] Se-mamayā bahu kayāne kate [:] T[ā]-ma- [pu]tā-chā natāle- [chā] [13] palam- [chā] te [hi] y[e]- apatiye-me āvakapam tathā anuvāsisanti se- sukataṁ kachhariti [:] E-chu hetā deam-pi [hā] pa- [y] isanti³⁶ se-dukataṁ kachhati [:] Pāpe-hi-nāma supadālaye [:] Se-atikantam antalam no-buta- puluvā dhammamahāmātā-nāma [:] T[e]dasavasā- bhisitena mama [yā] dhammamahāmātā [ka]tā [:] Te-savapāsādesu viyā[pā]tā [14] dhammādhithānāye-chā dhammavadhiyā hi[da]sukhāye-chā³⁸ dhammayutasā Yona-Kamboja-Gāmdhā[lā]nam³⁹ e-vā-pi amne apalantā [:] Bhatamayesu barmhani- bhesu anathesu vudhesu hidasukhaye dhammayutāye apalibodhāye viyāpata-te [:] Bāmdhanabhadhasā patividdhānāye apalibodhāye mokhāye-chā eyam anubadha[ni] pajāva-ti- vā [15] [katābhikā]le-ti-vā mahālake-ti-vā viyāpa- tā-te [:] Hidā bāhilesu-chā na [ga]lesu savesu [olo]- dhanesu bhātinam-oh[a]-ne⁴³ bhaginā⁴⁴ e-vā-pi amne natikye savatā viyāpata [:] E-iyam dhamma- nisite-ti-vā danasamyute-ti-vā savata vijitasi māmā dhammayutasi viyāpata-te dhammamahāmātā [:] Etāye athāye⁴⁵ [16] [i]yam dhammalipi lekhitā [:] chira hitikyā hotu [ta]thā- ch[a]-me [pā]jā anuvata[m]tu [:]

EDICT VI.

Girnār.

Devānam pri¹⁶ . . sī rājā evam aha [:] atikratam antaram [1] na bhutapruva sava . la⁴⁹ athakramime va pativedana vā⁴ [:] Tam mayā evam katham [2] save kāle bhuminjamaṇasa

Shahbāzgarhi.

Devanam priyo Priyad[ra]si⁴⁷ raya ova[m] aha ti [:] atik[ra]tam⁴⁸ antaram na . [bhuta]pruvam savram kalam athakramam va pativedana va [:] Tam maya evam kitam [:] savram kalam asamana-

³⁰ The new impression gives distinctly *ahā* for *āhu*.

³¹ The reverse of the impression gives *ādikale*, which is supported by the reading of Mansehra *adikare*.

³² Possibly *kate* to be read.

³³ Only the foot of the second sign of *maa* has been preserved.

³⁴ Possibly *natarā* to be read.

³⁵ Possibly *anuvāsisati* to be read.

³⁶ The impression has the Anusvāra distinctly, which must probably be deleted.

³⁷ There is a stroke, above *ra* which, however, seems not to be a real *c*-stroke, but an accidental scratch.

³⁸ The impression seems to offer *hida*^o, with a badly blurred *da* instead of *hita*^o, and *chā* instead of *ād*.

³⁹ The impression shows no distinct letters, but merely scratches, between *gutasā* and *Yona*^o.

⁴⁰ Read *Rastika*, the second letter has not been written completely.

⁴¹ *Cha* is much defaced and looks like *che* or *je*, the Anusvāra of *iyam* is not certain.

⁴² The first letter is much disfigured by several scratches and looks nearly like a deformed *cha*.

⁴³ The impression offers distinctly *ne* (i.e. *naḥ*) instead *na*, and according to the reverse *cha* is more probable than *chū*.

⁴⁴ *Bhaginā* is more probable than *°naḥ*.

⁴⁵ The lingual of *athāye* is plain both in the rubbing and on the impression.

⁴⁶ Only the lower portions of the first letters are distinct.

⁴⁷ Possibly *Priyadāsi*, but see the notes to the German edition.

⁴⁸ The *ra*-stroke is doubtful.

⁴⁹ The tops of *ra*, *va* and *la* are gone. Restore *°ra* *saram kalam*.

[The page contains dense handwritten text in a cursive script, likely from a historical manuscript. The text is written in dark ink on aged paper. A large, irregular white mark, possibly a stain or a piece of tape, obscures a significant portion of the central text. The handwriting is highly stylized and difficult to decipher without specialized knowledge.]

Girnar.

me orodhanamhi gabhāgāramhi vachamhi va [3] vinita-
tambhi cha uyānosu cha savatra paṭivedakā⁶⁰ sītā athe
me janasa [4] paṭivedetha iti[.] Sarvatra cha janasa
athe karomi[.] Ya cha kinchi mul'hato[5] āṇapayāmi
avayam dāpakam vā sāvāpakam vā ya vā puna
mahāmātreṣu [6] āchāyika⁶¹ āropitam bhavati tāya
athāya vivālo nijbatī va saṁto parisāyam [7]

ānamtaram paṭivedetayam⁶² me sarvatra sarve kāle[.]
Evam mayā āṇapitam[.] Nāsti hi me toso[8] uttānamhi athasaṁtiranaya va[.] Kata-
yamatō hi me sarvalokahitam[.] Tasa cha puna
esa mūle uttānam cha athasaṁtiranā cha[.] Nāsti
hi kammatarāṁ [10] sarvalokahitapa[.] Ya cha
kinche parakramāṇi aham[.] kinti [?] bhūtanam
ānamam gaccheyam[11] idha cha nam sukhapayā-
mi paratra cha svagam ārādhamamtu[.] Ta etaya
athaya [12] avam dhammapi lekhāpita[.] kinti [?] e-
cham tisteṣa iti tathā cha me putrā pota cha
prapotiā cha [13] anuvatarāṁ⁶³ savulokahitāya[.]
Dukaram tu idam añāta agena pātakamena[14]

Mausheira.

Devana[m] piye Piyadasi raja eva[m]⁶⁴ aha [.]
atikamāntam antalam no hutapaluve savam kalam
athakam⁶⁵ va paṭivedana va[.] Ta maya evam
kituhi [.] savakalam⁶⁶ asatasa me
oddhana- grabhagarasi vrachaspi⁶⁷ vinitaspi uyana-
pi savatra paṭivedaka atha janasa [27] paṭivedetu
me savatra cha janasa atha karomi aham[.]
Yam pi kiehi mukhati anapemi alam dapakam
va sarakam va yam va pana mahamatreṣu

Shahbazgarhi.

sa me oro. lhanaspi grabhagaraspi vrachaspi vinitaspi
uyana-⁶⁸pi savatra paṭivedaka atha janasa
paṭivedetu me savatra cha janasa atha karomi[.]
Yam pi [cha] kiehi mukhato anapayami
[aham da]pakam va sarakam va yam va pana⁶⁹
mahamatranam v[⁶⁴o achay]ika a. pitari⁶⁶ bhoti
taye aṭhaye vivade va ni[jha]ti⁶⁶ va saṁtam parishā-
ye anantariyena paṭivedetavo me[14] savatra⁶⁷ cha
atham janasa karomi a[ham] [.] Yam cha ki
[chi]⁶⁶ mukhato anapemi aham dapakam va sra-
vaka va ya va pana mahamatranam achayikam
aropitam bhoti taye aṭhaye vivade saṁtam nijjati⁶⁰
va parishaye anantariyena paṭivedetavo
me savatra sav[am] kalam[.]
Iyam anapitam maya[.] Nasti hi me toso uttha-
nasi athasaṁtiranaye cha[.] Kaṭayamatam hi me
sav[alokahitam] [.] Tasa cha mulam et[ra]
uttham athasaṁtiranā cha[.] Na[sti] hi
kammatarāṁ [15] sarvalokahite[na]⁶¹ [.] Yam cha
kinche parakramami [.] kiti [?] bhutanam
ananiyam gaccheyam ia cha [sha] sukhayami
paratra cha spagam⁶² aradhetu[.] Etaye aṭhaye
ayi dhamma⁶³ dipita [.] chirathitika bhotu
tatha cha me putra nataro parakramamtu
sarvalokahitaye [.] [D]ukara[m] tu[kho] imam
amatra agi⁶⁴ parakramena[16]

Kāśī.

Devānam-piye Piyadasi lājā hevaṁ-āhā [.]
atikamāntam antalam no hutapaluve savam kalam
athakam⁶⁵ va⁶⁶ [paṭ]ivedana vā [.] Se-ma[ma]yā
hevaṁ kato [.] savam kalam adam[a]nasa-m⁶⁷ [18]
oddhana- grabhagalaṣi vrachasi vinitaspi uyana-
pi savatra paṭivedaka atham janasa [paṭ]ivedetu
me⁶⁸ [.] Savata⁶⁹ janasa atham kachhāmi hakam[.]
Yam pi cha [kiehi mukha]te āna[paya]mi ha-
kam⁶⁵ da[pakam] vā [sāvakam vā] ye-vā-punā

⁶⁰ Below *ta* stands a *u*-stroke.

⁶¹ The final sign is probably intended for *spa*, — see the notes to the German edition of the Mauseira text.

⁶² Possibly *puat* to be read.

⁶³ Possibly *achāyikam* or **ke* to be restored, as there are two large abrasions on both sides of the *ka*.

⁶⁴ The vowel of *no* is very indistinct.

⁶⁵ Complete *aropitam*.

⁶⁶ The *cha* is indistinct.

⁶⁷ The passage from *savatra* down to *parisha* has been repeated by mistake.

⁶⁸ It looks, as if another sign had stood between *ki* and *chi*.

⁶⁹ A remnant of *va* below the *ga* is visible.

⁷⁰ The stroke indicating the aspiration of *cha* is indistinct.

⁷¹ The *ra*-stroke of *svara* is not quite certain.

⁷² The initial sign of this word shows the same form as in *orodhanaspi*, above l. 13, and seems to be intended for *spa*.

⁶⁴ Possibly *aga* to be read; read *ahamapiti*.

⁶⁵ Read *anuvataram*.

⁶⁶ Read *apina*.

⁶⁷ The Anuvāras of *devānam* and *evam* are not quite distinct.

⁶⁸ The vowel is doubtful.

⁶⁹ Possibly *kamha* to be read.

⁷⁰ The lingual in *aṭha* is more probable than the dental.

⁷¹ The new impression shows a tolerably distinct *me* at the end of line 17, and only scratches at the beginning of line 18. In the third syllable of *adamanasā* a short *a* is more probable than *mā*.

⁷² The last sign of this word and the next two show the aboriginal form, which probably stands for *spa*.

⁷³ No letters seem to have been lost between *me* and *savata*.

⁷⁴ At least one syllable, probably *cha*, has been lost after *savata*.

⁷⁵ *Hakam*, not *sukam*, is the reading of the new impression.

Mansehra.

achayike aropita hoti[28] taye athraye vivade nijhat
va samta parishaye a[nam]taliyena pative litaviye
me savatra savra kala[.] Eva[31] anapita maya[.]
Nasti hi me toshe u[thanas] athrasanitiranaye
cha[29] Kaṭaviyamate hi me savralokahite[.]
Tasa chu [puna] eshe mule uṭhane athrasatirana cha[.]
Nasti hi kramatara savralokahitena[.] Yam cha
[ki]chi para[kia]mam ahari[3] kiti[?] bhuta-
nari[30] anapiyam yeham ia cha sha sukhayami
yatra cha spagran⁸¹ aradhetu ti[.] Se etaye athraye
yam dhramadipi likhita [3] chirathitikaṁ hotu tattham
cha me putra [nata]re para[k]ramamte⁸⁰
savra-[31] lokahitaye[.] Dukare chu kho anatra
agrena parakramena[.]

Kālsī.

mahāmātehi[18] atiyāyike[ā. pitam]hoti tāyethāye
vivāde nijhati-vā⁷⁶ samtam palisāye anantaliyenā
pati . . . viye me savatā savam kalam hevam
anapayite mamayā[.] Nathi-hi-me dose-va uṭhāna-ā
aṭhasantilanāye]-chā[.] Kaṭ[a]viyamute⁷⁹-hi-me
savralokahite[.] [Tasā-punā⁷⁷ es[e]-mule uṭhāne[19]
aṭhasantilanā-]ch[ā]jā[.] Natbi-hi kammatalā sava-
[loka]hitena[.] Yam-cha-kichi palakamāmi hakaṁ
[3] kiti[?] bhūtānari[3] anapiyam yeham hida-cha-
kāni sukhāyāmi palata-chā⁷⁸ svagam ālādhayitu⁷⁹[.]
Se-etāyethāye yam dhamalipi lekhitā[.] Chila-
thitikyā hotu tatthā cha me putadāle palakamātu
savralokahitā[ye].[20] Dukale-ch[a]⁸¹ yam anata
agenā palakamena[.]

EDICT VII.

Girnār.

Devānam piyo Piyadasi rājā sarvata ichhati
save pāsamiṇḍa vaseyu[.] Save te
sayamaṁ cha [1] bhāvasudhiṁ cha ichhati[.] Jano tu
uchāvachachharindo uchāvacharago[.] Te sarvam
va ekadesam va kadesam[2] Vipule⁸³ tu
pi dāne yasa nasti sayame bhāvasudhitā va
katamātā va diḍhabhatitā cha niche bādham [3]

Shāhbāzgarhī.

Devānam piyo Priyāsī⁸² raja savatra ichhati
savre [1] prashamḍa vaseyu[.] Savre hi te
sayama bhāvasudhi cha ichhamti[2] Jano chu
uchāvachachharindo uchāvacharago[.] Te sarvam
va ekadesam va [3] pi kashamti[.] Vipule
pi chu dane yasa nasti sayama bhava-[4]sudhi
kiṭṭanāta diḍhabhatita niche padham [5]

Mansehra.

Deva[na priye] Priyadasi raja savatra ichhati
savra pashada vaseyu[.] Savre hi te sayama
bhāvasudhi [cha] [32] ichhamti[.] Jane chu
uchāvachachhade uchāvacharago[.] Te sarvam
ekadesam va pi kashati[.] Vipule pi chu
dane yasa nasti sayame bhāvasuti
kiṭṭanata diḍhabhatita⁸⁰ cha [32] niche bādham[.]

Kālsī.

Devānam-piye Piyadasi lājā [sa]vatā [i]chhati[.]
sav[a pā]samḍa vas[e] vu[.]⁸⁴ Save-hi-te sayamaṁ
bhāvasudhi-chā⁸⁶ ichhamti[.] Jane-chu
uchāvachachharindo uchāvachalāge[.] Te-sarvam
ekadesam-pi kachhamti[.] Vipule-pi-chu
dān[am]⁸⁷ asā nathi [21] sayame bhāvasudhi[i]
kiṭṭanātā⁸⁸ diḍhabhatitā-chā niche-bādham[.]

EDICT VIII.

Girnār.

Atikātam amṭaram rājāno vihāra-
yātām āyāsu[.] Eta magayvi. anāni
cha etāni[1]⁹¹ abhīramakāni ahimsu[.] So

Shāhbāzgarhī.

Atikratnam⁹⁰ amṭaram devaṇam priya viha-
yatra nama nikāmisu[.] Atra mrugaya anāni
cha [h]edisani a[bhi]ramani abhavasū⁹²[.] So

⁷⁸ *Nijhati*, not *nikati*, is the distinct reading of the reverse of the impression.

⁷⁹ The absence of the Anusvara and the reading *imute* are certain from the reverse of the impression.

⁸⁰ *Tasā* is more probable than *tasa*.

⁸¹ *Pa'ala*, not *palatā*, is distinct.

⁸² Read *ālādhayantu*.

⁸³ Read *parakramantu*.

⁸⁴ Possibly *chu*.

⁸⁵ The initial *sa* is again abnormal and the sign must be read *spa*.

⁸⁶ Read *Priyadasi*.

⁸⁷ The apparent second *a*-stroke which makes the word look like *vipāle* is, to judge from the impression, an accidental scratch.

⁸⁸ The short *ānā* of [*pā*]samḍa seems certain, as there is

no stroke or abrasion to the right of the *da*.

⁸⁹ *Chā*, not *cha*, is distinct.

⁹⁰ The impression shows everywhere distinctly *uchāvucha*, not *uchāvacha*.

⁹¹ *Dānam* is, according to the reverse of the impression, more probable than *dāne*.

⁹² According to the impression the reading is *kiṭṭanātā*, for which *kiṭṭanātā* must be read.

⁹³ One of the *ra*-strokes in *drīḍhra*⁹⁴ is superfluous, and ought to be removed. The form was probably *drīḍhra*⁹⁵, i.e. *drīḍha*.

⁹⁴ This stands for *atikrantam* just like *savra* for *sarva*.

⁹⁵ Read *etārisāni*.

⁹⁶ The second vowel is not quite distinct, and the reading *abhavasū* is perhaps possible.

Girnar.

devānam piyo Priyadasi rājā dasavasābhisito.
saṁto ayāya saṁbodhi⁹⁴ [2] Tenesā dhamma-
yātā[.] Etayam⁹⁵ hoti lāmaṇasamanānam
dasane cha dāne cha bhāraṇam dasane cha [3]
hiraṇapāṭi⁹⁶vidhāno cha jānapadasa cha jānasa⁹⁸
dasanam dhammānusastī cha dhama⁹⁷pāpucchā
cha[4.] Tatopaya⁹⁹ esā bhuya rati bhavati devānam
piyasa Priyadasino rāño bhāge amhe [5.]

Mansehra.

Atikratam amitarān devana piya viharayatra
nama nikrami-hu[.] I[ha]⁸ mi⁷gaviya añani
cha edisani abhiraṇani husu[.]
Se devana piye Priyadasi [34] rāja⁶ dasavashabhi-
site saṁtām nikrami saṁbodhi[.] Tenad[am]⁷
dharmayatra[.] Atra iya hoti [.] śramaṇa-
bramaṇa draśane dane cha vadhrana draśane
[cha] hiraṇapāṭi¹¹vidha[ne¹¹ cha] [35] janapadasa
janasa draśane dhramanuśasti cha dhramapari-
pucchā cha[.] Tatopaya eshe bhuye rati ho[t]i
devana Priyasa Priyadasi [36] rajine bhag[e]¹⁴
aṇe [37]

Girnar.

Devānam piyo Priyadasi rājā evaṁ āha [.] asti
jano uchāvacham maṅgalaṁ karote ābādhesu vā [1]
āvāhavivāhesu vā putralābhesu vā pravāsarimhi vā [.]
Etamhi cha añamhi cha jano uchāvacham
maṅgalaṁ karote [2] Eta tu mahādayo bahukam
cha bahuvīdham cha chhudam cha niratham
cha maṅgalaṁ karote[.] Ta katayvameva tu
maṅgalaṁ[.] Apaphalam tu kho [3] etarisan¹⁸
maṅgalaṁ[.] Ayam tu mahāphale maṅgale
ya dhammamamāngale[.] Tata dāsabhatakamhi samya-
pratipatī gurūnam apachiti s[ā]dhu [4] pānesu
sayamo sādhu bambanasamañānam sādhu dānam[.]
Eta cha nā cha etā i¹³eam dhammamamāngalaṁ nāma [.]

⁹³ The *dra* looks very much like *dam*.

⁹⁴ The impression makes the second Anusvāra very probable.

⁹⁵ *Etayam* stands for *Eta iyaṁ*. According to the analogy *yename* for *yena ime*, etc.;—see E. Müller: *Simplified Pali Grammar*, p. 60.

⁹⁶ The Anusvāra is doubtful.

⁹⁷ Possibly *tenadra* to be read.

⁹⁸ Read *janasa*.

⁹⁹ The *dra* looks very much like *dam*.

¹ Possibly *eshe* to be read.

² The upper part of the *i*-stroke is very faint.

³ Possibly *ia* is to be read.

⁴ *Chā*, not *cha*, is the reading of the impression.

⁵ The impression shows no Anusvāra.

⁶ This looks like *rajam*.

Shāhbāzgarhi.

devanān piyo Priyadasi⁹³ rāja dasavashabhi-
satonikramisabodhi[⁹⁴ m]⁹⁵ [.] Tenam⁹⁶ d[a]⁹⁷ dhama-
yatra[.] Atra iyaṁ hoti [.] śramaṇabramaṇanam
draśane danam vu[dha]nam draśane⁹⁸
[h]i [ra]ṇa pāṭi⁹⁹vidha[ne] cha [jana]pada⁹⁹sa janasa
draśanam dhramanuśasti dhramapa[ri]pucchā
cha[.] Tatopayaṁ esh[a]¹ bhuye rati hoti devanān
piyasa Priyadasi² rāño bhag[ī³ a]mū[17.]

Kāsi.

Atikanitām amitalam devānam-piyā vihālayātām.
nāma nikhamisu[.] Hidā mi⁷gaviyā amnāni-
chā⁸ beḍisān[i] abhūlāmān[i] husu⁹[.]
Devānam-piye Priyadasi lājā dasavasābhi-
site saṁtām⁶ nikami[th]⁹ saṁbodhi [22] Tenatā
dhammayātā[.] [He]tā iyaṁ hoti samana-
barmbhanānam dasane-chā dāne-cha vudhānam
dasane-cha hiraṇapāṭi¹¹vidhāne-chā jānapadasā
janasā¹⁰ dasane dhammanusasthi-chā¹² dhama¹³pali-
pucchā-cha¹³[.] Tatopaya¹⁴ ese bhuye lāti hoti
devānam-piyasā Priyadasisā lājine bhāge
amne [23]

EDICT IX.

Shāhbāzgarhi.

Devanām piyo Priyadasi⁹³ rāja evaṁ āha [.] asti
jano uchāvacham maṅgalaṁ karoti ābādhe
avahe vivahe pajupadane pravase[.]
Etaye añaye [cha] edisiy¹⁵. ja[n]o [ba]¹⁶
maṅgalaṁ karoti[.] Atra tu striyaka bahu
cha bahuvīdham cha putika[m] cha nirathriyam
cha maṅgalaṁ ka[rotne]¹⁷[.] So kaṭavo [cha kh]o
maṅgala[.] Apaphalam tu kho etam[.]
Imar [tu] kho mahaphala ye mamamāngala-
la[m]¹⁹ [18] [A]tra ima [.] dasabhatakasā samma-
pratipati garuṇa apachiti prananam
samyama śramaṇabramaṇana dana[.]
Etaṁ añam cha dhramamamāngalaṁ nāma[.]

⁷ Possibly *tenadra* is to be read.

⁸ The obverse of the impression seems to give *sate*, but the reverse shows *saṁtām* to be the right reading.

⁹ Possibly *nikhamiṭhā* to be read.

¹⁰ The impression shows no *cha* after *janasā*, only superficial scratches.

¹¹ Read *hiraṇapāṭi¹¹vidhane*.

¹² Read *dhammanusasthi*.

¹³ There is no Anusvāra in *dhama¹³pali¹³pucchā*.

¹⁴ Possibly the reading may have been *bhagi*.

¹⁵ Read *edisiye*.

¹⁶ Read *bahu*; only the lower half of the first letter has been preserved; the second has been omitted.

¹⁷ *Karotne* stands for *karote*.

¹⁸ For *etāri¹⁸am*.

¹⁹ Read *dhramamamāngalam*.

Girnār.

Ta vatayvaṃ pitā va [5] putena vā bhātrā vā
evāmikena vā[:] idarṃsādhuidarṃ katayvaṃ maṃgalārṃ
āva tassa aṭṭhassa nīṭānāya[.] Asti cha pi vutārṃ [:6]
Sādhū

danarṃ²² iti[.] Na tu etārisarṃ asti dānarṃ va anagaho²³
va yārisarṃ dharṃmadānam va dhammānugaho va[.]
Ta tu kho mitrena va suhādayena vā [7] ūtikena²⁴
va saṃhāyana²⁵ va ovāditayvaṃ tamhi tamhi pakaraṇe[:]
idarṃ kacharṃ idarṃ sādha²⁶ iti iminā sakarṃ²⁷ [8] sva-
garṃ ārādhetu iti[.] Ki cha iminā katayvataraṃ
yathā svagāradhi[9]

Mansehra.

Devana priye Priyadasi raja evaṃ aha [:] jane uchā-
vucha[m]³⁰ ma[gala][m]³⁷ karoti [1] abadh[a]si ava-
[ha]si vivāhasi prajopadaye pravāsasi³⁸ [.] Etaye
aṇṇaye cha [edi]sa[ye]⁴¹ ja[ne] [2] bahu maṃgalārṃ
ka[ro]ti[.] A[tra] tu balika janika bahu cha
bahuvīdh[a]⁴² cha khud[a]⁴³ cha nirathriya cha
magala[m]⁴⁷ karoti[.] Se ka . vi . cha kho [3]
[ma]gale[.] Apaphale chu . kho e[sha]⁴⁴ [.] Iyaṃ
chu kho mahāphale y[e] dhramamaṃgale[.] Aṭṭa
iyaṃ [:] dasabhaṭṭakasi samyapaṭipati guru[na
apa]chiti [4] prāṇa . sa[ya]mā āraṃabramāṇa-
na [dane]⁴⁵ [.] Eshe aṇṇe cha ediṣe dhrama-
magale nama[.] Se vataviy[e] pit[u]na⁴⁶ pi putrena
pi bhatuna pi samikena . [5] mitrasaṃstute[na
a[va] paṭivesiyena pi [:] iyaṃ sadhu iy[am]⁴⁸
kaṭaviye magale ava tassa athassa nivu[t]iya[.]

²² The first letter, which is badly damaged, seems to have been one of the abnormal signs, occurring Ed. VI in *orodhanaspi* and so forth, and is probably meant for *epa*.

²³ The last sign is the same as in *orodhanaspi* and so forth,

²⁴ Read *ddnarṃ*.

²⁵ Probably a mistake for *anugaho*.

²⁶ The *ra*-stroke is not quite certain.

²⁷ The second and fourth vowels are not quite distinct, and one might possibly read *nivutṭeyeti*.

²⁸ The last vowel is not quite certain.

²⁹ The two signs of this word stand the one above the other.

³⁰ Read *ūttikena*.

³¹ Read *saṃhāyana*.

³² Possibly *pana* to be read.

³³ Possibly *ia* to be read.

³⁴ Read *sādhu*.

³⁵ The Anuvāra of *sakarṃ* is at least very probable.

³⁶ Possibly *pana* to be read.

³⁷ Read *dhramamaṃgale*.

³⁸ The Anuvāra is uncertain.

³⁹ The Anuvāra is uncertain.

⁴⁰ Thus distinct in B, instead of *pravāsasi*.

Shāhāzgarhī.

So vatavo pituna pi putrena pi bhratuna pi
[spa]mikena⁴⁰ pi mi[tra]saṃstutena ava paṭi-
vesiyena[:] imarṃ sadhu imarṃ kaṭavo [maṃ]galārṃ
yava tassa aṭṭhassa nivuṭṭiya[.] Nivutṭaspi⁴¹ va pana
[19] imarṃ ke[sha]⁴² [?] Ye hi et[ra]ke⁴³ magale
sa[m]śayike tarṃ[.] Siya vo tarṃ aṭṭharṃ
niv[a]ṭṭey[a]⁴⁴ ti[.] Siya pana iālokach[e]⁴⁵
vo tithe [.] Iya⁴⁶ puna dhramamaṃgalārṃ
akalikaṃ[.] Yadi p[u]na⁴⁷ tarṃ aṭṭharṃ na
nivaṭṭe [h]ia⁴⁸ [.] [a]tha paratra anarṃtarṃ puṇarṃ
prasavati[.] Harṃhohe p[u]na⁴⁹ [a]ṭṭharṃ
nivaṭṭe ti tato ubhayaṃ ladhārṃ bhōti
ihachaso aṭṭo paratrachāanarṃtarṃ puṇarṃ prasavati
tena dhramamaṃgalena⁵⁰ [20]

Kālat.

Devānarṃ-piye Piyadasi lājā āhā [:] jane uchā-
vuchaṃ⁵¹ maṃgalārṃ [ka]l[eti] [.] Ābādhasi avā-
hasi vivāhasi pajopadāye⁵² pavāsasi etāye arṇnā-
ye-chā ediṣāye janne bahu magalaṃ
kaleti[.] Heta-chu abakajani[yo]⁵³ bahu-chā
bahuvīdharṃ-chā khudā⁵⁴-chā nilathiyāṃ-chā⁵⁵
maglaṃ kalamti⁵⁶ [24] Se-kaṭavi-cheva-kho⁵⁷
maṃgale[.] Apaphale-vu-kho es[e] Iyaṃ
chu-kho mahāphale ye-dharṃmamagale[.] He[tā]⁵⁸
iyaṃ dāsabhaṭṭakasi samyapaṭipāti-gulunā⁵⁹
apachiti pā . ānaṃ sayame samānabārahānānaṃ
dāne[.] Eṣe arṇne-chā heṭṭise tarṃ-dharṃma-
magale-nāma⁶⁰ [.] Se-vataviye pitinā-pi putena-
pi⁶¹ bhātina-pi suvāmiken[ā]-pi mitrasaṃstutenā
āva-paṭivesiyenā-pi [25] iyaṃ sādhu iyaṃ
kaṭaviye [ma]gale āva-tassa aṭṭhassa nivuṭṭiya⁶² [.]

⁴⁰ The *vu* in the third syllable is distinct.

⁴¹ The lower stroke is nearly straight and *pajopadda* a possible reading, but see the Mansehra version.

⁴² The first and last syllables of *edīṣāye* are very indistinct.

⁴³ This is the reading of the reverse, distinct except in the last syllable.

⁴⁴ *Khudā*, not *khudam*, is the reading of the impression.

⁴⁵ Read *nilathiyā* or *ṣya*.

⁴⁶ Possibly *bahuvīdhe* to be read.

⁴⁷ Possibly *khudā* to be read.

⁴⁸ The Anuvāra is uncertain.

⁴⁹ *Kālati*, not *kaleti*, is distinct.

⁵⁰ Read *kaṭaviye*.

⁵¹ The existence of this word is certain, the quantity of the last syllable doubtful.

⁵² *Gulunā* not *ṇam*, is the reading of the impression.

⁵³ *Nāma*, not *nāma*, is the reading of the impression.

⁵⁴ *Putena*, not *putenā*, is the reading of the impression.

⁵⁵ Possibly *pitina* to be read.

⁵⁶ The *ya* has besides the Anuvāra an apparent *e*-stroke, which must be accidental.

⁵⁷ The third syllable of *nivutṭiyā* is superficially damaged. The reverse leaves no doubt regarding the reading.

Mansehra.

Nivutasi va puna ima k[e]sh[a]miti [?] E hi
[a]trake⁶⁸ ma . . [6] śaśayike⁶⁹ se[.] [Si]ya
[va] ta[rh] athrañh nivaṭeya[.] siya pana no
i[ha]ch[a]loki[cha]⁶⁸ vawe[.] Iyañh puna dhrama-
magul[e] akalike[.] Hache pi tañh athrañh na
nivaṭ[e] ti [hida] [a . paratra . . . [7]
an[arh]tañh puñarh⁶⁴ prasavati[.] Hache [puna
tañh a].ra nivaṭe ti hida tato ubhayaśa [va
la]dhe. [ho]ti hida cha se athre paratra cha
ana[rh]ta[rh]⁷⁰ punaṃ prasa[va]ti tena
dhramagalena⁷¹ [8]

Girnar.

Devānañh priyo Priyadasi rājā⁷² yaso va kiti va na
mahāthāvahā maññate añāta tadātpano dighāya cha

me jano [1] dharmmasusruśā⁷³ susrusatāñh dharmma-
vutañh cha anuvīdhiyatāñh[.] Etakāya devānañh piyo
Priyadasi rājā yaso va kiti va ichhati [2]
Yañ tu kiñchi parākamate devānañh Priya-
dasi⁷⁴ rājā ta savāñh parātrikāya [:] kiñti [2]
sakale appaparisevave asa[.] Eśa tu parisrave ya
apumñāñh⁷⁵ [3] Dukarañh tu kho etañh chhudakena
va janena usaṭena va añātra agena parākamena⁷⁶
savāñh parichajitpā[.] Eta tu kho usatena
dukarañh [4]

Mansehra.

. . . [8] priye Pri . draśi raja yaso va kiṭi va
n[a] mahathravaham maññati anatra yañ pi ya[so
va] kiṭi va ichhati tadattaye⁸³ ayatiy[a]⁸⁴ cha jane
dhramasuśruśa su . [sha]tu me ti

Kālsi.

Imaṃ ka[tha]m-iti [?] E-h
ivale⁸⁷ magale śaśayikye-se [hoti]⁸⁸ [.]
Siyā-va-taṃ aṭhañ⁸¹ nivaṭeyā siyā-punā-no
hidaloṭike-cha-vase[.] Iyañ-punā dharmmagale
akālikye[.] Hañche-pi tañh-athañh no-niṭe-ti
hida aṭhañ⁸² palata anamtañh-punā pavasati⁸⁹ [.]
Hañche-punā-taṃ aṭhañh nivare-ti hida⁹⁰ tato⁹⁷
ubhaye⁸⁹ [26] [la]dhe hoti hida-chā⁹⁰ se-aṭhe
palatā-chā anamtañh-puññam pasavati
tenā dharmmagalenā[.]

EDICT X.

Shāhbāzgarhī.

Devana priye Priyadasi raya yaso va kiṭi va no
mahāthavaha maññati añātra yo pi
yaśo kiṭi va ichhati tadattaye⁸⁴ ayatiya cha [jan]
e dhramasuśraśa⁷⁵ suśrushatu me ti dhrammavu-
tañh cha anuvi[dhiyat]u[.] Etakaye devanañh priye
Priyadasi raya yaśo va kiṭi va [21] [ichha]ti[.]
Yañ tu kiṭi parakramati devanañh priyo Priya-
dasi raya tañh savāñh parātrikaye va [:] kiti [2]
sa[kale]⁷⁸ aparisevave siya ti[.] Eśhe tu parisrave
yañ apuññāñh[.] Dukarañh t[u] kho eśhe khudra-
kena vagrena usaṭena va añātra agrena parakramena
savāñh paritijitu[.] Etañh chu usaṭe⁸⁰
. . . . [22].

Kālsi

Devānañh-piye Priyadashā⁸¹ lājā yasho-vā kiti-va
no-mahathāvā⁸² maññati anata yañ-pi yaso
vā kiti-vā ichhati tadatvāye ayatiye-chā jane
dharmmasusushā susushātu-me-ti⁸⁶

⁶⁷ This may be read *ichale*.

⁶⁸ Possibly *etrake* or *etake* according to B., which also shows the *ma*.

⁶⁹ This is plain in B.

⁷⁰ The existence of this word, which is not found in the closely corresponding Mansehra version, is very doubtful.

⁷¹ The lingual of *aṭhañ* is plain in the impression both here and in the next sentences.

⁷² This may also be read *ihache loki cha* or *ihachalokike*.

⁷³ Read *nivaṭe-ti* and *aṭha*.

⁷⁴ Thus according to B.

⁷⁵ Read *pasavati*, as suggested by M. Senart.

⁷⁶ *Hida*, not *hidā*, is the distinct reading of the impression.

⁷⁷ *Tato*, not *tadā*, is the reading of the impression, though the *e*-stroke is short (compare Mansehra and Shāhbāzgarhī.)

⁷⁸ *Ubhaye* is distinct on the reverse, but the following omitted syllable is very doubtful. It looks most like an original *auś* or *adh*. I would conjecture *ubhayaśam* in accordance with the Mansehra and Shāhbāzgarhī versions.

⁷⁹ *Hida*, not *hidā* is the reading of the impression.

⁷⁹ The two Anuvāras are probable, but not certain.

⁸⁰ Read *dhramamagaṭena*.

⁸¹ The syllable *da* has been inserted between *si* and *rd* and the syllable *rd* between *rd* and *ja*.

⁸² The apparent Anuvāra after *era* is too irregular in shape to be read. It is probably due to a flaw in the rock.

⁸³ Regarding the sign see the remarks to the German edition. It is, of course, possible to read *tadattaye* or *tadatraye*.

⁸⁴ Read *dhramasuśruśa*.

⁸⁵ Restore *priyo* before *Priyadasi*.

⁸⁶ The Anuvāra is faintly visible on the impression.

⁸⁷ The *ka* has been added as a correction.

⁸⁸ Possibly *parākrāmena* to be read, as the top of the *ka* is slightly bent towards the right.

⁸⁹ The conclusion of the edict is missing and seems not to have been incised.

⁹⁰ Read *Priyadasi*.

⁹¹ Possibly *mahatāva* to be restored.

⁹² It is, of course, possible to read *tadattaye* or *tadatraye*.

⁹³ Possibly *ayatiye* is to be read.

⁹⁴ A Vedic imperative.

Mansehra.

. . [9] [ta] a[nu]vidhiyatu ti[.] Etakaye devana priye Priyadra[sī] raja yaso va kiṭi va ichhati[.] [E tu] kichi parakrama . i devana priye Priya[dra]sī [raja ta] savraṁ para[trikaye va] [.] [kiti] [?] . . . [10] [a]paparisave siya ti ti⁹¹[.] Eshe tu parisave e[apū]ṇa[m] [.] Dukaraṁ chu [kho] eshe khudrakena va vagre[na usa]tena va a[ṇa]tra a[gre]na parakramena savraṁ pariti . tu[.] E. [tu kho] usatena va duka[ra] [.]

Girnar.

Devānaṁ priyo Piyadasi rājā evaṁ āha [.] nāsti eṭārisaṁ dānaṁ yārisaṁ dharmadānaṁ dharmasaṁstavo vā dharmasaṁvibhāgo vā dharmasaṁbadho va [.] Tata idaṁ bhavati dāsabhatakanhi samyapratipati mātari pitari sādhu susrusā mitasastutañātikānaṁ bāhnanasamañānaṁ sādhu dānaṁ [2] prānaṁ anāraṁbho sādhu[.] Eta vatayvaṁ pitā va putrena va bhātā va mitasastutañātikena va āva paṭivesiyeḥi [.] idaṁ sādhu idaṁ katayvaṁ[3] So tathā⁹⁷ karu ilokachasa āradho hoti parata cha ananantaṁ⁹⁸ puṇāṁ bhavati tena dharmadānena[4]

Mansehra.

. . . [pri]ye Priyadraśī raja evaṁ āha [.] nāsti . diṣe dane adīse dharmadāne dharmasa . ve dhra]masa[m]vibhage⁶ dhrama . . dhe [I.] Tatra eshe [.] dasa[bba]ṭa . sa sa[mya]sampaṭipati⁶ matapitushu . . . [12] samstutañātikana śramaṇabramaṇana dane prānaṁ anarāmbhe[.] Eshe vataviye pituna pi putrena pi bhātuna pi spami . . pi mitrasaṁstutena ava paṭivesiyena . [13] iyaṁ sādhu iyaṁ kaṭaviye [.] Se ta[tha] karaṁtaṁ hida . ka cha¹⁰ aradhe . i . ratra cha . a . taṁ puṇaṁ prasavati . . [dhra]madanena [14]

⁹⁶ *Yasho*, not *yaso*, is the reading of the impression.

⁹⁷ Read *ichhati*.

⁹⁸ Read *palakamati*.

⁹⁹ *Piyadashi*, not *si*, is the reading of the impression.

¹⁰⁰ Read *lājā*.

¹⁰¹ *Siya ti ti* is also the reading of the Kāśī version. It stands for *itī*, which occasionally occurs also in Sanskrit.

¹⁰² Read *apapalishave*; *shiyā*, not *siyā*, is the reading.

¹⁰³ *Eshe*, not *ese*, is the reading of the impression here and in the next sentence.

¹⁰⁴ The *w*-stroke is distinct.

¹⁰⁵ A short final is more probable than a long one.

¹⁰⁶ This looks like *pitūna*, with two *w*-strokes.

¹⁰⁷ The two apparent *d*-strokes after *ta* are due to fissures in the rock.

¹⁰⁸ Probably *ananantaṁ*.

Kāśī.

dharmavataṁ-vā anuvīdhiyatu-ti[.] Etakāye devānaṁ piye Piyadasi[27] lājā yasho-vā⁹⁶ kiti-vā ichha⁹⁷[.] Am-chā-kiehi-lakamati⁹⁸ devānaṁ-piye Piyadashi⁹⁹ lājā¹⁰⁰ ta shavaṁ pālatikyāyo-vā [.] kiti [?] Sakale apapalāshave shiyā-ti-ti¹⁰¹[.] Eshe-chu-palisave¹⁰² e-apurīne[.] Dukale-chu-kho eshe khudakena-vā vāgena ubhūtena-vā¹⁰³ anat[a]¹⁰⁴ agenā palakamenā shavaṁ palitiditu[.] He[ta]-chu-kho [28] ushatena-vā dukale[.]

EDICT XI.

Shālbāzgarhi.

Devānaṁ priyo Priyadraśī raya evaṁ āha tī [.] nāsti eḍisaṁ danam yadisaṁ dharmadānaṁ dharmasaṁstave dharmasaṁvibhago [dhra]masaṁbadho[.] Tatra etaṁ [.] dasabhatakanam sammapratipati matapitushu susrusa mitra-saṁstutañātikānaṁ śramaṇabramaṇanaṁ [23] danam prānaṁ anarāmbho [.] Etaṁ vatavo pituna¹⁰⁵ pi putrena pi bhātuna pi [sa]mikenā pi mitrasaṁstutena ava prativesiyena [.] [i]yaṁ sādhu iyaṁ kaṭavo [.] So tatha karaṁtaṁ iloka[m]¹⁰⁶ cha aradheti paratra cha anatam puṇaṁ prasavati [24] [te]na dharmadānena [25]

Kāśī.

Devānaṁ-piye Piyadashi lājā hevaṁ hā¹ [.] nāhi heḍiṣhe dāne [ā]dishaṁ² dharmadāne | dharmashaṁvibhage³ | dharmashaṁbadhe⁴ | [.] Tat[a] eshe [.] dāshabhataকাশी | shamyāpaṭipati mātāpitishu | shushushā | mitashaṁthutana [ti]kyānaṁ samanaḥambhaṇānaṁ dāne [29] pāṇānaṁ anā-lambhe [.] Eshe vataviye pitinā-pi pute-pi⁷ bhātina-pi [sh]avāṁmikyena-pi⁸ mitasaṁthutana⁹ avā paṭivesiyenā [.] iyaṁ sādhu iyaṁ kaṭaviye [.] Se tathā kalamta hidalokikyē cha karaṁ āladhe hoti palata-cha anarāta¹¹ puṇā pasavati tenā dharmadānenā [.]

⁹⁹ This may possibly be *hialoku*

¹ Read *dhā*.

² Possibly *adishaṁ* to be read.

³ *Dharmashaṁvibhage*, not *vibhage*, is the distinct reading.

⁴ The impression shows no Anuvāra in *vadhe*

⁵ The Anuvāra is uncertain.

⁶ Possibly *samma*^o is to be read.

⁷ Read *putend*-pi.

⁸ Read *shuvāṁmikyend*.

⁹ Read *mitashaṁthutend*; the *ta* stands below the line. There is no *pi* after this word.

¹⁰ Possibly a sign (*kw*?) may have stood between *cha* and *aradhe*.

¹¹ Possibly *anata* to be read.

EDICT XII.

Girnār.

Devānam piye Piyadasi rājā savapāsāmdāni
cha¹¹ pavajitāni¹² cha gharastāni¹³ cha pūjayati
dānena cha vividhāya cha pūjāya pūjayati ne [.]
Na tu tathā dānam va pūjā va devānam piyo
maññate yathā kiti[?] sāravadhī asa savapāsā-
mdānam [.] Sāravadhī¹⁴ tu bahuvividhā [.] 2 Tasa tasa¹⁵
tu idam mūlam ya vachigutī [.] kiñti [?] ātpa-
pāsāmdapūjā va parapāsāmdagarahā¹⁷ va no bhava
apakaranamhi lahukā va asa [3] tamhi tamhi
prakarane[.] Pūjetayā¹⁹ tu eva parapāsāmdā tena
tana²⁰ prakaraṇena [.] Evaṁ karuṁ ātpapāsāmdā
cha vadhayati parapāsāmdasa cha
upakaroti [4] Tadamūnathā karoto ātpapāsāmdā
cha chhapati parapāsāmdasa cha pi apakaroti[.]
Yo hi kochi ātpapāsāmdā pūjayati parapāsāmdā
vā garahati [5] savam ātpapāsāmdabhatiyā [.]
kiñti [?] ātpapāsāmdā dipayema iti so cha
puna tatha karato²² ātpapāsāmdā
baḍhatarāni upahanti [.] Ta samavāyo
eva sādhu [6] kiñti [?] aññamamāsa dhammāni
srūṇāru cha susūsa cha [.] Evaṁ hi devānam
piyasa icchā [.] kiñti²⁴ [?] savapāsāmdā bahusrutā
cha asu kalānāgama cha asu [7] Ye cha tatra
tate²⁵ prasannā tehi vatayvāni [.] devānam piyo
na tathā dānam va pūjā va maññate yathā kiti[?]
sāravadhī asa savapāsāmdāni bahukā²⁶
cha [.] Etāya [8] athā vyāpatā dhammānabhamātā
cha ithijhakkhamahamātā cha vachabbhūmikā cha añe
cha²⁷ nikāyā [.] Ayam²⁸ cha etasa phala ya ātpapāsā-
mdavadhī cha hoti dhammasa cha dipanā [9]

Mansehra.

Devana priye Priyadasi raja savra prasha-
dani pravrajitani gahathani cha pujeti dauena
vividhaya[e]²⁹ cha pujaya [.] No [chu] tatha
dana va puja va [1] devanam priye manati
atha kiti [?] salavadhī siya savrapashadana ti [.]

Shāhbāzgarhi.

Devanam priyo Priyadasi raya savra prasham-
dani pravrajita³⁴ graha[tha]ni cha pujeti
dauena vividhaye cha pujaye [.]
No chu tatha dana[m] va puja va [1] devanam priyo
manati yatha kiti[?] salavadhī siya savraprasham-
dana[m] [.] Salav[a]dhi tu bahuvividha [.] Tasa
tu iyo mula yam vachaguti [.] 2 kiti[?] ata-
prashamdapuja va parapashamdagarana³⁸ va no
siya aprakaranasi [.] lahuka va siya tasi tasi
prakara[n]e [.] Pujetaviya va chu paraprasham- [3]
[da] tena tena [a] karena⁴¹ [.] Evaṁ kara[m] tam
[a] taprashamdam vadheti paraprashamdasā pi cha
upakaroti [.] Tada aññatha kn[rata] cha ataprasham-
dam [4] chhapati paraprashamdasā cha apakaroti [.]
Yo hi k[?] ehi ataprashamdam pujeti paraprash[a] da
garahati savre ataprashmdabhatiya va [.]
kiti [?] 5 ataprashamdam dipayami ti [.] so cha
puna tatha karamam so cha puna tatha karatam⁴³
baḍhatarāni upahanti ataprashamdam [.] So sayame
vo sādhu [.] kiti[?] aññamāsa dharmo [6]
srūṇeyu cha suśrūṇeyu cha ti [.] Evaṁ hi devanam
priyasa icchā [.] kiti[?] savraprashamda bahusruta
cha kala[na] gama cha siyasu [.] Ye cha tatra
tatra [7] prasana tesham vatavo [.] Devanam priyo
na tatha danam va puja va manati yatha kiti[?]
salavadhī siya ti savraprashadana[m] bahuka cha [.]
Etaye a . . [8] vappa [dham] mama[ha] matra
i[stidhi] yachhamahamatra vachabbhumika añe
cha nikaye [.] Imaṁ cha etasa phalam yam ataprasha-
davadhī [bhoti]. [9] dharmasa cha dipana [10]

Kālsi

Devānā-piye-Piyadasi [30] lājā shavā pāsham-
dani³⁵ pavajitāni gahathāni vā pujeti-dānena-
vividhena³⁶ cha-[pujā]ye [.] No-chu-tathā³⁸
dāne-vā pūjā-vā devānam-piye manati athā
kita³⁷ [?] Sālavadhī siyā-ti savapāsāmdānam³⁹ [.]

¹¹ Dele cha.

¹² Possibly *pravajitāni* to be read.

¹³ Possibly *gharistāni* to be read.

¹⁴ *Pravrajita*, first read by M. Senart, stands according to a direct photograph above the line, just before *grahathani*.

¹⁵ The apparent ā-stroke after *ra* is probably an accidental scratch or a serif.

¹⁶ The first *ta* and the second *sa* of *tasa tasa* have been obliterated, as the sense requires.

¹⁷ The syllable *sam* has been added as a correction.

¹⁸ Read *garaha*.

¹⁹ Probably a mistake for *pujetayā*.

²⁰ Read *tena tena*.

²¹ Dr. Burgess' new *facsimile* shows more distinctly than the older materials this reading. My former reading *prakara-*

nena is impossible; so is M. Senart's *pakarena*.

²² Read *karoto*.

²³ Dele the repeated words.

²⁴ The syllable *ti* has been inverted as a correction.

²⁵ Possibly the reading was *tatra tatra*.

²⁶ Read *bahukā*.

²⁷ The word *cha* has been added as a correction.

²⁸ The letter *a* has been added as a correction.

²⁹ Only the right half of the *anuvāda* is distinct.

³⁰ Read *pāshamdāni*.

³¹ Meant for *vividhaye*.

³² Possibly *vividhaya* to be read.

³³ *Chu*, not *cha*, is the reading of the impression.

³⁴ Possibly *kiti* to be restored.

³⁵ *Nam* stands, as a correction, above the line.

Kāśī.

[ateshu a shashu [p]i yojanashateshu at[a]⁷⁴ Atiyoga
nāma Yona- . . . palam chā tenā [6]
Am̐tiyogenā chatāli 4 lajāne⁷⁵ Tula-
maye nāma Am̐tekinē⁷⁶ n[ā]ma Makā nā-[7] ma
Alikyashudale nāma[,] nicham Choḍa-Parṇḍiyā avam
Tambaparnniyāhevameva hevamevā⁷⁷ [8] [Hi]dalājā[.]
Viśa-Vaji-Yona-Kambojeshu Nābhake⁷⁸ Nābhapaṁ-
tishu Bhoja-Pitinikyē[shu][9] Adha-P.l[a]deshu
shavatā devānam pi[ya]shā dharmānushatthi anu-
vānti[.] Y[a]ta⁸⁰ pi dutā [10] devānam piyasa no
yamti te pi sutu devānam piyāmya⁸¹ [dham]mavutaṁ
vidhanam [11] dharmānushatthi dharmam anuvīdhi-
yamti anuvīdhiyamti chā[.] Ye se [la]dhe [12]
etakenā hoti savatā [vija]ye⁸² pitilase se[.] Gadhā
sā hoti piti piti dharmavijaya-[13]shi[.] Lahukā vu
khasā piti[.] Pālamtikyameve⁸³ mahaphalā marīnam[ti]
devanam pine⁸⁴ [14] Etāye chā aṭhāye iyaṁ dharmā-
lipi likhitā[.] kiti[?] putā pāpotā me a .⁸⁵ [15] navam
vijaya ma vijayamti viya manishu[.] Shay[a]kashi
n⁸⁶ vijayashi khamti chā lahu-[16] damdātā chā loche-
tu tameva chā vijayam manatu ye dharmavijaye[.]
She hidalokikyapalalo-[17] kiye⁸⁷ [.] Shavā cha
nilati hotu uyāmalati[.] Shā [h]i hidaloki[k]upalalo-
kikyā[.] [18]

Maueshra.

am̐teshu a shashu pi y . . . t . chu . . . yok .
nama . na . . . [9]
[Maka nama]
Alikasu[dare] nama[ni]cham oba Choḍa-Parṇḍiya
a Tambaparnniya evameva . . . raja[.]
Viśa-Vaj[ri]-Yona-K . . . shu [Nabha]ke⁷⁹ [Na]-
bhapaṁtishu- . oja-Pitini . shu Adha-P [10]
[na priyasa] no
y . ti te pi sūtu devanam priyasa dharmavutaṁ
vidhanam dharmānushatthi dharmam anuvīdhi-
yamti anuvīdhi[yisamti] cha[.] Y
[.] takena [hoti vija.]
[11]
[.]
[.] priye[.] [Etaye] ath[ra]ye iyaṁ dharmā . .
likhita [.] kiti[?] putra prapota me a . nava .
[12]
[.]
[.]
[.] [lokike][.] Savra cha nirati
hotu ya[s]rama[rati][.] Sa[hi]hida[lokika] paraloka-
kika[.]

EDICT XIV.

Girnar.

Ayam dharmalipi devānam priyena Priyadasi-
nā rānā lekḥapitā asti eva [1] samkhiteva asti
majhamena asti vistatana⁸⁸ [.] Na cha sar-
vam sarvata ghaṭitam [2] Mahālake hi vijitān
babu cha likhitam likḥapayisam cheva [.]
Asti cha eta kam [3] puna puna vutaṁ tasa
tasa athasa⁸⁹ mādḥurātāya [.] kimti [?] jano tathā
paṭipajetha[4] Tatra ekadā asamātām likhi-
tām asa desam va sachhāya karanam va[5]
alochetpā lipikarāparadhena⁹⁰ va [6] .

Shāhbāzgarhi.

Ayo⁹¹ dharmadipi devanam priyena Priśina⁹⁰
rañā dipapito asti vo samkhiteva asti
yo vistriten[.] Na hi savratra
[so] savre ghaṭiti[.] Mahālake hi vijite
babu cha likhite likḥapesami cheva[.]
Asti cha atra puna puna [la]pitam tasa
tasa [atha]sa madhuriyaye [y]e[na] ja[na] tatha
[13] paṭipajeya ti[.] So siya va atra kichi asa-
matām likhitām desam va samkhaye karaṇa va
alocheti dipikarasa va aparadheva[.] [14]

Kāśī.

Iyam dharmalipi devānam piyenā Piyadashinā lajinā likḥapitā athi yeva sukhi-[19] tenā
athi majhimenā athi vitṭaṭenā[.] No hi savatā save ghaṭite⁹² [.] Mahālake hi vi-[20] jite

⁷⁴ The apparent e-stroke in the second syllable is accidental.

⁷⁵ Read *lājāne*.

⁷⁶ The last syllable is perfectly distinct on the reverse.

⁷⁷ Dele *hevameva*.

⁷⁸ The last vowel is distinct on the reverse.

⁷⁹ The older impression reads [Nabha]ka.

⁸⁰ The apparent d-stroke in the first syllable is accidental.

⁸¹ Read *piyasa*.

⁸² *Vijaye* stands above the line, the *vi* is triangular, the *ja* badly formed.

⁸³ Read *meva*.

⁸⁴ Read *mamnatī devānam piye*.

⁸⁵ Restore *asu*.

⁸⁶ Probably *shayakashakashi go* (i.e. [e]vo for *eva*) to be read.

⁸⁷ Probably *°kikyē* to be read.

⁸⁸ *Ayo* according to B.

⁸⁹ Read *vistatana*.

⁹⁰ Read *Priyadrasinā*.

⁹¹ The syllable *sa* has been added as a correction.

⁹² Probably a mistake for *lipikarāparādhena*.

⁹³ The syllable *ji* stands above the line.

Kāśī.

bahu oha likhite lekhaṇeśāmi cheva nikyaṁ [...] Athi chā heta punarhuna lapi-[21] te tashā
tashā athashā madhuliyāye yena jane tathā paṭipajeyā [...] She shiyā²⁴ ata kichhi a-[22]samati
likhite dishā vā shanṅkheye kālanam vā alochayitu lipikalapalādhena vā [...] [23]

TRANSLATION OF THE SHĀHBAZGARHĪ VERSION.¹

EDICT I.

This religious edict has been incised by order of King Priyadarśin, beloved of the gods:—No animal may be slaughtered and offered here as a burnt-sacrifice; nor shall any festive assembly be held; for King Priyadarśin, beloved of the gods, sees much evil in festive assemblies. There are, however, also some *kinds of* festive assemblies considered most excellent by King Priyadarśin, beloved of the gods.

Formerly many hundred thousand animals were slaughtered daily in the kitchen of King Priyadarśin, beloved of the gods, in order to *prepare* curries. Now, when this religious edict is incised, only three animals are slain *daily*, two (2) peacocks *and* one (1) deer; the deer, however, not even regularly. But in future even these three animals will *no longer* be slaughtered.

EDICT II

Everywhere in the empire of King Priyadarśin, beloved of the gods, as well as *among those nations and princes* that are *his* neighbours, such as the Choḍas, the Pāṇḍiyas, the Satiyaputra, the Keralaputa, Tambapamṇī, the Yona king, called Amtiyoka as well as *among those* who are the vassal-kings of that Amtiyoka,—everywhere King Priyadarśin, beloved of the gods, has founded two (2) *kinds of* hospitals, both hospitals for men and hospitals for animals. Everywhere where herbs wholesome for men and wholesome for animals are not found, they have been imported and sown by *the king's* order. And wells have been dug by his order for the enjoyment of men and beasts.³

EDICT III.

King Priyadarśin, beloved of the gods, speaks thus:—*When I had been* anointed twelve years [this *following* order was given by me]:—“Everywhere in my empire both *my* loyal Rajukas⁴ and vassals shall go forth on a tour every five years,—the reason of this

²⁴ *Shi* stands above the line.

¹ The subjoined translation of Edicts I—X, XIV differs only slightly, as the text requires, from that given, *Arch. Survey, South Ind.*, vol. I, p. 121f. The translation of Edict XII has been taken over almost unaltered from the first volume of this Journal, p. 19f. The translation of Edict XIII is based on my German rendering in the *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft*, vol. XLIII, p. 172f. The few notes given here mostly contain matter not given in my former articles on these inscriptions.

² The Choḍas are the Cholas of Kāśhī; the Pāṇḍiyas are the Pāṇḍyas of the extreme south; the Satiyaputa is probably the king of the Satvats; the Keralaputa, the king of Kerala or Malabar. Tambapamṇī, or Tāmaparṇī, is Ceylon, the Yonas are the Yavanas or Greeks, and Amtiyoka is Antiochos II (see below Edict XIII).

³ Two sentences had been left out, and the last has been mutilated (see the Dhauli version).

⁴ *Rajuka*, in Pali *rajjuka*, literally, “rope-holder,” means “Revenue Settlement officer;”—see the *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft*, vol. XLVII, p. 466f.

is the preaching the sacred law—as also for other business, (saying):—Meritorious is the obedience towards mother and father[meritorious is the liberality]towards friends, acquaintances, and relatives, towards Brahmans and ascetics; [meritorious is the abstention from killing living creatures], meritorious is the abstention from reviling heterodox men. Moreover, *the teachers and ascetics of all schools will inculcate what is* befitting at divine service, both according to the letter and according to the spirit.”

EDICT IV.

A long period, many hundreds of years, have passed, *during which* the slaughter of animals, the cruel treatment of created beings, the unbecoming behaviour towards relatives *and* the unbecoming behaviour towards ascetics and Brahmans have only increased. But now, in consequence of the fulfilment of the sacred law by King Priyadarśin, beloved of the gods, the sound of drums,⁵ or rather the sound of the law, *has been heard*, while the sight of cars of the gods,⁶ elephants, and other heavenly spectacles were exhibited to the people. As has not happened formerly in many centuries, even so have grown now through the god-beloved King Priyadarśin's preaching of the law the non-destruction of animals, the good treatment of living creatures, the decorous behaviour towards relatives, the decorous behaviour towards ascetics and Brahmans, the obedience towards parents, *the obedience* towards the aged. Thus, and in many other ways, the fulfilment of the sacred law has grown, and King Priyadarśin, beloved of the gods, will make this fulfilment of the sacred law grow *still more*. Moreover, the sons, grandsons, and great-grandsons of King Priyadarśin, beloved of the gods, will make this fulfilment of the sacred law grow until the end of time, *and* will preach the sacred law, abiding by the sacred law and by virtuous conduct; for that is the best work, *viz.* the preaching of the sacred law; but the fulfilment of the sacred law is not *possible* for a man destitute of virtuous conduct. The growth of this very matter and its non-diminution are meritorious. For this purpose, *viz. that* they may cause the growth of this matter and may not permit its diminution, this *edict* has been written. This *edict* has been written by King Priyadarśin, beloved of the gods, when he had been anointed twelve years.

EDICT V.

King Priyadarśin, beloved of the gods, speaks thus:—Good *works* are difficult of performance. He who is the originator⁷ of good *works* does something difficult of performance. Now much good has been done by me. *If* then, my sons and my grandsons and those *among* my descendants who *may come* after them until the end of time, will thus follow *my example*, they will do *what is* meritorious. But he who will give up even a portion of these *virtuous acts* will commit sin, for sin is easily committed.

Now a long period has passed, *and* the *officials* called the Overseers of the Sacred Law have formerly not existed. Now, when I had been anointed thirteen years, I appointed Overseers of the Sacred Law. They are busy among all sects with watching over the sacred law, with the growth of the sacred law, and with the welfare and happiness of *my*

⁵ Compare *Jdtaka*, iv, 269-76: *dharmabherita charadpesti*.

⁶ Compare Fu Hian's account (Beal, p. 106.) of religious processions at Patnā.

⁷ The word *adikara*—*Adikala* has been completely preserved in the Mansehra and Kālsi versions alone.

loyal subjects, as also among the Yonas, Kamboyas, Gamdhâras, Rastikas, Pitinikas,⁹ and all other nations which are my neighbours. Among my hired servants, among Brahmins and Vaiśyas, among the unprotected and among the aged, they are busy with the welfare and happiness, with the removal of obstacles among my loyal ones. With the prevention of unjust imprisonment and of unjust corporal punishment, with the removal of obstacles and with loosening bonds, for these purposes they are busy, considering that there is a numerous progeny, or that the person concerned has been overwhelmed by misfortune, or that he is aged. Here, and in all the outlying towns, they are everywhere busy in all the harems of my brothers and sisters, and among my other relatives. These Overseers of the Sacred Law are busy with what concerns the Sacred Law, with watching over the Sacred Law, and with what is connected with pious gifts, in my whole loyal empire. This religious edict has been written for the following purposes, viz. that it may endure for a long time, and that my subjects may act accordingly.

EDICT VI.

King Priyadarśin, beloved of the gods, speaks thus:—A long period has elapsed, during which formerly the despatch of business and the hearing of the informers have not regularly taken place. Now I have made the following arrangement, that the informers may report to me the concerns of the people at any time, while I dine, in my harem, in my private rooms, in the latrine, in my carriages, and in my pleasure-garden and everywhere I despatch the business of the people. Moreover, if, with respect to anything which I order by word of mouth to be given or to be obeyed as a command, or which as a pressing matter is entrusted to my officials, a dispute or a fraud happens in the committee of any caste or sect, I have given orders that it shall be brought forthwith to my cognisance in any place and at any time; for I am never satisfied with my exertions and with the despatch of business. For I consider the welfare of all people as something for which I must work. But the root of that is exertion⁹ and the despatch of business. There is no more important work than to secure the welfare of all. And what is the purpose of every effort which I make? It is that I may discharge the debt which I owe to the creatures, that I may make some happy in this world, and that they may gain heaven in the next. This religious edict has been written for the following purpose, that it may endure for a long time and that my sons and grandsons may thus exert themselves for the welfare of all men. But that is difficult to carry out without the utmost exertion.

EDICT VII.

King Priyadarśin, beloved of the gods, desires that adherents of creeds of all kinds may dwell everywhere; for they all seek after self-control and purity of mind. But men possess various desires and various likings. They will put in practice either the whole or a part only of what they profess. But self-control, purity of mind, gratitude and firm attachment are laudable in a lowly man,¹⁰ to whom even great liberality is impossible.

⁹ The Rastikas I identify with the Ristikas, a southern nation. The Pitinikas, or Pitenikas, probably had their seats in the same districts.

⁹ Compare *Mahābhārata*, XII. 56, 14 and 38, 14, where *uttāna* "exertion" is prescribed for kings and declared to be the root of all good government.

¹⁰ The form *nichā* in the Gīrṇār version instead of *nichs*, may be explained as a contraction of *nichāya* i.e. *nichāya*; compare Pillar Edict iv, note on *nijāpayidd*.

EDICT VIII.

A long period has elapsed, *during which* the beloved of the gods used to go forth on so-called pleasure-tours.¹¹ On such *occasions* the chase and other similar amusements used to be *pursued*. Now, when King Priyadarśin, beloved of the gods, had been anointed ten years, he went forth *in search* after true knowledge. Owing to this *event*, religious tours *have become a regular institution* here *in my empire*. On that *occasion* the following happens, *viz.* the reception of, and almsgiving to, Brahmans and ascetics, the reception of the aged, the distribution of gold, the reception of the people of the provinces, the preaching of the Sacred Law and inquiries concerning the Sacred Law. *It is thus that*, in exchange *for past pleasures*, King Priyadarśin, beloved of the gods, since then enjoys the pleasures *which* these *virtuous actions procure*.

EDICT IX.

King Priyadarśin, beloved of the gods, speaks thus :—The people performs various auspicious rites in misfortunes, at marriages of sons and daughters,¹² on the birth of sons, at the time of starting on a journey. On these and similar occasions the people perform many auspicious rites. But at such *times* the women perform many and various despicable and useless rites. Now, auspicious rites ought indeed to be performed. But rites of this description produce no results. But the following, the auspicious rite, *which consists in the fulfilment of the Sacred Law*, produces, indeed, great results.¹³ That includes kindness towards slaves and servants, reverence towards venerable persons, self-control with respect to living creatures, liberality towards ascetics and Brahmans. These and other similar *virtuous actions* are called the auspicious rites of the Sacred Law. Now a father, or a son, or a brother, or a master, ought to speak *as follows* :—“This is meritorious; this auspicious rite must be practised until the *desired* aim is attained.” To the success of which *auspicious rites* does this *refer*? For every worldly auspicious rite is doubtful. It may be that it accomplishes the *desired* object; but it may be that it remains even in this world. But that auspicious rite of the Sacred Law acts without reference to time. If it does not secure here the *desired* object, it yet produces endless merit in the next world. But, if it secures the *desired* object both are gained; here that *desired* object, and endless merit is produced¹⁴ in the next world through that auspicious rite of the Sacred Law.

EDICT X.

King Priyadarśin, beloved of the gods, does not think that glory and fame bring much profit, except that he desires glory and fame *with the view* that at present and in the future the people may practise obedience to the Sacred Law, and that they observe the duties of the Sacred Law. For this *purpose* King Priyadarśin, beloved of the gods, desires glory and fame. But all the efforts of King Priyadarśin, beloved of the gods, are made with reference to the *results* for a future life. How so? *It is his wish* that all may be free from danger. Now the danger is sin. But assuredly the thing is difficult

¹¹ The word *vihārayātrā* occurs in the sense of “pleasure-tour” in Asvaghosha’s *Buddhacarita*, III, 3, and *passim*.

¹² For the use of *dudhā* and *vudhā* compare the *Ambattha Sutta* 2-1 (*Dīghanikāya*, vol. I, p. 99).

¹³ Compare the beginning of the Jaina *Daśavaikālika Sūtra*, —*dhammo maṅgalam ukkaṭṭham*, etc.

¹⁴ Compare *Jōtakas*, IV, 239, 9, *mahantaṃ puññaṃ paravāpiti*.

to accomplish, whether for the low or for the great, except by the greatest exertion and by the renouncing everything. But it is [most difficult] for the great.

EDICT XI.

King Priyadarśin, beloved of the gods, speaks thus:—"There is no such gift as the almsgiving of the law, *nor anything like* the intimacy through the law, the charitable gift of the law *and* the connection through the law."¹⁵ This *law* includes the good treatment of slaves and servants, obedience towards parents, liberality towards friends, acquaintances, relatives, ascetics and Brahmans, *and* the non-destruction of living creatures. *Therefore* a father, or a son, or a brother, or a master, or a friend and acquaintance, or even a neighbour ought to give advice on this matter, *saying* :—"This is meritorious; this ought to be done." He who acts thus both gains this world and produces for himself endless merit in the next through this almsgiving of the sacred law.

EDICT XII.

King Priyadarśin, beloved of the gods, honours men of all creeds, both ascetics and householders, by gifts and honours of various kinds. But the Beloved of the gods thinks not so *much* of gifts and honours as of what?—that an increase of essentials may take place among men of all creeds. But the increase of essentials *may happen* in various ways. But this is its root, *viz.* guarding one's speech—how so? "Honouring one's own creed and blaming other creeds shall not be in unimportant points, or it shall be moderate with respect to this or that important point." But other creeds must even be honoured for this or that reason. Acting thus, one exalts one's creed and benefits also the other creeds. Acting differently, one hurts one's own creed and injures the other creeds. How so? For he who honours his own creed and blames all other creeds out of reverence for his own creed *thinking* "I promote *thereby* the interests of my own creed," however, acting thus, injures his own creed exceedingly. Hence self-restraint¹⁶ alone *is* commendable. How so? "They shall hear each other's law and love to hear it."¹⁷ For this is the desire of the Beloved of the gods. What?—"that men of all creeds shall have heard much and shall possess holy doctrines. And to those who adhere to this or that *faith* it must be said: "The Beloved of the gods thinks not so *much* of gifts and honours as of what?—"that an increase of essentials may take place among men of all creeds and a large one." For this end are working the Overseers of the Sacred Law, the Superintendents of women, the *Vachabhūmikas*¹⁸ and other bodies of officials. And this is its result, *viz.* the exaltation of one's own creed and the promotion of the interests of the law.

EDICT XIII.

King Priyadarśin, beloved of the gods, being anointed eight years, conquered the country of Kalinga. One hundred and fifty thousand souls were carried away thence, one

¹⁵ Compare *Itivuttaka*, p. 98 (Windisch), where most of the terms used in this Edict occur, as well as the general views.

¹⁶ The reading of the other three versions *samavāya* "concord, harmony" is better than *sayama* "self-restraint," *i.e.* with respect to opponents.

¹⁷ Or, possibly, [they shall obey (*if as far as it is worthy to be obeyed*).]

¹⁸ As the Mansehra version offers *Vachabhūmika*, the term may mean either "Overseers of the latrines," or (*vraja-bhūmika*) "Overseers of cowpens," see *Kāmasāstra*, p. 290, l. 1 (Durgāprasāda).

hundred thousand were slain, and many times as many died. Afterwards, now that Kalinga has been conquered, are found with the Beloved of the gods a zealous protection of the Sacred Law, a zealous love for the Sacred Law, a zealous teaching of the Sacred Law. That is the repentance of the Beloved of the gods on account of his conquest of Kalinga; for when an unconquered country is being conquered¹⁹ there happens both a slaying and a dying, and a carrying-off of the people. That appears very painful and regrettable to the Beloved of the gods. But the following appears to the Beloved of the gods still more regrettable than that; for there dwell Brahmans, or ascetics, or men of other creeds, or householders, among whom the following is practised, *viz.* obedience towards the first-born (?), obedience towards parents, obedience towards venerable persons, becoming behaviour towards friends, acquaintances, companions, and relatives, slaves and servants, and firm attachment. Such men suffer there injury or destruction, or forcible separation from their beloved ones. Or misfortune befalls the friends, acquaintances, companions, and relatives of those who themselves are well protected, but whose affection is undiminished. Then even that misfortune becomes an injury just for those *unhurt ones*. All this falls severally on men, and appears regrettable to the Beloved of the gods. And men have faith not merely in a single creed.²⁰

Even one hundredth or one thousandth part of those men who were slain, died, or were carried off during the conquest of Kalinga, now appears to the Beloved of the gods a matter of deepest regret. Even, if a man does him an injury, the Beloved of the gods holds that all that can be borne should be borne. Even on the inhabitants of the forests, found in the empire of the Beloved of the gods he takes compassion, if he is told that he should destroy them successively,—and the Beloved of the gods possesses power to torment them. Unto them it is said—what? “Let them shun doing evil, and they shall not be killed;” for the Beloved of the gods desires for all beings freedom from injury, self-restraint, impartiality and joyfulness.

But this conquest the Beloved of the gods holds the chiefest, *viz.* the conquest through the Sacred Law. And that conquest has been made by the Beloved of the gods both here in his empire and over all his neighbours, even as far as six hundred *yojanas*, where the King of the Yonas, called Am̐tiyoka dwells, and beyond this Am̐tiyoka, where the four (4) Kings dwell, *viz.*, he called Turamaya, he called Am̐tikini, he called Maka, and he called Alikasudara²¹ further in the south, where the Choḍas and Pamḍas dwell as far as Tambapanni, likewise where the Hida-king dwells. Among the Viśas, Vajris,²² Yonas, Kamboyas, in Nābhaka of the Nābhitis, among the Bhojas, the Pitinikas, the Am̐dhras, and Pulidas—everywhere they follow the teaching of the Beloved of the gods with respect to the Sacred Law. Even those to whom the messengers of the Beloved of the gods do not go, follow the Sacred Law, as soon as they have

¹⁹ For the construction compare the reading of the MSS. A and B in the passage *Jātaka*, III, p. 275—*Senako nān rajjah karento Buddhissatto Sakkattam karenti*.

²⁰ The King means to say that on the occasion of conquests many creeds suffer, as there is no country where the people are contented with one only. The Kāśī version, with which that of Gīrnār seems to have agreed, is more explicit, as it says: “And there is no country where there are not found these countless congregations into which these Brahmans and ascetics are divided. And there is nowhere a country where the people have faith in one creed alone.”

²¹ According to Lassen, *Indische Alterthumskunde*, Bd. II, p. 251 f. the five Yona or Greek kings named are Antiochos II., king of Syria (died 247 B.C.). Ptolemaios II., king of Egypt (died 246 B.C.). Antigonos Gonatas, king of Macedonia (died 239 B.C.). Magas, king of Cyrene (died 259 B.C.). Alexander, king of Epirus (died between 262 and 258 B.C.).

²² The Viśas are probably the Bais Rajputs, the Vajris the Vajjis of Eastern India.

heard of the orders of the Beloved of the gods, issued in accordance with the Sacred Law, and his teaching of the Sacred Law, and they will follow it in future.

And the conquest which thereby has been made everywhere—a conquest made everywhere—yields a feeling of joy. But this joy is indeed only *something* small. The Beloved of the gods esteems as precious only that which refers to the next world.

And this religious edict has been written for the following purpose. Why? “In order that my sons and grandsons *as many as* they may be, may not think a new conquest desirable, in order that on *the occasion of* a conquest, only possible by the sword,²¹ they may find pleasure in mildness and gentleness, and in order that they may deem a conquest through the sacred law alone a *real* conquest. That yields bliss in this world and in the next. All their joy be the joy in exertion; for, that yields bliss in this world and in the next.

EDICT XIV.

These religious edicts²² have been written by order of King Priyadaśin, beloved of the gods, *under a form*, whether abridged, or expanded. For not everything is suitable in every place. For my empire is large, and much has been written, and I shall write *still more*. Certain *sentences* have been repeated over and over again because of the sweetness of their import. And for what purpose *has that been done*? *It is with the intention* that the people may act thus. But it may be that something has been written here incompletely, be it on account of the space, be it on account of some reason to be *especially* determined, or through a mistake of the writer.

XXXVII.—A COLLECTION OF SANADS OF MOGHUL EMPERORS.

BY PAUL HORN, PH.D., STRASSBURG.

Mr. H. Blochmann remarks in his translation of the *Ā'in-i-Akbarī* that the 19th chapter of the second book on the *Sayūrghāls* is one of the most interesting in Abul Fazl's whole work. The collection of seventy *sanads* discussed here may therefore claim some interest¹ as almost all of them refer to the grant of such rent-free lands, and thus illustrate the formalities and usages of the institution in the most instructive manner, even if they fail to furnish much valuable historical information.

The general points concerning the granting of *Sayūrghāls* being well known. I shall restrict myself, after a few short remarks as to the age and origin of the *sanads*, to hint at some details that arise from their study, giving as specimens the text of three of them with translation. They belong chiefly to the *pargana* of Batāla (spelt Batālah or Batālā, and perhaps in the oldest of the documents Watālah) in the Panjāb, only a small number being from other cities or districts as Akbarābād, Jalālābād, Bīāh, Chamāri, Dābhāwāla, and Patihāibatpūr. Occasionally we find mention of such villages as Bhagwānpūr, Faizuddīnpūr, Harpūr, Kartārpūr, Rahimābād, Rasūlpūr

²¹ The translation gives a free rendering of the Gīrnār reading, *sarāsake eva*, literally “only possible by arrows.”

²² The word *dharmadīpi* or *dharmalipi* has here to be taken in a collective sense; compare the use of *Smṛiti*, *Śruti* and similar terms in Sanskrit.

¹ These *sanads* were obtained by Mr. C. J. Rodgers, of the Archaeological Survey in the Panjāb, and by the Government of India were ordered to be distributed among the British, Calcutta, Lahore and Lucknow Museums.

and Shâhjahânpûr, belonging to the *pargana* of Batâla, or Pâdishâhpûr *alias* Kalânkhardpûr, belonging to Patihaiatpûr, or with localities in Batâla as the *masjid-i-jâmi'-i kalân* 'the large jâmi-mosque' and the *mahalla-i-qâzî Isma'il Muhammad* 'the quarter of judge Ism'ail Muhammad.' The sanads were written under the rule of the Emperors Bâbar, Jahângir, Shâhjahân, Aurangzêb, Shâh 'Âlam, Farrukh Siyar, Muhammad Shâh, Ahmad Shâh, and Timûr Shâh.

Among all the names of the persons on whom lands were conferred through these papers only a very few belong to important men. They are almost all small people, mostly women who scarcely would be found in the chronicles, not even the Shaikhs and Qâzîs that sometimes appear. No assignation of grounds to one person reaches a hundred *big'has*, an allowance that Badâunî (vol. III, p. 205) states as too mean for a learned man, and in most cases the grants vary between 10 and 12 big'has or something more, except rare grants of 40, 60 or 70 to Shaikhs, or once to a wife of such a pious man. The *big'ha* is defined as a *jarîb-i shastgâzî*, i.e. 60 *gaz* long and by 60 broad;* if fractions of *big'has* occur we find *biswas*, but no *biswânsas*. It was not customary, as appears from these sanads generally to execute a separate document for every single little allotment, but to take together several persons in one party. Sometimes an order extends even to several parties, the amounts of the property of individuals not being specified in the text. But the statements in question are given on the back, written in *siyâqat*-characters. Also, the individual members of each party are not enumerated in the text, but only one as a representative followed by the words *wa ghairuhu*, *wa ghairuhâ*, or seldom *wa jamâ'atuhu*, with or without the added *shurakâ-i* (for men and women), viz., *sharikahâ-i farmân*. A *farmân*, e.g., generally concerns *musammât Maulânâ Khâtib wa ghairuhu*, and afterwards we learn that these others were Maulânâ Hâmid, Maulânâ Ahmad, and Maulânâ Ya'qûb; or it is about *musammât Daulat Khâtûn wa ghairuhâ*, and on the back we find the other names Rahmat Khâtûn, Bibî Aima, Bibî Fâtima, and Maryam Khâtûn, all written without diacritical points and in very cursive characters, the representative person pointed at only by a *mushâr ilaihi*. A *farmân* of a first grant of lands naturally always prescribes the measurement and boundaries (*paimûdan u chak bastan*) of the new territory, a superfluous business if the grounds were already in the possession of somebody and if therefore the matter was only a re-investment. In this case in the beginning the date of the first grant is always mentioned. We seldom read that the donations took place at different times (*batawârikh u sanin-i mukhtalifa*).

For a rent-free fee the Chagatai word *sayûrghâl* is only once used, viz., in the oldest sanad of Bâbar's time, and the Arabic *aima* seldom occurs; generally the Arabic-Persian *madad-i-ma'âsh* is used. If an owner has died it can be transferred to his heir, and so we find grounds that have been for some centuries in the possession of the same family. Likewise the heir succeeds to the testator in case of the latter undertaking at an advanced age the pilgrimage to Makka and Madîna. Once the grant is renewed because one of two parties has died, the other being alive. In the new *farmân* therefore the dead person is replaced by his heirs and the lands are granted anew to those and the old surviving possessors, quite on the former conditions. A *sanad* of Shâhjahân's reign mentions

* Cf. Col. H. S. Jarrett's note in his translation of the *Âin-i-Akbarî*, vol. II, p. 61, and the 10th chapter, p. 62. We learn from Badâunî (vol. II, p. 206) that the salary of a commander of twenty, lowest rank of an officer in the Moghul army, was equivalent to the revenues of a thousand *big'has*.

an order that restricted the right of the heirs in the *Śūba* of Lāhōr to part of the property of the testators in *sayūrghāl*-land, viz., to 58 *big'has* 8 *biswas*; in one case the number of 107 *big'has* 8 *biswas* is diminished therefore by 49 *big'has* that were again made domain lands (*khālīśa sharīfa*), and the rest was handed to the heirs. This may be one of the many tricks invented by the *śadrs* and *qāzis*—who, as Abul Fazl says, wear a turban (as a sign of respectability), but are bad at heart, and who wear long sleeves, but fall short in sense—in order to injure people if they did not prefer even open force.

If a person gets a fee for the first time, it is always stated that he was a worthy one and could not gain his livelihood by other means, the latter formula also occurring in the re-instatement of a former possessor or on the succession of his heir. The former owners of these sanads therefore must be reckoned amongst the third class of men that lands were bestowed upon (*Āin-i Akbarī*, vol. I, p. 198)—I may mention here that *sayūrghāls* are conferred on certain persons even in the so-called '*Institutes of Amīr Tīmūr*,' (see Davy-White's edition pp. 235, 305). Besides, it was to be proved by credible witnesses that the people in question were alive, a function mostly performed by two Shaikhs, at Batāla often by the *mudarras* of the *jāmi'*-mosque and the *muftī*. Before the sanad could be written a memorandum or a *yāddāsh-ti wāqī'a* was to be presented, and such papers are sometimes specially mentioned.

It is often said that the owners of a rent-free fee are to be 'assiduous in prayer for the perpetuity of the enduring empire.' This was also the common benevolent phrase for persons that were discharged from the service in the Moghul empire (conf. '*Ālumgīr-nāma*, p. 591).

In Akbar's time the rule followed in conferring land was, that all *sayūrghāl*-lands should consist of one-half of tilled land and one-half capable of cultivation; in these sanads only land of the latter kind is granted (*zamin-i uflāda-i lā'iq-i-zirā'at*) and sometimes *banjar*-land, i.e., such as has been uncultivated for five years or more (*Āin-i Akbarī*, vol. I, p. 297).

Of course a certain order is observed in putting the seals on these *farmānkhā-i thabtl*—such were issued for conferring *sayūrghāls* according to the *Āin-i Akbarī*, vol. I, p. 194—as in all Muhammadan public documents; naturally with some differences under various kings. The sanad of Bābar's time renewing the grant of a village with a revenue of 5,000 copper tankas (i.e., 250 rupees) to Qāzī Jalāl, judge of Batāla, in A.H. 933, has been signed only with the emperor's own seal—round the middle field bearing the words *Zahīruddīn Muhammad Bābar* and the date 923, in five panels the names of his ancestors to Amīr Tīmūr have been inscribed. Likewise the documents bestowing a first *sayūrghāl*, besides the *tughrā*, bear only the seals of the emperors, viz., Jahāngīr—a square, round the centre the names of his forefathers inscribed in circles, and in the four extreme corners four names of Allāh; 'Ālamgīr—a square exactly like that of Jahāngīr's, only larger; and one with the seal of prince Aurangzēb before his accession to the throne, dated the 6th *Jumādī'l awwal* 1069 (30th January 1659), the *julūs* being on the 24th *Ramazān* (the 15th June) of the same year. The seal of the king's son is a round one and contains the words *Muhammad Aurangzēb Bahādur Ghāzī thānī-i Śāhib-qirān thānī*.³ These sanads are all on paper about 33 inches by 17 (but the margins are

³ See *Ep. Ind.*, vol. II., p. 147, note 23.—A drawing of Aurangzēb's imperial seal is to be found in Sir Thomas Roe's account of his residence at the court of the Great Moghul (cf. *Histoire générale des voyages* Paris 1746 seq. vol. xi, p. 57.)

now all more or less cut and torn off), and are handsomely written in fair *ta'liq*, except Bābar's one (that measures 13 by $7\frac{1}{2}$ inches, and is in very cursive characters). In Akbar's and Jahāngir's time we find the *Ilāhī* era exclusively used, while later it is seldom mentioned, but that of the Hijra is employed.

There are also some sanads granting *sayūrgāls* sealed only by officials, and not by the emperor himself, whose name however is read on the seals of the latter.* The size of these sanads is considerably smaller than that of the monarch's; it varies between 16 to 20 inches by about $8\frac{1}{2}$ inches; the writing generally is very irregular. Sometimes the *Šadr ul-šudūr* commands the performance of an imperial *farmān* and gives orders for the assigned lands to be surveyed; such sanads in 'Ālamgīr's time bear only the seal of the functionary named, whereas under Jahāngir and Shāh 'Ālam the seals also of the *Diwān* or of the *Khān Khānān* were affixed. In Farrukh Siyar's reign in these cases the Qāzi's seal takes the first place accompanied by those of different lower officials and private people. If the matter is a re-investment, as in most cases, we always find two seals.

There occur as sealing *Šadr ul-šudūr*'s:

Rizawī Bukhārī, 24th year of 'Ālamgīr (who died in the same year,—conf. *Ma'āthir-i 'Ālamgīrī*, p. (207) and *Ma'āthir ul-Umarā*, vol. II, pp. 308, 309);

Sharif Khān, 25th year of 'Ālamgīr (who was elevated to this dignity in the same year,—conf. *Ma'āthir-i 'Ālamgīrī*, p. 219);

Asad Khān, 15th and 32nd years of 'Ālamgīr (with the dates 1081, 14 and 1088, 20 on his seals), i.e., Āsaf uddaula Jumlat ul-Mulk Asad Khān. He is styled *Tarkhān* in another *farmān* (16th year); and

Amjad Khān *Šadr Jahān*, 49th year of Shāh 'Ālam.

Not bearing the title of *Šadr ul-šudūr* on their seals, as also Asad Khān does not, but in that function, appear:

Siyādat Khān, 38th year of 'Ālamgīr (with the date 1096, 28 on his seal, in which year he, Sayyid Oghlan, received this title, cf. *Ma'āthir ul-Umarā*, vol. II, p. 495);

Amīn Khān Bahādur, 47th year of 'Ālamgīr (with the date 1113, 46 on his seal); and

Amīr ul-Umarā, 48th year of 'Ālamgīr (with the date 1113, 45 on his seal).

In the re-investment-documents we find two seals: that of the *Diwān* (-i *qul*) and that of the *Šadr*, but generally without titles. That one of them must belong to the *Diwān* is (besides *Āin-i-Akbarī*, vol. I, p. 195, line 6 *et seq.*) shown in a sanad of the 50th year of 'Ālamgīr which bears Hāfiz Khān's seal, who in that year became *Diwān* of Lāhōr,—till then having been in the service of Gauharārāi Bēgum, younger sister of the emperor (*Ma'āthir-i 'Ālamgīrī*, p. 513). The same document has also the seal of Mīr Khwāja Shāh, who is here exceptionally styled *Šadr*. The dates of the entries of the sanads in the registers of the *šadārat* and the *dīwān* (-i-*sa'adat*) are always noted, the latter falling one or more weeks later than the first. The *šadr*'s seal is placed outside the text in the vertical fold on the right, that of the *Diwān* is a little higher up, above the text itself and under a single line containing the name of the reigning

The same was also the case during the Sassanian period (cf. *Mitteilungen aus den orientalischen Sammlungen der koeniglichen Museen zu Berlin*, Heft IV, *Sassanidische Siegelsteine*, herausgegeben von Paul Horn und Georg Steindorff, Berlin, 1891, p. 28.) The Sassanian high functionaries did not seal with the portrait of the king, but often with his name.

king (on the margin the monarch who had first granted the fee is shortly mentioned with his *post-mortem*-title). The following *Dawāns* and *Sadrs* appear together :

Śābir 'Alī and Sayyid Ahmad, 11th and 13th years of Jahāngir,

Diyānat Khān and Nizāmuddīn Hasan Qādirī, 23rd year of 'Ālamgīr (the first with the date 1084, 17 on his seal);⁶

'Abd Ilāhyārul-Husainī and Aslam Khānazād, 33rd year of 'Ālamgīr (with the dates 1089, 21, *viz.*, 1093, 27 on the seals);

Mīr Ahmad Khān and Aslam Khānazād, 37th year of 'Ālamgīr (the latter with the date 1094, 27 on his seal);

Abū (?) Kāzim and 'Abd ul-Bāqī, 44th year of 'Ālamgīr (with the dates 32, *viz.*, 1110, 42 on the seals);

Sa'adatmand Khān and Sayyid Ashraf Khān, 3rd year of Shāh 'Ālam (with the dates 1120, *viz.*, 1119, 1 on the seals);

Sa'adatmand Khān and Khwāja Futūh, 2nd year of Farrukh Siyar (the latter with the engraved 'first year' on his seal, as also in the following case);

Fazl 'Alī Khān and Khwāja Futūh, 4th and 5th years of Farrukh Siyar;

Ziyā uddīn Muhammad Khān and Futūh Khān, 7th year of Farrukh Siyar (the latter with the date 'third year' on his seal);

Sayyid Shahāmat Khān and Mīr Muhammad Śālīh, 5th and 8th years of Muhammad Shāh (with the dates 1133, *viz.*, 1134 and 1135 on the seals);

Sayyid Shahāmat Khān and Sayyid 'Azīz Khān, 10th year of Muhammad Shāh (with the dates 1138, *viz.* 1139, 9 on the seals);

Sayyid Shahāmat Khān and Sayyid Mīrakshāh Khān, 14th year of Muhammad Shāh (the latter with the date 1142, 12 on his seal);

Sayyid Shahāmat Khān and Sayyid Sultān Husain Khān Mausawī, 15th year of Muhammad Shāh (with the date 1138, *viz.*, 1145, 15 on the seals);

Sayyid Shahāmat Khān and Mīr Muhyi'ddīn Mausawī, 18th year of Muhammad Shāh (with the dates 1138, *viz.* 1148 on the seals); and

Sayyid Shahāmat Khān and Khwāja Islāh Khān, 19th year of Muhammad Shāh (with the dates 1138, *viz.*, 1149, 19 on the seals).

With one seal only (on the right) the same documents have been sealed in the 25th year of Muhammad Shāh (Sayyid Mīrak Khān, 1153, 22), in the 2nd year of Ahmad Shāh (Shamshêrbêg Khān Khānazād, 1161, 1), and in the first year of Timūr Shāh (Dāwar Khān Śadr ul-šudūr). Except the farmāns sealed with the personal seals of the emperors a *tughrā* has but seldom been added the drawing of which is then always very poor and artless.

Even the higher functionaries did not employ a new seal every year, that is to say, they did not alter the date. We often find seals therefore with years that considerably differ from those of the sanads themselves.

On some signets the possessors are styled *murīd* or *murīd-i pādishāh*, a title introduced by Akbar.

⁶ Nizāmuddīn Hasan Qādirī occurs as *Sadr ul-šudūr* also in the text of some sanads from 'Ālamgīr's 22nd year. The Qādirīs were a darwāsh order. Nineteen principles of theirs are enumerated in the Berlin Persian manuscript, *Petermann*, No. 721, (*cf.* Pertach, *Verzeichnis der persischen Handschriften der Königlichen Bibliothek zu Berlin*, No. 233, 2, p. 276).—Khānazāds were a sort of pages educated at the imperial court (*cf.* *Ma'āthir ul-Umarā*, vol. I, p. 707).

There are also some private documents in this collection of sanads, the most interesting of which concern the sale and purchase of lands. We learn from them the value of arable lands in the *pargana* of Batāla, viz., 251 rupees for 100 *big'has*, 20 rupees for 5 *big'has*, 42 rupees for 15 *big'has* 17 *biswas*, 122 rupees 8 annas for 35 *big'has* *banjar*-land, and 60 rupees for 20 *big'has* *zamin-i bārāni*, the price of the *big'ha* varying therefore between $2\frac{1}{2}$ and 4 rupees. The sales took place under the rule of Muhammad and Ahmad Shāh, the rupees and the annas being specified as '*ālamgīrī*'. In Shāh 'Ālam's time once *Buhlālīs* or *Dām-i 'ālāmīrī's* are mentioned. One-half of the fixed amount is always paid on account. As is customary in Christian countries by illiterates to draw a cross instead of the signature, we find a circle once adopted for this purpose by a woman obliged to sign the document about the sale of her grounds. These papers bear the seal of the *Qāzī* as of the competent official and the signatures and seals of a number of witnesses. Judges of Batāla were according to our sanads:—

Qāzī Arjumand in the year 1115 (2), the seal with the date 1112; *Qāzī* 'Atā Ullāh, son of 'Azīm Ullāh, 1139, on the seal 1120; *Qāzī* Ahlīyat Ullāh, 1141, on the seal 1138; *Qāzī* Mir Muhammad, 1141, 1147, and 1161, and

Wali Muhammad, son of the dismissed *Qāzī* Hibat Ullāh, 'who, as his father extended the hand of oppression and tyranny over the inhabitants,' and for this reason, in the second year of Shāh 'Ālam's reign, the citizens presented a petition that he should be deposed and be replaced by their former just *Qāzī* Ghulām Muhammad, whose seal with the date 48 appears on documents from 'Ālamgīr's 49th year. I am not sure if this request was entirely successful, at all events in A. H. 1120, 1125, and 1126 the desired Ghulām Muhammad was not judge, but Muhammad Wali Ullāh, who is perhaps identical with the unjust Wali Muhammad.

In the 16th year of Muhammad Shah Mīr Muhammad, son of Mīr Muhammad Qāim, was appointed judge of Batāla, as successor of Amānat Ullāh, and he was confirmed in this office after Ahmad Shāh's accession to the throne. The documents bear the seals of Mir Muhy'iddin Khānazād, 1118, and Shamshehbēg Khān Khānazād, 1161. That Jalāl was *Qāzī* of Batāla in A. H. 933 has already been mentioned.

Finally there are a few other sanads, e.g., one concerning the grant of a daily allowance of 12 annas to Shaikh Nūr Muhammad and his companions in Patihai-batpūr, to be paid by the *fautadār* of that town, or regarding the lease of the village of Pādishāhpūr *alias* Kalānkhardpūr in the *pargana* of Patihai-batpūr, amounting to 550 rupees a year and being to be delivered to the *fautakhāna*, which need not to be further described.

I now give as a specimen the text⁶ of three *sayūrgḥāl-sanads*, together with their English translations:—

درینوقت میمنتعنوان فرمان والاشار واجب الادعان صادر شد که چهل بیگه زمین افتاده لائق زراعت خارج جمع ارپرکنه چماري مضان بصوبه پنجاب دروجه مدد معاش مسمت راج بی بی و غیرها حسب الضمن مقرر باشد که حاصل آنرا صرف مایحتاج نموده بدعای بقای دولت ابدطراز مواظبت نمایند باید که حکام و عمال و جاگیرداران و کورریبان حال و استقبال اراضی مزبور را پیمرده و چک بسته بتصرف آنها بارگذارند و اصلاً و مطلقاً

⁶ I have spelt the Persian words more correctly than they appear sometimes in the originals.

تغییر و تبدیل بدان راه ندهند و بعثت مال رجهات و اخراجات مثل قنله و پیشکش و جریانه و ضابطانه و محصلانه و مهرانه و داروغخانه و پیکار و شکار و مقتدیمی و قانونگری و ضبط هرساله بعد تشخیص چک و تکرار زراعت و کتب مطالبات سلطانی و تکالیف دیوانی مزاحم نشوند و اندرین باب هر سال سند مجدد نطلبند و اگر در محل دیگر چیزی داشته باشند آنرا اعتبار نکند بیست و نهم شوال سال چهارم از جلوس والا نوشته شد.

TRANSLATION.

"In this happy time the high order which is necessary to be heard came forth that 40 *big'has* of fallow land, capable of cultivation, allodial to the *pargana* of Chamâri that belongs to the *śūba* of the Panjâb, according to the endorsement were bestowed as a rent-free fee upon P. P. Râj Bîbî and companions. They may spend the revenues of it for their needs, being assiduous in prayer for the perpetuity of the enduring empire. The present and future magistrates, tax-gatherers, *jâgir*-holders and *krôris* having surveyed the stated lands and marked their boundaries⁷ should give them into their possession, and by no means allow any alteration or change. After the settlement of the boundaries and the new cultivation one should not trouble them for any demands of the Government and the levies of the court in the shape of quit-rent, taxes, and tributes, as *qullugha*, presents, the rates of *jaribâna*,⁸ *zabilâna*, *muhaşşilâna*, *mahrâna*, *dâvôghagâna*, for war and chase, the *muqaddimî* and *qânûngôyi*, and the annual sequestrations. Regarding this no new order should be yearly expected and other eventual existing appointments not considered.⁹ Written on the 29th Shawwâl of the 40th year since the sublime accession to the throne (viz., of 'Alamgîr)."

On the back the text of the *yâdhâsh-t-i waqî'a*, dated Monday, the 13th Rabi II, of the 39th year (21st November, 1695) is given, and besides the dates of entry in the registers the names of Râj Bîbî's companions, viz., Nâr Bîbî, Şâhib Khâtûn, and Sharifa Bânû, each of them getting 10 *big'has*.

Another sanad concerning a re-investment runs:

حلد منزل حضرت محمد شاه بادشاه غازي خد ملکه ابد

گماشتهای جاگیرداران و کروریان حال و استقبال پرگنه بدلا مضاف صوبه پنجاب بدانند چون بموجب فرمانعالیشان حضرت مرقوم بنابرین ۲ شعبان سنه ۳ موازی پنجاه و نه بیکه زمین از پرگنه مذکور در رجه مدد معاش مسما نوروختون و غیرها شرکای فرمان و عبدالغنی و غیره ورث محمد عاقل و غیره بتجویز صدر سابق مقرر است درینرا بتصدیق ثقات بوضوح بدست که مشار الیهیم حی و قائم و اراضی مزبور را قابض و متصرف اند و از صمر دیگر رجه معیشت ندارند بنابر آن بتصدق فرق مبارک بندگان حضرت خدیو جهان خداوند زمان باعث امن و امان ظل ظلیل ایزد متعال نائب نبیل دادار بیهمال مظهر اتم پروردگار رحمت اتم آفریدگار مقتن قوانین جهاندار می مبدء مهاد کرم گسنری خلافت پناه ظل اراضی مرقومه¹⁰ از محققیدم بدستور سابق بشرط فیض و تصرف حسب الضمن مقرر و مسلم داشته شد می باید که زمین مسطره را در تصرف آنها را گذاشته اصلاً و مطلقاً متعرض

⁷ In other sanads the *Chaudharis* (I cannot understand for what reason Blochmann has banished this spelling into the notes of his *Āin-i Akbari*-edition,—f.e. vol. I, p. 198, line 15, instead of putting it into the text), *Qânûngôis*, *Muqaddams* and *Adâls* are said to have taken part and consented to this act.

⁸ These technical terms are all fully explained in the dictionaries.

⁹ I know that this last phrase also could be translated 'if they should have possessed something in another place (*besides the above sayârghâl*) it should not be taken notice of.' but I have preferred the above translation as more harmonizing with the contents.

¹⁰ Corrected from *marqûma-râ* according to other sanads.

نشدند تا حاصلات آنرا فصل بفصل و سال بسال صرف مباحاج خردها ساخته بدعالي درام دولت ابدطراز مواظبت مينموده باشند و اگر در محلدیگر چيزي داشنده باشند آنرا اعتبار نکنند شرح تحریر فی التاريخ دریم شهر جمادی الاول سنه ۱۸ جارس متعلی مطابق سنه ۱۱۴۸ هجری المقدس شد

TRANSLATION.

"Sháh 'Álam."—His Majesty Muhammad Sháh-i Gházi, may God perpetuate his reign for ever!

"The present and future representatives of the *Jágír*-holders and *Króris* of the *pargana* of Batála, belonging to the *Síba* of the Panjáb, may know: According to the high order of His Majesty, dated the 2nd Sha'hán of the third year (*i.e.*, of Sháh 'Álam), 59 *bíg'has* of the land of the *pargana* stated have been bestowed as a rent-free fee, by former *Sudras* upon P. P. Núr Khâtún and companions, partners of the *farmán*, and upon P. P. 'Abd ul-Ghaní and companions, heir of Muhammad 'Âqil and companions. It now being evidenced through trustworthy testimony that the above-named are alive, really own and possess the stated lands, and do not get their livelihood otherwise, on that account as an alms of the blessed head of the slave (*of God*), His Majesty the sovereign of the world, the master of the time, the author of safety and security, the covering shadow of the sublime God, the excellent viceregent of the peerless distributor of justice, the most perfect manifestation of the all-nourisher, the universal pity of the creator, the founder of the institutions of the world-possessing, who lays the foundation of the carpet of graciousness, the asylum of the khalifate,—the possession of the stated lands, in the former place and on the former conditions, according to the endorsement has been graciously ratified and intrusted. One ought to restore the described grounds into their possession, hindering them not at all, and absolutely not from spending the revenues from season to season and year to year for their own needs and to be assiduous in prayer for the perpetuity of the everlasting empire. If there should exist any appointment, otherwise¹¹ it is not to be taken notice of. Written on the 2nd *Jumádî'l awwal* of the 18th year since the sublime accession to the throne (*i.e.*, of Muhammad Sháh), corresponding to the year 1148 of the holy flight (*the 20th September, 1735*)."

On the back the endorsement of Sháh 'Álam is written, as always in these cases. The names of Núr Khâtún's partners were 'Azíz Khatún, daughter of Shaikh Muhammad, 'Ámila, Shams Khâtún, daughter of Ilyás, Hayât Bânú, those of 'Abdul Ghaní's Jân Bibí, Sáliha Bânú, etc.

Babar's sanad runs—¹²

والعالم

فان ظهیر الدین محمد بابر غازی

درین وقت در میان جهان مطاع واجب الاتباع شرف نفاذ یافت که چون موضع مکهراکل بهررزی از پرگنه رتاله که جمع رزمی آن مبلغ بیجهزار تنگه سیاه است برسم سیورغال تعلق بقاضی جلال قاضی پرگنه مذکور است

¹¹ *Khul'd-manzil* was Sháh 'Álam's *post-mortem*-name.

¹² Cf. note 9.

¹³ This *farmán* is very difficult to decipher. The characters want almost all diacritical dots; they are indeed not more fluently written than those of the other sanads, but the tenor is different, so that it cannot be understood by the help of the others. I am indebted for a very few hints to Mr. Jamí Khán Ghórf at Berlin, who has seen the document, but notwithstanding there remain some doubtful words. My transcript of the name of the village is quite tentative.

میداشته حالا بر هماندستور بدر متعلق شش سنه و بعثت مال وجهات و سایر متوجّهات مزاحم و متعرض مشارالیه نشوند و بعرض شد و حوالی تزیید می آمد که برین موجب معزم [؟] خاصه بتصریم شد و هرساله بفرمان و پیرانچه مجدد محتاج ندانند در زمان [؟] بقصرهما تحریر شد فی شهر ذی قعدة سنه ۹۳۳

TRANSLATION.

"He (*God*) is the conqueror!

"*Farmān* of Zaiṣ-uddīn Muhammad Bābar-i-Ghāzī.

"Now the order to be obeyed by all the world and necessary to be respected has the honour of setting forth that the village of Manchharākal, belonging to *pargana* Watāla, the total register of which amounts to 5,000 copper-*tankas* (250 *Rupees*) and which as a *Sayūrghāl* has been bestowed upon Qāzī Jalāl, judge of the city named, is now granted to him on the same conditions for six years. No one should molest nor trouble the aforesaid for quit-rents, *jihāt* and *śīr mutawajjihāt*.¹⁴ On request it was further enjoined that the imperial seal has been affixed for evidence, and every year a new *farmān* or order shall not be thought necessary. Written at in the castle 'Phoenix,' in the month Zi Qa'da, 933 (*began the 30th July, 1527*)."

On the back the following words are written:—

"Order of the chief wazīr of the cities of faithful mankind, Shaikh....."

Unfortunately the name of the Shaikh is not legible and his seal is also very indistinct.

XXXVIII.—FURTHER PĀBHOSĀ INSCRIPTIONS.

By G. BÜHLER, PH.D., LL.D., C.I.E.

In addition to the three larger inscriptions, published by Dr. Führer (*ante*, p. 240ff), the Pābhosā cave contains a number of small and difficult ones, regarding which I offer some remarks at the request of the Editor, though I am unable to give in every case certain and complete readings or satisfactory explanations. All of them are somewhat carelessly incised on the hermit's bed and seem to belong to a period, when the cave was no longer occupied by the original donee or donees. The characters show the types of the seventh or eighth century A.D. The language is incorrect Sanskrit, occasionally, it would seem mixed with Prakrit.

No. I.

TRANSCRIPT.


L. 1. Śrī-Prayagam var[e ?]uttam(?)[p ?]odhaki(?)h

L. 2. Suttadhār[a ?] akhamṇdatah

L. 3. Suttadhār[a ?] yayyo ?[a ?] ubha, ?;daya [|| *]

Above l. 1 stands high up in the left hand corner a large sign, which may be intended for *jñā*, and lower above the middle of the line two letters, the second of which

¹⁴ Otherwise *śīr jihāt*.




Dr. 1. Gubhri. impress.

Sn. 1. 1. of original.

PABFOSA INSCRIPTIONS

ON THE HERMIT'S BED INSIDE THE CAVE.



ON THE WEST WALL, INSIDE THE CAVE.



Scale 1/4 of originals

is *ka* Below l. 3 there is the representation of a conch-shell and to the right three rudely scratched illegible letters.

As regards the interpretation, the only certain facts are (1) the occurrence of the name of "glorious Pray[ā*]ga" and (2) the mention of two *sūtradhāra* or masons. Possibly the whole may be intended for: *Śrī-Prayāg[ā]l Varaputtro Modhakī* [or *Podhakī*], *sūtradhāro' khaṇḍitah sūtradhāro Yayya nḥa[noh*] deyam* || or in English "From glorious Prayaga, the unblamed mason Modhakin (or Podhakin) son of Vara, (and) the mason Yayya (*Jajja*),—the gift of both these." If this is correct, the inscription probably records repairs of the cave, done by the two persons mentioned.

No. II.

TRANSCRIPT.

Śrī-Kalase[śc*]śvarādiśi(?)lā kuṭṭi ||

The reading of the seventh and eighth consonants is uncertain; *kuṭṭi* is probably a mistake for *kuṭṭi*, the two dots, which I have taken for stops, may, of course, denote the *Ṭisarga*, which however would be wrong. The translation is: "The *cella* (or temple) of glorious Kalāśeśvara and the rest." *Kalāśa* is the name of a *Nāga*, and the inscription probably refers to the legend, which makes the cave the home of an enormous *Nāga*, (see *ante*, p. 241).

No. III.

The only signs readable with any certainty are: *recudatta* towards the end of the line.

No. IV.

[Nanda]dattaprasādo loh

No. V.

Deva

No. VI.

TRANSCRIPT.

Om Ke[Ki*]raṇe[śv]arit(?)ila(?)kedali(?)va . . . sya [ḥ*]

The inscription seems to refer to a deity called *Kiraṇeśvari*, which seems to occur again in No. VII.

No. VII.

TRANSCRIPT.

Kiraṇe[śva]riśr[ī*]ka[r*]ttā [ḥ*]

If the restoration is correct, the translation will be, "The maker of (*the statue of*) glorious *Kiraṇeśvari*" and the inscription may refer to one of the masons, mentioned in No. I, see also No. VIII.

No. VIII.

TRANSCRIPT.

Śri-Kṛṣṇagopīrūpakarttā [n]

The second *ta* of "karttā" looks like *va*. The translation is, "The maker of the statues of glorious Kṛṣṇa and of the milkmaids." The inscription probably refers to one of the masons in No. I.

Nos. IX AND X.

TRANSCRIPT.

Vijayasenasyah[ya*] ।

Kiraṇabhojak[a?]

These two pieces may belong together and may possibly mean, "Of Vijayasena, the temple-priest of Kiraṇa (i.e. *Kiraṇeśvari*).¹" If this interpretation is correct, the two notes may indicate that Vijayasena visited the cave when the two masons, named in No. I, repaired it. The contents of the whole series may perhaps be connected as follows :— "Some time in the seventh or eighth century the Pābhosā cave was gratuitously restored by two masons of Prayāga and dedicated by Vijayasena, the *Bhojaka* of Kiraṇeśvari, to the *Nāga* Kalaśa and other deities." This would account for the existence of the legend mentioned by Dr. Führer, according to which the cave is inhabited by an enormous Nāga.

XXXIX.—AN ADDITIONAL NOTE ON THE BAIJNĀTH PRASASTIS.

BY G. BÜHLER, PH.D., LL.D., C.I.E.

During the Christmas vacation of 1892 Dr. M. A. Stein, the energetic and learned Principal of the Oriental College at Lahore, visited the Kāngrā district and spent some days at Kiraṅgrāma, where he studied the Baijnāth *Prasastis* on the spot and made enquiries regarding various facts they mention. After a certain show of resistance the temple-priests became communicative, no doubt, as Dr. Stein thinks, because they wanted to learn what their inscribed tablets contained. And the results of his enquiries are so valuable for the interpretation of the inscriptions that I am sure I shall render a service to the readers of the *Epigraphia Indica* if I publish here a translation of the portion of his letter, which contains them. Writing from Lahore on February 6th, 1893, he says :—

"Navagrāma, mentioned in the inscription [I, 33] is probably identical with the village of Naur,¹ where the temple still owns 2½ *halas* of land. Naur lies about 3 miles west from Kiraṅgrāma and belongs to the ancient pargana of Palam, which latter word I would identify with the name of the village of Pralamba, of which the inscription speaks [II, 31]. About thirty years ago, when the introduction of tea-planting induced many Europeans to settle in Kāngrā, Palam² was turned into Palampur.

¹ The Sanskrit prototype of Naur would be Navapura, which probably was another name of Navagrāma.—[G. B.]

² The Kāngrā Gazetteer still mentions Palam.

"In the bazar of Kīragrāma I was shown the spot where, so late as two generations ago, stood a shop, belonging to the temple and where the two pious Banians, whom the local traditions too know as the founders of the temple, are said to have lived. This is clearly the *paṇyāsālā* mentioned in the *Prāśasti* II, 34. Regarding the oil-mill which Manyuka and Āhuka dedicated I could not hear anything; and the god has long lost the income from the *maṇḍapikā*. But there was formerly a custom-house on the frontier of the territory of Mandi which passes close to the village. On the rocky hill which rises near the village and is now occupied by the Dāk bangalow, the Rāṇe family is said to have had a fort. I do not dare to decide if these *Rāṇe*, whose descendants are still Rajput zamindārs in the parganā of Baijnāth, are identical with the *Rājānakas* of Kīragrāma.³

"But in illustration of what you have said on p. 102, vol. I, of the *Epigraphia* regarding the intermarriage of this Rajput family of Kīragrām with the Rājās of Traigarta, I may mention that the Rājā of Kapurthala quite lately married a girl from the house of a bitterly poor Rajput of the Galherian Miān clan, who lives as zamindār not far from Baijnāth. Though the man is so poor that his daughter, the present Rānī, used to work for wages in the tea plantations, his countrymen have not yet pardoned him that he contracted the alliance with the Rājā.

"Your proposed identification of Suśarmapura with Koi is fully confirmed by the local traditions.

"The temple [of Baijnāth] is well preserved, and in my opinion it has not undergone such very great alterations as the earlier describers state.⁴ Thus, big statues of Gaṅgā and Yamunā, which clearly belong to the time of the inscription, are found on the gateway pillars of the *Puri*, exactly as Rāma describes them in the *Prāśasti*, I. 29, and his other detailed statements regarding the building fully agree with the actualities. Only the roof seems to me modern; according to the statements of the Purohitas it was renovated about one hundred years ago by Rājā Sainsārehand."⁵

XL.—A NOTE ON THE PRĀKRIT GRANT OF THE PALLAVA KING ŚIVASKANDAVARMA (VOLUME I, pp. 2—10)

BY ERNST LEUMANN, PH.D., STRASSBURG.

Prākṛit inscriptions always present particular difficulties. Dr. Bühler, with his usual sagacity, has removed most of those connected with Śivaskandavarman's grant, Some others of the same inscription can only be mastered when further documents of a similar type turn up. A few, however, may perhaps be dealt with now. We have

³ I consider the identity of the *Rāṇe* family with the *Rājānakas* to be very probable. *Rājānaka* is also the parent of the title *Rāṇā*.—[G. B.]

⁴ Cunningham, *Arch. Surv. Rep.*, vol. V., p. 179 f.

⁵ I take this opportunity to note that Professor Kielhorn has published in the *Indian Antiquary*, vol. XX, pp. 114 ff, certain emendations of my readings of the two *Prāśastis* in accordance with rubbings made by Sir A. Cunningham. The following among Professor Kielhorn's proposals appear to me acceptable:—I. 6, *surashu nāsthām apareshu kurnatām*; I. 29, *bhaktitruṭallobhamalena tena*; I. 37, *śāstradyakṣiṣṭim anuṣṭiya*; II. 2, *sa pātu vo Mahādeva Brahmādyā bhaktim āsthitāḥ*; and II. 9, *Kṛitārthau*. In addition, I would mention that I now translate *astrijano* in I. 13a by "archers" instead of by "heroes," as *astri* is clearly the nominative of *astriṇ*; note 64 must be altered accordingly.

to correct the following passages in Dr. Bühler's transcript (on pages 5—7 of volume J) :—

1. 1, Read *aggittthoma*. The irregular combination *tth* (for *tth*) is a mistake of the engraver which has crept in on account of the similarity of the signs for *th* and *th*.

1. 3, Separate *risaye savattha*, i.e. *rishaye sarvatra* 'everywhere in our (*amham*) country.'

1. 4, Read *māḍabika* and restore it to *māḍambika*. This word, which means 'chief of a *maḍamba* district' is often found in the older Jain literature;¹ its base *maḍamba* occurs in the same texts and beside in some *Niryuktis* and *Bhāṣhyas*.

1. 5, Read *ārakkhādihikate*, which stands for *ārakkhādihikate* and is equivalent with *ārakkhiya* 'guard' of the Jain literature; literally it means 'employed as a guard (*ārakṣā'dhikṛita*).' As to the sign *khā*, cf. lines 27 and 38.

1. 7, Read *e* instead of *cha* and *cf.* the sign for *e* in lines 27, 30, 34. The word *ettha* (*atra* 'here') opens of course a new phrase, and we need not follow Dr. Bühler in inserting a second verb; *ritarāma* is indeed the verb wanted and closes well the preceding phrase. The first verb (*āṇaveti*), however, is certainly well supplied by Dr. Bühler; only we think its place is not before *amham* (in l. 3), but after *ppayutte* (in l. 6). At any rate we are not to combine in irregular co-ordination the accusatives of lines 3--6 with the genitive *samcharan-taka-bhāḍa-manusina*.

I would then translate the whole passage thus: Śivaskandavarman *āṇaveti* in our country everywhere the royal princes, generals, rulers of larger and smaller districts (*ratthikas* and *māḍambikas*), local prefects and others, the freeholders of various villages, *vallavas*, *govallavas*, ministers, guards, captains' *tūthikas*, *neyikas* and all others employed in our service: We grant an exemption from (any taxes that might be levied by or for) *samcharantakas*, soldiers or other persons.³

1. 44, Read *vasudhādhipataye* (acc. pl. from *pati*).

¹ e.g. in the *Aupapātika sūtra*, *Paryuṣaṇakalpa* ('*Kalpasūtra*'), etc.

² The corrected reading *ārakhādihikate* (in l. 5) makes it very probable that the word immediately following (*gumike*) is also a military term.

³ In reply to Dr. Bühler's appended notes, I may remark that the persons favoured by the grant are undoubtedly "the Brahmans, who are the freeholders of the settlement (*koḍunka*) called Chullareka." The gift is therefore a Brahmana *parihāra*, i.e. 'an immunity granted to Brahmans. Honorific allowance, as Dr. Bühler proposes, does not change the sense, but is less appropriate, *koḍunka* is apparently a contracted form of *koḍumbaka* (Sans. *kuṣumbaka*): in the same way *naigyoka* seems to me to stand for *naigyogika*, which would be a synonym of the term *ābhivogika* 'servant'—of the Jaina literature. The term *kumāra parihāra* similarly denotes an immunity presented to a prince; the Jātaka phrase adduced by Dr. Bühler means— "When, afterwards they noticed that he was a prince, they no longer gave him any work to do, but spared him, so to say, by a princely immunity."

The inscription, of course, mentions, also, the persons who are to respect the grant, i.e. who are not allowed in any way to trouble the above-named Brahmans (by levying taxes, etc.). So the two cognate Pallava plates clearly state: *Sarvānyuktakāḥ sarva-naigyokāḥ rājavalubdhāḥ samcharantakāḥ cha tat-simam sarva-parihāraḥ pariharantu parihārayantā cha ayuttu sarva-parihāreḥ pariharantu parihārayan (tu cha)*. "The persons of the king's service and party must spare and cause to spare the territory (*described before*) by all the (eighteen) kinds of exemption. "In face of these parallel passages, the words—*samcharantaka-bhāḍamanusina*... *parihāraṁ ritarāma*, can only have the sense which I have given them, without pretending, however, that my translation reflects accurately the grammatical construction. This latter can only be made clear when the three syllables before *parihāraṁ* are deciphered.

That *samcharantakas* are a nuisance to people, we learn, e.g. from the description of a model town given in the *Nemichurita*, l. 14 (on Hemachandra's *Bhavabhāṇand*, v. 5): in that town no *samcharantakas* are allowed to stroll about (... *samcharantakāḥ tīrai na tattha bhāmiṇ*...). Another Prakrit word of the Pallava Inscription (which however occurs also elsewhere) is *bappa* 'father': this is found in *Daśavaikālikasūtra* vii, 18,—See *Zeitsch. d. Dent. Morgenl. Gesellsch.* vol. XLVI. pp. 628.

I. 45, Read *mejātāye*, i.e. *mejātāye*. In Jaina Prākṛit *maryāḷā* becomes *mejā*, the last two syllables being contracted. The Sanskrit sounds *arya* in Prākṛit generally are changed to *era*, sometimes to *ejja* or *ariya* or *ajja*.

I. 46, Separate *cha si*. The word *si* refers to *mejātāye*, and therefore represents a singular case like *tasyaḥ*; it is indeed a short form for the anaphoric pronoun *se* that is often met with in the *Niryuktis* and *Bhāshyas* of the Jain literature. The function and origin of *se* has been well discussed by Professor Wackernagel in the *Zeitschrift für Vergleichende Sprachforschung* (vol. XXIV, p. 600). Though *se* and *si* refer to *all genders and numbers* we find occasionally in the *Niryuktis* and *Bhāshyas* a special plural form *sim* which, by the addition of the Anusvāra, has been formed after the fashion of plural genitives like *tesim* (*teśām*), etc.

What remains to be said is of less importance; we are probably to read *vaḍaka* for *vaḷaka* (in lines 12 and 30); misprints are apparently *hiroga* for *hirogo* (10), *patibhāgo* for *patibhāgo* (12), *patibhāgo* for *pattibh-* (21), *ḥīvas°* for *ḥīvās°* (36), *pīḷā* for *pīḷā* (40), *datṭā* for *datā* (48), *kada* for *kaḍa* (51), *brāhmaṇa* for *brahmaṇa* and *lekhakha* for *lekhaka* (52).

SOME FURTHER NOTES ON THE GRANT OF ŚIVASKANDA- VARMAN. (VOLUME I, pp. 2—10.)

The corrections of my reading and rendering of Śivaskandavarman's grant, which Professor E. Leumann has proposed above, make, I think, a few further remarks from me desirable.

(Line 1.) Professor Leumann's statement that the plates have *aggittthoma*, not *aggittthoma*, is correct. I should say that the nonsensical form has been caused by a confusion between the two possible forms with *ṭṭha* and *ttha*. Both in literary and in epigraphic Pali, Sanskrit *śhṭa* or *śhṭha* is represented occasionally by the dental *tenṇis* and the *tenṇis upirata*. Thus, we have in literary works for *krośṭri* 'a jackal,' both *koṭṭhaka* and *koṭṭhuka*, or even *kutthu*, as well as forms in *ttha* for various past participles in *śhṭa*. And the Sanchi votive inscriptions offer *sethi* and *soṭhi* for *śreśṭhin*; Aśoka's New Edicts both *viṇṭha* and *vyuṭha* for *vyuṣṭha*. In such words the Pali *ttha* probably goes back to originals with *ṣṭa*, similar to those in the Shāhbāzgarh version of the Rock Edicts, where we find *sreṣṭa* for *śreśṭha*, *dipista* (3rd pers. sing. aor. 1st aor.) equivalent to a Sanskrit form *adipishṭa*.

(L. 3.) Professor Leumann is right in separating *risaye sarattha*, but the correction has already been given by me in the Addenda and Corrigenda to vol. I, p. 479.

(L. 4.) The plates have not *māḍabika*, as Professor Leumann asserts. The last sign is mutilated, the crossbar of the *ka* on the right having been omitted by mistake. The sign, which has thus come out, can only be read *nu*. Professor Leumann's restoration *māḍambika* "governors of Maḍambas," instead of *māṇḍabika* "custom-house officers," is possible, though, owing to the rarity of the word *maḍamba* (except in Jaina works), somewhat doubtful. I add an explanation of the term *maḍamba*, which Professor Jacobi has kindly furnished to me from the Jaina commentaries: *maḍambāni sarvato'rḍha yojanāt parato'vasthitagrāmāṇi*.

(Ll. 5-7.) Professor Leumann's readings *ārakhādhikate* and *ettha* for my *āraṇadhikate* and *chattha* are certainly correct. His translation of lines 6-7, "We grant an exemption from (any taxes that might be levied by or for) *Samcharantakas* (soldiers) and other persons" cannot stand, for *°bhaḍḍamaṇusāṇa* is separated from *parihāraṃ* by a not now readable word ending in *o*, and in all probability the two expressions do not belong together. Even supposing that *saṃcharaṃlakabhaḍḍamaṇusāṇa parihāraṃ vitarāma* had to be taken together, the meaning could only be, "We grant an exemption to Samcharantakas, etc.;" it is against the custom observed in the grants to name first an exemption and afterwards the object granted. I must add that my translation of *parihāra* by "an immunity" ought to be changed to 'a honorific grant.' Of late I have found in the Jātakas several passages where *parihāra* has a concrete meaning and is used for "appanage, honorific allowance, special grant." In several stories it is narrated how queens receive or are deprived of their *parihāra*, and there is the compound *kumāra-parihāra* "an allowance suitable for a prince."

(L. 40.) The correct reading is *pilā* (not *pilā*, as Professor Leumann says), the short *i* being expressed, as is done very often, by a complete circle.

(L. 45.) *Majātāye* is the reading of the plates, not, as Professor Leumann holds, *mejātāye*. The little stroke above the *ma* has been caused by a slip of the engraver's punch. A real *me* looks very different (see lines 1, 29, 41)

(L. 46.) As *siṃ* is permissible for *tesiṃ*, *etesiṃ* and *esiṃ*, according to Hemachandra *Prākṛita Vyākaraṇa* III, 81, and occurs, as Professor Leumann says, in Jaina works, I agree with him that its equivalent *si* in *cha si* should be written separate. *Si* refers, however, not, as Professor Leumann thinks, to *majātāye*, but to the grantees. Compare the corresponding passages in the Sanskrit grants, e.g. *Indian Antiquary*, vol. V. p. 196, l. 6; p. 207, l. 9; p. 212, l. 25; vol. XI, p. 113, l. 43; p. 159, l. 50.

TABLES FOR CALCULATING HINDU DATES IN TRUE LOCAL TIME.

BY HERMANN JACOBI, PH.D., SANSKRIT PROFESSOR, BONN.

In my paper on the computation of Hindu dates, etc. (vol. I, page 403 ff.), I have given rules for calculating, according to the curiously inaccurate Hindu method, the corrections which must be applied to a date, computed for mean sunrise, in order to obtain the same for true sunrise at any given place in India. As this calculation is rather tiresome, I have computed the following tables, which will make the process easy without detracting from the accuracy of the results. As the problem, to solve which these tables serve, is somewhat complicated, a few remarks on the theory of true and mean time may not be amiss.

1. Suppose the sun to move with an equal motion on the equator (instead of on the ecliptic); then this equatorial sun will exactly regulate mean time. His rising will occur at 6 o'clock for every place on the earth the whole year round, marking the mean beginning of day. The interval between his risings at two distant places will be the time-difference between these places (*see* vol. I, Table XXV).

2. Now assume a second sun to move with the same equable motion on the ecliptic. This supposed sun is meant when the "mean sun" is spoken of. It is obvious that this mean sun and the first will not rise at the same time, except when they coincide at the two points of intersection of the equinoctial and the ecliptic. At every other time the second will, in places in north latitude, rise earlier than the first, when he is in the northern half of the ecliptic; and later, when he is in the southern half. Table XXVII, A-F, gives the interval in *ghatikās* and *vinādīs* between the risings of both supposed suns,¹ for every degree of the ecliptic as entered in the vertical index to the left and right of each table, and for all degrees of north latitude from 10—55° as entered in the horizontal index at the top of each table. At the intersection of the horizontal and vertical columns is given the interval of rising for the tropical longitude of the mean sun and for the terrestrial latitude in question; *e.g.*, in Table XXVII-B, we find that for 44 trop. Long. ☉ and 20° terr. Lat. the difference in rising is 1gh. 22v. If the trop. Long. ☉ is entered in the index to the *left*, the ecliptical mean sun rises before the mean beginning of the day; if on the index to the *right*, it rises after the mean beginning of the day.

3. We thus find the time of rising of the *mean* sun (moving on the ecliptic), but what is really wanted is the time of rising of the *true* sun. The true sun only twice in the year coincides with the mean sun; at every other time he either precedes or lags behind the mean sun. Their difference in longitude is the equation of the sun's centre, the amount of which in degrees, minutes, and seconds is furnished by Table XXIV-B. If the equation has the sign —, the true longitude of the sun is greater than his mean one, and consequently the true sun rises *later* than the mean one; if the equation in Table XXIV has the sign +, the true sun rises *before* the mean one; always by the time corresponding to the sun's equation of the centre. In order to compute the equivalent in time for the sun's equation of the centre, we must know how much time is occupied in rising by one degree of the ecliptic at the place where the sun is at the moment in question in a given latitude. The amount in *vinādīs* is furnished by the entries immediately below the degrees of terrestrial latitude in Table XXVII. Though continually changing, it is considered by Hindu astronomers to be constant throughout each single

¹ Or between the risings of a point on the equator and one on the ecliptic, which have the same distances from the equinox.

sign, and equal to the mean.² As the different parts of Table XXVII represent the tropical signs, one entry serves for the whole part. Thus we find in Part B that on the 20th parallel one degree of the ecliptic (between 30° and 60° trop. Long.) takes up 8·79 *vinādīs* in rising. Knowing the time taken up in rising by one degree, we can easily calculate the time corresponding to any given value of the equation of the sun's centre.

4. Table XXVII refers to tropical longitude, while the other tables yield sidereal longitude. The former is found by adding to the latter the *ayanamśas* (or amount of the precession of the equinox) for the year in question.

The rule for calculating the *ayanamśas* is given in section 39 of the former paper (page 421); to find them without calculation is the object of Tables XXVIII and XXIX, e.g., we find by Table XXVIII that in K. Y. 4683 the *ayanamśas* were—

16° 14' 42", viz. K.Y. 4600 =	15° 0' 0"
80 years =	1° 12' 0"
3 „ =	0° 2' 42"
Ayanamśa =	16° 14' 42"

Table XXIX serves for the *Brahma Siddhānta* and *Siddhānta Śiromani*, Table XXVIII, for the other *Siddhāntas*.

5. I shall now illustrate by examples the working of these tables in connection with the Special Tables; for when such accuracy is wanted, that the difference between mean and true time comes into consideration, the calculation must be made by means of the Special Tables.*

1st Example.—Let it be proposed to calculate the true *Tithi* for true sunrise on the 7th (solar) Jyāishṭha K.Y. 4128 at Aligarh, whose latitude is 27° 53' (or roundly 28°) and time difference + 14 vin. We use for this and the following examples the tables for the *Sūrya Siddhānta*:—

(a) We calculate the elements: Distance ☾—☉ etc., for the year and day in question. viz.—

	Dist. ☾—☉	☾'s An.	☉'s An.	Corr.
4100 years . . .	69° 48' 0"	217° 8' 30"	282° 44' 16"	+ 20 gh. 54 v.
28 „ . . .	117° 17' 3"	58° 38' 37"	— 14 gh. 43 v.
7th Jyāi . . .	66° 40' 34"	97° 16' 26"	34° 29' 46"	
	254° 15' 37"	13° 3' 33"	317° 13' 52"	+ 6 gh. 11 v.

(b) Add the time difference, with the sign changed, for the place in question, to the Corr. (+ 6 gh. 11 v. — 14 v. = + 5 gh. 57 v.) and find by Table XXII the corresponding increase of the elements, distance, etc.—

5 gh. =	1° 0' 57"	1° 5' 19"	4' 56"
57 v. =	11' 35"	12' 25"	56"
5 gh. 57 v. =	+ 1° 12' 32"	+ 1° 17' 44"	+ 5' 52"

(c) Add (or subtract, according to the sign) the increase from the first result.—

254° 15' 37"	13° 3' 33"	317° 13' 52"
+ 1° 12' 32"	1° 17' 44"	5' 52"
255° 28' 9"	14° 21' 17"	317° 19' 44"

(d) Find the equations for the anomalies of the moon and sun by Table XXIV—

An. ☾	14° 21' ..	Eq. ☾ =	— 1° 15' 32"
An. ☉	317° 20' ..	Eq. ☉ =	— 1° 29' 12"
Sum of eq's		=	— 2° 44' 44"

* The same inaccuracy pervades all Hindu calculations of true sunrise, and makes all figures in Table XXVI wrong when compared with the true values. However, we do not require the latter, but those which the Hindus assume in their calculations.

† I shall indicate below §7, how these tables may be used in connection with the general tables for an estimate of the difference between mean and true local time

(e) Add the sum of equations to the distance; the result is the true distance at mean sunrise for the place in question, *viz.* $255^{\circ} 28' 9'' - 2^{\circ} 44' 44'' = 252^{\circ} 43' 25''$.

(f) Find the sidereal longitude of the sun by subtracting from the above the \odot 's an., the same for beginning of the century. (Table XIII.)

$$\begin{array}{r} 317^{\circ} \quad 19' \quad 44'' \\ - \quad 252^{\circ} \quad 44' \quad 16'' \\ \hline \text{Sid. Long } \odot = 31^{\circ} \quad 35' \quad 28'' \end{array}$$

(g) Find the *ayanāmkas* for the year in question, by Table XXVIII—

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{K.Y. 4100} & = & 7^{\circ} \quad 30' \quad 0'' \\ 28 \text{ years} & = & \quad 25' \quad 12'' \\ \hline \text{K.Y. 4128} & = & 7^{\circ} \quad 55' \quad 12'' \end{array}$$

(h) Add the *ayanāmkas* thus found to the sidereal longitude of the sun, the result is the tropical longitude of the sun.—

$$\begin{array}{r} 34^{\circ} \quad 35' \quad 28'' \\ 7^{\circ} \quad 55' \quad 12'' \\ \hline \text{Trop. Long. } \odot = 42^{\circ} \quad 30' \quad 40'' \end{array}$$

(i) Look out in Table XXVII the “interval of rising” of the degree of trop. Long. \odot now found for the latitude of the given place.

If the left-hand index (0—180) is used, the amount is subtractive; if the index to the right (180°—360°) the amount is additive.

In this case we get, for trop. Long. 42° on the 26th parallel, — 1gh. 46v.

(k) Take, from the same part of the Table just used, the time required by one degree in rising, as given there immediately below the degree of latitude in question, and calculate the equivalent in time for the sun's equation. If the equation has the sign +, the amount is subtractive; if —, it is additive.

In the present case: 1° takes up 8.24 *vinādis*, consequently $1^{\circ} 29'$ will take up 12v. The equation being negative, the amount is additive. We put it down as + 12v.

(l) Add (or subtract according to the sign) the *vinādis* in (k) to the result in (i); find the increase of Dist. \odot — \odot for the sun, in Table XXII; add the increase (or subtract according to the sign of the sum) to the true Dist. \odot — \odot (found in e). The result is the true Dist. \odot — \odot for true sunrise at the place in question. Here — 1gh. 46v. + 12v. = — 1gh. 34v.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 1gh. & = & 12' \quad 11'' \\ 34v. & = & \quad 6' \quad 54'' \\ - \quad 1gh. 34v. & = & -19' \quad 5'' \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{This, added to the result in (e), } viz., 252^{\circ} 43' 25'' \\ \text{62'', makes } 252^{\circ} 24' 20''. \end{array}$$

(m) This result is not quite correct, because we have made use of the mean increase (or decrease) of Dist. \odot — \odot instead of the true, as the Hindus do. However, we may rest satisfied with this approximation when the true distance comes out larger or smaller, by 4 minutes or more, than an entire number of degrees marking the end of a *Tithi*. This is the case in the present example: 252° mark the end of the 21st *tithi* or the 6th *tithi* of the dark fortnight; but as we found the true distance to be $252^{\circ} 24' 20''$, which is more than $4'$ above the end of the *Tithi*, *viz.* 252° , the final result is not affected by the slightly incorrect calculation.

In rare cases where the strictest accuracy is required, proceed as follows:—

Add the increase (or decrease) of the distance, etc., for the sum found in (l) to the

result in (e); again, find the equations of ϵ and \odot , as in (d), and add their sum to the distance, as in (e). The result is the true distance. In this case — 1gh. 34v. :—

	Dist. $\epsilon - \odot$	ϵ 's An.	\odot 's An.
1 gh.	0° 12' 11"	0° 13' 4"	0' 59"
34 v.	6 54	7 24	34
— 1 gh. 34 v.	19 5	20 28	+ 1 33
subtracted from	255 28 9	14 21 17	317 19 44
makes	255 9' 4"	14 0' 49"	317 18' 11"
An. ϵ 14° 1' eq. = — 1° 13' 49"			
An. \odot 317° 18' eq. = — 1 30 8			
Sum of eq's. = — 2 43 57			
Dist. $\epsilon - \odot$ + 255 9 4			
True dist. 252° 25' 7"			

This then is the strictly accurate *true* distance $\epsilon - \odot$. The error in the preceding method was — 47".

It may be borne in mind, however, that it is a waste of time to attempt this degree of accuracy, unless we know the *Hindu* value of the latitude and longitude of the place for which the date is to be calculated. This uncertainty is enhanced when we have to deal with dates in inscriptions; for we never know for what place the almanac was calculated, from which the date recorded in the inscription was taken, though in most cases we shall probably be right in assuming that the almanac referred to the capital of the kingdom.

The following examples will be understood without further comment. I use the same letters as in the first example to indicate the operations to be gone through :—

Ex. 2.—K. Y. 4128, 4th Bhādrapada, place: Ratnagiri, 17° Lat. and time difference — 34 vin.

	$\epsilon - \odot$	ϵ 's An.	\odot 's An.	Cor.	An.
(a) 4100 years . 69° 48' 0"	217° 8' 80	282° 44' 16"		+ 20 gh. 54 v.	
28 " 117 47 3	58 38 37	...		— 14 43	
4th Bhādra . 96 2 4	216 11 10	124 11		+ 6 11	
283 37 7	131 58 17	46 55		(b) + 34	
				+ 6 45	
(c) + 6 gh. . 1 13 9	1 18 23	5 55			
45 v. . 9 9	9 48	44			
284 59 25	133 26 28	47 2 4	(d) eq. ϵ = — 3° 43' 44"		
(e) . . . — 2 7 39			eq. \odot = + 1 36 5		
			Sum = — 2 7 39		
282 51 46					
(f) 407° 2' 4" (an. \odot + 360°).					
— 282 44 16					
124 17 48 (sid. Long. \odot)					
(h) + 7 55 12					
132 13 (trop. Long. \odot)					
(i) trop. Long. \odot = 132°, on 17° Lat, Interval . = — 32 vin.					
(k) Eq. \odot = + 1° 36' (1° = 10·97 vin.), time of rising . = — 18 vin.					
(l) 282° 51' 46"					
— 10 9					
282 41 37 . Result.					

Ex. 3.—K. Y. 4325, 4th Mārgaśīra. Srinagar, Lat. 34° 6', time difference — 8 vin.

(a) 4300 years . 345° 24' 0"	276° 1' 30"	282° 43' 53"	— 24 gh. 10v.
25 " . 79 27 0	142 21 38	...	— 28 8
4th Mārg. . 137 35 2	338 9 48	214 51 41	
202 26	296 32 56	137 35 34	— 52 18
			(b) + 8
			— 52 10

(e) 52 gh. . = 10° 33' 55"	11° 19' 23"	0° 51' 15"	
10 v. . = 2	2 11	10	
52 gh. 10 v. = —10 35 57	—11 21 34	—51 25	(d) eq. ☉ = + 4° 55' 16"
202 26 2	296 32 56	137 35 34	eq. ☉ = + 1 31 7
makes . 191 50 5	285 11 22	136 44 9	Sum = + 6° 26' 23"
Sum of eq. . + 6 26 23			
(e) 198 16 28			
(f) 406° 44' 9" (an. ☉ + 360°)		(g) 4300 <i>ayanāṁśa</i> . = 10° 30' 0"	
— 282 43 53		25 years . = 22 30	
214 0 16 (sid. Long. ☉)		4325 K. Y. <i>ayanāṁśa</i> . = 10° 52' 30"	
(k) + 10 52 30			
224 52 46 (trop. Long. ☉)			
(i) trop. Long. ☉ = 225° on 34° Lat. Interval . . = + 1 gh. 32 v.			
(k) Eq. „ ☉ = + 1° 31' (1° = 12. 18), time of rising = — 18			
		Sum = + 1 gh. 14 v.	
(l) 1 gh. . . = 12' 11"			
14 v. . . = 2 51			
+ 1 gh. 14 v. . . = 15 2 (added to e)			
198 16 28			
makes . . . 198° 31' 30" Result.			

6. In §62 of my former paper I have said: "In the *Siddhānta Śiromaṇi Golād-hyāya*" IV, 20, Bhāskara states that the ancient astronomers 'assumed that at Lankā (or on the Equator) the zodiacal signs rise in the same time with 50 degrees of the equinoctial, while in fact they do not. The tables give the interval according to Bhāskara's theory. If the value without Bhāskara's correction is wanted, it may be elicited from the tables. For that purpose the column 0° has been added; in it are given what Bhāskara calls the *udayāntara*. They must be added to, or subtracted from (according to the sign), the value in the table. Under this supposition, we shall get in (i) of the first example above 1gh. 24v. instead of 1gh. 46v., and in (k) we find 8·27 vin. instead of 8·23 as the time taken up in rising 1 degree; the latter correction does not, in this case, sensibly affect the final result, while the former will.

In calculating dates anterior to Bhāskara (K. Y. 4251) the value of the "interval" given in the table should be corrected in the way just explained.

7. The present tables may be used roughly to estimate the difference between mean Indian and true local time, as in the following example.

In §24 of my first paper we found that in K. Y. 4682 the 11th tithi of the bright fortnight of Vaiśākha ended 46 ghatikas after mean sunrise in Lankā on the 18th Vaiśākha of the Tables. What is the corresponding local time at Purniya (26° N. Lat. and + 1gh. 58 vin. time difference) ?

First add the time difference to the given Lankā time: 46gh. + 1gh. 58v. = 47gh. 58 vin. Then look out in Table VIII the sidereal Long. ☉ on the 18th Vaiśākha: 16° 40'; add the *ayanāṁśa* for K.Y. 4682, viz. 16° 15'; the sum is the trop. Long. ☉, 16° 40' + 16° 15' = 32° 55' (or nearly 33°). Now look out in Table XXVII, Part B, the interval between the mean beginning of the day and sunrise on the 26th parallel and for trop. Long. ☉ = 33'; viz. 1gh. 25v. The left hand index being used, the true sun rises before the mean beginning of the day; accordingly we must add the interval to mean time. 47gh. 58v. + 1gh. 25v. = 49 gh. 23 v. This is the required true local time for the end of the 11th tithi in Purniya.

* Our text of the *Sūrya Siddhānta* III, 43, 44, is in accordance with Bhāskara's theory, and must therefore be later. From the error in the position of the Moon's node relative to that of the Sun, I am persuaded that the present text of the *Sūrya Siddhānta* was fixed not before the 13th century A.D. The *ṭīja* is a still later addition

TABLE XXVII. (PART A.—Trop. Long. $\odot = 0^\circ - 29^\circ$, $36(\circ - 331^\circ)$.)

Lat.	10°	11°	12°	13°	14°	15°	16°	17°	18°	19°	20°	21°	22°	23°	
Vis.	8-58	8-51	8-43	8-37	8-29	8-21	8-15	8-07	7-99	7-92	7-84	7-76	7-68	7-60	
Long.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	Long.
0°	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	360°
1	0 1	0 1	0 2	0 2	0 2	0 2	0 2	0 2	0 2	0 2	0 2	0 2	0 2	0 2	359
2	0 3	0 3	0 3	0 3	0 3	0 3	0 4	0 4	0 4	0 4	0 4	0 4	0 4	0 5	358
3	0 4	0 4	0 5	0 5	0 5	0 5	0 6	0 6	0 6	0 6	0 7	0 7	0 7	0 7	357
4	0 6	0 6	0 6	0 7	0 7	0 7	0 7	0 8	0 8	0 8	0 8	0 9	0 9	0 10	356
5	0 7	0 7	0 8	0 8	0 9	0 9	0 9	0 10	0 10	0 10	0 11	0 11	0 12	0 12	355
6	0 9	0 9	0 9	0 10	0 10	0 11	0 11	0 12	0 12	0 12	0 13	0 13	0 14	0 14	354
7	0 10	0 10	0 11	0 11	0 12	0 13	0 13	0 14	0 14	0 14	0 15	0 16	0 16	0 17	353
8	0 11	0 12	0 13	0 13	0 14	0 14	0 15	0 15	0 16	0 17	0 17	0 18	0 19	0 19	352
9	0 13	0 13	0 14	0 15	0 15	0 16	0 17	0 17	0 18	0 19	0 19	0 20	0 21	0 22	351
10	0 14	0 15	0 16	0 16	0 17	0 18	0 19	0 19	0 20	0 21	0 21	0 22	0 23	0 24	350
11	0 16	0 16	0 17	0 18	0 19	0 20	0 20	0 21	0 22	0 23	0 24	0 25	0 26	0 26	349
12	0 17	0 18	0 19	0 20	0 21	0 21	0 22	0 23	0 24	0 25	0 26	0 27	0 28	0 29	348
13	0 18	0 19	0 20	0 21	0 22	0 23	0 24	0 25	0 26	0 27	0 28	0 29	0 30	0 31	347
14	0 20	0 21	0 22	0 23	0 24	0 25	0 26	0 27	0 28	0 29	0 30	0 31	0 32	0 34	346
15	0 21	0 22	0 24	0 24	0 26	0 27	0 29	0 29	0 30	0 31	0 32	0 34	0 35	0 36	345
16	0 23	0 24	0 25	0 26	0 27	0 29	0 30	0 31	0 32	0 33	0 35	0 36	0 37	0 38	344
17	0 24	0 25	0 27	0 28	0 29	0 30	0 31	0 33	0 34	0 35	0 37	0 38	0 39	0 41	343
18	0 26	0 27	0 28	0 29	0 31	0 32	0 33	0 35	0 36	0 37	0 39	0 40	0 42	0 43	342
19	0 27	0 28	0 30	0 31	0 32	0 34	0 35	0 37	0 38	0 39	0 41	0 43	0 44	0 46	341
20	0 28	0 30	0 31	0 33	0 34	0 36	0 37	0 39	0 40	0 41	0 43	0 45	0 46	0 48	340
21	0 30	0 31	0 33	0 34	0 36	0 38	0 39	0 41	0 42	0 43	0 45	0 47	0 49	0 50	339
22	0 31	0 33	0 35	0 36	0 38	0 39	0 41	0 42	0 44	0 46	0 48	0 49	0 51	0 53	338
23	0 33	0 34	0 36	0 37	0 39	0 41	0 43	0 44	0 46	0 48	0 50	0 52	0 53	0 55	337
24	0 34	0 36	0 38	0 39	0 41	0 43	0 44	0 46	0 48	0 50	0 52	0 54	0 56	0 58	336
25	0 35	0 37	0 39	0 41	0 43	0 45	0 46	0 48	0 50	0 52	0 54	0 56	0 58	1 0	335
26	0 37	0 39	0 41	0 42	0 44	0 47	0 48	0 50	0 52	0 54	0 56	0 58	1 0	1 2	334
27	0 38	0 40	0 42	0 44	0 46	0 48	0 50	0 52	0 54	0 56	0 58	1 0	1 3	1 5	333
28	0 40	0 42	0 44	0 46	0 48	0 50	0 52	0 54	0 56	0 58	1 0	1 3	1 5	1 7	332
29	0 41	0 43	0 46	0 47	0 50	0 52	0 54	0 56	0 58	1 0	1 3	1 5	1 7	1 10	331

PART A₂—continued.

Lat.	24°	25°	26°	27°	28°	29°	30°	31°	32°	33°	34°	35°	0	
Vis.	7-52	7-43	7-34	7-26	7-17	7-08	6-98	6-89	6-80	6-69	6-59	6-49	6-32	
Long.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	Long.
0°	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	360°
1	0 2	0 3	0 3	0 3	0 3	0 3	0 3	0 3	0 3	0 3	0 3	0 4	0 1	359
2	0 5	0 5	0 5	0 5	0 6	0 6	0 6	0 6	0 6	0 7	0 7	0 7	0 1	358
3	0 7	0 8	0 8	0 8	0 8	0 9	0 9	0 9	0 10	0 10	0 10	0 11	0 2	357
4	0 10	0 10	0 11	0 11	0 11	0 12	0 12	0 12	0 13	0 13	0 14	0 14	0 3	356
5	0 12	0 13	0 13	0 14	0 14	0 15	0 15	0 16	0 16	0 17	0 17	0 18	0 4	355
6	0 15	0 15	0 16	0 16	0 17	0 18	0 18	0 19	0 19	0 20	0 20	0 21	0 4	354
7	0 17	0 18	0 19	0 19	0 20	0 20	0 21	0 22	0 22	0 23	0 24	0 25	0 5	353
8	0 20	0 21	0 21	0 22	0 23	0 23	0 24	0 25	0 26	0 26	0 27	0 28	0 6	352
9	0 22	0 23	0 24	0 25	0 25	0 26	0 27	0 28	0 29	0 30	0 31	0 32	0 7	351
10	0 25	0 26	0 27	0 27	0 28	0 29	0 30	0 31	0 32	0 33	0 34	0 35	0 7	350
11	0 27	0 28	0 29	0 30	0 31	0 32	0 33	0 34	0 35	0 36	0 38	0 39	0 8	349
12	0 30	0 31	0 33	0 33	0 34	0 35	0 36	0 37	0 38	0 40	0 41	0 42	0 9	348
13	0 32	0 33	0 35	0 36	0 37	0 38	0 39	0 40	0 42	0 43	0 44	0 46	0 10	347
14	0 35	0 36	0 37	0 38	0 40	0 41	0 42	0 44	0 45	0 46	0 48	0 49	0 11	346
15	0 37	0 39	0 40	0 41	0 42	0 44	0 45	0 47	0 48	0 50	0 51	0 53	0 11	345
16	0 40	0 41	0 43	0 44	0 45	0 47	0 48	0 50	0 51	0 53	0 55	0 56	0 12	344
17	0 42	0 44	0 45	0 47	0 48	0 50	0 51	0 53	0 54	0 56	0 58	1 0	0 13	343
18	0 45	0 46	0 49	0 49	0 51	0 53	0 54	0 56	0 58	1 0	1 1	1 3	0 13	342
19	0 47	0 49	0 51	0 52	0 54	0 55	0 57	0 59	1 1	1 3	1 5	1 7	0 14	341
20	0 50	0 51	0 53	0 55	0 57	0 58	1 0	1 2	1 4	1 7	1 8	1 10	0 15	340
21	0 52	0 54	0 56	0 58	0 59	1 1	1 3	1 5	1 7	1 10	1 12	1 14	0 16	339
22	0 55	0 57	0 59	1 0	1 2	1 4	1 6	1 8	1 10	1 13	1 15	1 17	0 16	338
23	0 57	0 59	1 1	1 3	1 5	1 7	1 9	1 12	1 14	1 16	1 18	1 21	0 17	337
24	1 0	1 2	1 4	1 6	1 8	1 10	1 12	1 15	1 17	1 19	1 22	1 24	0 18	336
25	1 2	1 4	1 7	1 9	1 11	1 13	1 15	1 18	1 20	1 23	1 25	1 28	0 18	335
26	1 4	1 7	1 9	1 11	1 14	1 16	1 18	1 21	1 23	1 26	1 29	1 31	0 19	334
27	1 7	1 9	1 12	1 14	1 16	1 19	1 21	1 24	1 26	1 29	1 32	1 35	0 20	333
28	1 9	1 12	1 14	1 17	1 19	1 22	1 24	1 27	1 30	1 33	1 35	1 38	0 21	332
29	1 12	1 15	1 17	1 19	1 22	1 25	1 27	1 30	1 33	1 36	1 39	42	0 21	331

TABLE XXVII. (PART B.—*Trop. Long. $\odot = 30^\circ - 59^\circ, 330^\circ - 301^\circ$* .)

Lat.	10°	11°	12°	13°	14°	15°	16°	17°	18°	19°	20°	21°	22°	23°	
s.	9-4	9-34	9-29	9-22	9-17	9-11	9-04	8-98	8-92	8-85	8-79	8-72	8-66	8-59	
Long.	gh. r	gh. v	gh. r	gh. v	gh. r	gh. v	gh. r	gh. v	gh. r	gh. v	gh. r	gh. v	gh. r	gh. v	Long.
30°	0 43	0 45	0 47	0 49	0 51	0 54	0 56	0 58	1 0	1 2	1 5	1 7	1 10	1 12	330°
31	0 43	0 45	0 48	0 50	0 52	0 55	0 57	0 59	1 1	1 4	1 6	1 9	1 11	1 13	329
32	0 44	0 46	0 48	0 51	0 53	0 55	0 58	1 0	1 2	1 5	1 7	1 10	1 12	1 14	328
33	0 44	0 47	0 49	0 51	0 54	0 56	0 59	1 1	1 3	1 6	1 8	1 11	1 14	1 16	327
34	0 45	0 47	0 50	0 52	0 55	0 57	1 0	1 2	1 4	1 7	1 10	1 12	1 15	1 17	326
35	0 46	0 48	0 51	0 53	0 55	0 58	1 0	1 3	1 6	1 8	1 11	1 14	1 16	1 19	325
36	0 46	0 49	0 51	0 54	0 56	0 59	1 1	1 4	1 7	1 9	1 12	1 15	1 18	1 20	324
37	0 47	0 49	0 52	0 54	0 57	1 0	1 2	1 5	1 8	1 10	1 13	1 16	1 19	1 22	323
38	0 47	0 50	0 53	0 55	0 58	1 1	1 3	1 6	1 9	1 12	1 14	1 17	1 20	1 23	322
39	0 48	0 51	0 53	0 56	0 59	1 2	1 4	1 7	1 10	1 13	1 16	1 19	1 22	1 25	321
40	0 49	0 51	0 54	0 57	1 0	1 3	1 5	1 8	1 11	1 14	1 17	1 20	1 23	1 26	320
41	0 49	0 52	0 55	0 58	1 0	1 3	1 6	1 9	1 12	1 15	1 18	1 21	1 24	1 27	319
42	0 50	0 52	0 56	0 59	1 1	1 4	1 7	1 10	1 13	1 16	1 19	1 23	1 26	1 29	318
43	0 50	0 53	0 56	0 59	1 2	1 5	1 8	1 11	1 14	1 17	1 20	1 24	1 27	1 30	317
44	0 51	0 54	0 57	1 0	1 3	1 6	1 9	1 12	1 15	1 19	1 22	1 25	1 28	1 32	316
45	0 52	0 54	0 58	1 1	1 4	1 7	1 10	1 13	1 16	1 20	1 23	1 26	1 30	1 33	315
46	0 52	0 55	0 58	1 1	1 5	1 8	1 11	1 14	1 17	1 21	1 24	1 27	1 31	1 35	314
47	0 53	0 56	0 59	1 2	1 5	1 9	1 12	1 15	1 19	1 22	1 25	1 29	1 32	1 36	313
48	0 53	0 56	1 0	1 3	1 6	1 10	1 13	1 16	1 20	1 23	1 27	1 30	1 34	1 37	312
49	0 54	0 57	1 0	1 4	1 7	1 11	1 14	1 17	1 21	1 24	1 28	1 32	1 35	1 39	311
50	0 54	0 58	1 1	1 5	1 8	1 11	1 15	1 18	1 22	1 25	1 29	1 33	1 36	1 40	310
51	0 55	0 58	1 2	1 5	1 9	1 12	1 16	1 19	1 23	1 27	1 30	1 34	1 38	1 42	309
52	0 56	0 59	1 3	1 6	1 9	1 13	1 17	1 20	1 24	1 28	1 31	1 35	1 39	1 43	308
53	0 56	1 0	1 3	1 7	1 10	1 14	1 18	1 21	1 25	1 29	1 33	1 37	1 40	1 44	307
54	0 57	1 0	1 4	1 8	1 11	1 15	1 19	1 22	1 26	1 30	1 34	1 38	1 42	1 46	306
55	0 58	1 1	1 5	1 8	1 12	1 16	1 20	1 23	1 27	1 31	1 35	1 39	1 43	1 47	305
56	0 58	1 1	1 5	1 9	1 13	1 17	1 21	1 24	1 28	1 32	1 36	1 41	1 44	1 49	304
57	0 59	1 2	1 6	1 10	1 14	1 18	1 22	1 26	1 29	1 33	1 37	1 42	1 46	1 50	303
58	0 59	1 2	1 7	1 11	1 15	1 19	1 23	1 27	1 30	1 35	1 39	1 43	1 47	1 51	302
59	1 0	1 3	1 8	1 12	1 16	1 20	1 23	1 28	1 32	1 36	1 40	1 44	1 48	1 53	301

PART B,—continued.

Lat.	24	25	26	27	28	29	30°	31	32°	33°	34°	35°	0°	
Long.	8-5	8-46	8-41	8-31	8-24	8-16	8-06	8-00	7-51	7-44	7-36	7-27	+0-00	
30°	gh. r	gh. v	gh. r	gh. v	gh. r	gh. v	gh. r	gh. v	gh. r	gh. v	gh. r	gh. v	gh. v	Long.
31	1 14	1 17	1 20	1 22	1 25	1 28	1 30	1 33	1 36	1 39	1 42	1 45	-0 22	330°
32	1 16	1 19	1 21	1 24	1 27	1 29	1 32	1 35	1 38	1 41	1 45	1 48	0 22	329
33	1 17	1 20	1 23	1 26	1 29	1 31	1 34	1 37	1 40	1 44	1 47	1 50	0 22	328
34	1 19	1 22	1 25	1 27	1 30	1 33	1 36	1 39	1 42	1 46	1 49	1 52	0 22	327
35	1 20	1 23	1 26	1 29	1 32	1 35	1 38	1 41	1 44	1 48	1 51	1 55	0 22	326
36	1 22	1 25	1 28	1 31	1 34	1 37	1 40	1 43	1 46	1 50	1 54	1 57	-0 22	325
37	1 25	1 26	1 29	1 32	1 36	1 39	1 42	1 45	1 49	1 52	1 56	1 59	0 22	324
38	1 25	1 28	1 31	1 34	1 37	1 41	1 44	1 47	1 51	1 55	1 58	2 2	0 22	323
39	1 26	1 30	1 33	1 36	1 39	1 42	1 46	1 49	1 53	1 57	2 0	2 4	0 22	322
40	1 28	1 31	1 34	1 37	1 41	1 44	1 48	1 51	1 55	1 59	2 2	2 6	0 22	321
41	1 29	1 33	1 36	1 39	1 43	1 46	1 50	1 53	1 57	2 1	2 5	2 9	-0 22	320
42	1 31	1 34	1 37	1 41	1 44	1 48	1 52	1 55	1 59	2 3	2 7	2 11	0 22	319
43	1 32	1 36	1 39	1 43	1 46	1 50	1 54	1 57	2 1	2 5	2 9	2 13	0 22	318
44	1 34	1 37	1 41	1 44	1 48	1 52	1 55	1 59	2 3	2 7	2 11	2 16	0 22	317
45	1 35	1 39	1 42	1 46	1 50	1 53	1 57	2 1	2 5	2 10	2 14	2 18	0 22	316
46	1 37	1 40	1 44	1 48	1 51	1 55	1 59	2 3	2 7	2 12	2 16	2 20	-0 22	315
47	1 38	1 42	1 46	1 49	1 53	1 57	2 1	2 5	2 9	2 14	2 18	2 23	0 22	314
48	1 40	1 43	1 47	1 51	1 55	1 59	2 3	2 7	2 12	2 16	2 20	2 25	0 22	313
49	1 41	1 45	1 49	1 53	1 57	2 1	2 5	2 9	2 14	2 18	2 23	2 27	0 22	312
50	1 42	1 47	1 51	1 54	1 58	2 3	2 7	2 11	2 16	2 20	2 25	2 30	0 22	311
51	1 44	1 48	1 52	1 56	2 0	2 4	2 8	2 13	2 18	2 23	2 27	2 32	-0 22	310
52	1 46	1 50	1 54	1 58	2 2	2 6	2 11	2 15	2 20	2 25	2 29	2 34	0 22	309
53	1 47	1 51	1 55	1 59	2 4	2 8	2 13	2 17	2 22	2 27	2 32	2 37	0 22	308
54	1 48	1 53	1 57	2 1	2 6	2 10	2 15	2 19	2 24	2 29	2 34	2 39	0 22	307
55	1 50	1 54	1 59	2 3	2 7	2 12	2 17	2 21	2 26	2 31	2 36	2 41	0 22	306
56	1 51	1 56	2 0	2 4	2 9	2 14	2 18	2 23	2 28	2 33	2 38	2 44	-0 22	305
57	1 53	1 57	2 2	2 6	2 11	2 15	2 20	2 25	2 30	2 35	2 41	2 46	0 22	304
58	1 54	1 59	2 3	2 8	2 12	2 17	2 22	2 27	2 32	2 38	2 43	2 48	0 22	303
59	1 56	2 1	2 5	2 10	2 14	2 19	2 24	2 29	2 35	2 40	2 45	2 51	0 22	302
59	1 57	2 2	2 7	2 11	2 16	2 21	2 26	2 31	2 37	2 42	2 47	2 53	0 22	301

TABLE XXVII. (PART C.—*Trop. Long. $\odot = 60^{\circ} - 89^{\circ}, 300^{\circ} - 127^{\circ}$*)

Lat.	10°	11°	12°	13°	14°	15°	16°	17°	18°	19°	20°	21°	22°	23°	
Fin.	10-52	10-50	10-47	10-45	10-42	10-40	10-37	10-35	10-32	10-29	10-27	10-24	10-22	10-18	
Long.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	
60°	1 1	1 4	1 8	1 13	1 16	1 20	1 24	1 29	1 33	1 37	1 41	1 46	1 49	1 54	300°
61	1 0	1 3	1 8	1 13	1 16	1 20	1 24	1 28	1 32	1 37	1 41	1 45	1 49	1 54	299
62	0 59	1 3	1 8	1 13	1 15	1 20	1 24	1 28	1 32	1 37	1 41	1 45	1 49	1 54	298
63	0 59	1 2	1 7	1 12	1 15	1 19	1 23	1 28	1 32	1 36	1 40	1 45	1 48	1 54	297
64	0 58	1 2	1 7	1 12	1 14	1 19	1 23	1 27	1 32	1 36	1 40	1 45	1 48	1 54	296
65	0 58	1 1	1 6	1 11	1 14	1 18	1 23	1 27	1 31	1 36	1 40	1 44	1 48	1 53	295
66	0 57	1 1	1 6	1 11	1 14	1 18	1 22	1 27	1 31	1 35	1 39	1 44	1 48	1 53	294
67	0 57	1 0	1 5	1 11	1 13	1 18	1 22	1 27	1 31	1 35	1 39	1 44	1 47	1 53	293
68	0 56	1 0	1 5	1 10	1 13	1 17	1 21	1 26	1 30	1 35	1 39	1 44	1 47	1 53	292
69	0 56	0 59	1 4	1 10	1 12	1 17	1 21	1 26	1 30	1 34	1 38	1 43	1 47	1 53	291
70	0 55	0 59	1 4	1 9	1 12	1 16	1 21	1 25	1 30	1 34	1 38	1 43	1 47	1 53	290
71	0 55	0 58	1 3	1 9	1 12	1 16	1 20	1 25	1 29	1 34	1 38	1 43	1 47	1 52	289
72	0 54	0 58	1 3	1 8	1 11	1 16	1 20	1 25	1 29	1 34	1 38	1 43	1 46	1 52	288
73	0 54	0 57	1 2	1 8	1 11	1 15	1 20	1 24	1 29	1 33	1 38	1 43	1 46	1 52	287
74	0 53	0 57	1 2	1 8	1 10	1 15	1 19	1 24	1 28	1 33	1 37	1 42	1 46	1 52	286
75	0 53	0 56	1 1	1 7	1 10	1 14	1 19	1 23	1 28	1 33	1 37	1 42	1 46	1 52	285
76	0 52	0 56	1 1	1 7	1 10	1 14	1 19	1 23	1 28	1 32	1 37	1 42	1 45	1 51	284
77	0 52	0 55	1 0	1 6	1 9	1 14	1 18	1 23	1 27	1 32	1 36	1 42	1 45	1 51	283
78	0 51	0 55	1 0	1 6	1 9	1 13	1 18	1 22	1 27	1 32	1 36	1 41	1 45	1 51	282
79	0 51	0 54	1 0	1 5	1 8	1 13	1 18	1 22	1 27	1 31	1 36	1 41	1 45	1 51	281
80	0 50	0 54	0 59	1 5	1 8	1 12	1 17	1 22	1 26	1 31	1 36	1 41	1 45	1 51	280
81	0 50	0 53	0 58	1 4	1 7	1 12	1 17	1 21	1 26	1 31	1 35	1 41	1 44	1 50	279
82	0 49	0 53	0 58	1 4	1 7	1 12	1 16	1 21	1 26	1 31	1 35	1 40	1 44	1 50	278
83	0 49	0 52	0 57	1 3	1 7	1 11	1 16	1 21	1 25	1 30	1 35	1 40	1 44	1 50	277
84	0 48	0 52	0 57	1 3	1 6	1 11	1 16	1 20	1 25	1 30	1 35	1 40	1 44	1 50	276
85	0 48	0 51	0 57	1 2	1 6	1 10	1 15	1 20	1 25	1 30	1 34	1 40	1 43	1 50	275
86	0 47	0 51	0 56	1 2	1 5	1 10	1 15	1 20	1 24	1 29	1 34	1 39	1 43	1 50	274
87	0 47	0 50	0 56	1 2	1 5	1 10	1 15	1 19	1 24	1 29	1 34	1 39	1 43	1 49	273
88	0 46	0 50	0 55	1 1	1 4	1 9	1 14	1 19	1 24	1 29	1 34	1 39	1 43	1 49	272
89	0 46	0 49	0 55	1 1	1 4	1 9	1 14	1 19	1 23	1 28	1 33	1 39	1 43	1 49	271

PART C.—continued

Lat.	24°	25°	26°	27°	28°	29°	30°	31°	32°	33°	34°	35°	0°	
Fin.	10-16	10-13	10-10	10-07	10-04	10-00	9-57	9-54	9-51	9-47	9-43	9-39	—0-75	
Long.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	Long.
60°	1 59	2 4	2 8	2 13	2 18	2 23	2 28	2 33	2 39	2 44	2 49	2 55	—0 22	300°
61	1 59	2 3	2 8	2 13	2 18	2 23	2 28	2 33	2 39	2 44	2 50	2 55	0 22	299
62	1 59	2 3	2 8	2 13	2 18	2 23	2 28	2 33	2 39	2 44	2 50	2 56	0 21	298
63	1 59	2 3	2 8	2 13	2 18	2 23	2 28	2 34	2 39	2 45	2 50	2 56	0 20	297
64	1 58	2 3	2 8	2 13	2 18	2 23	2 28	2 34	2 39	2 45	2 50	2 56	0 19	296
65	1 58	2 3	2 8	2 13	2 18	2 23	2 28	2 34	2 39	2 45	2 50	2 56	—0 19	295
66	1 58	2 3	2 8	2 13	2 18	2 23	2 28	2 34	2 39	2 45	2 50	2 56	0 18	294
67	1 58	2 3	2 8	2 13	2 18	2 23	2 28	2 34	2 39	2 45	2 50	2 57	0 17	293
68	1 58	2 3	2 8	2 12	2 17	2 23	2 28	2 34	2 39	2 45	2 50	2 57	0 16	292
69	1 58	2 3	2 8	2 12	2 17	2 23	2 28	2 34	2 39	2 45	2 51	2 57	0 16	291
70	1 57	2 2	2 7	2 12	2 17	2 23	2 28	2 34	2 40	2 45	2 51	2 57	—0 15	290
71	1 57	2 2	2 7	2 12	2 17	2 23	2 28	2 34	2 40	2 46	2 51	2 57	0 14	289
72	1 57	2 2	2 7	2 12	2 17	2 23	2 28	2 34	2 40	2 46	2 51	2 58	0 13	288
73	1 57	2 2	2 7	2 12	2 17	2 23	2 28	2 34	2 40	2 46	2 51	2 58	0 13	287
74	1 57	2 2	2 7	2 12	2 17	2 23	2 28	2 34	2 40	2 46	2 51	2 58	0 12	286
75	1 57	2 2	2 7	2 12	2 17	2 23	2 28	2 34	2 40	2 46	2 52	2 58	—0 11	285
76	1 56	2 2	2 7	2 12	2 17	2 23	2 29	2 34	2 40	2 46	2 52	2 59	0 10	284
77	1 56	2 1	2 7	2 12	2 17	2 23	2 29	2 34	2 40	2 46	2 52	2 59	0 10	283
78	1 56	2 1	2 7	2 12	2 17	2 23	2 29	2 34	2 40	2 47	2 52	2 59	0 9	282
79	1 56	2 1	2 7	2 12	2 17	2 23	2 29	2 34	2 40	2 47	2 52	2 59	0 8	281
80	1 56	2 1	2 6	2 12	2 17	2 23	2 29	2 34	2 40	2 47	2 52	2 59	—0 7	280
81	1 56	2 1	2 6	2 12	2 17	2 23	2 29	2 35	2 41	2 47	2 53	3 0	0 7	279
82	1 55	2 1	2 6	2 11	2 17	2 23	2 29	2 35	2 41	2 47	2 53	3 0	0 6	278
83	1 55	2 1	2 6	2 11	2 17	2 23	2 29	2 35	2 41	2 47	2 53	3 0	0 5	277
84	1 55	2 1	2 6	2 11	2 17	2 23	2 29	2 35	2 41	2 47	2 53	3 0	0 4	276
85	1 55	2 0	2 6	2 11	2 17	2 23	2 29	2 35	2 41	2 47	2 53	3 0	—0 4	275
86	1 55	2 0	2 6	2 11	2 17	2 23	2 29	2 35	2 41	2 48	2 53	3 1	0 3	274
87	1 55	2 0	2 6	2 11	2 17	2 23	2 29	2 35	2 41	2 48	2 54	3 1	0 2	273
88	1 55	2 0	2 6	2 11	2 17	2 23	2 29	2 35	2 41	2 48	2 54	3 1	0 1	272
89	1 54	2 0	2 6	2 11	2 17	2 23	2 29	2 35	2 41	2 48	2 54	3 1	0 1	271

TABLE XXVII. (PART D.—*Trop. Long.* $\odot = 90^\circ - 119^\circ, 270^\circ - 241^\circ$.)

Lat.	10°	11°	12°	13°	14°	15°	16°	17°	18°	19°	20°	21°	22°	23°	
Fin.	10-98	11-01	11-03	11-05	11-08	11-10	11-13	11-15	11-18	11-21	11-23	11-26	11-28	11-32	
Long.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	Long.
90°	0 45	0 49	0 54	1 0	1 3	1 8	1 13	1 18	1 23	1 28	1 33	1 38	1 42	1 49	270°
91	0 44	0 48	0 53	0 59	1 2	1 7	1 12	1 17	1 22	1 27	1 32	1 37	1 41	1 48	269
92	0 43	0 47	0 52	0 58	1 1	1 6	1 11	1 16	1 21	1 26	1 31	1 36	1 40	1 47	268
93	0 42	0 46	0 51	0 57	1 0	1 5	1 10	1 15	1 20	1 25	1 30	1 35	1 39	1 45	267
94	0 41	0 45	0 50	0 56	0 59	1 4	1 8	1 14	1 19	1 24	1 28	1 34	1 38	1 43	266
95	0 40	0 44	0 49	0 55	0 58	1 3	1 7	1 13	1 18	1 22	1 27	1 32	1 36	1 42	265
96	0 39	0 43	0 48	0 54	0 57	1 2	1 6	1 12	1 16	1 21	1 26	1 31	1 35	1 41	264
97	0 38	0 42	0 47	0 53	0 56	1 1	1 5	1 10	1 15	1 20	1 25	1 30	1 34	1 39	263
98	0 37	0 41	0 46	0 52	0 55	1 0	1 3	1 9	1 14	1 19	1 23	1 28	1 33	1 38	262
99	0 36	0 40	0 45	0 51	0 54	0 58	1 2	1 8	1 13	1 18	1 22	1 27	1 31	1 37	261
100	0 35	0 39	0 44	0 50	0 53	0 57	1 1	1 7	1 12	1 16	1 21	1 26	1 30	1 35	260
101	0 34	0 38	0 43	0 49	0 52	0 56	1 0	1 6	1 11	1 15	1 20	1 25	1 28	1 34	259
102	0 33	0 37	0 42	0 48	0 51	0 55	0 59	1 5	1 9	1 14	1 19	1 23	1 27	1 33	258
103	0 32	0 36	0 41	0 47	0 50	0 54	0 58	1 3	1 8	1 13	1 17	1 22	1 26	1 31	257
104	0 31	0 35	0 40	0 46	0 48	0 53	0 57	1 2	1 7	1 12	1 16	1 21	1 25	1 30	256
105	0 30	0 34	0 39	0 44	0 47	0 52	0 56	1 1	1 6	1 10	1 15	1 20	1 24	1 29	255
106	0 29	0 33	0 38	0 43	0 46	0 51	0 54	1 0	1 5	1 9	1 14	1 18	1 22	1 27	254
107	0 28	0 32	0 37	0 42	0 45	0 49	0 53	0 59	1 4	1 8	1 12	1 17	1 21	1 26	253
108	0 27	0 31	0 36	0 41	0 44	0 48	0 52	0 58	1 2	1 7	1 11	1 16	1 20	1 25	252
109	0 26	0 30	0 35	0 40	0 43	0 47	0 51	0 57	1 1	1 5	1 10	1 15	1 18	1 24	251
110	0 25	0 29	0 34	0 39	0 42	0 46	0 50	0 55	1 0	1 4	1 9	1 13	1 17	1 22	250
111	0 24	0 28	0 32	0 38	0 41	0 45	0 49	0 54	0 59	1 3	1 7	1 12	1 16	1 21	249
112	0 23	0 27	0 31	0 37	0 40	0 44	0 48	0 53	0 58	1 2	1 6	1 11	1 15	1 20	248
113	0 22	0 26	0 30	0 36	0 39	0 43	0 47	0 52	0 56	1 1	1 5	1 10	1 13	1 18	247
114	0 21	0 25	0 29	0 35	0 38	0 42	0 45	0 51	0 55	0 59	1 4	1 9	1 12	1 17	246
115	0 20	0 24	0 28	0 34	0 37	0 41	0 44	0 50	0 54	0 58	1 3	1 7	1 11	1 16	245
116	0 19	0 23	0 27	0 33	0 36	0 39	0 43	0 49	0 53	0 57	1 1	1 6	1 10	1 14	244
117	0 18	0 22	0 26	0 32	0 35	0 38	0 42	0 47	0 52	0 56	1 0	1 5	1 8	1 13	243
118	0 17	0 21	0 25	0 31	0 34	0 37	0 41	0 46	0 51	0 55	0 59	1 3	1 7	1 12	242
119	0 16	0 20	0 24	0 29	0 33	0 36	0 40	0 45	0 49	0 53	0 58	1 2	1 6	1 10	241

PART D,—continued.

Lat.	24°	25°	26°	27°	28°	29°	30°	31°	32°	33°	34°	35°	0°	
Fin.	11-34	11-37	11-40	11-43	11-46	11-50	11-53	11-56	11-60	11-63	11-67	11-71	-0-75	
Long.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	Long.
90°	1 54	2 0	2 5	2 11	2 16	2 23	2 29	2 35	2 41	2 48	2 54	3 1	+0 0	270°
91	1 53	1 59	2 4	2 10	2 15	2 21	2 27	2 34	2 40	2 46	2 54	3 0	0 1	269
92	1 52	1 57	2 2	2 8	2 14	2 20	2 26	2 32	2 38	2 45	2 53	2 58	0 1	268
93	1 51	1 56	2 1	2 7	2 12	2 18	2 24	2 30	2 37	2 43	2 51	2 56	0 2	267
94	1 50	1 54	2 0	2 5	2 11	2 17	2 23	2 29	2 35	2 42	2 50	2 55	0 3	266
95	1 48	1 53	1 58	2 4	2 9	2 15	2 21	2 27	2 33	2 40	2 48	2 53	+0 4	265
96	1 47	1 52	1 57	2 2	2 8	2 14	2 20	2 26	2 32	2 38	2 46	2 51	0 4	264
97	1 46	1 50	1 55	2 0	2 6	2 12	2 18	2 24	2 30	2 37	2 45	2 49	0 5	263
98	1 44	1 49	1 54	1 59	2 5	2 11	2 17	2 23	2 29	2 35	2 43	2 48	0 6	262
99	1 43	1 48	1 53	1 58	2 3	2 9	2 15	2 21	2 27	2 33	2 41	2 46	0 7	261
100	1 42	1 46	1 51	1 57	2 2	2 8	2 13	2 19	2 26	2 32	2 40	2 44	+0 7	260
101	1 40	1 45	1 50	1 55	2 0	2 6	2 12	2 18	2 24	2 30	2 38	2 43	0 8	259
102	1 39	1 43	1 48	1 54	1 59	2 5	2 10	2 16	2 22	2 29	2 37	2 41	0 9	258
103	1 38	1 42	1 47	1 52	1 57	2 3	2 9	2 15	2 21	2 27	2 35	2 39	0 10	257
104	1 36	1 41	1 46	1 51	1 56	2 2	2 7	2 13	2 19	2 25	2 33	2 38	0 10	256
105	1 35	1 39	1 44	1 49	1 55	2 0	2 6	2 12	2 18	2 24	2 31	2 36	+0 11	255
106	1 34	1 38	1 43	1 48	1 53	1 59	2 4	2 10	2 16	2 22	2 28	2 34	0 12	254
107	1 32	1 37	1 41	1 47	1 52	1 57	2 3	2 9	2 14	2 20	2 26	2 32	0 13	253
108	1 31	1 35	1 40	1 45	1 50	1 56	2 1	2 7	2 13	2 19	2 25	2 31	0 13	252
109	1 30	1 34	1 39	1 44	1 49	1 54	2 0	2 5	2 11	2 17	2 23	2 29	0 14	251
110	1 28	1 33	1 37	1 42	1 47	1 53	1 58	2 4	2 10	2 15	2 21	2 27	+0 15	250
111	1 27	1 31	1 36	1 41	1 46	1 51	1 57	2 2	2 8	2 14	2 20	2 26	0 16	249
112	1 26	1 30	1 34	1 39	1 44	1 50	1 55	2 1	2 6	2 12	2 18	2 24	0 16	248
113	1 24	1 28	1 33	1 38	1 43	1 48	1 54	1 59	2 5	2 11	2 16	2 22	0 17	247
114	1 22	1 27	1 32	1 37	1 41	1 47	1 52	1 58	2 3	2 9	2 15	2 20	0 18	246
115	1 21	1 26	1 30	1 35	1 40	1 45	1 51	1 56	2 1	2 7	2 13	2 18	+0 19	245
116	1 19	1 24	1 29	1 34	1 38	1 44	1 49	1 55	2 0	2 6	2 12	2 17	0 19	244
117	1 18	1 23	1 27	1 32	1 37	1 42	1 48	1 53	1 58	2 4	2 10	2 15	0 20	243
118	1 17	1 21	1 26	1 31	1 36	1 41	1 46	1 51	1 57	2 2	2 8	2 14	0 21	242
119	1 15	1 20	1 25	1 29	1 34	1 39	1 45	1 50	1 55	2 1	2 6	2 12	0 22	241

TABLE XXVII. (PART E—*Trop. Long. $\odot=180^{\circ}-149^{\circ}; 240^{\circ}-211^{\circ}$*)

Lat.	10°	11°	12°	13°	14°	15°	16°	17°	18°	19°	20°	21°	22°	23°	
Vin.	10-54	10-60	10-66	10-71	10-78	10-84	10-91	10-97	11-03	11-09	11-16	11-22	11-29	11-36	
Long.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	Long.
120°	0 15	0 19	0 23	0 29	0 31	0 35	0 39	0 44	0 48	0 52	0 56	1 1	1 4	1 9	240°
121	0 15	0 18	0 22	0 28	0 30	0 35	0 39	0 43	0 47	0 51	0 55	0 59	1 3	1 8	239
122	0 15	0 17	0 22	0 27	0 29	0 34	0 38	0 42	0 46	0 50	0 54	0 58	1 1	1 7	238
123	0 14	0 17	0 21	0 27	0 28	0 33	0 37	0 41	0 45	0 49	0 53	0 57	1 0	1 5	237
124	0 13	0 16	0 20	0 26	0 28	0 32	0 36	0 40	0 44	0 48	0 52	0 56	0 59	1 4	236
125	0 13	0 15	0 20	0 25	0 27	0 31	0 35	0 39	0 43	0 46	0 50	0 54	0 58	1 3	235
126	0 12	0 15	0 19	0 24	0 27	0 30	0 34	0 38	0 42	0 45	0 49	0 53	0 56	1 1	234
127	0 12	0 14	0 19	0 24	0 26	0 30	0 33	0 37	0 41	0 44	0 48	0 52	0 55	1 0	233
128	0 11	0 14	0 18	0 23	0 25	0 29	0 32	0 36	0 40	0 43	0 47	0 51	0 54	0 59	232
129	0 11	0 13	0 17	0 22	0 24	0 28	0 31	0 35	0 39	0 42	0 46	0 50	0 52	0 57	231
130	0 10	0 12	0 17	0 21	0 23	0 27	0 30	0 34	0 38	0 41	0 45	0 48	0 51	0 56	230
131	0 9	0 12	0 16	0 20	0 23	0 26	0 30	0 33	0 37	0 40	0 43	0 47	0 50	0 54	229
132	0 9	0 11	0 15	0 20	0 22	0 25	0 29	0 32	0 36	0 39	0 42	0 46	0 48	0 53	228
133	0 8	0 11	0 15	0 19	0 21	0 24	0 28	0 31	0 35	0 38	0 41	0 45	0 47	0 52	227
134	0 8	0 10	0 14	0 19	0 20	0 24	0 27	0 30	0 33	0 37	0 40	0 43	0 46	0 50	226
135	0 7	0 9	0 13	0 18	0 20	0 23	0 26	0 29	0 32	0 36	0 39	0 42	0 45	0 49	225
136	0 7	0 9	0 13	0 17	0 19	0 22	0 25	0 28	0 31	0 34	0 38	0 41	0 43	0 48	224
137	0 6	0 8	0 12	0 17	0 18	0 21	0 24	0 27	0 30	0 33	0 36	0 40	0 42	0 46	223
138	0 6	0 8	0 12	0 16	0 17	0 20	0 23	0 26	0 29	0 32	0 35	0 39	0 41	0 45	222
139	0 5	0 7	0 11	0 15	0 16	0 20	0 22	0 25	0 28	0 31	0 34	0 37	0 40	0 44	221
140	0 5	0 6	0 10	0 14	0 15	0 19	0 21	0 24	0 27	0 30	0 33	0 36	0 38	0 42	220
141	0 4	0 6	0 10	0 14	0 15	0 18	0 20	0 23	0 26	0 29	0 32	0 35	0 37	0 41	219
142	0 4	0 5	0 9	0 13	0 14	0 17	0 20	0 23	0 25	0 28	0 31	0 34	0 36	0 40	218
143	0 3	0 5	0 8	0 12	0 14	0 16	0 19	0 22	0 24	0 27	0 29	0 32	0 34	0 38	217
144	0 2	0 4	0 8	0 12	0 13	0 15	0 18	0 21	0 23	0 26	0 28	0 31	0 33	0 37	216
145	0 2	0 3	0 7	0 11	0 12	0 14	0 17	0 20	0 22	0 25	0 27	0 30	0 31	0 35	215
146	0 1	0 3	0 6	0 10	0 11	0 14	0 16	0 19	0 21	0 24	0 26	0 29	0 30	0 34	214
147	0 1	0 2	0 6	0 10	0 10	0 13	0 15	0 18	0 20	0 22	0 24	0 28	0 29	0 33	213
148	0 0	0 2	0 5	0 9	0 9	0 12	0 14	0 17	0 19	0 21	0 23	0 26	0 28	0 31	212
149	0 0	0 1	0 4	0 8	0 9	0 11	0 13	0 16	0 18	0 20	0 22	0 25	0 27	0 30	211

PART E₁—continued.

Lat.	24°	25°	26°	27°	28°	29°	30°	31°	32°	33°	34°	35°	36°	37°	0°	
Vin.	11-43	11-50	11-57	11-63	11-71	11-78	11-86	11-95	12-03	12-11	12-18	12-27	12-36	+0-03		
Long.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	gh. v.	Long.	
120°	1 14	1 19	1 23	1 28	1 33	1 37	1 43	1 48	1 54	1 59	2 1	2 10	+0-22	240°		
121	1 13	1 17	1 22	1 26	1 31	1 36	1 41	1 47	1 52	1 57	2 2	2 8	0-22	239		
122	1 12	1 16	1 20	1 25	1 29	1 34	1 39	1 45	1 50	1 55	2 0	2 6	0-22	238		
123	1 10	1 15	1 19	1 23	1 28	1 32	1 37	1 43	1 48	1 53	1 58	2 3	0-22	237		
124	1 9	1 13	1 17	1 21	1 26	1 31	1 36	1 41	1 46	1 51	1 56	2 1	0-22	236		
125	1 7	1 12	1 15	1 20	1 24	1 29	1 34	1 39	1 44	1 49	1 54	1 59	+0-22	235		
126	1 6	1 10	1 14	1 18	1 22	1 27	1 32	1 37	1 41	1 47	1 51	1 56	0-22	234		
127	1 5	1 9	1 12	1 16	1 21	1 25	1 30	1 35	1 39	1 45	1 49	1 54	0-22	233		
128	1 3	1 7	1 11	1 15	1 19	1 24	1 28	1 33	1 37	1 42	1 47	1 52	0-22	232		
129	1 2	1 6	1 9	1 13	1 17	1 22	1 26	1 31	1 35	1 40	1 45	1 50	0-22	231		
130	1 0	1 4	1 8	1 12	1 16	1 20	1 24	1 29	1 33	1 38	1 43	1 47	+0-22	230		
131	0 59	1 3	1 6	1 10	1 14	1 18	1 23	1 27	1 31	1 36	1 40	1 45	0-22	229		
132	0 57	1 1	1 4	1 8	1 12	1 16	1 21	1 25	1 29	1 34	1 38	1 43	0-22	228		
133	0 56	1 0	1 3	1 7	1 11	1 15	1 19	1 23	1 27	1 32	1 36	1 41	0-22	227		
134	0 55	0 58	1 1	1 5	1 9	1 13	1 17	1 22	1 25	1 30	1 34	1 38	0-22	226		
135	0 53	0 57	1 0	1 3	1 7	1 11	1 15	1 20	1 23	1 28	1 32	1 36	+0-22	225		
136	0 52	0 55	0 58	1 2	1 5	1 9	1 13	1 18	1 21	1 26	1 30	1 34	0-22	224		
137	0 50	0 54	0 57	1 0	1 4	1 8	1 11	1 15	1 19	1 28	1 27	1 32	0-22	223		
138	0 49	0 52	0 55	0 59	1 2	1 6	1 9	1 14	1 17	1 21	1 25	1 29	0-22	222		
139	0 47	0 51	0 53	0 57	1 0	1 4	1 8	1 12	1 15	1 19	1 23	1 27	0-22	221		
140	0 46	0 49	0 52	0 55	0 59	1 2	1 6	1 10	1 13	1 17	1 21	1 25	+0-22	220		
141	0 45	0 48	0 50	0 54	0 57	1 0	1 4	1 8	1 11	1 15	1 19	1 22	0-22	219		
142	0 43	0 46	0 49	0 52	0 55	0 59	1 2	1 6	1 9	1 13	1 16	1 20	0-22	218		
143	0 42	0 45	0 47	0 50	0 53	0 57	1 0	1 4	1 7	1 11	1 14	1 18	0-22	217		
144	0 40	0 43	0 46	0 49	0 52	0 55	0 58	1 2	1 5	1 9	1 12	1 16	0-22	216		
145	0 39	0 42	0 44	0 47	0 50	0 53	0 56	1 0	1 3	1 7	1 10	1 13	+0-22	215		
146	0 37	0 40	0 42	0 46	0 48	0 51	0 55	0 58	1 1	1 4	1 8	1 11	0-22	214		
147	0 36	0 39	0 41	0 44	0 47	0 49	0 53	0 56	0 59	1 2	1 6	1 9	0-22	213		
148	0 34	0 37	0 39	0 42	0 45	0 48	0 50	0 54	0 57	1 0	1 3	1 7	0-22	212		
149	0 33	0 36	0 38	0 41	0 43	0 46	0 49	0 52	0 55	0 58	1 1	1 4	0-22	211		

TABLE XXVII. (PART F.—*Trop. Long.* $\odot = 160^{\circ} - 180^{\circ}, 210^{\circ} - 180^{\circ}$.)

Lat.	10°	11°	12°	13°	14°	15°	16°	17°	18°	19°	20°	21°	22°	23°	
<i>Time.</i>	10-00	10-05	10-12	10-18	10-27	10-34	10-41	10-49	10-57	10-64	10-72	10-80	10-88	10-96	
Long.	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	Long.
150°	0 0	0 0	0 3	0 6	0 8	0 10	0 12	0 15	0 17	0 19	0 21	0 24	0 26	0 29	210°
151	0 0	0 0	0 3	0 6	0 8	0 10	0 12	0 14	0 17	0 19	0 21	0 23	0 26	0 28	209
152	0 0	0 0	0 3	0 5	0 8	0 10	0 11	0 13	0 16	0 18	0 20	0 22	0 25	0 27	208
153	0 0	0 0	0 3	0 5	0 7	0 9	0 11	0 13	0 16	0 17	0 19	0 22	0 24	0 26	207
154	0 0	0 0	0 3	0 5	0 7	0 9	0 11	0 12	0 15	0 17	0 19	0 21	0 23	0 25	206
155	0 0	0 0	0 3	0 5	0 7	0 8	0 10	0 12	0 14	0 16	0 18	0 20	0 22	0 24	205
156	0 0	0 0	0 3	0 5	0 6	0 8	0 10	0 12	0 14	0 15	0 17	0 19	0 21	0 23	204
157	0 0	0 0	0 3	0 4	0 6	0 8	0 9	0 11	0 13	0 15	0 17	0 18	0 20	0 22	203
158	0 0	0 0	0 3	0 4	0 6	0 7	0 9	0 11	0 13	0 14	0 16	0 18	0 19	0 21	202
159	0 0	0 0	0 3	0 4	0 6	0 7	0 9	0 10	0 12	0 13	0 15	0 17	0 18	0 20	201
160	0 0	0 0	0 2	0 4	0 5	0 7	0 8	0 10	0 11	0 13	0 14	0 16	0 18	0 19	200
161	0 0	0 0	0 2	0 4	0 5	0 6	0 8	0 9	0 11	0 12	0 14	0 15	0 17	0 18	199
162	0 0	0 0	0 2	0 3	0 5	0 6	0 7	0 9	0 10	0 12	0 13	0 14	0 16	0 17	198
163	0 0	0 0	0 2	0 3	0 5	0 6	0 7	0 8	0 10	0 11	0 12	0 14	0 15	0 16	197
164	0 0	0 0	0 2	0 3	0 4	0 5	0 7	0 8	0 9	0 10	0 12	0 13	0 14	0 15	196
165	0 0	0 0	0 2	0 3	0 4	0 5	0 6	0 7	0 9	0 10	0 11	0 12	0 13	0 14	195
166	0 0	0 0	0 2	0 3	0 4	0 5	0 6	0 7	0 8	0 9	0 10	0 11	0 12	0 13	194
167	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 2	0 4	0 4	0 5	0 6	0 7	0 8	0 9	0 10	0 11	0 12	193
168	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 2	0 3	0 4	0 5	0 6	0 7	0 8	0 9	0 10	0 11	0 12	192
169	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 2	0 3	0 4	0 5	0 5	0 6	0 7	0 8	0 9	0 10	0 11	191
170	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 2	0 3	0 3	0 4	0 5	0 6	0 6	0 7	0 8	0 9	0 10	190
171	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 2	0 3	0 3	0 4	0 4	0 5	0 6	0 6	0 7	0 8	0 9	189
172	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 2	0 2	0 3	0 3	0 4	0 5	0 5	0 6	0 6	0 7	0 8	188
173	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 1	0 2	0 2	0 3	0 3	0 4	0 4	0 5	0 6	0 6	0 7	187
174	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 1	0 2	0 2	0 2	0 3	0 3	0 4	0 4	0 5	0 5	0 6	186
175	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 1	0 1	0 1	0 2	0 2	0 3	0 3	0 4	0 4	0 4	0 5	185
176	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 1	0 1	0 1	0 2	0 2	0 3	0 3	0 3	0 4	0 4	0 4	184
177	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 1	0 1	0 1	0 1	0 2	0 2	0 2	0 3	0 3	0 3	0 3	183
178	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 1	0 1	0 1	0 1	0 1	0 1	0 2	0 2	0 2	182
179	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 1	0 1	0 1	0 1	0 1	181
180	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	180

PART F.—continued.

Lat.	24°	25°	26°	27°	28°	29°	30°	31°	32°	33°	34°	35°	0°	
<i>Time.</i>	11-04	11-13	11-21	11-30	11-39	11-48	11-57	11-66	11-76	11-87	11-97	12-07	+0-73	
Long.	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	<i>gh. v.</i>	Long.
150°	0 31	0 34	0 36	0 39	0 41	0 44	0 47	0 50	0 53	0 56	0 59	1 2	+0 25	210°
151	0 30	0 33	0 35	0 38	0 40	0 42	0 46	0 48	0 51	0 54	0 57	1 0	0 21	209
152	0 29	0 32	0 34	0 36	0 39	0 41	0 44	0 46	0 49	0 52	0 55	0 58	0 21	208
153	0 28	0 31	0 33	0 35	0 38	0 40	0 42	0 45	0 48	0 50	0 53	0 56	0 20	207
154	0 27	0 29	0 31	0 34	0 36	0 38	0 41	0 43	0 46	0 49	0 51	0 54	0 19	206
155	0 26	0 28	0 30	0 32	0 35	0 37	0 39	0 41	0 44	0 47	0 49	0 52	+0 18	205
156	0 25	0 27	0 29	0 31	0 33	0 36	0 38	0 40	0 42	0 45	0 47	0 50	0 18	204
157	0 24	0 26	0 28	0 30	0 32	0 34	0 36	0 38	0 40	0 43	0 45	0 48	0 17	203
158	0 23	0 25	0 27	0 29	0 31	0 33	0 35	0 37	0 39	0 41	0 43	0 46	0 16	202
159	0 22	0 24	0 25	0 27	0 29	0 31	0 33	0 35	0 37	0 39	0 41	0 43	0 16	201
160	0 21	0 23	0 24	0 26	0 28	0 30	0 31	0 33	0 35	0 37	0 39	0 41	+0 15	200
161	0 19	0 21	0 23	0 25	0 26	0 28	0 30	0 32	0 33	0 36	0 37	0 39	0 14	199
162	0 18	0 20	0 22	0 23	0 25	0 27	0 28	0 30	0 32	0 34	0 35	0 37	0 13	198
163	0 17	0 19	0 21	0 22	0 24	0 25	0 27	0 28	0 30	0 32	0 33	0 35	0 13	197
164	0 16	0 18	0 19	0 21	0 22	0 24	0 25	0 27	0 28	0 30	0 32	0 33	0 12	196
165	0 15	0 17	0 18	0 19	0 21	0 22	0 24	0 25	0 26	0 28	0 30	0 31	+0 11	195
166	0 14	0 16	0 17	0 18	0 19	0 21	0 22	0 23	0 25	0 26	0 28	0 29	0 10	194
167	0 13	0 15	0 16	0 17	0 18	0 19	0 20	0 22	0 23	0 24	0 26	0 27	0 10	193
168	0 12	0 14	0 15	0 16	0 17	0 18	0 19	0 20	0 21	0 22	0 24	0 25	0 9	192
169	0 11	0 12	0 13	0 14	0 15	0 16	0 17	0 18	0 19	0 21	0 22	0 23	0 8	191
170	0 10	0 11	0 12	0 13	0 14	0 15	0 16	0 17	0 18	0 19	0 20	0 21	+0 7	190
171	0 9	0 10	0 11	0 12	0 13	0 13	0 14	0 15	0 16	0 17	0 20	0 19	0 7	189
172	0 8	0 9	0 10	0 10	0 11	0 12	0 13	0 13	0 14	0 15	0 26	0 17	0 6	188
173	0 7	0 8	0 8	0 9	0 10	0 10	0 11	0 12	0 12	0 13	0 24	0 14	0 5	187
174	0 6	0 7	0 7	0 8	0 8	0 9	0 9	0 10	0 11	0 11	0 22	0 12	0 4	186
175	0 5	0 6	0 6	0 6	0 7	0 7	0 8	0 8	0 9	0 9	0 10	0 10	+0 4	185
176	0 4	0 5	0 5	0 5	0 6	0 6	0 6	0 7	0 7	0 7	0 8	0 8	0 3	184
177	0 3	0 3	0 4	0 4	0 4	0 4	0 5	0 5	0 5	0 6	0 6	0 6	0 2	183
178	0 2	0 2	0 2	0 3	0 3	0 3	0 3	0 3	0 4	0 4	0 4	0 4	0 1	182
179	0 1	0 1	0 1	0 1	0 1	0 1	0 1	0 2	0 2	0 2	0 2	0 2	0 1	181
180	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	180

TABLE XXVIII.—*The Ayanāmsa for centuries of the Kali Yuga and for odd years.**

K.Y.	Ayanāmsa.	Years.	Ayanāmsa.
3600	0° 0'	1	0° 0' 54"
3700	1 30	2	0 1 48
3800	3 0	3	0 2 42
3900	4 30	4	0 3 36
		5	0 4 30
4000	6 0	6	0 5 24
4100	7 30	7	0 6 18
4200	9 0	8	0 7 12
4300	10 30	9	0 8 6
4400	12 0	10	0 9 0
4500	13 30	20	0 18 0
4600	15 0	30	0 27 0
4700	16 30	40	0 36 0
4800	18 0	50	0 45 0
4900	19 30	60	0 54 0
		70	1 3 0
5000	21 0	80	1 12 0
...	...	90	1 21 0

TABLE XXIX.—*The Ayanāmsa according to the Siddhānta Siromani.*

K.Y.	Ayanāmsa.	Years.	Ayanāmsa.
3628	0° 0' 0"	1	0° 1' 0"
3700	1 11 32	2	0 2 0
3800	2 51 22	3	0 3 0
3900	4 31 12	4	0 4 0
		5	0 5 0
4000	6 11 2	6	0 5 59
4100	7 50 52	7	0 6 59
4200	9 30 42	8	0 7 59
4300	11 10 32	9	0 8 59
4400	12 50 22	10	0 9 59
4500	14 30 12	20	0 19 58
4600	16 10 2	30	0 29 57
4700	17 49 52	40	0 39 56
4800	19 29 42	50	0 49 55
4900	21 9 32	60	0 59 54
		70	1 9 53
5000	22 48 22	80	1 19 52
...	...	90	1 29 51

* Before A.Y. 3600 the Ayanāmsa are negative; but they were probably not yet known at that time.

INDEX.

	PAGE		PAGE
Aba, Abeyaka, a village	96, 115	Alberuni	304
Abā-Bakr Jalwānī	432	Alhazadevi, Chedi queen, her Bhera-śhat inscription	
Abd al-Samad	133—135	" " " " " " "	7-9, 10
Abeyaka, Abay, village	96, 115	" " " " " " "	
Abeyaka Sheth	98, 101	" " " " " " "	
Abhay dhvansūri	36	" " " " " " "	304
Abhimanyu, n. Kuchchhapaghāta place	234	'Alī Dost Khān Bihārī	112
abhishēka, inauguration ceremony	6n.	Alīkandara = Alexander of Epirus	471
Abu'l Fath, Shaikh	433	'Alī Khān Afghan	141
Abu'l Fazl	146	Ālūsaka, pr. n.	327
Abu'l Ma'ālī	130, 143	Ālata, pr. n.	117
Achala, nnn	37, 385	Alphabet of Bhāṭṭiprola inscriptions	335f.
Achhāvāḍa, Achhāvāṭa, place-name	106, 111, 115, 378	alana	358
Achhāvāṭī, nnn	p.	Anagā, fem. n.	386
Addai śākhā of Ośvala	41	Āmānta, scheme of months in dates	221
Adhapra, pl. n.	112	Āmarāja	40
adhikārikā, an office	344	Amatī, fem. n.	30
Adhichhatra kings	243	Amareśbhāī sūri	39
Ādmātha (tithamkara)	78, 81, 83	ambhāyāḍī	258, 259
Ādisumbha, a king of Magadha	344	Ambarasena, pr. n.	205
Āditya bhogika	19	Ambarasavān's Chaturva	320
Ādityasama	204	Ambara devī	37
Advaitasata, a poem by Ganesha	332	Amelala gach	34, 36, 39, 75, 79, 83, 68
adgit/homa	484, 485	Anāhira (see Anāhira)	471
Agideva, a female's name	371	Amoghavarsha III. Kāśhapakāka	168, 172
Agidolavā, personal name	95	Amohini, fem. n.	190
Agila, pr. n.	112	Amrapasaka, Guhila prince	408n.
Agromā, pr. n.	97, 101	Amrī, an Antigonos Gordae	471
Agrosvāmin, author	23	Amrīyok = Amrīyos II	195, 471
Agrotaka family, Jaina	244	Aradhāpura, t	41, 86, 394, 437
Ahamadāvad (Ahmadābād)	41, 84	Aranda Vāsurajata	88
Ahalya (Halya) family	229	Arandakata, pr. n.	39
Aharā, pr. n.	33, 3	Arandasāgarasara	39
Ahmad Sultān of Gujrat	27, 4, 1	Arandavmalasara	38
Ahmad Shah Durani	136	Aranta, country	118
Ahmad Shah, emperor of Delhi	473	Ar-chala gachhaka Pattāchalī ol	39
ahmī	473	" " " " " " "	34, 36, 78, 79
Āhuk, pr. n.	483	Archa king dedicated by the Cheli Yasahkara	2, 7
Ajāmera (Ajmir)	41, 80, 84	Anga country	193
Ajanāva, pl. n.	36	" " " " " " "	400n.
Ajarānī, fem. n.	363, 378	Anga people	400n.
Ajrayapāla, k. of Barānā	275, 276	Anbīlādh (Anbīlāpura), Bhīmādeva I, of	304
Ajavasimhadeva, Chedi prince	34	" " " " " " "	437, 438
Ajitamāna, pr. n.	341	Anagā, town	170
Ajitanātha Jina	85	Antarajāta, vill.	175
Ajīṭhāna, pr. n.	191, 308, 395n	Antarapra, pl. n.	41
Ajivikas	272, 274, 323	Antarvedi Dohā	240
Ajodhan, Dhapāpur, vill.	427	Arachala, pr. n.	327
Akbar, Moghal emp. 37, 38, 77, 86, 130-132, 137, 142, 146-149,	265n, 280	apahatī	238
Akbarābād, t.	472	Apaka, pr. n.	327
Ālādeva, pr. n.	18, 19	Apākānī, fem. n.	379
Alaqlr, emperor, see Aurangzeb	435, 436	āpāna	274
		Apāhaka, pr. n.	107
		Araba, -dāta, -dina, -dāsa, &c. pr. nu.,	95, 101, 103, 106, 107,
			329, 381
		Arabadinā, pr. n.	383

	PAGE
Arabaguta, -gutā, pr. n.	89, 99, 100, 382, 383, 397
Arabaka, monk	377, 398
Arabatapālita, pr. n.	377
Arabatarakhita, pr. n.	392
Arjunaji (Gedā)	25, 30
Arakkhādikāte, Arakkhiya	484, 486
Arāpāna, Arāpāna, Arapana, t.	103, 105, 107, 115, 369, 379, 400
ardtinishādāna, a bird	215
Arbuda, mount Ābū	181
Arihadatā, fem. n.	389
Arisimha, Gubila prince of Mewād	409
Arishṭanemi, Jina	202
Arjuna-Sahasārjuna	9, 14
„ a Kachohbhaghāta prince	234
Arjunavarman of Gujārāt	439
Arjunopdhikā, vill.	216
Arnorāja of Śākambharī	423
Arunā, river	217
Arya-Hāṭṭakiya <i>kula</i> of Jainas	201
Aryanyista <i>kula</i> of Jainas	198
Āryarakshita-sūri	39
Āsabbā, nun	379
Āśā, pr. n.	95, 105
Āśadvā, nun	400
Āśadeva, nun	398
Āśāḥasena, pr. n.	242, 243
Asaguta, pr. n.	379
Āśāpuri devī	83
asavdra	94
‘Ashiq Muḥammad (Mīr)	428
Ashraf (Sayid)	285, 286
ashāpada—symbol	86
Āśika, pr. n.	276
Āsmand, vill.	431
Āśoka, edict of, at Sāñchi	871, 366, 367
„ pillar edicts	245ff.
„ rock edicts at Gīrnār, Shāhbāzgarhī, Kālet and Mansehra	417ff.
Āstaka (?) a surname	18, 19
Āśvadevā, fem. n.	100, 374
Āśvarakhitā, fem. n.	380
Āśvavati, vill.	107, 115, 368, 379
Āśvina, first month of the year	299
Āṭha, pr. n.	98
aṭhamipakhdyo	266
Āṭhakacagara, pl. n.	378
Ātri, son of Brahman; the moon sprang from his eye	5
Āṭakur or Āṭakur inscription of the time of Kṛishṇa III. dated Ś.-S. 872,	167ff.
Aurangzeb (‘Alauḡir) emp.	139, 148, 149, 162, 164, 473, 474, 476
Autareśvara, a worshipper of Uttareśvara (Śiva)	127n.
avalagaka, avalagana,	343
Āvalladevī, Hāpa princess, md. the Chedi Karpadeva,	2, 6, 304
Avanī, man, Chalukya chief, father of Nohalā	304
Āśaika, pr. n.	399
Avasani	94
Avisinā, pr. n.	105
Aya, pr. n.	396, 398
ayāgapata	200, 311, 313, 314
āyandhas	38
Ayavati—Āryavati	169
Ayodhyā, t.	344

	PAGE
Ay, abhyista <i>kula</i>	209
Ayyana I., W. Chalukya k.	171
‘Azam Khān Mīr Muḥammad Bāqir Irādāt Khān	290

B

Bābar, emperor	138, 141, 144, 148, 473-475, 480
Badal pillar inscription of the time of Nārāyanapāla, edited	160f.
Bādā <i>viskhava</i>	348, 357, 358
Badhaka, Badhika monk	388, 398, 400
Bāgudage or Bāgudige <i>naḡ</i> Bāgenād dist.	168, 170, 174
Bagumrā, vill.	23
Bahadata, pr. n.	95, 100
Bahādur Sulṭān of Gujārāt	35f.
Bahasatimittā, Brihaspatimittā, king	242, 243
Bahrām Shāh, emp.	137
Bahula, pr. n.	398
Baijnāth <i>Prasasti</i> , Note on,	482
Baladatā, fem. n.	388
Bālaharsha, Chedi king	304
Balaka, pr. n.	89, 95, 390, 397
Balakā, fem. n.	373
Balamittā, pr. n.	95, 106
Bālasimha, pr. n.	8, 17
Balātākragana	40
Balatiāta, Balatratra, Aryya, pr. n.	197, 208
Balban, Abū’l Muzaḡffar, emp.	138, 139, 158
Balikā, fem. n.	376, 381
Bāluchara	42, 79, 81
Bamhadāśia <i>kula</i> , of Jainas	210
Banava, pr. n.	327
Banavase, dist.	168, 169, 171
Bappabhaṭṭi	40
Baradā <i>khetrapāla</i>	83
Baranṭaq (Mīr)	428
Bārbak Shāh, k. of Bengal	284
Barulamisāna (?) <i>goṭhī</i>	92, 102
Barwāla, t. and Muḥammadan inscriptions	158
Batāla <i>pargana</i> and t.	472, 473, 479, (Watalā) 480
Bayāna, t.	130
Bayyṭ Ibrāhīm (Malik)	292
Bedakara, pl. n.	109
Belatūr, vill.	169, 173
Belvola or Belvala dist.	168, 170, 174
Benares copper-plate inscription of Karpadeva, edited	297f.
Bhābha, son of Ūyābhāṭṭa	438, 439
Bhābha-Lāla, son of Lāla	439
Bhadaguta, pr. n.	375
Bhadaka, pr. n.	101
Bhādanakata, pl. n.	110
Bhādika, pr. n.	377, 389
Bhadranadi, pr. n.	207
Bhadrayāśa, pr. n.	207
Bhāḍu, pr. n.	389
Bhāduka, Aya, monk	108, 384
Bhāgalpur copper-plate of Nārāyanapāla, referred to	161
„ Muḥammadan inscriptions	280f.
Bhāgavata, king	243
Bhagwanpur, vill.	472
Bhāḷika, Maurya chief	223
Bhājikan āśavāmin	22

	PAGE
Bhākamitra, minister of the Chedi Yuvarājadeva I.	174
Bhāmduka, monk 93, 98, 110, 379, 384, 388	368
Bhāmduka, Gotiputa	368
Bhāmmaka-defi	130n.
Bharada, pr. n.	327
Bharadiya	105
Bhāradvāja, the first of the Maga Brāhmins	332
Bhāradvāja <i>gotra</i>	216
Bharapabhūti, monk	401
Bharata, a mythical king	5
Bharhut inscriptions referred to	89, 90
Bhatipda Muhammadan inscriptions	435f.
Bhatipbhava, pr. n.	210
Bhattiprolu Buddhist inscriptions, edited Pref ix, 323f., 368	409
Bhaṭṭa Vishnu, pr. n.	409
Bhāva, vill.	348, 357
Bhāvadeva, pr. n.	211
Bhāvadyota, pr. n.	117, 118
Bhāvaṅgara, t.	42, 78
Bhāvarakta Allata, pr. n.	118
Bhāvasāgara-sūri	39
Bhavasāmin, author	23
Bhera-Ghāt inscription of Alhanadevi, edited	7f.
Bhichhuka, pr. n.	103
Bhichhunkā	401
Bhillama I, Yādava chief	213
Bhillama II, Yādava chief; his Saṃgamner copper-plates edited	212f.
Bhillamāla or Śrīmāla, t.	41
Bhima I, Maurya chief	222
Bhimadeva I, of Anhilvād	304, 439
" II, "	438, 439
Bhima-muni, image of	77
Bhimarāja II, Maurya chief	223
Bhimesvara, a god	7
Bhinmāl, Bhillamāla, t.	41
Bhogavallana, t. 101, 104, 109, 111, 115, 369, 380	19f.
bhogika	22f.
bhogikapāla	22f.
Bhojadeva, Paramāra k. of Mālava 181, 234, 235, 236	304
" k. of Kanauj	471
Bhojas, a people	229
Bhoppingadeva, a chief	344
Bhramaraśālmali, vill.	391
Bhūtarakṣita, pr. n.	344
Bhūtishandaka (P), vill.	40
Bhuvanakīrti	472
Biāh, t.	473
bēgā, land measure	291f.
Bihār Muhammadan inscriptions	118
Bijholi inscription of the Chāhamānas, referred to	303
Bilhana quoted	24
Bilri, vill. in Gujarāt	146
Birbar, rāja	92
Bodhagoṭhi-banddhagochhi	5
Bodhana, son of the Moon and father of Purūrasas	95, 108, 389
Bodhi, a nun	348; 355
Bodhideva, father of Vaidyadeva	401
Bodi, pr. n.	154, 165
Bohar vill., Muhammadan inscriptions at	37
Bobittha race	388
Bohu, pr. n.	304
Bonthadevi, daughter of the Chedi king Lakṣmanarāja, married the W. Chālukya Tailapa	304

	PAGE
Brahmadeva-Haribrahmadeva	230
brahmdnāḥkhaṇḍa	181
brahmapura	175
Brijhat-Kharatara <i>gachchha</i>	77, 80-82, 85
Brijhateamhiṭṭa quoted	6n.
Būba, pr. n.	328
Bubu, fem. n.	209
Buddha, relics of, at Bhāṭtiprolu	327
Buddharāja, Kalachuri k.	32
Buddhi, fem. n.	203
Budhā, fem. n.	373
Budhadeva, pr. n.	349, 356
Budhadevā pr. n.	394
Budhaguta, pr. n.	400
Budharakṣita, monk 104, 368, 388, 397	109, 388
Budharakṣita, nun	400
Budharakṣita, pr. n.	95, 397
Budhapālita, Śheṣh	106, 398, 401
Budhapālita, nun	95, 111, 371
Budhila, pr. n.	139, 433
Buhlāl Lodi, emp.	109
Bulika, pr. n.	380
Bumu, pr. n.	

C

Chadipiya, monk	785
Chadobha, t.	234
Chaghaña, pr. n.	328, 329
Chāhamāna prince, s, from Gūvaka I. to Vignarāja	117
Chahata (P), pl. n.	371
chaityas	313
Chājeda, <i>gotra</i> of the Jains	41
Chakrahādī, vill.	175
Chakrapāni, a poet	332, 333
Challesvara, a god	173
Chamāri, t.	472
Chāmpā, fem. n.	30
Champāranya, a locality	9, 15
Chāmmnda, Chaulukya	439
Chandana, Chāhamāna k.	117
Chandāsiva, pr. n.	118
Chandella king Harshadeva	304
" " Kirtivarman	304
" " Vijayapāla	304
" " princess Natṭā, married by the Chedi Kakkalla I.	304
Chandra, Jaina teacher	38
chandraka, 'the young moon'	14n.
Chāndra-kula of the Jains	38
Chandraprabha, image of	80, 83
Chandrarāja, Chāhamāna k.	117
" Chāhamāna prince	117, 119
chaturāṅga, a complete army	5n.
chāturmāsī	261, 262
chaturmukha bimba	80
Chaturvīṃśati-tīrthamkara-puṭṭa	79, 80
Chaulukya chief Avānivarman	304
" kings	432, 437, 438
Chedi kings, list of them	1, 304
" kings of Tripuri	174
Chedi era, 20, 21; year begins with Aśvina	299
Chedi country, called Pihāla	304

	PAGE
Digambara <i>Paṭṭavali</i>	40
Dihli <i>sūba</i> , Muhamadan inscriptions from	130f., 424f.
Dihli	144
Dilavika district	26
Dilmal, vill. in Gujarāt	24, 26n
Dinā, pr. n.	204, 208, 321
Dipālpur—Ajodhan vill.	427
Dirghasākhiika, vill.	175
Disāgiri, pr. n.	387
<i>dsasā</i> —nymphs of the quarters	372n, 387
Dvārakhiṭa, pr. n.	327
Dvāvāla race	41
Diva-bandira—Diu	42
Dvita (Aryya).	204
Drākṣhārāma in the Godāvari district, Bhīmśvara temple at	7
<i>dramma</i>	130
Drāviḍa, a people	161
Dubbund inscription of the Kachchhapaghāṭa Vikramasimha, edited	232f.
Dudhpani rock inscription of Udayanāna, edited	343f.
Dūgāḍa <i>gotra</i>	41, 79
<i>duḥśādhya</i>	130
Dujjāna (misread 'tujjāna)	21
Dupaśāhā, a nun	377
Durlabharāja, Chāhamāna prince	117
Durlabhasena-sūri, pr. n	236
Dvāravāṭpuravarādhiśvara	216
<i>dvindāmataḥ</i>	128n.

E

Eclipses, solar, in dates	22, 216, 224
Ējāvata, pl. n.	104, 115
Ējāvati, vill.	368, 381
Ēkalīṅga, a name of the god Śiva	409
Ēkanātha, pr. n.	409
Ērakṇa, vill. (Ērāṇ, <i>vide</i> Dhārakṇa)	96
Ērambarage, pl. n.	170
Ēreyapa, Ganga	168, 170, 171, 173

F

Paizuddinpur, vill.	472
Farid, Shaikh	433
<i>farmán</i>	473, 474, 476
Farrukh Siyār, emp.	138, 473, 476
Fath Sháh, k. of Bengal	287, 288
Fathábád, t. in Panjáb	159
Fathábád Muham. inscriptions	424f.
females named at Sāoibi	115, 403, 404, 406
Firoz Khān ibn Ahmad Khān	144
Firoz Muhammad Lutfallāh Afghan	137
Firoz Sháh, emp., 130, 159, (Peroja)	410
„ k. of Mihar	291, 292
forest tribes	471

G.

Gābhāla, vill.	26
Gadā, pr. n., 112; Gadā, a nun	383
Gadag, t. in Dhārwād	170
Gagandata, Gangadatta, pr. n.	378

	PAGE
Gaṇasambhādeva, a Kachchhapagbāta prince	234
<i>gahapati</i>	94
Gajjaṇa, t. (Ghazni)	37
Gandhāra, people	468
Gandhāra, a monk	399
<i>gaṇḍapupūṭaka</i>	258
<i>gana</i> Līṭavāṅga	236
Gaṇḍadeva, Chandolla k.	235
Gaṅga, image	483
Gaṅgādihara, a poet; his Gvīndpur inscription	330
Gaṅgavādī dist.	168-170
Gaṅgeyadeva, also called Vikramāditya, Chedi k. 2, 5, 9, 14,	304
Gaokaran, pl. n.	146
Garga, minister of Dharmapāla	161
Garuḍa, god	319
Gauda country	161, 193, 332, 343, 355
" " , kings of	161
Gaur, Muḥam inscriptions	284f.
Gauradevi, fem. n.	29
Gautama <i>gotra</i>	216
Gayā, the sacred place	409
Gayakadeva, Chedi k.	2, 8, 9, 15, 16, 18, 19
Gedā family	24, 28, 30-32
<i>geḍḍa</i>	268
Geographical or place names at Sāñchi	115, 407
Ghāmghāṇipura, pl. n.	37
<i>ghānaka</i>	423
Ghandhāra	41
Ghastuḥasti (Aryya)	204
Ghaudī (?) country	332
Ghulām Muhammad Qāzi	477
Ghumli, ruined city in Kāthiāwād	312
Grigutā, a nun	108
Girnar Rock edicts of Aśoka	447f.
Gobhila-putra, founder of the Gubila family	9, 15
Godāva, pr. n.	203
Godāvai, a riv.	2, 7
Golā, pr. n.	398
Golakundā—Golkondā	37
Gomati, riv.	323
Gomukha Yaksha, image of	81
Gonamuka, pr. n.	384
Gopādrī, hill	40
Gopāla, Thākura	27
" I., Pāla k.	346
Gopālī Vaidedari, princess	242, 243
Gosaka, pr. n.	382
Gosaladevi, Chedi queen; her Kumbhi copper-plate inscription referred to	1
" " , wife of the Chedi k. Jayasimhadeva	305
Gosālaka fam.	327
Goshaka, pr. n.	207
Gotarī, a nun	383, 399
Gotī race, etc.	98, 102, 201, 384
Gotiputra or Gotiputra	88a, 196
<i>gotra</i> of Bhāradvāja	216
" Chāḍedā (Jaina)	41
" Dūḡada	41, 79
" Goyala	244
" Kāśyapa	41
" Kātyāyana	18, 19
" Kaundinya	216
" Kausika	218, 299, 357

	PAGE		PAGE
Isinadana, pr. n.	380	Jina (Mahāvīra)	232
Isinikā, pr. n.	383	Jina images	241
Isipallā, pr. n.	378	Jinabhadra-sūri	37
Isirakhiṭa, -tā, pr. nn.	104, 107, 380	Jinachandra-sūri	36, 37
Islām Qāsi Naṣr	143	Jinadasi, Aryya	209
Ism'adī Irāh Rāib	134	Jinadatta-sūri	36
		Jinadaya-sūri	37
		Jinadeva-sūri	211
		Jinahamsa-sūri	37, 265n.
		Jinaharaba-sūri	37
		Jinakusāla-sūri	37
		Jinalabdhī-sūri	37
		Jinamahendra-sūri	37
		Jinamāṇḍana, author	34
		Jinamāṇḍikya-sūri	37
		Jinamukti-sūri	37
		Jinapadma-sūri	37
		Jinapatti-sūri	37
		Jinaprabodha-sūri	37
		Jinarāja-sūri	37
		Jinasamudra-sūri	37
		Jinasubhāgya-sūri	37
		Jināsimha-sūri	37
		Jineśvara-sūri	36, 37
		Jinavallabha-sūri	36
		Jirāja, an ascetic	25
		Jitamitā, a nun	108, 384
		Jitāmītrā, pr. n.	203
		Jivānādā, pr. n.	201
		Jñānabhūṣaṇa	40
		Jñānamārga	246
		Jonhaka, a monk	108
		Jovian year Plaṇa, in date	229
		" Sārvarin	216
		" Saumya	224
		Junaid, Shaikh	426, 427
		Jyeshṭhastī, Aryya	210
		K	
		Kaḇoja, monk	94, 97, 387
		Kāchana rice	41
		Kachehshapaghāta prince	234
		Kachupatha, pl. n.	102, 116
		Kāda, pr. n.	97
		Kādambavana, vill. now Kāman	195
		Kādī, nun	374
		Kaiqubād, Balbani emp.	158, 432
		Kākana	99
		Kākapaḍa or Kākapaṇa, old name of Sāñchi	87, 386, 308
		Kalachuri (or Chedi) kings of Tripurī	2, 5, 6
		" kings of Chedi	304
		Kalachuti (=Kalachuri) branch of the Haihaya family	229
		Kālaha, pr. n.	327
		Kālāl Khān	136
		Kālānkhardpur-Pādashāpur	473, 476
		Kālāsēvara, g.	481
		Kalavaḍa, pl. n.	392
		Kaliṅga, people, 9, 15; country, 193; conquest of,	471
		Kālīl rock edicts of Aśoka	447f.
		Kalura, pr. n.	380
		Kalyāṇasāgara-sūri	39
		Kalyāṇasamudra-sūri	39
		Kāmsachanda, Malik of Mandāl	24, 28

	PAGE		PAGE
Kāman, anc. Kādamavana, vill.	195	Khalvājika, t., modern Khalāri	229
Kāmārpa, people, 409a; country,	348, 349, 358	Khambanagara, t.	41, 81
Kamauli, vill.	347	Khāmduji, Khādoji, of Palitāna	35, 36
Kamboyas, people	468, 471	Khandkartola Muhammadan inscriptions	288f.
Kāmdāgama, vill.	115, 369, 372, 382	Khādoji II., Gohel	36
Kāmūhājī Gohel	80, 81	Khānjahān of Bengal	289
Kana, āna, a monk	379, 382	Khān Zemān	136
Kanaui, t.	235, 347	Khara, pr. n.	369
" k. Bhojadeva of,	304	Kharatara-gachchāka	36, 79-81
Kan ukdchārya, a <i>dhirda</i>	215	" <i>Paṭṭavali</i> of,	36f.
Kanhapallikā, vill.	119	Khāravala, k. of Kālīnga	88, 89
Kanhesvara, vill.	439	Kharoshtri alphabet	448
Kanishka, Kushana k.	196, 369	<i>khatruddhā</i>	213
Kaniyas, fem. n.	374	Khatjaktūpa <i>vishaya</i>	119
Kānkālī Tila discoveries	196, 311, 322	Khemaka, a monk	376
Kanuaradeva, Kṛishna III.	168, 173, 174	Khetala (Mahārāpa)	25, 32, 33
Kāpva <i>śikhā</i> of Yajurveda	216	Khīmavāpa, vill.	28
Kanyākubja—Kanaui	235	Khizr Khān	138
Kapaḍavanaja, t. in Gujarāt	41, 75	Khokarakoṭ Muhammadan inscription of 1566 A.D.	164
Kāpāigama, vill.	96, 101, 115, 391	Khosru, prince	85
Kapardisvāmin, pr. n.	23	Khurme Sulān, — Shāh Jahān	36f.
<i>kara-ghajikā</i>	236	Khurshed Khān	280
Kāraha	327	Khwāja Ahmad of Samargand	281
Karamachandra, minister	37, 40	Khwāja Khizr ibn Daryā Khān Shīrwānī	140, 141
Karabel inscription of Jaysimhadeva, referred to,	9	Khwāja-i Jahān of Jaunpur	295
<i>karānika</i>	117	Kikaṭa, Maurya chief	222
Karāri <i>pargaṇa</i>	363	" country	94
Kardamakhāta, vill.	119	Kinnaras, demi-gods	319
Kārtalāi inscription of the reign of Lakshmaparāja, edited	174f.	Kīra, a people	9, 15, 181
Karkuhastha, Aryya, pr. n.	204	Kiragrāma, vil.	482, 483
Karma-rāja, or-simha, minister	35, 40	Kirapeśvari, g.	481, 482
Karṇa, Chedi king, defeated by Udayāditya of Mālava	181	Kīrtirāja, a Kachchhapaghāṭa prince	234
Karṇadeva, Chedi king, 9, 16; married the Hūpa princess	297, 304	Kirtisāgara-sūri	39, 79
Āvalladevi, 2, 5; his Benares copper-plate	181	Kirtisindhu-sūri	39
Karṇāṭa, a people	2, 5	Kirtivarman, Chandella k.	304
Karṇāvatī, town founded by the Chedi Karṇadeva	472	Kīru-Narāyana, vill.—Koḍikop	170
Kartāpur, vill.	5, 300	Kisukād diet	168, 170, 174
Kārtavīrya, ancestor of the Haihaya princes	5, 300	Koehhi—Kautai female	199
Kāśī or Benares, temple built there by the Chedi Karṇadeva	2, 5, 41, 85	Koḍijila, pl. n.	388
Kāśāpiya Arhats	2	Koḍu, fem. n.	402
Kāśyapa <i>gotra</i>	1	<i>koḍunka</i>	484n.
Kāṭakāñu, vill.	101, 115, 381	Kokkalla I., Chedi k.	304
Kāthina country	37	Kokkalla II., Kokkalladeva, Chedi k.	2, 5, 9, 14, 304
Kātyāyana <i>gotra</i>	18, 19	Kolāla, t.	169, 172
Kaundinya <i>gotra</i>	216	Kolikūpaka, vill.	119
Kausāmbī, or Kosāmbī now Kosām Khirāj	88, 240, 241, 243, 244, 363	Korambikā, pr. n.	402
Kausika <i>gotra</i>	216, 219, 357	Kosambī (<i>vide</i> Kausāmbī)	88
Kautaa <i>gotra</i>	22, 364, 365	Koshaka, pr. n.	327
Kedāramisra, pr. n.	161	Koṣikī clan	207
Kekateyaka, pr. n.	94, 97	Koṭ, vil. anc. Sufarmapura	483
Kelale <i>nāḍ</i>	3	Koṭeyūr, vill.	170, 174
Keralaputa, k.	66	Koṭhārā	41
Kedava, pr. n.	18, 19	Koṭikagana, Jaina sect	37
Kedavasaena, Sena k.	380	Koṭṭiya <i>gana</i> of the Jains	37, 198, 201, 203, 204, 205, 210, 211, 221
Kedavasaemin, author	28	Kṛishna, g.	483
Kedava-upādhyāya, pr. n.	217	Kṛishna III., Bāshtrakūṭa k.	168, 169, 171, 172, 214
<i>kesatabhoga</i>	266	Kṛishna II., Kṛishnavallabha, Bāshtrakūṭa k.	304
Keydravaraha-Yuvardajadeva I., Chedi k.	304	Kṛishnadāsa's <i>Megavayakti</i>	331n
Khāqān Marjād Khān	284, 288	Kṛishnaparāja (Kalsaburi ?) k.	23
Khalāri inscription of Haribrahma adeva, edited	228f.	<i>kak</i> instead of <i>kay</i>	298
		Kehatrapas of Mathurā	198
		Kaheraka, Aryya-	210
		Kahetra Guhila prince of Mewād	409

	PAGE
Kubirako or Khubirako, early k.	325, 328, 329
Kujara, pr. n.	375, 405
Kūkeka, pr. n.	236
Kukkanūr, t. in Dakhan	170
Kulabhūshapa, a Jaina sage	236
Kumārābhara, Sreehthin	28
Kumārāgupta, inscription of,	210
„ <i>mahārājādhirāja</i>	198, 210, 263
Kumārāpala, Chaulukya k. 439; his Chitorga th inscrip- tion	421f.
Kumārāpala, Pāla k.	343-350 355
Kumārila, author.	23
<i>Kumbha</i> or <i>ghata</i> , Jupiter in sign of, in date	410
Kumbhakarna, Guhila prince of Mewād	410
Kumbharāja, of Mewād	85
Kumbhl copper-plate inscription of Gosaladevi, referred to	1
Kunthanātha, image of	80
Kundagrāma, vill.	317
Kundakunda, Ābhārya	40
Kundakundānavaya, <i>gachchha</i>	40
Kuēga, a people	9, 15
Kunkumalola <i>gotra</i>	41
Kura, pr. n.	327
Kurama, pl. n.	392
Kurāra or Kurara, pl. n.	106, 108, 111, 115, 369, 376, 378, 382, 383, 390, 397, 398, 400, 403
Kuraghara, vill.	96, 99, 108, 115, 369, 374, 382, 386, 387, 389, 394, 396
Kurari, pr. n.	101
Kurtakoti, t.	170
Kushana kings.	196, 198
Kusika, pr. n.	378
<i>kūṭaka</i>	130
Kuthukapada, pl. n.	376
<i>ky</i> instead of <i>kā</i>	298

L

Lābhapura.—Lahor	38
Lacchhiavā or Lakshmi, wife of the Yādava Bhīllama	
II.	214
Lādanya	31, 32
<i>laghanti</i>	255
Laghu-arjunodhī, vill.	216
Laghu-vavulavedra, vill.	216
Laghupāla, <i>gachchha</i>	39
Laghuśākhā of Osvala	41
“ of Porvāla	41
“ of Śrīmāla	41
<i>Lajaka</i> or <i>lajuka</i> , <i>rājaka</i> , clerk	253, 254, 273
Lakhnauti, t.	291
Lakkundi, t. in Dhārvar	169
Lakshasimha, Guhila prince of Mewād	409
Lakshmanadeva, Paramāra k.	181
Lakshmaya, pr. n.	236
“ a Kachchhapaghāta prince	234
Lakshmana Mahārāja, grant of	363-365
Lakshmanarāja, Chedi k.	304; KĀRILĀL inscription of
his reign	174f.
Lakshmesvar, t. in Dakhan	170
Lakshmīdāra	39
Lakshmi=Lacchhiavā	214
Lakshmidhara, pr. n.	181
Lālaṇḍ, <i>gotra</i>	41

	PAGE
Lalitakṛitijit, <i>bhaṭṭadraka</i>	244
Lāsha or Lākha, pr. n.	26
Lāṭa family of ascetics	8, 16
<i>lāṭa</i>	130
Lāvāgata <i>gana</i>	236
Lavana, a chief or general	117
<i>lek'aks</i>	94
Lodhā <i>gotra</i>	41
Lohacharya, Jaina teacher	244
Lūla, son of Ūyābhāṭa	438, 439
Lumpaka sect	38
Luplyā <i>gotra</i>	41, 80

M

Machhavata, pl. n.	389
Maṇalchhikata, t.	105, 115, 376
<i>māmbika</i>	484, 485
Madāphara—Muzāfir Shāh	36
Mādāva, son of Ūyābhāṭa	438, 439
Madhuvana, vill.	96, 98, 103, 105, 109, 115, 369, 370, 376, 385
Madhurika, fem. n.	369
Madhuvāṭikā, vill.	224
Mādhyandina <i>śākhā</i> of the Yajurveda	216
Madāpurika, vill.	119
Maga Brāhmins	331
Magadha kings	332, 344
<i>Magavyakti</i> of Krishnādāsa	331n.
Maha, pr. n.	299
Mahābat <i>Prasasti</i> of Sam 1207	275f.
Mahābat Khān	281
Mahāchakra, vill.	236
Mahāgiri, monk	103
Mahākaśchāyana, pr. n.	96
Mahākūṭa pillar inscription	21
<i>mahallaka</i>	331
<i>mahāmaṇḍala-nātha</i>	224
<i>mahāmātra</i>	87
Māhamoragi, pl. n.	105, 115, 371
Mahānāman, pr. n.	369
<i>mahāpallapati</i>	22
<i>mahāpramāṭṭra</i>	299
Māharakhita, ascetic	199
Māhasti, pl. n.	389
Mahāvira, last Jina	200, 205, 315
<i>mahāyānas</i>	22
Matdipur Muhammadan inscriptions	287
Mahendra-sūri	39
Mahendrasimha-sūri	39
Mahesvara, pr. n.	8, 16
Mahi, pl. n.	390
Mahichandra, pr. n.	236
Mahida, pr. n.	95, 109
Mahidhara, pr. n.	8, 17
„ a poet	332, 333
Mahidevariya Maṭha	35
Mahim, t. and Muhammadan inscriptions there,	143, 144 145-152
Mahimūda—Muhammad Shāh	35
Mahipaka pr. n.	32
Mahipāla, pr. n.	385
„ a Kachchhapaghāta prince	234

	PAGE		PAGE
Mahipala PALA k.	349	Merutunga, author	34
Mahirakkhita, pr. n.	391	Merutunga-sūri	39
Mahisati, t. now Maṇḍal	96, 109, 115	Mesānā, vill.	42, 78
Mahishmati, pl. n.	389	Mewād, prince Mokala of	408
Mahmūd Shāh, emp.	131	Mibila, pr. n.	197, 210
" k. of Bengal	284, 388	Mihiravihāra	212
" k. of Jaunpur	380	Mīmāṃsikas	39
" I. k. of Mālwa	434n.	Mitra Amodara, pr. n.	223
Mahmūd of Ghazni	144	Mitā, nun	94, 95, 105, 381
Maitrayanīya śākhā of the Yajurveda	216	Mitasiri, nun	111, 390
Majhādā-shāna, minister	35	Mita-Siri, pr. n.	203
Majhāmā śākhā	205	Mithilā, co.	348, 350
Majhimā, pr. n.	89, 102	Miyān Rāib, pr. n.	183
Maka=Magas of Cyrene	471	moḥā—'a shoemaker'	229
Makara, 320; sun in sign of, in date	410	Modhakīn, pr. n.	481
Makarapātaka, vill.	8, 9, 16	Moga, mahārāja	196
Makara-saṃkrānti, in date	2, 265	Moggaliputa, pr. n.	89
Makudāvāda, t.	42, 81	Moghul sanads	472f.
MALĀ group of 12 [villages]	175	Mohikā, nun	392
Mālava rulers, inscription of	180	Mohotā race	41
MA[Ṭ]vaka, a locality or district	18, 19	Mokala of Mewād; his Chitorgadh inscription	408, 409
Malik Beg, Sultān	427	Mokhalapātaka, vill.	181
Mallinātha, a Jina	79	monks, names of, at Sāñchi	113, 403
Maliṭṭa kula of Jains	198	months named in dates:—	
Māndanaṣṭī, Rāmīa	80	Āshādha	118, 224
Mamḍalt, t.—Maṇḍal	24	Bhādrapada	216, 224
Māmi, Bāf	27	Māgha	2, 229, 410
Māna family of Magadha kings	332	Mārgaśrēṣṭha	9
Māna, pr. n.	409	Phālguna	299
Maṇarata=Permānadi	168, 169, 173, 174	Śrāvaṇa	18
Maṇḍal, Maṇḍul, t. in Gujarāt	24, 37n, 28	Tapasya=Phālguna	410
Mandara, vill.	348, 367, 358	moon, sprung from Atri's eye	5
Māndhātī, ancestor of the Maurya family	222	Morajahakṣa, vill.	385
Māndī, diat.	483	Morasihiḥakṣa, vill.	101
Maṇḍya, t. in Maisur	167	Morasihiḥakṣa, vill.	399
Maṅgalārāja, a Kaśohhapaghāta prince	234, 235n.	Moshini, fem. n.	210
Māṅgalīśa, Chalukya k.	23	Mu'azzam Khān, governor of Bengal	290
Maṅglaur, vill. near Rurki	139n.	Mugdhatunga-Prasiddhadhavata, Chedi k.	304
Maṅguhasti, Aryya-	204	Muḥammad Akbar, son of Aurangzeb	148
Maṇiyāga gotra	85	Muḥammad 'Alī Sultān	425, 437
Manorama, a carpenter	399	Muḥammad ibn Sām	430
Manoratha, pr. n.	332, 358	Muḥammad Ibrāhīm	132
Mansehra Rock-edicts of Aśoka	447f.	Muḥammad Jamāl Chishtī	145
Manyuka, pr. n.	483	Muḥammad Shāh, emp.	436, 473, 476
Marupallikā, vill.	119	" of Jaunpur	292, 293, 295
Mas'ūd Shāh, emp.	137	Muḥammad Sultān of Gujarāt	24, 35
Ma'sūm Khān	143	Muḥammad Zamān, Mīrzā	429
Mathurā	200	Muhammadans called Śakas, Yavanas and Turushkas	409
" Jaina inscriptions	195f.	Muhammadan inscriptions from Dihlī Sūba	130f. 434f
" Jaina sculptures	311f.	" " from Bengal	280f
" gachchhas of Jains	244	Muktisagara-sūri	39
Mātrībhaṭa	20	Mulā, Mūlā, Mula, pr. n.	95, 107, 388
Mātulingī, river	216	Muladātā, fem. n.	373
Mauna gotra	8, 16	Mūladeva, a Kaśohhapaghāta prince	234
Maurya alphabet	323, 325	Mulagiri, pr. n.	373
" era	89	Mūlarāja, Chalukya k.	422, 438
" family	222	Mūlasaṅgha	40
Mayūtrapadra, vill.	119	Mumbāi, Mumbai-bindara, Bombay	42, 82, 83, 85
Medapāta, the modern Mewād	409	Mummiyā gotra	41
Meghadhvani temple	438	Munawwar, Shaikh	428
Meghaji, head of Lumpaka sect	38	Muner or Māner, vill. in Bihār with Muhammadan inscriptions	294f.
Meghām, Gojā	28	Munjiṇpur, t. in Gujarāt	24, 28n.
meq's names at Sāñchi	114, 404	Mūka, Maurya chief	23
mera, a kind of temple	6, 15		

	PAGE		PAGE
Muñja, Muñjadava, Muñjaraja, = Vākpati, Paramāra k. of Mālava	181, 214, 215, 304	Nānāghāt inscription referred to	88, 91
Munk <i>pargasa</i>	137	Nandagiri, Mt.	169, 173
Murala, a people	9, 16	Nandapura, pl. n.	329
Murād Qāqshāl Khān (Nawāb Mirzā)	289	Nandi, pr. n.	205
<i>murid</i>	476	Nandivārta, Arhat	204, 321
Mu'tamid Khān	436	Nandika, monk	212
Muzaffar Sultān of Gujarāt	24, 35	<i>nandimukha</i>	258
N		Nandini, Vasishtha's cow	181
Nābhaka of the Nabbitis	471	Nandinagara (v. Nāndi-) pl. n.	369, 376, 393, 394
Nābhūpura, t. in Kachh (Nalinapura P)	42, 83, 85	Nandivālo, name of an ox	96
Nābhūtishānlaka (P), vill.	344	Naregal, vill.	170
Nādāva, pr. n.	99	Narasimhadēva, Chedi k.	8, 9, 16, 18, 19, 304
Nadiguta, a monk	95, 103	Narasing Thākura	27
Nadinagara (v. Nāmdinagara), t.	97, 106, 108, 110, 111, 386, 387, 400	Naravāhanadatta <i>mahārāja</i>	364, 365
Nādini, fem. n.	389	Naravarmadēva, Paramāra k.	181
Nādir Shāh	144	Nārāyana, pr. n.	296
Nādivirohi, pr. n.	99	Nārāyanapāla, Pāla k.; Badāl inscription of his time	160
Nāduka, Aya-, a monk	112	Naigund, vill.	170
Nāṭila <i>gotra</i>	41	Narmadā, river	7, 8, 16
Nāga princes	117	Nāsika, t.	217
Nāga of Prabhāsa	240f.	Nasir 'Ābidallāh ibn Aḥmad, a Sayyid	138
Nāga, t.	322	Nāsir ud-din Maḥmud	432
Nāgā, Nāgadatta, Nāgila, etc., pl. n.	95	Nāthī, fem. n.	31, 32
Nāga and Nāgā, pr. n.	102, 369, 372, 384, 393	Nāṭi, n. n.	387
Nāga, Jaina teacher	38	Nāṭika, Nāṭika (P) <i>kula</i> of Jainas	198, 207
Nāgaḍa <i>gotra</i>	41	Nāṭā, Chāndellā princess, wife of the Chedi k. Kokkalla I.	304
Nāgadata, Nāgadatta, a monk	206, 386, 396	Naur, vil.	482
Nāgadatā, pr. n.	110	Nāvagāma, vill.	93, 102, 103, 115, 368, 369, 371, 372, 387
Nāgadina, Shēth, 109; a monk	371	Nāvagrāma, vill.	211
Nāgādintā, fem. n.	383	Nāvahasti, fem. n.	208
Nāgamitā, fem. n.	382	Nāvānagara, t. in Halār	85
Nāgapālītā, fem. n.	111	<i>Nāvāngi vṛtti</i>	36
Nāgapīya Shēth	88, 89, 106, 111, 378	Navinapura, Nāvānagar, t. in Halār	35, 36
Nagara—Vaduegar, t.	438	Nayajāla, Pāla k.	349, 350
Nāgarakṛita, a monk	398	<i>ndyaka</i>	18, 19, 230
Nāgaravāḍā, vill.	23	Nāyaki, wife of the Maurya chief Govindarāja II.	224
<i>ndgarana</i>	266, 266	Nazar Quli Turkmān	155
Nāgila, pr. n.	92, 106, 396; a Shēth	Negamesī. Naigameshiu, Nemesa, or Nemiso, god 200, 314-319	
Nāgor, t.	145, 150	<i>nhusa snushā</i>	90
Nāgpur, inscription of the rulers of Mālava, edited	180f.	Nicor	137
Nāhaṭā <i>gotra</i>	41	Nigāḍi, pr. n.	109
Naigameshin, god, at Elura	316n.	Nigamthas	272, 274
Naimisha forest	323	<i>nijhali</i>	271
<i>naigyoka</i>	484n.	<i>Nikāya</i> , five Buddhist scriptures	93, 104, 269
Najā, fem. n.	373	<i>nilakkhiyati</i>	266
Nalinapura, t. (v. Nābhūpura)	42	Nīmat Ullāh's (Shaikh) tomb	430
<i>nakehatras</i>	266, 369	Nimbadikā, vill.	119
<i>nakehatra</i> of Aryaman, i.e. Pūrvā-phalguni, in date,	410	Ninima race	41
" Hasta, 18, 118; Rohini,	22	<i>nimsidhiyā</i>	274
<i>nakehatra</i> names	95	Nirgunḍipadraka, vill.	23, 23
Nāmaṇḍi, vill.	8, 9, 16	Nirihullaka	22
Nānda, pr. n.	376	<i>niruddham</i>	129n.
Nandagiri, pr. n.	95, 109	Nirvṛti <i>gotra</i>	29
Nāmdinagara, Nandinagara, vill.	96, 115, 376, 377, 380, 390, 391, 393, 394	" Jaina teacher	38
Nānduka, monk	400	Nishāda, people	409n.
Nāmdutārā, fem. n.	386	<i>niwartana</i>	234
		Nizām-ad-din Beg Mirak	166
		Noghānaji of Pālitāna	36, 84
		Nohalā, daughter of Avanivarman, and wife of the Chedi king Yuvarājadēva I.	304
		nuna, names of, at Sāūchi	113, 403f
		Nuśrat Khān	130
		Nuśrat Shāh, k. of Bergal	286

O		PAGE	PAGE	
Oḍaka, pr. n.		391	Phaladevi, pr. n.	339
Oḍala, pr. n.		327	Phannaka, Aya-	93, 97, 113n.
Oḍatikā, nun		371	Phāṣṣāghruda, vill.	22, 23
Oḍi, nun	94, 106, 111, 398		Phāsupata ascetic	8, 16
Ohanandi, pr. n.		208	phāṣṣa	139n.
Oisa race		40	Phāliputra Bauddha Council	80
okapiṇḍa		258, 260	Phāli, monumental stone	28
omkāra paṭṭa		79, 80	Phaihaibatpur, t.	472, 473
Opedadāta, pr. n.		373, 380	Phāṭṭhāna, pr. n.	387
Ośa race		40, 79	Phāṭṭhiya, gahapati	99, 384
Ośāl race or gachchha		40, 82-85	Phāṭṭabaddhaka viśhaya	110
			Phāṭṭadakal, Kisuvola, t.	170
			phattalā, a district	8, 9, 16
			Patuḍa (P), a monk	107
			Paurāṇik worship, early occurrence of	95
			Paviṣṭa, pl. n.	375
			Pāyachanda gachchha	39
			Pemata, Pemuta, pl. n.	337, 394
			Peroja, i.e. Suljān Phoz Shāh, king of the Yavanas, i.e. Musalmans.	400, 410
			Permānādi-Būṭayya	168-171, 173, 174
			Phaguna, pr. n.	95, 104, 379
			Phalguyāsa, pr. n.	200
			Phelāparvatikā, vill.	304, 305
			Phujākapallī, pl. n.	384
			phuramāṇa, firmān	38
			Pigalaka, Pingalaka, pr. n.	327
			pigeons at Makkā	289
			pippalavālikā	130
			Pippaliya, branch of Kharata-gachchha	37
			Piruji rupee	30
			Pithe, pr. n.	8, 16
			piṭhikā, platform	320
			P.tinikas, people	468, 471
			Piyadasi (Asoka), pillar edicts of	245f
			" " rock edicts of	447f.
			Piyadhamā, nun	108
			Piyāra Rāhū	133
			place or geographical names at Sāñeli	115, 407
			Podavijha, pl. n.	388
			Pokhara (Pushkar), t.	96, 106, 108, 115, 309, 387, 388, 397, 398
			Poravijhaka for Poravikkhika	407
			Portugal,—Puratakalā	36, 78
			Porvāl race	41
			posatha days	264, 265
			Pota, Potaka, pr. nn.	327
			Poṭṭhadevā, fem. n.	95, 378
			Poṭṭhaghoshā, pr. n.	109
			Poṭṭhaka, a monk	95, 106
			Poṭṭhaya race	196
			Prabhāsa, Pabhosa, vill.	240, 244, 480
			Pradyumna	314, 315
			Prāgyjyotiṣa bhukti	348, 358
			Prāgyāta vamsa, Porvāl race	25, 41, 78
			prārabdhī	343
			Prāśāntarāga II.—Dadda IV.	31
			Prasasti, pr. n.	118
			Prasasti: the following are called prasastis—	
			Bhara-ghāt inscr. of Alhanadevi	7
			Harsha inscr. of Vighraharāja	116
			Buddh inscr. of Nārāyaṇapāla	180
			Nāgpur inscr. of the rulers of Mālava	18
			Khalāri inscr. of Haribrahmadeva	238

P

Pābhosa, inscriptions at	240f, 480
pacchānāyika	93
pacchākhāpagamana	269
Pādāliptanagara, t.	80
Padamām, fem. n.	30
Pādāna, vill. Pādāniya	96, 103, 112, 115
padānudhyāta	2
Padmākara, Maurya chief	222
Padmanandi	40
Padmanātha, image of	82
Padmapāla, a Kachchhapaghāta prince	234
Padmaprabha, Jina	244
Pādshāhpur,—Kalāṅkhurdpur	473, 476
Pādukulikā, vill.	92, 110, 115, 397
Pāl, fem. n.	348, 357
Pajja, pr. n.	276
Pāla kings of Bengal, from Dharmapāla to Vighrapāla	
III.	161, 348, 349
Pāla, pr. n.	199
Pala, monk	400
Pālaghosa, pr. n.	190
Pālakadujjāna (misread Pālakaṭṭujjāna)	20
Pālama, vil., Prālamba?	482
Pālanapura, t. in Gujārāt	24, 28n, 42, 83
palasale—rhinoceros	260
Pālī, vill.	363
Pālīṭṭhā, t. in Kāthiāwād	34-36, 42, 85
palli	341
pallikā	129n.
Pāmoḥānagara, t.	396
Pāṇḍya, Pāṇḍya (q. v.), people	471
pannasa	258, 260
Pānṭhaka, monk	387
Pāñchāla, dist.	161, 243
pañchamahāśābda	215
pañchapaṇamahāśikhi-paṭṭa	80
Pañchārthaka doctrine	118
Paṇḍah (Hazrat), Muhammadan inscriptions at	282f.
Pāṇḍya, people	9, 15, 193, 468, 471
Pānīpat, Muhammadan inscriptions at	136
Pāṇkajavāsini, goddess of eloquence	23
Pappaka, pr. n.	211
Paramabhaṭṭāraka	22
Paramāra kings of Mālava, from Vairisimha II. to	
Lakshmadeva	181
Paripannaka, (P)	377
Pārva Pārvaṇātha	78, 81, 85, 96, 207, 244, 311
Parvaṇ days	264, 265
Parwez, prince, son of Jahāngir	134, 261

Prastāvi: the following are *prastāvis*—contd.

	PAGE
Dubkund inscr. of Vikramasimha	232
Govindpur inscr. of Gaṅgādharma	830
Dudhpani inscr. of Udayamāna	343
Chitorgaḍh inscr. of Mokala	408
Chitorgaḍh inscr. of Kumārapāla	421
Devapattana inscr. of Śiḍdhara	437
Prasiddhadhavalā=Muḡghatunga, Chedi k.	304
Pratāpasinghaji of Pāliṭāna	36, 82, 84, 85
Pratīṭhāna, t.	94, 96, 98, 104
<i>pravarā</i>	16, 299
Pravarasūkara (P), Maurya chief	223
Prayāga, the sacred place at Allahābād	2, 5, 244, 481
Premachanda, a Jaina	78
Prithvidhara, pr. n.	8, 16
Priyadarśin rāja=Asoka	468-472
Pōlād Sarvāni	429
Pulidas, Pulindas, a people	471
<i>Punarvasu</i> days	259, 262, 266
Puṇṣavadhana, t.	108, 115, 380
Puṇḍarika, image of	82
Puṇyasāgara-sūri	39, 79
Puṇyadadhi-sūri	39
Puratakalā (Portugal) pātasāhi	36
Puravikha (P), pl. n.	108
Purigere district	168, 170, 174
<i>Pūrṇimānta</i> scheme of months in dates	2, 299, 410
Purūravas, son of Budhana	5
Purushottama, a poet, 332, 333; a sacred place (P)	339
Puruviḍa, pl. n.	387
Pusa, a monk, 95, 371; Pusā, a nun	108, 390
Pusadata, pr. n.	387
Pusagiri, pr. n.	103, 371
Pusaka, a monk	104, 387
Pusarakhita, pr. n.	112, 405
Pushkara gaṇa, Jaina	244
Pushkaratirtha, sacred place at Pokhar	118
Pusiniya, pr. n.	112
Puṣyamitra, pr. n.	201

Q

Qasim 'Ali Khān	146
Qasāyān's mosque at Mahim	150, 152
Qāzizādas	142, 143

R

Rādhanapura, t. in Gujarāt	42, 79
Rābādā, wife of the Chedi Lakshmanarāja	175, 304
Rabila, pr. n.	371
Rahimābād, vill.	472
Rāje, Rājagi, Rājan, or Śrīrāja, a Yādava chief	213
<i>rajadavudrika</i>	216
Rājāditya Chola	168, 169, 172
Rājakoṭṭhāgara family	40
Rajakudraha, vill.	286
<i>rajalipikara</i> , royal scribe	94, 369
Rājamalla of Chitor	85
Rājanagara=Ahmadābād	41, 78-81, 84
Rājāsagara-sūri	89
Rājāsama-sūri	89
Rājasekhara, author	84

	PAGE
Rājendrasāgara-sūri	79
Rajubula, satrap.	196
<i>rajuka</i> , clerk	369, 381, 466 & n
Rājuka, pr. n.	376
Rajula, <i>maḥākshatrapa</i>	196
Rājyapāla, probably a king of Kanauj	234, 235
Rajyavasau, pr. n.	309
Rallādevi, pr. n.	161
Rāma Guravamisra, pr. n.	161
Rāmachandra, the Kālachuri chief Rāmadeva	230
Rāmadāsa, pr. n.	239
Rāmadeva, a Kālachuri chief	239
Rāmakirti, pr. n.	40, 422
Rāmanagar=Adibhoḥatrā	243
Rāmapāla, Pāla k.	348-350, 355
Ramoraṇjikaṣi, pl. n.	107
Rāmpūrva Asoka pillar	245
Ramtek inscription of Kālachuri chiefs, referred to	230
<i>Ranaka, Rānā</i> , titles	28, 483n.
Ranagraha	20, 21
Rānapallika, vill.	118, 119
Rānapattana, dist.	361
Rāparagubhīma, a prince, probably the W. Chālukya	
Tailapa	214, 215
Rāne family	493
Rāshamalla, son of Ereyapa	168, 173
Rāshtrakūṭa k. Kṛishṇa II.	304
Rāshtrakūṭas overthrown by the Chālukyas	215
Rastikas, Rishṭikas, a people.	468
Rasūlpur, vill.	472
Ratina, pr. n.	390
Ratnadeva, pr. n.	229
Ratoasimha of Chitor	35
Ratnasimha <i>maḥāmantrin</i>	77
Ratnodadhi-sūri	39
<i>raṭṭhikas</i>	484
Rāutta, Geḍiā	28
Rāyabrahmadēva=Haribrahmadēva	230
Rāyapur inscription of Haribrahmadēva, referred to	230
Reas (Mr. A) discovery of 1 haṭṭiprolu-Buddhist relics, etc.	preface and 523f.
Rebha, pr. n.	390
<i>rechaka</i>	344
Revā, pr. n.	110
" a river	193
Revatimitā, fem. n.	390
Rigveda, students of	216
Rishabha, Rishabhasvāmin, Jaina Tīrthakāra	81, 232
Rishi, pr. n.	236
Ritunandi, pr. n.	203
Rizawi, Bukhārī	475
Rohā, fem. n.	391
Rohanadevā, fem. n.	386
Rohani, fem. n.	373
Rohanika, pr. n.	401
Rohinipada, Rohani, pl. n.	107, 109, 116, 401
Rohini, pr. n.	95
Rohiniesvāmin temple	439
Roho, vill. in Gujarāt	24, 29n.
Rohtak, Muhammadan inscriptions at	143f., 436f.
Rudra, pr. n.	331
Rudramāna, k. of Magadha	332
Rudrarāsi, pr. n.	8, 16
Rudrena, a Tomara prince	117

	PAGE		PAGE
Rāmi Khān	27	Samidata, Sāmi-, monk	95, 104, 393
Rupāi, Bāi	425	Samiddhesvara, a name of the god Śiva	409, 429
Rustam Beg, Amīr	134, 135	Samikā, Sāmikā, nun	102, 110, 393
Rustam Khān bin Muhammad Khān Sarbanī		Samika, trader	374, 375, 393
		Sāmkaragana, a Kalachuri k.	23
		" son of the Chedi Kokkalla I.	175, 304
		Sāmkarapa	23f.
		Sāmkarāpaka, vill.	119
		Sāmpā	91
		Sāmdrīka doctrine	118
		Samvalita, pr. n.	108
		samegapaksī	85
		Sāmviññayamārgiya, Tapā gachchha	89, 82
		sanads of Moghul emperors	472f.
		Sāñohi, anciently Kākaṇḍa or Kākaṇḍa	87, 866
		Sāñohi votive inscriptions	87f., 366f.
		" sculptures	319
		Sandhi, pr. n.	197, 208
		Sāṇḍilya, race of, 181; gotra	438
		Sāṇḍīdarī, vill.	23
		Sāṇḍhas	321
		Sāṇka[r]agana], Chedi prince	175
		Sāṇkheḍā Land grants	19f.
		" t. in Gujarāt	23
		Sāntilla, pr. n.	22
		Sāntimātha, Jaina tīrthakāra	81, 82, 232
		Sāntipāṭaka, vill.	348, 357
		Sāntirāja, Maurya chief	223
		Sānti-āgara-sūri	39, 79
		Sāntishena, a Jaina sage	236
		Sāṇukagāma, vill.	375
		Sāpādakaḥa country	422
		Sapakā, nun	111
		Sāpha race	38
		Sāphineyakas, Sāphineyikā	371, 374, 401
		Sāradasimhadava, a Kachchhapaghāta prince	234
		Sarāḥkoṭṭa viśaya	119
		Sarasvatī, river	193
		" gachchha	40
		Sarbuland Khān Khwāja Rahmat Allāh	152
		sarikā, a starling	259
		Sārkarādevī, pr. n.	161
		Sarotra, vill. in Gujarāt	24, 32n
		Sarvāstivāda teachers	212
		Sarvasūra, Maurya chief	223
		Sasādā, Sāśāda, vill.	99, 397
		Sāsādaka, pl. n.	116
		sāsana-paṭṭa	217
		sāśāddasuprakṛityopeta	216n
		Sāsīdhara, pr. n.	8, 16
		Satāji, Śatruśālya, Jām of Navānagar	36
		Sātakapi, Andhra k.	88
		Sathisihā, fem. n.	201
		Sātīla, pr. n.	95, 375
		Sātīsiri, nun	382
		Satiyaṇtra, perhaps k of Satvata	466
		Śatruñjaya Jaina inscriptions	34f.
		" , Siddhādalla, sacred place	38, 86
		Śatruśālya, Jām of Navānagar	35, 39
		sattra	224
		Satugha—Śatrugha, pr. n.	327
		Satyabhāmā and Kṛishṇa	314
		Satyavākya Kōṅṇivarmaṇ, k.	173
		Sāvithadēsa, country	359
Sābarasvamin, author	33		
sabha	236		
Sachamita, pr. n.	107		
Sādājānṭā, māṇḍalikā	27		
Sādāsaba, pr. n.	27		
Sādhana, monk	100		
Sādhuvasika I., Maurya chief	222		
" II,	223		
Sādītā, fem. n.	208		
Sadr Jahān, poet	290		
Sadr ul-sudūr	475		
Saduktikarmāmṛita of Śrīdharadāsa	332		
Sāgara gachchha, 36, 79-83; Paṭṭāvali of	39		
Sagha, pr. n., 95; Saghā	401		
Saghadeva, pr. n.	106		
Saghadinā, nun	101		
Saghamita, monk	112, 397		
Sagharakhita, pr. n., 113n, 386, 396, 398; rakhitā	383, 397		
Sahaerakūṭa, tīrtha, 38; image or representation of	78		
Sahasarām, t. in Bihār	151		
Saiva names	95		
Saija, Bāi	30		
Sakas—Musalmans	409		
Sakadina, pr. n.	375		
Sakadvipa	331		
Sākadvīpiya Brāhmana	331		
Sakalakṛti, pr. n.	40		
Sākambhari, t.	119, 422		
Sakarakhita, pr. n.	392		
Sākyaṇuni, image of	212		
Saltmāgar, fort	291		
Sālipura, t.	422		
Samādhiśa or Samiddhesa, a name of Śiva	469		
Sāmāḍhyā, fem. n.	210		
Samaṇa, monk	327-329, 378, 393		
samanera	98, 103		
Samantadeva, rāja	144		
Samātikā (?), pr. n.	394		
Sāmaveda, students of	216		
Samayaka, freshkin	25		
Sāmba, son of Kṛishṇa and Jāmbavatī	332		
samcharanakas	434, 486		
Samdhana, monk	103		
Samgamānagara, t.=Samgamner	216		
Samgamī, vill.	224		
Samgamner copper-plates of the Yādava Bhīllama II.			
edited	212f.		
Samgha, pr. n., 272, 274, 378; Samghā	393		
Samgha of Magadha	366		
Samghaduta, pr. n.	381		
Samgharakhita, pr. n.	95, 384, 388		
Samgharakhitā, nun	100, 392		
Samghavāla race	41		
Samghila, pr. n.	95, 377		
Samgrāma-Rāma, a biruda	215		
Samgrāmasimha of Chitor	35		

	PAGE		PAGE
<i>sayūrgāḥ</i>	472-476, 480	Siribhāga, pr. n.	394
Sayyid Nāyazid	138	Siridinā, nun	110, 393
seasons	262-264	Srīguta, pr. n.	102
<i>sekyaka</i>	130	Sirikā, fem. n.	380
<i>Sellavidēya</i> , a <i>biruda</i>	213	Sirimitā, nun	394
Sena, pr. n.	206	Siripāla, pr. n.	375
Sena dynasty	349, 360	Siri-Sātakapi, Andhra k.	88
Senart's versions of the Aśoka edicts	246	Sirohi, t.	42, 80
<i>Śeṣha</i> , <i>chikna</i> of Pārśva	311, 312	Śisodhī clan	41
<i>śetkapote</i> —grey doves	260	Śitalanātha, image of	81, 85
Setapatha, pl. n.	395	Śiva's eight bodies	14n.
Śeṭhi	94	Śivadāsa, pr. n.	229
Seuna or Seunachandra II., Yādava k.	224	Śivadeva, pr. n.	208
Seunachandra I., Yādava k.	213	Śivagboshaka, pr. n.	207
Sewāh, t. in Dihlī Sūbā	138	Śivaji of Pāliṭṭhā	35f.
Shabhika—Sabbhika, pr. n.	329	Sivanadi, pr. n.	95, 101
Shāgeṭhi <i>nigama</i>	328	Śivasena, pr. n.	208
Shāh 'Ālam, emp.	473, 475-477, 479	Śivaskandavarmān, (Prakrit inscrip. of) Notes on,	483f.
Shāhbāzgarhi Rock edicts of Aśoka	447f.	" var. readings in,	483, 485
Shāhjahan, emp.	35, 143, 147, 151, 152, 281, 290, 435, 436, 473	Sivati, pr. n.	594
Shāhjahanpur, vill.	473	Śivayāsa, fem. n.	200
Shaikh Nāfir	151	Śiyaka, Paramāra k.	181
Shamsher Khān	146, 154	Śobha, son of Bhāba Lūla	439
Shams-uddīn Altameh	431, 432	Śoḍāsa, <i>mahākshatrapa</i>	195, 196, 199
Shamuda—Samudra, pr. n.	327	Sohaḍa <i>śreshṭhin</i>	26
Shargi rulers	291	Somavātha, the god Śiva	213n.
<i>shashṭyabha</i>	230	Somanāthapāṭan or Devapattana	437-439
Sher Bulul's mausoleum	157, 158	Somasvāmideva,—Vishṇu	175
Sher Shāh Sharqi	144, 150, 151, 429	Somesvara, pr. n.	161
Sherpur, t. in Bengal	288, 290, 291	" minister of the Cheli Lakshmanarāja	174
Shota <i>gotra</i>	41	" I, W. Chālukya k.	304
Siddakāḍa, Siddkāḍa, pl. n.	397, 398	Sona, pr. n.	373
<i>Siddhachakra</i>	77	Sonadevā, pr. n.	97, 371
Siddhāntasamudra-sūri	39	Sonak, vill. in Gujarāt, Sūpaka	24, 33n.
Siddharāja, Chaulukya k.	422	Sonakāyana, k.	243
Siddhasāiia, or Śatrumjaya	86	Sonara,—Sonāri, vill.	96, 112, 116
Siddhesanātha or Siddheśvara, the god Śiva	224	Sonasiiri, nun	402
Sidhatha, pr. n.	377	Sonpat Muhammadan inscriptions	138f.
Sihā, nun	112, 379, 394	Soyasa, pr. n.	110, 405
Sihā, sheṭh	108	Śāmanaka, pr. n.	204
Sihadeva, pr. n.	393	<i>śreshṭhin</i>	236
Sihagiri, Sihā-, pr. n.	105, 371	Śreyāṁsa, image of	86
Sihamitra, pr. n.	201	Śrīdhara's Devapattana <i>Prasasti</i>	437f.
Sihanādika, pr. n.	207	Śrīdhara, pr. n.	438, 439
Siharakhita, pr. n.	97, 98	" Brāhman	348, 349, 357, 358
Sujhā, fem. n.	392	Śrīdharadāsa's <i>Saduktikarnāmṛita</i>	332
Sikandar Shāh, emp.	137, 433	Śrīdhantamāna, pr. n.	344
" of Bengal	282, 283	Śrīgriha <i>sambhoga</i> of Jains	204, 209
Slkhā, vill.	18, 19	Śrīmālī race	41
Śingha—the Kalachuri chief	230	<i>śrimara</i>	258, 260
Śrīmbadātā, fem. n.	374	Śrīpaipākā, vill. Panu	22, 23
Śrīmhagoshṭha, vill.	118	<i>śrīpatta</i>	344
Śrīmbapa, a Kalachuri chief	229, 230	Śrīpura, Śrīkāsthpura, t.	37
Śrīmhaprabha-sūri	39	Śrī-Sivali devi	32, 33
Śrīmhavāja, pr. n.	276	<i>śruti-devatā</i> , 'the goddess of eloquence'	232
Śrīmhavāja, Chāhamāna k.	117, 118	Stambhatirtha,—Cambay	38
<i>Śrīmha-samkrānti</i> in date	118	Stāna, t.	211
Śrīmhaṭilaka-sūri	39	Stāhnikā <i>kula</i> of the Jains	204, 207
Śrīmharāja, pr. n.	276	Stirā, fem. n.	210
Sinda chiefs	170	<i>Stāpa</i> , of the Jains	204, 312, 313
Sindhurāja, Paramāra k.	181	Subāhita, pr. n.	102, 394
Sindhasgara, t.	214, 216	Subhachandra, pr. n.	40
Sirhind, dist.	144	Subhaga, and Subhagā, pr. nn.	99, 332, 371, 396
Siri, nun	4	<i>Subhāshildāvali</i> of Vallabhadra, quoted	14n.
		Sugūṇasavadhi-sūri	39

	PAGE
Sūlapāṇi, pr. n.	331
Sulāsa, pr. n.	331
Sumana, monk	112
Sumatikīrti, pr. n.	40
Sumatinātha, <i>Tīrthakāra</i>	78, 84
Sumatiśāgara	38
Sūpaka, vill., now Sonak	25, 33
Suṅga dynasty	195
<i>Suparnas</i> —demi-gods	319
Supārśvanātha, <i>Tīrthakāra</i>	82
Supāthāmā, pr. n.	387
Suprabuddha, pr. n.	37
Sūra, a poet, 120, 121; family	204
Sūrapāla, Pāla k., probably Vighrapāla I.	161
Sūrasimghajit of Pāliṭānā	36, 85
Surāshtra, country	222
Surata-bandira,—Surat	43, 78, 79
Suriya, nun	394, 395
Suriyābha, god	320
Sūrpata, pr. n.	236
Spharnapura, tn. now Kot	483
Susi or Susi, vill.	299
Suśhīla, Jaina teacher	37
Sutātika, pr. n.	400
<i>sutāikini</i>	93
<i>suttadhāra</i> , mason	481
<i>Sutta-Piṭika</i>	93, 105
Suvarṇamāha, pl. n.	329
Sūvratājama, image of	84
<i>svāmin</i>	22
Svasāga (?), t. or vill.	299
<i>svastika</i>	312
Svatiguta, pr. n.	95, 100
Śyāmaladevi, daughter of Udayāditya of Mālava and wife of Vijayasimha	9, 16

T

Tailapa, W. Chālukya k., married Bonthādevi	304
Tākārapada, pl. n.	384
Takkola, t.	168, 169, 172
Takshaka, Maurya chief	222
Tāmaslipti, t.	344
Tāmbalamaḍa, vill.	103, 116
Tāmbaparni, Tāmraparṇi	466, 471
Tāmraparṇi, river	193
Tanḍulapadraka, vill. Tāndalajā	23
Tantrapāla, a chief defeated by the Chāhamāna	
Vākapatirāja	117
Tapa <i>gaohokha</i> of the Jains	36, 38, 79, 80, 82-86
<i>Pañḍavati</i> of	371
Tāpasi, Tāpasiya race	94, 101, 105, 373, 374, 380
Taranga, vill. and tirtha in Gujarāt	24
Tardi Kuchuk	428
Tejā	81
Tejarāja, pr. n.	276
Tevapi, Traivarna princess	243
Tewar inscription of Gayākaradeva, referred to	8
Tewar inscription of Jayasimhadeva, edited	17
Thambhaka, pr. n.	211
Thāniya <i>kula</i> of the Jains	204
Thera Nāga	385
Thiruka, pr. n.	117

U

Thorasini—Sthanuleshihi, pr. n.	PAGE
337	
Ṭikisa(?), pr. n.	397
Tihapa, pr. n.	232
Timgyadeva (?), pr. n.	349, 355
Timūr Shāh, emp.	473, 476
Tiridapada, vill.	102, 116, 384
Tissa, pr. n.	327, 384
Tisaka, pr. n.	93, 104
Tishya days	259, 262, 264-266
Tithapa, pr. n.	232
<i>Tithis</i> in dates:—Bright half month,—	
8rd	118, 234, 410
8th	18
9th	229
11th	9
13th and 15th	118
Dark half month:—2nd	299
8rd	410
9th	299
10th	2
15th	216
Tomara prince Rudrena	117
" another chief	117
Traigarta, km.	483
Traikalakaka, vill.	118
Trikalinga, country	298
Tripuri, capital of the Kalachuri or Chedi kings	2, 5, 181
Triśalā, mother of Mahāvira	315, 317, 318
<i>triśūlas</i>	312
Trilochanapāladeva, probably a k. of Xananj	235
Tubavana, Tumbavana, pl. n.	91, 96, 99, 116, 369, 384, 392
Tuda, Tuda, Tundā, pr. nn.	384, 388
Tughluq Shāh	159, 436
Tumbavana, pl. n. (v. Tubavana)	369, 384, 392
Tūnakūpaka group of 12 [villages]	118
Turanaya, Ptolemy II.	463, 471
Turushkas—Muhammadans	181, 409n.

Uohenagari śākhā of Jains	205, 208, 210
Udayāditya Paramāra k. of Mālava; his daughter Śyāmaladevi	9, 16, 181, 304
Udayamāna, a chief; his Dudhpani rock inscription	343f.
Udayarāja, pr. n.	232
Udayārṇava-sūri	39
Udayasāgara-sūri	39, 79
Udaypur <i>prastāvi</i> of the rulers of Mālava, referred to	181
Uddharana, pr. n.	331
Udubaraghara, vill.	96, 98, 107, 115, 400, 401
Udyotana-sūri	36
Uggahini, pr. n.	207
Ugirā, t.	100
Ugrasena-pura, t.	41
Uḥāḍa <i>gotra</i>	41
Ujeni, Ujent, Ujjain, cap. of Mālva	92, 96, 100
102, 104, 105, 107, 110, 369, 373-374, 379-381, 385, 391	
Uketa race	40
Ulugh Beg, Amir	157
Uṇḍājī, Uṇḍādājī, of Pāliṭānā	36, 79
Uṇṇata durga	38
Upaketa race	40
Upasijha, monk	104

Years in dates—(continued):—		PAGE
Śaka-samvat	1758	81, 82
"	1763	82
"	1765, 1768, 1770	83
"	1781, 1806	85
(Gupta) samvat	158	365
Vikrama-samvat	1013, 1027, 1030	118
"	1145	234
"	1161	182
"	1162	359
"	1207	279, 422
"	1217, 1282	28
"	1273	439
"	1295 (P)	26
"	1299	29
"	1356	33
"	1358	25
"	1532	26, 27
"	1587	42, 47
"	1596	33
"	1470 (for 1471)	229
"	1485	410
"	1620	49
"	1640	53
"	1642	84
"	1650	86
"	1652	59
"	1663, 1676	68
"	1674, 1678	30
"	1675	60, 64, 67
"	1682	37, 68
"	1684, 1686	72
"	1695	31
"	1889, 1696	32, 33
"	1696, 1710	73
"	1783, 1788, 1791, 1794	77
"	1810, 1814, 1815, 1822, 1843 1860	78
"	1860, 1861, 1867, 1875, 1885, 1886	79
"	1887-89	80
"	1891-93	81
"	1893, 1897	82
"	1900 to 1943	83-86
Hijra dates:—		
"	593	430
"	622	431
"	643	137
"	670	139
"	680, 688	158
"	697	432
"	702	433
"	708	436
"	715	291
"	734	437
"	735	169
"	732, 753	292
"	767	159, 294
"	776	283
"	798	295
"	799	131
"	847	293
"	850	280
"	885	284

Hijra dates:—		PAGE
"	891	287
"	892	428
"	896	433
"	904	137
"	918	286
"	927, 931	427
"	928	140
"	930	141
"	934	144
"	936	148
"	937	149, 286
"	939	155
"	942	150, 156
"	943	427
"	944	428
"	946	145, 426
"	947	429
"	949	150
"	951	157
"	960	293
"	964	142
"	966	146
"	969	143
"	970	132, 288
"	973	154
"	976	148, 157
"	978, 1002	133
"	979 (P)	147
"	989	133, 289, 434
"	1020, 1029, 1035	134
"	1022, 1028 (P)	296
"	1032	281
"	1035, 1036	135
"	1039	136
"	1042	290
"	1044	147
"	1051	151
"	1057	435
"	1066	143
"	1071, 1073	436
"	1078, 1090	152, 153
"	1100, 1106	148, 158
"	1108, 1114	153, 154
"	1123, 1130, 1148	138, 381, 479
"	1181 (P)	143
Yoga Śubha in date		118
Yogadeva mantrin		348, 355
Yona, pr. n.		395
Yona—Greek	453, 463, 465, 466, 463, 471	
Yudhishtira-muni, image of		77
Yūpas, sacrificial pillars		313
Yūmf ibn Hasan Sūr		150, 151
Yūsuf Shāh, k. of Bengal		284
Yuvarāja, a Kaohohapaghāta prince		234
Yuvarājadeva I.—Keyūtravaraha, Chedi k.		174, 304
" IL. Chedi k.		2, 5, 304
Z		
Zafar Khān		288
Ziyā-i-Mulk (European P)		283

ADDENDA AND CORRIGENDA.

- Page 2, line 16, and page 7, line 16.—See page 298 note ⁷.
- „ 7, l. 31—*for* Toḥwan *read* Tolman.
- „ 10, l. 3—*read* inscription.
- „ 15, in note ⁷²—*read* Śrī.
- „ 17, l. 25—*for* Toḥwan *read* Tolman.
- „ l. 35—*read* Vrahmaditi.
- „ 19, l. 5 fr. bot. *for* Aditya *read* Āditya.
- „ 25, ll. 1, 2—*for* Haradā-sjī *read* Haradāsa-jī.
- „ 13, l. 2—*from* bottom, *for* Dev 4-karaṇa *read* Devakarana.
- „ 35, foot-note, *prefix* ².
- „ 81, l. 27—*for* nayara *read* nagara.
- „ 87, l. 6—*for* twenty, *read* nearly seventy (68).
- „ „ l. 9—*for* hundred *read* hundred.
- „ „ 2nd foot-note, *prefix* ².
- „ 94, l. 5—*for* Dhamā- *read* Dhamasiva.
- „ 126, l. 7, and p. 127, l. 1.—As suggested by Prof. Aufrecht in his *Catal. Catalogorum* the word Śūra of the original should be taken to be a proper name, and the two passages should therefore be translated: 'The following is a verse of Śūra's,' and 'This is (a verse of) Śūra's.'
- „ 128, l. 41—*before* which *add* of.
- „ 131, l. 18—*for* the pardoned deceased son of, *read* son of the deceased pardoned.
- „ 133, foot-note, *prefix* ².
- „ 134, l. 1—*for* ابن شيع *read* ابن شيع and l. 8, *read* Ism'ail, son of Rāib.
- „ 134, ll. 24 and 28, *delete* "and."
- „ 135, l. 18—*for* درگاه *we may read* درگاه مل i.e. Durgah Mall.
- „ 136, l. 11—*from* bottom, *delete* of *before* the renowned.
- „ 148, l. 13, *from* bottom—*read* اغا ابن شيخ يوسف i.e. (l. 6, *from* bottom) "The poor, wretched Khān Yūsuf Aghā, son of Shaikh Yūsuf.
- „ 150, l. 20—*for* تند كحضرت *read* تند كحضرت i.e., (l. 29) his Solomon-like majesty.
- „ 154, lines 25, 26, 27, *for* Bohm *read* Bohar.
- „ 157, l. 6—*for* غاني *read* غاني
- „ 160, l. 12, *delete* the sign of punctuation after Asiatic.
- „ 161—*prefix* ² to the first note.

- Page 162, l. 13—*read* दत्ताय°.
- „ „ l. 27— „ °विशिष्टा°.
- „ 175, l. 29— „ °रत्ना°.
- „ „ in note ⁷—*read* been मू.
- „ 176, l. 32—*read* °दृष्टि°.
- „ 180, l. 28— „ °vāṣhpāmbhaḥkapa°.
- „ 185, l. 23— „ °शेकुपः°.
- „ „ l. 25— „ °सिनाली°.
- „ 192, note ⁷² *add* :—As pointed out to me by Prof. Bühler, this verse evidently contains a reference to the towns of Kalyāṇa, Āśāvalli and Ayodhyā; but I cannot give yet an entirely satisfactory translation.
- „ 212, last foot note,—*prefix* ¹, and *add* :—Dr. Fleet informs me, that these copper-plates now belong to Ganpatasingh bin Nārāyaṇsingh Paṭṭewālā, of Saṅgamner. He also informs me that the Garuḍa of the seal is represented as a man, squatting, with his hands joined palm to palm, and with a high tiara on his head; and that his wings are depicted in much the same way as on the Paṭṭhaṇ and other Rāshṭrakūṭa seals.
- „ 217, note ¹⁸ *add* :—Dr. Fleet, after cleaning the original plates, has kindly furnished the following notes on the printed text :—In line 16 the inserted letters (compare note ²⁹) are *syākhya*; the *anuvāra* is omitted.—In line 29 the reading is °madana-māḍyan-mānin°.—In line 30 *read* iḥa hī narendro.—In line 31 *for* [nanu] *read* aṭha.—In line 31 *for* [tale] *read* bhrito.—In line 38 the bracketed *akṣaras* ra and vā are quite clear.—In line 40 *read* yen=d°.
- „ 219, l. 51—*read* विद्वत्°.
- „ 223, l. 6— „ °प्रयच्छत°.
- „ „ l. 42— „ °anvitaḥ°.
- „ 226, l. 3— „ °विशः°.
- „ „ l. 24— „ °बलुविता°.
- „ 229, l. 41— „ °Sūrya-siddhānta°.
- „ 230, l. 30— „ °पुत्रराज°.
- „ 238, l. 32— „ °आवच्छाद°.
- „ 240, note ²—*for* Laskhmapa *read* Lakshmapa.
- „ 255, l. 31—*for* ¹ *read* (f).
- „ 265, l. 10— „ °Pomaha *read* Pomatha°.
- „ 287, l. 21—*for* Paṭh *read* Pāṭh.
- „ 297, in note ²—*read* Lanman.

Page 307, l. 24—read °नमोस्ते°.

„ 334, l. 15—add the sign of *visarga* opposite the figure 8.

„ 335, l. 4—read °दृष्टे.

„ „ l. 11— „ °वीक्षित°.

„ 338, l. 31—*put a comma after was.*

„ 339, l. 13—put a comma at the end of the line.

„ 343, l. 9—*for which read which.*

„ 345, l. 6—read भीनी°.

„ „ l. 21— „ कैबावः.

„ 361, note *—*for Kielhern read Kielhorn.*

„ 366, note *, last line—*for See place read See plate.*

„ 367, l. 16 (2nd col.)—*for odâtâ- read odâtâ-[4.]*

Page 411, l. 7—read नमोस्ते°.

„ „ note ¹⁴— „ जालीवेवु.

„ 412, l. 10— „ री व.

„ „ l. 19— „ वैभवी°.

„ 413, l. 17— „ विधिद्वय°.

„ „ l. 32— „ दत्तवर्षी.

„ 415, l. 34— „ पादयोस.

„ 416, l. 16— „ °नमस्ते°.

„ 420, l. 23— „ चटख.

„ 421, l. 23—*add a comma at the end of the line.*

„ 422, l. 24—*read Rāmakirti and Jayakirti.*

„ „ l. 25 *for a read any.*

EPIGRAPHIA INDICA
SUPPLEMENTARY TO
THE CORPUS INSCRIPTIONUM INDICARUM.

Edited by JAS. BURGESS, C.I.E., LL.D., F.R.S.E., etc.
Assisted by A. FUHRER, Ph.D., and E. HULTZSCH, Ph.D.

"L'idée de réunir en une publication spéciale les inscriptions de plus en plus nombreuses et à mesure qu'elles sont mises au jour par les diverses branches de l'Archæological Survey, est excellente. Il était temps que l'Inde eût à son tour son *Ephemeris Palaographica*."—A. BARTH.

"Hitherto the student of Indian inscriptions had to search for the records as yet published in the pages of various learned periodicals of India and Europe, besides the volumes of the Archæological Survey and other independent works. The foundation of a new quarterly exclusively devoted to Epigraphy is sure to meet with a very warm reception, therefore, on the part of all students of Indian history.

"Dr. Burgess has succeeded in securing the assistance of the most competent scholars in every branch of Indian epigraphy, and the majority of the records published in the first three parts possess an exceptional value and interest."—Prof. J. JULY, in *Trübner's Record*.

"À côté des diverses séries de "Reports," dont la marche est forcément peu régulière, M. Burgess a créé un organe périodique, l'*Epigraphia Indica*, paraissant par trimestre et spécialement réservé aux inscriptions. L'épigraphie indienne aura ainsi son *Ephemeris* avant d'avoir son *Corpus*. Si M. Burgess arrive de cette manière à centraliser, ne fût-ce que dans une certaine mesure, les travaux épigraphiques actuellement éparpillés dans une infinité de recueils, dont plusieurs sont des publications locales absolument inaccessibles en Europe, il aura rendu une fois de plus un service inestimable aux études indiennes."—*Revue de l'Histoire des Religions*.

"At the Congress of Orientalists lately held in London the very highest praise was awarded to Dr. Burgess for his share in this undertaking as chief editor. No higher praise could be accorded here to Dr. Burgess than this statement of the value attached to his labours by so many of the highest Oriental scholars."—*The R. I. B. A. Journal*, 22nd December 1892.

CALCUTTA:—SUPERINTENDENT OF GOVERNMENT PRINTING, INDIA; THACKER, SPINK & CO.

LONDON:—LUZAC & Co.; BERNARD QUARITCH; KEGAN PAUL, TRENCH, TRÜBNER & Co.; A. CONSTABLE & Co.

